

EIGENFUNCTIONS AT THE THRESHOLD ENERGIES OF MAGNETIC DIRAC OPERATORS

Yoshimi Saitō[†] and Tomio Umeda[‡]

[†]*Department of Mathematics, University of Alabama at Birmingham
Birmingham, AL 35294, USA*

[‡]*Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Hyogo
Himeji 671-2201, Japan*

[†]*saito@math.uab.edu*

[‡]*umeda@sci.u-hyogo.ac.jp*

Discussed are $\pm m$ modes and $\pm m$ resonances of Dirac operators with vector potentials $H_A = \alpha \cdot (D - A(x)) + m\beta$. Asymptotic limits of $\pm m$ modes at infinity are derived when $|A(x)| \leq C\langle x \rangle^{-\rho}$, $\rho > 1$, provided that H_A has $\pm m$ modes. In wider classes of vector potentials, sparseness of the vector potentials which give rise to the $\pm m$ modes of H_A are established. It is proved that no H_A has $\pm m$ resonances if $|A(x)| \leq C\langle x \rangle^{-\rho}$, $\rho > 3/2$.

Keywords: Dirac operators, magnetic potentials, threshold energies, threshold resonances, threshold eigenfunctions, zero modes.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 35Q40, 35P99, 81Q10

1. INTRODUCTION

The introduction is devoted to exhibiting our results as well as to reviewing previous contributions in connection with the results in the present paper.

This paper is concerned with eigenfunctions and resonances at the threshold energies of Dirac operators with vector potentials

$$H_A = \alpha \cdot (D - A(x)) + m\beta, \quad D = \frac{1}{i} \nabla_x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (1.1)$$

[‡] Supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 21540193, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Here $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ is the triple of 4×4 Dirac matrices

$$\alpha_j = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \sigma_j \\ \sigma_j & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \quad (j = 1, 2, 3)$$

with the 2×2 zero matrix $\mathbf{0}$ and the triple of 2×2 Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -I_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The constant m is assumed to be positive.

Throughout the present paper we assume that each component of the vector potential $A(x) = (A_1(x), A_2(x), A_3(x))$ is a real-valued measurable function. In addition to this, we shall later impose four different sets of assumptions on $A(x)$ under which the operator $-\alpha \cdot A(x)$ is relatively compact with respect to the free Dirac operator $H_0 = \alpha \cdot D + m\beta$. Therefore, under any set of assumptions to be made, the magnetic Dirac operator H_A is a self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space $[L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$, and the essential spectrum of H_A is given by the union of the intervals $(-\infty, -m]$ and $[m, +\infty)$:

$$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_A) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty). \quad (1.2)$$

By the *threshold energies* of H_A , we mean the values $\pm m$, the edges of the essential spectrum $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_A)$.

We shall see in sections 3 – 5 that the discrete spectrum of H_A in the gap $(-m, m)$ is empty, although we should like to mention that this fact is well-known by the result of Thaller [31, p. 195, Theorem 7.1] where smoothness of vector potentials is assumed though. In other words, there are no isolated eigenvalues with finite multiplicity in the spectral gap $(-m, m)$. In the present paper, this fact will be obtained as a by-product of Theorem 2.3 in section 2, where we shall deal with an abstract Dirac operator, *i.e.*, a supersymmetric Dirac operator. As a result, we shall have

$$\sigma(H_A) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_A) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty)$$

under any set of the assumptions on $A(x)$ of the present paper.

In relation with the relative compactness of $-\alpha \cdot A(x)$ with respect to H_0 , it is worthwhile to mention a work by Thaller [30], where he showed that (1.2) is true under the assumption that $|B(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Here $B(x)$ denotes the magnetic field: $B(x) = \nabla \times A(x)$. It is clear that the assumption that $|B(x)| \rightarrow 0$ does not necessarily imply the relative compactness of $-\alpha \cdot A(x)$ with respect to H_0 . In Helffer, Nourrigat and Wang [16], they showed that (1.2) is true under much weaker

assumptions on $B(x)$, which do not even need the requirement that $|B(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$; see also [31, §7.3.2].

It is generally expected that eigenfunctions corresponding to a discrete eigenvalue of H_A decay exponentially at infinity (describing bound states), and that (generalized) eigenfunctions corresponding to an energy inside the continuous spectrum $(-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty)$ behave like the sum of a plane and a spherical waves at infinity (describing scattering states). At the energies $\pm m$, on which we shall focus in the present paper, (generalized) eigenfunctions are expected to behave like $C_0 + C_1|x|^{-1} + C_2|x|^{-2}$ at infinity, where C_j , $j = 1, 2, 3$, are constant vectors in \mathbb{C}^4 . If $C_0 = 0$ and $C_1 \neq 0$, then the (generalized) eigenfunctions become either of $\pm m$ resonances, and if $C_0 = 0$ and $C_1 = 0$, then the (generalized) eigenfunctions become either of $\pm m$ modes. For the precise definitions of $\pm m$ resonances and $\pm m$ modes, see Definition 6.1 in section 6 and Definition 3.1 in section 3 respectively. As for the exponential decay of eigenfunctions, we refer the reader to works by Helffer and Parisse [17], Wang [32], and a recent work by Yafaev [34]. As for the generalized eigenfunctions corresponding to an energy in $(-\infty, -m) \cup (m, +\infty)$ we refer the reader to Yamada [36].

As mentioned above, our main concern is the threshold energies $\pm m$ of the magnetic Dirac operator H_A . These energies are of particular importance and of interest from the physics point of view. We should like to mention Pickl and Dürre [21], and Pickl [20], where they investigate generalized eigenfunctions not only at the energies $\pm m$ but also at the energies near $\pm m$, with the emphasis on the famous relativistic effect of the pair creation of an electron and a positron. It is worthwhile to note that $\pm m$ modes and $\pm m$ resonances play decisive roles in their results. In the same spirit as in [20] and [21], Pickl and Dürre [22] mention the possibility of experimental verifications of the pair creation by combining lasers and heavy ions fields. Therefore, it is obvious that results on $\pm m$ modes and $\pm m$ resonances of magnetic Dirac operators are useful to understand the physics of the pair creations in such laser fields; see [22] for details.

The goal of the present paper is to derive a series of new results on $\pm m$ modes and $\pm m$ resonances of the magnetic Dirac operators H_A . Precisely speaking, we shall study asymptotic behaviors at infinity of the $\pm m$ modes, show sparseness of vector potentials which give rise to the $\pm m$ modes, and establish non-existence of $\pm m$ resonances.

According to Pickl [20, Theorems 3.4, 3.5], the behavior of the generalized eigenfunctions of Dirac operators near criticality largely depends on whether Dirac operators with critical potentials have $\pm m$ resonances or not. Since the modulus of their critical potentials are less than or equal to $C(1 + |x|)^{-2}$, we can actually conclude from Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 in section 6 that the magnetic Dirac operators with the critical potentials have no $\pm m$ resonances. However, one has to pay attention to a

slight difference between our definition of the threshold resonances (cf. Definition 6.1) and theirs (cf. [20, Definition 2.3 and the paragraph after it]).

Finally, we would like to mention that there is a striking difference between two and three dimensional Dirac operators with magnetic fields at the threshold energies. Compare the results in section 6 of the present paper with those of Aharonov and Casher [1], where their arguments indicate that one can find magnetic Dirac operators in dimension two which possess threshold resonances. See also Weidl [33, section 10].

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we shall prepare a few results on a supersymmetric Dirac operator, which will be used in all the later sections. In section 3 we shall investigate asymptotic behaviors at infinity of $\pm m$ modes of H_A , provided that it has $\pm m$ modes. Sparseness of the set of vector potentials $A(x)$ which yield $\pm m$ modes of H_A will be discussed in sections 4 and 5 in different regimes. In section 6 we shall prove that any H_A does not have $\pm m$ resonances under a stronger assumption than those made in the previous sections. Finally in section 7 we shall give examples of vector potentials $A(x)$ which yield $\pm m$ modes of magnetic Dirac operators H_A , and shall show that these operators H_A do not have $\pm m$ resonances. Then we shall propose an open question in relation with $\pm m$ resonances.

2. SUPERSYMMETRIC DIRAC OPERATORS

This section is devoted to a discussion about spectral properties of a class of supersymmetric Dirac operators. We should like to remark that our approach appears to be in the reverse direction in the sense that we start with two Hilbert spaces, and introduce a supersymmetric Dirac operator on the direct sum of the two Hilbert spaces. We find this approach convenient for our purpose; see Thaller [31, Chapter 5] for the standard theory of the supersymmetric Dirac operator.

The supersymmetric Dirac operator H which we shall consider in the present paper is defined as follows:

$$H := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T^* \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix} + m \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-, \quad (2.1)$$

where T is a densely defined operator from a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_+ to another Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_- , m is a positive constant, and the identity operators in \mathcal{H}_+ and \mathcal{H}_- are both denoted by I with an abuse of notation. We recall that the domain of H is given by $\mathcal{D}(H) = \mathcal{D}(T) \oplus \mathcal{D}(T^*)$, and that the inner product of the Hilbert space \mathcal{K} is defined by

$$(f, g)_{\mathcal{K}} := (\varphi^+, \psi^+)_{\mathcal{H}_+} + (\varphi^-, \psi^-)_{\mathcal{H}_-} \quad (2.2)$$

for

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^+ \\ \varphi^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} \psi^+ \\ \psi^- \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (2.3)$$

The first term on the right hand side of (2.1) is called the supercharge and the second term the involution, and denoted by Q and τ respectively:

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T^* \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

We now state the main results in this section, which are about the nature of eigenvectors of the supersymmetric Dirac operator (2.1) at the eigenvalues $\pm m$. We mention that T does not need to be a closed operator, and that T^* does not need to be densely defined, because we only focus on the eigenvalues $\pm m$ of H and the corresponding eigenspaces. In the standard theory of the supersymmetric Dirac operator, T is assumed to be a densely defined closed operator and T^* needs to be densely defined; cf. [31, §5.2.2].

We should like to draw attention to the fact that Theorems 2.1, 2.2 below are simply abstract restatements of Thaller [31, p. 195, Theorem 7.1], where he dealt with the magnetic Dirac operators under the assumption that $A_j \in C^\infty$. From the mathematically rigorous point of view, it is not appropriate to apply [31, Theorem 7.1] to the magnetic Dirac operators with non-smooth vector potentials. However, the vector potentials we shall treat in sections 3 – 5 are not smooth. In particular we shall deal, in section 4, with vector potentials which can have local singularities. In this case, even self-adjointness of the magnetic Dirac operators is not trivial. Hence [31, Theorem 7.1] is not applicable to this case. These are the reasons why we need to generalize and restate [31, Theorem 7.1] in an abstract setting.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that T is a densely defined operator from \mathcal{H}_+ to \mathcal{H}_- . Let H be a supersymmetric Dirac operator defined by (2.1).*

- (i) *If $f = {}^t(\varphi^+, \varphi^-) \in \text{Ker}(H - m)$, then $\varphi^+ \in \text{Ker}(T)$ and $\varphi^- = 0$.*
- (ii) *Conversely, if $\varphi^+ \in \text{Ker}(T)$, then $f = {}^t(\varphi^+, 0) \in \text{Ker}(H - m)$.*

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that T and H are the same as in Theorem 2.1.*

- (i) *If $f = {}^t(\varphi^+, \varphi^-) \in \text{Ker}(H + m)$, then $\varphi^+ = 0$ and $\varphi^- \in \text{Ker}(T^*)$.*
- (ii) *Conversely, if $\varphi^- \in \text{Ker}(T^*)$, then $f = {}^t(0, \varphi^-) \in \text{Ker}(H + m)$.*

As immediate consequences, we have

Corollary 2.1. *Assume that T and H are the same as in Theorem 2.1. Then*

- (i) $\text{Ker}(H - m) = \text{Ker}(T) \oplus \{0\}$, $\dim(\text{Ker}(H - m)) = \dim(\text{Ker}(T))$.
- (ii) $\text{Ker}(H + m) = \{0\} \oplus \text{Ker}(T^*)$, $\dim(\text{Ker}(H + m)) = \dim(\text{Ker}(T^*))$.

The eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues $\pm m$ of supersymmetric Dirac operators do not seem to have been explicitly formulated in the literature as in the

form of Corollary 2.1. It is straightforward from this formulation that the eigenspaces of H corresponding to the eigenvalue $\pm m$ are independent of m .

Proof of Theorem 2.1 . We first prove assertion (i). Let $f = {}^t(\varphi^+, \varphi^-) \in \text{Ker}(H - m)$. We then have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & T^* \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^+ \\ \varphi^- \end{pmatrix} + m \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^+ \\ \varphi^- \end{pmatrix} = m \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^+ \\ \varphi^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.5)$$

hence

$$\begin{cases} T^* \varphi^- + m \varphi^+ = m \varphi^+ \\ T \varphi^+ - m \varphi^- = m \varphi^-, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

which immediately implies that $T^* \varphi^- = 0$ and $T \varphi^+ = 2m \varphi^-$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T \varphi^+\|_{\mathcal{H}_-}^2 &= (T \varphi^+, T \varphi^+)_{\mathcal{H}_-} \\ &= (T \varphi^+, 2m \varphi^-)_{\mathcal{H}_-} \\ &= (\varphi^+, 2m T^* \varphi^-)_{\mathcal{H}_+} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Thus we see that $\varphi^+ \in \text{Ker}(T)$, and that $\varphi^- = (2m)^{-1} T \varphi^+ = 0$.

We next prove assertion (ii). Let $\varphi^+ \in \text{Ker}(T)$ and put $f := {}^t(\varphi^+, 0)$. Then it follows that $Hf = {}^t(m \varphi^+, T \varphi^+) = m {}^t(\varphi^+, 0) = mf$. \square

We omit the proof of Theorem 2.2, which is quite similar to that of Theorem 2.1.

In connection with our applications to the magnetic Dirac operator H_A in later sections, we should like to consider the case where the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_+ coincides with \mathcal{H}_- and T is self-adjoint ($T^* = T$). In this case, the supersymmetric Dirac operator H becomes of the form

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix} + m \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.8)$$

in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$, and it follows from Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 that the operator H of the form (2.8) possesses of a simple but important equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} T \text{ has a zero mode} &\iff H \text{ has an } m \text{ mode} \\ &\iff H \text{ has a } -m \text{ mode,} \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

which is actually a well-known fact: see Thaller [31, p. 155, Corollary 5.14]. Here we say that T has a *zero mode* if 0 is an eigenvalue of T . In a similar manner, we say that H has an *m mode* (resp. a *-m mode*) if m (resp. $-m$) is an eigenvalue of

H . Furthermore, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 imply the following equivalence for a zero mode φ of T :

$$T\varphi = 0 \iff H \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = m \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \iff H \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} = -m \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.10)$$

We shall show in Theorem 2.3 below that a sufficient condition for the fact that

$$\sigma(H) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, \infty) \quad (2.11)$$

is given by the inclusion $\sigma(T) \supset (0, \infty)$. Therefore $\pm m$ are always threshold energies of the supersymmetric Dirac operator H , provided that $\sigma(T) \supset (0, \infty)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let T be a self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Suppose that $\sigma(T) \supset [0, +\infty)$. Then*

$$\sigma(H) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty).$$

In particular, $\sigma_d(H) = \emptyset$, i.e., the set of discrete eigenvalues of H with finite multiplicity is empty.

Proof. It follows from (2.8) that $\mathcal{D}(H^2) = \mathcal{D}(T^2) \oplus \mathcal{D}(T^2)$ and that

$$H^2 = \begin{pmatrix} T^2 + m^2 I & 0 \\ 0 & T^2 + m^2 I \end{pmatrix} \geq m^2 \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.12)$$

This inequality implies that $\sigma(H) \subset (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty)$.

To complete the proof, we shall prove the fact that $\sigma(H) \supset (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty)$. To this end, suppose $\lambda_0 \in (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty)$ be given. Since $\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 - m^2} \geq 0$, we see, by the assumption of the theorem, that $\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 - m^2} \in \sigma(T)$. Therefore, we can find a sequence $\{\psi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\|\psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1, \quad \psi_n \in \text{Ran}\left(E_T\left(\nu_0 - \frac{1}{n}, \nu_0 + \frac{1}{n}\right)\right), \quad \nu_0 := \sqrt{\lambda_0^2 - m^2} \quad (2.13)$$

for each n , where $E_T(\cdot)$ is the spectral measure associated with T :

$$T = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dE_T(\lambda). \quad (2.14)$$

Here we have used a basic property of the spectral measure: see, for example, Reed and Simon [25, p. 236, Proposition]. It is straightforward to see that

$$\|(T - \nu_0)\psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.15)$$

We shall construct a sequence $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(H) = \mathcal{D}(T) \oplus \mathcal{D}(T)$ satisfying $\|f_n\|_{\mathcal{K}} = 1$ and $\|(H - \lambda_0)f_n\|_{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. To this end, we choose a pair of real numbers a and b so that

$$a^2 + b^2 = 1 \quad (2.16)$$

and that

$$\begin{pmatrix} m & \nu_0 \\ \nu_0 & -m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_0 \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.17)$$

This is possible because the 2×2 symmetric matrix in (2.17) has eigenvalues $\pm \lambda_0$. We now put

$$f_n := \begin{pmatrix} a\psi_n \\ b\psi_n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.18)$$

It is easy to see that $\|f_n\|_{\mathcal{K}} = 1$. By using (2.16) and (2.17), we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(H - \lambda_0)f_n\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2 &= \|(m - \lambda_0)a\psi_n + bT\psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\ &\quad + \|aT\psi_n - (m + \lambda_0)b\psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\ &= \|b(-\nu_0 + T)\psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|a(T - \nu_0)\psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\ &= \|(T - \nu_0)\psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We thus have shown that $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(H)$. \square

Here we briefly mention of the abstract Fouldy-Wouthuysen transformation U_{FW} in connection with Theorem 2.3. The transformation U_{FW} is a unitary operator in \mathcal{K} , and transforms the supersymmetric Dirac operator H of the form (2.8) into the diagonal form:

$$U_{FW} H U_{FW}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{T^2 + m^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{T^2 + m^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that it is possible to prove (2.11) based on this unitary equivalence. For the abstract Fouldy-Wouthuysen transformation for the supersymmetric Dirac operator of the form (2.1), we refer the reader to Thaller [31, Chapter 5, §5.6].

In all the later sections, we shall apply the obtained results on the supersymmetric Dirac operator to the magnetic Dirac operator H_A of the form (1.1) in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$, where we take T to be the Weyl-Dirac operator

$$T_A = \sigma \cdot (D - A(x)) \quad (2.19)$$

acting in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$.

As was mentioned above (cf. (2.9) and (2.10)), the investigations of properties of $\pm m$ modes of the magnetic Dirac operator H_A are reduced to the investigations of the corresponding properties of zero modes of the Weyl-Dirac operator $T_A = \sigma \cdot (D - A(x))$.

We have to emphasize the broad applicability of the supersymmetric Dirac operator in the context of the present paper. Namely, thanks to the generality of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we are able to utilize most of the existing works on the zero modes of the Weyl-Dirac operator T_A (cf. [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 19]) for the purpose of investigating $\pm m$ modes of the magnetic Dirac operator H_A .

3. ASYMPTOTIC LIMITS OF $\pm m$ MODES

In this section, we consider a class of magnetic Dirac operators H_A under Assumption(SU) below, and will focus on the asymptotic behaviors at infinity of $\pm m$ modes of H_A , assuming that $\pm m$ are the eigenvalues of H_A . In section 7, we shall see that there exists infinitely many A 's such that the corresponding magnetic Dirac operators H_A have the threshold eigenvalues $\pm m$.

We now introduce the terminology of $\pm m$ modes for the magnetic Dirac operator H_A .

Definition 3.1. (Following Lieb [18].) By an m mode (resp. a $-m$ mode), we mean an eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue m (resp. $-m$) of H_A , provided that the threshold energy m (resp. $-m$) is an eigenvalue of H_A .

Assumption(SU).

Each element $A_j(x)$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$) of $A(x)$ is a measurable function satisfying

$$|A_j(x)| \leq C \langle x \rangle^{-\rho} \quad (\rho > 1), \quad (3.1)$$

where C is a positive constant.

It is easy to see that under Assumption(SU) the Dirac operator H_A is a self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$ with $\text{Dom}(H_A) = [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$, where $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ denotes the Sobolev space of order 1. Also it is easy to see that under Assumption(SU) the Weyl-Dirac operator T_A is a self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ with $\text{Dom}(T_A) = [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$. Since the operator $-\sigma \cdot A(x)$ is relatively compact with respect to the operator $T_0 := \sigma \cdot D$, and since $\sigma(T_0) = \mathbb{R}$, it follows that $\sigma(T_A) = \mathbb{R}$. Recalling that

$$H_A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_A \\ T_A & 0 \end{pmatrix} + m \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.2)$$

we can apply Theorem 2.3 to H_A , and get

$$\sigma(H_A) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_A) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty).$$

Hence $\pm m$ are the threshold energies of the operator H_A . Assuming that $\pm m$ are the eigenvalues of H_A , we find that the eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues $\pm m$ of H_A are given as the direct sum of $\text{Ker}(T_A)$ and the zero space $\{0\}$ (cf. Corollary 2.1 in section 2), and that these two eigenspaces themselves as well as their dimensions are independent of m .

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that Assumption(SU) is verified, and that m (resp. $-m$) is an eigenvalue of H_A . Let f be an m mode (resp. a $-m$ modes) of H_A . Then there*

exists a zero mode φ^+ (resp. φ^-) of T_A such that for any $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^2 f(r\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} u^+(\omega) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{resp. } \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ u^-(\omega) \end{pmatrix}), \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$u^\pm(\omega) = \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \{(\omega \cdot A(y))I_2 + i\sigma \cdot (\omega \times A(y))\} \varphi^\pm(y) dy, \quad (3.4)$$

and the convergence is uniform with respect to ω .

Theorem 3.1 is a direct consequence of Corollary 2.1, together with Saitō and Umeda [27, Theorem 1.2]. Note that under Assumption(SU) every eigenfunction of H_A corresponding to either one of eigenvalues $\pm m$ is a continuous function of x (cf. Saitō and Umeda [28, Theorem 2.1]), therefore the expression $f(r\omega)$ in (3.3) makes sense for each ω .

4. SPARSENESS OF VECTOR POTENTIALS YIELDING $\pm m$ MODES

In this section, we shall discuss the sparseness of the set of vector potentials A which give rise to $\pm m$ modes of magnetic Dirac operators H_A , in the spirit of Balinsky and Evans [5] and [6], where they investigated Pauli operators and Weyl-Dirac operators respectively.

We shall make the following assumption:

Assumption(BE).

$A_j \in L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$.

Under Assumption(BE) Balinsky and Evans [6, Lemma 2] showed that $-\sigma \cdot A$ is infinitesimally small with respect to $T_0 = \sigma \cdot D$ with $\text{Dom}(T_0) = [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ (see (4.5) below). This fact enables us to define the self-adjoint realization T_A in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ as the operator sum of T_0 and $-\sigma \cdot A$, thus $\text{Dom}(T_A) = [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$. It turns out that under Assumption(BE), $-\alpha \cdot A$ is infinitesimally small with respect to $H_0 := \alpha \cdot D + m\beta$, and hence we can define the self-adjoint realization H_A in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$ as the operator sum of H_0 and $-\alpha \cdot A$, thus $\text{Dom}(H_A) = [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$. Therefore we can regard H_A as a supersymmetric Dirac operator, and shall apply the results in section 2 to H_A . (Recall (3.2).)

Proposition 4.1. *Let Assumption (BE) be satisfied. Then $\sigma(T_A) = \mathbb{R}$.*

We shall prepare a few lemmas for the proof of Proposition 4.1.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Then $\langle D \rangle^{1/2}(T_0 - z)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator in \mathcal{H} . Moreover we have*

$$\text{Ran}(\langle D \rangle^{1/2}(T_0 - z)^{-1}) \subset [H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show the conclusions of the lemma for $z = -i$. Let $\varphi \in \text{Dom}(T_0)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T_0 + i)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |((\sigma \cdot \xi) + iI_2)\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)|_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2 d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\xi|^2 + 1)|\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)|_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2 d\xi \\ &= \|\langle D \rangle \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where we have used the anti-commutation relation $\sigma_j \sigma_k + \sigma_k \sigma_j = 2\delta_{jk}I_2$ in the second equality. It follows from (4.2) that

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|\langle D \rangle (T_0 + i)^{-1} \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \tag{4.3}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$. Furthermore, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle D \rangle^{1/2} (T_0 + i)^{-1} \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \|\langle D \rangle^{1/2} (T_0 + i)^{-1} \varphi\|_{[H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2} \\ &= \|\langle D \rangle (T_0 + i)^{-1} \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

It is evident that (4.4) proves the conclusions of the lemma for $z = -i$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *If $\varphi \in [H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$, then $(\sigma \cdot A)\langle D \rangle^{-1/2} \varphi \in \mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. By Balinsky and Evans [6, Lemma 2], we see that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $k_\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $\varphi \in \text{Dom}(T_0)$

$$\|(\sigma \cdot A)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \epsilon \|T_0 \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} + k_\epsilon \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{4.5}$$

By virtue of the fact that $\langle D \rangle^{-1/2} \varphi \in \text{Dom}(T_0)$ for $\varphi \in [H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$, it follows from (4.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\sigma \cdot A)\langle D \rangle^{-1/2} \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \epsilon \|(T_0 + i)\langle D \rangle^{-1/2} \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} + k_\epsilon \|\langle D \rangle^{-1/2} \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq \epsilon \|\langle D \rangle^{1/2} \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} + k_\epsilon \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (4.2) and the fact that $\|\langle D \rangle^{1/2} \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|\varphi\|_{[H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2}$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *$\langle D \rangle^{-1}(\sigma \cdot A)\langle D \rangle^{-1/2}$ is a compact operator in \mathcal{H} .*

Proof. One can make a factorization

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle D \rangle^{-1}(\sigma \cdot A)\langle D \rangle^{-1/2} \\ &= \left(\frac{|D|^{1/2}}{\langle D \rangle} \right) \left(\frac{1}{|D|^{1/2}} (\sigma \cdot A) \frac{1}{|D|^{1/2}} \right) \left(\frac{|D|^{1/2}}{\langle D \rangle^{1/2}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

It is obvious that the first term and the last term on the right hand side of (4.6) are bounded operators in \mathcal{H} . Then it follows from (4.6) and Balinsky and Evans [6, Lemma 1] that the conclusion of the lemma holds true. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Then $(T_A - z)^{-1} \langle D \rangle \Big|_{[H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2}$ can be extended to a bounded operator $\tilde{R}_A(z)$ in \mathcal{H} . Moreover*

$$(T_A - z)^{-1} \varphi = \tilde{R}_A(z) \langle D \rangle^{-1} \varphi \quad \text{for } \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. We first show that $\langle D \rangle (T - z)^{-1}$ is a closed operator in \mathcal{H} . To this end, suppose that $\{\varphi_j\}$ is a sequence in \mathcal{H} such that $\varphi_j \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{H} and $\langle D \rangle (T - z)^{-1} \varphi_j \rightarrow \psi$ in \mathcal{H} as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\{(T - z)^{-1} \varphi_j\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $[H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$, hence there exists a $\tilde{\psi} \in [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ such that

$$(T - z)^{-1} \varphi_j \rightarrow \tilde{\psi} \quad \text{in } [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.8)$$

Since the topology of $[H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ is stronger than that of \mathcal{H} , (4.8) implies that

$$(T - z)^{-1} \varphi_j \rightarrow \tilde{\psi} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H} \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.9)$$

On the other hand, since $\varphi_j \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{H} , and since $(T - z)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator in \mathcal{H} , we see that

$$(T - z)^{-1} \varphi_j \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H} \quad (4.10)$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Combining (4.9) and (4.10), we see that $\tilde{\psi} = 0$. This fact, together with (4.8), $\langle D \rangle (T - z)^{-1} \varphi_j \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{H} as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\psi = 0$. We have thus shown that $\langle D \rangle (T - z)^{-1}$ is a closed operator. Noting that $\text{Dom}(\langle D \rangle (T - z)^{-1}) = \mathcal{H}$, we can conclude from the Banach closed graph theorem that $\langle D \rangle (T - z)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator in \mathcal{H} , which will be denoted by $Q_A(z)$.

We now put $\tilde{R}_A(z) := Q_A(\bar{z})^*$, where $Q_A(\bar{z})^*$ denotes the adjoint operator of $Q_A(\bar{z})$. Then for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ and any $\psi \in [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi, \tilde{R}_A(z) \psi)_{\mathcal{H}} &= (Q_A(\bar{z}) \varphi, \psi)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= (\langle D \rangle (T - \bar{z})^{-1} \varphi, \psi)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= (\varphi, (T - z)^{-1} \langle D \rangle \psi)_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

It follows from (4.11) that

$$\tilde{R}_A(z) \psi = (T - z)^{-1} \langle D \rangle \psi \quad (4.12)$$

for all $\psi \in [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$. Replacing ψ in (4.12) with $\langle D \rangle^{-1} \varphi$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$, we get (4.7). \square

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Since $\sigma(T_0) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(T_0) = \mathbb{R}$, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(T_A) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(T_0). \quad (4.13)$$

To this end, we shall prove that the difference $(T_A + i)^{-1} - (T_0 + i)^{-1}$ is a compact operator in \mathcal{H} . Then, this fact implies (4.13); see Reed and Simon [26, p.113, Corollary 1].

We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (T_A + i)^{-1} - (T_0 + i)^{-1} \\
 &= (T_A + i)^{-1}(\sigma \cdot A)(T_0 + i)^{-1} \\
 &= \tilde{R}_A(-i)\{\langle D \rangle^{-1}(\sigma \cdot A)\langle D \rangle^{-1/2}\}\{\langle D \rangle^{1/2}(T_0 + i)^{-1}\}, \tag{4.14}
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 4.4 in (4.14). It follows from Lemmas 4.1–4.4 that (4.14) makes sense as a product of three bounded operators in \mathcal{H} and that the product is a compact operator in \mathcal{H} . \square

Proposition 4.1, together with Theorem 2.3, gives the following result on the spectrum of the magnetic Dirac operator H_A .

Theorem 4.1. *Let Assumption (BE) be satisfied. Then*

$$\sigma(H_A) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_A) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, \infty).$$

We now state the main results in this section, which are concerned with the eigenspaces corresponding to the threshold eigenvalues of the magnetic Dirac operator H_A .

Theorem 4.2. *Let Assumption (BE) be satisfied. Then*

(i) *$\text{Ker}(H_A - m)$ is non-trivial if and only if $\text{Ker}(H_A + m)$ is non-trivial; in other words,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \{ A \in [L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)]^3 \mid \text{Ker}(H_A - m) \neq \{0\} \} \\
 &= \{ A \in [L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)]^3 \mid \text{Ker}(H_A + m) \neq \{0\} \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *There exists a constant c such that*

$$\dim(\text{Ker}(H_A - m)) = \dim(\text{Ker}(H_A + m)) \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |A(x)|^3 dx. \tag{4.15}$$

Moreover, the dimension of $\text{Ker}(H_A \mp m)$ is independent of m .

(iii) *The set $\{ A \in [L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)]^3 \mid \text{Ker}(H_A \mp m) = \{0\} \}$ contains an open dense subset of $[L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)]^3$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.1, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Ker}(T_A) \text{ is trivial} &\iff \text{Ker}(H_A - m) \text{ is trivial} \\
 &\iff \text{Ker}(H_A + m) \text{ is trivial.} \tag{4.16}
 \end{aligned}$$

Assertion (i) is equivalent to (4.16). Assertion (ii) follows from Corollary 2.1 and Balinsky and Evans [6, Theorem 3]. Assertion (iii) follows from Corollary 2.1 and Balinsky and Evans [6, Theorem 2]. \square

Remark 4.1. Assertions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 4.2 mean the following facts: The threshold energy m is an eigenvalue of H_A if and only if the threshold energy $-m$ is an eigenvalue of H_A . If this is the case, their multiplicity are the same.

Remark 4.2. As for the best constant in the inequality (4.15), see Balinsky and Evans [6, Theorem 3].

5. THE STRUCTURE OF THE SET OF VECTOR POTENTIALS YIELDING $\pm m$ MODES

In this section, we shall discuss a property of non-locality of magnetic vector potentials as well as the sparseness of the set of vector potentials A which give rise to $\pm m$ modes of H_A in the spirit of Elton [11], where he investigated Weyl-Dirac operators. We make the following assumption:

Assumption(E).

Each A_j ($j = 1, 2, 3$) is a real-valued continuous function such that $A_j(x) = o(|x|^{-1})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

It is straightforward to see that under Assumption(E), $-\sigma \cdot A$ is a bounded self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$. Hence we can define the self-adjoint realization T_A with $\text{Dom}(T_A) = [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ as the operator sum of T_0 and $-\sigma \cdot A$.

Also, it is straightforward to see that $-\alpha \cdot A$ is a bounded self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$, hence we can define the self-adjoint realization H_A with $\text{Dom}(H_A) = [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$ in \mathcal{K} as the operator sum of H_0 and $-\alpha \cdot A$. Therefore, in the same way as in section 5, we can regard H_A as a supersymmetric Dirac operator, and apply the results in section 2 to H_A .

We note that under Assumption(E), $(-\sigma \cdot A)(T_0 + i)^{-1}$ is a compact operator in \mathcal{H} . Hence, in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 4.1, we can show that $\sigma(T_A) = \mathbb{R}$. This fact, together with Theorem 2.3, implies the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *Let Assumption(E) be satisfied. Then*

$$\sigma(H_A) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_A) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, \infty).$$

To state the main results in this section, we need to introduce the following notation:

$$\mathcal{A} := \{ A \mid A \text{ satisfies Assumption(E)} \}. \quad (5.1)$$

We regard \mathcal{A} as a Banach space with the norm

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \sup_x \{ \langle x \rangle |A(x)| \}$$

Theorem 5.2. *Let Assumption(E) be satisfied. Define*

$$\mathcal{Z}_k^\pm = \{ A \in \mathcal{A} \mid \dim(\text{Ker}(H \mp m)) = k \}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then

- (i) $\mathcal{Z}_k^+ = \mathcal{Z}_k^-$ for all k .
- (ii) \mathcal{Z}_0^\pm is an open and dense subset of \mathcal{A} .
- (iii) For any k and any open subset $\Omega (\neq \emptyset)$ of \mathbb{R}^3 there exists an $A \in \mathcal{Z}_k^\pm$ such that $A \in [C_0^\infty(\Omega)]^3$.

Proof. Assertion (i) is a direct consequence of Corollary 2.1. Assertions (ii) and (iii) follows from Corollary 2.1 and Elton [11, Theorem 1]. \square

It is of some interest to point out a conclusion following from Theorem 3.1 and Assertion (iii) of Theorem 5.2. Namely, there are (at least) countably infinite number of vector potentials A with compact support such that the corresponding Dirac operators H_A have $\pm m$ modes f^\pm with the property (3.4). The $\pm m$ modes f^\pm behave like $|f^\pm(x)| \asymp |x|^{-2}$ for $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, in spite of the fact that the vector potentials and the corresponding magnetic fields vanish outside bounded regions. It is obvious that this phenomenon describes a certain kind of non-locality.

Also, it is of some interest to mention that H_A does not have $\pm m$ resonances if the support of vector potential A is compact. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 6.1 in the next section.

6. NON EXISTENCE OF $\pm m$ RESONANCES

In this section, we will work in bigger Hilbert spaces than $\mathcal{H} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ and $\mathcal{K} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$. Therefore, the results on the supersymmetric Dirac operators in section 2 are not applicable in this section.

In this section, we shall occasionally write the inner product of \mathcal{H} as

$$(\varphi, \psi)_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\varphi(x), \psi(x))_{\mathbb{C}^2} dx$$

for $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}$, where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{C}^2}$ denotes the inner product of \mathbb{C}^2 .

We need to introduce weighted L^2 spaces in order to deal with $\pm m$ resonances, which do not belong to the Hilbert space \mathcal{K} . By $L^{2,s}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we mean the weighted L^2 space defined by

$$L^{2,s}(\mathbb{R}^3) := \{ u \mid \langle x \rangle^s u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \} \quad (s \in \mathbb{R})$$

where $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$, and we set

$$\mathcal{L}^{2,s} = [L^{2,s}(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4.$$

Definition 6.1. By an m resonance (resp. a $-m$ resonance), we mean a function $f \in \mathcal{L}^{2,-s} \setminus \mathcal{K}$, $0 < s \leq 3/2$, such that $H_A f = mf$ (resp. $H_A f = -mf$) in the distributional sense.

We would like to caution that in Definition 6.1 one has to take the meaning of $H_A f = \pm mf$ in the distributional sense, because of the reason that $\pm m$ resonances do not belong to the Hilbert space \mathcal{K} , hence do not belong to the domain of the self-adjoint realization of H_A . For this reason, we let H_A stand for the formal differential operator throughout this section, in spite of the fact that H_A has the unique self-adjoint realization in \mathcal{K} under the assumption of Theorem 6.1 below. We hope this will not cause any confusion.

Theorem 6.1. Assume that each element $A_j(x)$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$) of $A(x)$ is a measurable function satisfying

$$|A_j(x)| \leq C \langle x \rangle^{-\rho} \quad (\rho > 3/2), \quad (6.1)$$

where C is a positive constant. Suppose that $f = {}^t(\varphi^+, \varphi^-)$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ for some s with $0 < s < \min(1, \rho - 1)$ and satisfies $H_A f = mf$ (resp. $H_A f = -mf$) in the distributional sense. Then $f \in [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$ and $\varphi^- = 0$ (resp. $\varphi^+ = 0$).

Theorem 6.1 implies the non-existence of $\pm m$ resonances in the sense of Definition 6.1, as well as in the sense described in the following theorem.

Theorem 6.2. Let $A(x)$ satisfy the same assumption as in Theorem 6.1. Suppose that f belongs to $[L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$ and satisfies either equation of $H_A f = \pm mf$ in the distributional sense. In addition, suppose that f has the asymptotic expansion

$$f(x) = C_1 |x|^{-1} + C_2 |x|^{-2} + o(|x|^{-2}) \quad (6.2)$$

as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, where C_1 and C_2 are constant vectors in \mathbb{C}^4 . Then $C_1 = 0$.

Proof. It follows from (6.2) that $f \in \mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ for any s with $1/2 < s < 1$. This fact, together with the assumptions of the theorem, enables us to apply Theorem 6.1 and to conclude that $f \in [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$. In particular, $f \in \mathcal{K}$, which leads to the fact that $C_1 = 0$. \square

We shall give a proof of Theorem 6.1 only for m resonances, since the proof for $-m$ resonances is similar. Roughly speaking, we will mimick the idea of the proof of assertion (i) of Theorem 2.1. Therefore we need the Weyl-Dirac operator $T_A = \sigma \cdot (D - A(x))$ again. However, we are not allowed to use the Weyl-Dirac operator as a self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , but only allowed to use it as a formal differential operator instead. This is because $\pm m$ resonances do not belong to \mathcal{K} . This fact causes complication, in a certain extent, in the proof of Theorem 6.1.

We begin the proof of Theorem 6.1 with a lemma whose proof will be given after the proof of the theorem. The proof of the lemma is lengthy.

Lemma 6.1. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 6.1, φ^\pm have the following properties:*

- (i) $(\sigma \cdot D)\varphi^+ \in \mathcal{H}$, $(\sigma \cdot A)\varphi^+ \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\varphi^- \in [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$.
- (ii) $((\sigma \cdot D)\varphi^+, \varphi^-)_{\mathcal{H}} = ((\sigma \cdot A)\varphi^+, \varphi^-)_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Let f satisfy $H_A f = m f$ in the distributional sense. Then we have

$$\begin{cases} m\varphi^+ + \sigma \cdot (D - A(x))\varphi^- = m\varphi^+ \\ \sigma \cdot (D - A(x))\varphi^+ - m\varphi^- = m\varphi^- \end{cases} \quad (6.3)$$

in the distributional sense, which immediately implies

$$\sigma \cdot (D - A(x))\varphi^- = 0 \quad (6.4)$$

and

$$\sigma \cdot (D - A(x))\varphi^+ = 2m\varphi^-. \quad (6.5)$$

In view of Lemma 6.1, it follows from (6.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} 4m^2 \|\varphi^-\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &= 2m(2m\varphi^-, \varphi^-)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= 2m(\sigma \cdot (D - A)\varphi^+, \varphi^-)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= 2m\{((\sigma \cdot D)\varphi^+, \varphi^-)_{\mathcal{H}} - ((\sigma \cdot A)\varphi^+, \varphi^-)_{\mathcal{H}}\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

Hence $\varphi^- = 0$. This fact, together with (6.5), means that

$$\sigma \cdot (D - A(x))\varphi^+ = 0 \quad (6.7)$$

in the distributional sense. It follows from Saitō and Umeda [28, Theorem 2.2] that $\varphi^+ \in [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$. (Note that the hypothesis $0 < s < \min(1, \rho - 1)$ is stronger than the one imposed in [28, Theorem 2.2].) This implies that $f \in [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$, because $\varphi^- = 0$ as was shown above. \square

Before proving Lemma 6.1, we should like to remark that (6.4) and (6.5) follow directly from the hypothesis that $H_A f = m f$ in the distributional sense. Therefore we are allowed to use (6.4) and (6.5) in the proof of Lemma 6.1 below.

Proof of Lemma 6.1. Since $\rho - s > 1$ by assumption, we see that

$$(\sigma \cdot A)\varphi^+ \in [L^{2, \rho-s}(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2 \subset \mathcal{H}. \quad (6.8)$$

It follows from (6.4) and [28, Theorem 2.2] that $\varphi^- \in [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$. This fact, together with (6.5) and (6.8), implies that $(\sigma \cdot D)\varphi^+ = 2m\varphi^- + (\sigma \cdot A)\varphi^+ \in \mathcal{H}$. Thus assertion (i) is proved.

In order to prove assertion (ii), we need to introduce a cutoff function. Let χ be a function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, $\chi(r) = 1$ ($r \leq 1$), and $\chi(r) = 0$ ($r \geq 2$). Set

$$\chi_n(x) = \chi(|x|/n) \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots). \quad (6.9)$$

It is evident that

$$((\sigma \cdot D)\varphi^+, \varphi^-)_{\mathcal{H}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((\sigma \cdot D)\varphi^+, \chi_n \varphi^-)_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (6.10)$$

Let $\{j_\varepsilon\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1}$ be Friedrichs' mollifier, i.e., $j_\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^{-3}j(x/\varepsilon)$, where $j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\|j\|_{L^1} = 1$. Since $\chi_n \varphi^- \in \mathcal{H}$, we see that $j_\varepsilon * (\chi_n \varphi^-)$ converges to $\chi_n \varphi^-$ in \mathcal{H} as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Hence, for each n , we have

$$((\sigma \cdot D)\varphi^+, \chi_n \varphi^-)_{\mathcal{H}} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} ((\sigma \cdot D)\varphi^+, j_\varepsilon * (\chi_n \varphi^-))_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (6.11)$$

It is straightforward that $j_\varepsilon * (\chi_n \varphi^-) \in [C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ and that

$$\text{supp}[j_\varepsilon * (\chi_n \varphi^-)] \subset \{x \mid |x| \leq 2n + 1\}. \quad (6.12)$$

Appealing to the definition of the distributional derivatives, we get

$$((\sigma \cdot D)\varphi^+, j_\varepsilon * (\chi_n \varphi^-))_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\varphi^+(x), (\sigma \cdot D)(j_\varepsilon * (\chi_n \varphi^-))(x))_{\mathbb{C}^2} dx. \quad (6.13)$$

For each n and ε , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma \cdot D)(j_\varepsilon * (\chi_n \varphi^-))(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\sigma \cdot D_x)(j_\varepsilon(x - y)) \chi_n(y) \varphi^-(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} -(\sigma \cdot D_y)(j_\varepsilon(x - y)) \chi_n(y) \varphi^-(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} j_\varepsilon(x - y) (\sigma \cdot D_y)(\chi_n(y) \varphi^-(y)) dy \\ &= j_\varepsilon * \{(\sigma \cdot D)(\chi_n \varphi^-)\}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

In the third equality of (6.14) we have regarded $j_\varepsilon(x - \cdot)$ as a function in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_y^3)$ and have appealed to the definition of the distributional derivatives with respect to y variable. Note that

$$(\sigma \cdot D)(\chi_n \varphi^-) = \{(\sigma \cdot D)\chi_n\} \varphi^- + \chi_n (\sigma \cdot D)\varphi^-. \quad (6.15)$$

Combining (6.13), (6.14) and (6.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ((\sigma \cdot D)\varphi^+, j_\varepsilon * (\chi_n \varphi^-))_{\mathcal{H}} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\varphi^+(x), j_\varepsilon * [\{(\sigma \cdot D)\chi_n\} \varphi^-](x))_{\mathbb{C}^2} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\varphi^+(x), j_\varepsilon * [\chi_n (\sigma \cdot D)\varphi^-](x))_{\mathbb{C}^2} dx \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

We examine the limit of each integral on the right hand side of (6.16) as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. As for the first integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\varphi^+(x), j_\varepsilon * [\{(\sigma \cdot D)\chi_n\} \varphi^-](x))_{\mathbb{C}^2} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\varphi^+(x), \{(\sigma \cdot D)\chi_n\}(x) \varphi^-(x))_{\mathbb{C}^2} dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{|x| \leq 2n+1} |\varphi^+(x)|_{\mathbb{C}^2} \\ &\quad \times |j_\varepsilon * [\{(\sigma \cdot D)\chi_n\} \varphi^-](x) - \{(\sigma \cdot D)\chi_n\}(x) \varphi^-(x)|_{\mathbb{C}^2} dx \\ &\leq \| |\varphi^+|_{\mathbb{C}^2} \|_{L^2(|x| \leq 2n+1)} \| j_\varepsilon * [\{(\sigma \cdot D)\chi_n\} \varphi^-] - \{(\sigma \cdot D)\chi_n\} \varphi^- \|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad (\varepsilon \downarrow 0), \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

since $\{(\sigma \cdot D)\chi_n\} \varphi^- \in \mathcal{H}$. In the first inequality (6.17) we have used the Schwarz inequality in \mathbb{C}^2 , and in the second inequality the Schwarz inequality in L^2 . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\varphi^+(x), j_\varepsilon * [\{(\sigma \cdot D)\chi_n\} \varphi^-](x))_{\mathbb{C}^2} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\varphi^+(x), \{(\sigma \cdot D)\chi_n\}(x) \varphi^-(x))_{\mathbb{C}^2} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

In a similar manner, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\varphi^+(x), j_\varepsilon * [\chi_n (\sigma \cdot D)\varphi^-](x))_{\mathbb{C}^2} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\varphi^+(x), \chi_n(x) (\sigma \cdot D)\varphi^-(x))_{\mathbb{C}^2} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (6.19)$$

where we have used the fact that $\varphi^- \in [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$. (Recall that this fact was shown in assertion (i) of the lemma.) It follows from (6.11), (6.16), (6.18) and (6.19) that

$$\begin{aligned} ((\sigma \cdot D)\varphi^+, \chi_n \varphi^-)_{\mathcal{H}} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\varphi^+(x), \{(\sigma \cdot D)\chi_n\}(x) \varphi^-(x))_{\mathbb{C}^2} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\varphi^+(x), \chi_n(x) (\sigma \cdot D)\varphi^-(x))_{\mathbb{C}^2} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

To estimate the first integral on the right hand side of (6.20), we need the fact that

$$\{(\sigma \cdot D)\chi_n\}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \chi' \left(\frac{|x|}{n} \right) \frac{1}{i} (\sigma \cdot \omega) \quad (\omega = x/|x|). \quad (6.21)$$

Note that $\text{supp}[(\sigma \cdot D)\chi_n] \subset \{x \mid n \leq |x| \leq 2n\}$ and that $\sigma \cdot \omega$ is a unitary matrix. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\varphi^+(x), \{(\sigma \cdot D)\chi_n\}(x) \varphi^-(x))_{\mathbb{C}^2} dx \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\sup_{r>0} |\chi'(r)| \right) \int_{n \leq |x| \leq 2n} |\varphi^+(x)|_{\mathbb{C}^2} |\varphi^-(x)|_{\mathbb{C}^2} dx \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\sup_{r>0} |\chi'(r)| \right) \left\{ \int_{n \leq |x| \leq 2n} \langle x \rangle^{-2s} |\varphi^+(x)|_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \int_{n \leq |x| \leq 2n} \langle x \rangle^{2s} |\varphi^-(x)|_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \tag{6.22} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\sup_{r>0} |\chi'(r)| \right) \| |\varphi^+|_{\mathbb{C}^2} \|_{L^2, -s} \times (1 + 4n^2)^{s/2} \|\varphi^-\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& \leq \text{const. } n^{-1+s} \| |\varphi^+|_{\mathbb{C}^2} \|_{L^2, -s} \|\varphi^-\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),
\end{aligned}$$

since $s < 1$ by assumption of Theorem 6.1. Thus the first integral on the right hand side of (6.20) tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We now investigate the limit of the second integral on the right hand side of (6.20) as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from (6.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\varphi^+(x), \chi_n(x) (\sigma \cdot D) \varphi^-(x))_{\mathbb{C}^2} dx \\
& \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} ((\sigma \cdot A)(x) \varphi^+(x), \varphi^-(x))_{\mathbb{C}^2} dx \tag{6.23} \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} ((\chi_n(x) - 1) (\sigma \cdot A)(x) \varphi^+(x), \varphi^-(x))_{\mathbb{C}^2} dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $(\sigma \cdot A)(x)$ is a Hermitian matrix for each x . Noting (6.8), we find that the absolute value of the right hand side of (6.23) is less than or equal to

$$\|(\chi_n - 1)(\sigma \cdot A)\varphi^+\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\varphi^-\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \tag{6.24}$$

which obviously tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Combining this fact with (6.20), (6.22) and (6.23), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((\sigma \cdot D)\varphi^+, \chi_n \varphi^-)_{\mathcal{H}} = ((\sigma \cdot A)\varphi^+, \varphi^-)_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{6.25}$$

Assertion (ii) of the lemma is a direct consequence of (6.10) and (6.25). \square

7. EXAPMLES, CONCLUDING REMARKS AND AN OPEN QUESTION

We shall give examples of vector potentials $A(x)$ which yield $\pm m$ modes but do not give rise to $\pm m$ resonances. The basic idea in this section is to exploit the equivalences (2.9), (2.10), and to apply Theorem 6.1. It turns out that beautiful spectral properties are in common to all the examples of the magnetic Dirac operators in this section. See properties (i) – (iv) of Examples 7.1 and 7.2.

Example 7.1 (Loss-Yau). Let

$$A_{LY}(x) = 3\langle x \rangle^{-4} \{ (1 - |x|^2)w_0 + 2(w_0 \cdot x)x + 2w_0 \times x \} \quad (7.1)$$

where $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$, $\phi_0 = {}^t(1, 0)$ (ϕ_0 can be any unit vector in \mathbb{C}^2), and

$$w_0 = \phi_0 \cdot (\sigma \phi_0) := ((\phi_0, \sigma_1 \phi_0)_{\mathbb{C}^2}, (\phi_0, \sigma_2 \phi_0)_{\mathbb{C}^2}, (\phi_0, \sigma_3 \phi_0)_{\mathbb{C}^2}). \quad (7.2)$$

Here $w_0 \cdot x$ and $w_0 \times x$ denotes the inner product and the exterior product of \mathbb{R}^3 respectively. Then the magnetic Dirac operator

$$H_{LY} := H_{A_{LY}} = \alpha \cdot (D - A_{LY}(x)) + m\beta$$

has the following properties:

- (i) $\sigma(H_{LY}) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_{LY}) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, \infty)$;
- (ii) H_{LY} has $\pm m$ modes. Moreover, the point spectrum of H_{LY} consists only of $\pm m$, i.e. $\sigma_p(H_{LY}) = \{-m, m\}$;
- (iii) H_{LY} does not have $\pm m$ resonances;
- (iv) H_{LY} is absolutely continuous on $(-\infty, -m) \cup (m, \infty)$.

We shall show these properties one-by-one. It is easy to see that $-\sigma \cdot A_{LY}(x)$ is relatively compact perturbation of $T_0 = \sigma \cdot D$, hence the Weyl-Dirac operator

$$T_{LY} := T_{A_{LY}} = \sigma \cdot (D - A_{LY}(x))$$

is a self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ with the domain $[H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$. Since the spectrum of the operator T_0 equals the whole real line, we see that $\sigma(T_{LY}) = \mathbb{R}$. Property (i) immediately follows from Theorem 2.3.

We shall show property (ii). According to Loss and Yau [19, section II], the Weyl-Dirac operator T_{LY} has a zero mode φ_{LY} defined by

$$\varphi_{LY}(x) = \langle x \rangle^{-3} (I_2 + i\sigma \cdot x)\phi_0. \quad (7.3)$$

It follows from (2.9) and (2.10) that ${}^t(\varphi_{LY}, 0)$ (resp. ${}^t(0, \varphi_{LY})$) is an m mode (resp. a $-m$ mode) of H_{LY} . Hence $\sigma_p(H_{LY}) \supset \{-m, m\}$. On the other hand, it follows from Yamada [35] that H_{LY} has no eigenvalue in $(-\infty, -m) \cup (m, \infty)$. (Note that the vector potential A_{LY} satisfies the assumption of [35, Proposition 2.5].) This fact, together with property (i), implies that $\sigma_p(H_{LY}) \subset \{-m, m\}$. Summing up, we get property (ii). Since $|A_{LY}(x)| \leq C\langle x \rangle^{-2}$, property (iii) follows from Theorem

6.1. Property (iv) is a direct consequence of Yamada [35, Corollary 4.2]. As for absolutely continuity and limiting absorption principle for Dirac operators, see also Yamada [36], Balslev and Helffer [9], and Pladdy, Saitō and Umeda [24].

Remark 7.1. Since A_{LY} is C^∞ , one can apply Thaller [31, p. 195, Theorem 7.1] to conclude that ${}^t(\varphi_{LY}, 0)$ (resp. ${}^t(0, \varphi_{LY})$) is an m mode (resp. a $-m$ mode) of H_{LY} . This fact is also mentioned in Thaller [30].

Remark 7.2. As was pointed out in Loss and Yau [19, section II], one sees that $\operatorname{div} A_{LY} \neq 0$, and one can find, by a gauge transformation, a vector potential \tilde{A}_{LY} which satisfies $\operatorname{div} \tilde{A}_{LY} = 0$ and $\operatorname{rot} \tilde{A}_{LY} = \operatorname{rot} A_{LY}$ and yields a zero mode $\tilde{\varphi}_{LY}$ of $\sigma \cdot (D - \tilde{A}_{LY}(x))$. In fact, defining

$$\tilde{A}_{LY} := A_{LY} + \nabla \chi_{LY}, \quad \tilde{\varphi}_{LY} := e^{i\chi_{LY}} \varphi_{LY}$$

with

$$\chi_{LY}(x) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|x-y|} (\operatorname{div} A_{LY})(y) dy,$$

we observe that \tilde{A}_{LY} and $\tilde{\varphi}_{LY}$ have the desired properties mentioned above. Moreover, we can show that $|\tilde{A}_{LY}(x)| \leq C\langle x \rangle^{-2} (\in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Hence, the magnetic Dirac operator $H_{\tilde{A}_{LY}} = \alpha \cdot (D - \tilde{A}_{LY}(x)) + m$ shares the properties (i) - (iv) of Example 7.1 with $H_{A_{LY}} = \alpha \cdot (D - \tilde{A}_{LY}(x)) + m$. This same idea is applicable to the vector potentials $A^{(\ell)}$ in Example 7.2 below.

Example 7.2 (Adam-Muratori-Nash). In the same spirit as in Example 7.1, we can show the existence of countably infinite number of vector potentials with which the magnetic Dirac operators have the properties (i) - (iv) in Example 7.1.

In fact, we shall exploit a result on the Weyl-Dirac operator by Adam, Muratori and Nash [2], where they construct a series of vector potentials $A^{(\ell)}$ ($\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), each of which gives rise a zero mode $\psi^{(\ell)}$ of the Weyl-Dirac operator $T^{(\ell)} := \sigma \cdot (D - A^{(\ell)}(x))$. The idea of [2] is an extension of that of Loss and Yau [19, section II]; Indeed $A^{(0)}$ and $\psi^{(0)}$ give the same vector potential and zero mode as in (7.1) and (7.3). For $\ell \geq 1$, the construction of the zero mode $\psi^{(\ell)}(x)$ is based on an ansatz (see (7) in section II of [2]) and the definition of $A^{(\ell)}$ is given by

$$A^{(\ell)}(x) = \frac{h^{(\ell)}(x)}{|\psi^{(\ell)}(x)|^2} \{ \psi^{(\ell)}(x) \cdot (\sigma \psi^{(\ell)}(x)) \}, \quad (7.4)$$

where $h^{(\ell)}(x)$ is a real valued function defined as

$$h^{(\ell)}(x) = \frac{c_\ell}{\langle x \rangle^2} \quad (c_\ell \text{ a real constant depending only on } \ell) \quad (7.5)$$

and $\psi^{(\ell)}(x) \cdot (\sigma \psi^{(\ell)}(x))$ is defined in the same way as in (7.2). (For the definition of $h^{(\ell)}(x)$, see Saitō and Umeda [29].) By the same arguments as in Example 7.1, we

can deduce that the magnetic Dirac operator $H^{(\ell)} := \alpha \cdot (D - A^{(\ell)}(x)) + m\beta$, $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, has the properties (i) – (iv) of Example 7.1.

Section 3 was based upon our results on supersymmetric Dirac operators in section 2 of the present paper and those of Saitō and Umeda [27]. It turned out that all $\pm m$ mode have the same asymptotic limit at infinity, i.e. $\asymp |x|^{-2}$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. This means that the asymptotic limits of $\pm m$ modes of the magnetic Dirac operator are the same as those of zero modes of the Weyl-Dirac operator. Section 4 was based upon our results on supersymmetric Dirac operators in section 2 and those of Balinsky and Evans [6] on the Weyl-Dirac operator. Section 5 was based upon our results on supersymmetric Dirac operators in section 2 and those of Elton [11] on the Weyl-Dirac operator. In each section from sections 3 to 5, we made a different assumption on the vector potentials. It is meaningful to compare these assumptions with each other. To this end, imitating (5.1), we introduce the following notation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{SU} &:= \{ A \mid A \text{ satisfies Assumption(SU)} \}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{BE} &:= \{ A \mid A \text{ satisfies Assumption(BE)} \}.\end{aligned}$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{SU} &\subsetneq \mathcal{A}_{BE}, \\ \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{SU} &\neq \emptyset, \quad \mathcal{A}_{SU} \setminus \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset, \\ \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{BE} &\neq \emptyset, \quad \mathcal{A}_{BE} \setminus \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset.\end{aligned}$$

In sections 4 and 5, it was shown that the set of vector potentials which give rise to $\pm m$ modes is scarce in each regime. The non-existence of $\pm m$ resonances was proved in section 6 under the assumption that $|A_j(x)| \leq C\langle x \rangle^{-\rho}$, $\rho > 3/2$. Based on the results in section 6, it follows that all the examples of vector potentials in this section do not have $\pm m$ resonances. A natural question arises:

Is there a vector potential A which satisfies $|A_j(x)| \leq C\langle x \rangle^{-\rho}$, $\rho > 0$, and yields $\pm m$ resonances of the magnetic Dirac operator H_A ?

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments and constructive suggestions which led them to the consideration of threshold resonances and had them improve the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Aharonov and A. Casher, *Ground state of a spin-1/2 charged particle in a two-dimensional magnetic field*, Phys. Rev. A **19** (1979), 2461 – 2462.
- [2] C. Adam, B. Muratori and C. Nash, *Zero modes of the Dirac operator in three dimensions*, Phys. Rev. D **60** (1999), 125001-1 – 125001-8.

- [3] C. Adam, B. Muratori and C. Nash, *Degeneracy of zero modes of the Dirac operator in three dimensions*, Phys. Lett. B **485** (2000), 314–318
- [4] C. Adam, B. Muratori and C. Nash, *Multiple zero modes of the Dirac operator in three dimensions*, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000), 085026-1 – 085026-9.
- [5] A.A. Balinsky and W.D. Evans, *On the zero modes of Pauli operators*, J. Funct. Analysis, **179** (2001), 120–135.
- [6] A.A. Balinsky and W.D. Evans, *On the zero modes of Weyl-Dirac operators and their multiplicity*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **34** (2002), 236–242.
- [7] A.A. Balinsky and W.D. Evans, *Zero modes of Pauli and Weyl-Dirac operators*, Advances in differential equations and mathematical physics (Birmingham, AL, 2002), 1–9, Contemp. Math., **327**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 2003.
- [8] A.A. Balinsky, W.D. Evans and Y. Saitō, *Dirac-Sobolev inequalities and estimates for the zero modes of massless Dirac operators*, J. Math. Phys, **49** (2008), 043514-1 – 043514-10.
- [9] E. Balslev and B. Helffer, *Limiting absorption principle and resonances for the Dirac operators*, Advances Appl. Math. **13**, (1992), 186 - 215.
- [10] L. Bugliaro, C. Fefferman and G.M. Graf, *A Lieb-Thirring bound for a magnetic Pauli Hamiltonian, II*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **15** (1999), 593–619.
- [11] D.M. Elton, *The local structure of zero mode producing magnetic potentials*, Commun. Math. Phys. **229** (2002), 121–139.
- [12] L. Erdős and J.P. Solovej, *The kernel of Dirac operators on S^3 and R^3* , Rev. Math. Phys. **13** (2001), 1247–1280.
- [13] L. Erdős and J.P. Solovej, *Uniform Lieb-Thirring inequality for the three-dimensional Pauli operator with a strong non-homogeneous magnetic field*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **5** (2004), 671–741.
- [14] L. Erdős and J.P. Solovej, *Magnetic Lieb-Thirring inequalities with optimal dependence on the field strength*, J. Statist. Phys. **116** (2004), 475–506.
- [15] J. Fröhlich, E.H. Lieb and M. Loss, *Stability of Coulomb systems with magnetic fields. I. The one-electron Atom*, Commun. Math. Phys. **104** (1986), 251–270.
- [16] B. Helffer, J. Nourrigat and X.P. Wang *Sur le spectre de l'équation de Dirac (dans R^3 ou R^2) avec champ magnétique*, Ann. sci. l'É.N.S. **22** (1989), 515 -533.
- [17] B. Helffer and B. Parisse, *Comparaison entre la décroissance de fonctions propres pour les opérateurs de Dirac et de Klein-Gordon. Application à l'étude de l'effect tunnel*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré , Phys. Théor. **60** (1994), 147–187.
- [18] E. Lieb, *Private communication* (2009).
- [19] M. Loss and H.T. Yau, *Stability of Coulomb systems with magnetic fields. III. Zero energy bound states of the Pauli operators*, Commun. Math. Phys. **104** (1986), 283–290.
- [20] P. Pickl, *Generalized eigenfunctions for critical potentials with small perturbations*, J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007), 123505-1 – 123505-31.
- [21] P. Pickl and D. Dürr, *On adiabatic pair creation*, Commun. Math. Phys. **282** (2008), 161–198.

- [22] P. Pickl and D. Dürr, *Adiabatic pair creation in heavy ion and laser fields*, Europhys. Lett. **81** (2008), 40001–40007.
- [23] C. Pladdy, *Asymptotics of the resolvent of the Dirac operator with a scalar short-range potential*, Analysis **21** (2001), 79–97.
- [24] C. Pladdy, Y. Saitō and T. Umeda, *Radiation condition for Dirac operators*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **37** (1998), 567–584.
- [25] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical analysis I, Functional Analysis*, Revised and enlarged edition, Academic Press, London, 1980.
- [26] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical analysis IV, Analysis of operators*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [27] Y. Saitō and T. Umeda, *The asymptotic limits of zero modes of massless Dirac operators*, Lett. Math. Phys. **83** (2008), 97–106.
- [28] Y. Saitō and T. Umeda, *The zero modes and zero resonances of massless Dirac operators*, Hokkaido Math. J. **37** (2008), 363 – 388.
- [29] Y. Saitō and T. Umeda, *A sequence of zero modes of Weyl-Dirac operators and an associated sequence of solvable polynomials*, preprint.
- [30] B. Thaller, *Dirac particles in magnetic fields*, “Recent developments in quantum mechanics,” A. Boutet de Monvel et al eds., Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [31] B. Thaller, *The Dirac equation*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [32] X. P. Wang, *Puits multiples pour l’opérateur de Dirac*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Phys. Théor. **43** (1985), 269–319.
- [33] T. Weidl, *On the virtual bound states for semi-bounded operators*, Commun. Part. Diff. Eq. **24** (1999), 25–60.
- [34] D. R. Yafaev, *Exponential decay of eigenfunctions of first order systems*, Contemporary Mathematics **447**, F. Germinet and P. D. Hislop eds., American Mathematical Society, 2007.
- [35] O. Yamada, *On the principle of limiting absorption for the Dirac operators*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ. **8** (1972/73), 557–577.
- [36] O. Yamada, *Eigenfunction expansions and scattering theory for Dirac operators*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ. **11** (1976), 651–689.