

# A stability property for coefficients in Kronecker products of complex $S_n$ characters

Ernesto Vallejo\*

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México  
 Instituto de Matemáticas, Unidad Morelia  
 Apartado Postal 61-3, Xangari  
 58089 Morelia, Mich., MEXICO  
 e-mail: vallejo@matmor.unam.mx

## Abstract

In this note we make explicit a stability property for Kronecker coefficients that is implicit in a theorem of Y. Dvir. Even in the simplest nontrivial case this property was overlooked despite the work of several authors. As an application we give a new formula for some Kronecker coefficients.

*Key Words:* Kronecker product, Characters, Symmetric group, Schur functions, Internal product.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  be partitions of a positive integer  $m$  and let  $\chi^\lambda, \chi^\mu, \chi^\nu$  be their corresponding complex irreducible characters of the symmetric group  $S_m$ . It is a long standing problem to give a satisfactory method for computing the multiplicity

$$k(\lambda, \mu, \nu) := \langle \chi^\lambda \otimes \chi^\mu, \chi^\nu \rangle \quad (1)$$

of  $\chi^\nu$  in the Kronecker product  $\chi^\lambda \otimes \chi^\mu$  of  $\chi^\lambda$  and  $\chi^\mu$  (here  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the inner product of complex characters). Via the Frobenius map,  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$  is equal to the multiplicity of the Schur function  $s_\nu$  in the internal product of Schur functions  $s_\lambda * s_\mu$ , namely

$$k(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = \langle s_\lambda * s_\mu, s_\nu \rangle,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the scalar product of symmetric functions.

The first stability property for Kronecker coefficients was observed by F. Murnaghan without proof in [8]. This property can be stated in the following way: Let  $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}$  be partitions of  $a, b, c$ , respectively. Define  $\lambda(n) := (n - a, \bar{\lambda})$ ,  $\mu(n) := (n - b, \bar{\mu})$ ,  $\nu(n) :=$

---

\*Supported by CONACYT-Mexico, 47086-F and UNAM-DGAPA IN103508

$(n - c, \bar{\nu})$ . Then the coefficient  $k(\lambda(n), \mu(n), \nu(n))$  is constant for all  $n$  bigger than some integer  $N(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu})$ . Complete proofs of this property were given by M. Brion [3] using algebraic geometry and E. Vallejo [13] using combinatorics of Young tableaux. Both proofs give different lower bounds  $N(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu})$  for the stability of  $k(\lambda(n), \mu(n), \nu(n))$ , for all partitions  $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}$ . C. Ballantine and R. Orellana [1] gave an improvement of one of these lower bounds for a particular case.

Here we make explicit another stability property for Kronecker coefficients that is implicit in the work of Y. Dvir (Theorem 2.4' in [5]). This property can be stated as follows: Let  $p, q, r$  be positive integers such that  $p = qr$ . Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$ ,  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_q)$ ,  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_r)$  be partitions of some nonnegative integer  $m$  satisfying  $\ell(\lambda) \leq p$ ,  $\ell(\mu) \leq q$ ,  $\ell(\nu) \leq r$ , that is, some parts of  $\lambda, \mu$  and  $\nu$  could be zero. For any positive integers  $t$  and  $n$  let  $(t)^n$  denote the vector  $(t, \dots, t) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . Then we have

**Theorem 3.1.** With the above notation

$$k(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = k(\lambda + (t)^p, \mu + (rt)^q, \nu + (qt)^r).$$

It should be noted that even in the simplest nontrivial case, when  $q = 2 = r$  and  $p = 4$ , this property was overlooked despite of the work of several authors [1, 2, 9, 10]. In this situation Remmel and Whitehead noticed (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 in [9]) that the coefficient  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$  has a much simpler formula if  $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4$ . The main theorem provides an explanation for that. We also obtain a new formula for  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$  in this case.

This note is organized as follows. Section 2 contains the definitions and notation about partitions needed in this paper. In Section 3 we give the proof of the main theorem. Section 4 deals with the Kronecker coefficient  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$  when  $\ell(\lambda) = \ell(\mu)\ell(\nu)$ . In particular, we give, in this case, a new vanishing condition. Finally, in Section 5 we give an application of the main theorem.

## 2 Partitions

In this section we recall the notation about partitions needed in this paper. See for example [6, 7, 11, 12].

For any nonnegative integer  $n$  let  $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ . A *partition* is a vector  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$  of nonnegative integers arranged in decreasing order  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_p$ . We consider two partitions equal if they differ by a string of zeros at the end. For example  $(3, 2, 1)$  and  $(3, 2, 1, 0, 0)$  represent the same partition. The *length* of  $\lambda$ , denoted by  $\ell(\lambda)$ , is the number of positive parts of  $\lambda$ . The *size* of  $\lambda$ , denoted by  $|\lambda|$  is the sum of its parts; if  $|\lambda| = m$ , we say that  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $m$  and denote it by  $\lambda \vdash m$ . The partition conjugate to  $\lambda$  is denoted by  $\lambda'$ . A *composition* of  $m$  is a vector  $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_r)$  of positive integers such that  $\sum_{i=1}^r \pi_i = m$ .

The *diagram* of  $\lambda$ , also denoted by  $\lambda$ , is the set of pairs of positive integers

$$\lambda = \{(i, j) \mid i \in [p], j \in [\lambda_i]\}.$$

The identification of  $\lambda$  with its diagram permits us to use set theoretic notation for partitions. If  $\delta$  is another partition and  $\delta \subseteq \lambda$ , we denote by  $\lambda/\delta$  the *skew diagram* consisting of the pairs in  $\lambda$  that are not in  $\delta$ , and by  $|\lambda/\delta|$  its cardinality. If  $\mu$  is another partition, then  $\lambda \cap \mu$  denotes the set theoretic intersection of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ .

### 3 Main theorem

**3.1 Theorem.** *Let  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  be partitions of some integer  $m$ . Let  $p, q, r$  be integers such that  $p \geq \ell(\lambda)$ ,  $q \geq \ell(\mu)$ ,  $r \geq \ell(\nu)$  and  $p = qr$ . Then for any positive integer  $t$  we have*

$$k(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = k(\lambda + (t)^p, \mu + (rt)^q, \nu + (qt)^r).$$

The proof of the main theorem will follow from Dvir's theorem

**3.2 Theorem.** *[5, Theorem 2.4'] Let  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  be partitions of  $n$  such that  $\ell(\nu) = |\lambda \cap \mu'|$ . Let  $l = \ell(\nu)$  and  $\rho = \nu - (1^l)$ . Then*

$$k(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = \langle \chi^{\lambda/\lambda \cap \mu'} \otimes \chi^{\mu/\lambda' \cap \mu}, \chi^\rho \rangle.$$

*Proof of theorem 3.1.* It is enough to prove the theorem for  $t = 1$ . The general case follows by repeated application of the particular case. Let  $\alpha = \lambda + (1)^p$ ,  $\beta = \mu + (r)^q$  and  $\gamma = \nu + (q)^r$ . Then  $\beta \cap \gamma' = (r^q)$ . In particular,  $|\beta \cap \gamma'| = p = \ell(\alpha)$ . So, we have  $\beta/\beta \cap \gamma' = \mu$  and  $\gamma/\beta' \cap \gamma = \nu$ . Thus, by Dvir's theorem, we have

$$k(\beta, \gamma, \alpha) = k(\mu, \nu, \lambda).$$

The claim follows from the symmetry  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)$  of Kronecker coefficients.  $\square$

### 4 The case $\ell(\lambda) = \ell(\mu)\ell(\nu)$

In this section we give a general result for the Kronecker coefficient  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$  when  $\ell(\lambda) = \ell(\mu)\ell(\nu)$ . On the one hand it gives a new vanishing condition. On the other hand, when this vanishing condition does not hold, it reduces the computation of  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$  to the computation of a simpler Kronecker coefficient.

Let  $m$  be a positive integer,  $\lambda, \mu$  be partitions of  $m$  and  $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_r)$  be a composition of  $m$ . Let  $\rho(i) \vdash \pi_i$  for  $i \in [r]$ . A sequence  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_r)$  of tableaux

is called a *Littlewood-Richardson multitableau* of shape  $\lambda$ , content  $(\rho(1), \dots, \rho(r))$  and type  $\pi$  if

(1) there exists a sequence of partitions

$$\emptyset = \lambda(0) \subset \lambda(1) \subset \dots \subset \lambda(r) = \lambda$$

such that  $|\lambda(i)/\lambda(i-1)| = \pi_i$  for all  $i \in [r]$ , and

(2)  $T_i$  is Littlewood-Richardson tableau of shape  $\lambda(i)/\lambda(i-1)$  and content  $\rho(i)$ , for all  $i \in [r]$ .

Let  $\text{LR}(\lambda, \mu; \pi)$  denote the set of pairs  $(S, T)$  of Littlewood-Richardson multitableaux of shape  $(\lambda, \mu)$ , same content and type  $\pi$ . This means that  $S = (S_1, \dots, S_r)$  is a Littlewood-Richardson multitableau of shape  $\lambda$ ,  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_r)$  is a Littlewood-Richardson multitableau of shape  $\mu$  and both  $S_i$  and  $T_i$  have the same content  $\rho(i)$  for some partition  $\rho(i)$  of  $\pi_i$ , for all  $i \in [r]$ . Let  $c_{(\rho(1), \dots, \rho(r))}^\lambda$  denote the number of Littlewood-Richardson multitableaux of shape  $\lambda$  and content  $(\rho(1), \dots, \rho(r))$  and let  $\text{lr}(\lambda, \mu; \pi)$  denote the cardinality of  $\text{LR}(\lambda, \mu; \pi)$ . Then

$$\text{lr}(\lambda, \mu; \pi) = \sum_{\rho(1) \vdash \pi_1, \dots, \rho(r) \vdash \pi_r} c_{(\rho(1), \dots, \rho(r))}^\lambda c_{(\rho(1), \dots, \rho(r))}^\mu.$$

Similar numbers have already proved to be useful in the study of minimal components, in the dominance order of partitions, of Kronecker products [14].

The number  $\text{lr}(\lambda, \mu; \pi)$  can be described as an inner product of characters. For this description we need the permutation character  $\phi^\pi := \text{Ind}_{\mathbb{S}_\pi}^{\mathbb{S}_m}(1_\pi)$ , namely, the induced character from the trivial character of  $\mathbb{S}_\pi = \mathbb{S}_{\pi_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}_{\pi_r}$ . It follows from Frobenius reciprocity and the Littlewood-Richardson rule that (see also [6, 2.9.17])

**4.1 Lemma.** *Let  $\lambda, \mu, \pi$  be as above. Then*

$$\text{lr}(\lambda, \mu; \pi) = \langle \chi^\lambda \otimes \chi^\mu, \phi^\pi \rangle.$$

Since Young's rule and Lemma 4.1 imply that  $\text{lr}(\lambda, \mu; \nu) \geq \mathbf{k}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ , then we have

**4.2 Corollary.** *Let  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  be partitions of  $m$ . If  $\text{lr}(\lambda, \mu; \nu) = 0$ , then  $\mathbf{k}(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = 0$ .*

**4.3 Lemma.** *Let  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  be partitions of  $m$  of lengths  $p, q, r$ , respectively. If  $p = qr$ , and  $\mu_q < r\lambda_p$  or  $\nu_r < q\lambda_p$ , then  $\text{lr}(\lambda, \mu; \nu) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We assume that  $\text{lr}(\lambda, \mu; \nu) > 0$  and show that  $\mu_q \geq r\lambda_p$  and  $\nu_r \geq q\lambda_p$ . Let  $(S, T)$  be an element in  $\text{LR}(\lambda, \mu; \nu)$  having content  $(\rho(1), \dots, \rho(r))$ . Since  $T_i$  is contained in  $\mu$ , one has, by elementary properties of Littlewood-Richardson tableaux, that  $\ell(\rho(i)) \leq \ell(\mu) \leq q$ . For any  $i$ , let  $n_i$  be the number of squares of  $S_i$  that are in column  $\lambda_p$  of  $\lambda$ , then  $n_i \leq q$ . We conclude that  $p = n_1 + \dots + n_r \leq rq = p$ . Therefore  $n_i = q = \ell(\rho(i))$  for all

*i.* This forces that each  $S_i$  contains a  $j$  in the squares  $(j+(i-1)q, 1), \dots, (j+(i-1)q, \lambda_p)$  of  $\lambda$ , for all  $j \in [q]$ . So,  $\rho(i)_j \geq \lambda_p$  for all  $j$ . In particular, for  $i = r$ , since  $S_r$  has  $\nu_r$  squares, one has  $\nu_r \geq q\lambda_p$ . Now, since  $\ell(\mu) = q$ , all entries of  $T_i$  equal to  $q$  must be in row  $q$  of  $\mu$ . Then  $\mu_q \geq \rho(1)_q + \dots + \rho(r)_q \geq r\lambda_p$ . The claim follows.  $\square$

**4.4 Corollary.** *Let  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  be partitions of  $m$  of length  $p, q, r$ , respectively. If  $p = qr$ , and  $\mu_q < r\lambda_p$  or  $\nu_r < q\lambda_p$ , then  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 4.3 and Corollary 4.2.  $\square$

Corollary 4.4 and Theorem 3.1 imply the following

**4.5 Theorem.** *Let  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  be partitions of  $m$  of length  $p, q, r$ , respectively. Let  $t = \lambda_p$  and assume  $p = qr$ , then we have*

- (1) *If  $\mu_q < rt$  or  $\nu_r < qt$ , then  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = 0$ .*
- (2) *If  $\mu_q \geq rt$  and  $\nu_r \geq qt$ , let  $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda - (t)^p$ ,  $\tilde{\mu} = \mu - (rt)^q$  and  $\tilde{\nu} = \nu - (qt)^r$ . Then,  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = k(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu})$ .*

## 5 Applications

We conclude this paper with an application to the expansion of  $\chi^\mu \otimes \chi^\nu$  when  $\ell(\mu) = 2 = \ell(\nu)$ . It is well known that any component of  $\chi^\mu \otimes \chi^\nu$  corresponds to a partition of length at most  $|\mu \cap \nu'| \leq 4$ , see Satz 1 in [4], Theorem 1.6 in [5] or Theorem 2.1 in [9]. Even in this simple case a *nice* closed formula seems unlikely to exist. J. Remmel and T. Whitehead (Theorem 2.1 in [9]) gave a close, though intricate, formula for  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$  valid for any  $\lambda$  of length at most 4; M. Rosas (Theorem 1 in [10]) gave a formula of combinatorial nature for  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ , which requires taking subtractions, also valid for any  $\lambda$  of length at most 4; C. Ballantine and R. Orellana (Proposition 4.12 in [2]) gave a simpler formula for  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ , at the cost of assuming an extra condition on  $\lambda$ .

Note that when  $\ell(\lambda) = 1$  the coefficient  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$  is trivial to compute. For  $\ell(\lambda) = 2$  Remmel-Whitehead formula for  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$  reduces to a simpler one (Theorem 3.3 in [9]). This formula was recovered by Rosas in a different way (Corollary 1 in [10]). So, the nontrivial cases are those for which  $\ell(\lambda) = 3, 4$ . Corollary 5.1 deals with the case of length 4. On the one hand it gives a new vanishing condition. On the other hand, when this vanishing condition does not hold, it reduces the case of length 4 to the case of length 3. Thus, this reduction would help to simplify the proofs of the formulas given by Remmel-Whitehead and Rosas.

The following corollary is a particular case of Theorem 4.5.

**5.1 Corollary.** *Let  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  be a partitions of  $m$  of length 4, 2, 2, respectively. Let  $t = \lambda_4$ , then we have*

- (1) If  $\mu_2 < 2t$  or  $\nu_2 < 2t$ , then  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = 0$ .  
(2) If  $\mu_2 \geq 2t$  and  $\nu_2 \geq 2t$ , let  $\tilde{\lambda} = (\lambda_1 - t, \lambda_2 - t, \lambda_3 - t)$ ,  $\tilde{\mu} = (\mu_1 - 2t, \mu_2 - 2t)$  and  $\tilde{\nu} = (\nu_1 - 2t, \nu_2 - 2t)$ . Then,  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = k(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu})$ .

Another observation of Remmel and Whitehead (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 in [9]) is that their formula simplifies considerably in the case  $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4$ . Corollary 5.1 explains this phenomenon since, in this case, the computation of  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$  reduces to the computation of a Kronecker coefficient involving only three partitions of length at most 2, which have a simple nice formula (Theorem 3.3 in [9]). In fact, combining our result with this simple formula we obtain a new one. For completeness we record here Remmel-Whitehead formula in the equivalent version of Rosas.

In the next theorems the notation  $(y \geq x)$  means 1 if  $y \geq x$  and 0 if  $y \not\geq x$ .

**5.2 Theorem.** [9, Theorem 3.3] Let  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  be partitions of  $m$  of length 2. Let  $x = \max(0, \lceil \frac{\nu_2 + \mu_2 + \lambda_2 - m}{2} \rceil)$  and  $y = \lceil \frac{\nu_2 + \mu_2 - \lambda_2 + 1}{2} \rceil$ . Assume  $\nu_2 \leq \mu_2 \leq \lambda_2$ . Then

$$k(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = (y - x)(y \geq x).$$

From Corollary 5.1 and Theorem 5.2 we obtain

**5.3 Theorem.** Let  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  be partitions of  $m$  of length 4, 2, 2, respectively. Suppose that  $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4$  and that  $2\lambda_3 \leq \nu_2 \leq \mu_2$ . Let  $x = \max(0, \lceil \frac{\nu_2 + \mu_2 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - m}{2} \rceil)$ ,  $y = \lceil \frac{\nu_2 + \lambda_2 - \mu_2 - \lambda_3 + 1}{2} \rceil$  and  $z = \lceil \frac{\nu_2 + \mu_2 - \lambda_2 - 3\lambda_3 + 1}{2} \rceil$ . We have

- (1) If  $\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \leq \mu_2$ , then  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = (y - x)(y \geq x)$ .  
(2) If  $\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 > \mu_2$ , then  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = (z - x)(z \geq x)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\lambda} = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_3, \lambda_2 - \lambda_3)$ ,  $\tilde{\mu} = (\mu_1 - 2\lambda_3, \mu_2 - 2\lambda_3)$  and  $\tilde{\nu} = (\nu_1 - 2\lambda_3, \nu_2 - 2\lambda_3)$ . These are partitions of  $m - 4\lambda_3$ . Then, by Corollary 5.1,  $k(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = k(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu})$ . Since  $\ell(\tilde{\lambda}) = \ell(\tilde{\mu}) = \ell(\tilde{\nu}) = 2$ , we can apply Theorem 5.2. Due to the symmetry of the Kronecker coefficients we are assuming  $\nu_2 \leq \mu_2$ . We have to consider three cases: (a)  $\lambda_2 - \lambda_3 \leq \nu_2 - 2\lambda_3$ , (b)  $\nu_2 - 2\lambda_3 < \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 \leq \mu_2 - 2\lambda_3$  and (c)  $\mu_2 - 2\lambda_3 < \lambda_2 - \lambda_3$ . In the first two cases Remmel-Whitehead formula yields the same formula for  $k(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu})$ . So, we have only two cases to consider: (1)  $\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \leq \mu_2$  and (2)  $\mu_2 < \lambda_2 + \lambda_3$ . In the first case Theorem 5.2 yields

$$k(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}) = (y' - x')(y' \geq x')$$

where  $x' = \max(0, \lceil \frac{\nu_2 - 2\lambda_3 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 + \mu_2 - 2\lambda_3 - (m - 4\lambda_3)}{2} \rceil)$  and  $y' = \lceil \frac{\nu_2 - 2\lambda_3 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - (\mu_2 - 2\lambda_3) + 1}{2} \rceil$ . It is straightforward to check that  $x' = x$  and  $y' = y$ , so the first claim follows.

The second case is similar. □

## References

- [1] C.M. Ballantine and R.C. Orellana, On the Kronecker product  $s(n-p, p) * s_\lambda$ , *Electron. J. Combin.* **12** (2005) Reseach Paper 28, 26 pp. (electronic).
- [2] C.M. Ballantine and R.C. Orellana, A combinatorial interpretation for the coefficients in the Kronecker product  $s(n-p, p) * s_\lambda$ , *Sém. Lotar. Combin.* **54A** (2006), Art. B54Af, 29pp. (electronic).
- [3] M. Brion, Stable properties of plethysm: on two conjectures of Foulkes, *manuscripta math.* **80** (1993), 347–371.
- [4] M. Clausen and H. Meier, Extreme irreduzible Konstituenten in Tensordarstellungen symmetrischer Gruppen, *Bayreuther Math. Schriften* **45** (1993), 1–17.
- [5] Y. Dvir, On the Kronecker product of  $S_n$  characters, *J. Algebra* **154** (1993), 125–140.
- [6] G.D. James and A. Kerber, “The representation theory of the symmetric group”, Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications, Vol. 16, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1981.
- [7] I.G. Macdonald, “Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials,” 2nd. edition Oxford Mathematical Monographs Oxford Univ. Press 1995.
- [8] F.D. Murnaghan, The analysis of the Kronecker product of irreducible representations of the symmetric group, *Amer. J. Math.* **60** (1938), 761–784.
- [9] J.B. Remmel and T. Whitehead, On the Kronecker product of Schur functions of two row shapes, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.* **1** (1994), 649–683.
- [10] M.H. Rosas, The Kronecker product of Schur functions indexed by two-row shapes or hook shapes, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **14** (2001), 153–173.
- [11] B. Sagan, “The symmetric group. Representations, combinatorial algorithms and symmetric functions”. Second ed. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 203. Springer Verlag, 2001.
- [12] R.P. Stanley, “Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 2” , Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 62. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999.
- [13] E. Vallejo, Stability of Kronecker product of irreducible characters of the symmetric group, *Electron. J. Combin* **6** (1999) Reseach Paper 39, 7 pp. (electronic).
- [14] E. Vallejo, Plane partitions and characters of the symmetric group, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **11** (2000), 79–88.