

DG-CATEGORY AND SIMPLICIAL BAR COMPLEX

TOMOHIDE TERASOMA

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and conventions	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Conventions	3
2. DG category	4
2.1. Definition of DG category and examples	4
2.2. DG complexes	6
3. Topological motivation for simplicial bar complex	7
3.1. The space of marked path	7
3.2. Chain complex associated to the system of marked paths	8
4. Simplicial bar complex	9
4.1. Definition of simplicial bar complex	9
4.2. Augmentations and coproduct	11
5. Comparison to Chen's theory	12
6. Simplicial bar complex and DG category $K\mathcal{C}_A$	15
6.1. Definition of comodules over DG coalgebras	15
6.2. Correspondences on objects	17
6.3. The functor $\psi : (B - com)^{red,b} \rightarrow K^b\mathcal{C}_A$ on Morphisms	21
7. $H^0(B(\epsilon A \epsilon))$ -comodule for Connected DGA	23
8. Patching of DG category	26
9. Graded case	28
10. Deligne complex	31
10.1. Definition of Deligne algebra	31
10.2. Nilpotent variation of mixed Tate Hodge structure	32
10.3. Proof of Theorem 10.7	33
References	36

1. INTRODUCTION AND CONVENTIONS

1.1. Introduction. In the paper [C], Chen defined a bar complex of an associative differential graded algebra which computes the real homotopy type of a C^∞ -manifold. There he proved that the Hopf algebra of the dual of the nilpotent completion of the group ring $\mathbf{R}[\pi_1(X, p)]^\wedge$ of the fundamental group

$\pi_1(X, p)$ is canonically isomorphic to the 0-th cohomology of the bar complex of the differential graded algebra $A^\bullet(X)$ of smooth differential forms on X .

There are several methods to construct mixed Tate motives over a field K . First construction is due to Bloch and Kriz [BK1], base on the bar complex of the differential graded algebra of Bloch cycle complex. They defined the category mixed Tate motives as the category of comodules over the cohomology of the bar complex. Another construction is due to Hanamura [H]. In the book of Kriz-May [KM], they used a homotopical approach to define the category of mixed Tate motives. Hanamura used some generalization of complex to construct the derived category of mixed Tate motives. One can formulate this construction of complex in the setting of DG category, which is called DG complex in this paper. DG complexes is called twisted complexes in a paper of Bondal-Kapranov [BK2]. In paper [Ke1], similar notion of perfect complexes are introduced. A notion of DG categories are also useful to study cyclic homology. (See [Ke1], [Ke2]).

In this paper, we study two categories, one is the category of comodules over the bar complex of a differential graded algebra A and the other is the category of DG complexes of a DG category arising from the differential graded algebra. Roughly speaking, we show that these two categories are homotopy equivalent (Theorem 6.4). We use this equivalence to construct a certain coalgebra which classifies nilpotent variation of mixed Tate Hodge structures on an algebraic varieties X (Theorem 10.7). This coalgebra is isomorphic to the coordinate ring of the Tanakian category of mixed Tate Hodge structures when $X = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C})$.

Bar construction is also used to construct the motives associated to rational fundamental groups of algebraic varieties in [DG]. They used another type of bar construction due to Beilinson. In this paper, we adopt this bar construction, called simplicial bar construction, for differential graded algebras. Simplicial bar complexes depend on the choices of two augmentations of the differential graded algebras. If these two augmentations happen to be equal, then the simplicial bar complex is quasi-isomorphic to the classical reduced bar complex defined by Chen.

Let me explain in the case of the DGA of smooth differential forms A^\bullet of a smooth manifold X . To a point p of X , we can associate an augmentation $\epsilon_p : A^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. In Chen's reduced bar complexes, the choice of the augmentation, reflects the choice of the base point p of the rational fundamental group. On the other hand, simplicial bar complex depends on two augmentations ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 . The cohomology of the simplicial bar complex of A^\bullet with respect to the two augmentations arising from two points p_1 and p_2 of X is identified with the nilpotent dual of the linear hull of paths connecting the points p_1 and p_2 .

By applying simplicial bar construction with two augmentations of cycle DGA arising from two realizations, we obtain the dual of the space generated by functorial isomorphism between two realization functors. A comparison theorem gives a path connecting these two realization functors. Using this formalism, we treat the category of variation of mixed Tate Hodge structures

over smooth algebraic varieties. In this paper, we show that the category of comodules over the bar complex of the differential graded algebra of the Deligne complex of an algebraic variety X is equivalent to the category of nilpotent variations of mixed Tate Hodge structures on X .

1.2. Conventions. Let \mathbf{k} be a field. Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbf{k} -linear abelian category with a tensor structure. The category of complexes in \mathcal{C} is denoted as $K\mathcal{C}$. For objects $A = (A^\bullet, \delta_A)$ and $B = (B^\bullet, \delta_B)$ in $K\mathcal{C}$, we define tensor product $A \otimes B$ as an object in $K\mathcal{C}$ by the rule $(A \otimes B)^p = \bigoplus_{i+j=p} A^i \otimes B^j$. The differential $d_{A \otimes B}$ on $A^i \otimes B^j$ is defined by $d_{A \otimes B} = \delta_A \otimes 1_B + (-1)^i 1_A \otimes \delta_B$. An element

$$\underline{Hom}_{K\mathcal{C}}^p(A, B) = \prod_i Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(A^i, B^{i+p})$$

is called a homogeneous homomorphism of degree p from A to B in $K\mathcal{C}$. Let $A, A', B, B' \in K\mathcal{C}$ and $\varphi = (\varphi_i)_i \in \underline{Hom}_{K\mathcal{C}}^p(A, B)$ and $\psi = (\psi_j)_j \in \underline{Hom}_{K\mathcal{C}}^q(A', B')$, we define $\varphi \otimes \psi \in \underline{Hom}_{K\mathcal{C}}^{p+q}(A \otimes A', B \otimes B')$ by setting $(\varphi \otimes \psi)_{i+j} = (-1)^{qi} \varphi_i \otimes \psi_j$ on $A^i \otimes A'^j \rightarrow B^{i+p} \otimes B'^{j+q}$. (To remember this formula, the rule $(\varphi \otimes \psi)(a \otimes a') = (-1)^{\deg(a) \deg(\psi)} \varphi(a) \otimes \psi(a')$ is useful.) An object M in \mathcal{C} is regarded as an object in $K\mathcal{C}$ by setting M at degree zero part. For a complex $A \in K\mathcal{C}$, we define the complex $A[i]$ by $A[i]^j = A^{i+j}$, where the differential is defined through this isomorphism. The shift $\mathbf{k}[i]$ of the unit object \mathbf{k} is defined in this manner. The homogeneous morphism $\mathbf{k}[i] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[j]$ of degree $i - j$, whose degree $-i$ part $\mathbf{k}[i]^{-i} = \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[j]^{-j} = \mathbf{k}$ is defined by the identity map, is denoted as t_{ji} . The degree $-i$ element “1” of $\mathbf{k}[i]$ is denoted as e^i . For an object $B \in K\mathcal{C}$, the tensor complex $B \otimes \mathbf{k}[i]$ is denoted as Be^i . For objects $A, B \in K\mathcal{C}$ and $\varphi \in \underline{Hom}_{K\mathcal{C}}^p(A, B)$, a homomorphism $\varphi \otimes t_{ji} \in \underline{Hom}_{K\mathcal{C}}(Ae^i, Be^j)$ is a degree $(p+i-j)$ homomorphism. As a special case, for $\varphi \in \underline{Hom}_{K\mathcal{C}}^0(A, B)$, the map $\varphi \otimes t_{i-1, i} \in \underline{Hom}_{K\mathcal{C}}^1(Ae^i, Be^{i-1})$ is a degree one element. It is denoted as $\varphi \otimes t$ for simplicity. The differential of M can be regarded as a degree one map from M to itself. Therefore d can be regarded as an element in $\underline{Hom}_{K\mathcal{C}}^1(M, M)$.

An object in $KK\mathcal{C}$ can be considered as a double complex in \mathcal{C} . Let $(\dots A^{\bullet i} \xrightarrow{d} A^{\bullet i+1} \rightarrow \dots)$ be an object in $KK\mathcal{C}$. Since d is a homomorphism of complex, we have

$$d \otimes t \in \underline{Hom}_{K\mathcal{C}}^1(A^{\bullet, j} e^{-j}, A^{\bullet, j+1} e^{-j-1}).$$

is a degree one element in $K\mathcal{C}$. Let $\delta \otimes 1$ be the differential of $A^{\bullet i} e^{-i} \in K\mathcal{C}$. The summation of $\delta \otimes 1$ for i is also denoted as $\delta \otimes 1$. Then the degree one map $\delta \otimes 1 + d \otimes t$ becomes a differential on the total graded object. Here $\delta \otimes 1$ is called the inner differential and $d \otimes t$ is called the outer differential. Note that for an “element” $a \in A^{ij}$, we have $(d \otimes t)(a \otimes e^{-j}) = (-1)^i d(a) \otimes e^{-j-1}$, which coincides with the standard sign convention of the associate simple complex of a double complex.

The resulting complex is called the associate simple complex of $A^{\bullet \bullet} \in KK\mathcal{C}$ and denoted as $s(A) = s(A^{\bullet \bullet})$. For objects $A = A^{\bullet \bullet}, B^{\bullet \bullet} \in KK\mathcal{C}$, the

tensor product $A \otimes B$ is defined as an object in $KK\mathcal{C}$. Then we have a natural isomorphism in $K\mathcal{C}$

$$(1.1) \quad \nu : s(A) \otimes s(B) \simeq s(A \otimes B)$$

defined by $\nu(ae^{-j} \otimes be^{-j'}) = (-1)^{ji'}(a \otimes b)e^{-j-j'}$ for $ae^{-j} \in A^{ij}e^{-j}, be^{-j'} \in B^{i'j'}e^{-j'}$. This isomorphism is compatible with the natural associativity isomorphism.

2. DG CATEGORY

2.1. Definition of DG category and examples. Let \mathbf{k} be a field. A DG category \mathcal{C} over \mathbf{k} consists of the following data

- (1) A class of objects $ob(\mathcal{C})$.
- (2) A complex $\underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(A, B) = (\underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(A, B), \partial)$ of \mathbf{k} vector spaces for every objects A and B in $ob(\mathcal{C})$.

We sometimes impose the following shift structure on \mathcal{C} .

- (3) Bijective correspondence $T : \mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}$ for objects in \mathcal{C} . An object $T^k(A)$ in \mathcal{C} is denoted as Ae^k for $k \in \mathbf{Z}$.

with the following axioms.

- (1) For three objects A, B and C in \mathcal{C} , the composite

$$\underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(B, C) \otimes \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(A, B) \rightarrow \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(A, C)$$

is defined as a homomorphism of complexes over \mathbf{k} .

- (2) The above composite homomorphism is associative. That is, the following diagram of complexes commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(C, D) \otimes \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(B, C) \otimes \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(A, B) & \rightarrow & \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(C, D) \otimes \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(A, C) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(B, D) \otimes \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(A, B) & \rightarrow & \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(A, D) \end{array}$$

- (3) There is a degree zero closed element id_A in $\underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^0(A, A)$ for each A , which is a left and right identity under the above composite homomorphism.

If we assume the shift structure T , the following sign convention should be satisfied.

- (4) There is a natural isomorphism of complexes

$$\underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(A, B)[-i+j] \simeq \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(Ae^i, Be^j) : \varphi \mapsto \varphi \otimes t_{ji}$$

satisfying the rule $(\varphi \otimes t_{ji}) \circ (\psi \otimes t_{ik}) = (-1)^{(i-j)\deg(\psi)}(\varphi \circ \psi) \otimes t_{jk}$. (It is compatible with the formal commutation rule for ψ and t_{ji} .)

Definition 2.1. (1) Let \mathcal{C} be a DG category and a, b objects in \mathcal{C} . A closed morphism $\varphi : a \rightarrow b$ of degree 0 (i.e. $\partial\varphi = 0$) is called an isomorphism if there is a closed morphism ψ of degree zero such that $\psi \circ \varphi = 1_a, \varphi \circ \psi = 1_b$.

- (2) Let $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$ be DG categories. A DG functor F is a collection $\{F(a)\}_a$ of objects in \mathcal{C}_2 indexed by objects in \mathcal{C}_1 and a collection $\{F_{a,b}\}$ of homomorphisms of complexes $F_{a,b} : \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_1}^\bullet(a, b) \rightarrow \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^\bullet(F(a), F(b))$ indexed by $a, b \in \mathcal{C}_1$, which preserves the composites, identities and degree shift operator $A \mapsto A[1]$. We define sub DG categories and full sub DG categories similarly as in usual categories. We also define essentially surjective functors as in the usual category. A functor is equivalent if and only if it is essentially surjective and fully faithful.
- (3) A DG functor $F : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$ is said to be homotopy equivalent if and only if it is essentially surjective, and the induced map

$$H^i(F_{a,b}) : H^i(\underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_1}^\bullet(a, b)) \rightarrow H^i(\underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_2}^\bullet(F(a), F(b)))$$

is an isomorphism for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{C}_1$.

Example 2.2. Let $Vec_{\mathbf{k}}$ be a category of \mathbf{k} -vector spaces. The category of complexes of \mathbf{k} -vector spaces is denoted as $KVec_{\mathbf{k}}$. Then $KVec_{\mathbf{k}}$ becomes a DG category by setting

$$\underline{Hom}_{KVec_{\mathbf{k}}}^p(A, B) = \prod_i Hom(A^i, B^{i+p})$$

for complexes $A = A^\bullet$ and $B = B^\bullet$. The differential $\partial\varphi$ of an element $\varphi = (\varphi_i)_i \in \underline{Hom}_{KVec_{\mathbf{k}}}^p(A, B)$ is defined by the formula

$$(2.1) \quad (\partial(\varphi))_i = d_B \circ \varphi_i - (-1)^p \varphi_{i+1} \circ d_A.$$

Therefore $\varphi \in \underline{Hom}_{KVec_{\mathbf{k}}}^0(A, B)$ is a homomorphism of complexes if and only if $\partial(\varphi) = 0$. Two homomorphisms of complexes φ and ψ are homotopic to each other by the homotopy θ if and only if $\varphi - \psi = \partial(\theta)$ with $\theta \in \underline{Hom}_{KVec_{\mathbf{k}}}^{-1}(A, B)$.

Definition 2.3 (DG category associated with a DGA). Let $A = A^\bullet$ be a unitary associative differential graded algebra (denoted as DGA for short) over a field \mathbf{k} with the multiplication $\mu : A^\bullet \otimes A^\bullet \rightarrow A^\bullet$. We define a DG category \mathcal{C}_A associated to A as follows.

- (1) An object of \mathcal{C}_A is a complex $V = V^\bullet$ of vector spaces over \mathbf{k} .
- (2) For two objects $V = V^\bullet$ and $W = W^\bullet$, the set of morphisms $\underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^p(V, W)$ is defined as

$$\underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^\bullet(V, W) = \underline{Hom}_{KVec_{\mathbf{k}}}(V^\bullet, A^\bullet \otimes W^\bullet).$$

Then $\underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^\bullet(V, W)$ becomes a complex by the formula (2.1) and the structure of tensor complex $A^\bullet \otimes W^\bullet$ defined in (1.2).

- (3) For three objects $U = U^\bullet, V = V^\bullet$ and $W = W^\bullet$, we define the composite

$$\mu : \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^\bullet(V^\bullet, W^\bullet) \otimes \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^\bullet(U^\bullet, V^\bullet) \rightarrow \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^\bullet(U^\bullet, W^\bullet)$$

by the composite of the following homomorphisms of complexes:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \underline{Hom}_{KV\text{ec}_k}(V^\bullet, A^\bullet \otimes W^\bullet) \otimes \underline{Hom}_{KV\text{ec}_k}(U^\bullet, A^\bullet \otimes V^\bullet) \\
& \quad \downarrow \\
& \underline{Hom}_{KV\text{ec}_k}(A^\bullet \otimes V^\bullet, A^\bullet \otimes A^\bullet \otimes W^\bullet) \otimes \underline{Hom}_{KV\text{ec}_k}(U^\bullet, A^\bullet \otimes V^\bullet) \\
& \quad \downarrow \\
& \underline{Hom}_{KV\text{ec}_k}(U^\bullet, A^\bullet \otimes A^\bullet \otimes W^\bullet) \\
& \quad \downarrow \mu \otimes 1 \\
& \underline{Hom}_{KV\text{ec}_k}(U^\bullet, A^\bullet \otimes W^\bullet)
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.4. Let \mathcal{C} be a DG category and M be an object of \mathcal{C} . Then $\underline{End}_{\mathcal{C}}^\bullet(M) = \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^\bullet(M, M)$ becomes an (associative) differential graded algebra. We have $\underline{End}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^\bullet(\mathbf{k}) \simeq (A^\bullet)^{op}$ as DGA's. Note that $(A^\bullet)^{op}$ is a copy of A^\bullet as a complex and the multiplication rule is given by $a^\circ \cdot b^\circ = (-1)^{\deg(a)\deg(b)}(b \cdot a)^\circ$

2.2. DG complexes. We introduce the notion of complexes in the setting of DG category, which is called DG complexes.

Definition 2.5. (1) Let \mathcal{C} be a DC category. A pair $(\{M^i\}_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}, \{d_{ij}\}_{i > j})$ consisting of (1) a series of objects $\{M^i\}_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}$ in \mathcal{C} indexed by \mathbf{Z} , and (2) a series of morphisms

$$d_{ij} \in \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{j-i+1}(M^j, M^i)$$

indexed by $i > j$ in \mathbf{Z} is called a DG complex in \mathcal{C} if it satisfies the following equality.

$$(2.2) \quad \partial(d_{ij}) + \sum_{i > p > j} (-1)^{(i-p)(p-j+1)} d_{ip} \circ d_{pj} = 0.$$

The uncomfortable sign in this condition will be simplified by using $d_{ij}^\# = d_{ij} \otimes t_{-i, -j} \in \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(M^j e^{-j}, M^i e^{-i})$. Then the condition will be

$$(2.3) \quad \partial(d_{ij}^\#) + \sum_{i > p > j} d_{ip}^\# \circ d_{pj}^\# = 0.$$

(2) Let $M = (M^\bullet, d_M)$ and $N = (N^\bullet, d_N)$ be DG complexes in \mathcal{C} . We set

$$\underline{Hom}_{KC}^i(M, N) = \lim_{\rightarrow \alpha} \prod_{q-\alpha \leq r} \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{i+q-r}(M^q, N^r).$$

(3) Let $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. For an element $\varphi \in \underline{Hom}_{KC}^i(M, N)$, we define a map $D(\varphi) \in \underline{Hom}_{KC}^{i+1}(M, N)$ as follows. For an element

$$\varphi = (\varphi_{r,q}) \in \prod_{q-\alpha \leq r} \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{i+q-r}(M^q, N^r),$$

we set $\varphi_{rq}^\# = \varphi_{rq} \otimes t_{-r,-q} \in \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}^i(M^q e^{-q}, N^r e^{-r})$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} D(\varphi)_{r,q}^\# &= \partial(\varphi_{r,q}^\#) + \sum_{q-\alpha \leq r' < r} d_{r,r'}^\# \circ \varphi_{r',q}^\# \\ &\quad - (-1)^i \sum_{q < q' \leq r+\alpha} \varphi_{r,q'}^\# \circ d_{q',q}^\# \\ &\in \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}^{i+1}(M^q e^{-q}, N^r e^{-r}) \end{aligned}$$

This map defines a homomorphism $D : \underline{\text{Hom}}_{KC}^i(M, N) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_{KC}^{i+1}(M, N)$, and the space $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{KC}^\bullet(M, N)$ becomes a complex of \mathbf{k} -vector spaces.

- (4) Let $(L, d_L), (M, d_M)$ and (N, d_N) be DG complexes. We define the composite

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{Hom}}_{KC}^i(M, N) \otimes \underline{\text{Hom}}_{KC}^j(L, M) & \rightarrow & \underline{\text{Hom}}_{KC}^{i+j}(L, N) \\ \psi \otimes \varphi & \mapsto & \psi \circ \varphi \end{array}$$

by the relation

$$(\psi \circ \varphi)_{p,q}^\# = \sum_r \psi_{p,r}^\# \circ \varphi_{r,q}^\# \in \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}^{i+j}(L^q e^{-q}, N^p e^{-p}).$$

We can check that the above composite is a well defined homomorphism of complexes and associative.

- (5) Let $M = (\{M^i\}, \{d_{ij}\})$ be a DG complex. If $M^i = 0$ except for a finite number of i 's, it is called a bounded DG complex.

It is easy to check the following proposition.

Proposition 2.6. *Via the above differentials and the composites, the DG complexes in the DG category \mathcal{C} becomes a DG category.*

Definition 2.7 (DG category of DG complexes). *The DG category of DG complexes defined as above is denoted as $K\mathcal{C}$. The full sub DG category of bounded DG complexes in $K\mathcal{C}$ is denoted as $K^b\mathcal{C}$.*

3. TOPOLOGICAL MOTIVATION FOR SIMPLICIAL BAR COMPLEX

3.1. The space of marked path. Before introducing simplicial bar complexes, we give a topological motivation for Simplicial bar complexes. Let X be a connected C^∞ manifold with finite dimensional homology. For a sequence of integers $\alpha = (\alpha_0 < \cdots < \alpha_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^{n+1}$, we define an α -marking of \mathbf{R} by

$$t_{-, \alpha_0} \leq t_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1} \leq t_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \leq \cdots \leq t_{\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n} \leq t_{\alpha_n, +} \in \mathbf{R}.$$

For two indices $\alpha = (\alpha_0 < \cdots < \alpha_n)$ and $\beta = (\beta_0 < \cdots < \beta_m)$, we write $\alpha < \beta$ if $\alpha \subset \beta$. Let α, β be two indices such that $\alpha < \beta$. For an α -marking \mathbf{t} , we define a β -marking $\mathbf{u} = i_{\alpha, \beta}(\mathbf{t})$ by setting $u_{\beta_j \beta_{j+1}} = t_{\alpha_i \alpha_{i+1}}$ where $\alpha_i \leq \beta_j$ and $\beta_{j+1} \leq \alpha_{i+1}$. For example, if $\alpha = (0, 2), \beta = (0, 1, 2, 3)$, then we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \alpha_0 = 0 & & & & \alpha_1 = 2 & & \\ & & & & \parallel & & \\ \beta_0 = 0 & < & \beta_1 = 1 & < & \beta_2 = 2 & < & \beta_3 = 3 \end{array}$$

and we have $u_{-,0} = t_{-,0}$, $u_{0,1} = u_{1,2} = t_{0,2}$, $u_{2,3} = u_{3,+} = t_{2,+}$. The interval $[t_{\alpha_{i-1},\alpha_i}, t_{\alpha_i,\alpha_{i+1}}]$ (it might be a one point) is denoted as $I_{\alpha_i}(\mathbf{t})$. The intervals $(-\infty, t_{-, \alpha_0}]$ and $[t_{\alpha_n, +}, \infty)$ are denoted as $I_-(\mathbf{t})$ and $I_+(\mathbf{t})$, respectively.




Figure 1.

We define the set of α -marked path $P_\alpha(X)$ by

$$P_\alpha(X) = \{(\gamma, \mathbf{t}) \mid \mathbf{t} \text{ is an } \alpha\text{-marking of } \mathbf{R}, \\ \gamma : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X \text{ is a path such that} \\ \gamma(I_-(\mathbf{t})), \gamma(I_+(\mathbf{t})) \text{ are constants.}\}.$$

For a marked path $(\gamma, \mathbf{t}) \in P_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n}$, $\gamma(I_-(\mathbf{t}))$ and $\gamma(I_+(\mathbf{t}))$ are called the beginning point and the ending point, respectively. By evaluating the map at $t_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}}$, we have an evaluation map $ev_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n}$

$$P_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n}(X) \rightarrow X^{n+2} : (\gamma, \mathbf{t}) \mapsto (\gamma(t_{-, \alpha_0}), \gamma(t_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}), \dots, \gamma(t_{\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n}), \gamma(t_{\alpha_n, +}))$$

The product X^{n+2} of $(n+2)$ -copy of X appeared in $ev_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n}$ is denoted as

$$X_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n} = X^{\alpha_0} \times X^{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times X^{\alpha_{n-1}} \times X^{\alpha_n} \times X.$$

The coordinates of $X_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n}$ are denoted as

$$(\tau_{-, \alpha_0}, \tau_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}, \dots, \tau_{\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n}, \tau_{\alpha_n, +}).$$

We define $p_\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow X \times X$ by $\tau \mapsto (\tau_{-, \alpha_0}, \tau_{\alpha_n, +})$. We introduce a boundary maps $P_\alpha(X) \rightarrow P_\beta(X)$ for indices $\alpha < \beta$ using the map $i_{\alpha, \beta}$ defined as above. The map $f : X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\beta$ is defined by $(f(\tau))_{\beta_j \beta_{j+1}} = \tau_{\alpha_i \alpha_{i+1}}$ by choosing $\alpha_i \leq \beta_j$ and $\beta_{j+1} \leq \alpha_{i+1}$. Note that f is a composite of diagonal maps and it is compatible with the maps p_α, p_β . We have the following commutative diagram for evaluation maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ev_\alpha : P_\alpha(X) & \rightarrow & X_\alpha \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ ev_\beta : P_\beta(X) & \rightarrow & X_\beta \end{array}$$

3.2. Chain complex associated to the system of marked paths. The system $\{P_\alpha\}_\alpha$ and $\{X_\alpha\}_\alpha$ induces the following homomorphisms of double

complexes of singular chains by taking suitable summation with suitable sign.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{C}_\bullet(P_\bullet) : \prod_{\alpha_0} C_\bullet(P_{\alpha_0}(X)) & \rightarrow & \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1} C_\bullet(P_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1}(X)) & \rightarrow & \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2} C_\bullet(P_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \alpha_2}(X)) & \rightarrow & \dots \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathbf{C}_\bullet(X_\bullet) : \prod_{\alpha_0} C_\bullet(X_{\alpha_0}) & \rightarrow & \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1} C_\bullet(X_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1}) & \rightarrow & \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2} C_\bullet(X_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \alpha_2}) & \rightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

Moreover, \mathbf{R} -valued C^∞ forms on X_\bullet give rise to the following double complex:

$$\mathbf{A}^\bullet(X_\bullet) : \bigoplus_{\alpha_0} \mathbf{A}^\bullet(X_{\alpha_0}) \leftarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1} \mathbf{A}^\bullet(X_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1}) \leftarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2} \mathbf{A}^\bullet(X_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \alpha_2}) \rightarrow \dots$$

The following proposition is a consequence of Proposition 4.1.

Proposition 3.1. (1) *The associate simple complexes $C_\bullet(P_\bullet)$ and $C_\bullet(X_\bullet)$ of $\mathbf{C}_\bullet(P_\bullet)$ and $\mathbf{C}_\bullet(X_\bullet)$ are acyclic.*

(2) *The associate simple complex $\mathbf{A}^\bullet(X_\bullet)$ of $\mathbf{A}^\bullet(X_\bullet)$ is acyclic.*

Remark 3.2. *Let p, q be points of X , and $\epsilon_p, \epsilon_q : \mathbf{A}^\bullet(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be augmentations obtained by evaluation maps at p and q , respectively. Then the cohomology of*

$$\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{A}^\bullet, \epsilon_p} \mathbf{A}^\bullet(X_\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}^\bullet, \epsilon_q} \mathbf{R}$$

is canonically isomorphic to the dual of the nilpotent completion of $\mathbf{R}[\pi_1(X, p, q)]$, where $\pi_1(X, p, q)$ is the set of homotopy classes of paths connecting p and q .

4. SIMPLICIAL BAR COMPLEX

4.1. Definition of simplicial bar complex. Under the notation of the last section, $\mathbf{A}^\bullet(X_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n})$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathbf{A}^{\bullet \otimes (n+2)}(X) = \mathbf{A}^\bullet(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{A}^\bullet(X)$ and the restriction map $\mathbf{A}^\bullet(X_\beta) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^\bullet(X_\alpha)$ is compatible with a composite of multiplication maps of A . In this section, we define the simplicial bar complex of a differential graded algebra A by imitating the definition of $\mathbf{A}^\bullet(X_\bullet)$.

Let \mathbf{k} be a field and $A = \mathbf{A}^\bullet$ be a DGA (associative but might not be graded commutative) over \mathbf{k} . We define the free simplicial bar complex $B(A)$ of A as follows. For a sequence of integers $\alpha = (\alpha_0 < \dots < \alpha_n)$, we define $\mathbf{B}_\alpha(A)$ as a copy of $A^{\otimes (n+2)}$ which is written as

$$\mathbf{B}_\alpha(A) = A \overset{\alpha_0}{\otimes} A \overset{\alpha_1}{\otimes} \dots \overset{\alpha_{n-1}}{\otimes} A \overset{\alpha_n}{\otimes} A.$$

whose element will be written as $x_0 \overset{\alpha_0}{\otimes} x_1 \overset{\alpha_1}{\otimes} \dots \overset{\alpha_{n-1}}{\otimes} x_n \overset{\alpha_n}{\otimes} x_{n+1}$. The length of the index α is defined by n and denoted as $|\alpha|$. For an index $\beta = (\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{n-1})$, we denoted $\beta < \alpha$ if $\beta \subset \alpha$. We define a homomorphism $d_{\beta, \alpha} : \mathbf{B}_\alpha(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\beta(A)$ for $\beta = (\alpha_0, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_n)$ by

$$d_{\beta, \alpha}(x_0 \overset{\alpha_0}{\otimes} \dots \overset{\alpha_{i-1}}{\otimes} x_i \overset{\alpha_i}{\otimes} x_{i+1} \overset{\alpha_{i+1}}{\otimes} \dots \overset{\alpha_n}{\otimes} x_{n+1}) = (-1)^{n-i} x_0 \overset{\alpha_0}{\otimes} \dots \overset{\alpha_{i-1}}{\otimes} x_i \cdot x_{i+1} \overset{\alpha_{i+1}}{\otimes} \dots \overset{\alpha_n}{\otimes} x_{n+1},$$

where “ \cdot ” denotes the multiplication for the DGA A^\bullet . We define a homomorphism of complexes $d_{\mathbf{B}} : \bigoplus_{|\alpha|=n} \mathbf{B}_\alpha(A) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{|\beta|=n-1} \mathbf{B}_\beta(A)$ by

$$(4.1) \quad d_{\mathbf{B}} = \sum_{|\alpha|=n, |\beta|=n-1, \beta < \alpha} d_{\beta, \alpha}.$$

Then $d^2 = 0$ and we have the following double complex $\mathbf{B}(A)$:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{|\alpha|=2} \mathbf{B}_\alpha(A) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{|\alpha|=1} \mathbf{B}_\alpha(A) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{|\alpha|=0} \mathbf{B}_\alpha(A) \rightarrow 0$$

The free simplicial bar complex is defined as the associate simple complex of the above double complex and is denoted as $B(A)$. The inner differential of $\mathbf{B}_\alpha(A)$ is denoted as δ .

Proposition 4.1. *The complex $B(A)$ is an acyclic complex.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{B}_{>N}(A)$ be the sub double complex of $\mathbf{B}(A)$ defined by

$$\rightarrow \bigoplus_{N < \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2} \mathbf{B}_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2}(A) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{N < \alpha_0 < \alpha_1} \mathbf{B}_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}(A) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{N < \alpha_0} \mathbf{B}_{\alpha_0}(A) \rightarrow 0$$

Then we have the natural inclusion $i : \mathbf{B}_{>N}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{>N-1}(A)$. We define a map $\theta : \mathbf{B}_{>N}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{>N-1}(A)[-1]$

$$\theta : \bigoplus_{N < \alpha_0 < \cdots < \alpha_i} \mathbf{B}_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_i}(A) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{N-1 < \beta < \alpha_0 < \cdots < \alpha_i} \mathbf{B}_{\beta, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_i}(A)$$

by

$$\theta(1 \otimes^{\alpha_0} x_1 \otimes^{\alpha_1} \cdots \otimes^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_n \otimes^{\alpha_n} 1) = 1 \otimes^N 1 \otimes^{\alpha_0} x_1 \otimes^{\alpha_1} \cdots \otimes^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_n \otimes^{\alpha_n} 1.$$

Then we have $\theta \circ d + d \circ \theta = i(x)$. Therefore the double complex (for the differential d) $\mathbf{B}(A) = \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ N}} \mathbf{B}_{>N}(A)$ is an acyclic complex. Therefore its associate simple complex is also acyclic. \square

Remark 4.2. *Let X be a C^∞ manifold. Let $A = A^\bullet(X)$ be the DGA of C^∞ forms. Since X_α is isomorphic to the product of copies of X , the natural homomorphism $\mathbf{B}_\alpha(A) \rightarrow A^\bullet(X_\alpha)$ of complexes are quasi-isomorphism by K uneth formula. The homomorphisms $\mathbf{B}_\alpha(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\beta(A)$ and $A^\bullet(X_\alpha) \rightarrow A^\bullet(X_\beta)$ are compatible with the above quasi-isomorphisms. As a consequence, the natural homomorphism $B(A) \rightarrow A^\bullet(X_\bullet)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Proposition 3.1 follows from Proposition 4.1.*

We define left A right A action (A - A action for short) on the complex $\mathbf{B}_\alpha(A)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} A \otimes \mathbf{B}_\alpha(A) \otimes A &\rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\alpha \\ y \otimes (x_0 \otimes^{\alpha_0} x_1 \cdots x_n \otimes^{\alpha_n} x_{n+1}) \otimes z &\rightarrow (yx_0) \otimes^{\alpha_0} x_1 \cdots x_n \otimes^{\alpha_n} (x_{n+1}z). \end{aligned}$$

Since the differentials of $\mathbf{B}(A)$ are A - A homomorphisms, $\mathbf{B}(A)$ is an A - A module.

We introduce a bar filtration F_b^\bullet on $\mathbf{B}(A)$ as follows:

$$F_b^{-i} \mathbf{B}(A) : \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{|\alpha|=i} \mathbf{B}_\alpha(A) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{|\alpha|=0} \mathbf{B}_\alpha(A) \rightarrow 0$$

Then we have the following spectral sequence

$$E_1^{-i,p} = \bigoplus_{|\alpha|=i} \mathbf{B}_\alpha(H^\bullet(A))^p \Rightarrow E_\infty^{-i+p} = H^{-i+p}(B(A)).$$

This spectral sequence is called the bar spectral sequence.

4.2. Augmentations and coproduct. Let ϵ_1, ϵ_2 be two augmentations of $A = A^\bullet$, i.e. DGA homomorphisms $\epsilon_i : A \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$, where \mathbf{k} is the trivial DGA. We define $B(\epsilon_1 | A | \epsilon_2)$ by the associate simple complex of the double complex

$$\mathbf{B}(\epsilon_1 | A | \epsilon_2) = \mathbf{k} \otimes_{A, \epsilon_1} \mathbf{B}(A) \otimes_{A, \epsilon_2} \mathbf{k}.$$

Therefore the degree $-n$ part $\mathbf{B}(\epsilon_1 | A | \epsilon_2)_n$ of $\mathbf{B}(\epsilon_1 | A | \epsilon_2)$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{|\alpha|=n} \mathbf{B}_\alpha(\epsilon_1 | A | \epsilon_2)$ where

$$\mathbf{B}_\alpha(\epsilon_1 | A | \epsilon_2) = \mathbf{k} \otimes^{\alpha_0} A \otimes^{\alpha_1} \cdots \otimes^{\alpha_{n-1}} A \otimes^{\alpha_n} \mathbf{k}$$

and the differential (outer differential) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d(1 \otimes^{\alpha_0} x_1 \otimes^{\alpha_1} \cdots \otimes^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_n \otimes^{\alpha_n} 1) &= \epsilon_1(x_1) \otimes^{\alpha_1} x_2 \otimes^{\alpha_2} \cdots \otimes^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_n \otimes^{\alpha_n} 1 \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i 1 \otimes^{\alpha_0} x_1 \cdots \otimes^{\alpha_{i-1}} x_i x_{i+1} \otimes^{\alpha_{i+1}} \cdots \otimes^{\alpha_n} x_n \otimes^{\alpha_n} 1 \\ &\quad + (-1)^n 1 \otimes^{\alpha_0} x_1 \otimes^{\alpha_1} \cdots \otimes^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_{n-1} \otimes^{\alpha_{n-1}} \epsilon_2(x_n). \end{aligned}$$

As in the last subsection, we have the following bar spectral sequence

$$E_1^{-i,p} = \bigoplus_{|\alpha|=i} \mathbf{B}_\alpha(\epsilon_1 | H^\bullet(A) | \epsilon_2)^p \Rightarrow E_\infty^{-i+p} = H^{-i+p}(B(\epsilon_1 | A | \epsilon_2)).$$

We introduce a coproduct structure on $B(A)$. Let $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3$ be augmentations on A . Let $\alpha = (\alpha_0 < \cdots < \alpha_n)$ be a sequence of integers. We define Δ_{ϵ_2}

$$(4.2) \quad \Delta_{\epsilon_2} : \mathbf{B}(A) \rightarrow (\mathbf{B}(A) \otimes_{\epsilon_2} \mathbf{k}) \otimes (\mathbf{k} \otimes_{\epsilon_2} \mathbf{B}(A))$$

by

$$\Delta_{\epsilon_2}(x_0 \otimes^{\alpha_0} x_1 \otimes^{\alpha_1} \cdots \otimes^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_n \otimes^{\alpha_n} x_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^n (x_0 \otimes^{\alpha_0} \cdots \otimes^{\alpha_{i-1}} x_i \otimes^{\alpha_i} 1) \otimes (1 \otimes^{\alpha_i} x_{i+1} \otimes^{\alpha_{i+1}} \cdots \otimes^{\alpha_n} x_{n+1})$$

for an element in $\mathbf{B}_\alpha(A)$. This is a morphism in the category $KKVect_{\mathbf{k}}$. We introduce an $A - A$ module structure on the right hand side of (4.2) by

$$\begin{aligned} &y_1 \cdot (x_0 \otimes^{\alpha_0} \cdots \otimes^{\alpha_{i-1}} x_i \otimes^{\alpha_i} 1) \otimes (1 \otimes^{\alpha_i} x_{i+1} \otimes^{\alpha_{i+1}} \cdots \otimes^{\alpha_n} x_{n+1}) \cdot y_2 \\ &= ((y_1 x_0) \otimes^{\alpha_0} \cdots \otimes^{\alpha_{i-1}} x_i \otimes^{\alpha_i} 1) \otimes (1 \otimes^{\alpha_i} x_{i+1} \otimes^{\alpha_{i+1}} \cdots \otimes^{\alpha_n} (x_{n+1} y_2)) \end{aligned}$$

for $y_1, y_2 \in A$. We can prove the following by direct computation.

Proposition 4.3. (1) *The homomorphism Δ_{ϵ_2} is a homomorphism of complex of $KVect_{\mathbf{k}}$ and compatible with the A - A action. Therefore we have the following homomorphism in $KKVect_{\mathbf{k}}$:*

$$\Delta_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3} : \mathbf{B}(\epsilon_1 | A | \epsilon_3) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\epsilon_1 | A | \epsilon_2) \otimes \mathbf{B}(\epsilon_2 | A | \epsilon_3).$$

Using the isomorphism (1.1), we have the following morphism in $KVect_{\mathbf{k}}$:

$$\Delta_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3} : B(\epsilon_1 | A | \epsilon_3) \rightarrow B(\epsilon_1 | A | \epsilon_2) \otimes B(\epsilon_2 | A | \epsilon_3).$$

- (2) Let ϵ be an augmentation of A . For $\alpha = (\alpha_0)$, let $\epsilon_{\alpha_0} : \mathbf{B}_{\alpha_0} = \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ be the natural map. Then $u = \sum_{\alpha_0} \epsilon_{\alpha_0}$ defines a homomorphism of differential graded coalgebras $u : B(\epsilon | A | \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$.
- (3) The following composite maps are identity:

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} B(\epsilon_1 | A | \epsilon_2) &\xrightarrow{\Delta_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}} B(\epsilon_1 | A | \epsilon_1) \otimes B(\epsilon_1 | A | \epsilon_2) \\ &\xrightarrow{u^{\otimes 1}} B(\epsilon_1 | A | \epsilon_2) \\ B(\epsilon_1 | A | \epsilon_2) &\xrightarrow{\Delta_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_2}} B(\epsilon_1 | A | \epsilon_2) \otimes B(\epsilon_2 | A | \epsilon_2) \\ &\xrightarrow{1^{\otimes u}} B(\epsilon_1 | A | \epsilon_2) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3}$ is defined in (1).

Definition 4.4. (1) The map $\Delta_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3}$ defined in (1) of Proposition 4.3 is called the coproduct of $B(A)$. It is easy to see that the coproduct is coassociative. Thus $B(A)$ forms a DG coalgebroid over the set $Spaug(A)$ of augmentations of A .

- (2) The map $u : B(\epsilon | A | \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ defined in (2) of Proposition 4.3 is called the counit. In general, for an associative differential graded coalgebra B , the map u satisfying the properties as (4.3) is called a counit.

5. COMPARISON TO CHEN'S THEORY

In this section, we compare the simplicial bar complex defined as above and the reduced bar complex defined by Chen. Let $A = A^\bullet$ be a differential graded algebra over a field \mathbf{k} and $\epsilon : A \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ be an augmentation. Let $I = \text{Ker}(\epsilon : A \rightarrow \mathbf{k})$ be the augmentation ideal. We define the double complex $\mathbf{B}_{red}(A, \epsilon)$ as

$$\mathbf{B}_{red}(A, \epsilon) : \cdots \rightarrow I^{\otimes 3} \xrightarrow{d_{B,red}} I^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{d_{B,red}} I \xrightarrow{0} \mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0,$$

where the outer differential $d_{B,red} : I^{\otimes i} \rightarrow I^{\otimes(i-1)}$ is defined by

$$(5.1) \quad d_{B,red} : [x_1 | \cdots | x_i] \mapsto \sum_{p=1}^{i-1} (-1)^p [x_1 | \cdots | x_p x_{p+1} | \cdots | x_i].$$

Here an element $x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i$ in $I^{\otimes i}$ is denoted as $[x_1 | \cdots | x_i]$. The total complex $B_{red}(A, \epsilon)$ is called the Chen's reduced bar complex.

We define a degree preserving linear map $B(\epsilon | A | \epsilon) \rightarrow B_{red}(A, \epsilon)$ by

$$(5.2) \quad 1^{\alpha_0} \otimes x_1^{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} \otimes 1^{\alpha_n} \mapsto [\pi(x_1) | \cdots | \pi(x_{n-1})]$$

where $\pi(x) = x - \epsilon(x) \in I$ for an element $x \in A$.

Lemma 5.1. *It is a homomorphism of complexes of $KVect_{\mathbf{k}}$.*

We have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *The homomorphism of associate simple complexes $B(\epsilon | A | \epsilon) \rightarrow B_{red}(A, \epsilon)$ obtained from the map (5.2) is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof. First, we define the bar filtration $F_b^{-i} \mathbf{B}_{red}(A, \epsilon)$ on the double complex $\mathbf{B}_{red}(A, \epsilon)$ by

$$F_b^{-i} \mathbf{B}_{red}(A, \epsilon) : \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow I^{\otimes i} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow I \rightarrow \mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then the bar filtration on $B(\epsilon | A | \epsilon)$ and that on $B_{red}(A, \epsilon)$ are compatible and we have the following homomorphisms of spectral sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1^{-i,p} = \bigoplus_{|\alpha|=i} \mathbf{B}_{\alpha}(\epsilon | H^{\bullet}(A) | \epsilon)^p & \Rightarrow & E_{\infty}^{-i+p} = H^{-i+p}(B(\epsilon | A | \epsilon)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ {}'E_1^{-i,p} = (H^{\bullet}(I)^{\otimes i})^p & \Rightarrow & {}'E_{\infty}^{-i+p} = H^{-i+p}(B_{red}(A, \epsilon)) \end{array}$$

We prove that the vertical arrow coincides from E_2 -terms. E_1 -terms are the following complexes of graded vector spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2} B_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2} & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1} B_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1} & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_{\alpha_0} B_{\alpha_0} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \cdots & \rightarrow & H^{\bullet}(I)^{\otimes 2} & \rightarrow & H^{\bullet}(I)^{\otimes 1} & \rightarrow & \mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Here we used the abbreviation

$$B_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n} = \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes H^{\bullet}(A) \otimes \cdots \otimes H^{\bullet}(A) \otimes \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_n}.$$

We show that the vertical homomorphism of complexes are quasi-isomorphism. We have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n} &= \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes (H^{\bullet}(I) \oplus \mathbf{k}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (H^{\bullet}(I) \oplus \mathbf{k}) \otimes \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_n} \\ &= \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \bigoplus_{c \in C(n,k)} H^{\bullet}(I)^{\otimes k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C(n, k)$ is the subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ of cardinality k . Since the images of $H^{\bullet}(I) \otimes H^{\bullet}(A)$ and $H^{\bullet}(A) \otimes H^{\bullet}(I)$ under the multiplication is contained in $H^{\bullet}(I)$, the following filtration G on $(E_1^{-i, \bullet}, d_1)_i$ and $({}'E_1^{-i, \bullet}, d_1)_i$ defines a subcomplexes:

$$\begin{aligned} G^{-l} E_1^{-i, \bullet} &= \bigoplus_{k=0}^l \bigoplus_{c \in C(n,k)} H^{\bullet}(I)^{\otimes k}, \\ G^{-l} {}'E_1^{-i, \bullet} &= \begin{cases} H^{\bullet}(I)^{\otimes i} & (i \leq l) \\ 0 & (i > l) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the associate graded complexes of $E_1^{-i, \bullet} \rightarrow {}'E_1^{-i, \bullet}$ are

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_{\substack{|\alpha|=l+1, \\ c \in C(l+1,l)}} H^{\bullet}(I)^{\otimes l} & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_{\substack{|\alpha|=l, \\ c \in C(l,l)}} H^{\bullet}(I)^{\otimes l} & \rightarrow & 0 \rightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \cdots & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & H^{\bullet}(I)^{\otimes l} & \rightarrow & 0 \rightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

We consider an algebra $\mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}x$ such that $x^2 = 0$. We define the complex $K_{m,l}$ by

$$(5.4) \quad \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{|\alpha|=l+2, \\ \alpha \subset [1,m], \\ c \in C(l+2,l)}} \mathbf{k}t_{\alpha,c} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{|\alpha|=l+1, \\ \alpha \subset [1,m], \\ c \in C(l+1,l)}} \mathbf{k}t_{\alpha,c} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{|\alpha|=l, \\ \alpha \subset [1,m], \\ c \in C(l,l)}} \mathbf{k}t_{\alpha,c} \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$t_{\alpha,c} = 1 \otimes^{\alpha_0} u_1 \otimes^{\alpha_1} \cdots \otimes^{\alpha_{k-1}} u_k \otimes^{\alpha_k} 1,$$

$$\text{with } u_i = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } i \in c, \\ 1 & \text{if } i \notin c. \end{cases}$$

The differential is similar to that of simplicial bar complex. Then there is a natural inclusion $K_{m,l} \rightarrow K_{m+1,l}$ and a map $\epsilon_m : K_{m,l} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$.

- Proposition 5.3.** (1) *The map $\epsilon_l : K_{l,l} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is an isomorphism.*
(2) *The natural inclusion $K_{m,l} \rightarrow K_{m+1,l}$ is a quasi-isomorphism for $m \geq l$.*
(3) *The complex $K_{m,l} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is an acyclic complex for $l \leq m$.*

Proof. We prove the proposition by the induction on l . The statement (1) is direct from the definitions. The statement (3) follows from the statement (1) and (2). We prove the statement (2) for l assuming the statement (3) for $l-1$. The cokernel of the complex $\text{Coker}(K_{m,l} \rightarrow K_{m+1,l})$ is isomorphic to

$$\cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{|\alpha|=k+1, \\ \alpha \subset [1,m+1], \\ \alpha_{k+1}=m+1}} \left(\begin{array}{c} \bigoplus_{c \in C(k,l)} \mathbf{k}t'_{\alpha,c} \\ \bigoplus \\ \bigoplus_{c \in C(k,l-1)} \mathbf{k}t''_{\alpha,c} \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{d} \bigoplus_{\substack{|\alpha|=k, \\ \alpha \subset [1,m+1], \\ \alpha_k=m+1}} \left(\begin{array}{c} \bigoplus_{c \in C(k-1,l)} \mathbf{k}t'_{\alpha,c} \\ \bigoplus \\ \bigoplus_{c \in C(k-1,l-1)} \mathbf{k}t''_{\alpha,c} \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \cdots$$

where

$$t'_{\alpha,c} = 1 \otimes^{\alpha_0} u_1 \otimes^{\alpha_1} \cdots \otimes^{\alpha_{k-1}} u_k \otimes^{\alpha_k} 1 \otimes^{m+1} 1,$$

$$t''_{\alpha,c} = 1 \otimes^{\alpha_0} u_1 \otimes^{\alpha_1} \cdots \otimes^{\alpha_{k-1}} u_k \otimes^{\alpha_k} x \otimes^{m+1} 1,$$

$$\text{with } u_i = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } i \in c, \\ 1 & \text{if } i \notin c \end{cases}$$

Thus it is isomorphic to the cone of a complex homomorphism $K_{m,l} \rightarrow K_{m,l-1}$ by considering the both cases for $u_k = 1, x$. Since $K_{m,l} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ and $K_{m,l-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is quasi-isomorphism by the induction hypothesis for (m, l) and $(m, l-1)$, we have the statement (2). \square

Proof of Theorem 5.2. Since the diagram (5.3) is obtained from (5.4) by tensoring $H^\bullet(I)^{\otimes l}$ and taking the inductive limit on m , the homomorphism of associate graded complex of $E_1^{-i, \bullet} \rightarrow' E_1^{-i, \bullet}$ is a quasi-isomorphism by Proposition 5.3. This proves the theorem. \square

Remark 5.4. *Since the spectral sequence associated to the filtration G is isomorphic from E_2 terms, the induced filtration on $H^i(B(\epsilon | A | \epsilon))$ and that on $H^i(B_{red}(A, \epsilon))$ are equal.*

6. SIMPLICIAL BAR COMPLEX AND DG CATEGORY $K\mathcal{C}_A$

6.1. Definition of comodules over DG coalgebras. In this section, we prove that the DG category of comodules over the simplicial bar complex $B(\epsilon | A | \epsilon)$ is homotopy equivalent to the DG category $K\mathcal{C}_A$.

Let B be a coassociative differential graded coalgebra over \mathbf{k} with a counit $u : B \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$. The comultiplication $B \rightarrow B \otimes B$ is written as Δ_B .

Definition 6.1 (DG category of B comodules). (1) *A \mathbf{k} -complex M with a homomorphism Δ_M of complexes*

$$\Delta_M : M \rightarrow B \otimes M$$

is called a (left) B -comodule if the following properties hold.

(a) **COASSOCIATIVITY** *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\Delta_M} & B \otimes M \\ \Delta_M \downarrow & & \downarrow 1 \otimes \Delta_M \\ B \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\Delta_B \otimes 1} & B \otimes B \otimes M. \end{array}$$

(b) **COUNTARITY** *The composite homomorphism $M \xrightarrow{\Delta_M} B \otimes M \xrightarrow{u \otimes 1} M$ is the identity of M .*

(2) *Let M, N be B -comodules. We define the complex of homomorphisms $\underline{Hom}_{B-com}^\bullet(M, N)$ by the associate simple complex of $\underline{Hom}_{B-com}^\bullet(M, N)$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{Hom}_{B-com}^\bullet(M) : \underline{Hom}_{KV\text{ec}_{\mathbf{k}}}(M, N) &\xrightarrow{d_H} \underline{Hom}_{KV\text{ec}_{\mathbf{k}}}(M, B \otimes N) \\ &\xrightarrow{d_H} \underline{Hom}_{KV\text{ec}_{\mathbf{k}}}(M, B \otimes B \otimes N) \xrightarrow{d_H} \dots \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\underline{Hom}_{KV\text{ec}_{\mathbf{k}}}(M, B^{\otimes n} \otimes N) \xrightarrow{d_H} \underline{Hom}_{KV\text{ec}_{\mathbf{k}}}(M, B^{\otimes(n+1)} \otimes N)$$

is defined by

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} d_H \varphi &= (-1)^{n+1} (1_B \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta_M \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{n-i+1} (1_B^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes \Delta_B \otimes 1_B^{\otimes(n-i)} \otimes 1_N) \circ \varphi \\ &+ (1_B^{\otimes n} \otimes \Delta_N) \circ \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

(3) *Let L, M, N be B -comodules. We define the composite morphism*

$$\mu : \underline{Hom}_{B-com}(M, N) \otimes \underline{Hom}_{B-com}(L, M) \rightarrow \underline{Hom}_{B-com}(L, N)$$

by $\sum_{i,j \geq 0} \mu_{ij}$, where the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{ij} : \underline{Hom}_{KV\text{eck}}(M, B^{\otimes i} \otimes N)e^{-i} \otimes \underline{Hom}_{KV\text{eck}}(L, B^{\otimes j} \otimes M)e^{-j} \\ \rightarrow \underline{Hom}_{KV\text{eck}}(L, B^{\otimes(i+j)} \otimes M)e^{-i-j} \end{aligned}$$

is defined by $\mu_{i,j}(f \otimes g) = (1_B^{\otimes j} \otimes f) \circ g$.

Proposition 6.2. *The category of B -comodules (B -com) forms a DG-category by setting*

- (1) *the complex of homomorphism by $\underline{Hom}_{B\text{-com}}^\bullet(\bullet, \bullet)$, and*
- (2) *the composite homomorphism by μ . The composite is denoted as “ \circ ”.*

Proof. To show that the multiplication homomorphism μ is a homomorphism of complex, it is enough to consider the outer differentials. Let

$$f \otimes g \in \underline{Hom}_{KV\text{eck}}^\bullet(M, B^{\otimes i} \otimes N) \otimes \underline{Hom}_{KV\text{eck}}^\bullet(L, B^{\otimes j} \otimes M)$$

and d_H be the outer differential. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(f \circ g) &= (-1)^{n+1} (1_B^{\otimes(j+1)} \otimes f) \circ (1_B \otimes g) \circ \Delta_L \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{n-p+1} (1_B^{\otimes(p-1)} \otimes \Delta_B \otimes 1_B^{\otimes(n-p)} \otimes 1_N) \circ (1_B^{\otimes j} \otimes f) \circ g \\ &\quad + (1_B^{\otimes n} \otimes \Delta_N) \circ (1_B^{\otimes j} \otimes f) \circ g \\ &= (-1)^{i+j+1} (1_B^{\otimes(j+1)} \otimes f) \circ (1_B \otimes g) \circ \Delta_L \\ &\quad + (-1)^i \sum_{p=1}^j (-1)^{j-p+1} (1_B^{\otimes(j+1)} \otimes f) \circ (1_B^{\otimes(p-1)} \otimes \Delta_B \otimes 1_B^{\otimes(j-p)} \otimes 1_N) \circ g \\ &\quad + (-1)^i (1_B^{\otimes(j+1)} \otimes f) \circ (1_B^{\otimes j} \otimes \Delta_M) \circ g \\ &\quad + (-1)^{i+1} (1_B^{\otimes(j+1)} \otimes f) \circ (1_B^{\otimes j} \otimes \Delta_M) \circ g \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=1}^i (-1)^{i-q+1} (1_B^{\otimes(q+j-1)} \otimes \Delta_B \otimes 1_B^{\otimes(i-q)} \otimes 1_N) \circ (1_B^{\otimes j} \otimes f) \circ g \\ &\quad + (1_B^{\otimes(i+j)} \otimes \Delta_N) \circ (1_B^{\otimes j} \otimes f) \circ g \\ &= (-1)^i f \circ d_H(g) + d_H(f) \circ g. \end{aligned}$$

The associativity for the composite can be proved similarly. \square

Let A be a DGA and $\epsilon : A \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ be an augmentation. Until the end of this subsection, let $B = B(\epsilon \mid A \mid \epsilon)$ be the simplicial bar complex and $B_{red} = B_{red}(A, \epsilon)$ be the reduced bar complex for the augmentation ϵ . Let $\pi_\alpha : B \rightarrow \mathbf{k} \overset{\alpha}{\otimes} \mathbf{k}$ be the projection.

Definition 6.3 ($((B\text{-com})^{red,b})$). *Let $S \subset \mathbf{Z}$ a subset of \mathbf{Z} . A B -comodule M is said to be supported on S if and only if the composite*

$$M \xrightarrow{\Delta_M} B \otimes M \xrightarrow{\pi_\alpha \otimes 1_M} \mathbf{k} \overset{\alpha}{\otimes} \mathbf{k} \otimes M$$

is zero if $\alpha \notin S$. A module M supported on a finite set S is called a bounded B comodule. The class of objects in the DG category $(B\text{-com})^{\text{red},b}$ is defined by bounded B comodules and the complex of morphisms from M to N is defined by $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{B_{\text{red-com}}}(M_{\text{red}}, N_{\text{red}})$. Here M_{red} and N_{red} are the B_{red} -comodules induced by M and N .

The rest of this section is spent to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6.4 (Main Theorem). *DG categories $K^b\mathcal{C}_A$ and $(B\text{-com})^{\text{red},b}$ are homotopy equivalent.*

6.2. Correspondences on objects. We construct a one to one correspondence φ from the class of objects of $(K^b\mathcal{C}_A)$ to that of objects of $(B\text{-com})^{\text{red},b}$. In this section, we simply denote \mathbf{B}_α and B for $\mathbf{B}_\alpha(\epsilon | A | \epsilon)$ and $B(\epsilon | A | \epsilon)$, respectively.

6.2.1. Definition of $\varphi : \text{ob}(K^b\mathcal{C}_A) \rightarrow \text{ob}(B\text{-com})^{\text{red},b}$. Let $M = (M^i, d_{ij})$ be an object of $K^b\mathcal{C}_A$, where $M^i \in \mathcal{C}_A$. We set $s(M)$ by the graded vector space $\bigoplus_i M^i e^{-i}$. The morphism $d_{ij} \in \underline{\text{Hom}}_{KV\text{ec}_{\mathbf{k}}}^{j-i+1}(M^j, A^\bullet \otimes M^i)$ defines an element

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_{ji} &= d_{ij} \otimes t_{-i,-j} \in \underline{\text{Hom}}_{KV\text{ec}_{\mathbf{k}}}^1(M^j e^{-j}, A^\bullet \otimes M^i e^{-i}) \\ &= \underline{\text{Hom}}_{KV\text{ec}_{\mathbf{k}}}^1(M^j e^{-j}, (\mathbf{k} \overset{j}{\otimes} A^\bullet \overset{i}{\otimes} \mathbf{k}) \otimes M^i e^{-i}) \\ &= \underline{\text{Hom}}_{KV\text{ec}_{\mathbf{k}}}^1(M^j e^{-j}, \mathbf{B}_{ji} \otimes M^i e^{-i}). \end{aligned}$$

We consider the following linear map

$$M^k e^{-k} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}_{kj}} \mathbf{B}_{kj} \otimes M^j e^{-j} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mathbf{D}_{ji}} \mathbf{B}_{kji} \otimes M^i e^{-i} \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes 1} \mathbf{B}_{ki} \otimes M^i e^{-i},$$

where μ is the multiplication map. The condition (2.2) is equivalent to the relation

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sum_{j:k < j < i} (\mu \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \mathbf{D}_{ji}) \mathbf{D}_{kj} \\ &+ (d_A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_{M^i}) \mathbf{D}_{ki} + \mathbf{D}_{ki} d_{M^k} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

in $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{KV\text{ec}_{\mathbf{k}}}^2(M^k e^{-k}, \mathbf{B}_{ki} \otimes M^i e^{-i})$.

We set $s(M) = \bigoplus_i M^i e^{-i}$. Then the sum $\mathbf{D} = \sum_{j < i} \mathbf{D}_{j,i}$ defines an element in $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}}^1(s(M), A^\bullet \otimes s(M))$. By composing $\epsilon \otimes 1 \in \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}}^0(A^\bullet \otimes s(M), s(M))$, we have

$$(6.4) \quad D_\epsilon = (\epsilon \otimes 1) \mathbf{D} \in \underline{\text{Hom}}_{KV\text{ec}_{\mathbf{k}}}^1(s(M), s(M)).$$

Lemma 6.5. *We set $d_M = \sum_i d_{M^i}$. Then $\delta_M = d_M + D_\epsilon$ defines a differential on $s(M) = \bigoplus_i M^i e^{-i}$.*

Proof. By (6.3), we have

$$(\mu \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \mathbf{D}) \mathbf{D} + (d_A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_M) \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{D} d_M = 0$$

By the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
s(M) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}} & A^\bullet \otimes s(M) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon \otimes 1} & s(M) \\
& & \downarrow 1 \otimes \mathbf{D} & & \downarrow \mathbf{D} \\
& & A^\bullet \otimes A^\bullet \otimes s(M) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon \otimes 1 \otimes 1} & A^\bullet \otimes s(M) \\
& & \downarrow \mu \otimes 1 & & \downarrow \epsilon \otimes 1 \\
& & A^\bullet \otimes s(M) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon \otimes 1} & s(M),
\end{array}$$

we have $(\epsilon \otimes 1)(\mu \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \mathbf{D})\mathbf{D} = D_\epsilon^2$, and by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \otimes s(M) & \xrightarrow{d_A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_M} & A \otimes s(M) \\
\epsilon \otimes 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon \otimes 1 \\
s(M) & \xrightarrow{d_M} & s(M),
\end{array}$$

we have $(\epsilon \otimes 1)(d_A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_M) = d_M(\epsilon \otimes 1)$. Therefore we have $(d_M + D_\epsilon)^2 = 0$ \square

Definition 6.6. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_0 < \dots < \alpha_n)$ be a sequence of integers. We define $\mathbf{D}_\alpha = \mathbf{D}_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n} \in \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}_k}^n(M^{\alpha_0}e^{-\alpha_0}, \mathbf{B}_\alpha \otimes M^{\alpha_n}e^{-\alpha_n})$ inductively by the following composite homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned}
M^{\alpha_0}e^{-\alpha_0} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}}} \mathbf{B}_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}} \otimes M^{\alpha_{n-1}}e^{-\alpha_{n-1}} \\
& \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n}} (\mathbf{B}_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}} \otimes^{\alpha_{n-1}} A^\bullet) \otimes M^{\alpha_n}e^{-\alpha_n} = \mathbf{B}_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n} \otimes M^{\alpha_n}e^{-\alpha_n}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}_k}^n(M^{\alpha_0}e^{-\alpha_0}, \mathbf{B}_\alpha \otimes M^{\alpha_n}e^{-\alpha_n}) & \rightarrow & \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}_k}^0(M^{\alpha_0}e^{-\alpha_0}, \mathbf{B}_\alpha e^n \otimes M^{\alpha_n}e^{-\alpha_n}) \\
\varphi & \mapsto & (1_A^{\otimes n} \otimes t^{-n} \otimes 1)\varphi
\end{array}$$

the element corresponding to \mathbf{D}_α is denoted by D_α . We set

$$\Delta = \sum_{\alpha} D_\alpha : s(M) \rightarrow B \otimes s(M).$$

Proposition 6.7. (1) The map Δ is a homomorphism of complexes.

(2) The above homomorphism Δ defines a B -comodule structure on $s(M)$.

Proof. For the proof of (2), the coassociativity and counitarity is easy to check, so we omit the proof. We prove the statement (1). We compute the right hand side of the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned}
& dD_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n}(x) \\
(6.5) \quad & = (d_{\mathbf{B}} \otimes t \otimes 1_M)D_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n}(x)
\end{aligned}$$

$$(6.6) \quad + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (1_A^{\otimes i} \otimes d_A \otimes 1_A^{\otimes(n-i-1)} \otimes 1_M)D_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n}(x)$$

$$(6.7) \quad + (1_A^{\otimes n} \otimes d_{s(M)})D_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n}(x).$$

Here $d_{\mathbf{B}}$ is the outer differential defined in (4.1). Then the term (6.5) is equal to

$$(d_{\mathbf{B}} \otimes t \otimes 1_M)D_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n}(x) =$$

$$(1_A^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes t \otimes 1_M)(\epsilon \otimes 1_A^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes 1_M)D_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n}(x)$$

$$+ (1^{\otimes n-1} \otimes t \otimes 1_M) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i (1^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes \mu \otimes 1^{\otimes(n-i-1)} \otimes 1_M)D_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n}(x)$$

$$+ (-1)^n (1^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes t \otimes 1_M)(1^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes \epsilon \otimes 1_M)D_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n}(x).$$

We define a map

$$\mathbf{E}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}; \alpha_i; \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_k}^{n+1}(M^{\alpha_0} e^{-\alpha_0}, \mathbf{B}_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n} \otimes M^{\alpha_n} e^{-\alpha_n})$$

by the composite

$$M^{\alpha_0} e^{-\alpha_0} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_i}} \mathbf{B}_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_i} \otimes M^{\alpha_i} e^{-\alpha_i} \xrightarrow{1_A^{\otimes i} \otimes d_M^{\alpha_i}} \mathbf{B}_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_i} \otimes M^{\alpha_i} e^{-\alpha_i} \\ \xrightarrow{1_A^{\otimes i} \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_n}} \mathbf{B}_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n} \otimes M^{\alpha_n} e^{-\alpha_n}.$$

Then by the relation (6.3), we have

$$\sum_{\alpha_i < \beta < \alpha_{i+1}} (1_A^{\otimes i} \otimes \mu \otimes 1_A^{\otimes(n-i)} \otimes 1_M) \mathbf{D}_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_i, \beta, \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_n} \\ = - \mathbf{E}(\alpha_0, \dots; \alpha_i; \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_n) - \mathbf{E}(\alpha_0, \dots; \alpha_{i+1}; \alpha_{i+2}, \dots, \alpha_n) \\ + (-1)^{n-i+1} (1_A^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes d_A \otimes 1_A^{\otimes(n-i-1)} \otimes 1_M) \mathbf{D}_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_n}$$

and therefore the term (6.9) is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\alpha_0 < \dots < \alpha_i < \alpha_{i+1} < \alpha_{i+2} < \dots < \alpha_n} (-1)^i (1_A^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes t \otimes 1_M) \\ (1_A^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes \mu \otimes 1_A^{\otimes(n-i-1)} \otimes 1_M) D_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+2}, \dots, \alpha_n} =$$

$$\sum_{\alpha_0 < \dots < \alpha_{n-1}} D_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}} d_M$$

$$- \sum_{\alpha_0 < \dots < \alpha_{n-1}} (1^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes d_M) D_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}}$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\alpha_0 < \dots < \alpha_{n-1}} (1_A^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes d_A \otimes 1_A^{\otimes(n-i-1)} \otimes 1_M) D_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}}.$$

Since

$$(6.7) + (6.10) + (6.12) = 0, \quad (6.8) + (6.11) = \Delta \delta_M,$$

$$(6.6) + (6.13) = 0,$$

we have $\Delta\delta_M = d\Delta$. □

6.2.2. *Definition of $\psi : ob(B-com)^{red,b} \rightarrow ob(K^b\mathcal{C}_A)$.* Let N be a B -comodule. By the homomorphism $\Delta : N \rightarrow B \otimes N$, we have degree preserving linear maps

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^{(0)} : N &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha_0} \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes N, \\ \Delta^{(1)} : N &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1} \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes A^{\alpha_1} \otimes Ne, \\ \Delta^{(2)} : N &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2} \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes A^{\alpha_1} \otimes A^{\alpha_2} \otimes Ne^2.\end{aligned}$$

The α_0 -component, the (α_0, α_1) -component and the $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ -component of $\Delta^{(0)}$, $\Delta^{(1)}$ and $\Delta^{(2)}$ are denoted as p_{α_0} , D_{α_0, α_1} and $D_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2}$, respectively.

Lemma 6.8. (1) *The linear maps p_{α_0} are complete projection orthogonal to each other. Moreover these orthogonal projections defines a direct sum decomposition of N .*

(2) *We have the following equalities*

$$(6.14) \quad \begin{aligned}(1 \otimes p_{\alpha_1})D_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1} &= D_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1} = D_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}p_{\alpha_0}, \\ D_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2} &= (1 \otimes D_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})D_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since the composite map $N \xrightarrow{\Delta} B \otimes N \xrightarrow{\epsilon \otimes 1} N$ is the identity, we have $\sum_{\alpha_0} p_{\alpha_0} = id_N$. For $x \in N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\Delta_B \otimes 1)(\Delta_N^{(0)}(x)) &= \sum_{\alpha_0} (\Delta_B \otimes 1)((1 \otimes^{\alpha_0} 1) \otimes p_{\alpha_0}(x)) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha_0} (1 \otimes^{\alpha_0} 1) \otimes (1 \otimes^{\alpha_0} 1) \otimes p_{\alpha_0}(x)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(1 \otimes \Delta_N^{(0)})(\Delta_N^{(0)}(x)) &= \sum_{\alpha_0} (1 \otimes \Delta_N^{(0)})((1 \otimes^{\alpha_0} 1) \otimes p_{\alpha_0}(x)) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1} (1 \otimes^{\alpha_0} 1) \otimes (1 \otimes^{\alpha_1} 1) \otimes p_{\alpha_1}p_{\alpha_0}(x).\end{aligned}$$

Thus by the coassociativity, p_{α_0} is a complete system of orthogonal projection.

We show the second statement. For $x \in N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\Delta_B \otimes 1)(D_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}(x)) &= (1 \otimes^{\alpha_0} 1) \otimes D_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}(x) + D_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}(x) \otimes (1 \otimes^{\alpha_1} 1) \\ &\in (\mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes \mathbf{k}) \otimes (\mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes A^{\alpha_1} \otimes \mathbf{k}) \otimes N \\ &\oplus (\mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes A^{\alpha_1} \otimes \mathbf{k}) \otimes (\mathbf{k}^{\alpha_1} \otimes \mathbf{k}) \otimes N\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(1 \otimes \Delta^{(0)})(D_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}(x)) &= \sum_{\gamma} (1 \otimes p_{\gamma})(D_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}(x)) \otimes (1 \otimes^{\gamma} 1) \\ &\in (\mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes A^{\alpha_1} \otimes N) \otimes (\mathbf{k}^{\gamma} \otimes \mathbf{k}) = (\mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes A^{\alpha_1} \otimes \mathbf{k}) \otimes (\mathbf{k}^{\gamma} \otimes \mathbf{k}) \otimes N\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes \Delta^{(1)})((1 \overset{\alpha_0}{\otimes} 1) \otimes p_{\alpha_0}(x)) &= \sum_{\beta, \gamma} (1 \overset{\alpha_0}{\otimes} 1) \otimes D_{\beta, \gamma}(p_{\alpha_0}(x)) \\ &\in (\mathbf{k} \overset{\alpha_0}{\otimes} \mathbf{k}) \otimes (\mathbf{k} \overset{\beta}{\otimes} A \overset{\gamma}{\otimes} \mathbf{k}) \otimes N. \end{aligned}$$

By comparing the direct sum component, we have the lemma.

As for the equality (6.14), we consider the

$$(\mathbf{k} \overset{\alpha_0}{\otimes} A \overset{\alpha_1}{\otimes} \mathbf{k}) \otimes (\mathbf{k} \overset{\alpha_1}{\otimes} A \overset{\alpha_2}{\otimes} \mathbf{k}) \otimes N$$

part of $(\Delta_B \otimes 1)\Delta = (1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta$. The component of $(\Delta_B \otimes 1)\Delta(x)$ is equal to $D_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2}(x)$. On the other hand, this component of $(1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ is equal to $(1 \otimes D_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})D_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}$. \square

Now we construct the correspondence ψ . Let M^i be the direct sum component of the complex Ne^i associated to the projector p_i . We assume that N is a bounded B comodule. We make an object $(\{M^i\}, \{d_{ji}\})$ of $K^b\mathcal{C}_A$ from the sequence of complex M^i . By (2) of the above lemma, D_{α_0, α_1} is regarded as a degree zero linear map $M^{\alpha_0}e^{-\alpha_0} \rightarrow (A \otimes M^{\alpha_1})e^{-\alpha_1+1}$. Therefore D_{α_0, α_1} defines an element of $d_{\alpha_1, \alpha_0} \in \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^1(M^{\alpha_0}e^{-\alpha_0}, M^{\alpha_1}e^{-\alpha_1})$.

Proposition 6.9. *The pair $(M^{\alpha_0}, d_{\alpha_1, \alpha_0})$ defined as above is a bounded DG complex in \mathcal{C}_A .*

Proof. It is enough to show the relation (6.3). It is obtained by considering the $(\mathbf{k} \overset{\alpha_0}{\otimes} A \overset{\alpha_2}{\otimes} \mathbf{k}) \otimes M^{\alpha_2}$ -component of the equality $\Delta(dx) = d(\Delta(x))$ restricted to M^{α_0} . \square

6.3. The functor $\psi : (B - com)^{red, b} \rightarrow K^b\mathcal{C}_A$ on Morphisms.

6.3.1. *B-comodules and morphisms in $K\mathcal{C}_A$.* In this section, we construct the functor $\psi : (B - com)^{red, b} \rightarrow K^b\mathcal{C}_A$ for morphisms in Theorem 6.4. In this subsection, we set $B_{red} = B_{red}(A, \epsilon)$.

Definition 6.10. (1) *Let p be the projector $B_{red} \rightarrow Ie$ and $p_{n, \beta}$ be the homomorphism*

$$p_{n, \beta} : B_{red}^{\otimes n} \otimes Ne^{-n} \rightarrow I \otimes \cdots \otimes I \otimes N^{\beta}e^{-\beta}$$

defined by $p_{n, \beta} = p \otimes \cdots \otimes p \otimes p_{\beta}$.

(2) *Let M, N be objects in $(B - com)^{red, b}$, $\psi(M) = \{M^i\}$, $\psi(N) = \{N^i\}$ the corresponding objects in $K^b\mathcal{C}_A$ and $f = \sum_n f^{(n)}$ an element in*

$$\underline{Hom}_{B_{red} - com}^i(M_{red}, N_{red}) = \oplus_n \underline{Hom}_{KVect_{\mathbf{k}}}^i(M, B_{red}^{\otimes n} \otimes Ne^{-n})$$

For integers $\alpha < \beta, n \geq 0$ we define a homomorphism

$$\Psi(f)_{\alpha, \beta}^{(n)} \in \underline{Hom}_{KVect_{\mathbf{k}}}^i(M^{\alpha}e^{-\alpha}, A \otimes N^{\beta}e^{-\beta})$$

by the composite

$$\begin{aligned} M^{\alpha_0} e^{-\alpha_0} &\rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f^{(n)}} B_{red}^{\otimes n} \otimes N e^{-n} \\ &\xrightarrow{p_{n,\beta}} I \otimes \dots \otimes I \otimes N^\beta e^{-\beta} \\ &\xrightarrow{(product)^{\otimes 1}} A \otimes N^\beta e^{-\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Let f an element in $\underline{Hom}_{B_{red-com}}^i(M_{red}, N_{red})$. We define an element $\psi(f)$ of $\underline{Hom}_{KC_A}^i(\psi(M), \psi(N))$ by

$$\psi(f)_{\alpha,\beta} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \Psi(f)_{\alpha,\beta}^{(n)}.$$

Proposition 6.11. (1) Let M, N be objects $(B-com)^{red,b}$. Then the map

$$\psi : \underline{Hom}_{B_{red-com}}^\bullet(M_{red}, N_{red}) \rightarrow \underline{Hom}_{KC_A}^\bullet(\psi(M), \psi(N))$$

is a homomorphism of complex and quasi-isomorphism.

(2) The above map is compatible with composite, that is, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{Hom}_{B_{red-com}}(M_{red}, N_{red}) \otimes \underline{Hom}_{B_{red-com}}(L_{red}, M_{red}) & \rightarrow & \underline{Hom}_{B_{red-com}}(L_{red}, N_{red}) \\ \psi \otimes \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ \underline{Hom}_{KC_A}(\psi(M), \psi(N)) \otimes \underline{Hom}_{KC_A}(\psi(L), \psi(M)) & \rightarrow & \underline{Hom}_{KC_A}(\psi(L), \psi(N)) \end{array}$$

Proof. Let M, N be objects in $(B-com)^{red,b}$ and set $\psi(M) = \{M_i, \partial_{M,ij}\}$ and $\psi(N) = \{N_i, \partial_{N,ij}\}$. Then we have $\partial_{M,ij} = d_{M,ij} + \delta_{M,ij}$, where

$$d_{M,ij} = p_j \circ d \circ \iota_i : M^i \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{d} M \rightarrow M^j$$

$$\delta_{M,ij} = (p \otimes p_j) \circ \Delta_{M_{red}} \circ \iota_i : M^i \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\Delta_{M_{red}}} B_{red} \otimes M \rightarrow I \otimes M^j.$$

Let d_H be the outer differential (6.1) for $\underline{Hom}_{B_{red-com}}(M, N)$ and

$$d_{B^{\otimes n}}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n (1_B^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes d_{B,red} \otimes 1_B^{\otimes(n-i)} \otimes N) \circ f \in \underline{Hom}(M, B_{red}^{\otimes n} \otimes N),$$

where $d_{B,red}$ is defined in (5.1). To prove the compatibility of differential for the map ψ , it is enough to show the following equality.

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi(d_H(f) + d_{B^{\otimes n}}(f))_{pq} \\ &= \sum_{p < k < q} (\mu \otimes 1_{N^q}) \{ (1_A \otimes \delta_{N,kq}) \psi(f)_{pk} - (-1)^i (1_A \otimes \psi(f)_{kq}) \delta_{M,pk} \}. \end{aligned}$$

We can check this equality by the definition of ψ . As for the compatibility of composite, it is enough to check the equality:

$$\psi(f \circ g)_{pq} = \sum_{p < k < q} (\mu \otimes 1_{N^q}) (1_A \otimes \psi(f)_{kq}) \psi(g)_{pk}.$$

This equality also follows from the definition of ψ .

To show that the homomorphism ψ is a quasi-isomorphism, we introduce a finite filtration Fil^\bullet on N by $Fil^\alpha N = \bigoplus_{i \geq \alpha} N^i e^{-i}$. Since the quotient $Fil^\alpha N / Fil^{\alpha+1} N$ has a trivial B -coaction, we may assume that the action on N is trivial by considering the long exact sequence for the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Fil^{\alpha+1} N \rightarrow Fil^\alpha N \rightarrow Fil^\alpha N / Fil^{\alpha+1} N \rightarrow 0.$$

By similar argument, we may assume that M has also trivial B -coaction. Thus the proposition is reduce to the case where $M = M^\alpha e^{-\alpha} = N = N^\beta e^{-\beta} = \mathbf{Q}$. In this case, we can check by direct calculation. \square

7. $H^0(B(\epsilon | A | \epsilon))$ -COMODULE FOR CONNECTED DGA

A DGA A^\bullet (resp. DG coalgebra B^\bullet) is said to be connected if $H^i(A^\bullet) = 0$ for $i < 0$ and $H^0(A^\bullet) = 0$ (resp. $H^i(B^\bullet) = 0$ for $i < 0$ and $H^0(B^\bullet) = 0$). Let A^\bullet be a connected DGA and $\epsilon : A^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ be an augmentation of A^\bullet . Then by the bar spectral sequence, the DG coalgebra $B(\epsilon | A | \epsilon)$ is connected.

Lemma 7.1. *Let A be a connected DGA. Then there exists a subalgebra \overline{A} of A such that the natural inclusion $\overline{A} \rightarrow A$ is a quasi-isomorphism and*

- (p) $A^{-i} = 0$ for $i < 0$, (positive condition) and
- (r) $\overline{A}^0 = \mathbf{k}$ (rigid condition).

Proof. Let B^1 and Z^1 be the image and the kernel of d in A^1 . Then we have $B^1 \subset Z^1 \subset A^1$. We choose a splitting $Z^1 = B^1 \oplus L$. We set $\overline{A}^0 = \mathbf{k}$, $\overline{A}^1 = L$ and $\overline{A}^i = A^i$ for $i > 1$. Then \overline{A} is a sub DGA of A which is quasi-isomorphic to A and satisfies the conditions of the lemma. \square

Definition 7.2. (1) We define $K^0 \mathcal{C}_A$ (resp. $K^{\leq 0} \mathcal{C}_A, K^{\geq 0} \mathcal{C}_A$) as the full subcategory of $K^b \mathcal{C}_A$ of objects $M = (M^i, d_{i,j})$ where M^i is of the form $M^{-i,i} e^i$ (resp. $\bigoplus_{i+j \leq 0} M^{j,i} e^{-j}$, $\bigoplus_{i+j \geq 0} M^{j,i} e^{-j}$) for \mathbf{k} -vector spaces $M^{-i,i}$.

- (2) We define an additive category $H^0 K^0 \mathcal{C}_A$ as follows. The class of objects of $H^0 K^0 \mathcal{C}_A$ is the same as in $K^0 \mathcal{C}_A$. For $a, b \in K^0 \mathcal{C}_A$, we define the morphism

$$Hom_{H^0 K^0 \mathcal{C}_A}(a, b) = H^0(\underline{Hom}_{K^b \mathcal{C}_A}(a, b)).$$

Definition 7.3. Let A^\bullet be a differential graded algebra.

- (1) A pair (\mathcal{M}, ∇) of free A^0 -module \mathcal{M} and a \mathbf{k} -linear map $\nabla : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes_{A^0} A^1$ is called an \mathcal{A} connection if $\nabla(am) = a\nabla(m) + da \cdot m$. An \mathcal{A} connection is said to be integrable if $\nabla \circ \nabla = 0$.
- (2) An A^\bullet connection (\mathcal{M}, ∇) is said to be trivial if it is generated by horizontal sections.
- (3) An A^\bullet connection (\mathcal{M}, ∇) is called nilpotent if there exists a finite filtration by connections $F^p \mathcal{M}$ such that $Gr_F^p(\mathcal{M})$ is a trivial connection. The category of integrable nilpotent connections is denoted as

(INC_A). Morphism is a A^0 homomorphism compatible with connections.

- (4) A homomorphism $F \in \text{Hom}_{INC_A}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is homotopy equivalent if there is a map $h : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \otimes_{A^0} A^{-1}$ of A^0 -homomorphism such that $F = \nabla \circ h + h \circ \nabla$. (See the following diagram.)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{h} & A^{-1} \otimes_{A^0} \mathcal{N} \\ \nabla_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \nabla_N \\ A^1 \otimes_{A^0} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{h} & A^0 \otimes_{A^0} \mathcal{N} \end{array}$$

By localizing the homomorphisms by homotopy equivalent, we have a category ($HINC_A$) of homotopy integrable nilpotent connections. By the definition, if the condition (p) is satisfied, then (INC_A) and ($HINC_A$) are equivalent.

Proposition 7.4. Let A^\bullet be a connected DGA with an augmentation ϵ . The category ($HINC_A$) of homotopy equivalence class of integrable nilpotent A^\bullet connections and $H^0 K^0 \mathcal{C}_A$ are equivalent.

Proof. We define a functor $F : K^0 \mathcal{C}_A \rightarrow (INC_A)$ by taking $(\oplus_i M^{-i,i} \otimes A^0, \nabla)$ for an object $(M^i, d_{i,j}) \in K^0 \mathcal{C}_A$ with $M^i = \oplus_i M^{-i,i} e^i$. Here ∇ is defined by $(\sum_{i,j} d_{i,j}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d$. By restricting the above functor, we have a functor $Z^0 K^0 \mathcal{C}_A \rightarrow (INC_A)$. By the definition of (INC_A), the following natural homomorphisms are isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} Z^0 \text{Hom}_{K^0 \mathcal{C}_A}(M, N) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{INC_A}(F(M), F(N)) \\ H^0 \text{Hom}_{K^0 \mathcal{C}_A}(M, N) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{HINC_A}(F(M), F(N)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus the functor $F : Z^0 K^0 \mathcal{C}_A \rightarrow (INC_A)$ and $\bar{F} : H^0 K^0 \mathcal{C}_A \rightarrow (HINC_A)$ are fully faithful functors. We show the essentially surjectivity. Let $\nabla : M \rightarrow A^1 \otimes M$ be a nilpotent integrable A -connection. Let $F^\bullet M$ be a nilpotent filtration of M for the connection ∇ . We choose a splitting $M \simeq \oplus Gr_F^i(M)$, where $Gr_F^i(M) = F^i(M)/F^{i+1}(M)$. Let $M^{-i,i} = Gr_F^i(M)$ and $\nabla_{ij} : M^{-i,i} \rightarrow A^1 \otimes M^{-j,j}$ be the corresponding component of ∇ . We set $M^i = M^{-i,i} e^i$ and $d_{ij} = \nabla_{ij} \in \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^1(M^i e^{-i}, M^j e^{-j})$. Then $(\{M^i\}, d_{ij})$ is an object of $K^0 \mathcal{C}_A$. \square

We define the following homomorphism (1) by taking the fiber of $F(M)$ at the augmentation ϵ :

$$\begin{aligned} Z^0 \text{Hom}_{K^0 \mathcal{C}_A}(M, N) &\xrightarrow{(1)} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(F(M) \otimes_{\epsilon} \mathbf{k}, F(N) \otimes_{\epsilon} \mathbf{k}) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(\oplus_i M^{-i,i}, \oplus_j N^{-j,j}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.5. The image of (1) is identified with $H^0 \text{Hom}_{K^0 \mathcal{C}_A}(M, N)$.

Proof. Since the image of $B^0 \text{Hom}_{K^0 \mathcal{C}}(M, N)$ under the functor (1) is zero, the functor (1) factors through

$$H^0 \text{Hom}_{K^0 \mathcal{C}}(M, N) \xrightarrow{(2)} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(\oplus_i M^{-i,i}, \oplus_j N^{-j,j}).$$

We show the injectivity of (2) by the induction of the nilpotent length of the corresponding connections.

Let M and N be k -vector spaces. Since A is connected, the natural homomorphism

$$H^0 \text{Hom}_{K^0 \mathcal{C}_A}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{K^0 \mathcal{C}_A}(\oplus_i M^{-i,i}, \oplus_j N^{-j,j})$$

is an isomorphism. Let $N^{\geq i}$ and $N^{\leq i}$ be the stupid filtration of N as DG complex in \mathcal{C}_A and the quotient of N by $N^{\geq i}$. Since A is connected, we have the following left exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0 \text{Hom}_{K^0 \mathcal{C}_A}(M, N^{\geq i}) &\rightarrow H^0 \text{Hom}_{K^0 \mathcal{C}_A}(M, N) \\ &\rightarrow H^0 \text{Hom}_{K^0 \mathcal{C}_A}(M, N^{\leq i}), \\ 0 \rightarrow H^0 \text{Hom}_{K^0 \mathcal{C}_A}(M^{\leq i}, N) &\rightarrow H^0 \text{Hom}_{K^0 \mathcal{C}_A}(M, N) \\ &\rightarrow H^0 \text{Hom}_{K^0 \mathcal{C}_A}(M^{\geq i}, N). \end{aligned}$$

By induction on the nilpotent length of N and M , we have the lemma by 5-lemma. \square

Theorem 7.6. *Let A be a connected DGA. The category $H^0 K^0 \mathcal{C}_A$ is equivalent to the category of nilpotent $H^0(B(\epsilon | A | \epsilon))$ -comodules.*

Proof. By Lemma 7.1, we choose a quasi-isomorphic sub DGA A' of A^\bullet such that $A'^{-i} = 0$ for $i < 0$ and $A'^0 \simeq \mathbf{k}$. In this case, the condition (p) is satisfied and the categories $(INC_{A'})$ and $(HINC_{A'})$ are equivalent. Thus we have the following commutative diagram of categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (INC_{A'}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & (INC_A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (HINC_{A'}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & (HINC_A) \\ F_{A'} \downarrow & & \downarrow F_A \\ (H^0(B_{A'}) - \text{comod}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha''} & (H^0(B_A) - \text{comod}). \end{array}$$

We know that α' is fully faithful and α'' is equivalent. Thus it is enough to show that F_A is fully faithful and $F_{A'}$ is equivalent.

We show the fully faithfulness of F_A . Let \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M} be B -comodule corresponding to the nilpotent integrable A connections M and N . We set $H^0 = H^0(B(\epsilon | A | \epsilon))$. By the induction of the nilpotent length, we can show that the natural map

$$H^i \underline{\text{Hom}}_{B\text{-comod}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow H^i \underline{\text{Hom}}_{H^0\text{-comod}}(H^0(\mathcal{M}), H^0(\mathcal{N}))$$

is an isomorphism for $i = 0$ and injective for $i = 1$ using 5-lemma. Therefore the functor F_A is a fully faithful functor.

We introduce a universal integrable nilpotent connection on $H^0(B(\epsilon | A' | \epsilon))$ to show the essential surjectivity of $(HINC_{A'}) \rightarrow (H^0(B_{A'}) - \text{comod})$. We use the isomorphism $H^0(B_{\text{red}}(A', \epsilon)) \simeq H^0(B(\epsilon | A' | \epsilon))$ between simplicial bar complex and Chen's reduced bar complex. By the conditions (p) and (r),

$H^0(B_{red}(A', \epsilon))$ is identified with a subspace of $B_{red}(A', \epsilon)^0$. The coproduct on $B_{red}(A', \epsilon)$ induces a connection

$$H^0(B_{red}(A', \epsilon)) \rightarrow (A')^1 \otimes H^0(B_{red}(A', \epsilon))$$

on $H^0 = H^0(B_{red}(A', \epsilon))$. For an H^0 -comodule (M, Δ_M) , We define \mathcal{M} as the kernel of the following map:

$$H^0 \otimes M \xrightarrow{\Delta_{H^0} \otimes 1_M - 1_{H^0} \otimes \Delta_M} H^0 \otimes H^0 \otimes M.$$

Then \mathcal{M} has a structure of A' -connection and $F_{A'}(\mathcal{M}) = M$. This proves the essentially surjectivity. \square

Since the category of nilpotent H^0 -comodules is stable under taking kernels and cokernels, we have the following corollary.

- Corollary 7.7.** (1) *If A^\bullet is a connected DGA, then $\mathcal{A} = H^0 K^0 \mathcal{C}_A$ is an abelian category.*
(2) *Let A and A' be connected DGA's and $\varphi : A \rightarrow A'$ a quasi-isomorphism of DGA. Then the associated categories $(HINC_A) \rightarrow (HINC_{A'})$ are equivalent.*

8. PATCHING OF DG CATEGORY

In this section, we consider patching of DG categories and their bar complexes. A typical example for patching appears as van Kampen's theorem. Let X be a manifold, which is covered by two open sets X_1 and X_2 . Suppose that $X_{12} = X_1 \cap X_2$ is connected. Then the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ is isomorphic to the amalgam product $\pi_1(X_1) \underset{\pi_1(X_{12})}{*} \pi_1(X_2)$. We can interpret this isomorphism as an equivalence of two categories. The first category $Loc(X)$ is a category of local systems on X and the second is a category $Loc(X_1) \times_{Loc(X_{12})} Loc(X_2)$ of triples $(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \varphi)$, where $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ are local systems on X_1 and X_2 and φ is an isomorphism of local systems $\varphi : \mathcal{L}_1|_{X_{12}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2|_{X_{12}}$.

We must be careful in the nilpotent version. Let $Loc^{nil}(X)$ be the category of nilpotent local systems. Then the natural functor

$$Loc(X)^{nil} \rightarrow Loc(X_1)^{nil} \times_{Loc(X_{12})^{nil}} Loc(X_2)^{nil}$$

is not an equivalent in general. For example, if X_{12} is contractible, then there might be no filtration on $\mathcal{L}_1|_{X_{12}} = \mathcal{L}_2|_{X_{12}}$ which induces a nilpotent filtration F_1 on the local system \mathcal{L}_1 on X_1 and that on the local system \mathcal{L}_2 on X_2 .

Definition 8.1. (1) *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. \mathcal{A} is said to be nilpotent*

- (a) *if there is an object $\mathbf{1}$, and*
(b) *for any object M in \mathcal{A} , there is a filtration F^\bullet on M such that the associated graded quotient $Gr_F^i(M)$ is a direct sum of $\mathbf{1}_A$.*
This filtration is called a nilpotent filtration of M .

- (2) Let \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 be nilpotent abelian categories and $\varphi : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ be an exact additive functor. The functor φ is said to be nilpotent if for any nilpotent filtration F^i on an object M , $\varphi(F^i)$ is a nilpotent filtration on $\varphi(M)$.
- (3) Let $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_{12}$ be a nilpotent abelian category and $F_1 : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{12}$ and $F_2 : \mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{12}$ be nilpotent functors. We define nilpotent fiber product $(\mathcal{A}_1 \times_{\mathcal{A}_{12}} \mathcal{A}_2)^{nil}$ as the full sub category of $(\mathcal{A}_1 \times_{\mathcal{A}_{12}} \mathcal{A}_2)$ consisting of objects $(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \varphi)$ such that there exist nilpotent filtrations N_1 and N_2 on \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 such that $\varphi(F_1(N_1^i)) = F_2(N_2^i)$.
- (4) Let A_1, A_2, A_{12} be DGA's and $u_1 : A_1 \rightarrow A_{12}$ and $u_2 : A_2 \rightarrow A_{12}$ be homomorphisms of DGA's. We define a fiber product $\tilde{A} = A_1 \times_{A_{12}} A_2$ as $\tilde{A}^p = A_1^p \oplus A_2^p \oplus A_{12}^{p-1}$. We introduce a product of elements $a = (a_1, a_2, a_{12})$ and $b = (b_1, b_2, b_{12})$ of \tilde{A}^p and \tilde{A}^q as

$$a \cdot b = (a_1 \cdot b_1, a_2 \cdot b_2, u_1(a_1) \cdot b_{12} + (-1)^{\deg b_2} a_{12} \cdot u_2(b_2)).$$

By setting $s(A_1 \times_{A_{12}} A_2) = A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus A_{12}e^{-1}$, this rule can be written as the following simpler rule

$$(a_1 + a_2 + a_{12}e^{-1})(b_1 + b_2 + b_{12}e^{-1}) = a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + u_1(a_1)b_{12}e^{-1} + a_{12}e^{-1}u_2(b_2).$$

Proposition 8.2. Let A_1, A_2 and A_{12} be connected DGA's, $u_1 : A_1 \rightarrow A_{12}$ and $u_2 : A_2 \rightarrow A_{12}$ homomorphisms of DGA's and $\tilde{A} = A_1 \times_{A_{12}} A_2$. Assume that there exists an augmentation $\epsilon : A_{12} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$. Then the natural functor

$$H^0 K^0 \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{A}} \rightarrow (H^0 K^0 \mathcal{C}_{A_1} \times_{H^0 K^0 \mathcal{C}_{A_{12}}} H^0 K^0 \mathcal{C}_{A_2})^{nil}$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. The fully faithfulness follows from the direct calculation. We show the essentially surjectivity. Since A_\star is connected, $H^0 K^0 \mathcal{C}_{A_\star}$ is equivalent to the category $(HINC_{A_\star})$ for $\star = 1, 2, 12$. Let $M = (M_1, M_2, \varphi)$ be an object of $(HINC_{A_1} \times_{HINC_{A_{12}}} HINC_{A_2})^{nil}$, where

$$M_p = (M_p, \nabla_p : M_p \rightarrow A_p^1 \otimes_{A_p^0} M_p) \in HINC_{A_p} \quad (p = 1, 2)$$

is a nilpotent integrable connection. By the definition of nilpotent fiber product, there exist filtrations F_1^\bullet and F_2^\bullet on M_1 and M_2 and an A_{12}^0 -isomorphism $\varphi : u_1(M_1) \rightarrow u_2(M_2)$ such that (1) φ is compatible with the connections on $u_1(M_1)$ and $u_2(M_2)$, and (2) the filtrations $u_1(F_1^\bullet)$ and $u_2(F_2^\bullet)$ are isomorphic via the isomorphism φ . By the isomorphism, we have an identification $\mathbf{k} \otimes_{\epsilon, A_1^0} M_1 \simeq \mathbf{k} \otimes_{\epsilon, A_2^0} M_2 = M^{(0)}$. The \mathbf{k} -vector space $M^{(0)}$ has a filtration F^\bullet induced by $u_1(F_1^\bullet) = u_2(F_2^\bullet)$. Using identification $A_p^0 \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} M^{(0)} \simeq M_p$ we have a map $\overline{\nabla}_p : M^{(0)} \rightarrow A_p^1 \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} M^{(0)}$ for $p = 1, 2$. On the other hand, the morphism φ defines a map $\overline{\varphi} : M^{(0)} \subset u_1(M_1) \rightarrow u_2(M_2) \simeq M^{(0)} \otimes A_{12}^0$. Thus we have a map

$$M^{(0)} \xrightarrow{(\overline{\nabla}_1, \overline{\nabla}_2, \overline{\varphi})} (A_1^1 \oplus A_2^1 \oplus A_{12}^0) \otimes M^{(0)}.$$

By simple calculation, this map gives rise to an integrable nilpotent filtration. This nilpotent filtration give rise to an object (M_1, M_2, φ) of $(HINC_{A_1} \times_{HINC_{A_{12}}} HINC_{A_2})^{nil}$. \square

Let $\epsilon : A_{12} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ be an augmentation of A_{12} . By composing the morphism $\varphi_i : A_i \rightarrow A_{12}$, we have a augmentation $\epsilon_i = \epsilon \circ \varphi_1 : A_i \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ for $i = 1, 2$. By composing the natural projection $\tilde{A} \rightarrow A_i$, we have two augmentations $e_i : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ for $i = 1, 2$. We introduce a comparison copath morphism

$$p(\epsilon) : B(e_1 \mid \tilde{A} \mid e_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$$

connecting e_1 and e_2 associated to ϵ . Since

$$B(e_1 \mid \tilde{A} \mid e_2)^0 = \bigoplus_{|\alpha|=k} B_\alpha^{-k}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{|\alpha|=0} B_\alpha^0 &= \bigoplus_{\alpha_0} \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes \mathbf{k} \\ \bigoplus_{|\alpha|=1} B_\alpha^1 &= \bigoplus_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1} \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes \tilde{A}^1 \otimes \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_1} \\ &= \bigoplus_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1} \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes (A_1^1 \oplus A_2^1 \oplus A_{12}^0) \otimes \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_1}. \end{aligned}$$

We define a linear map $p(\epsilon) : B(e_1 \mid \tilde{A} \mid e_2)^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ by the summation of (1) projection to the factor $\mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes \mathbf{k}$ over α_0 , and (2) the composite of ϵ and the projection to $\mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes A_{12}^0 \otimes \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_1}$ over $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1$. We can show that the composite

$$B(e_1 \mid \tilde{A} \mid e_2)^{-1} \rightarrow B(e_1 \mid \tilde{A} \mid e_2)^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$$

is zero. For example $1 \otimes x \otimes 1 \in \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes A_1^0 \otimes \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_1}$ goes to

$$\epsilon_1(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes dx \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \varphi_1(x) \otimes 1 \in B(e_1 \mid \tilde{A} \mid e_2)^0,$$

which is an element of the kernel of $p(\epsilon)$.

Definition 8.3. *The map $p(\epsilon)$ is called the comparison copath associated to ϵ .*

9. GRADED CASE

We consider a graded version of DGA. Let $A = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} A_k = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} A_k^\bullet$ be a graded DGA over a field \mathbf{k} . We assume that the image of $A_p \otimes A_q$ under the multiplication map is contained in A_{p+q} . We introduce a DG category \mathcal{C}_A^{gr} as follows. Objects are finite direct sum of the form $V^\bullet(i)$ where V^\bullet is a complex of \mathbf{k} -vector space. For objects $V^\bullet(i)$ and $W^\bullet(j)$, we define

$$\underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_A^{gr}}(V^\bullet(i), W^\bullet(j)) = \begin{cases} \underline{Hom}_{KVec_{\mathbf{k}}}(V^\bullet, A_{j-i} \otimes W^\bullet) & \text{if } j \geq i \\ 0 & \text{if } j < i. \end{cases}$$

Then \mathcal{C}_A^{gr} becomes a DG category. The DG category of DG complexes in \mathcal{C}_A^{gr} is denoted as $K\mathcal{C}_A^{gr}$. The category $\mathcal{C}_{A^{gr}}$ has a tensor structure by $V(p) \otimes W(q) = (V \otimes W)(p+q)$. The full subcategory of the bounded DG complexes is denoted

as $K^b\mathcal{C}_A^{gr}$. By considering \mathbf{k} as a graded DGA in a trivial way, we have a DG-category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}$. For example, for \mathbf{k} -vector spaces V, W , we have

$$\underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}}(V(p), W(q)) = \begin{cases} Hom(V, W) & \text{if } p = q \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The object $V(p)$ is called the p -Tate twist of V and the Tate weight of $V(p)$ is defined to be p . The category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}$ is the category of formal Tate twist of k -vector spaces.

Definition 9.1. *Let $M = \oplus_i M_i^\bullet$ be a graded complex of k -vector spaces. We introduce a homogenization M^h of M by $\oplus_i (M_i \otimes \mathbf{k}(-i))$ as an object in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}$. By this correspondence, the category of graded complex is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}$. Under this identification, the object $\mathbf{k}(p) \otimes \mathbf{k}(q)$ is identified with $\mathbf{k}(p+q)$.*

Using the above notations, for $V^\bullet(p), W^\bullet(q) \in \mathcal{C}_A^{gr}$, we have

$$\underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_A^{gr}}(V^\bullet(p), W^\bullet(q)) = \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}}(V^\bullet(p), A^h \otimes W^\bullet(q)).$$

Let $\epsilon_0 : A_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ be an augmentation of A_0 and $\epsilon : A \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ a composite of ϵ_0 and the natural projection. We define the homogeneous bar complex $\mathbf{B}^h(\epsilon | A | \epsilon)$ in $K\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}$ as follows. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_0 < \dots < \alpha_n)$ be a sequence of integers. We define $\mathbf{B}_\alpha^h \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}$ by

$$\mathbf{k} \otimes A^{\alpha_0} \otimes A^{\alpha_1} \otimes \dots \otimes A^{\alpha_{n-1}} \otimes A^{\alpha_n} \otimes \mathbf{k},$$

and $\mathbf{B}^h = \mathbf{B}^h(\epsilon | A | \epsilon) \in K\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}$ by the complex

$$\dots \rightarrow \oplus_{|\alpha|=1} \mathbf{B}_\alpha^h \rightarrow \oplus_{|\alpha|=0} \mathbf{B}_\alpha^h \rightarrow 0.$$

The associate simple object in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}$ is denoted as $B^h = B^h(\epsilon | A | \epsilon)$. Then the Tate weight w part of B^h is equal to the sum of

$$(\mathbf{k} \otimes A_{p_1}^{\alpha_0} \otimes A_{p_2}^{\alpha_1} \otimes \dots \otimes A_{p_n}^{\alpha_{n-1}} \otimes \mathbf{k}) \otimes \mathbf{Q}(-p_1 - \dots - p_{n-1})$$

where $w = -(p_1 + \dots + p_n)$. The weight w part of B^h is denoted as $B^h(w)$. We define the homogenized reduced bar complex $B_{red}^h = B_{red}^h(A, \epsilon)$ in the same way.

Thus B^h, B_{red}^h are graded DG coalgebras in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}$. We introduce DG category structure on bounded homogeneous B^h comodules.

Definition 9.2. (1) *Let $V^\bullet = \oplus V^\bullet(i)$ be an object in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}$. A homomorphism $\Delta : V^\bullet \rightarrow B^h \otimes V^\bullet$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}$ (i.e. homomorphism of graded complex) is called a coproduct if it satisfies the coassociativity and counitarity defined in Definition 6.1. An object V^\bullet in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}$ equipped with a coproduct is called a homogeneous B^h -comodule. We define B_{red}^h comodules in the same way.*

- (2) Let V^\bullet and W^\bullet be homogeneous B^h comodules. A morphism f in $\underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}}(V^\bullet, W^\bullet)$ is called a B^h homomorphism if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V^\bullet & \xrightarrow{f} & W^\bullet \\ \Delta_V \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta_W \\ B^h \otimes V^\bullet & \xrightarrow{1_{B^h} \otimes f} & B^h \otimes W^\bullet \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Let $V = V^\bullet, W = W^\bullet$ be homogeneous B^h comodules. We define the complex of homomorphism $\underline{Hom}_{B^h-com}(V, W)$ from V to W by the associate simple complex of

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}}(V, W) \xrightarrow{d_H} \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}}(V, B^h \otimes W) \xrightarrow{d_H} \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}}(V, B^{h^{\otimes 2}} \otimes W) \xrightarrow{d_H} \dots$$

where d_H is defined similarly to (6.1). We define the DG category $(B^h-com)^b$ as the category of bounded homogeneous B^h -comodules with $\underline{Hom}_{B^h-com}(\bullet, \bullet)$ as the complex of morphisms. The following proposition is a graded version of Theorem 6.4, and Theorem 7.6

- Proposition 9.3.** (1) The DG category $K^b \mathcal{C}_A^{gr}$ is homotopy equivalent to the category of bounded homogeneous $B^h(\epsilon | A | \epsilon)$ comodules.
(2) Assume that the graded DGA A is connected. Then $H^0 K^0 \mathcal{C}_A^{gr}$ is equivalent to the category of homogeneous $H^0(B^h(\epsilon | A | \epsilon))$ comodules. As a consequence, $H^0 K^0 \mathcal{C}_A^{gr}$ is an abelian category.

Proof. (1) We set $B^h = B^h(\epsilon | A | \epsilon)$. We give a one to one correspondence $ob(B^h-comod)^b \rightarrow ob(K^b \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr})$ of objects. Let $V = \bigoplus_i V^\bullet(i)$ be an object in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}$ and $V \rightarrow B^h \otimes V$ be the comultiplication of V . We use the direct sum decomposition

$$B^h = (\bigoplus_{\alpha_0} \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes \mathbf{k}) \oplus (\bigoplus_{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1} \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes A^h \otimes \mathbf{k}e) \oplus \bigoplus_{|\alpha|=i>1} \mathbf{B}_\alpha^h e^i.$$

Let π_{α_0} be the projection $B^h \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes \mathbf{k}$. Let p_{α_0} be the composite of the map

$$V \xrightarrow{\Delta_V} B \otimes V \xrightarrow{\pi_{\alpha_0}} \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes V$$

and V^{α_0} be $Im(p_{\alpha_0})e^{\alpha_0}$. Since the map p_{α_0} is homogeneous, V^{α_0} is an object in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}$. By the assumption of boundedness, $V^{\alpha_0} = 0$ except for finite numbers of α_0 . Let π_{α_0, α_1} be the projection $B^h \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes A^h \otimes \mathbf{k}e$ and consider the composite D_{α_0, α_1} by the composite

$$V \xrightarrow{\Delta_V} B \otimes V \xrightarrow{\pi_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}} \mathbf{k}^{\alpha_0} \otimes A^h \otimes V e.$$

By the associativity condition, the morphism D_{α_0, α_1} induces a morphism

$$\underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}}^1(V^{\alpha_0} e^{-\alpha_0}, A^h \otimes V^{\alpha_1} e^{-\alpha_1}) = \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_A^{gr}}^1(V^{\alpha_0} e^{-\alpha_0}, V^{\alpha_1} e^{-\alpha_1})$$

which is also denoted as D_{α_0, α_1} . This defines a one to one correspondence $ob(B^h-comod)^b \rightarrow ob(K^b \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr})$. For the construction and the proof of the

inverse correspondence and the homotopy equivalence is similar to those in Section 6, so we omit the detailed proof.

(2) Using the following lemma, the proof of (2) is similar.

Lemma 9.4. *Let A be a connected graded DGA. Then there exists a graded subalgebra \overline{A} of A such that (1) the natural inclusion $\overline{A} \rightarrow A$ is a quasi-isomorphism, and (2) the conditions (p) and (r) in Lemma 7.1 are satisfied.*

□

10. DELIGNE COMPLEX

10.1. Definition of Deligne algebra. Here we give an application of DG category to Hodge theory. Let X be a smooth irreducible variety over \mathbf{C} and \overline{X} be a smooth compactification of X such that $D = \overline{X} - X$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an affine covering of \overline{X} indexed by a totally ordered set I , and $\mathcal{U}_{an} = \{U_{an,j}\}_{j \in J}$ be a topological simple covering of X indexed by a totally ordered set J , which is a refinement of $\mathcal{U} \cap X$. Assume that the map $J \rightarrow I$ defining the refinement preserves the ordering of I and J .

Let $\Omega_{\overline{X}}^{\bullet}(\log(D))$ be the sheaf of algebraic logarithmic de Rham complex of \overline{X} along the boundary divisor D . Let F^{\bullet} be the Hodge filtration of $\Omega_{\overline{X}}^{\bullet}(\log(D))$:

$$F^i : 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\overline{X}}^i(\log(D)) \rightarrow \Omega_{\overline{X}}^{i+1}(\log(D)) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Then F^{\bullet} is compatible with the product structure of $\Omega_{\overline{X}}^{\bullet}(\log(D))$. The Cech complex $\check{C}(\mathcal{U}, \Omega_{\overline{X}}^{\bullet}(\log(D)))$ becomes an associative DGA by standard Alexander-Whitney associative product using the total order of I . We define

$$A_{FdR,i} = \check{C}(\mathcal{U}, F^i \Omega_{\overline{X}}^{\bullet}(\log(D))).$$

Then the product induces a morphism of complex

$$A_{FdR,i} \otimes A_{FdR,j} \rightarrow A_{FdR,i+j}$$

and by this multiplication $A_{FdR} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_{FdR,i}$ becomes a graded DGA, which is called the algebraic de Rham DGA. We define the homogenized algebraic de Rham DGA $A_{FdR}^h \in K\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}$ as $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_{FdR,i}(-i)$.

Let $\Omega_{X_{an}}^{\bullet}$ be the analytic de Rham complex and $\check{C}(\mathcal{U}_{an}, \Omega_{X_{an}}^{\bullet})$ the topological Cech complex of $\Omega_{X_{an}}^{\bullet}$. Since the map $J \rightarrow I$ preserve the orderings, we have a natural quasi-isomorphism of DGA's:

$$\check{C}(\mathcal{U}, \Omega_{\overline{X}}^{\bullet}(\log(D))) \rightarrow \check{C}(\mathcal{U}_{an}, \Omega_{X_{an}}^{\bullet}).$$

We set $A_{dRan,i} = \check{C}(\mathcal{U}_{an}, \Omega_{X_{an}}^{\bullet})$ and $A_{dRan} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_{dRan,i}$. Then A_{dRan} becomes a graded DGA by Alexander-Whitney associative product, which is called the analytic de Rham DGA. We also define $A_{B,i} = \check{C}(\mathcal{U}_{an}, (2\pi i)^i \mathbf{Q})$ and set $A_B = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_{B,i}$, which is called the Betti DGA. It is a sub graded DGA of A_{dRan} . We define the homogenized analytic de Rham DGA A_{dRan}^h and the Betti DGA A_B^h as $A_{dRan}^h = \bigoplus_i A_{dRan,i}(-i)$ and $A_B^h = \bigoplus_i A_{B,i}(-i)$.

Definition 10.1 (Deligne algebra). *We define the Deligne algebra $A_{Del} = A_{Del}(X)$ of X by the fiber product $A_{FdR} \times_{A_{dRan}} A_B$. It is graded by $A_{Del,i} = A_{FdR,i} \times_{A_{dRan,i}} A_{B,i}$. (See Definition 8.1 for the definition of fiber product of DGA's.)*

Example 10.2. *In the case of $X = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C})$, the complexes A_{Del} and A_{Del}^h are equal to*

$$\begin{aligned} A_{Del}^0 &= \mathbf{C} \bigoplus_{\oplus_{i \geq 0}} (2\pi i)^i \mathbf{Q}, & A_{Del}^1 &= \bigoplus_{\oplus_{i \geq 0}} \mathbf{C}, \\ A_{Del}^{h,0} &= \mathbf{C} \bigoplus_{\oplus_{i \geq 0}} (2\pi i)^i \mathbf{Q}(-i), & A_{Del}^{h,1} &= \bigoplus_{\oplus_{i \geq 0}} \mathbf{C}(-i). \end{aligned}$$

The differentials are the natural maps. In this case, the bar spectral sequence degenerates at E_1 and $Gr(H^0(B(\epsilon_B | A_{Del} | \epsilon_B)))$ is isomorphic to the tensor algebra generated by $\bigoplus_{i > 0} \mathbf{C}/(2\pi i)^i \mathbf{Q}$ over \mathbf{Q} .

- Remark 10.3.** (1) *We can define A_{dR} for a smooth variety over an arbitrary subfield K of \mathbf{C} .*
(2) *Actually, $A_{Del}(X)$ depends on the choice of the compactification \bar{X} , and coverings \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{U}_{an} . But the choice of admissible proper morphisms and refinements does not affect up to quasi-isomorphism.*
(3) *We can replace the role \mathbf{Q} in A_B by an arbitrary subfield F of \mathbf{R} . The corresponding Deligne algebra is denoted as $A_{Del,F}(X)$.*

Let p_{dR} (resp. p_B) be a \mathbf{C} -valued point of X (resp. a point in $X(\mathbf{C})^{an}$). Then we have an augmentation $\epsilon_{dR}(p)$ (resp. $\epsilon_B(p)$) of A_{dR} (resp. A_B). The following proposition is a consequence of Proposition 9.3.

Proposition 10.4. *The category $H^0 K^0 C_{A_{Del}}^{gr}$ is equivalent to the category of homogeneous $H^0(B^h(\epsilon_B | A_{Del} | \epsilon_B))$ -comodules.*

10.2. Nilpotent variation of mixed Tate Hodge structure. In this subsection, we prove that the category of nilpotent variation of mixed Tate Hodge structures on a smooth irreducible algebraic variety is equivalent to that of comodules over $H^0(B^h(\epsilon | A_{Del}(X) | \epsilon))$.

Definition 10.5 (VMTHS). *Let X be a smooth irreducible algebraic variety over \mathbf{C} . A triple $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V}, \text{comp})$ of the following data is called a variation of mixed Tate Hodge structure on X .*

- (1) *A 4ple $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}, \nabla, F^\bullet, W_\bullet)$ is a locally free sheaf \mathcal{F} on X with a connection logarithmic connection*

$$\nabla : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Omega_{\bar{X}}^1(\log(D)) \otimes \mathcal{F}$$

and decreasing and increasing filtrations F^\bullet and W_\bullet on \mathcal{F} with the following properties. (We assume that the filtration W is indexed by even integers.)

(a)

$$\nabla(F^i \mathcal{F}) \subset \Omega_{\bar{X}}^1(\log(D)) \otimes F^{i-1} \mathcal{F}.$$

(b)

$$\nabla(W_{-2j} \mathcal{F}) \subset \Omega_{\bar{X}}^1(\log(D)) \otimes W_{-2i} \mathcal{F}.$$

- (2) A pair $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}, W_\bullet)$ is a local system with a filtration W_\bullet on $X(\mathbf{C})^{an}$.
(3) An isomorphism of local system

$$\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X(\mathbf{C})^{an}})^{\nabla=0}$$

on $X(\mathbf{C})^{an}$ compatible with the filtrations W_\bullet on \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{V} .

- (4) The fiber of the associated graded object $(Gr_{-2i}^W \mathcal{F}, Gr_{-2i}^W \mathcal{V}, comp)$ at x is isomorphic to a sum of mixed Tate Hodge structure of weight $-2i$ for all $x \in X(\mathbf{C})^{an}$

Definition 10.6 (NVMTHS). (1) A variation of mixed Tate Hodge structure is said to be constant if the local system \mathcal{V} is a trivial local system.

- (2) A constant variation of mixed Tate Hodge structure is said to be split if it is isomorphic to a sum of pure Tate Hodge structures.
(3) A variation of mixed Tate Hodge structure is said to be nilpotent (denoted as NVMTHS for short) if there exists a filtration $(N_\bullet \mathcal{F}, N_\bullet \mathcal{V}, comp)$ of $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V}, comp)$ such that the associated graded object $(Gr_p^N \mathcal{F}, Gr_p^N \mathcal{V}, comp)$ is a split constant variation of mixed Tate Hodge structures on $X(\mathbf{C})^{an}$.

Theorem 10.7. The category $H^0 K^0 \mathcal{C}_{A_{Del}}^{gr}$ is equivalent to NVMTHS(X). It is also equivalent to the category of homogeneous $H^0(B^h(\epsilon_B | A_{Del} | \epsilon_B))$ -comodules.

By applying the above theorem for the case $X = Spec(\mathbf{C})$, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 10.8. The category of mixed Hodge structures is equivalent to the category of homogeneous $H^0(B^h(\epsilon_B | A_{Del}(\mathbf{C}) | \epsilon_B))$ -comodules.

10.3. Proof of Theorem 10.7. We construct a nilpotent variation of mixed Tate Hodge structure for an object $V = \{V^i\}$ in $K^0 \mathcal{C}_{A_{Del}}$. We set $V^i = V^{ip} e^i(p)$, $V = \oplus_i V^i e^{-i}$ and $V(p) = \oplus_i V^{ip} e^i(p)$. Then we have $V = \oplus_{i,p} V^{ip}(p) = \oplus_p V(p)$. Let $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_{an}, \Omega^i$ and Ω_{an}^i denote $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{an}}, \Omega_{\overline{X}}^i(\log D)$ and $\Omega_{X^{an}}^i$. For indices $s, t, u \in J$, the corresponding element in I by the map $J \rightarrow I$ for refinement is also denoted as s, t, u for short. The sum D of the differential $d_{ij} \in \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{A_{Del}}^{gr}}^1(V^i e^{-i}, V^j e^{-j})$ defines an element in

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}}^0(V, A_{Del}^{h,1} \otimes V) &= \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}}^0(V, \oplus_i \prod_s \Gamma(U_s, F^i \Omega^1)(-i) \otimes V) \\ &\oplus \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}}^0(V, \oplus_i \prod_{s,t} \Gamma(U_{s,t}, F^i \mathcal{O})(-i) \otimes V) \\ &\oplus \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}}^0(V, \oplus_i \prod_{s,t} \Gamma(U_{an,s,t}, (2\pi i)^i \mathbf{Q}_B(-i)) \otimes V) \\ &\oplus \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{k}}^{gr}}^0(V, \oplus_i \prod_s \Gamma(U_{an,s}, \mathcal{O}_{an})(-i) \otimes V). \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 7.4, $\nabla = D + 1 \otimes d$ defines an integrable A_{Del} -connection $V \rightarrow A_{Del}^1 \otimes V$. We write $D = (\{\omega_s\}, \{f_{st}\}, \{\rho_{st}\}, \{\varphi_s\})$ according

to the above direct sum decomposition, i.e.

$$\rho_{st} \in \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_k}^0(V, \oplus_i \Gamma(U_{an,s,t}, (2\pi i)^i \mathbf{Q}_B(-i)) \otimes V),$$

etc. Then as an element of

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_k}^0(V, A_{Del}^{h,2} \otimes V) &= \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_k}^0(V, \oplus_i \prod_s \Gamma(U_s, F^i \Omega^2)(-i) \otimes V) \\ &\oplus \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_k}^0(V, \oplus_i \prod_{s,t} \Gamma(U_{s,t}, F^i \Omega^1)(-i) \otimes V) \\ &\oplus \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_k}^0(V, \oplus_i \prod_{s,t,u} \Gamma(U_{s,t,u}, F^i \mathcal{O})(-i) \otimes V) \\ &\oplus \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_k}^0(V, \oplus_i \prod_{s,t,u} \Gamma(U_{an,s,t,u}, (2\pi i)^i \mathbf{Q}_B(-i)) \otimes V) \\ &\oplus \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_k}^0(V, \oplus_i \prod_s \Gamma(U_{an,s}, \Omega_{an}^1)(-i) \otimes V) \\ &\oplus \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_k}^0(V, \oplus_i \prod_s \Gamma(U_{an,s,t}, \mathcal{O}_{an})(-i) \otimes V), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} dD = &(\{d\omega_s\}, \{-\omega_t + \omega_s + df_{st}\}, \{-f_{tu} + f_{su} - f_{st}\}, \\ &\{-\rho_{tu} + \rho_{su} - \rho_{st}\}, \{\omega_s + d\varphi_s\}, \{f_{st} - \rho_{st} + \varphi_t - \varphi_s\}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D \circ D = &(\{\omega_s \omega_s\}, \{-\omega_s f_{st} + f_{st} \omega_t\}, \{f_{st} f_{tu}\}, \\ &\{\rho_{st} \rho_{tu}\}, \{-\omega_s \varphi_s\}, \{-f_{st} \varphi_t + \varphi_s \rho_{st}\}). \end{aligned}$$

Here the products are tensor products of composites of endomorphisms of V and exterior products of differential forms. Then by the integrability condition, we have $dD = D \circ D$.

By this relation, we have cocycle relations

$$(10.1) \quad (1 + f_{su}) = (1 + f_{st})(1 + f_{tu}), \quad (1 + \rho_{su}) = (1 + \rho_{st})(1 + \rho_{tu}),$$

integrability of connections $d\omega_s = \omega_s \omega_s$, and the following commutative diagrams:

(10.2)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \oplus_p V(p) \otimes \Gamma(U_{an,s,t}, (2\pi i)^{-p} \mathbf{Q}) & \xrightarrow{1+\rho_{st}} & \oplus_p V(p) \otimes \Gamma(U_{an,s,t}, (2\pi i)^{-p} \mathbf{Q}) \\ \downarrow 1 + \varphi_t & & \downarrow 1 + \varphi_s \\ V \otimes \Gamma(U_{an,s,t}, \mathcal{O}_{an}) & \xrightarrow{1+f_{st}} & V \otimes \Gamma(U_{an,s,t}, \mathcal{O}_{an}) \end{array}$$

$$(10.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V \otimes \Gamma(U_{s,t}, \mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{1+f_{st}} & V \otimes \Gamma(U_{s,t}, \mathcal{O}) \\ \downarrow \omega_t + 1 \otimes d & & \downarrow \omega_s + 1 \otimes d \\ V \otimes \Gamma(U_{s,t}, \Omega^1) & \xrightarrow{(1+f_{st}) \otimes 1} & V \otimes \Gamma(U_{s,t}, \Omega^1) \end{array}$$

$$(10.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V \otimes \Gamma(U_{an,s}, \mathcal{O}_{an}) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes d} & V \otimes \Gamma(U_{an,s}, \Omega_{an}^1) \\ 1 + \varphi_s \downarrow & & \downarrow 1 + \varphi_s \\ V \otimes \Gamma(U_{an,s}, \mathcal{O}_{an}) & \xrightarrow{\omega_s + 1 \otimes d} & V \otimes \Gamma(U_{an,s}, \Omega_{an}^1) \end{array}$$

By the cocycle relations, we have a local system \mathcal{V} and locally free sheaf \mathcal{F} by patching constant sheaves V and free sheaves $V \otimes \mathcal{O}$ on $U_{an,s}$ and U_s by the patching data $1 + \rho_{st}$ and $1 + f_{st}$. The connection $\omega_s + 1 \otimes d$ defines an integrable connection on $V \otimes \Gamma(U_s, \mathcal{O})$ by the integrability condition and it is patched together to a global connection by the commutative diagram (10.3). The local sheaf homomorphisms $1 + \varphi_s$ patched together into a global homomorphism $comp$ of sheaves $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{an}$ on X_{an} by the commutative diagram (10.2). Via the sheaf homomorphism $comp$, the local system $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathbf{C}$ is identified with the subsheaf of horizontal sections of $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{an}$ by the commutative diagram (10.4).

We introduce filtrations F^\bullet and W_\bullet on U_s and $U_{an,s}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} F^i \mathcal{F} &= \bigoplus_{p \geq i} V(-p) \otimes \mathcal{O}, \\ W_{2i} \mathcal{F} &= \bigoplus_{p \leq i} V(-p) \otimes \mathcal{O}, \\ W_{2i} \mathcal{V} &= \bigoplus_{p \leq i} V(-p). \end{aligned}$$

The filtration F gives rise to a well defined filtration on \mathcal{F} since the patching data f_{ij} for \mathcal{F} is contained in $\Gamma(U_{s,t}, A_{FdR}^{h,0}) = \Gamma(U_{s,t}, \mathcal{O}(0))$.

Since ω is contained in

$$\begin{aligned} &\bigoplus_p Hom_{\mathbf{k}}(V(p), V(p) \otimes \Gamma(U_s, \Omega^1)) \\ &\oplus \bigoplus_p Hom_{\mathbf{k}}(V(p), V(p+1) \otimes \Gamma(U_s, \Omega^1)(-1)), \end{aligned}$$

the Griffiths transversality condition in Definition 10.5 is satisfied. It defines a mixed Tate Hodge structure at any point x in $X(\mathbf{C})^{an}$ by the definitions of two filtrations. Therefore $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V}, comp)$ defines a variation of mixed Tate Hodge structure on X .

We set $N^i V = \bigoplus_{i \leq p} V^p$. Then this filtration defines a filtration on the variation of mixed Tate Hodge structure $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V}, comp)$ and the associated graded variation is split constant mixed Tate Hodge structures on X . Thus we have the required nilpotent variation of mixed Tate Hodge structure $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V}, comp)$ on X .

We can construct an inverse correspondence by attaching an A_{Del} -connection to a nilpotent variation of mixed Tate Hodge structures as follows. We choose sufficiently fine Zariski covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ such that the restrictions of \mathcal{F} are free on each U_i . Using two filtrations F and W , \mathcal{F} splits into $\bigoplus_p \mathcal{F}(p)$ as an \mathcal{O} module. We choose a trivialization of $\mathcal{F}(p) |_{U_i}$. By taking a refinement \mathcal{U}_{an} of $\mathcal{U} \cap X_{an}$, we may assume that \mathcal{U}_{an} is a simple covering. By restricting $U_{an,s}$, we choose a trivialization $1 + \varphi_i$ of the local system \mathcal{V} . At last we choose a nilpotent filtration N^\bullet compatible with the connection and local system

and a splitting of N^\bullet . Using these data we construct an integrable nilpotent A_{Del} -connection $D = (\{\omega_s\}, \{f_{st}\}, \{\rho_{st}\}, \{\varphi_s\})$ by the commutative diagram (10.2), (10.3), (10.4).

By passing to homotopy equivalence classes of morphisms, we have the required equivalence of two categories.

REFERENCES

- [BD] Beilinson, A.-Deligne, P., *Real Hodge structure Zagier's conjecture*, Proceeding of symposia in pure mathematics 55, Amer. Math. Soc.
- [BK1] Bloch, S., Kriz, I., *Mixed Tate motives*, Ann. of Math. 140 (1994), 557–605.
- [BK2] Bondal, A.I., Kapranov, M.M., *Enhanced triangulated categories*, Mat.Sb. 181. (1990), no. 5. 669-683, translation in Math. USSR-Sb. 70 no.1, 93107.
- [C] Chen, K.T., *Iterated integrals of differential forms and loop space homology*, Ann. of Math. (2) 97 (1973), 217–246.
- [De] Deligne, P., *Le groupe fondamental de la droite projective moins trois points*, Galois groups over Q (Berkeley, CA, 1987), 79–297, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 16, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [Dr] Drinfeld, V., *DGquotients of DGcategories*, J. Algebra 272 (2004), no. 2, 643.691.
- [DM] Deligne, P.-Milne, J., *Tannakian Categories*, In Hodge Cycles, Motives, and Shimura Varieties, in Lecture Notes in Math. 900, pp.101–228.
- [DG] Deligne, P.,Goncharov, A., *Groupes fondamentaux motiviques de Tate mixte*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 38 (2005), no. 1, 1–56.
- [H] Hanamura, M.: *Mixed motives and algebraic cycles. I*, Math. Res. Lett. 2 (1995), no. 6, 811.821.
- [Ke1] Keller, B., *On differential graded category*, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II, 151–190, Eur. Math. Soc., Zurich, 2006.
- [Ke2] Keller, B. *Deriving DG categories*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 27 (1994), no. 1, 63–102.
- [KM] Kriz, I.,May, J. P., *Operads, algebras, modules and motives*, Asterisque No. 233 (1995), iv+145pp.