STABILITY OF SHEAVES OF LOCALLY CLOSED AND EXACT FORMS

XIAOTAO SUN

ABSTRACT. For any smooth projective variety X of dimension n over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p > 0 with $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$. If $T^{\ell}(\Omega_X^1)$ ($0 < \ell < n(p-1)$) are semi-stable, then the sheaf B_X^1 of exact 1-forms is stable. When X is a surface with $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$ and Ω_X^1 is semi-stable, the sheaf B_X^2 of exact 2-forms is also stable. Moreover, under the same condition, the sheaf Z_X^1 of closed 1-forms is stable when p > 3, and Z_X^1 is semi-stable when p = 3.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth projective variety over an algebraically closed field k with char(k) = p > 0. Let $F : X \to X_1 := X \times_k k$ denote the relative Frobenius morphism over k. In the de Rham complex of X, the subsheaf $B_X^i = \text{image}(d : F_*\Omega_X^{i-1} \to F_*\Omega_X^i)$ (resp. $Z_X^i =$ kernel $(d : F_*\Omega_X^i \to F_*\Omega_X^{i-1})$) of $F_*\Omega_X^i$ is called the sheaf of locally exact *i*-forms (resp. locally closed *i*-forms). Fix an ample divisor H on X, the slope of a torsion free sheaf \mathcal{E} is $\mu(\mathcal{E}) := c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot \mathrm{H}^{n-1}/\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E})$, where $\dim(X) = n$ and $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E})$ denotes the rank of \mathcal{E} . A torsion free sheaf \mathcal{E} is called semi-stable (resp. stable) if $\mu(\mathcal{E}') \leq \mu(\mathcal{E})$ (resp. $\mu(\mathcal{E}') < \mu(\mathcal{E})$) for any nontrivial proper sub-sheaf $\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{E}$. In this notes, we prove some observations about stability of B_X^i and Z_X^i .

When X is a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$, the semistability of B_X^1 is proved in [4], and its stability is proved in [1]. When X is a smooth projective surface with semi-stable Ω_X^1 and $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$, the semi-stability of B_X^1 and B_X^2 is proved in [2]. But it is not known whether Z_X^1 is semi-stable (cf. [2, Remark 3.4]).

We show firstly that the sheaf B_X^1 of local exact differential 1-forms on X is stable if $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$ and $T^{\ell}(\Omega_X^1)$ $(0 < \ell < n(p-1))$ are semistable. For surfaces, the semi-stability of Ω_X^1 implies semi-stability of $T^{\ell}(\Omega_X^1)$ $(0 < \ell < 2(p-1))$. Thus our result is a generalization of the

Date: April 23, 2009.

Partially supported by NSFC (No. 10731030) and Key Laboratory of Mathematics Mechanization (KLMM).

results in [1] and [2]. Then we show secondly that B_X^2 and Z_X^1 are stable when X is a smooth projective surface with semi-stable Ω_X^1 and $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$. It solves in particular the open problem in [2].

2. The stability of B_X^1

Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n. To study the stability of B_X^1 , we recall from [5] that there is a filtration

(2.1)
$$0 = V_{n(p-1)+1} \subset V_{n(p-1)} \subset \cdots \subset V_1 \subset V_0 = V = F^*(F_*W)$$

with injective homomorphisms $\nabla : V_{\ell}/V_{\ell+1} \to V_{\ell-1}/V_{\ell} \otimes \Omega^1_X$ such that

$$\nabla^{\ell} : V_{\ell}/V_{\ell+1} \cong W \otimes \mathrm{T}^{\ell}(\Omega^1_X) , \quad 0 \le \ell \le n(p-1).$$

Let $\mathcal{E} \subset F_*W$ be a nontrivial subsheaf, the canonical filtration (2.1) induces the filtration (we assume $V_m \cap F^*\mathcal{E} \neq 0$)

(2.2)
$$0 \subset V_m \cap F^* \mathcal{E} \subset \cdots \subset V_1 \cap F^* \mathcal{E} \subset V_0 \cap F^* \mathcal{E} = F^* \mathcal{E}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{F}_{\ell} := \frac{V_{\ell} \cap F^* \mathcal{E}}{V_{\ell+1} \cap F^* \mathcal{E}} \subset \frac{V_{\ell}}{V_{\ell+1}}, \qquad r_{\ell} = \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F}_{\ell}).$$

Then

$$\mu(F^*\mathcal{E}) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E})} \sum_{\ell=0}^m r_\ell \cdot \mu(\mathcal{F}_\ell)$$

and

(2.3)
$$\mu(\mathcal{E}) - \mu(F_*W) = \frac{1}{p \cdot \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E})} \sum_{\ell=0}^m r_\ell \left(\mu(\mathcal{F}_\ell) - \mu(F^*F_*W) \right).$$

By using the following formula (cf. Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 in [5])

(2.4)
$$\mu(F^*F_*W) = p \cdot \mu(F_*W) = \frac{p-1}{2}K_X \cdot \mathrm{H}^{n-1} + \mu(W),$$
$$\mu(V_{\ell}/V_{\ell+1}) = \mu(W \otimes \mathrm{T}^{\ell}(\Omega^1_X)) = \frac{\ell}{n}K_X \cdot \mathrm{H}^{n-1} + \mu(W).$$

we can formulate the following lemma

Lemma 2.1. The morphisms $\nabla : V_{\ell}/V_{\ell+1} \to V_{\ell-1}/V_{\ell} \otimes \Omega^1_X$ induce injective morphisms $\nabla : \mathcal{F}_{\ell} \to \mathcal{F}_{\ell-1} \otimes \Omega^1_X$. Moreover, we have

(2.5)
$$\mu(\mathcal{E}) - \mu(F_*W) = \sum_{\ell=0}^m r_\ell \frac{\mu(\mathcal{F}_\ell) - \mu(\frac{V_\ell}{V_{\ell+1}})}{p \cdot \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E})} - \frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p \cdot \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E})} \sum_{\ell=0}^m (\frac{n(p-1)}{2} - \ell) r_\ell$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

When $m \leq \frac{n(p-1)}{2}$, it is clear that

(2.6)
$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{m} \left(\frac{n(p-1)}{2} - \ell\right) r_{\ell} \ge \frac{n(p-1)}{2} r_{0} \ge \frac{n(p-1)}{2}$$

When $m > \frac{n(p-1)}{2}$, we can write

(2.7)
$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{m} \left(\frac{n(p-1)}{2} - \ell\right) r_{\ell} = \sum_{\ell=m+1}^{n(p-1)} \left(\ell - \frac{n(p-1)}{2}\right) r_{n(p-1)-\ell} + \sum_{\ell > \frac{n(p-1)}{2}}^{m} \left(\ell - \frac{n(p-1)}{2}\right) (r_{n(p-1)-\ell} - r_{\ell}).$$

The fact that $V_{\ell}/V_{\ell+1} \xrightarrow{\nabla} (V_{\ell-1}/V_{\ell}) \otimes \Omega^1_X$ induce injective morphisms $\mathcal{F}_{\ell} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{F}_{\ell-1} \otimes \Omega^1_X$ $(1 \leq \ell \leq m)$ implies the following inequalities

$$r_{n(p-1)-\ell} - r_{\ell} \ge 0$$
 $(\ell > \frac{n(p-1)}{2})$

(cf. [5, Proposition 4.7]). Thus we have

(2.8)
$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{m} \left(\frac{n(p-1)}{2} - \ell\right) r_{\ell} \ge \frac{n(p-1)}{2} r_{0} \quad \text{when } m \neq n(p-1) \,.$$

Lemma 2.2. When m = n(p - 1), we have

$$r_{\ell} \ge r_{n(p-1)} \cdot \operatorname{rk}(\operatorname{T}^{n(p-1)-\ell}(\Omega^{1}_{X}))$$

which implies the following inequality

$$\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{m} r_{\ell} \ge r_{n(p-1)} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m} \operatorname{rk}(\operatorname{T}^{n(p-1)-\ell}(\Omega_{X}^{1})) = r_{n(p-1)} \cdot p^{n}$$

Proof. It is a local problem to prove the lemma. Let K = K(X) be the function field of X and consider the K-algebra

$$R = \frac{K[\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n]}{(\alpha_1^p, \cdots, \alpha_n^p)} = \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{n(p-1)} R^\ell,$$

where R^{ℓ} is the K-linear space generated by

$$\{ \alpha_1^{k_1} \cdots \alpha_n^{k_n} \, | \, k_1 + \cdots + k_n = \ell, \quad 0 \le k_i \le p - 1 \}.$$

The quotients in the filtration (2.1) can be described locally

$$V_{\ell}/V_{\ell+1} = W \otimes_K R^{\ell}$$

as K-vector spaces. If $K = k(x_1, ..., x_n)$, then the homomorphism

$$\nabla: W \otimes_K R^\ell \to W \otimes_K R^{\ell-1} \otimes_K \Omega^1_{K/k}$$

is locally the k-linear homomorphism (cf. (3.6) in [5]) defined by

$$\nabla(w \otimes \alpha_1^{k_1} \cdots \alpha_n^{k_n}) = -w \otimes \sum_{i=1}^n k_i (\alpha_1^{k_1} \cdots \alpha_i^{k_i-1} \cdots \alpha_n^{k_n}) \otimes_K \mathrm{d}x_i.$$

Then the fact that $\mathcal{F}_{\ell} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{F}_{\ell-1} \otimes \Omega^1_X$ for $\mathcal{F}_{\ell} \subset W \otimes R^{\ell}$ is equivalent to

(2.9)
$$\forall \sum_{j} w_j \otimes f_j \in \mathcal{F}_{\ell} \Rightarrow \sum_{j} w_j \otimes \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial \alpha_i} \in \mathcal{F}_{\ell-1} \quad (1 \le i \le n).$$

The polynomial ring $P = K[\partial_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, \partial_{\alpha_n}]$ acts on R through partial derivations, which induces a D-module structure on R, where

$$\mathbf{D} = \frac{K[\partial_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, \partial_{\alpha_n}]}{(\partial_{\alpha_1}^p, \cdots, \partial_{\alpha_n}^p)} = \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{n(p-1)} \mathbf{D}_{\ell}$$

and D_{ℓ} is the linear space of degree ℓ homogeneous elements. In particular, $W \otimes R$ has the induced D-module structure with D acts on W trivially. Use this notation, (2.9) is equivalent to $D_1 \cdot \mathcal{F}_{\ell} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\ell-1}$.

Since $R^{n(p-1)}$ is of dimension 1, for any subspace

$$\mathcal{F}_{n(p-1)} \subset W \otimes R^{n(p-1)},$$

there is a subspace $W' \subset W$ of dimension $r_{n(p-1)}$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}_{n(p-1)} = W' \otimes R^{n(p-1)}.$$

Thus $D_{\ell} \cdot \mathcal{F}_{n(p-1)} = W' \otimes D_{\ell} \cdot R^{n(p-1)} = W' \otimes R^{n(p-1)-\ell} \subset \mathcal{F}_{n(p-1)-\ell}$ for all $0 \leq \ell \leq n(p-1)$, which proves the lemma.

Recall that the sheaf B_X^1 of locally exact differential forms on X is defined by exact sequence

$$(2.10) 0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to F_*\mathcal{O}_X \to B^1_X \to 0.$$

Theorem 2.3. Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n with $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$ and \mathcal{L} a torsion free sheaf of rank 1 on X. Assume that $T^{\ell}(\Omega_X^1)$ ($0 < \ell < n(p-1)$) are semi-stable. Then, for any nontrivial subsheaves $\mathcal{E} \subset F_*\mathcal{L}$ and $B' \subset B_X^1$, we have

(2.11)
$$\mu(\mathcal{E}) - \mu(F_*\mathcal{L}) \le -\frac{\mu(\Omega^1_X)}{p \cdot \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E})} \cdot \frac{n(p-1)}{2}$$

(2.12)
$$\mu(B') - \mu(B_X^1) \le -\frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p \cdot (p^n - 1)} \cdot \frac{n(p - 1)}{2}$$

when $\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E}) < \operatorname{rk}(F_*\mathcal{L})$ and $\operatorname{rk}(B') < \operatorname{rk}(B^1_X)$.

Proof. Since $\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E}) < \operatorname{rk}(F_*\mathcal{L}) = p^n$, by Lemma 2.2, $m \neq n(p-1)$. On the other hand, when \mathcal{L} is of rank 1, $V_{\ell}/V_{\ell+1} \cong \mathcal{L} \otimes \operatorname{T}^{\ell}(\Omega^1_X)$ are semi-stable by the assumption. Thus, by (2.5), we have

(2.13)
$$\mu(\mathcal{E}) - \mu(F_*\mathcal{L}) \le -\frac{\mu(\Omega^1_X)}{p \cdot \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E})} \sum_{\ell=0}^m (\frac{n(p-1)}{2} - \ell) r_\ell$$

which implies (2.11) by (2.8) since $m \neq n(p-1)$.

To show (2.12), for $B' \subset B_X^1$ of rank $r < \operatorname{rk}(B_X^1)$, let $\mathcal{E} \subset F_*\mathcal{O}_X$ be the subsheaf of rank r + 1 such that we have exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{E} \to B' \to 0.$$

Substitute (2.11) to $\mu(B') - \mu(B^1_X) = \frac{r+1}{r}\mu(\mathcal{E}) - \frac{p^n}{p^n-1}\mu(F_*\mathcal{O}_X)$, we have

$$\mu(B') - \mu(B_X^1) \le \frac{p^n - 1 - r}{r(p^n - 1)} \mu(F_*\mathcal{O}_X) - \frac{n(p - 1)}{2rp} \mu(\Omega_X^1).$$

By the formula (2.4), we have $\mu(F_*\mathcal{O}_X) = \frac{n(p-1)}{2p}\mu(\Omega^1_X)$. Thus

$$\mu(B') - \mu(B_X^1) \le -\frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p \cdot (p^n - 1)} \cdot \frac{n(p - 1)}{2}.$$

Corollary 2.4. Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Then, for all proper sub-bundles $\mathcal{E} \subset F_*\mathcal{L}$, $B' \subset B^1_X$, we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}) - \mu(F_*\mathcal{L}) \le -\frac{p - \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E})}{p}(g-1)$$
$$\mu(B') - \mu(B_X^1) \le -\frac{p - 1 - \operatorname{rk}(B')}{p}(g-1).$$

Proof. When dim(X) = 1, $V_{\ell}/V_{\ell+1} = \mathcal{L} \otimes \omega_X^{\ell}$ are line bundles and thus $r_{\ell} = 1$ ($0 \le \ell \le m$) in (2.5). Then we can rewrite (2.5):

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}) - \mu(F_*\mathcal{L}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^m \frac{\mu(\mathcal{F}_\ell) - \mu(\frac{V_\ell}{V_{\ell+1}})}{p \cdot \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E})} - \frac{(p - \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E}))(g - 1)}{p},$$

which impiles the following inequality

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}) - \mu(F_*\mathcal{L}) \le -\frac{p - \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E})}{p}(g-1)$$

and the equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{F}_{\ell} = V_{\ell}/V_{\ell+1}$. Similarly, we have

$$\mu(B') - \mu(B_X^1) \le -\frac{p - 1 - \operatorname{rk}(B')}{p}(g - 1).$$

Corollary 2.5. Let X be a smooth projective surface with $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$. If Ω_X^1 is semi-stable, then for any proper nontrivial $B' \subset B_X^1$

$$\mu(B') - \mu(B_X^1) \le -\frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p \cdot (p+1)}$$

Proof. When $\dim(X) = 2$, we have (cf. Proposition 3.5 of [5])

$$\mathbf{T}^{\ell}(\Omega_X^1) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Sym}^{\ell}(\Omega_X^1) & \text{when } \ell$$

where $\omega_X = \Omega_X^2 = \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$ is the canonical line bundle of X. Thus $T^{\ell}(\Omega_X^1)$ are semi-stable whenever Ω_X^1 is semi-stable. Then the corollary follows the theorem.

Remark 2.6. When X is a curve of genus $g \ge 2$, the stability of B_X^1 was proved by K. Joshi in [1]. When X is a surface with $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$, if Ω_X^1 is semi-stable, Y. Kitadai and H. Sumihiro proved in [2] that B_X^1 is semi-stable.

3. The stability of B_X^2 and Z_X^1

Let X be a smooth projective surface with $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$. When Ω_X^1 is semi-stable, Y. Kitadai and H. Sumihiro proved in [2] that B_X^1 and B_X^2 are semi-stable, but it is left open whether Z_X^1 is semi-stable or not (cf. [2, Remark 3.4]). In this section, we consider the stability of B_X^2 and Z_X^1 .

Recall the definition of B_X^i , Z_X^1 , consider de Rham complex of X:

$$F_*\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{d_1} F_*\Omega^1_X \xrightarrow{d_2} F_*\Omega^2_X = F_*\omega_X$$

the vector bundles B_X^i (i = 1, 2) and Z_X^1 are defined by

$$B_X^i := \operatorname{image} \left(F_* \Omega_X^{i-1} \xrightarrow{d_i} F_* \Omega_X^i \right) , \quad Z_X^1 := \operatorname{kernel} \left(F_* \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{d_2} F_* \Omega_X^2 \right).$$

By the definition and Cartier isomorphism, these bundles are suited in the following exact sequences

$$(3.1) 0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to F_*\mathcal{O}_X \to B^1_X \to 0$$

$$(3.2) 0 \to Z_X^1 \to F_*\Omega_X^1 \to B_X^2 \to 0$$

$$(3.3) 0 \to B^1_X \to Z^1_X \to \Omega^1_X \to 0$$

$$(3.4) 0 \to B_X^2 \to F_*\omega_X \to \omega_X \to 0$$

where $\omega_X = \Omega_X^2 = \mathcal{O}_X(K_X).$

Lemma 3.1. Let X be a smooth projective surface with $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$. If Ω_X^1 is semistable, then, for any subsheaf $B' \subset B_X^2$, we have

(3.5)
$$\mu(B') - \mu(B_X^2) \le -\frac{\operatorname{rk}(B_X^2) - \operatorname{rk}(B')}{p(p+1)\operatorname{rk}(B')}\mu(\Omega_X^1).$$

In particular, B_X^2 is stable.

Proof. For $B' \subset B_X^2$, by exact sequence (3.4) and Theorem 2.3,

(3.6)
$$\mu(B') \le \mu(F_*\omega_X) - \frac{p-1}{p\operatorname{rk}(B')}\mu(\Omega_X^1).$$

By the formula (2.4) and the exact sequence (3.4), we have

(3.7)
$$\mu(F_*\omega_X) = \frac{p+1}{p}\mu(\Omega^1_X), \quad \mu(B^2_X) = \frac{p+2}{p+1}\mu(\Omega^1_X).$$

Substitute (3.7) to (3.6), we have the inequality (3.5).

Theorem 3.2. Let X be a smooth projective surface with $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$. Then, when Ω_X^1 is semistable, the sheaf Z_X^1 of locally closed 1-forms is stable when p > 3. Z_X^1 is semistable when p = 3.

Proof. For $B \subset Z_X^1$ with $\operatorname{rk}(B) < \operatorname{rk}(Z_X^1)$, by (3.3), there are subsheaves $B' \subset B_X^1$, $B'' \subset \Omega_X^1$ satisfy the exact sequence

$$(3.8) 0 \to B' \to B \to B'' \to 0.$$

If B'' = 0, $\mu(B) = \mu(B') \le \mu(B_X^1) < \mu(Z_X^1)$. Thus we can assume $B'' \ne 0$. If $\operatorname{rk}(B') = \operatorname{rk}(B_X^1)$, then $\operatorname{rk}(B'') = 1$ and

$$\mu(B) - \mu(Z_X^1) \le -\frac{p-1}{p^2(p^2+1)}\mu(\Omega_X^1).$$

Thus we can assume $\operatorname{rk}(B') < \operatorname{rk}(B_X^1)$ if $B' \neq 0$. We complete the proof in the following two lemmas, which deal with the cases $B' \neq 0$ and B' = 0 respectively.

Lemma 3.3. For $B \subset Z_X^1$ with $\operatorname{rk}(B) < \operatorname{rk}(Z_X^1)$, assume that B' defined in (3.8) is nontrivial with $\operatorname{rk}(B') < \operatorname{rk}(B_X^1)$. Then, when p > 3,

$$\mu(B) - \mu(Z_X^1) \le -\frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p(p^2 + 1)\mathrm{rk}(B)}$$

and, when p = 3, $\mu(B) - \mu(Z_X^1) \le 0$.

Proof. Since $B' \neq 0$ with $\operatorname{rk}(B') < \operatorname{rk}(B_X^1)$, by (3.1), there is a subsheaf $\mathcal{E} \subset F_*\mathcal{O}_X$ with $\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E}) < p^2$ satisfying the exact sequence

$$(3.9) 0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{E} \to B' \to 0.$$

The canonical filtration $0 \subset V_{2p-2} \subset \cdots \subset V_1 \subset V_0 = F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X$ induces

$$0 \subset V_m \cap F^*\mathcal{E} \subset \cdots \subset V_1 \cap F^*\mathcal{E} \subset V_0 \cap F^*\mathcal{E} = F^*\mathcal{E}$$

where m is the maximal number such that $V_m \cap F^* \mathcal{E} \neq 0$. Let

$$\mathcal{F}_{\ell} := \frac{V_{\ell} \cap F^* \mathcal{E}}{V_{\ell+1} \cap F^* \mathcal{E}} \subset \frac{V_{\ell}}{V_{\ell+1}}, \qquad r_{\ell} = \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F}_{\ell}).$$

When $\dim(X) = 2$, we have (cf. Proposition 3.5 of [5])

$$\mathbf{T}^{\ell}(\Omega_X^1) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Sym}^{\ell}(\Omega_X^1) & \text{when } \ell$$

where $\omega_X = \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$ is the canonical line bundle of X. Thus $V_{\ell}/V_{\ell+1}$ are semi-stable whenever Ω_X^1 is semi-stable. Then, by Lemma 2.1,

(3.10)
$$\mu(\mathcal{E}) - \mu(F_*\mathcal{O}_X) \le -\frac{\mu(\Omega^1_X)}{p \cdot \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E})} \sum_{\ell=0}^m (p-1-\ell)r_\ell$$

where, by Lemma 2.2, we have m < 2p - 2 since $rk(\mathcal{E}) < p^2$. Notice that $r_0 = 1$, then (3.10) implies

(3.11)
$$\mu(B') - \mu(B_X^1) \le -\frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p \operatorname{rk}(B')} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^m (p-1-\ell)r_\ell + \frac{\operatorname{rk}(B')}{p+1} \right).$$

By (3.1), (3.3) and (3.8), we have $\mu(B_X^1) = \mu(Z_X^1) - \frac{2}{(p+1)(p^2+1)}\mu(\Omega_X^1)$,

(3.12)
$$\mu(B) = \frac{\operatorname{rk}(B')}{\operatorname{rk}(B)}\mu(B') + \frac{\operatorname{rk}(B'')}{\operatorname{rk}(B)}\mu(B'')$$

Substitute (3.11) and $\mu(B'') \leq \mu(\Omega^1_X)$ to (3.12), we have

$$\mu(B) \leq \frac{\operatorname{rk}(B')}{\operatorname{rk}(B)} \left(\mu(B_X^1) - \frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p\operatorname{rk}(B')} (\Sigma_m + \frac{\operatorname{rk}(B')}{p+1}) \right) + \frac{\operatorname{rk}(B'')}{\operatorname{rk}(B)} \mu(\Omega_X^1)$$

$$= \frac{\operatorname{rk}(B')}{\operatorname{rk}(B)} \mu(B_X^1) - \frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p\operatorname{rk}(B)} \Sigma_m + \left(\operatorname{rk}(B'') - \frac{\operatorname{rk}(B')}{p(p+1)}\right) \frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{\operatorname{rk}(B)}$$

$$= \mu(Z_X^1) - \frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p(p^2+1)\operatorname{rk}(B)} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (p^2+1)\Sigma_m + (p+1)\operatorname{rk}(B') \\ - (p^2-p)\operatorname{rk}(B'') \end{array} \right\}$$

where $\Sigma_m := \sum_{\ell=1}^m (p-1-\ell) r_\ell \ge 0$ since m < 2p-2. Let

$$N := (p^{2} + 1)\Sigma_{m} + (p + 1)\operatorname{rk}(B') - (p^{2} - p)\operatorname{rk}(B'')$$

To prove the lemma, it is enough to prove the claim that N > 0 when p > 3, and $N \ge 0$ when p = 3. If $\Sigma_m \ge 2$, the claim is clear since

 $\operatorname{rk}(B'') \leq \operatorname{rk}(\Omega_X^1) = 2$. Thus we can assume that $\Sigma_m \leq 1$. To prove the claim, we also remark that $m \geq 1$ since $r_0 = 1$ and

(3.13)
$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{m} r_{\ell} = \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E}) = \operatorname{rk}(B') + 1 \ge 2.$$

If $m \leq p-1$, then $\Sigma_m \geq (p-2)r_1$. The condition $\Sigma_m \leq 1$ implies that p = 3 and $\Sigma_m = 1$. Thus $N = 10 + 4 \operatorname{rk}(B') - 6 \operatorname{rk}(B'') > 0$.

If m > p - 1, we show firstly that the condition $\Sigma_m \leq 1$ implies m+1 > 2p-3. In fact, it is clear when p = 3. To show it for the case p > 3, by the formula (2.7), we can write

$$\Sigma_m = \sum_{\ell=m+1}^{2p-3} (\ell - p + 1) r_{2p-2-\ell} + \sum_{\ell>p-1}^m (\ell - p + 1) (r_{2p-2-\ell} - r_\ell)$$

where $r_{2p-2-\ell} \ge r_{\ell}$ ($\ell > p-1$). Thus, if $m+1 \le 2p-3$, we have the following contradiction

$$\Sigma_m \ge (m-p)r_{2p-2-(m+1)} > r_{2p-2-(m+1)} \ge 1$$

where we remark that all of $r_{\ell} = \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F}_{\ell})$ $(0 \leq \ell \leq m)$ are non-zero since the existence of injections $\mathcal{F}_{\ell} \to \mathcal{F}_{\ell-1} \otimes \Omega^1_X$ (cf. Lemma 2.1) and $r_m \neq 0$ (by definition). Then the fact that m+1 > 2p-3 implies

$$\operatorname{rk}(B') = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} r_{\ell} \ge m \ge 2p - 3.$$

Thus we have

$$N \ge (p+1)(2p-3) - (p^2 - p)\operatorname{rk}(B'')$$

= $(2 - \operatorname{rk}(B''))p^2 + (\operatorname{rk}(B'') - 1)p - 3$

which is positive when p > 3, and non-negative when p = 3.

Lemma 3.4. If B' = 0 and p > 2, then we have

$$\mu(B) - \mu(Z_X^1) < 0.$$

Proof. When B' = 0, B = B'' has rank at most 2. By (3.2), we consider $B \subset F_*\Omega^1_X$ and the canonical filtration

$$0 = V_{2p+1} \subset V_{2p-2} \subset \cdots V_1 \subset V_0 = F^* F_* \Omega^1_X.$$

If $V_1 \cap F^*B = 0$, then $F^*B \subset V_0/V_1 = \Omega^1_X$. By $\mu(F^*B) \leq \mu(\Omega^1_X)$ and

$$\mu(Z_X^1) = \frac{p^2 - p + 2}{p^2 + 1} \mu(\Omega_X^1)$$

we have

$$\mu(B) - \mu(Z_X^1) \le -\frac{(p^2 - p + 1)(p - 1)}{p(p^2 + 1)}\mu(\Omega_X^1).$$

If $V_1 \cap F^*B \ne 0$, then $\operatorname{rk}(B) = 2, V_2 \cap F^*B = 0$ and
 $\mathcal{F}_1 := \frac{V_1 \cap F^*B}{V_2 \cap F^*B} \subset \frac{V_1}{V_2}, \quad \mathcal{F}_0 := \frac{V_0 \cap F^*B}{V_1 \cap F^*B} \subset \frac{V_0}{V_1}$

are subsheaves of rank 1. On the other hand, by a theorem of Ilangovan-Mehta-Parameswaran (cf. Section 6 of [3] for the precise statement): If E_1 , E_2 are semi-stable bundles with $\operatorname{rk}(E_1) + \operatorname{rk}(E_2) \leq p + 1$, then $E_1 \otimes E_2$ is semi-stable. We see that

$$V_1/V_2 = \Omega_X^1 \otimes \Omega_X^1, \quad V_0/V_1 = \Omega_X^1$$

are semi-stable since p > 2. Thus

(3.14)
$$\mu(B) = \frac{\mu(\mathcal{F}_0) + \mu(\mathcal{F}_1)}{2p} \le \frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1) + \mu(\Omega_X^1 \otimes \Omega_X^1)}{2p}$$

which implies that

$$\mu(B) - \mu(Z_X^1) = \mu(B) - \frac{p^2 - p + 2}{p^2 + 1} \mu(\Omega_X^1)$$

$$\leq \frac{3\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{2p} - \frac{p^2 - p + 2}{p^2 + 1} \mu(\Omega_X^1)$$

$$= -\frac{p^2(2p - 5) + 4p - 3}{2p(p^2 + 1)} \mu(\Omega_X^1).$$

References

- Joshi, K.: Stability and locally exact differentials on a curve, Comptes rendus. Mathématique, 338 (2004), no. 11, 869–872
- [2] Kitadai, Y., Sumihiro, H.: Canonical filtrations and stability of direct images by Frobenius morphisms II, Hiroshima Math. J. vol. 38 (2008), no. 2, 243–261
- [3] Langer, A.: Semistable sheaves in positive characteristic, Ann. of Math. 159 (2004), 251–276
- [4] Raynaud, M.: Sections des fibrès vectoriels sur une courbe, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 110 (1982), no. 1, 103–125
- [5] Sun, X. : Direct images of bundles under Frobenius morphisms. Invent. Math. 173 (2008), no. 2, 427–447

ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCE, BEIJING, P. R. OF CHINA

E-mail address: xsun@math.ac.cn

10