The melting curve of Sodium: a theoretical approach

Jozsef Garai

Department of Mechanical and Materials Engineering, Florida International University, Miami, USA

Electronic mail: jozsef.garai@fiu.edu

New model describing the pressure effect on the melting temperature is proposed by using four assumptions. One, the average wavelength of the phonon vibration at the Debye temperature corresponds to the length of the unit cell. Two, the phonon vibration at the melting temperature is in self-resonance with the lattice vibration of the surface atomic/molecular layer. Three, the phonon wavelength ratio of the Debye and the melting temperature does not be affected by the pressure. Four the pressure reduces the anharmonic part of the vibration. The relevant equations are derived and tested against the experiments of sodium with positive result.

Alkali metals have a simple structure and exhibit nearly free electron behavior at low pressure; however, the behavior of these metals become quite complex at high pressure¹⁻⁶. The same behavior pattern is true for melting. At low pressure the reported melting curves of Bridgman⁷ fit well to the monotonically increasing Simon equation⁸:

$$\frac{\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{m}} - \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{0}}}{\mathrm{a}} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{0}}}\right)^{\mathrm{c}} - 1 \tag{1}$$

where T_0 and p_0 are coordinates of the triple point and a and c are constants characteristics of the substance. At higher pressures Eq. (1) does not fit to experiments because the melting curves exhibit a maximum. The maximum for Rubidium⁹ is at 5 GP and 553 K. Cesium exhibits two maximums¹⁰, Cs I at 2.25 GPa and 464 K and Cs II at 4.72 GPa and 363 K. The melting curve of Sodium shows similar characteristics^{11, 12} and exhibit a maximum in the bbc phase at 31 GPa and 1000 K. At higher pressures the curve steeply decreases after the maximum.

In order to describe this unusual behavior of the melting curve of alkali metals Kechin¹³ proposed to add a dumping function to the Simon equation as:

$$T_{\rm m} = F(p)D(p). \tag{2}$$

where F(p) is the Simon equation for the rising part and D(p) is a dumping function. The equation is then:

$$T_{m} = T_{0} \left(1 + \frac{p_{m} - p_{0}}{a} \right)^{b} e^{-c(p_{m} - p_{0})}.$$
(3)

where a, b, and c are constants characteristics of the substance. The equation reproduces the melting curves of halides but the good fitting requires adjusting three parameters which are

characteristics of the substance. Ab initio molecular dynamics calculations have also been used successfully to reproduce the trend of the curve¹⁴ and the melting curve¹⁵. The standard ab initio approach^{ex. 16, 17} is complicated and expensive. In order to overcome the complexities, simple criterions are suggested here to define the pressure effect on the melting temperature. Using these criterions the relevant equations are derived and tested against the experimental melting curve of sodium.

It has been shown that at the Debye temperature the wavelength of the phonon vibration is equivalent with the smallest atomic unit of the crystal structure, which is the unit cell¹⁸. In a recent physical description of melting¹⁹ it has been suggested that at the melting temperature the thermal phonon vibration is in self-resonance with the lattice vibration of the surface atomic/molecular layer. Since the average wavelength of the phonon vibration [$\overline{\lambda}$] at both the Debye and the melting temperature relates to lattice parameters as:

$$\overline{\lambda}_{T_{D}} \Rightarrow a/b/c \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\lambda}_{T_{m}} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n}d \quad \text{where } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (4)

when pressure is applied then these lattice parameters should change their size in proportion and the ratio of the two wavelengths related to these lattice parameters should remain constant. Thus the ratio of the corresponding phonon wavelength should not be affected by the pressure and:

$$\frac{\lambda_{T_D}}{\overline{\lambda}_{T_m}} = \frac{f_{T_m}}{\overline{f}_{T_D}} = \text{const} .$$
(5)

where \bar{f} is the average frequency, T_{D} refers to the Debye temperature and T_{m} to the melting temperature. The melting temperature can be calculated then as:

$$T_{m}(p) = \left(\frac{\bar{f}_{T_{m}}}{\bar{f}_{T_{D}}}\right) T_{D}(p).$$
(6)

Equation (6) has been tested on several substances²⁰. The agreement between theory and experiments were not satisfactory in all cases. Investigating the disagreement between the model and the experiments it has been concluded that the deviation most likely occurs because the effect of the anharmonic vibration is neglected. At higher pressures the anharmonic part of the vibration is reduced. This effect is taken into consideration by adding a pressure dependent anharmonic term to Eq. (6) as:

$$T_{m} = \left(\frac{f_{T_{m}}}{\bar{f}_{T_{D}}} + c_{anh} \frac{\alpha_{1}}{K_{o}}p\right) T_{D}.$$
(7)

-

where c_{anh} is a constant, α_1 is the temperature derivative of the volume coefficient of thermal expansion, and K_{o} is the bulk modulus at zero pressure and temperature.

At temperatures higher than the Debye temperature the relationship between the phonon frequency and the temperature is given as:

$$hf = k_{\rm B}T \tag{8}$$

where h is the Planck constant, and $k_{\rm B}$ is the Boltzmann constant. The frequencies of the phonon vibrations can be calculated as:

$$f = \frac{V_B}{\lambda}$$
(9)

where $\,v_{_{B}}\,$ is the bulk sound velocity which is approximated as:

$$v_{\rm B} = \sqrt{\frac{K}{\rho}} = \sqrt{\frac{KV_{\rm mol}}{M}}$$
(10)

where ρ is the density, M is the molar mass and V_{mol} is the molar volume. The molar volume can be directly calculated by using the EoS of Garai²¹ as:

$$V_{mol} = V_{o,mol} e^{\frac{-p}{ap+bp^2 + K_o} + (\alpha_o + cp + dp^2) T_{m,p} + \left(1 + \frac{cp + dp^2}{\alpha_o}\right)^l g T_{m,p}^2}$$
(11)

where subscript o refers to the initial value at zero pressure and temperature. Thus $V_{o,mol}$ is the initial molar volume, K_o is the initial bulk modulus and α_o is the initial volume coefficient of thermal expansion. In Eq. (11) a is a linear, b is a quadratic term for the pressure dependence of the bulk modulus, c is linear and d is a quadratic term for the pressure dependence of the volume coefficient of thermal expansion and f and g are parameters describing the temperature dependence of the volume coefficient of thermal expansion. The theoretical explanations for Eq. (11) and the physics of the parameters are discussed in detail²¹. Assuming that the product of volume coefficient of thermal expansion and the bulk modulus is constant allows calculating the bulk modulus²² as:

$$K = (K_{o} + K_{o}'p) e^{-(\alpha_{o} + \alpha_{I}T)\delta T}$$
(12)

where K'_{o} is the pressure derivative of the bulk modulus and δ is the Anderson-Grüneisen parameter, which defined as:

$$\delta_{\rm T} = \left(\frac{\partial \ln B_{\rm T}}{\partial \ln V}\right)_{\rm p} = -\frac{1}{\alpha_{\rm vp}} \left(\frac{\partial \ln B_{\rm T}}{\partial T}\right)_{\rm p} = -\frac{1}{\alpha_{\rm vp}B_{\rm T}} \left(\frac{\partial B_{\rm T}}{\partial T}\right)_{\rm p}.$$
(13)

The parameters in Eq. (12) are taken from the universal p-V-T form of the Birch-Murnaghan EoS^{23-26} , which is given as:

$$p = \frac{3K_{o}e^{-(\alpha o + \alpha_{1}T)\delta T}}{2} \left[\left(\frac{V_{o}e^{(\alpha_{o} + \alpha_{1}T)T}}{V} \right)^{\frac{7}{3}} - \left(\frac{V_{o}e^{(\alpha_{o} + \alpha_{1}T)T}}{V} \right)^{\frac{5}{3}} \right]$$

$$\left\{ 1 + \frac{3}{4} \left(K_{o}^{'} - 4 \right) \left[\left(\frac{V_{o}e^{(\alpha_{o} + \alpha_{1}T)T}}{V} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} - 1 \right] \right\}$$
(14)

Please note that α_1 is equivalent with g in Eq. (11). The average wavelength of the phonon

vibration relating to the Debye temperature is approximated as:

$$\overline{\lambda}_{T_{D}} = a; c = \sqrt[3]{\frac{n_{a}V_{mol}}{N_{A}}}$$
(15)

where n_a is the number of atoms in the unit cell and N_A is the Avogadro's number. Substituting Eqs. (9), (10) and (15) into Eq. (8) allows calculating the Debye temperature as:

$$T_{\rm D} = h k_{\rm B}^{-1} M^{-\frac{1}{2}} N_{\rm A}^{\frac{1}{3}} n_{\rm a}^{-\frac{1}{3}} V(p,T)^{\frac{1}{6}}_{\rm mol} K(p,T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(16)

Substituting Eqs. (11) and (12) into Eq. (16) allows calculating the Debye temperature at the given pressure and the melting temperature as:

$$T_{D(T_{m,p})} = \frac{h}{k_{B}\sqrt{M}} \sqrt[3]{\frac{N_{A}}{n_{a}}} \left(nV_{o}^{m}e^{\frac{-p}{ap+bp^{2}+K_{o}} + \left(\alpha_{o}+cp+dp^{2}\right)T_{m,p} + \left(1+\frac{cp+dp^{2}}{\alpha_{o}}\right)^{f}gT_{m,p}^{2}} \right)^{\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)} \times \sqrt{\left(K_{o} + K_{o}^{'}p\right)e^{-\left(\alpha_{o}+\alpha_{1}T_{m,p}\right)\delta T_{m,p}}}$$
(17)

The melting temperature can be calculated by repeated substitution of Eq. (6) as:

$$T_{m,p} = \lim_{n \to \infty} f^{n}(T_{m,p})$$
(18)

where

$$f^{n}(T_{m,p}) = \left(\frac{T_{m0}}{T_{D0}} + c_{anh} \frac{\alpha_{1}}{K_{o}} p\right) \frac{h}{k_{B}\sqrt{M}} \sqrt[3]{\frac{N_{A}}{n_{a}}} \times \left(nV_{o}^{m} e^{\frac{-p}{ap+bp^{2}+K_{o}} + \left(\alpha_{o}+cp+dp^{2}\right)T_{m,p(n-1)} + \left(1 + \frac{cp+dp^{2}}{\alpha_{o}}\right)^{f} gT_{m,p(n-1)}^{2}}\right)^{\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)} \times \left(19\right)$$

$$\sqrt{\left(K_{o} + K_{o}^{'}p\right) e^{-\left(\alpha_{o}+\alpha_{1}T_{m,p(n-1)}\right)KT_{m,p(n-1)}}}$$

$$n \in \mathbb{N}^{*} \qquad \text{and} \qquad T_{m(0)} = T_{m0}$$

In equation (19) T_{m0} is the melting temperature and T_{D0} is the Debye temperature at atmospheric pressure. The convergence is fast and 15-20 iteration is sufficient.

Using the available experiments of Na²⁷⁻³⁰ the universal Birch-Murnaghan and Garai EoS were determined by unrestricted fitting. The parameters are given in Table I and II. Using 7.7×10^5 value for the anharmonic constant, and the parameters of the EoS of Garai the melting curve is calculated. It can be seen that (Fig. 1) equation (18) reproduces the experiments with high accuracy with a maximum at 30 GPa and 1020 K.

- ¹J.B. Neaton and N.W. Ashcroft, Nature **400**, 141 (1999).
- ²J.B. Neaton and N.W. Ashcroft, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2830 (2001).
- ³M. Hanfland, K. Syassen, N.E. Christensen, and D.L. Novikov, Nature 408, 174 (2000).
- ⁴K. Shimizu et al., Nature **419**, 597 (2002).
- ⁵V. V. Struzhkin et al., Science **298**, 1213 (2002).
- ⁶S. Deemyad and J.S. Schilling, Phys. Rev. Lett., **91**, 167001 (2003).
- ⁷P.V. Bridgman, Phys. Rev. **27**, 68 (1926).
- ⁸F.E. Simon and G. Glatzel, Z. anorg. u. allgem. Chem. **178**, 309 (1929).
- ⁹F. P. Bundy, Phys. Rev. **115**, 274 (1959).
- ¹⁰G. C. Kennedy, A. Jayaraman, and R. C. Newton, Phys. Rev. **126**, 1363 (1962).
- ¹¹E. Gregoryanz, O. Degtyareva, M. Somayazulu, R.J. Hemley and H-K Mao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 185502 (2005).
- ¹²C-S Zha and R. Boehler, Phys. Rev. B **31**, 3199 (1985).
- ¹³V.V. Kechin, Phys. Rev. B. **65**, 052102 (2001).
- ¹⁴M. Martinez-Canales and A. Bergara, J. Phys. Chem. Sol. **69**, 2151 (2008).
- ¹⁵L. Koci, R. Ahuja, L. Vitos and U. Pinsook, Phys. Rev. B 77, 132101 (2008).
- ¹⁶E. R. Hernández and J. Íñiguez, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 055501 (2007).
- ¹⁷S.A. Bonev, B. Militzer and G. Galli, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 014101 (2004).
- ¹⁸J. Garai, arXiv:physics/0703001v2 [physics.chem-ph] (2009).
- ¹⁹J. Garai, arXiv:cond-mat/0206425v6 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] (2009).
- ²⁰J. Garai and J. Chen, SMEC Meeting Proceedings, p. 88 (2009).
- ²¹ J. Garai, J. Applied Phys. **102**, 123506 (2007).
 ²² J. Garai, J. and A. Laugier, J. Applied Phys. **101**, 023514 (2007).
- ²³ F. Birch, Phys. Rev. **71**, 809 (1947).
- ²⁴F.D. Murnaghan, Am. J. Math. **49**, 235 (1937).
- ²⁵F.D. Murnaghan, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., **30**, 244 (1944).
- ²⁶J. Garai, *arXiv*:0804.2929v2 [physics.geo-ph] (2008).

²⁷I.V. Aleksandrov, V. N. Kachinskii, I. N. Makarenko and S. M. Stishov, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **36**, 336 (1982); JETP Lett. **36**, 5 (1982). ²⁸M. S. Anderson and C. A. Swenson, Phys. Rev. **28**, 5395 (1983).

- ²⁹J.N. Fritz and B. Olinger, J. Chem. Phys. **80**, 2864 (1984).
- ³⁰M. Hanfland, I. Loa and K. Syassen, Phys. Rev. B 65, 184109 (2002).

| ТАВ | LE | I. | Parameters | of the | universal | (P | -V | -T) | Birch | -Murna | ghan | EoS | used | for | the | calcul | ations | • |
|-----|----|----|------------|--------|-----------|----|----|-----|-------|--------|------|-----|------|-----|-----|--------|--------|---|
|-----|----|----|------------|--------|-----------|----|----|-----|-------|--------|------|-----|------|-----|-----|--------|--------|---|

| | Ko | | α | α1* | | $\frac{\overline{\lambda}_{T_{D}}}{\overline{\lambda}_{T}}$ |
|---------|-------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|---|
| EoS-B-M | [GPa] | , Ko | x10 ⁻⁵ | x10 ⁻⁹ | δ | ^r ⁿ m |
| Na | 6.3 | 3.82 | 50.5 | -183.9 | 0.039 | 1.66 |

* α_1 is equivalent with g in the EoS of Garai.

TABLE II. Parameters of the Garai EoS used for the calculations.

| | | | a° | а | b | с | d | g | f |
|-------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|--------------------|----------------------|---|--------------------|----|
| EoS-G | V _o [cm ³] | K _o [GPa] | $[\times 10^{-5} \mathrm{K}^{-1}]$ | | $[\times 10^{-3}]$ | [×10 ⁻⁷] | | $[\times 10^{-9}]$ | |
| Na | 20.85 | 7.73 | 49.24 | 1.046 | -3.749 | -51.39 | 0 | -165.3 | 12 |

* The parameter g is equivalent with α_1 in the universal Birch-Murnaghan EoS.



FIG. 1 The calculated pressure-melting temperature curve of Sodium is compared to experiments.