

A SYNTHESIS FOR EXACTLY 3-EDGE-CONNECTED GRAPHS

CARL KINGSFORD AND GUILLAUME MARÇAIS

ABSTRACT. A multigraph is *exactly k -edge-connected* if there are exactly k edge-disjoint paths between any pair of vertices. We characterize the class of exactly 3-edge-connected graphs, giving a synthesis involving two operations by which every exactly 3-edge-connected multigraph can be generated. Slightly modified syntheses give the planar exactly 3-edge-connected graphs and the exactly 3-edge-connected graphs with the fewest possible edges.

1. INTRODUCTION

We define a multigraph G to be **exactly k -edge-connected** if there are exactly k edge-disjoint paths between any pair of distinct vertices u, v in G . The study of exactly k -edge-connected graphs has several motivations. When designing a communication network, a natural requirement is that every pair of vertices can communicate over $\geq k$ edge-disjoint pathways. This ensures the network is robust to attacks and edge failures. Exactly connected networks are the most fair because no pair of vertices is provided additional communication pathways. In addition, if two antagonistic agents arise in an exactly k -edge-connected network of formerly mutually friendly agents, communication between the two antagonistic agents can always be severed by interrupting k edges, while still guaranteeing that, before the split, communication ability was robust.

Exactly connected graphs arise in other contexts as well. For example, they are obtained if all pairs of vertices of higher local edge-connectivity are merged. Suppose G is a k -edge-connected graph, but not necessarily exactly k -edge-connected. If the sets of vertices that are mutually connected by $> k$ edge-disjoint paths are collapsed into supernodes, then the resulting multigraph G' is either a graph of a single vertex or is exactly k -edge-connected. This follows because G' is at least k -edge-connected as G was, and no two supernodes can be connected by $> k$ edge-disjoint paths, otherwise they would have been merged. That the supernodes are equivalence classes follows directly from Menger's theorem [1].

All exactly k -edge-connected graphs are both edge-minimal and edge-maximal in the sense that removing or adding an edge will destroy exact k -edge connectivity. In the same sense, they are vertex-minimal and vertex-maximal. On the other hand, not all edge-minimal k -edge-connected graphs are exactly k -edge-connected (Figure 1.1).

Date: October 4, 2017.

Key words and phrases. Graph theory; edge-connectivity.

C.K. was partially supported by NSF grant IIS-0812111.




FIGURE 1.1. A 3-edge-connected graph that is edge minimal but not exactly 3-edge connected.

Hence, the class of exactly k -edge-connected graphs is a strict subset of the edge-minimal k -edge-connected graphs, and their investigation is justified in its own right. The Harary graphs [3], for example, are exactly k -edge-connected, but there is only one Harary graph for each pair (n, k) , where n is the order of the graph and k the connectivity requirement.

The exactly 2-edge-connected graphs are “trees of cycles.” More formally, if G is exactly 2-edge-connected, it is the union of simple cycles such that the block-cutvertex graph $H = (V, E)$ is a tree, where V contains a vertex u_c for each cycle c and a vertex u_v for each vertex $v \in G$ shared by more than one cycle, and where $E = \{\{u_c, u_v\} : v \in c\}$. Every 2-edge-connected graph can be generated from a Whitney-Robbins synthesis [3]. The exactly 2-connected graphs can be generated via a “Robbins synthesis”:

Proposition 1. *An exactly 2-edge-connected graph G is obtained from a Robbins synthesis. In other words, there exists a sequence of graph $G_i, 0 \leq i \leq l$ such that G_0 is a simple cycle, $G_l = G$ and G_{i+1} is obtained from G_i by a cycle addition.*

Proof. G is 2-edge-connected, so it is the result of a Whitney-Robbins synthesis. Let G_i ($0 \leq i \leq l$) such that G_0 is a simple cycle and G_{i+1} is obtained from G_i by a path or a cycle addition. Note that G_0 is exactly 2-edge-connected. Suppose that G_i is exactly 2-edge-connected and that G_{i+1} is obtained by a path addition, between u and v . There are 2 edge-disjoint paths between u and v in G_i . The newly added path is obviously edge-disjoint from the paths in G_i . So there are 3 edge-disjoint paths in G_{i+1} between u and v . Given that no edge or vertex is ever removed, there are also 3 edge-disjoint paths in G between u and v , which is a contradiction. On the other hand, cycle addition does not create any new edge-disjoint paths between existing vertices. So G is obtained from G_0 by a sequence of cycle additions. \square

The situation is more complicated for $k = 3$. Tutte [6] gave a synthesis to generate all 3-vertex-connected graphs starting from a n -spoke wheel W_n via a series of arbitrary edge additions and an operation that converts vertices of degree ≥ 4 into edges. Unfortunately, neither of Tutte’s synthesis operations preserve exact edge-connectivity, and so the question of whether such a synthesis can be found for exactly 3-edge-connected graphs is an interesting one. A computational search reveals that, in fact, there are many exactly 3-edge-connected graphs. For example, there are 717 simple, biconnected, exactly 3-edge-connected isomorphism classes on 11 vertices. The main result of this paper is a synthesis for exactly 3-edge-connected graphs,




FIGURE 2.1. Several operations that preserve exact 3-edge-connectivity.

which we give below in Section 2. Following the proof of the synthesis, we describe some of the properties of exact 3-edge-connected graphs.

2. A SYNTHESIS FOR EXACTLY 3-EDGE CONNECTED GRAPHS

We begin by describing several operations that preserve exact 3-edge-connectedness when applied to any exactly 3-edge-connected graph. Eventually, the synthesis will exploit only two of these operations: block gluing and cycle expansion. The other operations will play a role in the proof of the synthesis and are also interesting in their own right. In the following, biconnected graphs are graphs which are 2-vertex-connected. A vertex whose removal would disconnect a connected but not biconnected graph is called an articulation point. A block is a maximal connected subgraph that is biconnected. Throughout, all sets should be considered multisets and all graphs are multigraphs and loopless. The notation $(u, v)^r$ denotes an undirected edge between u and v of multiplicity r (when $r = 1$ it is omitted).

2.1. Gluing operations. In this section, $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ are two exactly k -edge-connected graphs with $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$. We will define operations to glue these graphs together into $G = (V, E)$ that is also exactly k -edge-connected. See Figure 2.1 for illustrations of several of the operations. The first collection of these operations exploits the relationship between exact connectivity and the blocks, or biconnected components, of a graph.

Definition 2 (Block gluing). $G = (V, E)$ is the graph obtained by gluing $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ at one vertex. Formally, let $u_1 \in V_1$ and $u_2 \in V_2$, and let $X = \{(u_1, v) : v \in N(u_1)\} \cup \{(u_2, v) : v \in N(u_2)\}$ be the adjacent edges of u_1 and u_2 . Then construct $G = (V, E)$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} V &= V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \{u\} \setminus \{u_1, u_2\} \\ E &= E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \{(u, v) : v \in N(u_1) \cup N(u_2)\} \setminus X. \end{aligned}$$

This is called **block gluing** because the vertex u becomes an articulation point in G .

Proposition 3. *If $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ are exactly k -edge-connected, then any block gluing G of them is exactly k -edge-connected.*

Proof. Any pair of vertices that are in the same block of G are connected by exactly k edge-disjoint paths because they were before the gluing and no additional edge-disjoint path can be created by leaving the block and re-entering it. Let u be the articulation point created by the block gluing, and let $w \in V_1, v \in V_2$ be a pair of vertices in different blocks of G . Vertices w, u are connected by k edge-disjoint paths and u, v are also connected by k edge-disjoint paths. Hence, there are $\geq k$ edge-disjoint paths between w, v . Any such path must pass through u , so there cannot be more than k without creating $> k$ edge-disjoint paths between w and u_1 in G_1 , which cannot happen. \square

Corollary 4. *The subgraph induced by a block of an exactly k -edge-connected graph is exactly k -edge-connected.*

Definition 5 (k -bridge addition). $G = (V, E)$ is obtained from G_1 by adding a vertex and k parallel edges from the vertex to an existing vertex in G_1 . Formally, $V = V_1 \cup \{u\}$ and $E = E_1 \cup \{(v, u)^k\}$.

Corollary 6. *If G is exactly k -edge-connected, then any k -bridge addition preserves exact k -edge-connectivity.*

In addition to the above block-based gluings, we have a vertex gluing that allows two blocks to be merged into one, and the converse operation, vertex splitting, which breaks a graph at a minimum cut.

Definition 7 (Vertex gluing). Let u_1 and u_2 be degree k vertices of G_1 and G_2 respectively. Construct G by removing u_1 and u_2 and pairing together the $2k$ edges from G_1 and G_2 . Formally, let v_1^i and v_2^i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, be respectively the neighbors (not necessarily distinct) of u_1 in G_1 and u_2 in G_2 . We then construct G by setting

$$\begin{aligned} V &= V_1 \cup V_2 \setminus \{u_1, u_2\} \\ E &= E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \{(v_1^i, v_2^i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \setminus \{(u_1, v_1^i), (u_2, v_2^i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}. \end{aligned}$$

The graph G is a **vertex gluing** of G_1 and G_2 .

Proposition 8. *If $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ are exactly k -edge-connected, then the vertex gluing $G = (V, E)$ of G_1 and G_2 is exactly k -edge-connected.*

Proof. Let $u_1 \in V_1$ and $u_2 \in V_2$ be the vertices used in the vertex gluing. Consider any pair v, w of vertices in G . If $v \in V_1$ and $w \in V_2$, then there are no more than k edge-disjoint paths between them because the cut $(V_1 \setminus \{u_1\}, V_2 \setminus \{u_2\})$ has cardinality k . On the other hand, k edge-disjoint paths exist between them because there were k edge-disjoint paths from v to u_1 and from u_2 to w which can be combined to create k edge-disjoint paths between v and w .

Suppose, instead, v, w are both in V_1 (the case for V_2 is symmetric). Then there are k edge-disjoint $v-w$ paths, say P_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, in G_1 . At most $j \leq \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ of these paths pass through vertex u_1 , say P_i , $1 \leq i \leq j$. Let v_i^1 and w_i^1 , $1 \leq i \leq j$ be the vertices that respectively precede and follow u_1 on path P_i . Let v_i^2 and w_i^2 be respectively the neighbors of v_i^1 and w_i^1 in V_2 after vertex gluing. Let x be a vertex of G_2 distinct

from u_2 . Given that G_2 is k -edge-connected, there exists k edge disjoint $x - u_2$ paths, say $Q_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$, in G_2 . Because u_2 is adjacent to every v_i^2 and w_i^2 , the Q_i paths create edge disjoint $x - v_i$ and $x - w_i$ paths, which avoid u_2 , for $1 \leq i \leq j$. In turn, let combine paths $x - v_i$ and $x - w_i$ to get j edge disjoint $v_i - w_i$ paths, $1 \leq i \leq j$, which avoid u_2 (named R_i). By combining the P_i and R_i paths, for $1 \leq i \leq j$, we constructed $v - w$ paths in G .

Conversely, there cannot be more than $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ edge disjoint $v - w$ paths in G detouring into G_2 because of the cut $(V_1 \setminus \{u_1\}, V_2 \setminus \{u_2\})$. If there were $> k$ edge disjoint $v - w$ paths in G , there would be $> k$ edge disjoint $v - w$ paths in G_1 . So G is exactly k -edge-connected. \square

Definition 9. An edge cut S of a graph G is called **trivial** if one of the components of $G \setminus S$ is the trivial graph.

Definition 10 (Vertex splitting). Let $G = (V, E)$ be an exactly k -edge connected graph and $S = \langle V_1, V_2 \rangle$ be a non-trivial minimum cut. Construct $G_1 = (V_1 \cup \{x_1\}, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2 \cup \{x_2\}, E_2)$ by adding two new vertices x_1 and x_2 attached respectively to G_1 and G_2 by k new edges to the vertices adjacent to S . Formally, let $S = \{(u_i, v_i) \in V_1 \times V_2 : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= (V_1 \times V_1 \cap E) \cup \{(x_1, u_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \\ E_2 &= (V_2 \times V_2 \cap E) \cup \{(x_2, v_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}. \end{aligned}$$

The pair G_1, G_2 is called a **vertex splitting** of G with respect to S .

Corollary 11. Let G be an exactly k -edge-connected graph, S be a non-trivial minimum cut. G_1 and G_2 obtained by vertex splitting of G with respect to S are exactly k -edge-connected.

2.2. Cycle Contraction and Expansion. We describe now two additional operations that create and remove cycles within exactly k -edge-connected graphs. The first, cycle expansion, is the main non-trivial operation of the synthesis. By convention, in a multigraph G we view a double edge $(u, v)^2$ as a chordless cycle of length 2. If $C = (u_1, \dots, u_n, u_1)$ is a cycle of length $n \geq 3$ and there is a double edge $(u_i, u_{i+1})^2$, then the cycle C has a chord between u_i and u_{i+1} .

Proposition 12 (Cycle expansion). Let $G = (V, E)$ be an exactly 3-edge-connected and biconnected graph and let u be any vertex of G . Suppose that u has degree d . Let G' be created from G by replacing vertex u with a cycle C of no more than d vertices where all the vertices of C are connected to at least one neighbor of u , and all but one vertex of C is connected to exactly one neighbor of u . Formally, let $2 \leq d' \leq d$, v_1, \dots, v_d be the neighbors of u (not necessarily all distinct) and $G' = (V', E')$ with

$$\begin{aligned} V' &= V \cup \{u_1, \dots, u_{d'}\} \setminus \{u\} \\ E' &= E \cup \{(u_i, v_i) : 1 \leq i \leq d' - 1\} \cup \{(u_{d'}, v_i) : d' \leq i \leq d\} \setminus \{(u, v_i) : 1 \leq i \leq d\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then G' is exactly 3-edge connected.

Proof. We shall prove, in order, that there are exactly 3 edge-disjoint paths in G' between these pairs of vertices:

- (1) (x, y) in $V \setminus \{u\}$
- (2) (x, u_i) for $x \in V \setminus \{u\}$ and $1 \leq i \leq d'$
- (3) (u_i, u_j) for $1 \leq i < j \leq d'$

For the first case, replacing vertex u by a subgraph, does not create new paths between x and y , so there are at most 3 edge-disjoint paths. Because u is not an articulation point, there exists triplets of edge-disjoint $x - y$ paths where at most 2 go through u . These 2 edge-disjoint $x - y$ paths in G can be changed into 2 edge-disjoint $x - y$ paths in G' by using some of the edges in the expanded cycle. So there are 3 edge-disjoint $x - y$ paths in G' .

For the second case, fix $i \in [1, d]$ and let P_1, P_2 and P_3 be 3 edge-disjoint $x - u$ paths in G . These paths can be changed to three paths P'_1, P'_2, P'_3 from x to vertices on the expanded cycle, say u_{j_1}, u_{j_2} and u_{j_3} . If i is equal to j_1 , then we have 3 edge-disjoint $x - u_i$ paths: P'_1, P'_2 plus $u_{j_2} - u_{j_1}$ on the expanded cycle and P'_3 plus $u_{j_3} - u_{j_1}$ on the expanded cycle. If i is not equal to j_1, j_2 or j_3 , let consider a $x - v_{j_1} - u_{j_1}$ path P (which can be constructed from an $x - v_{j_1} - u_{j_1}$ cycle in G , and G is 3 edge connected). This path P is distinct from P'_1, P'_2 and P'_3 as it contains the edge (v_{j_1}, u_{j_1}) . If P is edge-disjoint with at least two of P'_1, P'_2 and P'_3 , then we are done. Otherwise, let e be the edge closest to u_{j_1} which is common between P and, say, P'_1 . Create the new path P' equal to P'_1 from x to e , and equal to P from e to u_{j_1} . By construction, this is a $x - u_{j_1}$ path which is edge-disjoint with P'_2 and P'_3 .

Finally, for the last case. For any pair (i, j) , there is a cycle C_{ij} in G containing the vertices u, v_i and v_j . A piece of this cycle can be changed into an $v_i - v_j$ path P which is edge-disjoint with the expanded cycle. So there are 3 edge-disjoint $v_i - v_j$ paths in G' : two paths in the expanded cycle and the path P . There cannot be more than 3 edge-disjoint paths as, by construction, at least one of u_i or u_j has degree 3. \square

If G is not assumed to be biconnected and u is an articulation point, a block-respecting cycle expansion can be performed at u . A block-respecting cycle expansion is a cycle expansion done within one block. Formally, these are defined by breaking the graph G into its blocks with block splitting operations, performing a cycle expansion on u in one of its blocks, and then gluing all the blocks back together with block gluing operations. Block-respecting cycle expansion also preserves exact 3-edge-connectivity. They are not needed for the synthesis or its proof.

See Figure 2.1 for an example of cycle expansion. The following proposition, where a cycle is contracted into a new vertex, is the inverse of the previous one. Because not all cycles can be so contracted, stronger hypotheses must be made on the graph G and on the cycles considered. To state those conditions, we first need some definitions.

Definition 13. A k -**regular** graph is a graph where all vertices have the same degree k . A **quasi k -regular** graph is a graph where at most one vertex has a degree different than k .

Lemma 14. *An exactly k -edge connected graph G which has only trivial cuts is quasi k -regular.*

Proof. Suppose there exists two vertices u and v of degree greater than k . There exists a cut separating u and v and this cut cannot be trivial. \square

Proposition 15 (Cycle contraction). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a quasi 3-regular exactly 3-edge-connected and biconnected graph. Let C be a chordless cycle of any length which contains the vertex of higher degree. The graph G' is constructed from G by collapsing the cycle C into one vertex. Formally, if $2 \leq d' \leq d$, $u_1, \dots, u_{d'}$ are the vertices of C , and v_1, \dots, v_d are the vertices adjacent to C in G , we construct $G' = (V', E')$ with*

$$V' = V \setminus \{u_1, \dots, u_{d'}\} \cup \{u\}$$

$$E' = E \cup \{(u, v_i) : 1 \leq i \leq d\} \setminus (\{(u_i, v_i) : 1 \leq i \leq d' - 1\} \cup \{(u_{d'}, v_i) : d' \leq i \leq d\}) .$$

Then G' is exactly 3-edge connected and quasi 3-regular.

Proof. In G' , the degree of every vertex in $V \setminus \{u_1, \dots, u_{d'}\}$ is the same as its degree in G . Given that only $u_{d'}$ in G may have a degree different than 3, G' is also quasi 3-regular. In particular, there cannot be more than 3 edge-disjoint paths between any pair of vertices in G' . For any pair of vertices x, y not on C , any $x - y$ path in G can be changed into a path in G' where the eventual edges on the cycle are removed. Furthermore, edge-disjoint paths in G are still edge-disjoint in G' . So there are 3 edge-disjoint $x - y$ paths in G' . For any x not on C , any $x - u_1$ path in G can be changed into a $x - u$ path in G' . So there are 3 edge-disjoint paths in G' between any pair of vertices. \square

2.3. Main Result: Synthesis. We now proceed to the synthesis of exactly 3-edge-connected graphs. The proof will rely on results from Section 2.4, where the main technical arguments are given.

Definition 16. The **dumbbell graph** consists of 2 vertices and 3 parallel edges between them. It is exactly 3-edge-connected.

Theorem 17 (Exactly 3-edge-connected synthesis). *Any exactly 3-edge-connected graph G is obtained from dumbbell graphs and the following operations: cycle expansion and block gluing.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the order r of G . The only exactly 3-edge-connected graph of order 2 is the dumbbell and the induction hypothesis holds for $r = 2$. Suppose the theorem holds for any graph of order $j \leq r$. Let G be an exactly 3-edge connected graph of order $r + 1$. We apply the following tests:

- (1) If G has an articulation point, by Corollary 4, each block is exactly 3-edge-connected and of order less than $r + 1$. Apply the induction to each block; G is obtained by block gluing of its blocks.
- (2) G is biconnected and of order at least 3, so by Theorem 31 it contains a quasi 3-regular chordless cycle C which, when collapsed, does not create an articulation point and preserves exact 3-edge-connectivity. Let G' be obtained




FIGURE 2.2. A 3-thick tree.

from G by collapsing C . The order of G' is less than $r+1$. Apply the induction to G' ; G is obtained by cycle expansion of G' .

□

Corollary 18 (3-thick tree structure). *Every exactly 3-edge-connected graph can be obtained from a 3-thick tree (Figure 2.2) and block-respecting cycle expansions.*

Proof. The block gluing and cycle expansion operations are independent of each other and can be applied in any order. First apply a sequence of block gluing of dumbbells to duplicate the block structure of the graph and get a 3-thick tree. Then apply block-respecting cycle expansion operations. □

The main difficulty in the proof of Theorem 17 is that collapsing an arbitrary cycle could create an articulation point, but cycle expansion (Proposition 12) and cycle contraction (Proposition 15) are inverse operations of each other only as long as the contraction does not create an articulation point. This difficulty will be dealt with in the next section.

2.4. Existence of Non-articulation Cycles. In this section, we shall prove the existence in an exactly 3-edge-connected graph of a quasi 3-regular chordless cycle which, when collapsed, does not create an articulation point and preserves exact 3-edge-connectivity. This will culminate in Theorem 31, which was used to prove that all exactly 3-edge-connected graphs can be constructed from block-gluing and cycle expansion (Theorem 17).

Several previous works [5, 4, 2] deal with the problem of finding non-separating induced cycles in a graph, i.e. a chordless cycle C in G such that $G \setminus C$ is connected. Thomassen's results [5] for 2-connected graphs of minimum degree 3 would guarantee the existence of such a cycle. But collapsing such cycle does not necessarily preserve exact 3-edge-connectivity as required for the synthesis, and stronger conditions must be enforced. We introduce some definitions and operations relating to articulation points in exactly 3-edge-connected graphs.

Definition 19. Let H be a subgraph of a connected graph $G = (V, E)$ and let V_1, \dots, V_k be the sets of vertices of the k connected components of $G \setminus H$. The partition $V = H \cup V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k$ induced by H is the **H -partition** and its size is k ($k \geq 0$).




FIGURE 2.3. An example contraction-expansion of a cycle.

Definition 20. An **articulation cycle** in $G = (V, E)$ is a chordless cycle C with a C -partition of size greater than 1.

An equivalent definition is that C is an articulation cycle of a biconnected graph G if G' obtained from G by contracting C into a single vertex has more than 1 biconnected component.

Definition 21. Let G be a graph, C be a chordless cycle in G and V be a block of the C -partition. The **contraction-expansion** of C with respect to V is a graph G' obtained from G by the following operations, applied sequentially:

- let G' be the graph induced by $V \cup C$
- smooth out the vertices of degree two in G'

The vertices of degree two that are smoothed out (replaced by edges) are the attachment vertices of the blocks other than V in the C -partition. The name contraction-expansion is justified by the proof of Lemma 22. See Figure 2.3 for an example of such a contraction-expansion.

Lemma 22. *Let G be an exactly 3-edge-connected graph, C be a chordless cycle in G and V be a block of the C -partition. Then G' the contraction-expansion of C with respect to V is exactly 3-edge-connected. Furthermore, if G is 3-regular then so is G' . If G is quasi 3-regular and C contains the high-degree vertex, then G' is quasi 3-regular and C' contains the high-degree vertex of G' .*

Proof. G' can also be constructed by the following operations: contract the cycle C , let G' be the block which contains V and expand in G' the contracted cycle into a cycle C' . The first part of the theorem statement follows from the properties of the cycle contraction (Proposition 15), block gluing (Corollary 4) and cycle expansion (Proposition 12) operations. After a cycle expansion, all the vertices but one in the newly created cycle have degree 3. By construction, a vertex in C' has a degree less or equal to the corresponding vertex in C . Hence, the 3-regularity and quasi 3-regularity properties are preserved \square

Definition 23. A **collapsible cycle** is a quasi 3-regular, non-articulation, chordless cycle which preserves exact 3 edge-connectivity when collapsed.

Lemma 24. *Let G be a 3-edge-connected graph. If G is 3-regular, then it is a simple graph. If G is quasi 3-regular, the high degree vertex h is an end point of any double edge.*

Proof. If G is 3-regular and has a double edge $(u, v)^2$ then u (resp. v) has only one other neighbor beside v (resp. u), say u' (resp. v'). The set $S = \{(u, u'), (v, v')\}$ is exactly the edge cut $\langle \{u, v\}, G \setminus \{u, v\} \rangle$ and has size 2, which is a contradiction. So G must be a simple graph. If G is quasi 3-regular and $(u, v)^2$ is a double edge not incident to h , then the same contradiction as above arises. So any double edge must be incident to h . \square

Lemma 25. *Let G be a 3-regular, 3-edge-connected graph, (v, w) be an edge in G and u be a vertex in G distinct from v and w . Then G contains a chordless cycle that contains edge (v, w) and does not contain u .*

Proof. Because there are 3 edge-disjoint paths between v and w , edge (v, w) is on at least 2 cycles C_1 and C_2 . The cycles are vertex disjoint except for v and w because G is 3-regular. Hence, one of C_1 and C_2 does not contain u . If it contains chords, it can be short-circuited to change it to a chordless cycle, which still does not contain u . \square

Lemma 26. *Let G be a quasi 3-regular, 3-edge-connected graph, (v, w) be an edge in G where v or w is the high degree vertex and u be a vertex in G distinct from v and w . Suppose also that G has at most one double edge and u is an end point of the double edge if any. Then G contains a chordless cycle that contains edge (v, w) and does not contain u .*

Proof. By an identical proof as in Lemma 25, there is a cycle C which contains (v, w) and not u . This cycle cannot have any double edge, and if it contains any chords it can be short-circuited. \square

Lemma 27. *Let G be a quasi 3-regular, 3-edge-connected graph and let C be a chordless articulation cycle with a partition of size $k > 1$ which contains the high degree vertex h . Each vertex of C connects to exactly one block. Color those vertices that connect to a given block V red and color the others blue. Then there are at least 4 edges on C that connect a red vertex to a blue vertex.*

Proof. Because C is a cycle, there must be an even number of red-blue edges. If there were no such edges, then k would be 1. If there were only 2 such edges, they would form a 2-edge-cut. Hence $k \geq 4$. \square

The following lemmas and subsequent theorem contain the main technical arguments required to ensure that a reversible cycle contraction can be performed on any biconnected, quasi 3-regular, exactly 3-connected graph. Theorem 29, which uses Lemma 28, will handle one of the cases of the induction proof of Theorem 17. In these proofs, we often use the following technique: given an exactly 3-edge-connected graph G , we create a new exactly 3-edge-connected graph G' via contraction-expansion of some cycle C in G . We say a cycle $Z \neq C$ in G is the **corresponding cycle** to a

cycle Z' in G' if Z uses every edge of Z' that is present in G , and if Z' uses an edge (u, v) that is not in G then u, v are on cycle C and Z uses a path on C between u and v .

Lemma 28 (Collapsible cycles in 3-regular graphs). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a biconnected exactly 3-edge connected 3-regular graph, let $(v, w) \in E$ be an edge and $u \in V$ be a vertex distinct from v and w . Then G contains a collapsible cycle which contains edge (v, w) and does not contain vertex u .*

Proof. By Lemma 24, G is a simple graph. We proceed by induction on n , the number of vertices of G . It is true when $n = 4$ (K_4 , the complete graph on 4 vertices, is the only such graph).

Let C be a chordless cycle in G that contains (v, w) and doesn't contain u and that, among those, minimizes k the size of the partition. The existence of such a cycle is guaranteed by Lemma 25. If $k = 1$, then C is the desired cycle.

For purpose of contradiction, suppose that $k > 1$. Vertex u is in only one block. Let V be a block in the C -partition which does not contain u . Color in C the V -adjacent vertices red and the non- V -adjacent vertices blue. Because G is 3-regular and 3-edge-connected, C must contain at least 3 red vertices and at least 3 blue vertices. By Lemma 27, there are at least 4 red-blue edges. Therefore, there is a path P in C that starts and ends at red vertices and uses edge (v, w) but does not use some red-blue edge e . Let x and y be respectively the red vertex and blue vertex of edge e , with associated blocks V and W_y .

Let G' be the contraction-expansion of C with respect to V . Because vertex x was colored red it is still present in C' and to the path P in C corresponds an edge P' of C' . By Lemma 22, G' is 3-regular and exactly 3-edge-connected. G' has fewer vertices than G and by induction there exists a collapsible cycle Z' which contains the edge P' and does not contain vertex x . Because $x \in C'$, the cycles Z' and C' are distinct. Because $P' \subset Z' \cap C'$, Z' and C' are not disjoint.

Let Z be the cycle in G corresponding to Z' and let k' be the size of the Z -partition. Because Z is contained in $C \cup V$, if a and b are two vertices in a block W (distinct from V) of the C -partition, a and b must belong to the same block W' in the Z -partition. Moreover, Z' was not an articulation cycle in G' , hence if a, b are two vertices in $V \setminus Z$, they must belong to the same block of the Z -partition. Hence, there is a surjective function from the C -partition to the Z -partition and $k' \leq k$. If W is a block in the C -partition, let note W' the unique block in the Z -partition containing W .

By construction, vertex x is not contained in the cycle Z , so the red-blue edge e is also not contained in the cycle Z . Also, in $G \setminus Z$ there is a path between V' (the block containing x) and W'_y (the block containing y). In other words, $V' = W'_y$, the function from the C -partition to the Z -partition is not injective and $k' < k$. This contradicts the minimality of k and concludes the induction step. \square

Theorem 29 (Collapsible cycles in quasi 3-regular graphs). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a biconnected, exactly 3-edge-connected, quasi 3-regular graph with high-degree vertex h and let u be a vertex of G distinct from h . Then G contains a collapsible cycle which contains h and does not contain u .*

Proof. If G has a double edge which is not incident to u (in particular if there are two or more double edges), then this double edge is a collapsible cycle, which contains h by Lemma 24, and avoids u . So we assume that G has at most one double edge, and if it does, u is an end point of the double edge. We proceed similarly to Lemma 28 and prove the statement by induction on n , the number of vertices of G . The theorem is true when $n = 4$ (again, K_4 is the only such graph).

Let C be a chordless cycle in G that contains h and does not contain u and that, among those, minimizes the size k of the partition. The existence of such a cycle is guaranteed by Lemma 26. If $k = 1$, then C is the desired cycle. For purpose of contradiction, suppose that $k > 1$. Let V be a block in the C -partition which does not contain u . Color in C the V -adjacent vertices red and the non- V -adjacent vertices blue. By Lemma 27, there are at least 4 red-blue edges. The two cases, where h is adjacent to V or not, are now discussed.

If h is adjacent to V , then h is colored red. Let e be any red-blue edge which does not have h as one of its end point. Let x and y be respectively the red vertex and blue vertex of edge e , with associated blocks V and W_y . Consider G' the contraction-expansion of C with respect to V . G' contains h as h is red and, by Lemma 22, G' is quasi 3-regular and exactly 3-edge-connected. G' has fewer vertices than G and by induction there exists a collapsible cycle Z' which contains h and does not contain x . The corresponding cycle Z in G contains h and does not contain edge e .

If h is not adjacent to V then h is colored blue. Let P be a $v-w$ path in C between two red vertices such that h is an internal of P and all the internal vertices of P are blue. Let e be any red-blue edge which does not have v or w as an end point and let x and y be respectively the red vertex and blue vertex of edge e , with associated blocks V and W_y . Let G' be the contraction-expansion of C with respect to V . To the path P now corresponds the edge (v, w) in C' and x is in G' because it is red. The high degree vertex h of G is not in G' because it is blue, hence, by Lemma 22, G' is 3-regular and exactly 3-edge-connected. By Lemma 28, there exists a cycle Z' in G' which contains the edge (v, w) and does not contain vertex x . The corresponding cycle Z in G contains P and does not contain x . Hence cycle Z contains h and does not contain edge e .

In both cases, a cycle Z containing h and not containing a red-blue edge e exists. An identical argument as in Lemma 28 shows that the Z -partition has size $k' < k$, which contradicts the minimality of k . \square

We now prove the existence of a collapsible cycle in the general case, where the only conditions on G are that it is biconnected and exactly 3-edge-connected. We start with a lemma that guarantees that such a graph has vertices of degree 3. The trees, which are the exactly 1-edge-connected graph, have two leaves (vertices of degree 1), and the exactly 2-edge-connected graphs also have two vertices of degree 2. Similarly, we have the following property for an $k \geq 3$.

Lemma 30. *Let G be a biconnected, exactly k -edge-connected graph of order $\geq k$. Then G has at least 2 vertices of degree k .*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the number of non-trivial minimum cuts in G . If G has no non-trivial minimum cuts, then it is quasi k -regular. If G is k -regular, it has at least 2 vertices of degree k . If G is quasi k -regular with a high degree vertex, it has at least 3 vertices and therefore has at least 2 vertices of degree k .

Let $S = \langle V_1, V_2 \rangle$ be a non-trivial minimum cut and let G_1 and G_2 be the vertex splitting graphs induced by S . Call x_1 and x_2 the new vertices ($V(G_i) = V_i \cup \{x_i\}$). Suppose T were a non-trivial minimum cut in G_1 . Construct a corresponding non-trivial minimum cut T' in G by changing any edge used by T that is adjacent to x_1 to the corresponding edge in S . So to any non-trivial minimum cut of G_1 or G_2 corresponds a distinct non-trivial minimum cut in G . But no non-trivial cut in G_1 or G_2 corresponds to the cut S (it would be a trivial cut in G_1 and G_2). So both G_1 and G_2 have fewer non-trivial minimum cuts than G . By induction G_1 and G_2 have 2 vertices of degree k , including x_1 and x_2 . So G is obtained as a vertex gluing of G_1 and G_2 and has 2 vertices of degree k . \square

Theorem 31 (Collapsible cycles). *Let G be a biconnected, exactly 3-edge-connected graph of order at least 3 and u a vertex of degree 3. Then G contains a collapsible cycle which does not contain u .*

Proof. By induction on the number of non-trivial minimum cuts in G . If G has no non-trivial minimum cuts, then it is quasi 3-regular. By Theorem 29 it contains a collapsible cycle which avoids u .

Assume G has non-trivial minimum cuts. Let $S = \langle V_1, V_2 \rangle$ be a non-trivial minimum cut, $|S| = 3$, and let G_1 and G_2 be the vertex splitting graphs induced by S . Assume without loss of generality that G_1 does not contain u . By the same argument as in Lemma 30, G_1 has less non-trivial minimum cuts than G and by induction, G_1 has a collapsible cycle C_1 which avoids x_1 .

C_1 in G is not an articulation cycle. Moreover, contracting C_1 in G also preserves exact 3-edge connectivity: collapsing a cycle does not destroy any path between the remaining vertices, it does not create 4 paths in V_1 by construction, and it does not create 4 paths between V_1 and V_2 because of the minimum cut S . Therefore, C_1 is a collapsible cycle of G which does not contain u . \square

3. OTHER PROPERTIES OF EXACTLY k -EDGE-CONNECTED GRAPHS

3.1. Number of Operations. Let $G = (V, E)$ be an exactly 3-edge-connected graph with $n_G = |V|$ and $m_G = |E|$, and let B_G be the number of blocks in G . The number of synthesis operations needed to generate G is determined by m_G, n_G and B_G .

Proposition 32. *If $G = (V, E)$ is an exactly 3-edge-connected graph, then*

$$(3.1) \quad m_G = n_G + 2B_G + E_G - 1,$$

where E_G is the number of cycle expansions in a synthesis of G .

Proof. A dumbbell graph has 3 edges, 2 vertices and one block. It satisfies $m = n + B$. Let T be 3-thick tree satisfying $m_T = n_T + 2B_T - 1$, and let T' the block addition of T with a dumbbell. We have $m_{T'} = m_T + 3$, $B_{T'} = B_T + 1$ and $n_{T'} = n_T$.

So T' satisfies $m_{T'} = n_{T'} + B_{T'}$. The cycle expansion operation on G with a cycle of d vertices adds $d - 1$ vertices and d edges. So if G is obtained from a 3-thick tree by E_G cycle expansions, relation 3.1 holds. To create B_G blocks, $B_G - 1$ block gluing operations are needed. So the the number of operations in a synthesis of G is $N_G = B_G - 1 + E_G = m_G - n_G - B_G$. \square

3.2. Minimum Exactly Connected Graphs. A natural requirement for network design is to use the fewest edges possible. A k -vertex-connected or k -edge-connected graph with n vertices has at least $\lceil \frac{kn}{2} \rceil$ edges. We say a graph is **minimum** if it has exactly that many edges. The Harary [3] graph $H_{k,n}$ is a special graph which has n vertices, is k -vertex-connected and k -edge-connected, and is minimum. They are, in addition, quasi k -regular, which implies that they are also exactly k -edge-connected. In fact, we have following relationships between minimum, almost k -regular, and exactly k -edge-connected graphs:

Definition 33. A graph G is **almost** k -regular if it is quasi k -regular and has maximum degree $\leq k + 1$.

Proposition 34. *Let G be a graph. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) G is k -edge-connected and minimum.
- (2) G is k -edge-connected and almost k -regular.
- (3) G is exactly k -edge-connected and almost k -regular.

We therefore have that, among the k -edge-connected graphs, the minimum graphs are a strict subset of the exactly connected graphs, which in turn are a strict subset of the edge-minimal graphs. The minimum, exactly 3-edge-connected graphs can be generated by disallowing a cycle expansion if it would lead to $\sum_v \deg(v) > 3n + 1$.

3.3. Planar graphs. Planar, exactly 3-edge-connected graphs can be generated with a slightly modified synthesis. If G is a planar, exactly 3-edge-connected graph and u is a vertex, a drawing of G on the plane defines a natural order on the neighbors of u (for example, clockwise traversal of the edges incident to u). An **order-preserving cycle expansion** is a cycle expansion of u where the vertices in the cycle are attached to the neighbors of u in the natural order. G' obtained from G by an order-preserving cycle expansion is also a planar graph. Conversely, if G is planar and C is a collapsible cycle, G' obtained by collapsing C is also planar.

Theorem 35 (Planar exactly 3-edge-connected synthesis). *A graph is planar and exactly 3-edge-connected if and only if it can be obtained from dumbbell graphs and the following operations: order-preserving cycle expansion and block gluing.*

4. CONCLUSION

We have given an operation (cycle expansion) by which every exactly 3-edge-connected, biconnected multigraph can be generated. The synthesis allows for the generation of all fair, 3-edge-connected networks, and preserves the block tree structure underlying such graphs. A natural question is whether this synthesis operation

can be used to design fair, robustly connected networks that satisfy other desired properties.

REFERENCES

1. Reinhard Diestel, *Graph theory*, electronic edition 2005 ed., Springer-Verlag Heidelberg, New York, 2005.
2. Yoshimi Egawa, Katsumi Inoue, and Ken-ichi Kawarabayashi, *Nonseparating induced cycles consisting of contractible edges in k -connected graphs*, Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics **11** (2002), 253–264.
3. Jonathan L. Gross and Jay Yellen, *Graph theory and its applications*, Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications, 2006.
4. Carsten Thomassen, *Non-separating cycles in k -connected graphs*, Journal of Graph Theory **5** (1981), 351–354.
5. Carsten Thomassen and Bjarne Toft, *Non-separating induced cycles in graphs*, Journal of combinatorial theory, Series B **31** (1981), 199–224.
6. W.T. Tutte, *Connectivity in graphs*, Mathematical Expositions, no. 15, University of Toronto Press, 1966.

C. KINGSFORD, DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED COMPUTER STUDIES, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MD, USA

E-mail address: carlk@cs.umd.edu

G. MARÇAIS, PROGRAM IN APPLIED MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTATION, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MD, USA

E-mail address: guillaume@marcais.net