LINEAR EXTENSIONS OF ISOMETRIES BETWEEN GROUPS OF INVERTIBLE ELEMENTS IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

OSAMU HATORI

ABSTRACT. We show that if T is an isometry (as metric spaces) from an open subgroup of the invertible group A^{-1} of a unital Banach algebra A onto an open subgroup of the invertible group B^{-1} of a unital Banach algebra B, then T is extended to a real-linear isometry up to translation between these Banach algebras. We consider multiplicativity or unti-multiplicativity of the isometry. Note that a unital linear isometry between unital semisimple commutative Banach algebra need be multiplicative. On the other hand, we show that if A is commutative and Aor B are semisimple, then $(T(e_A))^{-1}T$ is extended to a isometrical real algebra isomorphism from A onto B. In particular, A^{-1} is isometric as a metric space to B^{-1} if and only if they are isometrically isomorphic to each other as metrizable groups if and only if A is isometrically isomorphic to B as a real Banach algebra; it is compared by the example of Zelazko concerning on non-isomorphic Banach algebras with homeomorphically isomorphic invertible groups. Maps between standard operator algebras are also investigated.

1. INTRODUCTION

A long tradition of inquiry seeks sufficient sets of conditions on Banach algebras and related subsets, in order that isometries on the algebras or subsets are automatically (or extended to be) linear, multiplicative, or Jordan. In this paper we investigate isometries between groups of invertible elements in unital complex Banach algebras.

The Mazur-Ulam theorem asserts that every isometry from a normed space onto a normed space is real linear up to translation. Suppose that a map T is defined from an open set of a Banach space onto an open set of a Banach space. Even if T is isometric, T need not be extended a real linear map up to translation between the Banach spaces (see Example 2.3). We show in the section 3 that an isometry T from an open subgroup of the invertible group of a unital Banach algebra A onto an open subgroup of the

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47B48,46B04.

Key words and phrases. Banach algebras, isometries, groups of the invertible elements. The author was partly supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research, The Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Japan.

invertible group of a unital Banach algebra B is extended to a real-linear isometry up to translation of A onto B.

There exist unital complex Banach algebras A and B which are not isomorphic as real algebras while the invertible groups A^{-1} and B^{-1} are homeomorphically isomorphic to each other as topological groups (cf. [11, Remark 1.7.8.]). In the section 4 we show that a unital complex Banach algebra Ais isometrically isomorphic to a unital complex Banach algebra B as a real Banach algebra if and only if A^{-1} is isometrically isomorphic to B^{-1} as a metrizable group if and only if the principal component of A^{-1} is isometrically isomorphic to the principal component of B^{-1} as a metrizable group.

In the section 5 we consider multiplicativity of the extended linear map obtained in Theorem 3.2. Suppose that the given algebra A in Theorem 3.2 is a uniform algebra. Then the extended map \tilde{T}_0 is multiplicative if T is unital, T(ia) = iT(a) for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, and $u_0 = 0$ by a theorem of Jarosz [6, Corollary 2], which is a generalization of the Banach-Stone theorem. On the other hand a unital isometry of unital semisimple commutative Banach algebras need not be multiplicative even if it is surjective and complex-linear; a Banach-Stone theorem does not hold for general unital semisimple commutative Banach algebras. To prove multiplicativity of \tilde{T}_0 we need alternative way. In [3] we proved by applying a theory of spectrum preserving map that the invertible group \mathcal{A}^{-1} of a unital semisimple commutative Banach algebra is isometric to the invertible group B^{-1} of a unital Banach algebra as a metric space if and only if \mathcal{A}^{-1} is isometrically isomorphic to B^{-1} as a metrizable group if and only if \mathcal{A} is isometrically isomorphic to B as a real Banach algebra. In the section 5 we show a comparison result (Corollary 5.2) to the above. We also consider the case for closed standard operator algebras.

Throughout the paper we denote the invertible group, the group of all the invertible elements in a given Banach algebra A, by A^{-1} . The principal component of A^{-1} is denoted by A_e^{-1} . Note that every open subgroup of the invertible group contains the principal component for every unital Banach algebras. For $a \in A$, $\sigma(a)$ denotes the spectrum of a and the spectral radius of a is r(a). The unit element in A is denoted by e_A . The (Jacobson) radical of A is rad(A).

2. Lemmata

We begin by showing a preliminary lemma. We prove it by making use of an idea of Väisälä [9]

Lemma 2.1. Let $c \in B$ and a map $\psi : B \to B$ be defined as $\psi(z) = 2c - z$ Suppose that L is a non-empty bounded subset of B such that $c \in L$ and $\psi(L) = L$. If \mathcal{T} is a surjective isometry from L onto itself. Then $\mathcal{T}(c) = c$.

Proof. Let W be the set of all surjective isometries from L onto itself. Note that W is not empty since the identity function is in W. Let

$$\lambda = \sup\{\|g(c) - c\| : g \in W\}.$$

Since L is non-empty and bounded λ is not infty. We will show that $\lambda = 0$. It will force that g(c) = c for every surjective isometry from L onto itself. Suppose that $g \in W$. Let $g^* = g^{-1} \circ \psi \circ g$. Then $g^* \in W$. Hence

$$\lambda \ge \|g^*(c) - c\| = \|g^{-1} \circ \psi \circ g(c) - c\|$$
$$= \|\psi \circ g(c) = g(c)\| = 2\|g(c) - c\|.$$

It follows that $\lambda \geq 2\lambda$ since g can be chosen arbitrary, hence $\lambda = 0$.

A real linear space with a metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfying d(a+u, b+u) = d(a, b) for all a, b, u, and for which addition and scalar multiplication are jointly continuous is called *a metric real linear space*.

Lemma 2.2. Let \mathcal{B}_1 be a real normed space and \mathcal{B}_2 a metric real linear space with a metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Suppose that U_1 and U_2 are non-empty open subsets of \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 respectively. Suppose that \mathcal{T} is a surjective isometry $(d(\mathcal{T}(a), \mathcal{T}(b)) = ||a - b||$ for every $a, b \in U_1$) from U_1 onto U_2 and $f, g \in U_1$. If f and g satisfy the equation $(1 - r)f + rg \in U_1$ for every r with $0 \le r \le 1$, then the equality

$$\mathcal{T}(\frac{f+g}{2}) = \frac{\mathcal{T}(f) + \mathcal{T}(g)}{2}$$

holds.

Proof. Let $h, h' \in U_1$. Suppose that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ which satisfies that $\frac{\|h-h'\|}{2} < \varepsilon$, and

$$\{a \in B_1 : \|a - h\| < \varepsilon, \ \|a - h'\| < \varepsilon\} \subset U_1,$$
$$\{u \in B_2 : d(u, \mathcal{T}(h)) < \varepsilon, \ d(u, \mathcal{T}(h')) < \varepsilon\} \subset U_2.$$
We will show that $\mathcal{T}(\frac{h+h'}{2}) = \frac{\mathcal{T}(h) + \mathcal{T}(h')}{2}$. Set $r = \frac{\|h - h'\|}{2}$ and let
$$L_1 = \{a \in \mathcal{B}_1 : \|a - h\| = r = \|a - h'\|\},$$
$$L_2 = \{u \in \mathcal{B}_2 : d(u, \mathcal{T}(h)) = r = d(u, \mathcal{T}(h'))\}.$$

Set also $c_1 = \frac{h+h'}{2}$ and $c_2 = \frac{\mathcal{T}(h)+\mathcal{T}(h')}{2}$. Then we have $\mathcal{T}(L_1) = L_2, c_1 \in L_1 \subset U_1$, and $c_2 \in L_2 \subset U_2$. Let

 $\psi_2(y) = 2c_2 - y \quad (y \in \mathcal{B}_2).$

Then ψ_2 is an isometry and $\psi_2(L_2) = L_2$, and $\psi_2(c_2) = c_2$.

Let $Q = \mathcal{T}^{-1} \circ \psi_2 \circ \mathcal{T}$. Then Q is well-defined and is a surjective isometry from L_1 onto itself. Then by Lemma 2.1 $Q(c_1) = c_1$. Henceforth $\mathcal{T}(c_1) = c_2$.

We assume that f and g are as described. Let

$$K = \{(1 - r)f + rg : 0 \le r \le 1\}.$$

Since K and $\mathcal{T}(K)$ are compact, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ with

$$\inf\{\|a-b\|: a \in K, b \in \mathcal{B}_1 \setminus U_1\} > \varepsilon,$$

$$\inf\{d(u,v): u \in \mathcal{T}(K), v \in \mathcal{B}_2 \setminus U_2\} > \varepsilon.$$

Then for every $h \in K$ we have

$$\{a \in \mathcal{B}_1 : \|a - h\| < \varepsilon\} \subset U_1$$

and

$$\{u \in \mathcal{B}_2 : d(u, \mathcal{T}(h)) < \varepsilon\} \subset U_2$$

Choose a natural number n with $\frac{\|f-g\|}{2^n} < \varepsilon.$ Let

$$h_k = \frac{k}{2^n}(g-f) + f$$

for each $0 \le k \le 2^n$. By the first part of the proof we have

$$\mathcal{T}(h_k) + \mathcal{T}(h_{k+2}) - 2\mathcal{T}(h_{k+1}) = 0 \qquad (k)$$

holds for $0 \le k \le 2^n - 2$. For $0 \le k \le 2^n - 4$, adding the equations (k), 2 times of (k+1), and (k+2) we have

$$\mathcal{T}(h_k) + \mathcal{T}(h_{k+4}) - 2\mathcal{T}(h_{k+2}) = 0,$$

whence the equality

$$\mathcal{T}(\frac{f+g}{2}) = \frac{\mathcal{T}(f) + \mathcal{T}(g)}{2}$$

holds by induction on n.

Note that an isometry between open sets of Banach algebras need not be extended to a linear isometry between these Banach algebras.

Example 2.3. Let $X = \{x, y\}$ be a compact Hausdorff space consisting of two points. Let

$$\mathcal{U} = \{ f \in C(X) : \|f\| < 1 \} \cup \{ f \in C(X) : \|f - f_0\| < 1 \},\$$

where $f_0 \in C(X)$ is defined as $f_0(x) = 0$, $f_0(y) = 10$. Suppose that

$$\mathcal{T}:\mathcal{U}
ightarrow\mathcal{U}$$

is defined as $\mathcal{T}(f) = \tilde{f}$ if ||f|| < 1 and $\mathcal{T}(f) = f$ if $||f - f_0|| < 1$, where

$$\tilde{f}(t) = \begin{cases} -f(t), & t = x \\ f(t), & t = y \end{cases}$$

Then \mathcal{T} is an isometry from \mathcal{U} onto itself, while it cannot be extended to a real linear isometry up to translation.

3. Linear extensions of isometries

In this section we show that isometries between open subgroups of the invertibel groups of unital Banach algebras are extended to real-linear isometries up to translation.

Lemma 3.1. Let B be a unital Banach algebra and $a \in B$. Suppose that r(ba) = 0 for every $b \in B_e^{-1}$. Then $a \in rad(B)$.

Proof. Suppose that α is a complex number and $0 \le t \le 1$. Then $r(t\alpha a) = 0$, so -1 is not in the spectrum of $t\alpha a$; $t\alpha a + e_B \in B^{-1}$. Thus $\alpha a + e_B$ is in the component of e_B ; $\alpha a + e \in B_e^{-1}$.

We will show that $a \in L$ whenever L is a maximal left ideal of B, which will follow that $a \in \operatorname{rad}(B)$. Suppose that there is a maximal left ideal Lwith $a \notin L$. Then L + Ba is a left ideal of B which properly contains L, so L + Ba = B for L is a maximal left ideal. Thus there is $a' \in B$ with $a'a + e_B \in L$. Let α be a complex number such that $a' - \alpha e_B \in B_e^{-1}$. Since $\alpha a + e_B \in B_e^{-1}$,

$$(\alpha a + e_B)^{-1}(a' - \alpha e_B)a + e_B =$$

(\alpha a + e_B)^{-1}(a' - \alpha e_B)a + (\alpha a + e_B)^{-1}(\alpha a + e_B)
= (\alpha a + e_B)^{-1}(a'a + e_B) \in L

hold. Thus $(\alpha a + e_B)^{-1}(a' - \alpha e_B)a + e_B$ is singular, hence $r((\alpha a + e_B)^{-1}(a' - \alpha e_B)a) > 0$, which is a contradiction since $(\alpha a + e_B)^{-1}(a' - \alpha e_B) \in B_e^{-1}$. \Box

Theorem 3.2. Let A and B be unital Banach algebras and \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} open subgroups of A and B respectively. Suppose that T is a surjective isometry from \mathfrak{A} onto \mathfrak{B} . Then there exist a surjective real-linear isometry \tilde{T}_0 from A onto B and $u_0 \in \operatorname{rad}(B)$ which satisfy that $T(a) = \tilde{T}_0(a) + u_0$ for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Proof. A routine argument shows the existence of $\lim_{\mathfrak{A}\ni a\to 0} T(a)$ for an isometry T, and let $u_0 = \lim_{\mathfrak{A}\ni a\to 0} T(a)$. Suppose that $f \in B_e^{-1}$ and $\sigma(fu_0) \ni \lambda \neq 0$. Let $c_{\lambda} = T^{-1}(-\lambda f^{-1})$. It is well-defined since $B_e^{-1} \subset \mathfrak{B}$

for \mathfrak{B} is an open subgroup of B^{-1} . For every 0 < s < 1 and $0 \leq t \leq 1$, $(1-t)(1-s)c_{\lambda} + tsc_{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{A}$

$$T\left(\frac{c_{\lambda}}{2}\right) = T\left(\frac{(1-s)c_{\lambda} + sc_{\lambda}}{2}\right) = \frac{T((1-s)c_{\lambda}) + T(sc_{\lambda})}{2}$$

holds since $(1-t)(1-s)c_{\lambda} + tsc_{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{A}$ and letting $s \to 1$

$$T\left(\frac{c_{\lambda}}{2}\right) = \frac{T(c_{\lambda}) + u_0}{2} = \frac{-\lambda f^{-1} + u_0}{2},$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$-\lambda e_B + fu_0 = 2fT\left(\frac{c_\lambda}{2}\right) \in \mathfrak{B} \subset B^{-1}$$

holds. Thus $\lambda \in \sigma(fu_0)$ holds, which is a contradiction proving that proving that $\sigma(fu_0) = \{0\}$ or $r(fu_0) = 0$ for every $f \in B_e^{-1}$. It follows by Lemma 3.1 that $u_0 \in \operatorname{rad}(B)$.

Since rad(B) is the intersection of the maximal left ideal $b^{-1}u \in \operatorname{rad}(B)$ for every $b \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $u \in \operatorname{rad}(B)$. Hence $b^{-1}u + e_B \in B_e^{-1}$, so $u + b = b(b^{-1}u + e_B) \in \mathfrak{B}$ holds. In particular we see that $\mathfrak{B} - u_0 = \mathfrak{B}$. Let $T_0 : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$ be defined as $T_0(b) = T(b) - u_0$. Then T_0 is well-defined and a surjective isometry. Let $\tilde{T}_0 : A \to B$ be defined as

$$\tilde{T}_0(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & a = 0\\ T_0(a+2||a||e_A) - T_0(2||a||e_A), & a \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

For a non-zero $a \in A$, $a + 2||a||e_A$ and $2||a||e_A$ are in A_e^{-1} since

$$||a+2||a||e_A-2||a||e_A|| = ||a|| < 2||a||$$

and $A_e^{-1} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ for \mathfrak{A} is open subgroup of A, \tilde{T}_0 is well-defined map from A into B.

We will show that

$$T_0(-a) = -T_0(a)$$

for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Let $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Since $-a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and \mathfrak{A} is open, $-a; \frac{i}{n}a \in \mathfrak{A}$ for a sufficiently large positive integer n. Then by Lemma 2.2 we see that

$$T_0\left(\frac{i}{2n}a\right) = T_0\left(\frac{a + (-a + \frac{i}{n}a)}{2}\right) = \frac{T_0(a) + T_0(-a + \frac{i}{n})}{2}$$

Thus

$$0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} T_0\left(\frac{i}{2n}a\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{T_0(a) + T_0(-a + \frac{i}{n}a)}{2} = T_0(a) + T_0(-a)$$

and $T_0(-a) = -T_0(a)$ holds for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then by Lemma 2.2

$$T_0\left(\frac{2a+\varepsilon a}{2}\right) = \frac{T_0(2a)+T_0(\varepsilon a)}{2}$$

holds for positive ε , and letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have $2T_0(a) = T_0(2a)$. By induction on n we see that $nT_0(a) = T_0(na)$ holds by applying Lemma 2.2.

Substituting a by $\frac{m}{n}a T\left(\frac{m}{n}a\right) = \frac{m}{n}T_0(a)$ holds for every positive integer m and n, and $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Since T_0 is continuous we conclude that

$$(3.1) T_0(ra) = rT_0(a)$$

holds for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and r > 0.

Suppose that $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $(1-t)atb \in \mathfrak{A}$ holds for every $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Then by Lemma 2.2 $T_0\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) = \frac{T_0(a)+T_0(b)}{2}$, hence by (3.1)

(3.2)
$$T_0(a+b) = T_0(a) + T_0(b)$$

holds. In particular, (3.2) holds for every pair a and b in

$$\Omega_A = \{a \in A : \|a - re_A\| < r \text{ for some } r > 0\}$$

since Ω_A is convex. Hence we see that

(3.3)
$$\tilde{T}_0(a) = T_0(a+2||a||e_A) - T_0(2||a||e_A) = T_0(a)$$

holds for every $a \in \Omega_A$.

We will show that \tilde{T}_0 is real-linear. Let c be a non-zero element in A. Then $c + re_A$, $re_A \in \Omega_A$ for every positive real number r > 2||c||. Hence

$$T_0(c + re_A) + T_0(2||c||e_A)$$

= $T_0(c + re_A + 2||c||e_A) = T_0(c + 2||c||e_A) + T_0(re_A)$

holds. Consequently

(3.4)
$$T_0(c) = T_0(c + re_A) - T_0(re_A)$$

holds for every $c \in A \setminus \{0\}$ and positive real number r > 2||c||. We will show that $\tilde{T}_0(a+b) = \tilde{T}_0(a) + \tilde{T}_0(b)$ holds for every $a, b \in A$. If a or b = 0, the equation is trivial. Assume $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$. Since $2||a+b|| \leq 2||a|| + ||b||$ we obtain from (3.4) that

(3.5)
$$\tilde{T}_0(a+b) = T_0(a+b+2||a||e_A+2||b||e_A) - T_0(2||a||e_A+2||b||e_A).$$

Applying (3.2) we observe that

$$T_0(a+b+2||a||e_A+2||b||e_A) = T_0(a+2||a||e_A) + T_0(b+2||b||e_A)$$

and

$$T'_0 2||a||e_A + 2||b||e_A) = T_0(2||a||e_A) + T_0(2||b||e_A)$$

holds and we conclude from (3.5) that

(3.6)
$$T_0(a+b)$$

= $T_0(a+2||a||e_A) - T_0(2||a||e_A) + T_0(b+2||b||e_A) - T_0(2||b||e_A)$
= $\tilde{T}_0(a) + \tilde{T}_0(b)$

holds, as claimed. We will show next that $\tilde{T}_0(ra) = r\tilde{T}_0(a)$ holds for every $a \in A$ and a real number r. If a = 0 or r = 0, the equation is trivial. Let $0 \neq a \in A$ and $r \neq 0$. Suppose r > 0. Then applying (3.1) we obtain the required equation. Suppose that r < 0. Since $-a + 2||a||e_A$, $a + 2||a||e_A$, $2||a||e_A \in \Omega_A$ equations

$$T_0(-a+2||a||e_A) + T_0(a+2||a||e_A)$$

= $T_0(2||a||e_A+2||a||e_A) = T_0(2||a||e_A) + T_0(2||a||e_A)$

hold, and they imply

(3.7)
$$\tilde{T}_0(ra) = -r \left(T_0(-a+2||a||e_A) - T_0(2||a||e_A) \right)$$

= $-r \left(-T_0(a+2||a||e_A) + T_0(2||a||e_A) \right) = r\tilde{T}_0(a)$

Henceforth \tilde{T}_0 is real-linear, as claimed.

We will show that \tilde{T}_0 is an isometry. Suppose that $a \in A$. Then $\|\tilde{T}_0(a)\| = \|a\|$ if a = 0. Suppose that

$$\|\tilde{T}_0(a)\| = \|T_0(a+2\|a\|e_A) - T(2\|a\|e_A)\|$$

= $\|T(a+2\|a\|e_A) - T(2\|a\|e_A)\| = \|a+2\|a\|e_A - 2\|a\|e_A\| = \|a\|$

holds if $a \neq 0$. Hence \tilde{T}_0 is an isometry since \tilde{T}_0 is linear.

We will show that \tilde{T}_0 is surjective. Let $a \in B$. Let r be a real number with ||a|| < r and $||(T_0(e_A))^{-1}a|| < r$. Then

$$||(T_0(e_A))^{-1}a + re_B - re_B|| < r$$

implies that $(T_0(e_A))^{-1}a + re_B \in B_e^{-1}$ and by (3.1)

$$a + T_0(re_A) \in \mathfrak{B}$$

holds. On the other hand

 $||T_0^{-1}(a + T_0(re_A)) - re_A|| = ||a + T_0(re_A) - T(re_A)|| > r$ implies that $T_0^{-1}(a + T_0(re_A)) \in \Omega_A$. Let $f = T_0^{-1}(a + T_0(re_A)) - re_A$. Applying (3.2)

$$T_0(f + re_A) + T_0(2||f||e_A)$$

= $T_0(f + re_A + 2||f||e_A) = T_0(f + 2||f||e_A) + T_0(re_A)$

hold, hence

$$a = T_0(f + re_A) - T_0(re_A) = T_0(f + 2||f||e_A) - T_0(2||f||e_A) = \tilde{T}_0(f)$$

hold. Consequently \tilde{T}_0 is surjective since $a \in B$ is arbitrary.

We will show that \tilde{T}_0 is an extension of T_0 ; $\tilde{T}_0(a) = T_0(a)$ for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Let $P = \tilde{T}_0^{-1} \circ T_0 : \mathfrak{A} \to A$. Then by (3.3)

(3.8)
$$P(a+2||a||e_A) = a+2||a||e_A$$

holds for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Since \tilde{T}_0^{-1} is linear

(3.9)
$$P(a-2||a||e_A) = -P(-a+2||a||e_A) = a-2||a||e_A$$

holds by (3.1) and (3.8) for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. For every $0 \le t \le 1$

$$\|t(a+2\|a\|e_A) + (1-t)(\pm 2i\|a\|e_A) - 2(t\pm(1-t)i)\|a\|e_A\|$$
$$= \|ta\| < 2|t\pm(1-t)i|\|a\|$$

holds, for $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, hence

$$t(a+2||a||e_A) + (1-t)(\pm 2i||a||e_A) \in \Omega_A.$$

Therefore

(3.10)
$$T_0(a + 2||a||e_A) + T_0(\pm 2i||a||e_A) = T_0(a + 2||a||e_A \pm 2i||a||e_A)$$

holds by (3.2). Since

(3.11)
$$||t(a \pm 2i||a||e_A) + (1-t)2||a||e_A - 2(\pm it + (1-t))||a||e_A||$$

= $||ta|| < 2| \pm it - (1-t)|||a||$

holds for every $0 \le t \le 1$ and $a \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$T_0(a \pm 2i ||a||e_A) + T_0(2||a||e_A) = T_0(a \pm 2i ||a||e_A + 2||a||e_A)$$

holds in a same way. It follows that

(3.12)
$$\tilde{T}_0(a) = T_0(a+2||a||e_A) - T_0(2||a||e_A)$$

= $T_0(a \pm 2i||a||e_A) - T_0(\pm 2i||a||e_A)$

holds for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Applying (3.2)

$$T_0(\pm 2i||a||e_A + 4||a||e_A) = T_0(\pm 2i||a||e_A) + T_0(4||a||e_A)$$

holds since

$$t(\pm 2i\|a\|e_A) + (1-t)4\|a\|e_A \in \mathfrak{A}$$

for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Then we obtain

(3.13)
$$T_0(\pm 2i \|a\|e_A) = T_0(\pm 2i \|a\|e_A + 4\|a\|e_A) - T_0(4\|a\|e_A)$$

= $\tilde{T}_0(\pm 2i \|a\|e_A)$

for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. It follows by (3.12) and (3.13) that

(3.14)
$$P(a \pm 2i ||a||e_A) = \tilde{T}_0^{-1} (\tilde{T}_0(a) + T_0(\pm 2i ||a||e_A))$$
$$= \tilde{T}_0^{-1} (\tilde{T}_0(a) + \tilde{T}_0(\pm 2i ||a||e_A)) = a \pm 2i ||a||e_A$$

holds for every $a\in\mathfrak{A}$ since \tilde{T}_0^{-1} is linear.

Applying (3.8) and (3.9)

(3.15)
$$2||a|| = ||a \pm 2||a||e_A - a|| = ||P(a \pm 2||a||e_A) - P(a)||$$

= $||a \pm 2||a||e_A - P(a)|| = ||P(a) - a \pm 2||a||e_A||$

holds for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. In a same way, applying (3.14)

(3.16)
$$2\|a\| = \|P(a) - a \pm 2i\|a\|e_A\|$$

holds for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$.

For an element $b \in B$ the numerical range of b is denoted by W(b). By (3.16) and [8, Lemma 2.6.3]

(3.17)
$$\sup\{\operatorname{Im}(\lambda) : \lambda \in W(P(a) - a)\}\$$

= $\inf_{t>0} t^{-1}(||e_A - it(P(a) - a)|| - 1)$
 $\leq 2||a||(||e_A - \frac{i}{2||a||}(P(a) - a)|| - 1) = 0.$

Since W(-P(a) + a) = -W(P(a) - a) we have

(3.18)
$$-\inf\{\operatorname{Im}(\lambda) : \lambda \in W(P(a) - a)\} \\= \sup\{\operatorname{Im}(\lambda) : \lambda \in W(-P(a) + a)\} \\= \inf_{t>0} t^{-1}(\|e_A - it(-P(a) + a)\| - 1) \\\leq 2\|a\|(\|e_A + \frac{i}{2\|a\|}(P(a) - a)\| - 1) = 0$$

Thus we see that

$$W(P(a) - a) \subset \mathbb{R},$$

where \mathbb{R} denotes the set of real numbers. Applying (3.15) and [8, Lemma 2.6.3] in a same way we see that

$$iW(P(a) - a) = W(i(P(a) - a)) \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows that

$$W(P(a) - a) = \{0\}.$$

Since

$$||P(a) - a|| \le e ||P(a) - a||_W$$

holds (cf. [8, Theorem 2.6.4]), where $\|\cdot\|_W$ denotes the numerical radius, we see that P(a) = a holds for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Since $T(a) = T_0(a) + u_0$ for $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ by the definition of T_0 , we conclude that $T(a) = \tilde{T}_0(a) + u_0$ holds for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$.

4. Isometrical isomorphisms as metrizable groups

There are unital commutative C^* -algebras C(X) and C(Y) which are not real-algebraically isomorphic to each other while the invertible groups of these algebras are homeomorphically isomorphic to each other (cf.[11, Remark 1.7.8]). We show that if the invertible groups are isometrically isomorphic to each other, then the corresponding Banach algebras are isometrically isomorphic as real Banach algebras to each other.

Corollary 4.1. Let A and B be unital Banach algebras and \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} open subgroups of A^{-1} and B^{-1} respectively. Suppose that T is a isometrical isomorphism from the metrizable group \mathfrak{A} onto the metrizable group \mathfrak{B} . Then T is extended to an isometrical real-algebra isomorphism from A onto B. In particular, A^{-1} is isometrically isomorphic to B^{-1} as a metrizable group.

Proof. Since T is a group isomorphism $T(e_A) = e_B$ holds. Let $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ be an element such that $T(a) = 2e_B$ and $\mathfrak{A} \ni a_n \to 0$. Then

$$u_0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} T(aa_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} T(a)T(a_n) = 2e_B u_0,$$

where u_0 is a radical element described in Theorem 3.2, hence $u_0 = 0$. Thus T is extended to a surjective real-linear isometry \tilde{T} from A onto B by Theorem 3.2. We will show that \tilde{T} is multiplicative Suppose $a, b \in A$. Let rbe a sufficiently large real number such that $a - re_A, b - re_A \in A_e^{-1}$. Since T is multiplicative on \mathfrak{A} and $A - 1_e \subset \mathfrak{A}$,

$$T((a - re_A)(b - re_A)) = T(a - re_A)T(b - re_A)$$

holds and by a simple calculation in the both side of the equation implies that

$$\tilde{T}(ab) = \tilde{T}(a)\tilde{T}(b)$$

holds. Thus \tilde{T} is a surjective isometrical real-algebra isomorphism as desired. Hence $\tilde{T}(A^{-1}) = B^{-1}$ holds, so \tilde{T} defines an isometrical isomorphism as metrizable groups between A^{-1} and B^{-1} .

Applying $\mathfrak{A} = A_e^{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{B} = B_e^{-1}$ for the above corollary the following holds.

Corollary 4.2. Let A and B be unital Banach algebras. Then A_e^{-1} is isometrically isomorphic to B_e^{-1} as a metrizable group if and only if A^{-1} is isometrically isomorphic to B^{-1} as a metrizable group. In this case A is isometrically isomorphic to B as a real Banach algebra.

5. Multiplicativity or unti-multiplicativity of isometries

We proved the following in [3]. The proof involves much about commutativity and semisimplicity of the given Banach algebra A.

Theorem 5.1. Let A be a unital semisimple commutative Banach algebra and B a unital Banach algebra. Suppose \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} are open subgroups of A^{-1} and B^{-1} respectively. Suppose that T is a surjective isometry from \mathfrak{A} onto \mathfrak{B} . Then B is a semisimple and commutative, and $(T(e_A))^{-1}T$ is extended to an isometrical real algebra isomorphism from A onto B. In particular, A^{-1} is isometrically isomorphic to B^{-1} as a metrizable group.

In the following comparison result as the above we make use of Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 5.2. Let A be a unital commutative Banach algebra and B a unital semisimple Banach algebra. Let \mathfrak{A} be an open subgroup of A^{-1} and \mathfrak{B} an open subgroup of B^{-1} . Suppose that T is a surjective isometry from \mathfrak{A} onto \mathfrak{B} . Then $(T(e_A))^{-1}T$ is extended to a surjective isometrical real algebra isomorphism from A onto B. Moreover, A is semisimple and B is commutative. In particular, A^{-1} is isometrically isomorphic to B^{-1} as a metrizable group.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2 there is a $u_0 \in \operatorname{rad}(B)$ such that $T - u_0$ is extended to a real-linear isometry \tilde{T}_0 from A onto B. Since B is semisimple, $u_0 = 0$ (cf. [2, Theorem 2.5.8]), hence \tilde{T}_0 is an extension of T itself. We will show that A is semisimple. Let $a \in \operatorname{rad}(A)$ and let $T_a : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$ be defined as $T_a(b) = T(a+b)$ for $b \in \mathfrak{B}$. Then T_a is well-defined and a surjective isometry since $a + \mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}$ for $a \in \operatorname{rad}(A)$. By Theorem 3.2 T_a is also extended to a surjective real-linear isometry \tilde{T}_a from A onto B. For every positive integer

$$\tilde{T}_a\left(\frac{e_A}{n}\right) = T_a\left(\frac{e_A}{n}\right) = T\left(a + \frac{e_A}{n}\right) = \tilde{T}_0\left(a + \frac{e_A}{n}\right)$$

holds. Letting $n \to \infty$ we have

 $0 = \tilde{T}_0(a),$

hence a = 0 for \tilde{T}_0 is injective. It hollows that $rad(A) = \{0\}$, or A is semisimple. Then by Theorem 5.1 the conclusion holds.

The hypothesis that B is semisimple in Corollary 5.2 is essential as the following example (cf. [3]) shows that a unital isometry from A^{-1} onto B^{-1} need not be multiplicative nor antimultiplicative unless at least one of A or B are semisimple.

Example 5.3. Let

$$A_0 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a, \ b, \ c \in \mathbb{C} \}.$$

Let

$$A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & a & b \\ 0 & \alpha & c \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} : \alpha, \ a, \ b, \ c \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

be the unitization of A_0 , where the multiplication (in A_0) is the zero multiplication; MN = 0 for every $M, N \in A_0$. Let B = A as sets, while the multiplication in B is the usual multiplication for matrices. Then Aand B are unital Banach algebras under the usual operator norm. Note that A is commutative and A nor B are not semisimple. Note also that $A^{-1} = \{\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & a & b \\ 0 & \alpha & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \in A : \alpha \neq 0\}$ and $B^{-1} = \{\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & a & b \\ 0 & \alpha & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \in B : \alpha \neq 0\}$. Define $T : A^{-1} \to B^{-1}$ by T(M) = M. Then T is well-defined and a surjective unital $(T(e_A) = e_B)$ isometry. On the other hand A^{-1} is not (group) isomorphic to B^{-1} , in particular, T is not multiplicative nor antimultiplicative.

We show a result for standard operator algebras.

Corollary 5.4. Let \mathcal{X} (resp. \mathcal{Y}) be a Banach space. Suppose that A (resp. B) is a unital closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y})$), the Banach algebra of all the bounded operators on \mathcal{X} (resp. \mathcal{Y}), which contains all finite rank operators. Suppose that T is a surjective isometry from an open subgroup \mathfrak{A} of A^{-1} onto an open subgroup \mathfrak{B} of B^{-1} . Then there exists an invertible bounded linear or conjugate linear operator $U : X \to Y$ such that $T(a) = T(e_A)UaU^{-1}$ for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, or there exists an invertible bounded linear or conjugate linear operator $V : X^* \to Y$ such that $T(a) = T(e_A)Va^*V^{-1}$ for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. In particular, if T is unital in the sense that $T(e_A) = e_B$, then T is multiplicative (in this case, A^{-1} is isometrically isomorphic to B^{-1} as a metrizable group).

Proof. By Theorem 3.2 there is a $u_0 \in \operatorname{rad}(B)$ such that $T - u_0$ is extended to a real-linear isometry \tilde{T}_0 from A onto B. Since B is semisimple (cf. [2, Theorem 2.5.8]), $u_0 = 0$ follows, hence $\tilde{T}_0 = T$ on \mathfrak{A} . Thus $(T(e_A))^{-1}\tilde{T}_0$ is an additive surjection such that $(T(e_A))^{-1}\tilde{T}_0(A^{-1}) = B^{-1}$. Applying Theorem 3.2 in [5] for $(T(e_A))^{-1}\tilde{T}_0$, there exists an invertible bounded linear or conjugate linear operator $U: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ such that $(T(e_A))^{-1}\tilde{T}_0(a) = UaU^{-1}$ $(a \in A)$, or there exists an invertible bounded linear or conjugate linear operator $V: \mathcal{X}^* \to \mathcal{Y}$ such that $(T(e_A))^{-1}\tilde{T}_0(a) = Va^*V^{-1}$ $(a \in A)$. Henceforth the conclusions hold. \Box

Let M_n be the algebra of all $n \times n$ matrices over the complex number field. For $M \in M_n$ the spectrum is denoted by $\sigma(M)$ and M^t is the transpose of M. E denotes the identity matrix. Let $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|'$ denote any matrix norms on M_n (cf. [4]).

Corollary 5.5. If S is a surjection from an open subgroup \mathfrak{A} of the group M_n^{-1} of the invertible $n \times n$ matrices over the complex number field onto an open subgroup \mathfrak{B} of M_n^{-1} such that ||S(M) - S(N)||' = ||M - N|| for all $M, N \in \mathfrak{A}$, then there exists an invertible matrix $U \in M_n$ such that $S(M) = S(E)UMU^{-1}$ for all $M \in \mathfrak{A}$, or $S(M) = S(E)UM^{t}U^{-1}$ for all $M \in \mathfrak{A}$, or $S(M) = S(E)U\overline{M}U^{-1}$ for all $M \in \mathfrak{A}$, or $S(M) = S(E)U\overline{M}^{t}U^{-1}$ for all $M \in \mathfrak{A}$, or $S(M) = S(E)U\overline{M}^{t}U^{-1}$ for all $M \in \mathfrak{A}$, or $S(M) = S(E)U\overline{M}^{t}U^{-1}$ for all $M \in \mathfrak{A}$, or $S(M) = S(E)U\overline{M}^{t}U^{-1}$ for all $M \in \mathfrak{A}$ hold. In particular, if S is unital, then S is multiplicative or anti-multiplicative.

Proof. By Corollary 5.4 there is an invertible matrix U such that one of the following four occurs.

- (1) $S(M) = S(E)UMU^{-1}$ holds for every $M \in M_n^{-1}$,
- (2) $S(M) = S(E)U\overline{M}U^{-1}$ holds for every $M \in M_n^{-1}$,
- (3) $S(M) = S(E)UM^{t}U^{-1}$ holds for every $M \in M_{n}^{-1}$,
- (4) $S(M) = S(E)U\overline{M}^{t}U^{-1}$ holds for every $M \in M_{n}^{-1}$.

Henceforth the conclusion holds.

References

- S. Banach, "Theory of Linear Operations", North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, Tokyo 1987
- [2] H. G. Dales, "Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity", London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 24. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000
- [3] O. Hatori, Isometries between groups of invertible elements in Banach algebras, to appear
- [4] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, "Matrix analysis Corrected reprint of the 1985 original", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990
- [5] J. Hou and J. Cui, Additive maps on standard operator algebras preserving invertibilities or zero divisors, Linear Algebra Appl., 359(2003), 219–233
- [6] K. Jarosz, The uniqueness of multiplication in function algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 89(1983), 249–253
- S. Mazur and S. Ulam, Sur les transformationes isométriques d'espaces vectoriels normés, C. R. Acad. Sci, Paris, 194(1932), 946–948
- [8] T. W. Palmer, "Banach algebras and the general theory of *-algebras. Vol. I. Algebras and Banach algebras", Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 49. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [9] J. Väisälä, A proof of the Mazur-Ulam theorem, Amer. Math. Monthly, 110(7)(2003), 633–635
- [10] W. Żelazko, A characterization of multiplicative linear functionals in complex Banach algebras, Studia Math., 30(1968), 83–85
- [11] W. Żelazko, "Banach Algebras", Elsivier, 1973

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Niigata University, Niigata 950-2181 Japan

E-mail address: hatori@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp