

# On Grothendieck—Serre’s conjecture concerning principal $G$ -bundles over reductive group schemes:II

Ivan Panin \*

26.04.2013

## Abstract

A proof of Grothendieck–Serre conjecture on principal bundles over a semi-local regular ring containing an infinite field is given in [FP]. That proof is based significantly on Theorem 1.0.1 stated below in the Introduction and proven in the present preprint. Theorem 1.0.1 itself is a consequence of two purity theorems 1.0.2 and 10.0.30 proven below in the present preprint. The geometric part of paper [PSV] and the main result of [C-T/S] are used significantly in proofs of those two purity theorems.

One of that purity result looks as follows. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a semi-local ring of finitely many closed points on a  $k$ -smooth affine scheme, where  $k$  is infinite. Given a smooth  $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme morphism  $\mu : G \rightarrow C$  of reductive  $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes, with a torus  $C$  one can form a functor from  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras to abelian groups  $S \mapsto \mathcal{F}(S) := C(S)/\mu(G(S))$ . Assuming additionally that the kernel  $\ker(\mu)$  of  $\mu$  is a reductive  $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme, we prove that this functor satisfies a purity theorem for  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Examples to mentioned purity results are considered at the very end of the preprint.

## 1 Introduction

Recall [D-G, Exp. XIX, Defn.2.7] that an  $R$ -group scheme  $G$  is called reductive (respectively, semi-simple; respectively, simple), if it is affine and smooth as an  $R$ -scheme and if, moreover, for each ring homomorphism  $s : R \rightarrow \Omega(s)$  to an algebraically closed field  $\Omega(s)$ , its scalar extension  $G_{\Omega(s)}$  is connected and reductive (respectively, semi-simple; respectively, simple) algebraic group over  $\Omega(s)$ . *Stress that all the groups  $G_{\Omega(s)}$  are connected.* The class of reductive group schemes contains the class of semi-simple group schemes which in turn contains the class of simple group schemes. This notion of a simple  $R$ -group scheme coincides with the notion of a simple semi-simple  $R$ -group scheme from Demazure—Grothendieck [D-G, Exp. XIX, Defn. 2.7 and Exp. XXIV, 5.3]. *Throughout*

---

\*The author acknowledges support of the RFFI-project 13-01-00429-a

the paper  $R$  denotes an integral domain and  $G$  denotes a reductive  $R$ -group scheme, unless explicitly stated otherwise.

A well-known conjecture due to J.-P. Serre and A. Grothendieck [Se, Remarque, p.31], [Gr1, Remarque 3, p.26-27], and [Gr2, Remarque 1.11.a] asserts that given a regular local ring  $R$  and its field of fractions  $K$  and given a reductive group scheme  $G$  over  $R$  the map

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G),$$

induced by the inclusion of  $R$  into  $K$ , has trivial kernel.

**Theorem 1.0.1.** *Let  $k$  be an infinite field. Assume that for any irreducible  $k$ -smooth affine variety  $X$  and any finite family of its closed points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  and the semi-local  $k$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_{X, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n}$  and all semi-simple simply connected reductive  $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes  $H$  the pointed set map*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, H) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(k(X), H),$$

induced by the inclusion of  $\mathcal{O}$  into its fraction field  $k(X)$ , has trivial kernel.

Then for any regular semi-local domain  $\mathcal{O}$  of the form  $\mathcal{O}_{X, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n}$  above and any reductive  $R$ -group scheme  $G$  the pointed set map

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G),$$

induced by the inclusion of  $\mathcal{O}$  into its fraction field  $K$ , has trivial kernel.

To state our purity Theorems recall certain notions. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a covariant functor from the category of commutative  $R$ -algebras to the category of abelian groups. For any domain  $R$  consider the sequence

$$\mathcal{F}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{F}(K)/\mathcal{F}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

where  $\mathfrak{p}$  runs over the height 1 primes of  $R$  and  $K$  is the field of fractions of  $R$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies purity for  $R$  if this sequence — which is clearly a complex — is exact. The purity for  $R$  is equivalent to the following property

$$\bigcap_{\text{ht}\mathfrak{p}=1} \text{Im}[\mathcal{F}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K)] = \text{Im}[\mathcal{F}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K)].$$

**Theorem 1.0.2** (Theorem A). *Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a semi-local ring of finitely many closed points on a  $k$ -smooth affine scheme  $X$  with an infinite field  $k$ . Let*

$$\mu : G \rightarrow C$$

be a smooth  $\mathcal{O}$ -morphism of reductive  $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes, with a torus  $C$ . Set  $H = \ker(\mu)$  and suppose additionally that  $H$  is a reductive  $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme. Then the functor

$$\mathcal{F} : S \mapsto C(S)/\mu(G(S))$$

defined on the category of  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras satisfies purity for  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Another functor satisfying purity is described by the formulae (29) in Section 10. The respecting purity result is Theorem 10.0.30.

After the pioneering articles [C-T/P/S] and [R] on purity theorems for algebraic groups, various versions of purity theorems were proved in [C-T/O], [PS], [Z], [Pa]. The most general result in the so called constant case was given in [Z, Exm.3.3]. This result follows now from our Theorem (A) by taking  $G$  to be a  $k$ -rational reductive group,  $C = \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$  and  $\mu : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$  a dominant  $k$ -group morphism. The papers [PS], [Z], [Pa] contain results for the nonconstant case. However they only consider specific examples of algebraic scheme morphisms  $\mu : G \rightarrow C$ .

It seems plausible to expect a purity theorem in the following context. Let  $R$  be a regular local ring. Let  $\mu : G \rightarrow T$  be a smooth of reductive  $R$ -group schemes with an  $R$ -torus  $T$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the covariant functor from the category of commutative rings to the category of abelian groups given by  $S \mapsto T(S)/\mu(G(S))$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  should satisfies purity for  $R$ .

Let us to point out that we use transfers for the functor  $R \mapsto C(R)$ , but we do not use at all the norm principle for the homomorphism  $\mu : G \rightarrow C$ .

The preprint is organized as follows. In Section 2 we construct norm maps following a method from [SuVo, Sect.6]. In Section 3 we recall a geometric Lemma from [PSV] (see Lemma 3.0.4 below). In Section 4 we discuss unramified elements. A key point here is Lemma 4.0.9. In Section 5 we discuss specialization maps. A key point here is Corollary 5.0.15. In Section 6 an equating statement is formulated. In Section 7 a convenient technical tool is introduced. In Section 8 Theorem A is proved. In Section 9 a Theorem B is proved. It extends Theorem A to the case of local regular domains (not to semi-local) containing an infinite perfect field. In Section 10 we consider the functor (29) and prove a purity Theorem 10.0.30 for that functor. In Section 11 Theorems 1.0.1 are proved. Finally in Section 12 we collect several examples illustrating two Purity Theorems.

**Acknowledgments** The author thanks very much M.Ojanguren for useful discussions concerning Theorems A and B obtained in the present preprint. The author thanks very much N.Vavilov and A.Stavrova. Long discussions with them over our joint work [PSV] resulted unexpectedly to me with the present preprint.

## 2 Norms

Let  $k \subset K \subset L$  be field extensions and assume that  $L$  is finite separable over  $K$ . Let  $K^{sep}$  be a separable closure of  $K$  and

$$\sigma_i : K \rightarrow K^{sep}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

the different embeddings of  $K$  into  $L$ . As in §1, let  $C$  be a commutative algebraic group scheme defined over  $k$ . We can define a norm map

$$\mathcal{N}_{L/K} : C(L) \rightarrow C(K)$$

by

$$\mathcal{N}_{L/K}(\alpha) = \prod_i C(\sigma_i)(\alpha) \in C(K^{sep})^{\mathfrak{S}(K)} = C(K).$$

Following Suslin and Voevodsky [SuVo, Sect.6] we generalize this construction to finite flat ring extensions. Let  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite flat morphism of affine schemes. Suppose that its rank is constant, equal to  $d$ . Denote by  $S^d(X/Y)$  the  $d$ -th symmetric power of  $X$  over  $Y$ .

**Lemma 2.0.3.** *There is a canonical section*

$$\mathcal{N}_{X/Y} : Y \rightarrow S^d(X/Y)$$

which satisfies the following three properties:

- (1) *Base change:* for any map  $f : Y' \rightarrow Y$  of affine schemes, putting  $X' = X \times_Y Y'$  we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}_{X'/Y'}} & S^d(X'/Y') \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow S^d(\text{Id}_X \times f) \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}_{X/Y}} & S^d(X/Y) \end{array}$$

- (2) *Additivity:* If  $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y$  and  $f_2 : X_2 \rightarrow Y$  are finite flat morphisms of degree  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  respectively, then, putting  $X = X_1 \amalg X_2$ ,  $f = f_1 \amalg f_2$  and  $d = d_1 + d_2$ , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{d_1}(X_1/Y) \times S^{d_2}(X_2/Y) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & S^d(X/Y) \\ & \swarrow \mathcal{N}_{X_1/Y} \times \mathcal{N}_{X_2/Y} & \nearrow \mathcal{N}_{X/Y} \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the canonical imbedding.

- (3) *Normalization:* If  $X = Y$  and  $p$  is the identity, then  $\mathcal{N}_{X/Y}$  is the identity.

*Proof.* We construct a map  $\mathcal{N}_{X/Y}$  and check that it has the desired properties. Let  $B = k[X]$  and  $A = k[Y]$ , so that  $B$  is a locally free  $A$ -module of finite rank  $d$ . Let  $B^{\otimes d} = B \otimes_A B \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A B$  be the  $d$ -fold tensor product of  $B$  over  $A$ . The permutation group  $\mathfrak{S}_d$  acts on  $B^{\otimes d}$  by permuting the factors. Let  $S_A^d(B) \subseteq B^{\otimes d}$  be the  $A$ -algebra of all the  $\mathfrak{S}_d$ -invariant elements of  $B^{\otimes d}$ . We consider  $B^{\otimes d}$  as an  $S_A^d(B)$ -module through the inclusion  $S_A^d(B) \subseteq B^{\otimes d}$  of  $A$ -algebras. Let  $I$  be the kernel of the canonical homomorphism  $B^{\otimes d} \rightarrow \bigwedge_A^d(B)$  mapping  $b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_d$  to  $b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_d$ . It is well-known (and easily checked

locally on  $A$ ) that  $I$  is generated by all the elements  $x \in B^{\otimes d}$  such that  $\tau(x) = x$  for some transposition  $\tau$ . If  $s$  is in  $S_A^d(B)$ , then  $\tau(sx) = \tau(s)\tau(x) = sx$ , hence  $sx$  is in  $S^d(B)$  too. In other words,  $I$  is an  $S_A^d(B)$ -submodule of  $B^{\otimes d}$ . The induced  $S_A^d(B)$ -module structure on  $\bigwedge_A^d(B)$  defines an  $A$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\varphi : S_A^d(B) \rightarrow \text{End}_A\left(\bigwedge_A^d(B)\right).$$

Since  $B$  is locally free of rank  $d$  over  $A$ ,  $\bigwedge_A^d(B)$  is an invertible  $A$ -module and we can canonically identify  $\text{End}_A(\bigwedge_A^d(B))$  with  $A$ . Thus we have a map

$$\varphi : S_A^d(B) \rightarrow A$$

and we define

$$N_{X/Y} : Y \rightarrow S^d(X/Y)$$

as the morphism of  $Y$ -schemes induced by  $\varphi$ . The verification of properties (1), (2) and (3) is straightforward.  $\square$

Let  $k$  be an infinite field. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the semi-local ring of finitely many points on a smooth affine irreducible  $k$ -variety  $X$ . Let  $C$  be an affine smooth commutative  $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme, Let  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite flat morphism of affine  $\mathcal{O}$ -schemes and  $f : X \rightarrow C$  any  $\mathcal{O}$  morphism. We define the norm  $N_{X/Y}(f)$  of  $f$  as the composite map

$$Y \xrightarrow{N_{X/Y}} S^d(X/Y) \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{O}}^d(X) \xrightarrow{S_{\mathcal{O}}^d(f)} S_{\mathcal{O}}^d(C) \xrightarrow{\times} C \quad (1)$$

Here we write "  $\times$  " for the group law on  $C$ . The norm maps  $N_{X/Y}$  satisfy the following conditions

- (1) Base change: for any map  $f : Y' \rightarrow Y$  of affine schemes, putting  $X' = X \times_Y Y'$  we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X) & \xrightarrow{(id \times f)^*} & C(X') \\ N_{X/Y} \downarrow & & \downarrow N_{X'/Y'} \\ C(Y) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & C(Y') \end{array}$$

- (2) multiplicativity: if  $X = X_1 \amalg X_2$  then the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X) & \xrightarrow{(id \times f)^*} & C(X_1) \times C(X_2) \\ N_{X/Y} \downarrow & & \downarrow N_{X_1/Y} N_{X_2/Y} \\ C(Y) & \xrightarrow{id} & C(Y) \end{array}$$

- (3) normalization: if  $X = Y$  and the map  $X \rightarrow Y$  is the identity then  $N_{X/Y} = id_{C(X)}$ .

### 3 One Lemma

Lemma 3.0.4 below is a refinement of [OP, Lemma 2]. It's proved in [PSV, Lemma 4.3].

**Lemma 3.0.4.** *Let  $k$  be an infinite field, and let  $R$  be a domain which is a semi-local essentially smooth  $k$ -algebra with maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Let  $A \supseteq R[t]$  be another domain, smooth as an  $R$ -algebra and finite over  $R[t]$ . Assume that for each  $i$  the  $R/\mathfrak{p}_i$ -algebra  $A_i = A/\mathfrak{p}_i A$  is equidimensional of dimension one.*

*Let  $\epsilon : A \rightarrow R$  be an  $R$ -augmentation and  $I = \text{Ker}(\epsilon)$ . Given an  $f \in A$  with*

$$0 \neq \epsilon(f) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{p}_i \subset R$$

*and such that the  $R$ -module  $A/fA$  is finite, one can find an element  $u \in A$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1)  $A$  is a finite projective module over  $R[u]$ ;
- (2)  $A/uA = A/I \times A/J$  for some ideal  $J$ ;
- (3)  $J + fA = A$ ;
- (4)  $(u - 1)A + fA = A$ ;
- (5) set  $N(f) = N_{A/R[u]}(f)$ , then  $N(f) = fg \in A$  for some  $g \in A$ ;
- (6)  $fA + gA = A$ ;
- (7) the composition map  $\varphi : R[u]/(N_{A/R[u]}(f)) \rightarrow A/(N_{A/R[u]}(f)) \rightarrow A/(f)$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* See [PSV, Lemma 4.3]. □

**Corollary 3.0.5.** *Under the hypotheses of Lemma 3.0.4 let  $K$  be the field of fractions of  $R$ ,  $A_K = K \otimes_R A$  and  $\epsilon_K = \text{id}_K \otimes \epsilon : A_K \rightarrow K$ . Consider the inclusion  $K[u] \subset A_K$ . Then the norm  $N(f) = N_{A_K/K[u]}(f) \in K[u]$  does not vanish at the points 1 and 0 of the affine line  $\mathbf{A}_K^1$ .*

*Proof.* The condition (4) of 3.0.4 implies that  $N(f)$  does not vanish at the point 1. Since  $\epsilon_K(f) \neq 0 \in K$  the conditions (2) and (3) imply that  $N(f)$  does not vanish at 0 either. □

## 4 Unramified elements

Let  $k$  be an infinite field,  $\mathcal{O}$  be the  $k$ -algebra from Theorem 1.0.2 and  $K$  be the fraction field of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Let  $\mu : G \rightarrow C$  be the morphism of reductive  $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes from Theorem 1.0.2. We work in this section with *the category of commutative  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras*. For a commutative  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra  $S$  set

$$\mathcal{F}(S) = C(S)/\mu(G(S)). \quad (2)$$

Let  $S$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra which is a domain and let  $L$  be its fraction field. Define the *subgroup of  $S$ -unramified elements of  $\mathcal{F}(L)$*  as

$$\mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(L) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(S)^{(1)}} \text{Im}[\mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(L)], \quad (3)$$

where  $\text{Spec}(S)^{(1)}$  is the set of height 1 prime ideals in  $S$ . Obviously the image of  $\mathcal{F}(S)$  in  $\mathcal{F}(L)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(L)$ . In most cases  $\mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$  injects into  $\mathcal{F}(L)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(L)$  is simply the intersection of all  $\mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$ .

For an element  $\alpha \in C(S)$  we will write  $\bar{\alpha}$  for its image in  $\mathcal{F}(S)$ . *In this section we will write  $\mathcal{F}$  for the functor (2), the only exception being Lemma 4.0.10.* We will repeatedly use the following result due to Nisnevich.

**Theorem 4.0.6** ([Ni]). *Let  $S$  be a  $\mathcal{O}$  algebra which is discrete valuation ring with fraction field  $L$ . The map  $\mathcal{F}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(L)$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be the kernel of  $\mu$ . Since  $\mu$  is smooth and  $C$  is a tori, the group scheme sequence

$$1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow C \rightarrow 1$$

gives rise to a short exact sequence of group sheaves in the étale topology. In turn that sequence of sheaves induces a long exact sequence of pointed sets. So, the boundary map  $\partial : C(S) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(S, H)$  fits in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(S)/\mu(G(S)) & \longrightarrow & C(L)/\mu(G(L)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{\text{ét}}^1(S, H) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^1(L, H). \end{array}$$

in which the vertical arrows have trivial kernels. The bottom arrow has trivial kernel by a Theorem from [Ni], since  $H$  is a reductive  $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme. Thus the top arrow has trivial kernel too. □

**Lemma 4.0.7.** *Let  $\mu : G \rightarrow C$  be the above morphism of our reductive group schemes. Let  $H = \ker(\mu)$ . Then for an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra  $L$ , where  $L$  is a field, the boundary map  $\partial : C(L)/\mu(G(L)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(L, H)$  is injective.*

*Proof.* For a  $L$ -rational point  $t \in C$  set  $H_t = \mu^{-1}(t)$ . The action by left multiplication of  $H$  on  $H_t$  makes  $H_t$  into a left principal homogeneous  $H$ -space and moreover  $\partial(t) \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(L, H)$  coincides with the isomorphism class of  $H_t$ . Now suppose that  $s, t \in C(L)$  are such that  $\partial(s) = \partial(t)$ . This means that  $H_t$  and  $H_s$  are isomorphic as principal homogeneous  $H$ -spaces. We must check that for certain  $g \in G(L)$  one has  $t = s\mu(g)$ .

Let  $L^{\text{sep}}$  be a separable closure of  $L$ . Let  $\psi : H_s \rightarrow H_t$  be an isomorphism of left  $H$ -spaces. For any  $r \in H_s(L^{\text{sep}})$  and  $h \in H_s(L^{\text{sep}})$  one has

$$(hr)^{-1}\psi(hr) = r^{-1}h^{-1}h\psi(r) = r^{-1}\psi(r).$$

Thus for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L^{\text{sep}}/L)$  and any  $r \in H_s(L^{\text{sep}})$  one has

$$r^{-1}\psi(r) = (r^\sigma)^{-1}\psi(r^\sigma) = (r^{-1}\psi(r))^\sigma$$

which means that the point  $u = r^{-1}\psi(r)$  is a  $\text{Gal}(L^{\text{sep}}/L)$ -invariant point of  $G(L^{\text{sep}})$ . So  $u \in G(L)$ . The following relation shows that the  $\psi$  coincides with the right multiplication by  $u$ . In fact, for any  $r \in H_s(L^{\text{sep}})$  one has  $\psi(r) = rr^{-1}\psi(r) = ru$ . Since  $\psi$  is the right multiplication by  $u$  one has  $t = s\mu(u)$ , which proves the lemma.  $\square$

Let  $k, \mathcal{O}$  and  $K$  be as above in this Section. Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a field containing  $K$  and  $x : \mathcal{K}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a discrete valuation vanishing on  $K$ . Let  $A_x$  be the valuation ring of  $x$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{O} \subset A_x$ . Let  $\hat{A}_x$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x$  be the completions of  $A_x$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  with respect to  $x$ . Let  $i : \mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{K}}_x$  be the inclusion. By Theorem 4.0.6 the map  $\mathcal{F}(\hat{A}_x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x)$  is injective. We will identify  $\mathcal{F}(\hat{A}_x)$  with its image under this map. Set

$$\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K}) = i_*^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\hat{A}_x)).$$

The inclusion  $A_x \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}$  induces a map  $\mathcal{F}(A_x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$  which is injective by Lemma 4.0.6. So both groups  $\mathcal{F}(A_x)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$  are subgroups of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$ . The following lemma shows that  $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$  coincides with the subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$  consisting of all elements *unramified* at  $x$ .

**Lemma 4.0.8.**  $\mathcal{F}(A_x) = \mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$ .

*Proof.* We only have to check the inclusion  $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(A_x)$ . Let  $a_x \in \mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$  be an element. It determines the elements  $a \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$  and  $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{F}(\hat{A}_x)$  which coincide when regarded as elements of  $\mathcal{F}(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x)$ . We denote this common element in  $\mathcal{F}(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x)$  by  $\hat{a}_x$ . Let  $H = \ker(\mu)$  and let  $\partial : C(-) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(-, H)$  be the boundary map.

Let  $\xi = \partial(a) \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{K}, H)$ ,  $\hat{\xi} = \partial(\hat{a}) \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(\hat{A}_x, H)$  and  $\hat{\xi}_x = \partial(\hat{a}_x) \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x, H)$ . Clearly,  $\hat{\xi}$  and  $\xi$  both coincide with  $\hat{\xi}_x$  when regarded as elements of  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x, H)$ . Thus one can glue  $\xi$  and  $\hat{\xi}$  to get a  $\xi_x \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_x, H)$  which maps to  $\xi$  under the map induced by the inclusion  $A_x \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}$  and maps to  $\hat{\xi}$  under the map induced by the inclusion  $A_x \hookrightarrow \hat{A}_x$ .

We now show that  $\xi_x$  has the form  $\partial(a'_x)$  for a certain  $a'_x \in \mathcal{F}(A_x)$ . In fact, observe that the image  $\zeta$  of  $\xi$  in  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{K}, G)$  is trivial. By Theorem [Ni] the map

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_x, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{K}, G)$$



has trivial kernel. Therefore the image  $\zeta_x$  of  $\xi_x$  in  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_x, G)$  is trivial. Thus there exists an element  $a'_x \in \mathcal{F}(A_x)$  with  $\partial(a'_x) = \xi_x \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_x, H)$ .

We now prove that  $a'_x$  coincides with  $a_x$  in  $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}(A_x)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$  are both subgroups of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$ , it suffices to show that  $a'_x$  coincides with the element  $a$  in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$ . By Lemma 4.0.7 the map

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{K}, H) \quad (4)$$

is injective. Thus it suffices to check that  $\partial(a'_x) = \partial(a)$  in  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{K}, H)$ . This is indeed the case because  $\partial(a'_x) = \xi_x$  and  $\partial(a) = \xi$ , and  $\xi_x$  coincides with  $\xi$  when regarded over  $\mathcal{K}$ . We have proved that  $a'_x$  coincides with  $a_x$  in  $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$ . Thus the inclusion  $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(A_x)$  is proved, whence the lemma.  $\square$

Let  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $K$  be as above in this Section.

**Lemma 4.0.9.** *Let  $A \subset B$  be a finite extension of Dedekind  $K$ -algebras. Let  $0 \neq f \in B$  be such that  $B/fB$  is finite over  $K$  and reduced.*

*Suppose  $N_{B/A}(f) = fg \in B$  for a certain  $g \in B$  coprime with  $f$ . Suppose the composite map  $A/N(f)A \rightarrow B/N(f)B \rightarrow B/fB$  is an isomorphism. Let  $F$  and  $E$  be the field of fractions of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. Let  $\beta \in C(B_f)$  be such that  $\bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{F}(E)$  is  $B$ -unramified. Then, for  $\alpha = N_{E/F}(\beta)$ , the class  $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}(F)$  is  $A$ -unramified.*

*Proof.* The only primes at which  $\bar{\alpha}$  could be ramified are those which divide  $N(f)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be one of them. Check that  $\bar{\alpha}$  is unramified at  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

To do this we consider all primes  $\mathfrak{q}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_n$  in  $B$  lying over  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}_1$  be the unique prime dividing  $f$  and lying over  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then

$$\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}_1} \times \prod_{i \neq 1} \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$$

with  $\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}_1} = \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . If  $F$ ,  $E$  are the fields of fractions of  $A$  and  $B$  then

$$E \otimes \hat{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \hat{E}_{\mathfrak{q}_1} \times \cdots \times \hat{E}_{\mathfrak{q}_n}$$

and  $\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{q}_1} = \hat{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . We will write  $\hat{E}_i$  for  $\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$  and  $\hat{B}_i$  for  $\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ . Let  $\beta \otimes 1 = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in C(\hat{E}_1) \times \cdots \times C(\hat{E}_n)$ . Clearly for  $i \geq 2$   $\beta_i \in C(\hat{B}_i)$  and  $\beta_1 = \mu(\gamma_1)\beta'_1$  with  $\beta'_1 \in C(\hat{B}_1) = C(\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  and  $\gamma_1 \in G(\hat{E}_1) = G(\hat{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Now  $\alpha \otimes 1 \in C(\hat{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  coincides with the product

$$\beta_1 N_{\hat{L}_2/\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\beta_2) \cdots N_{\hat{L}_n/\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\beta_n) = \mu(\gamma_1) [\beta'_1 N_{\hat{L}_2/\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\beta_2) \cdots N_{\hat{L}_n/\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\beta_n)].$$

Thus  $\overline{\alpha \otimes 1} = \overline{\beta'_1 N_{\hat{L}_2/\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\beta_2) \cdots N_{\hat{L}_n/\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\beta_n)} \in \mathcal{F}(\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Let  $i : F \hookrightarrow \hat{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be the inclusion and  $i_* : \mathcal{F}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\hat{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  be the induced map. Clearly  $i_*(\bar{\alpha}) = \overline{\alpha \otimes 1}$  in  $\mathcal{F}(\hat{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Now Lemma 4.0.8 shows that the element  $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}(F)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}(A_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Hence  $\bar{\alpha}$  is  $A$ -unramified.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.0.10** (Unramifiedness Lemma). *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a covariant functor from the category of commutative  $R$ -algebras to the category of abelian groups. Let  $S'$  and  $R'$  be two  $R$ -algebras which are noetherian domains with fields of fractions  $K'$  and  $L'$  respectively. Let  $S' \xrightarrow{i} R'$  be an injective flat  $R$ -algebra homomorphism of finite type and let  $j : K' \rightarrow L'$  be the induced inclusion of the field of fractions. Then for each localization  $R'' \supset R'$  of  $R'$  the map*

$$j_* : \mathcal{F}(K') \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(L')$$

*takes  $S'$ -unramified elements to  $R''$ -unramified elements.*

*Proof.* Let  $v \in \mathcal{F}(K')$  be an  $S'$ -unramified element and let  $\mathfrak{r}$  be height 1 primes of  $R''$ . Then  $\mathfrak{q} = R' \cap \mathfrak{r}$  is a height 1 prime of  $R'$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p} = S' \cap \mathfrak{q}$ . Since the  $S'$ -algebra  $R'$  is flat of finite type one has  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{q}) \geq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$ . Thus  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$  is 1 or 0. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(K') & \xrightarrow{j_*} & \mathcal{F}(L') \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{F}(S'_{\mathfrak{p}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(R''_{\mathfrak{r}}) \end{array}$$

shows that the class  $j_*(v)$  is in the image of  $\mathcal{F}(R''_{\mathfrak{r}})$  and hence the class  $j_*(v) \in \mathcal{F}(L')$  is  $R''$ -unramified. □

## 5 Specialization maps

Let  $k$  be an infinite field,  $\mathcal{O}$  be the  $k$ -algebra from Theorem 1.0.2 and  $K$  be the fraction field of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Let  $\mu : G \rightarrow C$  be the morphism of reductive  $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes from Theorem 1.0.2. We work in this section with *the category of commutative  $K$ -algebras* and with the functor

$$\mathcal{F} : S \mapsto C(S)/\mu(G(S)) \tag{5}$$

defined on the category of  $K$ -algebras. So, we assume in this Section that each ring from this Section is equipped with a distinguished  $K$ -algebra structure and each ring homomorphism from this Section respects that structures. Let  $S$  be an  $K$ -algebra which is a domain and let  $L$  be its fraction field. Define the *subgroup of  $S$ -unramified elements*  $\mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(L)$  of  $\mathcal{F}(L)$  by formulae (3).

For a regular domain  $S$  with the fraction field  $\mathcal{K}$  and each height one prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $S$  we construct **specialization maps**  $s_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K(\mathfrak{p}))$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the field of fractions of  $S$  and  $K(\mathfrak{p})$  is the residue field of  $R$  at the prime  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

**Definition 5.0.11.** *Let  $Ev_{\mathfrak{p}} : C(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow C(K(\mathfrak{p}))$  and  $ev_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K(\mathfrak{p}))$  be the maps induced by the canonical  $K$ -algebra homomorphism  $S_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow K(\mathfrak{p})$ . Define a homomorphism  $s_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K(\mathfrak{p}))$  by  $s_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) = ev_{\mathfrak{p}}(\tilde{\alpha})$ , where  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is a lift of  $\alpha$  to  $\mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Theorem*

4.0.6 shows that the map  $s_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is well-defined. It is called the specialization map. The map  $ev_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is called the evaluation map at the prime  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

Obviously for  $\alpha \in C(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$  one has  $s_{\mathfrak{p}}(\bar{\alpha}) = \overline{Ev_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha)} \in \mathcal{F}(K(\mathfrak{p}))$ .

**Lemma 5.0.12** ([H]). *Let  $H'$  be a smooth linear algebraic group over the field  $K$ . Let  $S$  be a  $K$ -algebra which is a Dedekind domain with field of fractions  $\mathcal{K}$ . If  $\xi \in H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\mathcal{K}, H')$  is an  $S$ -unramified element for the functor  $H_{\acute{e}t}^1(-, H')$  (see (3) for the Definition), then  $\xi$  can be lifted to an element of  $H_{\acute{e}t}^1(S, H')$ .*

*Proof.* Patching. □

**Theorem 5.0.13** ([C-T/O], Prop.2.2). *Let  $G' = G_K$ , where  $G$  is the reductive  $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme from this Section (it is connected and even geometrically connected, since we follow [D-G, Exp. XIX, Defn.2.7]). Then*

$$\ker[H_{\acute{e}t}^1(K[t], G') \rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t}^1(K(t), G')] = * .$$

We need the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.0.14** (Homotopy invariance). *Let  $S \mapsto \mathcal{F}(S)$  be the functor defined by the formulae (5) and let  $\mathcal{F}_{nr, K[t]}(K(t))$  be defined by the formulae (3). Let  $K(t)$  be the rational function field in one variable. Then one has*

$$\mathcal{F}(K) = \mathcal{F}_{nr, K[t]}(K(t)).$$

*Proof.* The injectivity is clear, since the composition

$$\mathcal{F}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{nr, K[t]}(K(t)) \xrightarrow{s_0} \mathcal{F}(K)$$

coincides with the identity (here  $s_0$  is the specialization map at the point zero defined in 4.6).

It remains to check the surjectivity. Let

$$\mu_K = \mu \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K : G_K = G \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K \rightarrow C \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K = C_K.$$

Let  $a \in \mathcal{F}_{nr, K[t]}(K(t))$  and let  $H_K = \ker(\mu_K)$ . Since  $\mu$  is smooth the  $K$ -group  $H_K$  is smooth. Since  $G_K$  is reductive it is  $K$ -affine. Whence  $H_K$  is  $K$ -affine. Clearly, the element  $\partial(a) \in H_{\acute{e}t}^1(K(t), H_K)$  is a class which for every closed point  $x \in \mathbf{A}_K^1$  belongs to the image of  $H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\mathcal{O}_x, H_K)$ . Thus by Lemma 5.0.12,  $\xi := \partial(a)$  can be represented by an element  $\tilde{\xi} \in H_{\acute{e}t}^1(K[t], H_K)$ , where  $K[t]$  is the polynomial ring. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \tilde{a} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\xi} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\zeta} & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(K[t]) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{\acute{e}t}^1(K[t], H_K) & \longrightarrow & H_{\acute{e}t}^1(K[t], G_K) \\ & & \epsilon \downarrow & & \rho \downarrow & & \eta \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(K(t)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{\acute{e}t}^1(K(t), H_K) & \longrightarrow & H_{\acute{e}t}^1(K(t), G_K) \\ & & a & \longrightarrow & \xi & \longrightarrow & * \end{array}$$

in which all the maps are canonical, the horizontal lines are exact sequences of pointed sets and  $\ker(\eta) = *$  by Theorem 5.0.13. Since  $\xi$  goes to the trivial element in  $H_{et}^1(K(t), G_K)$ , one concludes that  $\eta(\tilde{\zeta}) = *$ . Whence  $\tilde{\zeta} = *$  by Theorem 5.0.13. Thus there exists an element  $\tilde{a} \in \mathcal{F}(K[t])$  such that  $\partial(\tilde{a}) = \tilde{\xi}$ . The map  $\mathcal{F}(K(t)) \rightarrow H_{et}^1(K(t), H_K)$  is injective by Lemma 4.0.7. Thus  $\epsilon(\tilde{a}) = a$ . The map  $\mathcal{F}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K[t])$  induced by the inclusion  $K \hookrightarrow K[t]$  is surjective, since the corresponding map  $C(K) \rightarrow C(K[t])$  is an isomorphism. Whence there exists an  $a_0 \in \mathcal{F}(K)$  such that its image in  $\mathcal{F}(K(t))$  coincides with the element  $a$ . □

**Corollary 5.0.15.** *Let  $S \mapsto \mathcal{F}(S)$  be the functor defined in (2). Let*

$$s_0, s_1 : \mathcal{F}_{nr, K[t]}(K(t)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K)$$

*be the specialization maps at zero and at one (at the primes  $(t)$  and  $(t-1)$ ). Then  $s_0 = s_1$ .*

*Proof.* It is an obvious consequence of Theorem 5.0.14. □

## 6 Equating group schemes

The following Proposition is a straightforward analog of [OP, Prop.7.1]

**Proposition 6.0.16.** *Let  $S$  be a regular semi-local irreducible scheme. Let  $\mu_1 : G_1 \rightarrow C_1$  and  $\mu_2 : G_2 \rightarrow C_2$  be two smooth  $S$ -group scheme morphisms with tori  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . Assume as well that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are reductive  $S$ -group schemes which are forms of each other. Assume that  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are forms of each other. Let  $T \subset S$  be a connected non-empty closed sub-scheme of  $S$ , and  $\varphi : G_1|_T \rightarrow G_2|_T$ ,  $\psi : C_1|_T \rightarrow C_2|_T$  be  $S$ -group scheme isomorphisms such that  $(\mu_2|_T) \circ \varphi = \psi \circ (\mu_1|_T)$ . Then there exists a finite étale morphism  $\tilde{S} \xrightarrow{\pi} S$  together with its section  $\delta : T \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  over  $T$  and  $\tilde{S}$ -group scheme isomorphisms  $\Phi : \pi^*G_1 \rightarrow \pi^*G_2$  and  $\Psi : \pi^*C_1 \rightarrow \pi^*C_2$  such that*

$$(i) \quad \delta^*(\Phi) = \varphi,$$

$$(ii) \quad \delta^*(\Psi) = \psi,$$

$$(iii) \quad \pi^*(\mu_2) \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \pi^*(\mu_1) : \pi^*(G_1) \rightarrow \pi^*(C_2).$$

We refer to [PSV, Prop.5.1] for the proof of a slightly weaker statement. The proof of the Proposition can be done in the same style with some additional technicalities.

## 7 Nice triples

We study in the present Section certain packages of geometric data and morphisms of that packages. The concept of "nice triples" is very closed to the one of "standard triples" [Vo, Defn.4.1] and is inspired by the latter one. Let  $k$  be an infinite field,  $X/k$  be a smooth geometrically irreducible variety,  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$  be closed points. Let  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}})$  be the respecting semi-local ring.

**Definition 7.0.17.** Let  $U := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}})$ . A nice triple over  $U$  consists of the following family of data:

- (i) a smooth morphism  $q_U : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  is an irreducible scheme,
- (iii) an element  $f \in \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$
- (ii) a section  $\Delta$  of the morphism  $q_U$ .

These data must satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) each component of each fibre of the morphism  $q_U$  has dimension one,
- (b) the  $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})/f \cdot \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$  is a finite  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U) = \mathcal{O}_{X, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}}$ -module,
- (c) there exists a finite surjective  $U$ -morphism  $\Pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$ ,
- (d)  $\Delta^*(f) \neq 0 \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ .

A morphism of two nice triples  $(\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta') \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, f, \Delta)$  is an étale morphism of  $U$ -schemes  $\theta : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  such that

- (1)  $q'_U = q_U \circ \theta$ ,
- (2)  $f' = \theta^*(f) \cdot g'$  for an element  $g' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})$   
(in particular,  $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})/\theta^*(f) \cdot \Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})$  is a finite  $\mathcal{O}_{X, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}}$ -module ),
- (3)  $\Delta = \theta \circ \Delta'$ .

(Stress that there are no conditions concerning an interaction of  $\Pi'$  and  $\Pi$  ).

**Theorem 7.0.18.** Let  $U$  be as in Definition 7.0.17. Let  $(\mathcal{X}, f, \Delta)$  be a nice triple over  $U$ . Let  $G_{\mathcal{X}}$  be a reductive  $\mathcal{X}$ -group scheme and  $G_U := \Delta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}})$  and  $G_{\text{const}}$  be the pull-back of  $G_U$  to  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $C_{\mathcal{X}}$  be an  $\mathcal{X}$ -tori and  $C_U := \Delta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}})$  and  $C_{\text{const}}$  be the pull-back of  $C_U$  to  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\mu_{\mathcal{X}} : G_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{X}}$  be an  $\mathcal{X}$ -group scheme morphism smooth as a scheme morphism. Let  $\mu_U = \Delta^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}})$  and  $\mu_{\text{const}} : G_{\text{const}} \rightarrow C_{\text{const}}$  be the pull-back of  $\mu_U$  to  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Then there exist a morphism  $\theta : (\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta') \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, f, \Delta)$  of nice triples and isomorphisms

$$\Phi : \theta^*(G_{\text{const}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}}), \quad \Psi : \theta^*(C_{\text{const}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}})$$

of  $\mathcal{X}'$ -group schemes such that  $(\Delta')^*(\Phi) = id_{G_U}$ ,  $(\Delta')^*(\Psi) = id_{C_U}$  and

$$\theta^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}}) \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \theta^*(\mu_{\text{const}}) \tag{6}$$

Basically, this Theorem is a consequence of Proposition 6.0.16.

**Proof of Theorem 7.0.18.** We can start by almost literally repeating arguments from the proof of [OP1, Lemma 8.1], which involve the following purely geometric lemma [OP1, Lemma 8.2].

For reader's convenience below we state that Lemma adapting notation to the ones of Section 7. Namely, let  $U$  be as in Definition 7.0.17 and let  $(\mathcal{X}, f, \Delta)$  be a nice triple over  $U$ . Further, let  $G_{\mathcal{X}}$  be a simple simply-connected  $\mathcal{X}$ -group scheme,  $G_U := \Delta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}})$ , and let  $G_{\text{const}}$  be the pull-back of  $G_U$  to  $\mathcal{X}$ . Finally, by the definition of a nice triple there exists a finite surjective morphism  $\Pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$  of  $U$ -schemes.

**Lemma 7.0.19.** *Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be a closed nonempty sub-scheme of  $\mathcal{X}$ , finite over  $U$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be an open subset of  $\mathcal{X}$  containing  $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(\mathcal{Y}))$ . There exists an open set  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  still containing  $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(\mathcal{Y}))$  and endowed with a finite surjective morphism  $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$  (in general  $\neq \Pi$ ).*

Let  $\Pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$  be the above finite surjective  $U$ -morphism. The following diagram summaries the situation:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{Z} & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{X} - \mathcal{Z} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\Pi} & \mathbf{A}^1 \times U \\ & & \uparrow \Delta \downarrow q_U & & \\ & & U & & \end{array}$$

Here  $\mathcal{Z}$  is the closed sub-scheme defined by the equation  $f = 0$ . By assumption,  $\mathcal{Z}$  is finite over  $U$ . Let  $\mathcal{Y} = \Pi^{-1}(\Pi(\mathcal{Z} \cup \Delta(U)))$ . Since  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $\Delta(U)$  are both finite over  $U$  and since  $\Pi$  is a finite morphism of  $U$ -schemes,  $\mathcal{Y}$  is also finite over  $U$ . Denote by  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  its closed points and let  $S = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, y_1, \dots, y_m})$ . Set  $T = \Delta(U) \subseteq S$ . Further, let  $G_{\mathcal{X}}$ ,  $G_U = \Delta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}})$  and  $G_{\text{const}}$  be as in the hypotheses of Theorem 7.0.18. Let  $C_{\mathcal{X}}$ ,  $C_U = \Delta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}})$  and  $C_{\text{const}}$  be as in the hypotheses of Theorem 7.0.18. Finally, let

$$\varphi : G_{\text{const}}|_T \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{X}}|_T, \quad \psi : C_{\text{const}}|_T \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{X}}|_T$$

be the canonical isomorphisms. Recall that by assumption  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $U$ -smooth, and thus  $S$  is regular.

By Proposition 6.0.16 there exists a finite étale covering  $\theta_0 : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ , a section  $\delta : T \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  of  $\theta_0$  over  $T$  and isomorphisms

$$\Phi_0 : \theta_0^*(G_{\text{const}}|_S) \rightarrow \theta_0^*(G_{\mathcal{X}}|_S), \quad \Psi_0 : \theta_0^*(C_{\text{const}}|_S) \rightarrow \theta_0^*(C_{\mathcal{X}}|_S)$$

such that  $\delta^*\Phi_0 = \varphi$ ,  $\delta^*\Psi_0 = \psi$  and

$$\theta_0^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}}|_S) \circ \Phi_0 = \Psi_0 \circ \theta_0^*(\mu_{\text{const}}|_S) : \theta_0^*(G_{\text{const}}|_S) \rightarrow \theta_0^*(C_{\mathcal{X}}|_S). \quad (7)$$

**Replacing  $\tilde{S}$  with a connected component of  $\tilde{S}$  which contains  $\delta(T) = \delta(\Delta(U))$  we may and will assume that  $\tilde{S}$  is irreducible.** We can extend these data to a neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  and get the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \tilde{S} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \tilde{\mathcal{V}} & & \\ & & \downarrow \theta_0 & & \downarrow \theta & & \\ T & \xrightarrow{\delta} & S & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{V} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{X} \end{array} \quad (8)$$

where  $\theta : \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  finite étale, and isomorphisms

$$\Phi : \theta^*(G_{\text{const}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}}), \quad \Psi : \theta^*(C_{\text{const}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}})$$

such that

$$\theta^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}|\mathcal{V}}) \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \theta^*(\mu_{\text{const}|\mathcal{V}}) \quad (9)$$

(the latter equality holds since the equality (7) holds and  $\mathcal{V}$  is irreducible).

Since  $T$  isomorphically projects onto  $U$ , it is still closed viewed as a sub-scheme of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Note that since  $\mathcal{Y}$  is semi-local and  $\mathcal{V}$  contains all of its closed points,  $\mathcal{V}$  contains  $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(\mathcal{Y})) = \mathcal{Y}$ . By Lemma 7.0.19 there exists an open subset  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  containing  $\mathcal{Y}$  and endowed with a finite surjective  $U$ -morphism  $\Pi^* : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}' = \theta^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$ ,  $f' = \theta^*(f)$ ,  $q'_U = q_U \circ \theta$ , and let  $\Delta' : U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$  be the section of  $q'_U$  obtained as the composition of  $\delta$  with  $\Delta$ . We claim that the triple  $(\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta')$  is a nice triple. Let us verify this. Firstly, the structure morphism  $q'_U : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow U$  coincides with the composition

$$\mathcal{X}' \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{q_U} U.$$

Thus, it is smooth. The element  $f'$  belongs to the ring  $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})$ , the morphism  $\Delta'$  is a section of  $q'_U$ . Each component of each fibre of the morphism  $q_U$  has dimension one, the morphism  $\mathcal{X}' \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is étale. Thus, each component of each fibre of the morphism  $q'_U$  is also of dimension one. Since  $\{f = 0\} \subset \mathcal{W}$  and  $\theta : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  is finite,  $\{f' = 0\}$  is finite over  $\{f = 0\}$  and hence also over  $U$ . In other words, the  $\mathcal{O}$ -module  $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})/f' \cdot \Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})$  is finite. The morphism  $\theta : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  is finite and surjective. We have constructed above the finite surjective morphism  $\Pi^* : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$ . It follows that  $\Pi^* \circ \theta : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$  is finite and surjective.

Clearly, the étale morphism  $\theta : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is a morphism of nice triples, with  $g = 1$ .

Denote the restriction of  $\Phi$  to  $\mathcal{X}'$  simply by  $\Phi$ . The equality  $(\Delta')^*\Phi = id_{G_U}$  holds by the very construction of the isomorphism  $\Phi$ . Denote the restriction of  $\Psi$  to  $\mathcal{X}'$  simply by  $\Psi$ . The equality  $(\Delta')^*\Psi = id_{C_U}$  holds by the very construction of the isomorphism  $\Psi$ . Finally, the equality  $\theta^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}}) \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \theta^*(\mu_{\text{const}})$  follows directly from the equality (9) above. Theorem follows.

## 8 Proof of Theorem (A)

*Proof.* We begin with the following data. Fix a smooth irreducible affine  $k$ -scheme  $X$ , a finite family of **closed** points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r$  on  $X$ , and set  $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_{X, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}}$  and  $U := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ . Replacing  $k$  with its algebraic closure in  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  we may and will assume that  $X$  is  $k$ -smooth and geometrically irreducible. Further, consider the reductive  $U$ -group scheme  $G$ , the  $U$ -tori  $C$  and the smooth  $U$ -group scheme morphism

$$\mu : G \rightarrow C.$$

Let  $K$  be the fraction field of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Let  $\xi_K \in C(K)$  be such that the element  $\bar{\xi}_K \in \mathcal{F}(K)$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -unramified (see (3)).

Shrinking  $X$  if necessary, we may secure the following properties:

(i) The points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r$  are still in  $X$ ;

(ii) There are given a reductive  $X$ -group scheme  $G_X$ , an  $X$ -tori  $C_X$ , a smooth  $X$ -group scheme morphism  $\mu_X : G_X \rightarrow C_X$  such that  $H_X := \ker(\mu_X)$  is a reductive  $X$ -group scheme and  $G = U \times_X G_X$ ,  $C = U \times_X C_X$ ,  $\mu = U \times_X \mu_X$ . In particular, for any  $U$ -scheme  $S$  one has  $G(S) = G_X(S)$ ,  $C(S) = C_X(S)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(S) = \mathcal{F}_X(S)$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_X(S) := C_X(S)/\mu_X(G_X(S))$ .

(iii) The element  $\xi$  is defined over  $X_f$ , that is there is given an element  $\xi \in C_X(k[X]_f)$  for a non-zero function  $f \in k[X]$  such that the image of  $\xi$  in  $C_X(K) = C(K)$  coincides with the element  $\xi_K$ ; we may assume further that  $f$  vanishes at each  $x_i$ 's and the  $k$ -algebra  $k[X]/(f)$  is reduced;

(iv) we may assume also that  $\bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}_X(k[X]_f)$  is  $k[X]$ -unramified for the functor  $\mathcal{F}_X$ ;

Let  $\eta_f : \text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow X_f$  be a morphism induced by the inclusion  $k[X]_f \hookrightarrow k[X] = K$  and let  $\eta : \text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow U$  be a morphism induced by the inclusion  $\mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow K$ . Clearly,  $\xi_K = \eta_f^*(\xi) \in C_X(K) = C(K)$ .

• *Our aim is to find an element  $\xi_U \in C_X(U)$  such that  $\eta^*(\bar{\xi}_U) = \bar{\xi}_K \in \mathcal{F}_X(K)$ .*

We will construct such an element  $\xi_U$  rather explicitly in (14). At the moment right now we are given, in particular, with the smooth geometrically irreducible affine  $k$ -scheme  $X$ , the finite family of points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  on  $X$ , and the non-zero function  $f \in k[X]$  vanishing at each point  $x_i$ . Recall, that beginning with these data a nice triple

$$(q_U : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow U, f, \Delta)$$

is constructed in [PSV, Section 6, (16)], where  $\mathcal{X} = U \times_S X$  for a  $k$ -smooth affine scheme  $S$  and a smooth morphism  $X \rightarrow S$ . It is done shrinking  $X$  and secure properties (i) to (iv) at the same time. Recall that  $q_U : \mathcal{X} = U \times_S X \rightarrow U$  is the projection to  $U$ . Let  $q_X : \mathcal{X} = U \times_S X \rightarrow X$  be the projection to  $X$ .

**Notation 8.0.20.** *Set  $G_X := (q_X)^*(G_X)$  and  $G_{\text{const}} := (q_U)^*(G)$ ,  $C_X := (q_X)^*(C_X)$  and  $C_{\text{const}} := (q_U)^*(C)$ . Set  $\mu_X = q_X^*(\mu_X) : G_X \rightarrow C_X$  and  $\mu_{\text{const}} = q_U^*(\mu_{\text{const}}) : G_{\text{const}} \rightarrow C_{\text{const}}$ .*

By Theorem 7.0.18 there exist a morphism

$$\theta : (\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta') \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, f, \Delta) \tag{10}$$

of nice triples and isomorphisms

$$\Phi : \theta^*(G_{\text{const}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(G_X), \quad \Psi : \theta^*(C_{\text{const}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(C_X)$$

of  $\mathcal{X}'$ -group schemes such that  $(\Delta')^*(\Phi) = \text{id}_{G_U}$ ,  $(\Delta')^*(\Psi) = \text{id}_{C_U}$  and

$$\theta^*(\mu_X) \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \theta^*(\mu_{\text{const}}) \tag{11}$$

**Definition 8.0.21.** *Define two functors  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{const}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_X$  on the category of  $\mathcal{X}'$ -schemes as follows: for an  $\mathcal{X}'$ -scheme  $g : \mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$  set*

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{const}}(\mathcal{Y}') := C_{\text{const}}(\mathcal{Y}')/\mu_{\text{const}}(G_{\text{const}}(\mathcal{Y}')) \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_X(\mathcal{Y}') := C_X(\mathcal{Y}')/\mu_X(G_X(\mathcal{Y}')).$$



Here for the functor  $\mathcal{F}_{const}$  the scheme  $\mathcal{Y}$  is regarded as a  $U$ -scheme via the composition  $q'_U \circ g$  and for the functor  $\mathcal{F}_X$  the scheme  $\mathcal{Y}$  is regarded as an  $X$ -scheme via the composition  $q'_X \circ g$ .

The equality (11) implies that for every  $\mathcal{X}'$ -scheme  $\mathcal{Y}$  **the group isomorphism**  $\Psi_S^C(\mathcal{Y}) : \rho_S^*(C_{const})(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \rho_S^*(C_X)(\mathcal{Y})$  induces a group isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}_{const}(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_X(\mathcal{Y}) \quad (12)$$

Group isomorphisms  $\Psi_S^C(\mathcal{Y})$  form a natural functor transformation of functors defined on the category of  $\mathcal{X}'$ -schemes and  $\mathcal{X}'$ -scheme morphisms. The same holds concerning isomorphisms (12). *We will write below  $\Psi(\mathcal{Y})$  for  $\Psi_S^C(\mathcal{Y})$  to simplify notation.*

If an  $\mathcal{X}'$ -scheme is of the form  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\Delta'} \mathcal{X}'$  then  $\Psi(\mathcal{Y}) = id$ . Indeed  $(\Delta')^*(\Psi) = id_{C_U}$ . Particulary, we have proved the following

**Claim 8.0.22.** *If  $U$  is regarded as an  $\mathcal{X}'$ -scheme via the morphism  $\Delta'$  and  $\text{Spec}(K)$  is regarded as an  $\mathcal{X}'$ -scheme via the morphism  $\Delta' \circ \eta$ , then  $\Psi(U) = id$  and  $\Psi(K) = id$ .*

We are rather closed to a construction of the required element  $\xi_U \in C_X(U)$ . Let  $U = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}})$  be as in Definition 7.0.17. Write  $R$  for  $\mathcal{O}_{X, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}}$ . It is a semi-local essentially smooth  $k$ -algebra with maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}_i$ 's where  $i$  runs from 1 to  $r$ . Let  $(\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta')$  be the nice triple over  $U$  from (10). Show that it gives rise to certain data subjecting the hypotheses of Lemma 3.0.4.

Let  $A = \Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})$ . It is an  $R$ -algebra via the ring homomorphism  $(q'_U)^* : R \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})$ . Moreover this  $R$ -algebra is smooth and  $A$  is a domain. The triple  $(\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta')$  is a nice triple. Thus there exists a finite surjective  $U$ -morphism  $\Pi : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_U^1$ . It induces the respecting  $R$ -algebras inclusion  $R[t] \hookrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}) = A$  such that the  $A$  is finitely generated as an  $R[t]$ -module. For each index  $i$  the  $R/\mathfrak{m}_i$ -algebra  $A/\mathfrak{m}_i A$  is equi-dimensional of dimension one since  $(\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta')$  is a nice triple. Let  $\epsilon = (\Delta')^* : A \rightarrow R$  be an  $R$ -algebra homomorphism induced by the section  $\Delta'$  of the morphism  $q'_U$ . Clearly, that  $\epsilon$  is an augmentation. Further,  $\epsilon(f') \neq 0 \in R$  since  $(\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta')$  is a nice triple. Set  $I = \ker(\epsilon)$ . **Since by [PSV, Section 6, Claim 6.1]  $f'$  vanishes on all closed points of  $\Delta(U)$ , and  $\theta$  is a morphism of nice triples,  $f'$  vanishes on all closed points of  $\Delta'(U)$  as well.** The  $R$ -module  $A/f'A$  is finite since  $(\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta')$  is a nice triple. So, we are under the hypotheses of Lemma 3.0.4. Thus we may use the conclusion of that Lemma.

So, there exists an element  $u \in A$  subjecting properties (1) to (7) from Lemma 3.0.4. The function  $u$  defines a finite morphism  $\pi : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow U \times \mathbf{A}^1$ . Since  $\mathcal{X}'$  and  $\mathcal{Y}' := U \times \mathbf{A}^1$  are regular schemes and  $\pi$  is finite surjective it is finite and flat by a theorem of Grothendieck [E, Cor.18.17]. So, for any  $U$ -map  $t : \mathcal{Y}'' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}'$  of affine  $U$ -schemes, setting  $\mathcal{X}'' = \mathcal{X}' \times_{\mathcal{Y}'} \mathcal{Y}''$  we have the norm map given by (1)

$$N_{\mathcal{X}''/\mathcal{Y}''} : C_{const}(\mathcal{X}'') \rightarrow C_{const}(\mathcal{Y}'').$$

Set  $\mathcal{D} = \text{Spec}(A/J)$  and  $\mathcal{D}_1 = \text{Spec}(A/(u-1)A)$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{D}_1$  is the scheme theoretic pre-image of  $U \times \{1\}$  and the disjoint union  $\mathcal{D}_0 := \mathcal{D} \coprod \delta(U)$  is the scheme theoretic

pre-image of  $U \times \{0\}$  under the morphism  $\pi$ . In particular  $\mathcal{D}$  is finite flat over  $U$ . We will write  $\Delta'$  for  $\Delta'(U)$ .

Consider the element  $\xi \in C_X(k[X]_f)$  chosen above. Set  $\zeta_X = (q_X'')^*(\xi) \in C_X(\mathcal{X}'_{f'})$ . Let  $\zeta \in C_{const}(\mathcal{X}'_{f'})$  be a unique element such that  $\Psi(\mathcal{X}'_{f'}) (\zeta) = \zeta_X$ . Since the function  $f' \in A$  is co-prime to the ideals  $J$  and  $(u-1)A$  one can form the following elements

$$\zeta' = N_{\mathcal{D}_1/U}(\zeta|_{\mathcal{D}_1})N_{\mathcal{D}/U}(\zeta|_{\mathcal{D}})^{-1} \in C_{const}(U) \quad (13)$$

$$\xi_U := \Psi(U)(\zeta') \in C_X(U) \quad (14)$$

**Claim 8.0.23 (Main).** *One has  $\bar{\xi}_K = \eta^*(\bar{\xi}_U) \in \mathcal{F}_X(K)$ , where  $K$  is the fraction field of both  $k[X]$  and  $k[U] = R$ .*

To complete the proof of Theorem A, it remains to prove the Claim. To do this it is convenient to fix some notations. For an  $A$ -module  $M$  set  $M_K = K \otimes_R M$ , for an  $U$ -scheme  $\mathcal{Z}$  set  $\mathcal{Z}_K = \text{Spec}(K) \times_U \mathcal{Z}$ , for a  $U$ -morphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  set  $\varphi_K = \text{Spec}(K) \times_U \varphi$ . Clearly one has  $R_K = K$ ,  $U_K = \text{Spec}(K)$ ,  $\mathcal{X}'_K = \text{Spec}(A_K)$  and  $(U \times \mathbf{A}^1)_K$  is just the affine line  $\mathbf{A}^1_K$ . Its closed subschemes  $U_K \times \{1\}$  and  $U_K \times \{0\}$  coincide with the points 1 and 0 of  $\mathbf{A}^1_K$ . The morphism  $(q'_U)_K : \mathcal{X}'_K \rightarrow U_K = \text{Spec}(K)$  is smooth. In fact, the morphism  $\theta$  is étale and  $q_U : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$  is smooth. The morphism  $\pi_K$  is finite flat and fits in the commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}'_K & \xrightarrow{\pi_K} & \mathbf{A}^1_K \\ & \searrow (q'_U)_K & \swarrow pr_K \\ & \text{Spec}(K) & \end{array} \quad (15)$$

One has  $\mathcal{D}_K = \text{Spec}(A_K/J_K)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{1,K} = \text{Spec}(A_K/(u-1)A_K)$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{D}_{1,K}$  is the scheme theoretic pre-image of the point  $\{1\} \in \mathbf{A}^1_K$  and the disjoint union  $\mathcal{D}_{0,K} := \mathcal{D}_K \amalg \Delta_K$  is the scheme theoretic pre-image of the point  $\{0\} \in \mathbf{A}^1_K$  under the morphism  $\pi_K$ .

Let  $\zeta_K$  be the pull-back of  $\zeta$  under the natural map  $\mathcal{X}'_{f',K} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_{f'}$ . Let  $\zeta'_K$  be the pull-back of  $\zeta'$  under the above map  $\eta : \text{Spec}(K) = U_K \rightarrow U$ , that is  $\zeta'_K := \eta^*(\zeta')$ . By the base change property of the norm map (1) one has

$$\eta^*(\zeta') = \zeta'_K = N_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}})N_{\mathcal{D}_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_K})^{-1} \in C_{const}(K) \quad (16)$$

Set

$$\zeta'' = N_{K(\mathcal{X}'_K)/K(\mathbf{A}^1)}(\zeta_K) \in C_{const}(K(\mathbf{A}^1)) = C_{const}(K(u)). \quad (17)$$

To prove Claim 8.0.23 we need the following one:

**Claim 8.0.24.** *The class  $\bar{\zeta}'' \in \mathcal{F}_{const}(K(u))$  is  $K[u]$ -unramified for the functor  $\mathcal{F}_{const}$ .*

Prove now this Claim. Let  $\zeta_{X,K} \in C_X(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K})$  be the pull-back of  $\zeta_X \in C_X(\mathcal{X}'_{f'})$  under the natural morphism  $\mathcal{X}'_{f',K} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_{f'}$ .

Consider the composition morphism of schemes  $r : \mathcal{X}'_K \rightarrow \mathcal{X}' \xrightarrow{q'_X} X$ . It induces a rational function field inclusion  $K \hookrightarrow K(\mathcal{X}'_K)$  (stress that it does not coincides with the one induced by the projection  $q'_{U,K} : \mathcal{X}'_K \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$ ). It's easy to check that for each closed point  $y \in \mathcal{X}'_K$  its image  $r(y) \in X$  has either height 1 or 0 (codimension 1 or 0). The family of commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_X(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'_K, y}) & \xleftarrow{r^*} & \mathcal{F}_X(\mathcal{O}_{X, r(y)}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}_X(K(\mathcal{X}'_K)) & \xleftarrow{r^*} & \mathcal{F}_X(K). \end{array} \quad (18)$$

shows that the map  $r^* : \mathcal{F}_X(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_X(K(\mathcal{X}'_K))$  takes  $X$ -unramified elements to  $\mathcal{X}'_K$ -unramified elements for the functor  $\mathcal{F}_X$ . Since the class  $\bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}_X(k[X]_{\mathfrak{f}})$  is  $k[X]$ -unramified, the element  $\bar{\zeta}_{X,K} = r^*(\bar{\xi}) \in \mathcal{F}_X(K(\mathcal{X}'_K))$  is  $\mathcal{X}'_K$ -unramified.

Clearly,  $\Psi(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K})(\bar{\zeta}_K) = \bar{\zeta}_{X,K} \in \mathcal{F}_X(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K})$ . The functor isomorphism (12) shows that the element  $\bar{\zeta}_K \in \mathcal{F}_{const}(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K})$  is  $\mathcal{X}'_K$ -unramified for the functor  $\mathcal{F}_{const}$ .

Now check that the inclusion of  $K$ -algebras  $K[u] \subset A_K = K[\mathcal{X}'_K]$  and the function  $f' \in A$  satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 4.0.9. We first check that the  $K$ -algebra  $A_K/f'A_K$  is reduced. Recall that the ring  $k[X]/(\mathfrak{f})$  is reduced. As we mentioned above, the morphism  $q'_X : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow X$  is essentially smooth, hence the ring  $A/(f')$  is reduced too and thus its localization  $A_K/f'A_K$  is reduced. Since the extension  $K[u] \subset A_K = K[\mathcal{X}'_K]$  and the functions  $f' \in A$  satisfy the conditions (5) to (7) of Lemma 3.0.4 they also satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 4.0.9 for the functor  $\mathcal{F}_{const}$  from equality (12). Thus by Lemma 4.0.9 the class  $\bar{\zeta}''$  is  $K[u]$ -unramified for the functor  $\mathcal{F}_{const}$ . This implies the Claim 8.0.24.

Continue the proof of Claim 8.0.23. By Claim 8.0.24 we can apply the specialization maps to  $\bar{\zeta}''$ . By Corollary 5.0.15 the specializations at 0 and 1 of the element  $\bar{\zeta}''$  coincide, that is

$$s_1(\bar{\zeta}'') = s_0(\bar{\zeta}'') \in \mathcal{F}_{const}(K). \quad (19)$$

By Corollary 3.0.5 the function  $N_{A_K/K[u]}(f) \in K[u]$  does not vanish as at 1, so at 0 and by the condition (5) of Lemma 3.0.4 one has  $\zeta'' \in C_{const}(K[u]_{N(f)})$ . Using the relation between specialization and evaluation maps described in Definition 5.0.11 one has a chain of relations

$$\overline{Ev_1(\zeta'')} = s_1(\zeta'') = s_0(\zeta'') = \overline{Ev_0(\zeta'')} \in \mathcal{F}_{const}(K). \quad (20)$$

Base change and the multiplicativity properties of the norm map (1) imply relations

$$Ev_1(\zeta'') = N_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}}) \quad (21)$$

and

$$Ev_0(\zeta'') = N_{\mathcal{D}_K \amalg \Delta'_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_K \amalg \Delta'_K}) = N_{\mathcal{D}_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_K}) N_{\Delta'_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\Delta'_K}) \quad (22)$$

So, we have a chain of relations in  $\mathcal{F}_{const}(K)$

$$\overline{N_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}})} = \overline{Ev_1(\zeta'')} = \overline{Ev_0(\zeta'')} = \overline{N_{\mathcal{D}_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_K}) \cdot N_{\Delta'_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\Delta'_K})} \quad (23)$$

By the normalization property of the norm map one has

$$N_{\Delta'_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\Delta'_K}) = (\Delta'_K)^*(\zeta_K) \quad (24)$$

**Claim 8.0.25.**  $(\Delta'_K)^*(\zeta_K) = \Psi(K)^{-1}(\xi_K) \in C_{const}(K)$ .

To prove this Claim consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_{const}(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K}) & \xrightarrow{(\Delta'_K)^*} & \mathcal{F}_{const}(K) \\ \Psi(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi(K) \\ \mathcal{F}_X(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K}) & \xrightarrow{(\Delta'_K)^*} & \mathcal{F}_X(K) \end{array} \quad (25)$$

induced by the morphism  $\Delta'_K : \text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_{f',K}$  and the functor isomorphism (12) (note that  $\Delta'_K : \text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_{f',K}$  is well-defined, since  $(\Delta')^*(f') = f \neq 0 \in k[U] = R$ ). Recall that  $\zeta_{X,K} \in C_X(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K})$  is the pull-back of  $\zeta_X \in C_X(\mathcal{X}'_{f'})$  under the natural morphism  $\mathcal{X}'_{f',K} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_{f'}$ . It follows from the definitions that

$$(\Delta'_K)^*(\zeta_{X,K}) = \eta_f^*(\xi) = \xi_K \in C_X(K) = C(K). \quad (26)$$

Clearly,  $\Psi(\mathcal{X}'_{f',K})(\zeta_K) = \zeta_{X,K}$ . Recall that  $\Psi(K) = id$  by the Claim 8.0.22. The commutativity of the diagram (25) and the equality  $\Psi(K) = id$  completes the proof of the Claim 8.0.25.

By Claim 8.0.25 and relations (24), (23) we have a chain of relations in  $\mathcal{F}_{const}(K)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(K)^{-1}(\bar{\xi}_K) &= (\Delta'_K)^*(\bar{\zeta}_K) = \overline{(\Delta'_K)^*(\zeta_K)} = \overline{N_{\Delta'_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\Delta'_K})} = \\ &= \overline{N_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}})} \cdot \overline{(N_{\mathcal{D}_K/U_K}(\zeta_K|_{\mathcal{D}_K}))^{-1}} = \eta^*(\bar{\zeta}'). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (14) we get

$$\bar{\xi}_K = \Psi_S^C(K)(\eta^*(\bar{\zeta}')) = \eta^*(\Psi_S^C(U)(\bar{\zeta}')) = \eta^*(\bar{\xi}_U) \in \mathcal{F}_X(K).$$

Whence the Claim 8.0.23. The proof of **the Theorem A** is completed. □

## 9 An extension of Theorem A

The main aim of the present Section is to prove the following result

**Theorem 9.0.26** (Theorem B). *Let  $R$  be a regular local domain containing an infinite perfect field  $k$ . Let*

$$\mu : G \rightarrow C$$

*be a smooth  $R$ -group scheme morphism of reductive  $R$ -group schemes, with a torus  $C$ . Set  $H = \ker(\mu)$  and suppose additionally that  $H$  is a reductive  $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme. The functor*

$$\mathcal{F} : S \mapsto C(S)/\mu(G(S))$$

*defined on the category of  $R$ -algebras satisfies purity for  $R$ .*

*Proof.* To prove Theorem B we now recall a celebrated result of Dorin Popescu (see [P] or, for a self-contained proof, [Sw]).

Let  $k$  be a field and  $R$  a local  $k$ -algebra. We say that  $R$  is *geometrically regular* if  $k' \otimes_k R$  is regular for any finite extension  $k'$  of  $k$ . A ring homomorphism  $A \rightarrow R$  is called *geometrically regular* if it is flat and for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $R$  lying over  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $R_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{q}} = k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A R_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is geometrically regular over  $k(\mathfrak{p}) = A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

Observe that any regular local ring containing a **perfect** field  $k$  is geometrically regular over  $k$ .

**Theorem 9.0.27** (Popescu's theorem). *A homomorphism  $A \rightarrow R$  of noetherian rings is geometrically regular if and only if  $R$  is a filtered direct limit of smooth  $A$ -algebras.*

*Proof of Theorem B.* Let  $R$  be a regular local ring containing an infinite **perfect** field  $k$ . Since  $k$  is perfect one can apply Popescu's theorem. So,  $R$  can be presented as a filtered direct limit of smooth  $k$ -algebras  $A_{\alpha}$  over the infinite field  $k$ . We first observe that we may replace the direct system of the  $A_{\alpha}$ 's by a system of essentially smooth local  $k$ -algebras. In fact, if  $\mathfrak{m}$  are all maximal ideal of  $R$  and  $S = R - \mathfrak{m}$  we can replace each  $A_{\alpha}$  by  $(A_{\alpha})_{S_{\alpha}}$ , where  $S_{\alpha} = S \cap A_{\alpha}$ . Note that in this case the canonical morphisms  $\varphi_{\alpha} : A_{\alpha} \rightarrow R$  are local (sends the maximal ideal to the maximal one) and every  $A_{\alpha}$  is a regular local ring, in particular a factorial ring.

Let now  $L$  be the field of fractions of  $R$  and, for each  $\alpha$ , let  $K_{\alpha}$  be the field of fractions of  $A_{\alpha}$ . For each index  $\alpha$  let  $\mathfrak{a}_{\alpha}$  be the kernel of the map  $\varphi_{\alpha} : A_{\alpha} \rightarrow R$  and  $B_{\alpha} = (A_{\alpha})_{\mathfrak{a}_{\alpha}}$ . Clearly, for each  $\alpha$ ,  $K_{\alpha}$  is the field of fractions of  $B_{\alpha}$ . The composition map  $A_{\alpha} \rightarrow R \rightarrow L$  factors through  $B_{\alpha}$  and hence it also factors through the residue field  $k_{\alpha}$  of  $B_{\alpha}$ . Since  $R$  is a filtering direct limit of the  $A_{\alpha}$ 's we see that  $L$  is a filtering direct limit of the  $B_{\alpha}$ 's. We will write  $\psi_{\alpha}$  for the canonical morphism  $B_{\alpha} \rightarrow L$ .

Let  $\xi \in C(L)$  be such that the class  $\bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}(L)$  is  $R$ -unramified. We need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 9.0.28.** *Let  $B$  be a regular local ring and let  $K$  be its field of fractions. Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal of  $B$  and  $\bar{B} = B/\mathfrak{m}$ . For an element  $\theta \in \mathcal{F}(B)$  write  $\bar{\theta}$  for its image in  $\mathcal{F}(\bar{B})$  and  $\theta_K$  for its image in  $\mathcal{F}(K)$ . Let  $\eta, \rho \in \mathcal{F}(B)$  be such that  $\eta_K = \rho_K \in \mathcal{F}(K)$ . Then  $\bar{\eta} = \bar{\rho} \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{B})$ .*

**Lemma 9.0.29.** *There exists an index  $\alpha$  and an element  $\xi_{\alpha} \in C(B_{\alpha})$  such that  $\psi_{\alpha}(\xi_{\alpha}) = \xi$  and the class  $\bar{\xi}_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}(K_{\alpha})$  is  $A_{\alpha}$ -unramified.*

Assuming these two Lemmas we complete the proof as follows. Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A_{\alpha} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\alpha}} & R & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 B_{\alpha} & \longrightarrow & k_{\alpha} & \longrightarrow & L \\
 \downarrow & & & & \\
 K_{\alpha} & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

By Lemma 9.0.29 the class  $\bar{\xi}_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(K_\alpha)$  is  $A_\alpha$ -unramified. Hence by Theorem A there exists an element  $\eta \in C(A_\alpha)$  such that  $\bar{\xi}_\alpha = \bar{\eta} \in \mathcal{F}(K_\alpha)$ . By Lemma 9.0.28 the elements  $\bar{\xi}_\alpha$  and  $\bar{\eta}$  have the same image in  $\mathcal{F}(k_\alpha)$ . Hence  $\bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}(L)$  coincides with the image of the element  $\varphi_\alpha(\bar{\eta})$  in  $\mathcal{F}(L)$ . It remains to prove the two Lemmas.

*Proof of Lemma 9.0.28.* Induction on  $\dim(B)$ . The case of dimension 1 follows from Theorem 4.0.6 applied to the local ring  $B$ . To prove the general case choose an  $f \in B$  such that  $\eta = \rho \in \mathcal{F}(B_f)$ . Let  $\pi \in B$  be such that  $\pi$  is a regular parameter in  $B$ , having no common factors with  $f$ . Let  $B' = B/\pi B$ . Then for the image  $(\eta - \rho)'$  of  $\eta - \rho$  in  $\mathcal{F}(B')$  we have  $(\eta - \rho)'_f = 0 \in \mathcal{F}(B'_f)$ . By the inductive hypotheses one has  $(\eta - \rho)' = 0 \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{B})$ . Since  $\overline{(\eta - \rho)} = \overline{(\eta - \rho)'} \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{B})$ , one has  $\bar{\eta} = \bar{\rho} \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{B})$ .

*Proof of Lemma 9.0.29.*

Choose an  $f \in R$  such that  $\xi$  is defined over  $R_f$ . Then  $\xi$  is ramified at most at those high one primes  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$  which contains  $f$ . Since the class  $\bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}(L)$  is  $R$ -unramified there exists, for any  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ , an element  $\sigma_i \in G(L)$  and an element  $\xi_i \in C(R_{\mathfrak{p}_i})$  such that  $\xi = \mu(\sigma_i)\xi_i \in C(L)$ . We may assume that  $\xi_i$  is defined over  $R_{h_i}$  for some  $h_i \in R - \mathfrak{p}_i$  and that  $\sigma_i$  is defined over  $R_{g_i}$  for some  $g_i \in R$ .

We can find an index  $\alpha$  such that  $A_\alpha$  contains lifts  $f_\alpha, h_{1,\alpha}, \dots, h_{r,\alpha}, g_{1,\alpha}, \dots, g_{r,\alpha}$  and moreover

- (1)  $C(A_{\alpha, f_\alpha})$  contains a lift  $\xi_\alpha$  of  $\xi$ ,
- (2)  $C(A_{\alpha, h_{i,\alpha}})$  contains a lift of  $\xi_{i,\alpha}$  of  $\xi_i$ ,
- (3)  $G(A_{\alpha, g_{i,\alpha}})$  contains a lift of  $\sigma_{i,\alpha}$  of  $\sigma_i$ .

Since none of the  $f_\alpha, h_{1,\alpha}, \dots, h_{r,\alpha}, g_{1,\alpha}, \dots, g_{r,\alpha}$  vanishes in  $R$ , the elements  $\xi_\alpha, \xi_{1,\alpha}, \dots, \xi_{r,\alpha}$  and  $\sigma_{1,\alpha}, \dots, \sigma_{r,\alpha}$  may be regarded as elements of  $C(B_\alpha)$  and  $G(B_\alpha)$  respectively.

We know that  $\xi_{i,\alpha}\mu(\sigma_{i,\alpha})$  and  $\xi_\alpha$  map to the same element in  $C(L)$ . Hence replacing  $\alpha$  by a larger index, we may assume that  $\xi_\alpha = \xi_{i,\alpha}\mu(\sigma_{i,\alpha}) \in C(B_\alpha)$ . We claim that the class  $\bar{\xi}_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(K_\alpha)$  is  $A_\alpha$ -unramified. To prove this note that the only primes at which  $\bar{\xi}_\alpha$  could be ramified are those which divide  $f_\alpha$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}_\alpha$  be one of them. Check that  $\bar{\xi}_\alpha$  is unramified at  $\mathfrak{q}_\alpha$ . Let  $q_\alpha \in A_\alpha$  be a prime element such that  $q_\alpha A_\alpha = \mathfrak{q}_\alpha$ . Then  $q_\alpha r_\alpha = f_\alpha$  for an element  $r_\alpha$ . Thus  $qr = f \in R$  for the images of  $q_\alpha$  and  $r_\alpha$  in  $R$ . Since the homomorphism  $\varphi_\alpha : A_\alpha \rightarrow R$  is local,  $q \in \mathfrak{m}_R$ . The relation  $qr = f$  shows that  $q \in \mathfrak{p}_i$  for some index  $i$ . Thus  $q_\alpha \in \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_i)$  and  $\mathfrak{q}_\alpha \subset \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_i)$ . On the other hand  $h_{i,\alpha} \in A_\alpha - \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_i)$ , because  $h_i \in R - \mathfrak{p}_i$ . Thus  $h_{i,\alpha} \in A_\alpha - \mathfrak{q}_\alpha$ . Now the relation  $\xi_\alpha = \xi_{i,\alpha}\mu(\sigma_{i,\alpha}) \in C(B_\alpha)$  with  $\xi_{i,\alpha} \in C(A_{\alpha, h_{i,\alpha}})$  shows that  $\bar{\xi}_\alpha$  is unramified at  $\mathfrak{q}_\alpha$ . Thus  $\bar{\xi}_\alpha$  is unramified at each high one prime in  $A_\alpha$  containing  $f_\alpha$ . Since  $\xi_\alpha \in C(A_{\alpha, f_\alpha})$  we conclude that  $\bar{\xi}_\alpha$  is  $A_\alpha$ -unramified. The lemma follows. The theorem is proved. □

## 10 One more purity result

In this Section we prove another purity theorem for reductive group schemes. Let  $k$  be an infinite field. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the semi-local ring of finitely many **closed** points on a  $k$ -smooth

irreducible  $k$ -variety  $X$  and let  $K$  be its field of fractions of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Let  $G$  be a semi-simple  $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme. Let  $i : Z \hookrightarrow G$  be a closed subgroup scheme of the center  $Cent(G)$ . **It is known that  $Z$  is of multiplicative type.** Let  $G' = G/Z$  be the factor group,  $\pi : G \rightarrow G'$  be the projection. It is known that  $\pi$  is finite surjective and strictly flat. Thus the sequence of  $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes

$$\{1\} \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{\pi} G' \rightarrow \{1\} \quad (27)$$

induces an exact sequence of group sheaves in fppt-topology. Thus for every  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra  $R$  the sequence (27) gives rise to a boundary operator

$$\delta_{\pi,R} : G'(R) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^1(R, Z) \quad (28)$$

One can check that it is a group homomorphism (compare [Se, Ch.II, §5.6, Cor.2]). Set

$$\mathcal{F}(R) = H_{\text{fttp}}^1(R, Z)/Im(\delta_{\pi,R}). \quad (29)$$

Clearly we get a functor on the category of  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras.

**Theorem 10.0.30.** *The functor  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies purity for the ring  $\mathcal{O}$  above. If  $K$  is the fraction field of  $\mathcal{O}$  this statement can be restated in an explicit way as follows: given an element  $\xi \in H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, Z)$  suppose that for each height 1 prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $\mathcal{O}$  there exists  $\xi_{\mathfrak{p}} \in H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, Z)$ ,  $g_{\mathfrak{p}} \in G'(K)$  with  $\xi = \xi_{\mathfrak{p}} + \delta_{\pi}(g_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, Z)$ . Then there exists  $\xi_{\mathfrak{m}} \in H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, Z)$ ,  $g_{\mathfrak{m}} \in G'(K)$ , such that*

$$\xi = \xi_{\mathfrak{m}} + \delta_{\pi}(g_{\mathfrak{m}}) \in H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, Z).$$

*Proof.* The group  $Z$  is of multiplicative type. So we can find a finite étale  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra  $A$  and a closed embedding  $Z \hookrightarrow R_{A/\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,A})$  into the permutation torus  $T^+ = R_{A/\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,A})$ . Let  $G^+ = (G \times T^+)/Z$  and  $T = T^+/Z$ , where  $Z$  is embedded in  $G \times T^+$  diagonally. Clearly  $G^+/G = T$ . Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & \{1\} & & \{1\} & & \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
& & G' & \xrightarrow{id} & G' & & \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
\{1\} & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{j^+} & G^+ & \xrightarrow{\mu^+} & T \longrightarrow \{1\} \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow id \\
\{1\} & \longrightarrow & Z & \xrightarrow{j} & T^+ & \xrightarrow{\mu} & T \longrightarrow \{1\} \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
& & \{1\} & & \{1\} & & 
\end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns. By 11.0.31 and Hilbert 90 for the semi-local  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra  $A$  one has  $H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,A}) = \{*\}$ . So, the latter diagram gives rise to a commutative diagram of pointed sets

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G') & \xrightarrow{id} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G') \\
& & & & \uparrow \pi_* & & \uparrow \pi_*^+ \\
G^+(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{O}}^+} & T(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{O}}^+} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G) & \xrightarrow{j_*^+} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G^+) \\
\uparrow i_*^+ & & \uparrow id & & \uparrow i_* & & \uparrow i_*^+ \\
T^+(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{O}}} & T(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{O}}} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, Z) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \{*\} \\
& & & & \uparrow \delta_{\pi} & & \\
& & & & G'(\mathcal{O}) & & 
\end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns. It follows that  $\pi_*^+$  has trivial kernel and one has a chain of group isomorphisms

$$H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, Z)/\text{Im}(\delta_{\pi, \mathcal{O}}) = \ker(\pi_*) = \ker(j_*^+) = T(\mathcal{O})/\mu^+(G^+(\mathcal{O})).$$

Clearly these isomorphisms respect  $\mathcal{O}$ -homomorphisms of semi-local  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras.

The morphism  $\mu^+ : G^+ \rightarrow T$  is a smooth  $\mathcal{O}$ -morphism of reductive  $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes, with the torus  $T$ . The kernel  $\ker(\mu^+)$  is equal to  $G$  and  $G$  is a reductive  $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme. The functor  $\mathcal{O}' \mapsto T(\mathcal{O}')/\mu^+(G^+(\mathcal{O}'))$  satisfies purity for the regular semi-local  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}$  by Theorem (A). Hence the functor  $\mathcal{O}' \mapsto H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}', Z)/\text{Im}(\delta_{\pi, \mathcal{O}'})$  satisfies purity for  $\mathcal{O}$ .  $\square$

## 11 Proof of Theorem 1.0.1

*Proof of Theorem 1.0.1 for the case of semi-simple reductive group scheme.* Let  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $G$  be the same as in Theorem 1.0.1 and assume additionally that  $G$  is semi-simple. We need to prove that

$$\ker[H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G)] = *. \quad (30)$$

Let  $G^{sc}$  be the corresponding simply-connected semi-simple  $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme and let  $\pi : G^{sc} \rightarrow G$  be the corresponding  $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme morphism. Let  $Z = \ker(\pi)$ . It is known that  $Z$  is contained in the center  $\text{Cent}(G^{sc})$  of  $G^{sc}$  and  $Z$  is a finite group scheme of multiplicative type. It is known that  $G = G^{sc}/Z$  and  $\pi$  is finite surjective and strictly flat. Thus the sequence of  $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes

$$\{1\} \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{i} G^{sc} \xrightarrow{\pi} G \rightarrow \{1\}, \quad (31)$$

gives rise to an exact sequence of pointed sets

$$H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, Z)/\partial(G(\mathcal{O})) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G^{sc}) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^2(\mathcal{O}, Z) \quad (32)$$



By the Theorem 10.0.30 the functor

$$\mathcal{F}(R) = H_{\text{fppt}}^1(R, Z)/\text{Im}(\delta_{\pi, R}) = H_{\text{fppt}}^1(R, Z)/\partial(G(R)).$$

satisfies purity for the ring  $\mathcal{O}$ . The following result is known (see [Gr3, Thm.11.7])

**Lemma 11.0.31.** *Let  $R$  be a noetherian ring. Then for a reductive  $R$ -group scheme  $H$  and for  $n = 0, 1$  the canonical map  $H_{\text{ét}}^n(R, H) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^n(R, H)$  is a bijection of pointed sets. For a  $R$ -tori  $T$  and for each integer  $n \geq 0$  the canonical map  $H_{\text{ét}}^n(R, T) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^n(R, T)$  is an isomorphism.*

**Lemma 11.0.32.** *For the ring  $\mathcal{O}$  above one has  $\ker[H_{\text{fppt}}^2(\mathcal{O}, Z) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^2(K, Z)] = *$ .*

*Proof.* In fact, consider the closed embedding  $Z \hookrightarrow R_{A/\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{G}_m, A)$  into the permutation torus  $T^+ = R_{A/\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{G}_m, A)$  from Theorem 10.0.30. Set  $T = T^+/Z$ . The short sequence of  $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes  $1 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow T^+ \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1$  gives rise to a short exact sequence of group sheaves in the fppt-topology. That sequence in turn gives rise to a long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, T^+) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, T) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{\text{fppt}}^2(\mathcal{O}, Z) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^2(\mathcal{O}, T^+) \quad (33)$$

Firstly note that  $H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(A, \mathbb{G}_{m, A}) = 0$ , since  $A$  is semi-local. Secondly note that  $H_{\text{fppt}}^2(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = H_{\text{ét}}^2(A, \mathbb{G}_{m, A})$ . The map  $H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, T) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, T)$  is injective by Lemma 11.0.31 and [C-T/S]. The homomorphism  $H_{\text{fppt}}^2(\mathcal{O}, T^+) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^2(K, T^+)$  coincides with the map  $H_{\text{ét}}^2(A, \mathbb{G}_{m, A}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K, \mathbb{G}_{m, A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K})$ . The latter map is injective, since  $A$  is regular semi-local of geometric type (see [Gr2, ??]). Now a diagram chase completes the proof of the Lemma.  $\square$

Continue the proof of the equality (30). We have the exact sequence of pointed sets

$$H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, Z)/\partial(G(\mathcal{O})) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G^{sc}) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^2(\mathcal{O}, Z) \quad (34)$$

and furthermore a commutative diagram with exact arrows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, Z)/\partial(G(\mathcal{O})) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G^{sc}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{\text{fppt}}^2(\mathcal{O}, Z) \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \delta \\ H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, Z)/\partial(G(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})) & \xrightarrow{i'_*} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, G^{sc}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, G) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{\text{fppt}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, Z) \\ \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_{\mathfrak{p}} & & \downarrow \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} & & \downarrow \delta_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, Z)/\partial(G(K)) & \xrightarrow{i''_*} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, G^{sc}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, G) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, Z) \end{array} \quad (35)$$

Here  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$  is a hight one prime ideal in  $\mathcal{O}$ . The maps  $i_*$ ,  $i'_*$  and  $i''_*$  are injective (compare ([Se, Ch.I, Sect.5, Prop.39 and Cor.1 of Prop.40])). Set  $\alpha_K = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \alpha$ ,  $\beta_K = \beta_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \beta$ ,  $\gamma_K = \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \gamma$ ,  $\delta_K = \delta_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \delta$ . By a theorem of Nisnevich [Ni] and Lemma 11.0.31 one has

$$\ker(\beta_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \ker(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}) = * . \quad (36)$$

Thus  $\ker(\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}) = *$ . By the assumptions of Theorem 1.0.1 and by Lemma 11.0.31 one has

$$\ker[H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G^{sc}) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, G^{sc})] = * . \quad (37)$$

By Lemma 11.0.32 the map  $\delta_K$  is injective. As mentioned right above Lemma 11.0.31 the functor  $\mathcal{F}(R) = H_{\text{fppt}}^1(R, Z)/\partial(G(R))$  satisfies purity for the ring  $\mathcal{O}$ . Now we are ready to make a diagram chase.

Let  $\xi \in \ker(\gamma_K)$ , then  $\partial(\xi) \in \ker(\delta_K)$ . By [C-T/S] one has  $\ker(\delta_K) = *$ , whence  $\partial(\xi) = *$  and  $\xi = \pi_*(\zeta)$  for an  $\zeta \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G^{sc})$ . Since  $\gamma_K(\xi) = *$  and  $\ker(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}) = *$  we see that  $\gamma(\xi) = *$ . Thus  $\pi_*(\beta(\zeta)) = *$  and  $\beta(\zeta) = i'_*(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}})$  for an  $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}} \in H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, Z)/\partial(G(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ . A diagram chase shows that there exists a unique element  $\epsilon_K \in H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, Z)/\partial(G(K))$  such that for each hight one prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\mathcal{O}$  one has

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \epsilon_K \in H_{\text{fppt}}^1(K, Z)/\partial(G(K)).$$

By Purity Theorem 10.0.30 there exists an element  $\epsilon \in H_{\text{fppt}}^1(\mathcal{O}, Z)/\partial(G(\mathcal{O}))$  such that  $\alpha_K(\epsilon) = \epsilon_K$ . The element  $\epsilon_K$  has the property that  $i''_*(\epsilon_K) = \beta_K(\zeta)$ . Whence  $\beta_K(i_*(\epsilon)) = \beta_K(\zeta)$ . The map  $\beta_K : H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G^{sc}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G^{sc})$  is injective since by the hypotheses of Theorem 1.0.1 such a map has trivial kernel for all semi-simple simply-connected reductive  $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes. Whence  $i_*(\epsilon) = \zeta$  and  $\xi = i_*(\pi_*(\epsilon)) = *$ . The semi-simple case of Theorem 1.0.1 is proved.  $\square$

**Claim 11.0.33.** *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.0.1 for all semi-simple reductive  $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme  $G$  the map  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G)$  is injective.*

In fact, let  $\xi, \zeta \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G)$  be two elements such that its images  $\xi_K, \zeta_K$  in  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G)$  are equal. Let  ${}_{\xi}G, {}_{\zeta}G$  be the corresponding principal  $G$ -bundles over  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $G(\zeta)$  be the inner form of the  $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme  $G$  corresponding to  $\zeta$ . The  $\mathcal{O}$ -scheme  $\underline{Iso}({}_{\xi}G, {}_{\zeta}G)$  is a principal  $G(\zeta)$ -bundle over  $\mathcal{O}$ , which is trivial over  $K$ . Since  $G(\zeta)$  is semi-simple reductive over  $\mathcal{O}$ , the  $\mathcal{O}$ -scheme  $\underline{Iso}({}_{\xi}G, {}_{\zeta}G)$  has an  $\mathcal{O}$ -point. Whence the Claim.

*Proof of Theorem 1.0.1.* Let  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $G$  be the same as in Theorem 1.0.1. Consider a short sequence of reductive  $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes

$$\{1\} \rightarrow G_{\text{der}} \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{\mu} C \rightarrow \{1\}, \quad (38)$$

where  $G_{\text{der}}$  is the derived  $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme of  $G$  and  $C = \text{corad}(G)$  be a tori over  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mu = f_0$  (see [D-G, Exp.XXII, Thm.6.2.1]). By that Theorem the morphism  $\mu$  is smooth and its kernel is the reductive  $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme  $G_{\text{der}}$ . Moreover  $G_{\text{der}}$  is a semi-simple  $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme. By Claim 11.0.33 the map

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G_{\text{der}}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G_{\text{der}}) \quad (39)$$

is injective. We need to prove that

$$\ker[H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G)] = * . \quad (40)$$

The sequence (38) of  $\mathcal{O}$ -group schemes gives a short exact sequence of the corresponding sheaves in the étale topology on the big étale site. That sequence of sheaves gives rise to a commutative diagram with exact arrows of pointed sets

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\{1\} & \longrightarrow & C(\mathcal{O})/\mu(G(\mathcal{O})) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G_{\text{der}}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G) \xrightarrow{\mu} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, C) \\
& & \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma & \downarrow \delta \\
\{1\} & \longrightarrow & C(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})/\mu(G(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, G_{\text{der}}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, G) \xrightarrow{\mu} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, C) \\
& & \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_{\mathfrak{p}} & & \downarrow \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} & \downarrow \delta_{\mathfrak{p}} \\
\{1\} & \longrightarrow & C(K)/\mu(G(K)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G_{\text{der}}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G) \xrightarrow{\mu} H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, C)
\end{array} \tag{41}$$

Here  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$  is a hight one prime ideal in  $\mathcal{O}$ . Set  $\alpha_K = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \alpha$ ,  $\beta_K = \beta_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \beta$ ,  $\gamma_K = \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \gamma$ ,  $\delta_K = \delta_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \delta$ . By a theorem of Nisnevich [Ni] one has

$$\ker(\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \ker(\beta_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \ker(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}) = * \tag{42}$$

Let  $\xi \in \ker(\gamma_K)$ , then  $\mu(\xi) \in \ker(\delta_K)$ . By [C-T/S] one has  $\ker(\delta_K) = *$ , whence  $\mu(\xi) = *$  and  $\xi = i_*(\zeta)$  for an  $\zeta \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G_{\text{der}})$ . Since  $\gamma_K(\xi) = *$  and  $\ker(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}) = *$  we see that  $\gamma(\xi) = *$ . Whence  $i_*(\beta(\zeta)) = *$  and  $\beta(\zeta) = \partial(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}})$  for an  $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}} \in C(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})/\mu(G(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ . A diagram chase AND Lemma 4.0.7 show that there exists a unique element  $\epsilon_K \in C(K)/\mu(G(K))$  such that for each hight one prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\mathcal{O}$  one has  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \epsilon_K \in C(K)/\mu(G(K))$ . By Purity Theorem (Theorem 1.0.2) there exists an element  $\epsilon \in C(\mathcal{O})/\mu(G(\mathcal{O}))$  such that  $\alpha_K(\epsilon) = \epsilon_K$ . The element  $\epsilon_K$  has the property that  $\partial(\epsilon_K) = \beta_K(\zeta)$ . Whence  $\beta_K(\partial(\epsilon)) = \beta_K(\zeta)$ . The map  $\beta_K$  is injective as indicated in the beginning of the proof. Whence  $\partial(\epsilon) = \zeta$  and  $\xi = i_*(\partial(\epsilon)) = *$ . The proof of Theorem 1.0.1 is completed.  $\square$

## 12 Examples

We follow here the notation of The Book of Involutions [KMRT]. The field  $k$  is a characteristic zero field. The functors (43) to (57) satisfy purity for regular local rings containing  $k$  as follows either from Theorem 10.0.30 or from Theorem (A).

- (1) Let  $G$  be a simple algebraic group over the field  $k$ ,  $Z$  a central subgroup,  $G' = G/Z$ ,  $\pi : G \rightarrow G'$  the canonical morphism. For any  $k$ -algebra  $A$  let  $\delta_{\pi, R} : G'(R) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, Z)$  be the boundary operator. One has a functor

$$R \mapsto H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, Z)/\text{Im}(\delta_{\pi, R}). \tag{43}$$

- (2) Let  $(A, \sigma)$  be a finite separable  $k$ -algebra with an orthogonal involution. Let  $\pi : \text{Spin}(A, \sigma) \rightarrow \text{PGO}^+(A, \sigma)$  be the canonical morphism of the spinor  $k$ -group scheme

to the projective orthogonal  $k$ -group scheme. Let  $Z = \ker(\pi)$ . For a  $k$ -algebra  $R$  let  $\delta_R : \text{PGO}^+(A, \sigma)(R) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, Z)$  be the boundary operator. One has a functor

$$R \mapsto H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, Z)/\text{Im}(\delta_R). \quad (44)$$

In (2a) and (2b) below we describe this functor somewhat more explicitly following [KMRT].

- (2a) Let  $C(A, \sigma)$  be the Clifford algebra. Its center  $l$  is an étale quadratic  $k$ -algebra. Assume that  $\deg(A)$  is divisible by 4. Let  $\Omega(A, \sigma)$  be the extended Clifford group [KMRT, Definition given just below (13.19)]. Let  $\underline{\sigma}$  be the canonical involution of  $C(A, \sigma)$  as it is described in [KMRT, just above (8.11)]. Then  $\underline{\sigma}$  is either orthogonal or symplectic by [KMRT, Prop.8.12]. Let  $\underline{\mu} : \Omega(A, \sigma) \rightarrow R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$  be the multiplier map defined in [KMRT, just above (13.25)] by  $\underline{\mu}(\omega) = \underline{\sigma}(\omega) \cdot \omega$ . Set  $R_l = R \otimes_k l$ . For a field or a local ring  $R$  one has  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, Z)/\text{Im}(\delta_R) = R_l^\times / \underline{\mu}(\Omega(A, \sigma)(R))$  by [KMRT, the diagram in (13.32)]. Consider the functor

$$R \mapsto R_l^\times / \underline{\mu}(\Omega(A, \sigma)(R)). \quad (45)$$

It coincides with the functor  $R \mapsto H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, Z)/\text{Im}(\delta_R)$  on local rings containing  $k$ .

- (2b) Now let  $\deg(A) = 2m$  with odd  $m$ . Let  $\tau : l \rightarrow l$  be the involution of  $l/k$ . The kernel of the morphism  $R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l}) \xrightarrow{id-\tau} R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$  coincides with  $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ . Thus  $id - \tau$  induces a  $k$ -group scheme morphism which we denote  $\overline{id - \tau} : R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})/\mathbb{G}_{m,k} \hookrightarrow R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$ . Let  $\underline{\mu} : \Omega(A, \sigma) \rightarrow R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$  be the multiplier map defined in [KMRT, just above (13.25)] by  $\underline{\mu}(\omega) = \underline{\sigma}(\omega) \cdot \omega$ . Let  $\kappa : \Omega(A, \sigma) \rightarrow R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})/\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$  be the  $k$ -group scheme morphism described in [KMRT, Prop.13.21]. The composition  $(id - \tau) \circ \kappa$  lands in  $R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$ . Let  $U \subset \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \times R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$  be a closed  $k$ -subgroup consisting of all  $(\alpha, z)$  such that  $\alpha^4 = N_{l/k}(z)$ .

Set  $\mu_* = (\underline{\mu}, \overline{(id - \tau) \circ \kappa} \cdot \underline{\mu}^2) : \Omega(A, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \times R_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})$ . This  $k$ -group scheme morphism lands in  $U$ . So we get a  $k$ -group scheme morphism  $\mu_* : \Omega(A, \sigma) \rightarrow U$ . On the level of  $k$ -rational points it coincides with the one described in [KMRT, just above (13.35)]. For a field or a local ring one has

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, Z)/\text{Im}(\delta_R) = U(R)/[\{(N_{l/k}(\alpha), \alpha^4) | \alpha \in R_l^\times\} \cdot \mu_*(\Omega(A, \sigma)(R))].$$

Consider the the functor

$$R \mapsto U(R)/[\{(N_{l/k}(\alpha), \alpha^4) | \alpha \in R_l^\times\} \cdot \mu_*(\Omega(A, \sigma)(R))]. \quad (46)$$

It coincides with the functor  $R \mapsto H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, Z)/\text{Im}(\delta_R)$  on local rings containing  $k$ .

- (3) Let  $\Gamma(A, \sigma)$  be the Clifford group  $k$ -scheme of  $(A, \sigma)$ . Let  $\text{Sn} : \Gamma(A, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$  be the spinor norm map. It is dominant. Consider the functor

$$R \mapsto R^\times / \text{Sn}(\Gamma(A, \sigma)(R)). \quad (47)$$

Purity for this functor was originally proved in [Z, Thm.3.1]. In fact,  $\Gamma(A, \sigma)$  is  $k$ -rational.

- (4) We follow here the Book of Involutions [KMRT, §23]. Let  $A$  be a separable finite dimensional  $k$ -algebra with center  $l$  and  $k$ -involution  $\sigma$  such that  $k$  coincides with all  $\sigma$ -invariant elements of  $l$ , that is  $k = l^\sigma$ . Consider the  $k$ -group schemes of similitudes of  $(A, \sigma)$ :

$$\mathrm{Sim}(A, \sigma)(R) = \{a \in A_R^\times \mid a \cdot \sigma_R(a) \in l_K^\times\}.$$

We have a  $k$ -group scheme morphism  $\mu : \mathrm{Sim}(A, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ ,  $a \mapsto a \cdot \sigma(a)$ . It gives an exact sequence of algebraic  $k$ -groups

$$\{1\} \rightarrow \mathrm{Iso}(A, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sim}(A, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \rightarrow \{1\}.$$

One has a the functor

$$R \mapsto R^\times / \mu(\mathrm{Sim}(A, \sigma)(R)). \quad (48)$$

Purity for this functor was originally proved in [Pa, Thm.1.2]. Various particular cases are obtained considering unitary, symplectic and orthogonal involutions.

- (4a) In the case of an orthogonal involution  $\sigma$  the connected component  $\mathrm{GO}^+(A, \sigma)$  [KMRT, (12.24)] of the similitude  $k$ -group scheme  $\mathrm{GO}(A, \sigma) := \mathrm{Sim}(A, \sigma)$  has the index two in  $\mathrm{GO}(A, \sigma)$ . The restriction of  $\mu$  to  $\mathrm{GO}^+(A, \sigma)$  is still a dominant morphism to  $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ . One has a functor

$$R \mapsto R^\times / \mu(\mathrm{GO}^+(A, \sigma)(R)) \quad (49)$$

It seems that its purity does not follow from [Pa, Thm.1.2]. In fact we do not know whether the norm principle holds for  $\mu : \mathrm{GO}^+(A, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$  or not.

- (5) Let  $A$  be a central simple algebra (csa) over  $k$  and  $\mathrm{Nrd} : \mathrm{GL}_{1,A} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$  the reduced norm morphism. One has a functor

$$R \mapsto R^\times / \mathrm{Nrd}(\mathrm{GL}_{1,A}(R)). \quad (50)$$

Purity for this functor was originally proved in [C-T/O, Thm.5.2].

- (6) Let  $(A, \sigma)$  be a finite separable  $k$ -algebra with a unitary involution such that its center  $l$  is a quadratic extension of  $k$ . Let  $U(A, \sigma)$  be the unitary  $k$ -group scheme. Let  $U_l(1)$  be an algebraic tori given by  $N_{l/k} = 1$ . One has a functor

$$R \mapsto U_l(1)(R) / \mathrm{Nrd}(U_{A,\sigma}(R)) = \{\alpha \in R_l^\times \mid N_{l/k}(\alpha) = 1\} / \mathrm{Nrd}(U_{A,\sigma}(R)) \quad (51)$$

where  $\mathrm{Nrd}$  is the reduced norm map. Purity for this functor was originally proved in [Z, Thm.3.3].

- (7) With the notation of example (5) choose an integer  $d$  and consider the  $k$ -group scheme morphism  $\mu : \mathrm{GL}_{1,A} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$  given by  $(\alpha, a) \mapsto \mathrm{Nrd}(\alpha) \cdot a^d$ . One has a functor

$$R \mapsto R^\times / \mu[\mathrm{GL}_{1,A} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,k}(R)] = R^\times / \mathrm{Nrd}(A_R^\times) \cdot R^{\times d} \quad (52)$$

Purity for this functor was originally proved in [Z, Thm.3.2].

- (8) With the notation of example (5) choose an integer  $d$  and consider the functor

$$R \mapsto U_l(1)(R)/[\text{Nrd}(U_{A,\sigma}(R)) \cdot \{\alpha \in R_l^\times \mid N_{l/k}(\alpha) = 1\}^d] \quad (53)$$

Purity for this functor was originally proved in [Z, Thm.3.2].

- (9) Let  $G_1, G_2, C$  be affine  $k$ -group schemes. Assume that  $C$  is commutative and let  $\mu_1 : G_1 \rightarrow C$ ,  $\mu_2 : G_2 \rightarrow C$  be  $k$ -group scheme morphisms and  $\mu : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow C$  be given by  $\mu(g_1, g_2) = \mu_1(g_1) \cdot \mu_2(g_2)$ . One has a functor

$$R \mapsto C(R)/\mu[(G_1 \times G_2)(R)] = C(R)/[\mu_1(G_1(R)) \cdot \mu_2(G_2(R))] \quad (54)$$

In this style one could get a lot of curious examples of functors, one of which is given here.

- (10) Let  $(A_1, \sigma_1)$  be a finite separable  $k$ -algebra with an orthogonal involution. Let  $A_2$  be a csa over  $k$ . Let  $\mu_1$  be the multiplier map for  $(A_1, \sigma_1)$  and  $\text{Nrd}_2$  be the reduced norm for  $A_2$ . One has a functor

$$R \mapsto R^\times / [\mu_1(\text{GO}^+(A_1, \sigma_1)(R)) \cdot \text{Nrd}_2(A_{2,R}^\times) \cdot R^{\times d}]. \quad (55)$$

- (10) Let  $A$  be a csa of degree 3 over  $k$ ,  $\text{Nrd}$  the reduced norm and  $\text{Trd}$  be the reduced trace. Consider the cubic form on the 27-dimensional  $k$ -vector space  $A \times A \times A$  given by  $N := \text{Nrd}(x) + \text{Nrd}(y) + \text{Nrd}(z) - \text{Trd}(xyz)$ . Let  $\text{Iso}(A, N)$  be the  $k$ -group scheme of isometries of  $N$  and  $\text{Sim}(N)$  be the  $k$ -group scheme of similitudes of  $N$ . It is known that  $\text{Iso}(N)$  is a normal algebraic subgroup in  $\text{Sim}(A, N)$  and the factor group coincides with  $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ . So we have a canonical  $k$ -group morphism (the multiplier)  $\mu : \text{Sim}(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ . Now one has a functor

$$R \mapsto R^\times / \mu(\text{Sim}(N)(R)). \quad (56)$$

Note that the connected component of  $\text{Iso}(N)$  is a simply connected algebraic  $k$ -group of the type  $E_6$ .

- (11) Let  $(A, \sigma)$  be a csa of degree 8 over  $k$  with a symplectic involution. Let  $V \subset A$  be the subspace of all skew-symmetric elements. It is of dimension 28. Let  $\text{Pfd}$  be the reduced Pfaffian on  $V$  and  $\text{Trd}$  be the reduced trace on  $A$ . Consider the degree 4 form on the space  $V \times V$  given by  $F := \text{Pfr}(x) + \text{Pfr}(y) - 1/4\text{Trd}((xy)^2) - 1/16\text{Trd}(xy)^2$ . Consider the symplectic form on  $V \times V$  given by  $\phi((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \text{Trd}(x_1y_2 - x_2y_1)$ . Let  $\text{Iso}(F)$  (resp.  $\text{Iso}(\phi)$ ) be the  $k$ -group scheme of isometries of the pair  $F$  (resp. of  $\phi$ ). Let  $\text{Sim}(F)$  (resp.  $\text{Sim}(\phi)$ ) be the  $k$ -group scheme of similitudes of  $F$  (resp. of  $\phi$ ). Set  $G = \text{Iso}(F) \cap \text{Iso}(\phi)$  and  $G^+ = \text{Sim}(F) \cap \text{Sim}(\phi)$ . It is known that  $G$  is a normal algebraic subgroup in  $G^+$  and the factor group coincides with  $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ . So we have a canonical  $k$ -group morphism  $\mu : G^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ . Now one has a functor

$$R \mapsto R^\times / \mu(G^+(R)). \quad (57)$$

Note that  $G$  is a simply-connected group of the type  $E_7$ .

## References

- [A] *Artin, M.* Comparaison avec la cohomologie classique: cas d'un préschéma lisse. Lect. Notes Math., vol. 305, Exp XI.
- [C-T/O] *Colliot-Thélène, J.-L.; Ojanguren, M.* Espaces Principaux Homogènes Localement Triviaux, Publ. Math. IHES 75, no. 2, 97–122 (1992).
- [C-T/P/S] *Colliot-Thélène, J.-L.; Parimala, R.; Sridaran, R.* Un théorème de pureté local, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 309, ser.I, 857–862 (1989).
- [C-T/S] *Colliot-Thélène, J.-L.; Sansuc, J.-J.* Principal homogeneous spaces under flasque tori: Applications, Journal of Algebra, 106 (1987), 148–205.
- [Ch] *V. Chernousov*, Variations on a theme of groups splitting by a quadratic extension and Grothendieck-Serre conjecture for group schemes  $F_4$  with trivial  $g_3$  invariant, Preprint Server: Linear Algebraic Groups and Related Structures, 354 (2009).
- [ChP] *V. Chernousov, I. Panin* Purity of  $G_2$ -torsors. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 345 (2007), no. 6, 307–312.
- [D-G] *Demazure, Grothendieck.* Structure des schémas en groupes réductifs. Lect. Notes Math., vol 153.
- [E] *Eisenbud, D.* Commutative Algebra, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 150, Springer (1994).
- [FP] *Fedorov, R.; Panin, I.* A proof of Grothendieck–Serre conjecture on principal bundles over a semilocal regular ring containing an infinite field, Preprint, 2012, <http://www.arxiv.org/abs/1211.2678v2>.
- [Gr1] *Grothendieck, A.* Torsion homologique et section rationnelles, in *Anneaux de Chow et applications*, Séminaire Chevalley, 2-e année, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1958.
- [Gr2] *Grothendieck, A.* Le group de Brauer II, in *Dix exposés sur la cohomologie de schémas*, Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1968.
- [Gr3] *Grothendieck, A.* Le group de Brauer III: Exemples et compléments, in *Dix exposés sur la cohomologie de schémas*, Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1968.
- [KMRT] *Knus, M.A.; Merkurjev, A.S.; Rost, M.; Tignol, J.-P.*, The Book of Involutions, Colloquium Publication, no.44, AMS, 1998.
- [H] *Harder, G.* Halbeinfache Gruppenschemata über Dedekindringen, Inventiones Math. 4, 165–191 (1967).

- [Ni] *Nisnevich, Y.* Rationally Trivial Principal Homogeneous Spaces and Arithmetic of Reductive Group Schemes Over Dedekind Rings, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Série I, 299, no. 1, 5–8 (1984).
- [Oj] *Ojanguren, M.* Quadratic forms over regular rings, J. Indian Math. Soc., 44, 109–116 (1980).
- [OP] *Ojanguren, M; Panin, I.* A purity theorem for the Witt group. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 32, no. 1, 71–86 (1999).
- [OP1] *Ojanguren, M; Panin, I.* Rationally trivial hermitian spaces are locally trivial. Math. Z. 237 (2001), 181–198.
- [OPZ] *Ojanguren, M; Panin, I.; Zainoulline, K.* On the norm principle for quadratic forms. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 19, No.4 (2004) 1–12.
- [PS] *Panin, I.; Suslin, A.* On a conjecture of Grothendieck concerning Azumaya algebras, St.Petersburg Math. J., 9, no.4, 851–858 (1998).
- [PSV] *Panin, I.; Stavrova A.; Vavilov, N.* On Grothendieck—Serre’s conjecture concerning principal  $G$ -bundles over reductive group schemes I, Preprint, April, 2013, <http://www.arxiv.org/0905.1418v3>
- [P] *Popescu, D.* General Néron desingularization and approximation, Nagoya Math. Journal, 104 (1986), 85–115.
- [Pa] *Panin, I.* Purity for multipliers, in Proceedings of the Silver Jubilee Conference University of Hyderabad (Ed. R.Tandon) Algebra and Number Theory, pp.66–89
- [PaPS] *Panin, I.; Petrov, V.; Stavrova, A.* On Grothendieck–Serre’s for simple adjoint group schemes of types  $E_6$  and  $E_7$ . Preprint (2009), <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/>
- [R1] *Raghunathan, M.S.* Principal bundles admitting a rational section. (English) Invent. Math. 116, No.1–3, 409–423 (1994).
- [R2] *Raghunathan, M.S.* Erratum: Principal bundles admitting a rational section. (English) Invent. Math. 121, No.1, 223 (1995).
- [RR] *Raghunathan, M.S.; Ramanathan, A.* Principal bundles on the affine line. (English) Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Math. Sci. 93, 137–145 (1984).
- [R] *Rost, M.* Durch Normengruppen definierte birationale Invarianten. C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 10, ser.I, 189–192 (1990).
- [Q] *Quillen, D.* Higher algebraic  $K$ -theory I, in Algebraic K-Theory I. Lect. Notes in Math. 341, Springer-Verlag, 1973.



- [Se] *Serre, J.-P.* Espaces fibrés algébriques, in *Anneaux de Chow et applications*, Séminaire Chevalley, 2-e année, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1958.
- [SuVo] *Suslin, A.; Voevodsky, V.* Singular homology of abstract algebraic varieties. *Invent. Math.* 123 (1996), no. 1, 61–94.
- [Sw] *Swan, R.G.* Néron-Popescu desingularization, *Algebra and Geometry (Taipei, 1995)*, Lect. Algebra Geom. Vol. 2, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1998, pp. 135–192.
- [Z] *Zainoulline, K.* The Purity Problem for Functors with Transfers, *K-theory*, 22 (2001), no.4, 303-333.
- [Vo] *Voevodsky, V.* Cohomological theory of presheaves with transfers. In *Cycles, Transfers, and Motivic Homology Theories*. Ann. Math. Studies, 2000, Princeton University Pres.