

# CONVEXITY OF HESSIAN INTEGRALS AND POINCARÉ TYPE INEQUALITIES

ZUOLIANG HOU

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Through out this paper,  $k$  will be a fixed integer such that  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $S_k(\lambda)$  be the normalized  $k^{\text{th}}$  elementary symmetric function of  $\lambda$ , i.e.

$$S_k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \frac{k!(n-k)!}{n!} \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}(n)$  denote the space of Hermitian matrices of size  $n$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{H}(n)$ , denote  $\lambda(A) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the eigenvalue of  $A$  and

$$S_k(A) = S_k(\lambda(A)).$$

Even though we use  $S_k$  to denote functions defined on both  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathcal{H}(n)$ , its meaning should be clear from the context. In either case, we use  $\tilde{S}_k$  to denote the complete polarization of  $S_k$ . Let

$$\Gamma_k = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid S_j(\lambda) \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, k\}$$

be the  $k$ -positive cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . For real valued function  $u \in \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega)$ , we define the complex  $k$ -Hessian of  $u$  by

$$H_k[u] = S_k(\text{Hess}_{\mathbb{C}}(u))$$

where  $\text{Hess}_{\mathbb{C}}(u)$  is the complex Hessian matrix of  $u$ . Let

$$\mathcal{P}_k(\Omega) = \{u \in \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\Omega}) \mid \lambda(\text{Hess}_{\mathbb{C}}(u)) \in \Gamma_k\}$$

be the space of  $k$ -plurisubharmonic ( $k$ -psh) function, and  $\mathcal{P}_k^0(\Omega)$  be the subspace of  $\mathcal{P}_k(\Omega)$  containing functions vanishing on  $\partial\Omega$ . It is well known that for  $v_j \in \mathcal{P}_k(\Omega), j = 1, \dots, k$ ,

$$\tilde{H}_k[v_1, \dots, v_k] = \tilde{S}_k(\text{Hess}_{\mathbb{C}}(v_1), \dots, \lambda(\text{Hess}_{\mathbb{C}}(v_k))) \geq 0,$$

For  $u, u_0, \dots, u_k \in \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\Omega})$ , denote

$$F_k[u_0, \dots, u_k] = \int_{\Omega} (-u_0) \tilde{H}_k[u_1, \dots, u_k] \quad \text{and} \quad I_k[u] = F_k[u, \dots, u] = \int_{\Omega} (-u) H_k[u].$$

**Theorem 1.** *Notation as above*

---

*Date:* March 2, 2022.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32U99, 58G03; Secondary 35J65.

*Key words and phrases.* Complex Hessian, Energy Functional.

(a) For any  $u_0, \dots, u_k \in \mathcal{P}_k^0(\Omega)$ ,

$$F_k[u_0, \dots, u_k] \leq \prod_{j=0}^k (I_k[u_j])^{\frac{1}{k+1}}. \quad (1)$$

(b) For fixed  $0 \leq m < k$ , and fixed  $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \mathcal{P}_k(\Omega)$ , consider the functional

$$\mathcal{P}_k^0(\Omega) \ni u \mapsto I_{k,v_1,\dots,v_m}[u] = \int_{\Omega} (-u) \tilde{H}_k[u, \dots, u, v_1, \dots, v_m].$$

Then  $I_{k,v_1,\dots,v_m}^{1/(k-m+1)}$  is convex on  $\mathcal{P}_k^0$  in the sense that for any  $u, v \in \mathcal{P}_k^0(\Omega)$ ,

$$I_{k,v_1,\dots,v_m}^{\frac{1}{k-m+1}}[u+v] \leq I_{k,v_1,\dots,v_m}^{\frac{1}{k-m+1}}[u] + I_{k,v_1,\dots,v_m}^{\frac{1}{k-m+1}}[v]. \quad (2)$$

A smooth bounded domain  $\Omega$  is strong  $k$ -pseudoconvex, if  $\partial\Omega$  is connected, and the  $(k-1)$ <sup>th</sup> elementary function of the eigenvalues of the Levi form of  $\partial\Omega$  is strictly positive on  $\partial\Omega$ . For strong  $k$ -pseudoconvex domain, we have following Poincaré type inequalities.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose  $\Omega$  is strong  $k$ -pseudoconvex, then for  $0 \leq m < k$ , there exists constant  $C$  such that for any  $u \in \mathcal{P}_k^0(\Omega)$

$$(I_m[u])^{\frac{1}{m+1}} \leq C (I_k[u])^{\frac{1}{k+1}}, \quad (3)$$

where the constant  $C$  depends on  $m, k$  and  $\Omega$  only.

Some special cases of Theorem 1 were well known. For example Cegrell and Persson proved in [CP97] that if both  $u$  and  $v$  are psh function on a domain with vanishing boundary data, then for integer  $j$  such that  $0 \leq j \leq n$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} (-u) (dd^c u)^j (dd^c v)^{n-j} \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} (-u) (dd^c u)^n \right)^{\frac{j+1}{n+1}} \left( \int_{\Omega} (-v) (dd^c v)^n \right)^{\frac{n-j}{n+1}},$$

which is just special case of equation (1) with  $u_0 = \dots = u_j = u$  and  $u_{j+1} = \dots = u_k = v$ .

Since the proof of Theorem 1 only uses the divergence structure of Hessian operator  $H_k$ , so it can also be applied to the study of real Hessian operator and integrals. Let  $D$  be a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For real valued function  $u_j \in \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{D})$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$  and  $u \in \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\Omega})$  define

$$G_k[u_0, \dots, u_k] = \int_D (-u_0) \tilde{S}_k[\text{Hess}(u_1), \dots, \text{Hess}(u_k)]$$

and

$$J_k[u] = G_k[u, \dots, u],$$

where  $\text{Hess}(u)$  is the Hessian matrix of  $u$ .

**Theorem 3.** For any  $k$ -convex function  $u_0, \dots, u_k$  with  $u_0 = \dots = u_k = 0$  on  $\partial D$ ,

$$G_k[u_0, \dots, u_k] \leq \prod_{j=0}^n (J_k[u_j])^{\frac{1}{k+1}}. \quad (4)$$

**Theorem 4.** *Suppose  $D$  is smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $S_{k-1}(II) > 0$  on  $\partial D$ , where  $II$  is the second fundamental form of  $\partial D$ , then for integer  $m$  with  $0 \leq m < k$ , there exists constant  $C$  depends on  $m, k$  and  $D$  such that*

$$\left(J_m[u]\right)^{\frac{1}{m+1}} \leq C \left(J_k[u]\right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \quad (5)$$

for any  $k$ -convex function  $u$  with  $u = 0$  on  $\partial D$ .

Theorem 4 was originally proved by Trudinger and Wang in [TW98] using parabolic method. Compared with the proof in [TW98], our proof is quicker and more elementary, and can be adopted to study the complex Hessian integral. However, Trudinger and Wang's proof contains more information, they showed that the the best constant  $C$  in equation (5) is attained by the solution of the Dirichlet problem:  $H_k[u] = H_m[u]$  and  $u = 0$  on  $\partial D$ .

Hessian integrals like  $J_k$  were first studied by Bakelman [Bak83] and Tso [Tso90] in the setting of real Monge-Ampère-operator. In [Wan94], Wang studied the real Hessian integrals for general  $k$  and established some Sobolev type inequalities. For more information on real Hessian integral, see [Wan09] and the references therein.

For simplicity, we always assume that the functions studied in this paper are at least twice differentiable. Using the standard approximation technique, it is possible to extend the result to the case of real and complex Hessian measure for non-smooth functions, see [TW02, Bł05] for more details.

## 2. COMPLEX HESSIAN INTEGRALS

Let  $\omega$  is the Kähler form of the standard Euclidean metric of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , i.e.

$$\omega = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n dz^j \wedge d\bar{z}^j.$$

It is easy to check that for  $u, u_0, \dots, u_k \in \mathcal{P}_k(\Omega)$ ,

$$H_k[u]\omega^n = (\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u)^k \wedge \omega^{n-k}$$

and

$$\tilde{H}_k[u_1, \dots, u_k]\omega^n = (\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u_k) \wedge \omega^{n-k}.$$

Hence

$$I_k[u] = \int_{\Omega} (-u)(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u)^k \wedge \omega^{n-k}$$

and

$$F_k[u_0, \dots, u_k] = \int_{\Omega} (-u_0)(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u_k) \wedge \omega^{n-k}. \quad (6)$$

Obviously the  $F_k$  in equation 6 is symmetric in  $u_1, \dots, u_k$ . If  $u_j \in \mathcal{P}_k^0(\Omega), j = 0, \dots, k$ , then by integration by part,

$$\begin{aligned} F_k[u_0, \dots, u_k] &= \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{-1}\partial u_0 \wedge \bar{\partial}u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge (\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u_k) \wedge \omega^{n-k} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (-u_1)(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u_0) \wedge \dots \wedge (\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u_k) \wedge \omega^{n-k} = F_k[u_1, u_0, \dots, u_k] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $F_k[u_0, \dots, u_k]$  is symmetric in its arguments if they are in  $\mathcal{P}_k^0(\Omega)$ .

**Lemma 5.** For any  $u_0, u_1 \in \mathcal{P}_k^0(\Omega)$  and any  $v_2, \dots, v_k \in \mathcal{P}_k(\Omega)$ ,

$$F_k[u_0, u_1, v_2, \dots, v_k]^2 \leq F_k[u_0, u_0, v_2, \dots, v_k]F_k[u_1, u_1, v_2, \dots, v_k]. \quad (7)$$

*Proof.* For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , consider the quadratic function

$$\begin{aligned} q(t) &= F_k[u_0 + tu_1, u_0 + tu_1, v_2, \dots, v_k] \\ &= F[u_1, u_1, v_2, \dots, v_k] t^2 + 2F[u_0, u_1, v_2, \dots, v_k] t + F[u_0, u_0, v_2, \dots, v_k]. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} q(t) &= \int_{\Omega} -(u_0 + tu_1)(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u_0 + tu_1) \wedge (\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v_2) \wedge (\sqrt{-1}ddb v_k) \wedge \omega^{n-k} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{-1}\partial(u_0 + tu_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(u_0 + tu_1) \wedge (\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v_2) \wedge (\sqrt{-1}ddb v_k) \wedge \omega^{n-k} \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$F_k[u_0, u_1, v_2, \dots, v_k]^2 \leq F_k[u_0, u_0, v_2, \dots, v_k]F_k[u_1, u_1, v_2, \dots, v_k].$$

□

With Lemma 5, both statements in Theorem 1 will follow from following well known algebraic lemma.

**Lemma 6.** Let  $S$  be a set and  $f$  be a non-negative symmetric function defined on  $S^k = S \times \dots \times S$ . Assume that for any  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in S$ ,

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k)^2 \leq f(x_1, x_1, x_3, \dots, x_k)f(x_2, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k).$$

Then it follows

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)^k \leq \prod_{j=1}^k f(x_j, \dots, x_j).$$

Moreover if  $S$  is a cone in some linear space, and  $f$  is linear in each of its argument, then

$$f(x + y, \dots, x + y)^{1/k} \leq f(x, \dots, x)^{1/k} + f(y, \dots, y)^{1/k}$$

for any  $x$  and  $y$  in  $S$ .

Proof of this lemma can be found in [Hör94].

To prove Theorem 2, we need another simple algebraic lemma for symmetric functions.

**Lemma 7.** Let  $\mu \in \Gamma_k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $S_k(\mu) > 0$ , then there exists  $C$  depending on  $\text{dist}(\mu, \partial\Gamma_k)$  only such that for positive integer  $m < k$  and  $\forall \lambda \in \Gamma_k$

$$S_m(\lambda) \leq C\tilde{S}_k(\lambda, \dots, \lambda, \mu, \dots, \mu),$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  appear  $m$  and  $k - m$  times in  $\tilde{S}_k$  respectively.

*Proof.* Since  $S_k(\mu) > 0$ , so  $\mu$  is in the interior of  $\Gamma_k$ , therefore there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\mu - \varepsilon \mathbf{e} \in \Gamma_k.$$

where  $\mathbf{e} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence by the Gårding's Inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_k^{\frac{1}{k-m}}(\lambda, \dots, \lambda, \mu, \dots, \mu) &\geq \tilde{S}_k^{\frac{1}{k-m}}(\lambda, \dots, \lambda, \mu - \varepsilon \mathbf{e}, \dots, \mu - \varepsilon \mathbf{e}) + \tilde{S}_k^{\frac{1}{k-m}}(\lambda, \dots, \lambda, \varepsilon \mathbf{e}, \dots, \varepsilon \mathbf{e}) \\ &\geq \tilde{S}_k^{\frac{1}{k-m}}(\lambda, \dots, \lambda, \varepsilon \mathbf{e}, \dots, \varepsilon \mathbf{e}) = \varepsilon S_m^{\frac{1}{k-m}}(\lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Therefore

$$S_m(\lambda) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{k-m}} \tilde{S}_k(\lambda, \dots, \lambda, \mu, \dots, \mu).$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Since  $\Omega$  is strong  $k$ -pseudoconvex, it is known [Vin88, Li04] that there exists a unique  $v \in \mathcal{P}_0^k(\Omega)$  such that  $H_k[v] = 1$ . Hence there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  depending on  $v$  only, such that  $\text{Hess}_{\mathbb{C}}(v) - \varepsilon I_n \in \Gamma_k \subset \mathcal{H}(n)$  pointwise in  $\Omega$ , where  $I_n$  is the identity matrix of size  $n$ . Similar to the proof of Lemma 7, there exists  $C$  such that

$$H_m[u] = S_m[\text{Hess}_{\mathbb{C}}(u)] \leq C \tilde{S}_k[\text{Hess}_{\mathbb{C}}(u), \dots, \text{Hess}_{\mathbb{C}}(u), \text{Hess}_{\mathbb{C}}(v), \dots, \text{Hess}_{\mathbb{C}}(v)]$$

where  $\text{Hess}_{\mathbb{C}}(u)$  and  $\text{Hess}_{\mathbb{C}}(v)$  appear  $m$  and  $k - m$  times in  $\tilde{S}_k$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I_m[u] &= \int_{\Omega} (-u) H_m[u] \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} (-u) \tilde{S}_k[\text{Hess}_{\mathbb{C}}(u), \dots, \text{Hess}_{\mathbb{C}}(u), \text{Hess}_{\mathbb{C}}(v), \dots, \text{Hess}_{\mathbb{C}}(v)] \\ &= C F_k[u, \dots, u, v, \dots, v] \\ &\leq C (I_k[u])^{\frac{m+1}{k+1}} (I_k[v])^{\frac{1}{k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$(I_m[u])^{\frac{1}{m+1}} \leq \tilde{C} (I_k[u])^{\frac{1}{k+1}}.$$

□

### 3. REAL HESSIAN INTEGRALS

To prove Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, we only need to show that the functional  $G_k$  is symmetric in its arguments when they vanish on the boundary and the analogous Lemma 5 for  $G_k$ . They both follow from the divergence structure of polarized real Hessian operator.

Let  $A^{(j)}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  be symmetric  $n$  by  $n$  matrices, then the polarized symmetric function  $\tilde{S}_k$  is given by

$$\tilde{S}_k(A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(k)}) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k \\ j_1, \dots, j_k}} \delta_{j_1 \dots j_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k} A_{i_1 j_1}^{(1)} \dots A_{i_k j_k}^{(k)}.$$

where  $\delta_{j_1 \dots j_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k}$  is the generalized Kronecker delta symbol. Denote

$$\tilde{S}_{k-1}^{ij}(A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(k-1)}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial A_{ij}^{(k)}} \tilde{S}_k(A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(k)}),$$

then

$$\tilde{S}_k(A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(k)}) = A_{ij}^{(k)} \tilde{S}_{k-1}^{ij}(A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(k-1)})$$

and

$$\tilde{S}_{k-1}^{ij}(A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(k-1)}) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1} \\ j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}}} \delta_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}} A_{i_1 j_1}^{(1)} \dots A_{i_{k-1} j_{k-1}}^{(k-1)}.$$

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $u_1, \dots, u_{k-1} \in \mathcal{C}^2(D)$ , then for any index  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \tilde{S}_{k-1}^{ij}(\text{Hess}(u_1), \dots, \text{Hess}(u_{k-1})) = 0$$

*Proof.* Denote  $\text{Hess}(u_m) = A^{(m)}$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, k-1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j \frac{\partial \tilde{S}_{k-1}^{ij}}{\partial x_j} &= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1} \\ j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}}} \delta_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}} A_{i_1 j_1}^{(1)} \dots A_{i_{k-1} j_{k-1}}^{(k-1)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1} \\ j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}, j}} \delta_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} A_{i_1 j_1}^{(1)} \dots A_{i_{m-1} j_{m-1}}^{(m-1)} A_{i_m j_m, j}^{(m)} A_{i_{m+1} j_{m+1}}^{(m+1)} \dots A_{i_{k-1} j_{k-1}}^{(k-1)} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Noticed that the  $j_m$  and  $j$  are anti-symmetric in the Kronecker symbol, while symmetric in  $A_{i_m j_m, j}^{(m)}$ , so the sum in equation (9) must be zero.  $\square$

Once the Lemma 8 is proved, then for any  $k$ -convex functions  $v_2, \dots, v_k$ , and  $\mathcal{C}^2$  functions  $u_0$  and  $u_1$  with  $u_0 = u_1$  on  $\partial D$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} G_k[u_0, u_1, v_2, \dots, v_k] &= \int_D -u_0 \tilde{S}_k[(u_1)_{ij}, (v_2)_{ij}, \dots, (v_k)_{ij}] \\ &= \int_D -u_0 (u_1)_{ij} \tilde{S}_{k-1}^{ij} \\ &= \int_D (u_0)_i (u_1)_j \tilde{S}_{k-1}^{ij} \end{aligned}$$

So  $G_k$  is symmetric in its arguments if they vanish on the boundary, and more over

$$G_k[u, u, v_2, \dots, v_k] = \int_D u_i u_j \tilde{S}_{k-1}^{ij} \geq 0$$

for any  $k$ -convex  $v_2, \dots, v_k$ , and  $\mathcal{C}^2$  function  $u$  with  $u = 0$  on  $\partial D$ . Then same argument in the proof of Lemma 5 can be used to show following lemma which implies Theorem 3 and Theorem 4.

**Lemma 9.** *For any  $k$ -convex functions  $v_2, \dots, v_k$ , and any  $\mathcal{C}^2$  functions  $u_0$  and  $u_1$ . If  $u_0 = u_1 = 0$  on  $\partial D$ , then*

$$G_k[u_0, u_1, v_2, \dots, v_k]^2 \leq G_k[u_0, u_0, v_2, \dots, v_k] G_k[u_1, u_1, v_2, \dots, v_k].$$

#### REFERENCES

- [Bak83] Ilya J. Bakelman. Variational problems and elliptic Monge-Ampère equations. *J. Differential Geom.*, 18(4):669–699 (1984), 1983.
- [Blo05] Zbigniew Błocki. Weak solutions to the complex Hessian equation. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 55(5):1735–1756, 2005.
- [CP97] Urban Cegrell and Leif Persson. An energy estimate for the complex Monge-Ampère operator. *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 67(1):95–102, 1997.

- [Hör94] Lars Hörmander. *Notions of convexity*, volume 127 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.
- [Li04] Song-Ying Li. On the Dirichlet problems for symmetric function equations of the eigenvalues of the complex Hessian. *Asian J. Math.*, 8(1):87–106, 2004.
- [Tso90] Kaising Tso. On a real Monge-Ampère functional. *Invent. Math.*, 101(2):425–448, 1990.
- [TW98] Neil S. Trudinger and Xu-Jia Wang. A Poincaré type inequality for Hessian integrals. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 6(4):315–328, 1998.
- [TW02] Neil S. Trudinger and Xu-Jia Wang. Hessian measures. III. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 193(1):1–23, 2002.
- [Vin88] Alvaro Vinacua. Nonlinear elliptic equations and the complex Hessian. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 13(12):1467–1497, 1988.
- [Wan94] Xu Jia Wang. A class of fully nonlinear elliptic equations and related functionals. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 43(1):25–54, 1994.
- [Wan09] Xu Jia Wang. The  $k$ -hessian equation. In *Geometric Analysis and PDEs*, volume 1977 of *Lecture Notes in Math*. Springer, Berlin, 2009.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK, NY 10027  
E-mail address: hou@math.columbia.edu