

The embedding conjecture for quasi-ordinary hypersurfaces

Abdallah Assi^{*†}

ABSTRACT. This paper has two objectives: we first generalize the theory of Abhyankar-Moh to quasi-ordinary polynomials, then we use the notion of approximate roots and that of generalized Newton polygons in order to prove the embedding conjecture for this class of polynomials. This conjecture -made by S.S. Abhyankar and A. Sathaye- says that if a hypersurface of the affine space is isomorphic to a coordinate, then it is equivalent to it.

Introduction

Let \mathbf{K} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{K}[x_1, \dots, x_e, y] = \mathbf{K}[\underline{x}][y]$ be the polynomial ring x_1, \dots, x_e, y over \mathbf{K} . Let $f = a_0(x)y^n + a_1(\underline{x})y^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(\underline{x})$ be a nonzero polynomial of \mathbf{R} , and suppose, after a possible change of variables that $a_0(\underline{x}) = 1$. Suppose that $e = 1$ and that f has one place at infinity. Given a nonzero polynomial g of \mathbf{R} , we define the intersection multiplicity of f with g , denoted $\text{int}(f, g)$, to be the x_1 -order of the y resultant of f and g . The set of $\text{int}(f, g), g \in \mathbf{R}$, defines a semigroup, denoted $\Gamma(f)$. It follows from the Abhyankar-Moh theory that if we denote by $\text{App}(f) = \{g_1, \dots, g_h\}$ the set of approximate roots of f , then $n, \text{int}(f, g_1), \dots, \text{int}(f, g_h)$ generate $\Gamma(f)$, and these polynomials can be calculated from the equation of f by using the Tschirnhausen transform. As a consequence of this fact, for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$, $\text{App}(f - \lambda) = \text{App}(f)$, and $f - \lambda$ has one place at infinity. If furthermore f has no singularities in the affine plane \mathbf{K}^2 , then it is equivalent to a coordinate, i.e., there is an automorphism σ of \mathbf{K}^2 which transforms f into a coordinate of \mathbf{K}^2 . Let $e \geq 2$ and let g be a nonzero polynomial of \mathbf{R} , then define the order of g with respect to f , denoted $\tilde{O}(f, g)$, to be the leading exponent of $\text{Res}_y(f, g)$ with respect to the diagonal order on \mathbf{N}^e . The set of $\tilde{O}(f, g), g \in \mathbf{R}[y]$, defines a semigroup, denoted $\Gamma(f)$. Let $F(x_1, \dots, x_e, y) = f(x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_e^{-1}, y) \in \mathbf{K}[x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_e^{-1}][y] \subseteq \mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$ and call F the meromorphic polynomial associated with f . Suppose that F is irreducible in $\mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$ and that the discriminant of F is of the form $x_1^{N_1} \dots x_e^{N_e} u(x_1, \dots, x_e)$, where u is a unit in $\mathbf{K}[[\underline{x}]]$ (such a polynomial is called quasi-ordinary polynomial). By Abhyankar-Jung Theorem, the roots of $F(x_1, \dots, x_e, y) = 0$ are all in $\mathbf{K}((x_1^{\frac{1}{n}}, \dots, x_e^{\frac{1}{n}}))$, i.e. there is a meromorphic series $y(t_1, \dots, t_e) = \sum_{p \in \mathbf{Z}^e} c_p t_1^{p_1} \dots t_e^{p_e} \in \mathbf{K}((t_1, \dots, t_e))$ such that $F(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, y(t_1, \dots, t_e)) = 0$ and any other root of $F(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, y) = 0$ is of the form $y(w_1 t, \dots, w_e t_e)$, where w_1, \dots, w_e are n th roots of unity in \mathbf{K} . Given a nonzero

^{*}Université d'Angers, Mathématiques, 49045 Angers cedex 01, France, e-mail:assi@univ-angers.fr

[†]2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: 32S25, 32S70.

polynomial g of \mathbf{R} , if G denotes the meromorphic polynomial associated with g , we define the order of G , denoted $O(F, G)$, to be the leading exponent with respect to the lexicographical order of the smallest homogeneous component of $G(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, y(t_1, \dots, t_e))$. Clearly $\tilde{O}(F, G) = -O(f, g)$ and the set of $O(F, G)$ defines a subsemigroup of \mathbf{Z}^e . The aim of this paper is to use these notations in order to generalize the Abhyankar-Moh theory to the set of polynomials f whose associated meromorphic polynomials are irreducible and quasi-ordinary in $\mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$. More precisely we prove the following:

Theorem 1. Let f be a nonzero polynomial of \mathbf{R} . If the meromorphic polynomial F associated with f is quasi-ordinary and irreducible in $\mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$, then so is for all $F - \lambda, \lambda \in \mathbf{K}$.

Theorem 2. Let f be a nonzero polynomial of \mathbf{R} and assume that the meromorphic polynomial F associated with f is quasi-ordinary in $\mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$. If $\frac{\mathbf{R}}{(f)}$ is isomorphic to the algebra of a coordinate (i.e. f is isomorphic to a coordinate), then there is a $1 \leq k \leq e$ such that $f \in \mathbf{K}[x_k][y]$. Furthermore, there is an automorphism σ of \mathbf{K}^{e+1} such that $\sigma(f)$ is a coordinate of \mathbf{K}^{e+1} (i.e. f is equivalent to a coordinate).

Theorem 2. has also the following interpretation: let f be a nonzero polynomial of \mathbf{R} and suppose that f is isomorphic to a coordinate, then Abhyankar-Sathaye conjecture says that f is equivalent to a coordinate. In particular Theorem 2. gives an affirmative answer to the conjecture when the polynomial is quasi-ordinary.

Our main tools are based on the theory of approximate roots and the irreducibility criterion for polynomials in $\mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$. In a previous work (see [9]), we proved that given f and $F = f(x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_e^{-1})[y]$, the set of orders of the approximate roots of F together with the canonical basis of \mathbf{Z}^e generate the semigroup of $O(F, G), G \in \mathbf{K}[x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_e^{-1}][y]$. We used this fact and the notion of generalized Newton polygons in order to give a criterion for the irreducibility of quasi-ordinary polynomials of $\mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$. These notions are the main tools of the proofs of Theorems 1. and 2. Note that the property of being quasi-ordinary depends on the choice of coordinates in \mathbf{K}^{e+1} . In this paper we introduce the notion of almost quasi-ordinary polynomials. Such polynomials become quasi-ordinary after a change of variables of \mathbf{K}^{e+1} . In particular our results remain true for this class of polynomials.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 1 we introduce the notion of approximate roots of a polynomial in one variable over a commutative ring with unity. In Section 2 we show how to associate a semigroup with an irreducible quasi-ordinary polynomial of $\mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$. In Section 3 we adapt the results of Section 2 to the global case. In Section 4 we recall the irreducibility criterion for quasi-ordinary polynomials in $\mathbf{K}[x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_e^{-1}][y]$ then we use it in order to prove Theorem 1. In Section 5. we use the notion of generalized Newton polygons in order to prove Theorem 2. We also introduce and study the notion of almost quasi-ordinary polynomials.

1 Approximate roots

Let \mathbf{S} be a commutative ring with unity and let $\mathbf{S}[y]$ be the ring of polynomials in y with coefficients in \mathbf{S} . Let $f = y^n + a_1 y^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$ be a monic polynomial of $\mathbf{S}[y]$ of degree $n > 0$ in y . Let $d \in \mathbf{N}$

and suppose that d divides n . Let g be a monic polynomial in $\mathbf{S}[y]$ of degree $\frac{n}{d}$ in y . There exist unique polynomials $a_1(y), \dots, a_d(y) \in \mathbf{S}[y]$ such that:

$$f = g^d + \sum_{i=1}^d a_i(y) \cdot g^{d-i}$$

and for all $1 \leq i \leq d$, if we denote by \deg_y the y -degree, then $\deg_y(a_i) < \frac{n}{d} = \deg_y g$. The equality above is called the g -adic expansion of f .

This construction can be generalized to a sequence of polynomials. Let to this end $n = d_1 > d_2 > \dots > d_h$ be a sequence of integers such that d_{i+1} divides d_i for all $1 \leq i \leq h-1$, and set $e_i = \frac{d_i}{d_{i+1}}$, $1 \leq i \leq h-1$ and $e_h = +\infty$. For all $1 \leq i \leq h$, let g_i be a monic polynomial of $\mathbf{S}[y]$ of degree $\frac{n}{d_i}$ in y . Set $G = (g_1, \dots, g_h)$ and let $B = \{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_h) \in \mathbf{N}^h, 0 \leq \theta_i < e_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq h\}$. Then f can be uniquely written in the following form:

$$f = \sum_{\underline{\theta} \in B} a_{\underline{\theta}} \cdot g^{\underline{\theta}}$$

where if $\underline{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_h)$, then $g^{\underline{\theta}} = g_1^{\theta_1} \dots g_h^{\theta_h}$ and $a_{\underline{\theta}} \in \mathbf{S}$. We call this expansion the G -adic expansion of f . We set $\text{Supp}_G(f) = \{\underline{\theta}; a_{\underline{\theta}} \neq 0\}$ and we call it the G -support of f .

Let f, g be as above and let $f = g^d + \sum_{i=1}^d a_i(y) \cdot g^{d-i}$ be the g -adic expansion of f . Assume that d is a unit in \mathbf{S} . The Tschirnhausen transform of f with respect to g , denoted $\tau_f(g)$ is defined by $\tau_f(g) = g + d^{-1}a_1$. Note that $\tau_f(g) = g$ if and only if $a_1 = 0$. By [1], $\tau_f(g) = g$ if and only if $\deg_y(f - g^d) < n - \frac{n}{d}$. If one of these equivalent conditions is verified, then the polynomial g is called a d -th approximate root of f . By [1], there exists a unique d -th approximate root of f . We denote it by $\text{App}_d(f)$.

2 The semigroup of a quasi-ordinary polynomial

Let \mathbf{K} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$ (denoted $\mathbf{K}(\underline{x})[y]$) be the ring of polynomials in y whose coefficients are meromorphic series in x_1, \dots, x_e over \mathbf{K} . Let $F = y^n + a_1(\underline{x})y^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(\underline{x})$ be a nonzero polynomial of \mathbf{A} and suppose that the discriminant of f is of the form $x_1^{N_1} \dots x_e^{N_e} \cdot u(x_1, \dots, x_e)$, where $N_1, \dots, N_e \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $u(\underline{x})$ is a unit in $\mathbf{K}[[\underline{x}]]$. We call F a quasi-ordinary polynomial. It follows from Abhyankar-Jung Theorem that there exists a meromorphic series $y(\underline{t}) = y(t_1, \dots, t_e) \in \mathbf{K}((t_1, \dots, t_e))$ (denoted $\mathbf{K}(\underline{t})$) and $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $F(t_1^m, \dots, t_e^m, y(\underline{t})) = 0$. Furthermore, if F is an irreducible polynomial, then we can take $m = n$, and:

$$F(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, y) = \prod_{i=1}^n (y - y(w_1^i t_1, \dots, w_e^i t_e))$$

where $(w_1^i, \dots, w_e^i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ are distinct elements of $(U_n)^e$, U_n being the group of n th roots of unity in \mathbf{K} .

Suppose that F is irreducible and let $y(\underline{t})$ be as above. Write $y(\underline{t}) = \sum_p c_p \underline{t}^p$ and define the support of y to be the set $\{p | c_p \neq 0\}$. Obviously the support of $y(w_1 t_1, \dots, w_e t_e)$ does not depend on $w_1, \dots, w_e \in U_n$. We denote it by $\text{Supp}(F)$ and we call it the support of F . It is well known that there exists a finite sequence of elements in $\text{Supp}(F)$, denoted m_1, \dots, m_h , such that

- i) $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_h$, where $<$ means $<$ coordinate-wise.
- ii) If $c_p \neq 0$, then $p \in (n\mathbf{Z})^e + \sum_{|m_i| \leq |p|} m_i \mathbf{Z}$.
- iii) $m_i \notin (n\mathbf{Z})^e + \sum_{j < i} m_j \mathbf{Z}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, h$.

The set of elements of this sequence is called the set of characteristic exponents of F . We denote by convention $m_{h+1} = (+\infty, \dots, +\infty)$. If $e = 1$, this set is nothing but the set of Newton-Puiseux exponents of F .

Let $u = \sum_p c_p \underline{t}^p$ in $\mathbf{K}((\underline{t}))$ be a nonzero meromorphic series. We denote by $\text{In}(u)$ the initial form of u : if $u = u_d + u_{d+1} + \dots$ denotes the decomposition of u into sum of homogeneous components, then $\text{In}(u) = u_d$. We set $O_t(u) = d$ and we call it the \underline{t} -order of u . We denote by $\text{exp}(u)$ the greatest exponent of $\text{In}(u)$ with respect to the lexicographical order. We denote by $\text{inco}(u)$ the coefficient $c_{\text{exp}(u)}$, and we call it the initial coefficient of u . We set $M(u) = \text{inco}(u) \underline{t}^{\text{exp}(u)}$, and we call it the initial monomial of u .

Let G be a nonzero quasi-ordinary element of \mathbf{A} . The order of G with respect to F , denoted $O(F, G)$, is defined to be $\text{exp}(G(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, y(\underline{t})))$. Note that it does not depend on the choice of the root $y(\underline{t})$ of $F(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, y) = 0$. The set $\{O(F, G) | G \in \mathbf{A}\}$ defines a subsemigroup of \mathbf{Z}^e . We call it the semigroup associated with F and we denote it by $\Gamma(F)$.

Let $M(e, e)$ be the unit (e, e) matrix. Let $D_1 = n^e$ and for all $1 \leq i \leq h$, let D_{i+1} be the gcd of the (e, e) minors of the matrix $(nM(e, e), m_1^T, \dots, m_i^T)$ (where T denotes the transpose of a matrix). Since $m_i \notin (n\mathbf{Z})^e + \sum_{j < i} m_j \mathbf{Z}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq h$, then $D_{i+1} < D_i$. We define the sequence $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq h}$ to be $e_i = \frac{D_i}{D_{i+1}}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq h$.

Let $M_0 = (n\mathbf{Z})^e$ and let $M_i = (n\mathbf{Z})^e + \sum_{j=1}^i m_j \mathbf{Z}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq h$. Then e_i is the index of the lattice M_{i-1} in M_i , and $n = e_1 \dots e_h$, in particular $D_{h+1} = n^{e-1}$. We set $d_i = \frac{D_i}{D_{h+1}}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq h+1$. In particular $d_1 = n$ and $d_{h+1} = 1$. The sequence $(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{h+1})$ is called the gcd-sequence associated with f . We also define the sequence $(r_k)_{1 \leq k \leq h}$ by $r_1 = m_1$ and $r_{k+1} = e_k r_k + m_{k+1} - m_k$ for all $1 \leq k \leq h-1$.

Let $\phi(\underline{t}) = (t_1^p, \dots, t_e^p, Y(\underline{t}))$ and $\psi(\underline{t}) = (t_1^q, \dots, t_e^q, Z(\underline{t}))$ be two nonzero elements of $\mathbf{K}((\underline{t}))^{e+1}$. We define the contact between ϕ and ψ to be the element $\frac{1}{pq} \text{exp}(Y(t_1^q, \dots, t_e^q) - Z(t_1^p, \dots, t_e^p))$. We denote it by $c(\phi, \psi)$.

We define the contact between F and ϕ , denoted $c(f, \phi)$, to be the maximal element in the set of contacts of ϕ with the roots $(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, y(\underline{t}))$ of $F(x_1, \dots, x_e, y) = 0$.

Let $G = y^m + b_1(\underline{x})y^{m-1} + \dots + b_m(\underline{x})$ be a nonzero polynomial of \mathbf{A} . Suppose that G is an irreducible

quasi-ordinary polynomial and let $\psi(\underline{t}) = (t_1^m, \dots, t_e^m, Z(\underline{t}))$ be a root of $G(x_1, \dots, x_e, y) = 0$. We define the contact between F and G , denoted $c(F, G)$, to be the contact between F and ψ , and we recall that this definition does not depend on the choice of the root ψ of G . Note that if $F.G$ is a quasi-ordinary polynomial, then $\text{In}(F(\psi(\underline{t}))) = M(F(\psi(\underline{t})))$.

With these notations we have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.1 Let $G = y^m + b_1(\underline{x})y^{m-1} + \dots + b_m(\underline{x})$ be an irreducible quasi-ordinary polynomial of \mathbf{A} and suppose that $F.G$ is a quasi-ordinary polynomial. Let $(D'_j)_{1 \leq j \leq h'+1}$ (resp. $(d'_j)_{1 \leq j \leq h'+1}$, $(m'_j)_{1 \leq j \leq h'}$) be the set of characteristic sequences associated with G . If c denotes the contact $c(F, G)$, then we have the following:

- i) If for all $1 \leq 1 \leq h$, $nc \notin M_q$, then $\tilde{O}(F, G) = n.m.c$.
- ii) Otherwise, let $1 \leq q \leq h$ be the smallest integer such that $nc \in M_q$, then $\tilde{O}(f, g) = (r_q d_q + (nc - m_q) d_{q+1}) \cdot \frac{m}{n}$.
- iii) If $nc \in M_q - M_{q-1}$ and $nc \neq m_q$, then $\frac{n}{d_{q+1}} | m$.

Proof. i) and ii) are obvious. To prove iii) let $\phi = (t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, Y(\underline{t}))$ (resp. $\psi = (t_1^m, \dots, t_e^m, Z(\underline{t}))$) be a root of $F(\underline{x}, y) = 0$ (resp. $G(\underline{x}, y) = 0$) and remark that if $nc \in M_q - M_{q-1}$ and $nc \neq m_q$ then the exponents of $Z(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n)$ coincide with those of $Y(t_1^m, \dots, t_e^m)$ till at least $m_q.m$. Write $Y(\underline{t}) = \sum_i c_i t^i$ and $Z(\underline{t}) = \sum_j c'_j t^j$, then for all $i \in M_{q+1}$ in $\text{Supp}(Y)$, there exists $j \in \text{Supp}(Z)$ such that $i.m = j.n$. But the gcd of minors of the matrix $(m.nM(e, e), t_{m.m_1}, \dots, t_{m.m_q})$ is $m^e.D_{q+1}$, and the gcd of minors of the matrix $(m.nM(e, e), t_{n.m'_1}, \dots, t_{n.m'_q})$ is $n^e.D'_{q+1}$. Thus $m^e.D_{q+1} = n^e.D'_{q+1}$, in particular $m^e.n^{e-1}d_{q+1} = n^e.m^{e-1}.d'_{q+1}$. This implies that $m = \frac{n}{d_{q+1}}.d'_{q+1}$, which proves our assertion.

In [9], it was proved that if $F \in \mathbf{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_e]]$, then for all $k = 1, \dots, h$, $O(F, \text{App}_{d_k}(F)) = r_k$. The same proof works in the general case, more precisely we have the following:

Theorem 2.2 Let the notations be as above, and let $d_1, \dots, d_h, d_{h+1} = 1$ be the gcd-sequence of F . Then for all $1 \leq k \leq h$ we have:

- i) $c(F, \text{App}_{d_k}(F)) = m_k$
- ii) $O(F, \text{App}_{d_k}(F)) = r_k$.

3 The polynomial case

Let the notations be as in the introduction, namely \mathbf{R} denotes the ring of polynomials in x_1, \dots, x_e, y with coefficients in \mathbf{K} , and $f = y^n + a_1(\underline{x})y^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(\underline{x})$ is a nonzero element of \mathbf{R} . Let $F = f(x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_e^{-1}, y) \in \mathbf{K}[x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_e^{-1}][y]$ be the meromorphic polynomial associated with f , and suppose that F is an irreducible, quasi-ordinary polynomial in $\mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$. Let g be a nonzero element of \mathbf{R} and let G be the meromorphic polynomial associated with g . Clearly $\text{Res}(F, G, y)$ is

the meromorphic polynomial associated with $\text{Res}(f, g, y)$. Let $\tilde{O}(f, g)$ denotes the leadins exponent of $\text{Res}(f, g, y)$ with respect to the diagonal order. The set of $\tilde{O}(f, g), g \in \mathbf{R} - \{0\}$ is a semigroup. We call it the semigroup of f , and we denote it by $\tilde{\Gamma}(f)$. Similarly we define the semigroup of F , denoted $\Gamma(F)$, to be the set of $O(F, G), G \in \mathbf{K}[x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_e^{-1}][y]$. Let $(d_k)_{1 \leq k \leq h}, (r_k)_{1 \leq k \leq h}$, and $(m_k)_{1 \leq k \leq h}$ be the set of characteristic sequences associated with F as in Section 2. We have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1 With the notations be as above, we have the following:

- i) $\tilde{\Gamma}(f)$ is generated by $-r_0^1 = (n, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, -r_0^e = (0, \dots, 0, n), -r_1, \dots, -r_h$.
- ii) For all $1 \leq k \leq h$, $\text{App}_{d_k}(F)$ is the meromorphic polynomial associated with $\text{App}_{d_k}(f)$. In particular $\tilde{O}(f, \text{App}_{d_k}(f)) = -r_k$.
- iii) For all $1 \leq k \leq h$, $\text{App}_{d_k}(F)$ is an irreducible quasi-ordinary polynomial in $\mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$, of degree $\frac{n}{d_k}$ in y , and $\Gamma(\text{App}_{d_k}(F))$ is generated by the caconical basis of $(\frac{n}{d_k} \mathbf{Z})^e$ and $\frac{r_1}{d_{k-1}}, \dots, \frac{r_k}{d_{k-1}}$

Proof. For all $g \in \mathbf{R}$, we have $\tilde{O}(f, g) = -O(F, G)$, where G is the meromorphic polynomial associated with g . This with Theorem 2.2. imply our results.

4 Generalized Newton polygons

In the following we shall recall the notion of generalized Newton polygons of an irreducible quasi-ordinary polynomial. This notion has been used in order to give a criterion for a quasi-ordinary polynomial of $\mathbf{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_e]][y]$ to be irreducible. This criterion works also in the general case, namely for quasi-ordinary polynomials in $\mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$. More precisely let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and let $-r_0 = (-r_0^1, \dots, -r_0^e)$ be the canonical basis of $(n\mathbf{Z})^e$. Let $r_1 > \dots > r_h$ be a sequence of elements of $-\mathbf{N}^e$, where $>$ means $>$ coordinate-wise. Set $D_1 = n^e$ and for all $1 \leq k \leq h$, let D_{k+1} be the GCD of the (e, e) minors of the $(e, e+k)$ matrix $(n.I(e, e), (r_1)^T, \dots, (r_k)^T)$. Suppose that n^{e-1} divides D_k for all $1 \leq k \leq h+1$ and that $D_{h+1} = n^{e-1}$, and also that $D_1 > D_1 > \dots > D_{h+1}$, in such a way that if we set $d_1 = n$ and $d_k = \frac{D_k}{n^{e-1}}$ for all $2 \leq k \leq h$, then $d_1 = n > d_2 > \dots > d_{h+1} = 1$.

For all $1 \leq k \leq h$, let $G_k \in \mathbf{K}[x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_e^{-1}][y]$ be a monic polynomial of degree $\frac{n}{d_k}$ in y and set $\underline{G} = (G_1, \dots, G_h)$. Let F be a nonzero polynomial of $\mathbf{K}[x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_e^{-1}][y]$ and let:

$$F = \sum_{\underline{\theta} \in B(\underline{G})} c_{\underline{\theta}}(\underline{x}) G_1^{\theta_1} \dots G_h^{\theta_h}$$

where $B(\underline{G}) = \{\underline{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_h); \forall 1 \leq i \leq h-1, 0 \leq \theta_i < e_i = \frac{d_i}{d_{i+1}} \text{ and } \theta_h < +\infty\}$, be the \underline{G} -adic expansion of F . Let $\text{Supp}_{\underline{G}}(F) = \{\underline{\theta} \in B(\underline{G}); c_{\underline{\theta}} \neq 0\}$. If $\theta \in \text{Supp}_{\underline{G}}(F)$ and $\underline{\gamma} = \exp(c_{\underline{\theta}}(\underline{x}))$, we shall associate with the monomial $c_{\underline{\theta}}(\underline{x}) g_1^{\theta_1} \dots g_h^{\theta_h}$ the e -uplet

$$\langle ((\underline{\gamma}, \underline{\theta}), (r_0, r)) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^e \gamma_i \cdot r_0^i + \sum_{j=1}^h \theta_j \cdot r_j$$

There is a unique $\underline{\theta}^0 \in \text{Supp}_{\underline{G}}(F)$ such that if $\underline{\gamma}^0 = \exp(c_{\underline{\theta}^0}(\underline{x}))$, then:

$$\langle ((\underline{\gamma}^0, \underline{\theta}^0), (\underline{r}_0, \underline{r})) \rangle = \inf \{ \langle ((\gamma, \underline{\theta}), (\underline{r}_0, \underline{r})) \rangle, \underline{\theta} \in \text{Supp}_{\underline{G}}(F) \}$$

We set

$$\text{fO}(\underline{r}, \underline{G}, F) = \langle ((\underline{\gamma}^0, \underline{\theta}^0), (\underline{r}_0, \underline{r})) \rangle$$

and we call it the formal order of F with respect to $(\underline{r}, \underline{G})$.

Suppose that F is monic in y , then write $F = y^n + a_1(\underline{x})y^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(\underline{x})$. Suppose that F is a quasi-ordinary polynomial of $\mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$ and let $d \in \mathbf{N}$ be a divisor of n . Let G be a monic polynomial of $\mathbf{K}[x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_e^{-1}][y]$ of degree $\frac{n}{d}$ in y and let:

$$F = G^d + a_1(\underline{x}, y)G^{d-1} + \dots + a_d(\underline{x}, y)$$

be the G -adic expansion of F . We associate with F the set of points:

$$\{(\text{fO}(\underline{r}, \underline{G}, a_k), (d-k)\text{fO}(\underline{r}, \underline{G}, G)), k = 0, \dots, d\} \subseteq \mathbf{N}^e \times \mathbf{N}^e$$

We denote this set by $\text{GNP}(F, \underline{r}, \underline{G}, G)$ and we call it the generalized Newton polygon of F with respect to $(\underline{r}, \underline{G}, G)$.

Definition 4.1 We say that F is straight with respect to $(\underline{r}, \underline{G}, G)$ if the following holds:

i) $\text{fO}(\underline{r}, \underline{G}, a_d) = d \cdot \text{fO}(\underline{r}, \underline{G}, G)$.

ii) For all $1 \leq k \leq d-1$, $\text{fO}(\underline{r}, \underline{G}, a_k) \geq k \cdot \text{fO}(\underline{r}, \underline{G}, G)$, where \geq mean \geq coordinate-wise.

We say that F is strictly straight with respect to $(\underline{r}, \underline{G}, G)$ if the inequality in ii) is a strict inequality.

The criterion Let $f = y^n + a_1(x)y^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(x)$ be a nonzero element of $\mathbf{K}[x_1, \dots, x_e][y]$ and assume, possibly after a change of variables, that $a_1(\underline{x}) = 0$. Let $F \in \mathbf{K}[x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_e^{-1}][y]$ be the meromorphic polynomial associated with f . Let $-\underline{r}_0 = (-r_0^1, \dots, -r_0^e)$ be the canonical basis of $(n\mathbf{Z})^e$ and let $d_1 = n$. Let $G_1 = y$ be the d_1 -th approximate root of F and set $m_1 = r_1 = \exp(a_n(\underline{x}))$.

Let D_2 be the gcd of the (e, e) minors of the $(e, e+1)$ matrix $(n \cdot I(e, e), m_1^T)$. Let $d_2 = \frac{D_2}{n^{e-1}}$ and

let G_2 be the d_2 -th approximate root of F and set $e_2 = \frac{d_1}{d_2} = \frac{n}{d_2} \dots$. Suppose that we constructed (r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) , (m_1, \dots, m_{k-1}) , and (d_1, \dots, d_k) , then let G_k be the d_k -th approximate root of F and let

$$F = G_k^{d_k} + \beta_2^k G_k^{d_k-2} + \dots + \beta_{d_k}^k$$

be the G_k -adic expansion of f . Then $r_k = \text{fO}(\underline{r}^k, \underline{G}^k, \beta_{d_k}^k)$, where $\underline{r}^k = (\frac{r_0^1}{d_k}, \dots, \frac{r_0^e}{d_k}, \frac{r_1}{d_k}, \dots, \frac{r_{k-1}}{d_k})$ and $\underline{G}^k = (G_1, \dots, G_{k-1})$. With these notations we have the following:

Theorem 4.2 The polynomial F is an irreducible quasi-ordinary polynomial in $\mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$ if and only if the following holds:

- i) There is an integer h such that $d_{h+1} = 1$.
- ii) For all $1 \leq k \leq h - 1$, $r_k d_k < r_{k+1} d_{k+1}$, where $<$ means $<$ coordinate-wise.
- iii) For all $2 \leq k \leq h + 1$, G_k is strictly straight with respect to $(\underline{r}^k, \underline{G}^k, G_{k-1})$.

As a corollary we get our first main Theorem:

Theorem 4.3 Let f and F be as above. If F is an irreducible quasi-ordinary polynomial of $\mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$ then for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$ we have the following:

- i) $\Gamma(F - \lambda) = \Gamma(F)$.
- ii) $\text{App}_{d_k}(F - \lambda) = \text{App}_{d_k}(F)$ for all $k = 1, \dots, h$.

Furthermore, $F - \lambda$ is an irreducible quasi-ordinary polynomial of $\mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$.

Proof. Note that if F is a quasi-ordinary polynomial, then so is for $F - \lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$. On the other hand, conditions i) and ii) are obvious, then a direct application of the criterion above shows that $F - \lambda$ is irreducible in $\mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$.

5 Quasi-ordinary polynomials isomorphic to a coordinate

Let $f = y^n + a_1(x)y^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(x)$ be a nonzero element of $\mathbf{K}[x_1, \dots, x_e][y]$ and assume, possibly after a change of variables, that $a_1(\underline{x}) = 0$. Let $F \in \mathbf{K}[x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_e^{-1}][y]$ be the meromorphic polynomial associated with f and assume that F is an irreducible quasi-ordinary polynomial of $\mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$. Let $r_0^1 = (-n, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, r_0^e = (0, \dots, 0, -n)$ and let r_1, \dots, r_h be such that $\Gamma(F) = \langle r_0^1, \dots, r_0^e, r_1, \dots, r_h \rangle$. Let $d \in \mathbf{N}$ be a divisor of n and let g be a monic polynomial of $\mathbf{K}[x_1, \dots, x_e][y]$ of degree $\frac{n}{d}$ in y . Let:

$$f = g^d + a_1(\underline{x}, y)g^{d-1} + \dots + a_d(\underline{x}, y)$$

be the g -adic expansion of f and consider the set of points:

$$\{(\tilde{O}(f, a_k), (d - k)\tilde{O}(f, g)), k = 0, \dots, d\} \subseteq \mathbf{N}^e \times \mathbf{N}^e$$

Similarly with Definition 4.1., we say that f is straight (resp. strictly straight) with respect to g if the following holds:

- i) $\tilde{O}(f, a_d) = d.\tilde{O}(f, g)$.
- ii) For all $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$, $\tilde{O}(f, a_i) \leq i.(-r_i)$ (resp. $\tilde{O}(f, a_i) < i.(-r_i)$)

Let $g_k = \text{App}_{d_k}(f)$ for all $k = 1, \dots, h + 1$ (in particular $g_1 = y$ and $g_{h+1} = f$). It results from Section 4. that g_{k+1} is strictly straight with respect to g_k for all $1 \leq k \leq h$. More precisely, let $1 \leq k \leq h$ and set $e_k = \frac{d_k}{d_{k+1}}$. If:

$$g_{k+1} = g_k^{e_k} + a_2^k(\underline{x}, y)g_k^{e_k-2} + \dots + a_{e_k}^k(\underline{x}, y)$$

denotes the g_k -adic expansion of g_{k+1} , then we have:

$$\text{i) } \tilde{O}(g_{k+1}, a_{e_k}^k) = e_k \cdot \tilde{O}(g_{k+1}, g_k) = e_k \cdot \left(-\frac{r_{k+1}}{d_k}\right).$$

$$\text{ii) For all } 2 \leq i \leq e_k - 1, \tilde{O}(f, a_i^k) < i \cdot \left(-\frac{r_{k+1}}{d_k}\right).$$

Assume that f is equivalent to a coordinate, then $\mathbf{R}/(f) \simeq \mathbf{K}[t_1, \dots, t_e]$, and the meromorphic polynomial F associated with f is irreducible in $\mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$. It follows that $\tilde{\Gamma}(f)$ contains the canonical basis of \mathbf{Z}^e . If $n = 1$, then $f = z + g(x_1, \dots, x_e)$ which is equivalent to a coordinate. Suppose that $n > 1$: With the notations of Section 3., we have $-r_1 d_1 > \dots > -r_h d_h$, in particular there is $1 \leq k \leq e$ such that $-r_h = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the k th element of the canonical basis of \mathbf{Z}^e . Let:

$$f = g_h^{d_h} + a_2^h(\underline{x}, y)g_h^{d_h-2} + \dots + a_{d_h}^h(\underline{x}, y)$$

be the g_h -adic expansion of f . The above conditions give the following:

$$\text{i) } \tilde{O}(f, a_{d_h}^h) = d_h \cdot \tilde{O}(f, g_h) = -r_h d_h = (0, \dots, 0, d_h, 0, \dots, 0).$$

$$\text{ii) For all } 2 \leq i \leq d_h - 1, \tilde{O}(f, a_i^h) < -i \cdot r_h = (0, \dots, i, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Since $\tilde{O}(f, a_i^h) \in \langle -r_0^1, \dots, -r_0^e, -r_1, \dots, -r_{h-1} \rangle$, and $i < d_h$, condition ii) implies that $\tilde{O}(f, a_i^h) = 0$, i.e. $a_i^h \in \mathbf{K}$ for all $2 \leq i \leq d_h - 1$. On the other hand, $\tilde{O}(f, a_i^h) \in \langle -r_0^1, \dots, -r_0^e, -r_1, \dots, -r_{h-1} \rangle$ implies that there exist $b_0^1, \dots, b_0^e, b_1, \dots, b_h \in \mathbf{N}$ such that:

$$(-r_h)d_h = (0, \dots, 0, d_h, 0, \dots, 0) = \tilde{O}(f, a_i^h) = b_0^1(-r_0^1) + \dots + b_0^e(-r_0^e) + b_1(-r_1) + \dots + b_{h-1}(-r_{h-1})$$

Since $a_{d_h}^h \neq 0$, this is possible only if $b_0^1 = \dots = b_0^e = b_1 = \dots = b_{h-2} = 0, b_{h-1} = 1$, and $r_{h-1} = (0, \dots, 0, d_h, 0, \dots, 0)$. This implies in particular that $a_{d_h}^h = c_h \cdot g_{h-1}$, where $c_h \in \mathbf{K}^*$. Finally:

$$1. f = g_h^{d_h} + a_2^h(\underline{x}, y)g_h^{d_h-2} + \dots + c_h \cdot g_{h-1} \text{ and } (a_2^h, \dots, a_{d_h-1}^h, c_h) \in \mathbf{K}^{d_h-2} \times \mathbf{K}^*.$$

2. $-r_h = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $-r_{h-1} = (0, \dots, 0, d_h, 0, \dots, 0)$ (where 1 and d_h are at the k th place).

Condition 2. implies that $-\frac{r_{h-1}}{d_h} = -r_h$ is the k th element of the canonical basis of \mathbf{Z}^e . But

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(g_h) = \langle -\frac{r_0^1}{d_h}, \dots, -\frac{r_0^e}{d_h}, -\frac{r_1}{d_h}, \dots, -\frac{r_{h-1}}{d_h} \rangle$$

in particular the same argument as above applied to g_h instead of f implies that:

$$1. g_h = g_{h-1}^{e_h} + a_2^{h-1}(\underline{x}, y)g_{h-1}^{e_h-2} + \dots + c_{h-1} \cdot g_{h-2} \text{ and } (a_2^{h-1}, \dots, a_{e_h-1}^{h-1}, c_{h-1}) \in \mathbf{K}^{e_h-2} \times \mathbf{K}^*.$$

2. $-r_{h-1} = (0, \dots, 0, d_h, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $-r_{h-2} = (0, \dots, 0, d_{h-1}, 0, \dots, 0)$, where d_h and d_{h-1} are at the k th place.

Now an easy argument of induction implies that for all $1 \leq i \leq h$ we have:

1. $-r_i = (0, \dots, 0, d_{i+1}, 0, \dots, 0)$, where d_{i+1} is at the k th place.
2. $g_{i+1} = g_i^{e_i} + a_2^i(\underline{x}, y)g_i^{e_i-2} + \dots + c_i g_{i-1}$ and $(a_2^i, \dots, a_{e_i-1}^i, c_i) \in \mathbf{K}^{e_i-2} \times \mathbf{K}^*$

in particular $g_1 = y$ and $g_2 = y^{e_1} + a_2^1 y^{e_1-2} + \dots + a_1^1 y + c_0 x_k$, where $(a_2^1, \dots, a_{e_1-1}^1, c_0) \in \mathbf{K}^{e_1-2} \times \mathbf{K}^*$. It also follows that $g_i \in \mathbf{K}[y, x_k]$ for all $i = 1, \dots, h+1$. Furthermore, $\mathbf{K}[f, g_h] = \dots = \mathbf{K}[y, x_k]$. This result can be announced as follows:

Theorem 5.1 Let $f = y^n + a_1 y^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$ be a nonzero element of \mathbf{R} . Suppose, after a possible change of variables, that $a_1 = 0$ and let F be the meromorphic polynomial associated with f . If f is equivalent to a coordinate and if F is a quasi-ordinary polynomial in $\mathbf{K}((x_1, \dots, x_e))[y]$, then we have the following:

- i) There is $1 \leq k \leq e$ such that $f \in \mathbf{K}[x_k, y]$.
- ii) There is an automorphism that transforms f into a coordinate of $\mathbf{K}[x_k, y]$, hence of $\mathbf{K}[x_1, \dots, x_e][y]$.

Remark 5.2 Since $\mathbf{K}[x, y, z] = \mathbf{K}[w = x+y, y, z] = \mathbf{K}[z^3-w, y, z]$, then the polynomial $f = z^3-x-y$ is equivalent to a coordinate. However, f is not quasi-ordinary with respect to any of the variables x, y, z . Now the change of variables $w = x + y, y, z$ transforms f into $z^3 - w$, which is quasi-ordinary. This example suggests to introduce a new class of polynomials, more precisely, given a nonzero polynomial $f = y^n + a_1(\underline{x})y^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(\underline{x})$ of \mathbf{R} , we say that f is almost quasi-ordinary (a.q.o. for short) if there is a change of variables of $\mathbf{K}[x_1, \dots, x_e]$ such that f becomes quasi-ordinary in the new coordinates, say w_1, \dots, w_e, y . A quasi-ordinary polynomial is clearly almost quasi-ordinary, furthermore, given an almost quasi-ordinary polynomial, if f is isomorphic to a coordinate, then f is equivalent to it. This covers a larger set of polynomials. Note that the property of being quasi-ordinary is effective, i.e. we can easily decide from the equation if a nonzero polynomial f of \mathbf{R} is quasi-ordinary. This is not the case for the almost quasi-ordinary property, since the structure of automorphisms of $\mathbf{K}^e, e \geq 3$ is not well understood. Since automorphisms of \mathbf{K}^2 are well known it is natural to consider the case of surfaces, more precisely we will consider and answer the following question: Given a nonzero polynomial $D(X, Y) \in \mathbf{K}[X, Y]$, can we decide effectively if there is a change of variables $X = d_1(w_1), Y = d_2(w_2)$ such that in the new coordinates $w_1, w_2, D(w_1^{-1}, w_2^{-1}) = w_1^{N_1} w_2^{N_2} (1 + u(w_1, w_2))$, where $N_1, N_2 \leq 0$ and $u(0, 0) = 0$ (in this case we say that D has the q.o. property)? the main tool here is the Newton polygon of $D(X, Y)$: write $D(X, Y) = \sum_{ij} a_{ij} X^i Y^j$ and define the Newton polygon of D , denoted $N(D)$, to be the convex hull of the set $\{(0, 0)\} \cup \{(i, j), a_{ij} \neq 0\}$. Let E be the set of edges of $P(D)$ with negative slopes. We have the following:

1. If $E = \emptyset$ then $D(X, Y) = a_{i_0 j_0} X^{i_0} Y^{j_0} + \sum_{i < i_0, j < j_0} a_{ij} X^i Y^j$ and D has the q.o. property.
2. Suppose that $E \neq \emptyset$ and let $E = \{E_1, \dots, E_s\}$.
 - 2.1. If $s \geq 2$ then D does not have the q.o. property.
 - 2.2. Suppose that $s = 1$ and let $P(E_1) = \sum_{(i,j) \in E_1, a_{ij} \neq 0} a_{ij} X^i Y^j$. Let $P(E_1) = P_1^{p_1} \dots P_r^{p_r}$ be the decomposition of $P(E_1)$ into irreducible quasi-homogeneous polynomials.
 - 2.2.1. If $r \geq 3$ then D does not have the q.o. property.
 - 2.2.2. If $r = 2$ and $\mathbf{K}[P_1, P_2] \neq \mathbf{K}[X, Y]$ then D does not have the q.o. property.

2.2.3 If $r = 1$ and P_1 is not equivalent to a coordinate, then D does not have the q.o. property.

2.2.4. If $r = 2$ and $\mathbf{K}[P_1, P_2] = \mathbf{K}[X, Y]$, then we apply the change of variables $(X, Y) \mapsto (P_1, P_2)$.

2.2.5. If $r = 1$ and P_1 is equivalent to a coordinate, let Q be such that $\mathbf{K}[P_1, Q] = \mathbf{K}[X, Y]$, then we apply the change of variables $(X, Y) \mapsto (P_1, Q)$.

We easily verify that the algorithm above answers our question.

Remark 5.3 Let in general f be a polynomial in s variables X_1, \dots, X_s over \mathbf{K} . Abhyankar-Sathaye conjecture says the following: if f is isomorphic to a coordinate, then f is equivalent to it. This conjecture is known to be true for $s = 2$ -by Abhyankar-Moh results- but it is still open for $s \geq 3$. Theorem 5.1. and Remark 5.2. give an affirmative answer to the conjecture for almost quasi-ordinary polynomials.

References

- [1] S.S. Abhyankar.- On the ramification of algebraic functions, Amer. J. Math. 77 (1955), 575-592.
- [2] S.S. Abhyankar.- Lectures on expansion techniques in Algebraic Geometry, Tata Institute of Fundamental research, Bombay, 1977.
- [3] S.S. Abhyankar.- On the semigroup of a meromorphic curve, Part 1, in Proceedings of International Symposium on Algebraic Geometry, Kyoto, pp. 240-414, 1977.
- [4] S.S. Abhyankar.- Irreducibility criterion for germs of analytic functions of two complex variables, Advances in Mathematics 74, pp. 190-257, 1989.
- [5] S.S. Abhyankar and T.T. Moh.- Newton Puiseux expansion and generalized Tschirnhausen transformation, J.Reine Angew.Math, 260, pp. 47-83 and 261, pp. 29-54, 1973.
- [6] S.S. Abhyankar and T.T. Moh.- Embedding of the line in the plane, J.Reine Angew.Math., 276, pp. 148-166, 1975.
- [7] A. Assi.-Deux remarques sur les racines approchées d'Abhyankar-Moh, C.R.A.S., t.319, Serie 1, 1994, 1191-1196.
- [8] A. Assi.-Meromorphic plane curves, Math. Zeitschrift, 230, 1999, 165-183.
- [9] A. Assi.-Irreducibility criterion for quasi-ordinary polynomials, Preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0904.4413>
- [10] R. Ephraim.-Special polars and curves with one place at infinity, Proc. of Symposia in Pure Math., vol. 40, Part 1, pp. 353-359, 1983.
- [11] P.D. Gonzalez Perez.- The semigroup of a quasi-ordinary hypersurface, Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu, n° 2 (2003), 383-399.

- [12] K. Kiyek and M. Micus.- Semigroup of a quasiordinary singularity, Banach Center Publications, Topics in Algebra, Vol. 26 (1990), 149-156.
- [13] M. Lejeune-Jalabert.- Sur l'équivalence des singularités des courbes algébriques planes. Coefficients de Newton, Thesis, 1972.
- [14] J. Lipman.- Quasi-ordinary singularities of embedded surfaces, Thesis, Harvard University (1965).
- [15] T.T. Moh.- On analytic irreducibility at ∞ of pencil of curves, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 44, pp. 22-23, 1974.
- [16] P. Popescu-Pampu.- Arbres de contact des singularités quasi-ordinaires et graphes d'adjacence pour les 3-variétés réelles, Thèse de doctorat de l'université de Paris 7 (2001).
- [17] M. Suzuki.- Propriétés topologiques des polynômes de deux variables complexes et automorphismes algébriques de l'espace \mathbf{C}^2 , J. Math. Soc. Japan, 26, pp. 241-257, 1974.