

BOUNDEDLY SIMPLE GROUPS OF AUTOMORPHISMS OF TREES

JAKUB GISMATULLIN

ABSTRACT. A group is boundedly simple if, for some constant N , every nontrivial conjugacy class generates the whole group in N steps. In particular such a group is simple. For a large class of trees, Tits proved simplicity of a canonical subgroup of the automorphism group, which is generated by stabilizers of edges. We prove that only for subdivisions of biregular trees are such groups boundedly simple. In fact these groups are 32 boundedly simple. As a consequence, we show that if a boundedly simple group G acts by automorphisms on a tree, G does not stabilize any end and contains a nontrivial element which stabilizes some edge, then there is G -invariant subtree which is a subdivision of a biregular tree.

1. INTRODUCTION

A group G is simple (in the algebraic sense) if and only if G is generated by every nontrivial conjugacy class. A finer notion is that of *bounded simplicity*. A group G is called *N -boundedly simple* if for every two nontrivial elements $g, h \in G$, the element h is the product of N or fewer conjugates of $g^{\pm 1}$, i.e.

$$G = \left(g^G \cup g^{-1G} \right)^{\leq N}.$$

We say G is *boundedly simple* if it is N -boundedly simple, for some natural N . The most obvious example of a boundedly simple group is a finite simple group. Also, many groups of Lie type e.g. $\mathrm{PSL}_n(K)$, $\mathrm{PSp}_n(K)$ for an arbitrary field K with $|K| > 4$, are boundedly simple (see Lemma 1.1 below).

In this paper we are interested in actions of boundedly simple groups on trees. Our results were inspired by the following theorem due to Tits.

Theorem. [7, Theorem 4.5] *Suppose that A is a tree and G is a group acting by automorphisms on A without stabilizing any nonempty proper subtree of A nor any end of A . Assume that G has Tits' independence property (P) (see Definition 2.4). Let G^+ be the subgroup of G generated by stabilizers in G of edges of A . Then G^+ is a simple group. Furthermore, every subgroup of G normalized by G^+ is trivial or contains G^+ .*

The full group of automorphisms $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$ has property (P) and in many cases does not stabilize either subtrees or ends of A . In such a case, by the above theorem, $\mathrm{Aut}^+(A)$ is simple. We determine trees such that $\mathrm{Aut}^+(A)$ is boundedly simple. In fact, we consider a more general situation of a tree with a coloring f of vertices and group $\mathrm{Aut}_f^+(A)$ of color-preserving automorphisms.

Date: June 19, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20E08, 20E32; Secondary 20F65, 20E45.

Key words and phrases. boundedly simple groups, trees, affine buildings, simple Chevalley groups.

The author is supported by Polish Government MNiSW grants N N201 384134, N N201 545938, by fellowship START of the Foundation for Polish Science and by the Marie Curie Intra European Fellowship MODGROUP.

Theorem. 3.5 *Let $A_{n,m}$ be a (n,m) -regular (biregular) tree. Then $\text{Aut}^+(A_{n,m})$ has index at most two in $\text{Aut}(A_{n,m})$ and is 32-boundedly simple.*

Theorem. 3.12 *Assume that $(A, f: S(A) \rightarrow I)$ is a colored tree and $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ leaves no nonempty proper subtree of A invariant. Suppose that $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ is boundedly simple and nontrivial. Then*

- (1) *for some $n, m \geq 3$, A is an almost (n,m) -regular tree i.e. a subdivision of a biregular tree,*
- (2) *$\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ is 32-boundedly simple.*

Therefore, the bounded simplicity of automorphism groups characterizes the biregular trees. We do not expect that the bound 32 is sharp.

In the last section we consider a more general set-up of an action of a boundedly simple group on a tree. Our motivation for studying such actions comes from Bruhat-Tits buildings for $\text{PSL}_2(K)$, where K is a field with discrete valuation (see [5, Chapter II]). That is, $\text{PSL}_2(K)$ acts by automorphisms on a $(n+1)$ -regular tree (its Bruhat-Tits building), where n is the cardinality of the residue field. In fact, $\text{PSL}_2(K)$ is a subgroup of an automorphism group of a $(n+1)$ -regular tree generated by stabilizers of edges. On the other hand, it is well known that for an arbitrary field K , the group $\text{PSL}_2(K)$ is boundedly simple (by [8], $\text{PSL}_2(K)$ is 5-boundedly simple). We prove the following generalization of Theorem 3.12.

Theorem. 4.1 *Suppose that A is a tree and $G < \text{Aut}(A)$ leaves no nonempty proper subtree of A invariant and does not stabilize any end of A . Assume that G^+ is boundedly simple and nontrivial. Then A is an almost (n,m) -regular tree (a subdivision of a biregular tree $A_{n,m}$), for some $n, m \geq 3$.*

Corollary. 4.2 *Let G be a boundedly simple group acting by automorphisms on a tree A without stabilizing any end. If G^+ is nontrivial, then there is a G -invariant subtree $A' \subseteq A$ which is a subdivision of a biregular tree.*

There are many examples and results related to boundedly simple groups (see e.g. [2, 4]). Bounded simplicity is a stronger property than simplicity; for example the infinite alternating group is simple but not boundedly simple. This property arises naturally in model theory in the study of first order expressibility of simplicity for groups. For fixed N , the property of N -bounded simplicity is first order expressible, i.e. can be written as a sentence in first order logic. Therefore, for each natural number N , the class of N -boundedly simple groups is an *elementary class* (or an *axiomatizable class*) of structures. Every elementary class of structures is closed under taking ultraproducts (and elementary extensions). In fact, the following result characterizes bounded simplicity.

Lemma 1.1. *The following conditions are equivalent for any group G .*

- (1) *G is boundedly simple.*
- (2) *Some ultrapower $G^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{U}$ of G over some non-principal ultrafilter \mathcal{U} is a simple group.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Bounded simplicity is a first order property and ultrapowers preserve first-order conditions. Hence every ultrapower is boundedly simple, and thus simple.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let an ultrapower $G^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{U}$ be simple. Assume contrary to (1), that for every natural number N , there is $g_N \in G \setminus \{e\}$ and

$$h_N \in G \setminus \left(g_N^G \cup g_N^{-1G} \right)^{\leq N}.$$

Consider $g = (g_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{U}$ and $h = (h_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{U}$ from $G^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{U}$. Then the normal closure

$$H = \bigcup_{n < \mathbb{N}} \left(g^{G^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{U}} \cup g^{-1G^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{U}} \right)^n$$

of g in $G^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{U}$ is a nontrivial subgroup of $G^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{U}$, which is proper (as $h \notin H$); this is impossible. \square

Using the above Lemma one can give an easy proof of bounded simplicity of e.g. projective special linear groups $\mathrm{PSL}_n(K)$ or projective symplectic groups $\mathrm{PSp}_n(K)$ ($n \geq 2$). Namely, let K be an arbitrary infinite field, then

$$\mathrm{PSL}_n(K)^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{U} \cong \mathrm{PSL}_n(K^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{U}), \quad \mathrm{PSp}_n(K)^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{U} \cong \mathrm{PSp}_n(K^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{U}).$$

For an arbitrary infinite field F , $\mathrm{PSL}_n(F)$ and $\mathrm{PSp}_n(F)$ are simple groups. Hence by Lemma 1.1, simplicity of $\mathrm{PSL}_n(K^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{U})$ and $\mathrm{PSp}_n(K^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{U})$ implies bounded simplicity of $\mathrm{PSL}_n(K)$ and $\mathrm{PSp}_n(K)$.

In model theoretic language we can translate the above considerations as: if G is saturated group, then G is simple if and only if G is boundedly simple. For instance, if K is a saturated field and $G(K)$ is a simple group definable in K , then $G(K)$ is also saturated (with the induced structure), hence is boundedly simple.

Using results from [2], we have a more general picture. Namely, we say that a covering number of a group G is less or equal that N , if

$$C^N = G,$$

for an arbitrary nontrivial conjugacy class C of G . Every group with a finite covering number is obviously boundedly simple. By [2, Theorem M], all simple Chevalley groups (i.e. groups generated by root subgroups corresponding to an irreducible root system, see [6]) have finite covering number. Thus they are boundedly simple (note that $\mathrm{PSL}_n(F)$ and $\mathrm{PSp}_n(F)$ are simple Chevalley groups).

In [3], the authors study groups of automorphisms of some negatively curved spaces (hyperbolic buildings, Cayley graphs of word hyperbolic Coxeter groups and generalized cubical complexes). In particular they show that the group of type-preserving automorphisms of $I_{p,q}$ (for $p \geq 5$, $q \geq 3$) is simple; here $I_{p,q}$ denotes the 2-dimensional hyperbolic building of M. Bourdon [1]. It would be interesting to generalize results from this paper to spaces considered in [3, 1].

Question 1.2. Are simple groups considered in [3] boundedly simple?

2. BASIC NOTATION AND PREREQUISITES

We use the notation and basic facts from [7]. A tree is a connected graph without cycles. In this paper A always denotes a tree. By $S(A)$ we denote the set of vertices of A . The set of edges $\mathrm{Ar}(A)$ is a collection of some 2-element subsets of $S(A)$. Let $\mathrm{Ch}(A)$ be the set of all infinite paths starting at some vertex of A . *Ends* are equivalence classes of the following relation defined on $\mathrm{Ch}(A)$: $C \sim C' \Leftrightarrow C \cap C' \in \mathrm{Ch}(A)$. The set of ends is denoted by $\mathrm{Bout}(A)$.




FIGURE 2.1. Composition of two rotations

By $\text{Aut}(A)$ we denote the group of all automorphisms of A , i.e. bijections of $S(A)$ preserving edges. An automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ is called a *rotation* if it stabilizes some vertex $s \in S(A)$, i.e. $\alpha(s) = s$. We say α is a *symmetry* if for some edge $\{s, s'\} \in \text{Ar}(A)$, $\alpha(s) = s'$ and $\alpha(s') = s$. If for some doubly infinite path C in A , an automorphism α fixes C setwise and is not a rotation or a symmetry, then we call α a *translation*; in this case C is the unique doubly infinite path with the above properties and α restricted to C is a nontrivial translation. The *translation length* of a translation α is the infimum of distances between s and $\alpha(s)$, for all $s \in S(A)$. Note that, the translation length of an arbitrary translation is always positive. By [7, Proposition 3.2] the group $\text{Aut}(A)$ is a disjoint union of rotations, symmetries and translations. The subtree of A consisting of vertices fixed pointwise by α is called a *fixed tree of α* and is denoted by $\text{Fix}(\alpha)$. The subgroup of $\text{Aut}(A)$ stabilizing pointwise a given subtree A' of A is denoted by $\text{Stab}(A')$. For $G < \text{Aut}(A)$, by $\text{Stab}^G(A')$ we denote $\text{Stab}(A') \cap G$. The group $\text{Aut}(A)$ acts naturally on ends $\text{Bout}(A)$.

Definition 2.1. [7, 2.5] Let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ and $b \in \text{Bout}(A)$.

- (1) α *stabilizes* b , if $\alpha(b) = b$.
- (2) α *centralizes* b , if α fixes pointwise some infinite path C from b . The set of all elements that centralize b forms a group, called *the centralizer* of b .

Clearly, if α centralizes b , then α also stabilizes b . If α is not a nontrivial translation, then the converse is also true, i.e. if $\alpha(b) = b$, then for some $C \in b$, $\alpha|_C = \text{id}_C$. To see this, note that α must be a rotation, i.e. $\alpha(s) = s$ for some s . Then α fixes pointwise some infinite C from b starting at s .

The next two lemmas are well known (see e.g. [5, Section 6.5]). However, for the completeness of the exposition we provide proofs.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}(A)$, and for some $x, y \in S(A)$

$$\alpha(x) = x, \quad \beta(y) = y, \quad \alpha(y) \neq y \text{ and } \beta(x) \neq x.$$

Suppose that on the shortest path from x to y there are no vertices fixed by α (respectively β) other than x (respectively y). Then $\alpha \circ \beta$ is a translation with an even translation length.

Proof. Let $\gamma = \alpha \circ \beta$. We use the following criterion [7, Lemma 3.1] for an automorphism $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(A)$ to be a translation:

- (♠) if for some edge $\{x, y\} \in \text{Ar}(A)$, x is on the shortest path from y to $\gamma(y)$ and $\gamma(y)$ is on the shortest path from x to $\gamma(x)$, then γ is a translation along a doubly infinite path containing $y, x, \gamma(y)$ and $\gamma(x)$, with the translation length $\text{dist}(x, \gamma(x)) = \text{dist}(y, \gamma(y))$.

Since $\alpha(x) = x$, $\alpha(y) = \gamma(y) \neq y$ and $\gamma(x) = \alpha(\beta(x)) \neq \alpha(x) = x$, the shortest path from y to $\gamma(x)$ first goes through x and then through $\gamma(y)$ (see Figure 2.1). Therefore by (\spadesuit) , the translation length of γ is $\text{dist}(y, \gamma(y)) = 2 \text{dist}(y, x)$. \square

It is proved in [7, Proposition 3.4] that if a subgroup $G < \text{Aut}(A)$ does not contain translations, then G stabilizes some vertex or edge of A , or centralizes some end of A . The proof of this uses the assumption that G is a group in a very limited way, so a slightly general fact is true (Lemma 2.3 below). We use this generalization in the proof of Theorem 2.7.

Lemma 2.3. *If $T \subseteq \text{Aut}(A)$ and*

$$T \cup TT$$

does not contain translations, then the group generated by T also does not contain translations. Hence T stabilizes some vertex or edge of A or centralizes some end of A .

Proof. It is enough to prove that $G = \langle T \rangle$ does not contain translations (the rest follows from [7, Proposition 3.4]).

Upon replacing the tree A by its first barycentric subdivision, there is no loss of generality in assuming that T contains no symmetries. Hence, every element of T is a rotation. The family $\{\text{Fix}(\alpha) : \alpha \in T\}$ has the following property: for every $\alpha, \beta \in T$

$$(1) \quad \text{Fix}(\alpha) \cap \text{Fix}(\beta) \neq \emptyset,$$

because otherwise by Lemma 2.2, TT contains a translation (note that this is a tree version of Helly's theorem on convex sets). Take arbitrary $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ from T and let $A_i = \text{Fix}(\alpha_i)$. We show by induction that $A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n \neq \emptyset$ for any collection of subtrees of A satisfying Helly's condition (1). For $n = 3$, let $s_r \in A_s \cap A_t$, for pairwise distinct r, s, t from $\{1, 2, 3\}$. If s is the center of the triangle with vertices s_1, s_2 and s_3 , then $s \in A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3$. In the general case of $n + 1$ subtrees, consider $B_i = A_i \cap A_{n+1}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $B_i \cap B_j = A_i \cap A_j \cap A_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$, by case $n = 3$. Hence by induction, $\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq n} B_i = \bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq n+1} A_j \neq \emptyset$. \square

We will deal with some groups of automorphisms of trees which satisfy *Tits' independence property (P)* ([7, 4.2]). Let $G < \text{Aut}(A)$ and C be an arbitrary (finite or infinite) path in A . Consider a natural projection

$$\pi: S(A) \rightarrow S(C)$$

($\pi(x) \in S(C)$ is the closest vertex to x) and for every $s \in S(C)$ an induced projection of stabilizer

$$\rho_s: \text{Stab}^G(C) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi^{-1}[s]).$$

Definition 2.4. We say that $G < \text{Aut}(A)$ has the property (P) if for every path C in A , the mapping

$$\rho = (\rho_s)_{s \in S(C)}: \text{Stab}^G(C) \longrightarrow \prod_{s \in S(C)} \text{Im}(\rho_s)$$

is an isomorphism.

For example, the full group of automorphisms $\text{Aut}(A)$ has property (P).

Definition 2.5. Let A be a tree and $G < \text{Aut}(A)$.

- (1) A vertex having at least three edges adjacent to it is called a *ramification point* [7, 2.1].

(2) G^+ is the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(A)$ generated by the stabilizers in G of edges [7, 4.5]:

$$G^+ = \langle \text{Stab}^G(x, y) : \{x, y\} \in \text{Ar}(A) \rangle.$$

Lemma 2.6. *Every element of G^+ is either a rotation or a translation with an even translation length.*

Proof. Consider an equivalence relation E on $S(A)$:

$$E(x, y) \iff \text{the distance from } x \text{ to } y \text{ is even.}$$

Every stabilizer of an edge preserves E , so G^+ preserves E . On the other hand, only rotations and translations with even translation lengths preserve E . \square

Assume that $G < \text{Aut}(A)$ has property (P) and does not preserve any proper subtree or stabilize any end of A . [7, Theorem 4.5] implies that every subgroup of G normalized by G^+ is trivial or contains G^+ . In particular G^+ is a simple group. Modifying one step in the proof of this theorem (using Lemma 2.3), we can prove a more precise result regarding conjugacy classes in G^+ .

By $h^H = \{x^{-1}hx : x \in H\}$ we mean the conjugacy class of the element h of the group H .

Theorem 2.7. *Let A be a tree and $G < \text{Aut}(A)$. Assume that G has property (P) and that G leaves no nonempty proper subtree of A invariant and does not stabilize any end of A . Then for every nontrivial $g \in G^+$ and edge $\{x, y\} \in \text{Ar}(A)$*

$$\text{Stab}^G(x, y) \subseteq \left(g^{G^+} \cup g^{G^+} \cdot g^{G^+} \right)^2 \cdot \left(g^{-1G^+} \cup g^{-1G^+} \cdot g^{-1G^+} \right)^2.$$

Proof. The proof is a repetition of a proof of [7, Theorem 4.5], so we will be brief. By removing the edge $\{x, y\}$ from A we obtain two subtrees A' and A'' of A . Using property (P) we have

$$\text{Stab}^G(x, y) = \text{Stab}^G(A') \cdot \text{Stab}^G(A'').$$

Hence, it is enough to show that

$$\clubsuit \quad \text{Stab}^G(A') \cup \text{Stab}^G(A'') \subseteq \left(g^{G^+} \cup g^{G^+} \cdot g^{G^+} \right) \cdot \left(g^{-1G^+} \cup g^{-1G^+} \cdot g^{-1G^+} \right).$$

Claim. There is a translation h from $g^{G^+} \cup g^{G^+} \cdot g^{G^+}$ along a doubly infinite path D from A' .

Proof of the claim. Lemma 4.4 from [7] states that if X, Y are nontrivial subgroups of $\text{Aut}(A)$ and X normalizes Y and X leaves no nonempty proper subtree of A invariant and does not stabilize any end of A , then the same is true for Y . By application of this lemma to pairs (G, G^+) and $(G^+, \langle g^{G^+} \rangle)$, we have that g^{G^+} does not preserve any proper subtree or stabilize any end of A . Therefore by Lemma 2.3, $g^{G^+} \cup g^{G^+} \cdot g^{G^+}$ contains a translation h along some doubly infinite path D . Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$D \cap A' \neq \emptyset.$$

Indeed, take an arbitrary vertex $s \in S(D)$. By [7, Lemma 4.1], there is $g'' \in G^+$ with $g''^{-1}(s) \in S(A')$. If $h' = h^{g''}$ and $D' = g''^{-1}[D]$, then $h' \in g^{G^+} \cup g^{G^+} \cdot g^{G^+}$ is a translation along D' and $D' \cap A' \neq \emptyset$.

We may also assume that $D \not\subseteq A'$.

Let b' and b'' be two ends induced by D . There is $g' \in G^+$ with $g'(b'') \notin \{b', b''\}$ (otherwise G^+ leaves D invariant). Denote by $\pi: S(A) \rightarrow S(D)$ a projection from A to D (i.e. $\text{dist}(\{x\}, D) = \text{dist}(x, \pi(x))$). Then $\pi[S(A'')] \subseteq S(A'' \cap D)$. Since $b'' \notin \{g'^{-1}(b'), g'^{-1}(b'')\}$ we can find $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$D' = h^n (g'^{-1}[D]) \subseteq A'.$$

Thus $h' = h^{g^{h^{-n}}}$ is a translation from $g^{G^+} \cup g^{G^+} \cdot g^{G^+}$ along a doubly infinite path D' from A' . \square

(♣) follows directly from the claim: by [7, Lemma 4.3],

$$\text{Stab}^G(D) = \{hfh^{-1}f^{-1} : f \in \text{Stab}^G(D)\},$$

so $\text{Stab}^G(A') < \text{Stab}^G(D) = h \cdot h^{-1\text{Stab}^G(D)} \subseteq h^{G^+} \cdot h^{-1G^+}$, thus $\text{Stab}^G(A')$ is included in the product

$$(g^{G^+} \cup g^{G^+} \cdot g^{G^+}) \cdot (g^{-1G^+} \cup g^{-1G^+} \cdot g^{-1G^+}).$$

\square

We recall from [7, Section 5] a convenient way to describe trees. Let I be a set of “colors” and

$$f: S(A) \rightarrow I$$

a coloring function. Define a group of automorphisms preserving f as

$$\text{Aut}_f(A) = \{\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A) : f \circ \alpha = f\}.$$

We say that f is *normal* if f is onto and for every $i \in I$, $\text{Aut}_f(A)$ is transitive on $f^{-1}[i]$. Clearly, for every coloring function f there is a normal coloring function f' such that $\text{Aut}_f(A) = \text{Aut}_{f'}(A)$. Hence we may always assume that f is normal.

It is easy to see that $\text{Aut}_f(A)$ has the property (P).

Let $(A, f: S(A) \rightarrow I)$ be an arbitrary colored tree, with f normal. Define a function

$$a: I \times I \rightarrow \text{Card}$$

as follows: take an arbitrary $x \in f^{-1}[i]$ and set

$$a(i, j) = |\{y \in f^{-1}[j] : \{x, y\} \in \text{Ar}(A)\}|.$$

Since f is normal, the value $a(i, j)$ does not depend on the choice of x from $f^{-1}[i]$. Functions a arising in this way can be characterized by two conditions [7, Proposition 5.3]:

- (1) if $a(i, j) = 0$, then $a(j, i) = 0$
- (2) the directed graph $G(a) = (I, E)$, where $E = \{\{i, j\} \subseteq I : a(i, j) \neq 0\}$, is connected.

If a function $a: I \times I \rightarrow \text{Card}$ has properties (1) and (2), then there is a colored tree A with a normal coloring function f such that for every $x \in f^{-1}[i]$,

$$a(i, j) = |\{y \in f^{-1}[j] : \{x, y\} \in \text{Ar}(A)\}|.$$

We say, then, that a is a *code* of the colored tree A . We note also [7, 5.7] that if $1 \notin a[I \times I]$, then $\text{Aut}_f(A)$ leaves no nonempty proper subtree invariant and does not stabilize any end of the tree; hence by [7, Theorem 4.5] or our Theorem 2.7, $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ is a simple group.

An element $i \in I$ is a *ramification color*, if $i = f(x)$, for some ramification point $x \in S(A)$. The set of all ramification colors is denoted by I^{ram} .

By the *color of path* (possibly infinite) we mean the sequence of colors of its vertices.

We will use the next fact from [7].

Proposition 2.8. [7, 6.1] *Let A be a colored tree. Then $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ is generated by stabilizers of ramification points in $\text{Aut}_f(A)$:*

$$\text{Aut}_f^+(A) = \left\langle \text{Stab}^{\text{Aut}_f(A)}(r) : r \in S(A) \text{ is a ramification point} \right\rangle.$$

Since $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ is the subgroup of $\text{Aut}_f(A)$, we may consider the following coloring function

$$f^+ : S(A) \rightarrow I^+ = \{\text{orbits of } \text{Aut}_f^+(A) \text{ on } S(A)\},$$

which is just the quotient map.

Proposition 2.9. (1) f^+ is normal and f^+ refines f , i.e. if $f^+(x) = f^+(y)$, then $f(x) = f(y)$.

(2) $\text{Aut}_f^+(A) = \text{Aut}_{f^+}(A)$

Proof. (1) and the inclusion \subseteq in (2) is obvious. If $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_{f^+}(A)$ and $r \in S(A)$ is a ramification point, then $\alpha(r) \in \text{Aut}_f^+(A) \cdot r$. Thus, by Proposition 2.8, $\alpha \in \text{Stab}^{\text{Aut}_f(A)}(r) \cdot \text{Aut}_f^+(A) = \text{Aut}_f^+(A)$. \square

3. BOUNDED SIMPLICITY OF $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$

We begin with the criterion for bounded simplicity of G^+ .

Proposition 3.1. *Let A be a tree. Assume that $G < \text{Aut}(A)$ has property (P) and leaves invariant no nonempty proper subtree of A and does not stabilize any end of A (so G^+ is simple).*

Then G^+ is boundedly simple if and only if there is a natural number K such that

- (1) every translation from G^+ is a product of K rotations from G^+ ,
- (2) every rotation from G^+ is a product of K elements from $\bigcup_{\{x,y\} \in \text{Ar}(A)} \text{Stab}^G(x,y)$.

Proof. \Rightarrow is clear.

\Leftarrow Let $g \in G^+$ be nontrivial. By Theorem 2.7, for an arbitrary edge $\{x,y\} \in \text{Ar}(A)$

$$\text{Stab}^G(x,y) \subseteq \left(g^{G^+} \cup g^{-1G^+} \right)^{\leq 8}.$$

Lemma 2.6 with the assumption lead to $G^+ = \left(g^{G^+} \cup g^{-1G^+} \right)^{\leq 8K^2}$. \square

The next lemma states that the condition (2) from Proposition 3.1 is always satisfied in $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$, with $K = 2$.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that A is a colored tree and the group $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ is nontrivial. Then every nontrivial rotation from $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ fixes some ramification point and is a composition of two elements from $\bigcup_{\{x,y\} \in \text{Ar}(A)} \text{Stab}^{\text{Aut}_f(A)}(x,y)$.*

Proof. By [7, 6.1], if $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ stabilizes a ramification point, then α is a product of two elements from $\bigcup_{\{x,y\} \in \text{Ar}(A)} \text{Stab}^{\text{Aut}_f(A)}(x,y)$.

We prove that every rotation $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ fixes some ramification point. Let x be a non-ramification point and $\alpha(x) = x$. We may assume that x has two adjacent vertices y and z of the same color. It is enough to show that $\alpha(y) = y$ and $\alpha(z) = z$. If $f(x) = f(y)$, then A is just a doubly infinite path, so let $i = f(x) \neq f(y)$. Consider on $S(A)$ the following equivalence relation: $E(r, s)$ if and only if on the shortest path from r to s there is even number of vertices of color i . Clearly $\neg E(y, z)$. It suffices to show that for every $\beta \in \text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ and $r \in S(A)$

$$E(r, \beta(r)).$$

Let $\beta \in \text{Stab}^{\text{Aut}_f(A)}(x', y')$ (where $\{x', y'\} \in \text{Ar}(A)$) and consider the shortest path C from r to $\beta(r)$. Then β fixes some ramification point t from C . Since x is not a ramification point, $f(t) \neq i$. Therefore $E(r, \beta(r))$. \square

We now define the main ingredient of proofs in results of this paper, the notion of *the type of a translation*. We associate with each translation, a finite sequence of colors.

Definition 3.3. Let A be an arbitrary colored tree and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_f(A)$ be a translation along a doubly infinite path C . Suppose that there is $x \in C$ and a subpath of C with $x = x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1} = \alpha(x)$, and $f(x_1) = i_1, \dots, f(x_n) = i_n$ (note that $f(x_{n+1}) = i_1$). Then we say that the set of all cyclic shifts

$$t = [i_1, \dots, i_n] = \{(i_1, \dots, i_n), (i_2, \dots, i_n, i_1), \dots, (i_n, i_1, \dots, i_{n-1})\}$$

of the sequence (i_1, \dots, i_n) is *the type* of the translation α .

Any two translation which are conjugate have the same type. We calculate types of some translations: a composition of two rotations and a composition of a rotation and a translation.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_f(A)$ be rotations and $\gamma \in \text{Aut}_f(A)$ be a translation.

- (1) Assume that $\alpha(x) = x$, $\beta(y) = y$ for some $x, y \in S(A)$, and on the shortest path D from y to x there are no vertices except x (respectively y) fixed by α (respectively β). If the color of D is

$$\square = (i_1, \dots, i_n), \quad f(y) = i_1, \quad f(x) = i_n, \quad n \geq 2,$$

then the type of $\alpha \circ \beta$ is

$$\heartsuit = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}, i_n, i_{n-1}, \dots, i_2].$$

Furthermore, every translation of the type \heartsuit is a composition of two rotations. As a consequence we see that the type of $\alpha \circ \beta$ depends only on the type of the shortest path between $\text{Fix}(\beta)$ and $\text{Fix}(\alpha)$.

- (2) Assume that γ is a translation of the type

$$\triangle = [i_1, j_2, \dots, j_m], \quad m \geq 2,$$

along a doubly infinite path C and x is the vertex fixed by α which is closest to C .

- (2.1) Assume that x lies outside C (see Figure 3.1). Let $y \in C$ be the closest vertex to x in C (a projection of x on C). Let D be the shortest path from y to x (x is the only vertex in D fixed by α). Let the color of D be \square from




FIGURE 3.1. Composition of translation and rotation

the previous point (with $f(y) = i_1, f(x) = i_n$). Then $\alpha \circ \gamma$ and $\gamma \circ \alpha$ are translations of the type

$$\diamond = \heartsuit \Delta = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}, i_n, i_{n-1}, \dots, i_2, i_1, j_2, \dots, j_m].$$

Also every translation of the type \diamond is a composition of a rotation, and a translation of the type Δ . Hence, the type of $\alpha \circ \gamma$ only depends on the type of γ and the type of the shortest path from C to $\text{Fix}(\alpha)$.

(2.2) Assume that x lies on C . Let D be the shortest path from x to $\gamma(x)$ and assume that $f(x) = i_1$. Let y be a vertex from D next to x (so $f(y) = j_2$).

(2.2.1) If $\gamma(\alpha(y))$ lies outside D , then $\gamma \circ \alpha$ is a translation of the same type as γ , i.e.

$$\Delta = [i_1, j_2, \dots, j_m].$$

(2.2.2) Assume that $\gamma(\alpha(y))$ is on D (so $j_2 = j_m$). Let $y' \neq x$ be a vertex from D , next to y (so $f(y') = j_3$). Again, if $\gamma(\alpha(y'))$ is outside D , then $\gamma \circ \alpha$ is a translation of the type

$$[j_2, \dots, j_{m-1}].$$

Continuing this way we see, that either $\gamma \circ \alpha$ is a translation of the type which is the subtype of Δ or $\gamma \circ \alpha$ is a rotation. In the last case m is even and

$$j_2 = j_m, j_3 = j_{m-1}, \dots, j_{\frac{m}{2}-1} = j_{\frac{m}{2}+2}.$$

Thus, $\gamma \circ \alpha$ stabilizes vertex of type $j_{\frac{m}{2}+1}$. Since $\alpha \circ \gamma = (\gamma \circ \alpha)^{\alpha^{-1}}$, the same applies to $\alpha \circ \gamma$.

Proof. By applying (\spadesuit) from Lemma 2.2 to:

- $y, x, \alpha(\beta(y)), \alpha(\beta(x))$, in (1),
- $y, x, \alpha(\gamma(y)), \alpha(\gamma(x))$ (see Figure 3.1), in (2.1),
- $x, y, \gamma(x) = \gamma(\alpha(x))$ and $\gamma(\alpha(y))$, in (2.2.1),
- $y, y', \gamma(\alpha(y))$ and $\gamma(\alpha(y'))$ (see Figure 3.1), in (2.2.2),

we have in (1) that the type of $\alpha \circ \beta$ is \heartsuit , and in (2) that either the type of $\gamma \circ \alpha$ is the subtype of Δ , or $\gamma \circ \alpha$ is a rotation.

We prove that every translation of type \heartsuit is a composition of two rotations. The case of a composition of a translation and a rotation is similar. Suppose a is a code of A . Let δ be a translation of type \heartsuit along path C . Then $a(i_1, i_2)$ and $a(i_n, i_{n-1})$ are at least 2. Hence there are rotation $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_f(A)$ such that $\alpha \circ \beta$ has the same type and translation path as δ . Then $\delta' = \delta \circ (\alpha \circ \beta)^{-1}$ fixes C . Hence $\delta = (\delta' \circ \alpha) \circ \beta$ is a composition of two rotations. \square




FIGURE 3.2. Composition of three rotations

An (n, m) -regular or biregular tree, denoted by $A_{n,m}$, is a 2-colored tree with the following code:

$$a(0, 0) = a(1, 1) = 0, \quad a(0, 1) = n, \quad a(1, 0) = m,$$

where $I = \{0, 1\}$ and n, m are some cardinal numbers ≥ 3 . Intuitively, in a biregular tree every vertex is black or white, every white vertex is connected with n black vertices and every black vertex is connected with m white vertices. If $n = 2$ and $m \geq 3$, then after removing vertices of color 0 we get the m -regular tree.

Theorem 3.5. $\text{Aut}^+(A_{n,m})$ has index at most two in $\text{Aut}(A_{n,m})$ and is 32-boundedly simple.

Proof. Clearly, $\text{Aut}^+(A_{n,m})$ has property (P) and $1 \notin a[I \times I]$, so $\text{Aut}^+(A_{n,m})$ leaves no nonempty proper subtree of $A_{n,m}$ invariant and does not stabilize any end of $A_{n,m}$. Also, $\text{Aut}^+(A_{n,m})$ consists of translations with even translation lengths and rotations. The type of a translation from $\text{Aut}^+(A_{n,m})$ has to be of the form $[ijij \dots ij]$. Therefore, it follows from Lemma 3.4(1), that every translation is a product of two rotations. It is enough to apply Proposition 3.1 with $K = 2$. \square

Definition 3.6. An almost (n, m) -regular tree (almost biregular tree) is the (n, m) -regular tree subdivided (in an equivariant way) by non-ramification points. Namely, it is the tree with the set of colors $I = \{0, \dots, k\}$ and the following code: $a(0, 1) = n$, $a(k, k-1) = m$ and $a(i, i+1) = a(i+1, i) = 1$ for $i \in I \setminus \{0, k\}$. For all other pairs (p, q) from I^2 , a has value 0.

If A is an almost (n, m) -regular tree, then A is a subdivision of a biregular tree $A_{n,m}$ and $\text{Aut}_f^+(A) \cong \text{Aut}^+(A_{n,m})$. Hence $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ is 32-boundedly simple.

Apart from $A_{n,m}$, there are no other colored trees A with boundedly simple groups $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ and with the property that $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ leaves no nonempty proper subtree of A invariant (Theorem 3.12). The next proposition is the main technical step in the proof of this fact. We prove that, if $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ is boundedly simple, then some particular configuration in the code of A is forbidden.

Proposition 3.7. Assume that A is a colored tree and $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ is nontrivial and boundedly simple. Take two rotations α, β from $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$. Suppose that for three different ramification points $x, y, z \in S(A)$

- $\alpha(x) = x$, $\beta(y) = y$ and on the shortest path from x to y there are no vertices fixed by α (respectively β) other than x (respectively y) i.e. $\beta \circ \alpha$ is a translation along a doubly infinite path C (see Figure 3.2),




FIGURE 3.3. Types of paths

- t is the projection of z onto C and s is a vertex next to z lying on the shortest path from z to t ,
- on the shortest paths from x to y and from s to t there are no vertices of color $f(z)$ (so also on C there are no such vertices).

If γ is an arbitrary element from $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ fixing z , then γ fixes also s

$$\gamma(s) = s.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.9, there is a normal function $f^+ : S(A) \rightarrow I^+$ with $\text{Aut}_f^+(A) = \text{Aut}_{f^+}(A)$. We may assume further that $f = f^+$ and $I = I^+$.

Suppose, contrary to our claim, that $\gamma(s) \neq s$. For each natural number K we construct a composition of some rotations which cannot be written as a composition of K rotations. Then, Proposition 3.1 implies that $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ is not boundedly simple.

Our situation is described by Figure 3.2. We may assume that t belongs to the path in C from x to y (if t belongs to the path from $(\beta \circ \alpha)^n(x)$ to $(\beta \circ \alpha)^n(y)$, for some integer n , then just take $z := (\beta \circ \alpha)^{-n}(z)$ and conjugate $\gamma := \gamma^{(\beta \circ \alpha)^n}$).

Denote by 0, 1 and 2 sequences of colors, corresponding to paths in Figure 3.3. Namely, let

- 0 corresponds to the shortest path from t to $\gamma(t)$ (through z) without the last term of color $f(t)$,
- 1 — from $\alpha^{-1}(t)$ to t (through x) without the last term of color $f(t)$,
- 2 — from t to $\beta(t)$ (through y) without the last term of color $f(t)$.

Note that 1 or 2 might be empty, but the paths 0 and 12 (the concatenation of 1 and 2) are always nonempty.

By Lemma 3.4, sequences 0, 1 and 2 have the form

$$(\diamond) \quad (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{r-1}, c_r, c_{r-1}, \dots, c_2),$$

where c_1 is $f(t)$.

For example, by Lemma 3.4(2.1), translations $\alpha \circ \beta$, $\beta \circ \alpha$ have type $[1, 2]$, translations $\delta = \gamma \circ \beta \circ \alpha$, $\beta \circ \alpha \circ \gamma$, $\gamma \circ \alpha \circ \beta$, $\alpha \circ \beta \circ \gamma$ have type $[1, 0, 2]$ and the path C has color $(\dots 1212 \dots)$ (see Figure 3.3).

Define by induction the following sequences

- $t_2 = (1, 2, 1, 0, (1, 2)^2, 1, 0)$,
- $t_{n+1} = (1, 2, 1, 0, (1, 2)^{2n-1}, t_n, (1, 2)^{2n-1}, 1, 0)$, for $n \geq 2$.

Note that, each t_n induces a doubly infinite path in A of the color $(\dots t_n t_n t_n \dots)$. In fact, vertex t is a ramification point as a joining point of paths 0, 1 and 2. Hence by (\blacklozenge) , for each automorphism $\rho \in \text{Aut}(A)$, one can find in A from $\rho(t)$ segments of type 0, 1 and 2.

Let α_n be a translation of type $[t_n]$ (α_n is the translation along path $(\dots t_n t_n t_n \dots)$). Then α_n is the composition of n rotations from $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$. In particular, by Lemma 3.4(1),

$$[t_2] = [2, 1, 0, (1, 2)^2, 1, 0, 1]$$

is a type of composition of two rotations from $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ (because x and y are ramification points). Also α_{n+1} has the type

$$[1, 2, 1, 0, (1, 2)^{2n-1}, t_n, (1, 2)^{2n-1}, 1, 0] = [(1, 2)^{2n-1}, 1, 0, 1, 2, 1, 0, (1, 2)^{2n-1}, t_n],$$

being (by Lemma 3.4(2.1)) the type of the composition of a translation of type t_n and a rotation. Hence, α_{n+1} is a composition of $n + 1$ rotations.

The proof will be completed by showing that α_n cannot be written as a composition of less than $\frac{n+3}{2}$ rotations. In order to do this, we introduce notions describing the complexity of distances of colors in types.

Definition 3.8. For $i \in I$ and type $t = [i_1, \dots, i_n]$ define the i -sequence of t in the following way.

- If there is no occurrence of i in t , then the i -sequence of t is empty.
- Let i_k be the first occurrence of i in (i_1, \dots, i_n) . The i -sequence of t is a sequence (modulo all cyclic shifts) of distances between consecutive occurrences of i in the sequence $(i_k, i_{k+1}, \dots, i_{n-1}, i_n, i_1, \dots, i_k)$.

Note that if t has N occurrences of i , then its i -sequence is of length N .

We compute the $f(z)$ -sequence of t_n . Note that, by the assumption, $f(z)$ appears once only in the path 0. However, first we compute the 0-sequence for t_n (regarding 0 as an additional color). The 0-sequence for $[t_2] = [1, 2, 1, 0, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 0]$ is $[6, 4]$ and for $[t_3] = [1, 2, 1, 0, (1, 2)^4, 1, 0, (1, 2)^2, 1, 0, (1, 2)^3, 1, 0]$ is $[10, 6, 8, 4]$. It can be proved by induction that the 0-sequence for $[t_{n+1}]$ is

$$(\star) \quad (4n + 2, 4n - 2, \dots, 14, 10, 6, 8, 12, \dots, 4n - 4, 4n, 4).$$

Let p be the length of the path 01 and q the length of the path 12 (p, q are even and at least 2). Note that the 0-sequence for $t' = [0, (1, 2)^n, 1]$ is $(2n + 2)$ and $f(z)$ -sequence for t' is $(p + nq)$. Therefore by (\star) , the $f(z)$ -sequence for $[t_{n+1}]$ is

$$[p + (2n)q, p + (2n - 2)q, \dots, p + 4q, p + 2q, p + 3q, \dots, p + (2n - 3)q, p + (2n - 1)q, p + q].$$

Definition 3.9. Suppose $i \in I$, $t = [i_1, \dots, i_n]$ is a type and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

- $L_m(t, i)$ as the maximal even number, less than or equal to the number of occurrences of m in the i -sequence of t ,
- $L_\infty(t, i) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} L_m(t, i)$.

For example, for an arbitrary m , $L_m(t_n, f(z)) = 0$, i.e. t_n has no multiple occurrences of any value.

To prove the lower bound for the number of rotations needed to generate α_n , we will use the next lemma.

TABLE 3.1. i -sequence of the composition of two rotations

Case	i -sequence
$i_1 = i$ and $i_n = i$	$m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{\frac{N}{2}}, m_{\frac{N}{2}}, \dots, m_2, m_1$
$i_1 = i$ and $i_n \neq i$	$m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{\frac{N-1}{2}}, m_{\frac{N+1}{2}}, m_{\frac{N-1}{2}}, \dots, m_2, m_1$
$i_1 \neq i$ and $i_n = i$	$m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{\frac{N-1}{2}}, m_{\frac{N-1}{2}}, \dots, m_2, m_1, m_0$
$i_1 \neq i$ and $i_n \neq i$	$m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{\frac{N-2}{2}}, m_{\frac{N}{2}}, m_{\frac{N-2}{2}}, \dots, m_2, m_1, m_0$

TABLE 3.2. i -sequence of t or s

Case	i -sequence
$i_1 = i$ and $i_n = i$	$m_1, \dots, m_{\frac{N_1}{2}}, m_{\frac{N_1}{2}}, \dots, m_1, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N_2}$
$i_1 = i$ and $i_n \neq i$	$m_1, \dots, m_{\frac{N_1-1}{2}}, m_{\frac{N_1+1}{2}}, m_{\frac{N_1-1}{2}}, \dots, m_1, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N_2}$
$i_1 \neq i$ and $i_n = i$	$m_1, \dots, m_{\frac{N_1-1}{2}}, m_{\frac{N_1-1}{2}}, \dots, m_1, m_0, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N_2-1}, n'_{N_2}$
$i_1 \neq i$ and $i_n \neq i$	$m_1, \dots, m_{\frac{N_1-2}{2}}, m_{\frac{N_1}{2}}, m_{\frac{N_1-2}{2}}, \dots, m_1, m_0, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N_2-1}, n'_{N_2}$

Lemma 3.10. *Let t be a type of a translation which is a composition of K rotations. Suppose t has N occurrences of i . Then*

$$L_\infty(t, i) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} L_m(t, i) \geq N - 4K + 6.$$

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on K .

Let $K = 2$. By Lemma 3.4(1), t is of the form $[i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}, i_n, i_{n-1}, \dots, i_2]$. In the Table 3.1 we describe all possibilities for the shape of i -sequence of t . In all cases $L_\infty(t, i) \geq N - 2$.

Let t be the type of the composition $\tau = \tau_1 \circ \dots \circ \tau_{K+1}$ of $K + 1$ rotations. Denote by $\rho = \tau_1 \circ \dots \circ \tau_K$. If ρ is a rotation, then $\tau = \rho \circ \tau_{K+1}$ is the composition of two rotations and we may use the induction hypothesis. Otherwise, ρ is a translation along some double infinite path C' . Let

$$s = [i_1, j_2, \dots, j_m], \quad m \geq 2$$

be the type of ρ and x_{K+1} be a vertex fixed by τ_{K+1} , which is the nearest to C' . We have two main cases: x_{K+1} is in C' , or not.

Assume first that $x_{K+1} \notin C'$, i.e. the case (2.1) from Lemma 3.4 holds. Then

$$t = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}, i_n, i_{n-1}, \dots, i_2, i_1, j_2, \dots, j_m].$$

Let N_1 and N_2 be the numbers of occurrences of i in $(i_1, \dots, i_n, \dots, i_2)$ and (i_1, j_2, \dots, j_m) respectively. Then t has $N = N_1 + N_2$ occurrences of i . Denote by

$$[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N_2}]$$

the i -sequence of s . Again, there are four possibilities for the shape of i -sequence of t (presented in the Table 3.2). By induction hypothesis $L_\infty(s, i) \geq N_2 - 4K + 6$. Therefore, by the definition of $L_m(t, i)$, in the worst (i.e. fourth) case we have

$$L_\infty(t, i) \geq (L_\infty(s, i) - 2) + (N_1 - 2) = N - 4K + 2 = N - 4(K + 1) + 6.$$

Assume that $x_{K+1} \in C'$, i.e. the case (2.2) from Lemma 3.4 holds. Then

$$t = [i_1, j_2, \dots, j_m]$$

and ρ has type $s = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}, i_n, i_{n-1}, \dots, i_2, i_1, j_2, \dots, j_m]$. Let N_1 and N_2 be the numbers of occurrences of i in $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}, i_n, i_{n-1}, \dots, i_2)$ and t respectively. We may assume that the i -sequence of s is given by the Table 3.2 (where $[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N_2}]$ is the i -sequence of t). By induction hypothesis $L_\infty(s, i) \geq N_1 + N_2 - 4K + 6$. We have to show that $L_\infty(t, i) \geq N_2 - 4(K + 1) + 6$. In the first case (i.e. $i_1 = i$ and $i_n = i$)

$$L_\infty(t, i) = L_\infty(s, i) - N_1.$$

In the second case

$$L_\infty(t, i) \geq L_\infty(s, i) - (N_1 - 1) - 2.$$

In the third case

$$L_\infty(t, i) \geq L_\infty(s, i) - (N_1 - 1) - 4.$$

In the fourth case

$$L_\infty(t, i) \geq L_\infty(s, i) - (N_1 - 2) - 6 = N_2 - 4(K + 1) + 6.$$

□

The $f(z)$ -sequence for t_n has no multiple occurrences of any value and t_n has $2n$ occurrences of $f(z)$. If t_n is the type of the composition of K rotations, then by Lemma 3.10, $0 \geq 2n - 4K + 6$, so $K \geq \frac{n+3}{2}$. This finishes the proof of Proposition 3.7. □

Proposition 3.7 implies that for many trees A , the groups $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ are not boundedly simple. That is, after adding to “an almost arbitrary” tree A one new color k , such that for some old color j , $a(k, j) \geq 2$, we obtain a tree A' where $\text{Aut}_f^+(A')$ is not boundedly simple.

Corollary 3.11. *Assume that A is a colored tree and $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ does not stabilize any vertex. Extend the code a of A by adding one new color $I' = I \cup \{k\}$ ($k \notin I$) to get a code $a' \supset a$ such that for every $i \in I$, $a'(i, k) = 0$ if and only if $a'(k, i) = 0$, and for some $j \in I$*

$$a'(k, j) \geq 2.$$

If $(A', f': S(A') \rightarrow I')$ is a tree corresponding to a' , then $\text{Aut}_{f'}^+(A')$ is not boundedly simple.

Proof. The tree A' contains the subtree A corresponding to a . Let z be a vertex in A' of color k and let s be a vertex in A of color j adjacent to z . Since $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ does not stabilize any vertex, there is a translation in $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ along a doubly infinite path C in A . Let t be the projection of s onto C in the tree A . Applying Proposition 3.7 to z, s, t and C , we conclude that $\text{Aut}_{f'}^+(A')$ is not boundedly simple (because there is $\gamma \in \text{Aut}_{f'}^+(A')$, such that $\gamma(z) = z$ and $\gamma(s) \neq s$). □

We characterize all colored trees A with boundedly simple group $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$, assuming that $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ leaves no nonempty proper subtree invariant.

Theorem 3.12. *Assume that $(A, f: S(A) \rightarrow I)$ is a colored tree and $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ leaves no nonempty proper subtree of A invariant. Suppose that $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ is boundedly simple and nontrivial. Then*

- (1) for some $n, m \geq 3$, A is an almost (n, m) -regular tree i.e. a subdivision of a biregular tree,
- (2) $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ is 32-boundedly simple.

Proof. (2) follows from (1) and Theorem 3.5. We prove (1).

By Proposition 2.9, there is a normal function $f^+ : S(A) \rightarrow I^+$ such that $\text{Aut}_f^+(A) = \text{Aut}_{f^+}(A)$. Let a^+ be the code for $(A, f^+ : S(A) \rightarrow I^+)$.

By the assumption, $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ contains some translation which is a composition of two rotations. Let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ be a translation of minimal possible translation length amongst all translations which are products of two rotations. Let

$$[j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}, j_k, j_{k-1}, \dots, j_1], \quad k \geq 1$$

be the type of α according to the coloring f^+ . We may assume that $\alpha = \beta \circ \gamma$, $\beta(x) = x$, $\gamma(y) = y$, colors of x and y are j_0 and j_k respectively and

$$(x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k = y)$$

is the shortest path in A from x to y . Then

$$n = a^+(j_0, j_1), \quad m = a^+(j_k, j_{k-1}) \geq 2.$$

The minimality of translation length of α implies that if $k > 1$, then

$$a^+(j_1, j_2) = \dots = a^+(j_{k-1}, j_k) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a^+(j_{k-1}, j_{k-2}) = \dots = a^+(j_1, j_0) = 1,$$

(because e.g. if $a^+(j_1, j_0) > 1$, then $j_1 = j_k$, and if $a^+(j_1, j_2) > 1$, then instead of x_0 we may consider x_1).

We claim that $k = 1$ or for $s, t \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$

- (0) $j_s \neq j_t$,
- (1) if $|s - t| \neq 1$, then $a^+(j_s, j_t) = 0$,
- (2) if $s \neq 1$ and $t \neq k-1$, then $a^+(j_0, j_s) = a^+(j_k, j_t) = a^+(j_0, j_k) = 0$.

(0) and (1) follow from the minimality of translation length of α (otherwise we can find a translation with shorter translation length). For (2) suppose, contrary to our claim, that $a^+(j_0, j_s) > 0$ (now $s \in \{2, \dots, k\}$). Then (again by the minimality of translation length),

$$a^+(j_0, j_s) = 1.$$

Therefore, there is in $G(a^+)$ a path $(j_0, j_s, j_{s-1}, \dots, j_1, j_0)$. We may assume that $j_0 \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_s\}$. There is also a corresponding path

$$Q = (x_0, x'_s, x'_{s-1}, \dots, x'_1, x'_0)$$

in A , i.e. $f(x'_i) = j_i$. Since j_0, j_1, \dots, j_s are pairwise distinct, Q is the shortest path between x_0 and x'_0 . Vertices x_0 and x'_0 have the same color, so there is $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_f^+(A)$, with $\alpha(x_0) = x'_0$. Now α cannot be a rotation (as $s \geq 2$ and j_0, j_1, \dots, j_s are pairwise distinct), so α is a translation. The translation length of α is at least 4 (because $s \geq 2$). On the other hand, the type of every translation from $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$, with translation length at least 4, contains a multiple occurrence of some color. However, this observation is not true for the type of α . Hence, (2) is proved.

We claim that

- (3) $I^+ = \{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}, j_k\}$.

This follows from Proposition 3.7 and our assumption that $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ leaves no nonempty proper subtree of A invariant. That is, take $* \in I^+ \setminus \{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}, j_k\}$, such that $*$ is adjacent in $G(a^+)$ to some j_s , $s \in \{0, \dots, k\}$. Then by Proposition 3.7, $a^+(*, j_s) = 1$. Therefore (e.g. by [7, Lemma 4.1]) the subtree A' of A , corresponding to the code $a^+_{|\{j_0, \dots, j_k\}^2}$, is $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ -invariant. Hence $A' = A$.

Recall that $I^{+\text{ram}}$ is the set of ramification colors from I^+ . Clearly $I^{+\text{ram}} \neq \emptyset$. It cannot happen that $|I^{+\text{ram}}| = 1$. Otherwise, if e.g. $I^{+\text{ram}} = \{j_0\}$, then consider on the set of vertices of color j_0 , the following equivalence relation: $E(r, s)$ if and only if on the shortest path from r to s there is an odd number of vertices of color j_0 . One can easily show that for every rotation $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ and $r \in S(A)$ with $f^+(r) = j_0$, $E(\alpha(r), r)$. Hence E is $\text{Aut}_f^+(A)$ -invariant. There are at least two vertices of color j_0 , thus for some such r and some $\beta \in \text{Aut}_f(A)$, $\neg E(r, \beta(r))$, which is impossible.

Therefore $|I^{+\text{ram}}| = 2$ and j_0, j_k are ramification colors. Hence $n, m \geq 3$. By (0), (1), (2) and (3), A is almost (n, m) -regular tree. \square

4. BOUNDEDLY SIMPLE ACTION ON TREES

In this section we extend our results to boundedly simple groups acting on trees. For a group G acting on a tree A we may consider the following coloring function

$$f^G: S(A) \rightarrow \{\text{orbits of } G \text{ on } S(A)\}.$$

The function f^G is normal, and $G < \text{Aut}_{f^G}(A)$.

If G leaves no nonempty proper subtree of A invariant and does not stabilize any end of A and G^+ is boundedly simple, then we show that $\text{Aut}_{f^{G^+}}^+(A)$ is also boundedly simple. Hence, applying Theorem 3.12, we get that A is an almost biregular tree.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that A is a tree and $G < \text{Aut}(A)$ leaves no nonempty proper subtree of A invariant and does not stabilize any end of A . Assume that G^+ is boundedly simple and nontrivial. Then A is an almost (n, m) -regular tree (a subdivision of a biregular tree $A_{n,m}$), for some $n, m \geq 3$.*

Proof. Since G^+ is nontrivial, G^+ contains some nontrivial rotation (by Definition 2.5(2)). Now G^+ is the subgroup of $\text{Aut}_{f^{G^+}}^+(A)$, so $\text{Aut}_{f^{G^+}}^+(A)$ also contains some nontrivial rotation. By Lemma 3.2, there is a ramification point $r \in S(A)$.

Take an arbitrary $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_{f^{G^+}}^+(A)$. There is $h \in G^+$ with

$$\alpha(r) = h(r).$$

Hence (by Proposition 2.8), for some rotation $\beta \in \text{Aut}_{f^{G^+}}^+(A)$ fixing r ,

$$\alpha = \beta \circ h.$$

Element h is a composition of N rotations from G^+ . By the assumption G^+ is N -boundedly simple. Thus α is a composition of $(N + 1)$ rotations from $\text{Aut}_{f^{G^+}}^+(A)$.

The group G leaves invariant no proper subtree and does not stabilize any end, so by [7, Lemma 4.4] the same is true for G^+ and for $\text{Aut}_{f^{G^+}}^+(A)$ (since $G^+ < \text{Aut}_{f^{G^+}}^+(A)$). Thus, by Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, $\text{Aut}_{f^G}^+(A)$ is $16(N + 1)$ -boundedly simple. It is enough to apply Theorem 3.12. \square

The proof of the previous theorem works under the slightly weaker assumption than “ G^+ is boundedly simple and nontrivial” i.e. we may assume that for some nontrivial rotation $g \in G^+$ and natural number N , $G^+ = \left(g^{G^+} \cup g^{-1G^+}\right)^{\leq N}$.

For boundedly simple groups acting by automorphisms on a tree without stabilizing any end we may derive the following corollary from Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.2. *Let G be a boundedly simple group acting by automorphisms on a tree A without stabilizing any end. If G^+ is nontrivial, then there is a G -invariant subtree $A' \subseteq A$ which is a subdivision of a biregular tree.*

Proof. Since $G^+ \triangleleft G$ and G^+ is nontrivial, $G = G^+$. We may assume that G has no fixed vertex. Then by [7, Corollary 3.5], there is a nonempty minimal G -invariant subtree A' of A . Since G is simple, $G < \text{Aut}(A')$. Then G leaves no nonempty proper subtree of A' invariant and does not stabilize any end of A' . By Theorem 4.1, A' is a subdivision of a biregular tree. \square

Question 4.3. Can we weaken the assumption “ G^+ is nontrivial” in Corollary 4.2?

If G is a boundedly simple group acting on a tree without inversion (i.e. no element of G is a symmetry), then G contains a nontrivial rotation; for otherwise G acts freely on the tree, and hence must be a free group, as follows from Bass-Serre theory [5, Section I.3, Theorem 4]. We do not know if this observation can be generalized to stabilizers of edges. However, when G is big enough, then the description of G^+ from Proposition 2.8 ([7, 6.1]) should be true. Hence, if A contains only ramification vertices, then every rotation is a composition of stabilizers of edges, so G^+ is nontrivial.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Dugald Macpherson for many stimulating discussions and suggestions for improving the presentation of the present paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bourdon, *Immeubles hyperboliques, dimension conforme et rigidité de Mostow* Geom. Funct. Anal. **7**, no. 2, 245-268 (1997)
- [2] E. Ellers, N. Gordeev, M. Herzog, *Covering numbers for Chevalley groups* Israel J. Math. **111**, 339–372 (1999)
- [3] F. Haglund, F. Paulin, *Simplicité de groupes d’automorphismes d’espaces à courbure négative* The Epstein birthday schrift, 181–248. Geom. Topol. Monogr., 1, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry (1998)
- [4] A. Lev, *On the covering numbers of finite groups: some old and new results* Ischia group theory 2004, 201–209. Contemp. Math., **402**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2006)
- [5] J.-P. Serre, *Trees*. Springer-Verlag (1980)
- [6] R. Steinberg, *Lectures on Chevalley groups* Notes prepared by John Faulkner and Robert Wilson. Yale University, New Haven, Conn. (1968)
- [7] J. Tits, *Sur le groupe des automorphismes d’un arbre* (French) Essays on topology and related topics (Mémoires dédiés a Georges de Rham), 188–211. Springer (1970)
- [8] L. Vaserstein, E. Wheland, *Products of conjugacy classes of two by two matrices* Linear Algebra Appl. **230**, 165–188 (1995)

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY UNIWERSYTETU WROCŁAWSKIEGO, PL. GRUNWALDZKI 2/4, 50-384 WROCŁAW, POLAND

AND

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, UL. ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-956 WARSAW, POLAND

AND

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS, WOODHOUSE LANE, LEEDS, LS2 9JT, UK
E-mail address: gismat@math.uni.wroc.pl, www.math.uni.wroc.pl/~gismat