

# WHAT SEPARABLE FROBENIUS MONOIDAL FUNCTORS PRESERVE

MICAH BLAKE MCCURDY AND ROSS STREET

**ABSTRACT.** Separable Frobenius monoidal functors were defined and studied under that name in [10], [11] and [4] and in a more general context in [3]. Our purpose here is to develop their theory in a very precise sense. We determine what kinds of equations in monoidal categories they preserve. For example we show they preserve lax (meaning not necessarily invertible) Yang-Baxter operators, weak Yang-Baxter operators in the sense of [1], and (in the braided case) weak bimonoids in the sense of [8]. In fact, we characterize which monoidal expressions are preserved (or rather, are stable under conjugation in a well-defined sense). We show that every weak Yang-Baxter operator is the image of a genuine Yang-Baxter operator under a separable Frobenius monoidal functor. Prebimonoidal functors are also defined and discussed.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Separable Frobenius monoidal functors  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  between monoidal categories were defined and studied under that name in [10], [11] and [4] and in a more general context in [3]. If the domain  $\mathcal{C}$  is the terminal category  $\mathbf{1}$  then  $F$  amounts to a Frobenius monoid in  $\mathcal{X}$ . It was shown in [4] that Frobenius monoidal functors compose so that, by the last sentence, they take Frobenius monoids to Frobenius monoids. We concentrate here on separable Frobenius  $F$  and show that various kinds of Yang-Baxter operators and (in the braided case) weak bimonoids are preserved by  $F$ .

We introduce prebimonoidal functors  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  between monoidal categories which are, say, braided. If the domain  $\mathcal{C}$  is the terminal category  $\mathbf{1}$  then any (weak) bimonoid in  $\mathcal{X}$  gives an example of such an  $F$ . We show that prebimonoidal functors compose and relate them to separable Frobenius functors.

## 2. DEFINITIONS

Justified by coherence theorems (see [5] for example), we write as if our monoidal categories were strict. A functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  between monoidal categories is *Frobenius* when it is equipped with a monoidal structure  $\phi_{A,B} : FA \otimes FB \rightarrow F(A \otimes B)$ ,  $\phi_0 : I \rightarrow FI$ , and an opmonoidal structure  $\psi_{A,B} : F(A \otimes B) \rightarrow FA \otimes FB$ ,  $\psi_0 : FI \rightarrow I$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A \otimes B) \otimes FC & \xrightarrow{\phi_{A \otimes B, C}} & F(A \otimes B \otimes C) \\ \psi_{A, B} \otimes 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_{A, B \otimes C} \\ FA \otimes FB \otimes FC & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \phi_{B, C}} & FA \otimes F(B \otimes C) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} FA \otimes F(B \otimes C) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{A, B \otimes C}} & F(A \otimes B \otimes C) \\ 1 \otimes \psi_{B, C} \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_{A \otimes B, C} \\ FA \otimes FB \otimes FC & \xrightarrow{\phi_{A, B} \otimes 1} & F(A \otimes B) \otimes FC \end{array}$$

We shall call  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  *separable Frobenius monoidal* when it is Frobenius monoidal and each composite

$$F(A \otimes B) \xrightarrow{\psi_{A, B}} FA \otimes FB \xrightarrow{\phi_{A, B}} F(A \otimes B)$$

is the identity. We call  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  *strong monoidal* when it is separable Frobenius monoidal,  $\phi_{A,B}$  is invertible, and  $\phi_0$  and  $\psi_0$  are mutually inverse.

Suppose  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is both monoidal and opmonoidal. By coherence, we have canonical morphisms

$$\phi_{A_1, \dots, A_n} : FA_1 \otimes \dots \otimes FA_n \longrightarrow F(A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n)$$

*Date:* April 2009.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18D10.

*Key words and phrases.* Frobenius monoidal functor, monoidal category, weak bimonoid, Yang-Baxter operator, separable Frobenius algebra, weak distributive law.

and

$$\psi_{A_1, \dots, A_n} : F(A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n) \longrightarrow FA_1 \otimes \dots \otimes FA_n$$

defined by composites of instances of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ . If  $n = 0$  then these reduce to  $\phi_0$  and  $\psi_0$ ; if  $n = 1$ , they are identities.

The  $F$ -conjugate of a morphism

$$f : A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n \longrightarrow B_1 \otimes \dots \otimes B_m$$

in  $\mathcal{C}$  is the composite  $f^F$  :

$$FA_1 \otimes \dots \otimes FA_n \xrightarrow{\phi_{A_1, \dots, A_n}} F(A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n) \xrightarrow{Ff} F(B_1 \otimes \dots \otimes B_m) \xrightarrow{\psi_{B_1, \dots, B_m}} FB_1 \otimes \dots \otimes FB_m$$

in  $\mathcal{X}$ . For  $m = 1$ , this really only requires  $F$  to be monoidal while, for  $n = 1$ , this really only requires  $F$  to be opmonoidal. If a structure in  $\mathcal{C}$  is defined in terms of morphisms between multiple tensors, we can speak of the  $F$ -conjugate of the structure in  $\mathcal{X}$ . For example, we can easily see the well-known fact that the  $F$ -conjugate of a monoid, for  $F$  monoidal, is a monoid; dually, the  $F$ -conjugate of a comonoid, for  $F$  opmonoidal, is a comonoid. It was shown in [4] that the  $F$ -conjugate of a Frobenius monoid is a Frobenius monoid.

Notice that, for a separable Frobenius monoidal functor  $F$ , we have  $\phi_n \circ \psi_n = 1$  for  $n > 0$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  are braided monoidal. We say that a separable Frobenius monoidal functor is *braided* when the  $F$ -conjugate of the braiding  $c_{A,B} : A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is equal to  $c_{FA,FB} : FA \otimes FB \rightarrow FB \otimes FA$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Because of separability, it follows that  $F$  is braided as both a monoidal and opmonoidal functor.

A *lax Yang-Baxter (YB) operator* on an object  $A$  of a monoidal category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a morphism

$$y : A \otimes A \longrightarrow A \otimes A$$

satisfying the condition

$$(y \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes y) \circ (y \otimes 1) = (1 \otimes y) \circ (y \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes y)$$

A *Yang-Baxter (YB) operator* is an invertible lax YB-operator.

Recall that the Cauchy (idempotent splitting) completion  $\mathcal{QC}$  of a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is the category whose objects are pairs  $(A, e)$  where  $e : A \rightarrow A$  is an idempotent on  $A$  and whose morphisms  $f : (A, e) \rightarrow (B, p)$  are morphisms  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying  $pfe = f$  (or equivalently  $pf = f$  and  $fe = f$ ). Note emphatically that the identity morphism of  $(A, e)$  is  $e : (A, e) \rightarrow (A, e)$ ; in particular, this means the forgetful  $\mathcal{QC} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ ,  $(A, e) \mapsto A$ , is not a functor. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is monoidal then so is  $\mathcal{QC}$  with  $(A, e) \otimes (A', e') = (A \otimes A', e \otimes e')$  and unit  $(I, 1)$ .

A *weak Yang-Baxter operator on  $A$*  (compare [1]) in  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of an idempotent  $\nabla : A \otimes A \longrightarrow A \otimes A$ , and lax YB-operators  $y : A \otimes A \longrightarrow A \otimes A$  and  $y' : A \otimes A \longrightarrow A \otimes A$ , subject to the following conditions:

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla \circ y = y = y \circ \nabla$$

$$(2.2) \quad y \circ y' = \nabla = y' \circ y$$

$$(2.3) \quad (1 \otimes \nabla) \circ (\nabla \otimes 1) = (\nabla \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes \nabla)$$

$$(2.4) \quad (1 \otimes y) \circ (\nabla \otimes 1) = (\nabla \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes y), \quad (1 \otimes \nabla) \circ (y \otimes 1) = (y \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes \nabla).$$

Notice that Equations 2.1 and 2.2 say that  $y : (A \otimes A, \nabla) \longrightarrow (A \otimes A, \nabla)$  is a morphism with inverse  $y'$  in  $\mathcal{QC}$ .

Suppose  $A, \mu : A \otimes A \longrightarrow A, \eta : I \longrightarrow A$  and  $B, \mu : B \otimes B \longrightarrow A, \eta : I \longrightarrow B$  are monoids in the monoidal category  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let a morphism  $\lambda : A \otimes B \longrightarrow B \otimes A$  be given. The following conditions imply that  $A \otimes B$  becomes a monoid with multiplication  $A \otimes B \otimes A \otimes B \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \lambda \otimes 1} A \otimes A \otimes B \otimes B \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes \mu} A \otimes B$  and unit  $I \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes \eta} A \otimes B$ :

$$(2.5) \quad \lambda \circ (\mu \otimes 1_B) = (1_B \otimes \mu) \circ (\lambda \otimes 1_A) \circ (1_A \otimes \lambda), \quad \lambda \circ (1_A \otimes \mu) = (\mu \otimes 1_A) \circ (1_B \otimes \lambda) \circ (\lambda \otimes 1_B),$$

$$(2.6) \quad \lambda \circ (\eta \otimes 1_B) = 1_B \otimes \eta, \quad \lambda \circ (1_A \otimes \eta) = \eta \otimes 1_A.$$

These are the conditions for  $\lambda$  to be a *distributive law* [2]. A *weak distributive law* [9] is the same except that Equations 2.6 are replaced by:

$$(2.7) \quad (1_B \otimes \mu) \circ (\lambda \otimes 1_A) \circ (\eta \otimes 1_B \otimes 1_A) = (\mu \otimes 1_A) \circ (1_B \otimes \lambda) \circ (1_B \otimes 1_A \otimes \eta).$$

In the monoidal category  $\mathcal{C}$ , suppose  $A$  is equipped with a multiplication  $\mu : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$  and a “switch morphism”  $\lambda : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ . Supply  $A \otimes A$  with the multiplication  $A \otimes A \otimes A \otimes A \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \lambda \otimes 1} A \otimes A \otimes A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes \mu} A \otimes A$ . Then a comultiplication  $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  preserves multiplication when the following holds:

$$(2.8) \quad \delta \circ \mu = (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (1 \otimes \lambda \otimes 1) \circ (\delta \otimes \delta).$$

Dually, if we start with  $\delta$  and  $\lambda$ , define the comultiplication  $A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\delta \otimes \delta} A \otimes A \otimes A \otimes A \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \lambda \otimes 1} A \otimes A \otimes A \otimes A$  on  $A \otimes A$ , and ask for  $\mu$  to preserve comultiplication, we are led to the same Equation 2.8

In a braided monoidal category  $\mathcal{C}$ , a *weak bimonoid* (see [8]) is an object  $A$  equipped with a monoid structure and a comonoid structure satisfying Equation 2.8 (with  $\lambda = c_{A,A}$ ) and the “weak unit and counit” conditions:

$$(2.9) \quad \varepsilon \circ \mu \circ (1 \otimes \mu) = (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon) \circ (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (1 \otimes \delta \otimes 1) = (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon) \circ (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (1 \otimes c_{A,A}^{-1} \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes \delta \otimes 1)$$

$$(2.10) \quad (1 \otimes \delta) \circ \delta \circ \eta = (1 \otimes \mu \otimes 1) \circ (\delta \otimes \delta) \circ (\eta \otimes \eta) = (1 \otimes \mu \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes c_{A,A}^{-1} \otimes 1) \circ (\delta \otimes \delta) \circ (\eta \otimes \eta).$$

A *lax Yang-Baxter (YB) operator* on a functor  $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  into a monoidal category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a natural family of morphisms

$$y_{A,B} : TA \otimes TB \rightarrow TB \otimes TA$$

satisfying the condition

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & TB \otimes TA \otimes TC & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes y} TB \otimes TC \otimes TA \\ y \otimes 1 \nearrow & & \searrow y \otimes 1 \\ TA \otimes TB \otimes TC & & TC \otimes TB \otimes TA \\ y \otimes 1 \searrow & & \nearrow 1 \otimes y \\ & TA \otimes TC \otimes TB & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes y} TC \otimes TA \otimes TB \end{array}$$

One special case is where  $\mathcal{A} = 1$  so that  $T$  is an object of  $\mathcal{C}$ : then we obtain a lax YB-operator on the object  $T$  as above. Another case is where  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}$  and  $T$  is the identity functor: each (lax) braiding  $c$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  gives an example with  $y_{A,B} = c_{A,B}$ .

Suppose  $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a functor and  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is a functor between monoidal categories. Suppose lax YB-operators  $y$  on  $T$  and  $z$  on  $FT$  are given. We define  $F$  to be *prebimonoidal relative to  $y$  and  $z$*  when it is monoidal and opmonoidal, and satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FTA \otimes FTB \otimes FTC \otimes FTD & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes z \otimes 1} & FTA \otimes FTC \otimes FTB \otimes FTD \\ \psi \otimes \psi \nearrow & & \searrow \phi \otimes \phi \\ F(TA \otimes TB) \otimes F(TC \otimes TD) & & F(TA \otimes TC) \otimes F(TB \otimes TD) \\ \phi \searrow & & \nearrow \phi \\ F(TA \otimes TB \otimes TC \otimes TD) & \xrightarrow{F(1 \otimes y \otimes 1)} & F(TA \otimes TC \otimes TB \otimes TD) \end{array}$$

When  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  are (lax) braided and  $T$  is the identity with  $y_{A,B} = c_{A,B}$  and  $z_{A,B} = c_{FA,FB}$ , we merely say  $F$  is *prebimonoidal*.

### 3. SEPARABLE INVARIANCE AND CONNECTIVITY

We begin by reviewing some concepts from [6]. Progressive plane string diagrams are deformation classes of progressive plane graphs. Here we will draw them progressing from left to right (direction of the  $x$ -axis) rather than from down to up (direction of the  $y$ -axis). A tensor scheme is a combinatorial directed graph with vertices and edges such that the source and target of each edge is a word of vertices (rather than a single vertex). Progressive string diagrams  $\Gamma$  can be labelled (or can have valuations) in a tensor scheme  $\mathcal{D}$ : for a given labelling  $v : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , the labels on the edges (strings)  $\gamma$  of  $\Gamma$  are vertices  $v(\gamma)$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  while the labels on the vertices (nodes)  $x$  of  $\Gamma$  are edges  $v(x) : v(\gamma_1) \cdots v(\gamma_m) \rightarrow v(\delta_1) \cdots v(\delta_n)$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  where  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$  are the input edges and  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$  are the output edges of  $x$  read from top to bottom; see Figure 1 where  $f = v(x)$ ,  $A_1 = v(\gamma_1)$ ,  $B_n = v(\delta_n)$ , and so on. The free monoidal category  $\mathcal{FD}$  on a tensor scheme  $\mathcal{D}$  has objects words of vertices and morphisms progressive plane string diagrams labelled in  $\mathcal{D}$ ; composition progresses horizontally while tensoring is defined by stacking diagrams vertically.




FIGURE 1.

Every monoidal category  $\mathcal{C}$  has an underlying tensor scheme: the vertices are the objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  and the edges from one word  $A_1 \cdots A_m$  of objects to another  $B_1 \cdots B_n$  is a morphism  $f : A_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_m \rightarrow B_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes B_n$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ; see Figure 1. When we speak of a labelling of a string diagram in  $\mathcal{C}$  we mean a labelling in the underlying tensor scheme; here we will simply call this a *string diagram in  $\mathcal{C}$* . The value  $v(\Gamma)$  of the string diagram  $v : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a morphism obtained by deforming  $\Gamma$  so that no two vertices of  $\Gamma$  are on the same vertical line then by horizontally composing strips of the form

$$1_{C_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_{C_h} \otimes f \otimes 1_{D_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_{D_k}.$$

Calculations in monoidal categories can be performed using string diagrams rather than the traditional diagrams of category theory. The value of Figure 1 is of course  $f$ . Figure 2 shows a string diagram  $v : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  whose value  $v(\Gamma)$  is

$$A_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_m \otimes D_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes D_q \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1} B_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes B_n \otimes C_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes C_p \otimes D_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes D_q \xrightarrow{1 \otimes g} E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_r.$$




FIGURE 2.

Now we return to our study of separable Frobenius monoidal functors.

Suppose  $v : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a string diagram in a monoidal category  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is a monoidal and opmonoidal functor. We obtain a *conjugate string diagram*  $v^F : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  by defining

$$v^F(\gamma) = Fv(\gamma) \text{ and } v^F(x) = v(x)^F$$

for each edge  $\gamma$  and each node  $x$  of  $\Gamma$ . The conjugate of the string diagram in Figure 2 is shown in Figure 3. A




FIGURE 3.

(progressive plane) string diagram  $\Gamma$  is called *[separable] Frobenius invariant* when, for any labelling  $v : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  of  $\Gamma$  in any monoidal category  $\mathcal{C}$  and any [separable] Frobenius monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , the value of the conjugate diagram  $v^F$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is equal to the conjugate of the value of  $v$ ; that is,

$$(3.1) \quad v^F(\Gamma) = v(\Gamma)^F.$$

It is easy to check that the strings for  $(1 \otimes a)(b \otimes 1)$  and  $(a \otimes 1)(1 \otimes b)$  are actually Frobenius invariant.

As mentioned before, for a separable Frobenius monoidal functor  $F$ , we have  $\phi_n \circ \psi_n = 1$  for  $n > 0$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *A progressive plane string diagram  $\Lambda$  is separable Frobenius invariant if and only if it is connected.*

*Proof.* In Figure 4 we show that Equation 3.1 holds for the string diagram  $v : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  as in Figure 2 provided  $p > 0$  (as required for  $\Gamma$  to be connected). To simplify notation we write  $FA$  for  $F(A_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_m)$  and write  $A^F$  for  $FA_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes FA_m$ . We also leave out some tensor symbols  $\otimes$ . The second equality in Figure 4 is where separability, and the fact that the length  $p$  of the word  $C$  is strictly positive, are used; the third is where a Frobenius property is used.

Similarly, an obvious dual diagram to Figure 2 (look through the back of the page!) can be shown separably invariant. Every connected string diagram can be constructed by iterating these two processes. This proves “if”. “Only if” is proved by choosing any separable monoid  $F : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  in some  $\mathcal{C}$  where  $\psi \circ \phi \neq 1$  and  $\psi_0 \circ \phi_0 \neq 1$ ; then a disconnected  $\Gamma$  labelled in  $\mathbf{1}$  will not satisfy Equation 3.1. We sketch the construction of one such example: consider the category **2Thick'** whose objects are finite disjoint unions of the interval, embedded in the plane, and whose morphisms are boundary-preserving ambient homotopy classes of smooth oriented surfaces embedded in the plane with boundary equal to the union of domain and codomain. This category is closely related to the category **2Thick** described in [7], and indeed we shall meet **2Thick** again in the sequel. For the moment, we note that **2Thick'** is an instance of the free category containing a separable Frobenius algebra, and satisfies the two above inequalities. □

What this implies is that separable Frobenius monoidal functors preserve equations in monoidal categories for which both sides of the equation are values of connected string diagrams. For example:

**Corollary 3.2.** *For  $n > 1$ , equations of the form:*

$$(a_n \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes a_{n-1}) \circ (a_{n-2} \otimes 1) \circ \cdots = (1 \otimes b_n) \circ (b_{n-1} \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes b_{n-2}) \circ \cdots : A \otimes A \otimes A \longrightarrow A \otimes A \otimes A,$$




FIGURE 4.

involving morphisms

$$a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n : A \otimes A \longrightarrow A \otimes A,$$

are stable under  $F$ -conjugation. In fact, for  $n = 2$ , Frobenius  $F$  will do.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 can be slightly modified to give the analogous result for merely Frobenius monoidal functors instead of separable Frobenius monoidal functors. Namely:

**Theorem 3.3.** *A progressive plane string diagram  $\Lambda$  is Frobenius invariant if and only if it is connected and simply connected.*


*Proof.* We have noted that all connected string diagrams can be obtained as iterations of the construction shown in Figure 2 and its dual, with the restriction that  $p > 0$ . All connected and simply connected string

diagrams can be obtained in this way with the restriction that  $p = 1$ . The only step of the proof in Figure 4 which requires separability is the cancellation of  $FC \xrightarrow{\psi} C^F \xrightarrow{\phi} FC$  to obtain the identity on  $FC$ ; since  $p = 1$ , we have  $FC = C^F = FC_1$ , and both of these maps are identities. Hence, the same proof will go through in this case, establishing “if”. Similarly to the above, “Only if” is proved by choosing any Frobenius monoid  $F : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  in some  $\mathcal{C}$  where  $\psi \circ \phi \neq 1$  and  $\phi \circ \psi \neq 1$  and  $\psi_0 \circ \phi_0 \neq 1$ ; then a disconnected  $\Gamma$  labelled in  $\mathbf{1}$  will not satisfy Equation 3.1. We sketch the construction of one such example: consider once more the category **2Thick** from the previous proof; if we take the morphisms up to boundary-preserving diffeomorphism, instead of ambient homotopy as before, we obtain **2Thick** as described in [7]. As noted there, it is an instance of the free category containing a Frobenius monoid, and it is readily seen that it satisfies the above three inequalities.

□

**Corollary 3.4.** *Weak bimonoids are preserved by braided separable Frobenius functors.*

*Proof.* Weak bimonoids satisfy Equations 2.8, 2.9, and 2.10 these equations are labelled versions of the following string-diagrams:



These are clearly connected and hence preserved by separable Frobenius functors. The asterisks indicate labellings by braids or their inverses, these are preserved by braided Frobenius functors.

□

However, the bimonoids are not preserved in general: the three unit and counit equations for an actual bimonoid involve non-connected string diagrams.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Weak distributive laws are preserved under  $F$ -conjugation.*

However, distributive laws are not preserved in general: the string diagrams for the right-hand sides of Equations 2.6 are not connected.

**Corollary 3.6.** *Lax YB-operators are preserved under  $F$ -conjugation.*

However, YB-operators are not preserved: invertibility involves an equation whose underlying diagram is a pair of disjoint strings and so is disconnected.

**Corollary 3.7.** *Weak YB-operators are preserved under  $F$ -conjugation. In particular, the  $F$ -conjugate of a YB-operator is a weak YB-operator.*

**Proposition 3.8.** *Every weak YB-operator is the conjugate of a YB-operator under some separable Frobenius monoidal functor.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a monoidal category containing an object  $D$  and an idempotent  $\nabla : D \otimes D \longrightarrow D \otimes D$  such that  $(\nabla \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \nabla) = (1 \otimes \nabla)(\nabla \otimes 1)$ . Then there is an idempotent  $\nabla_n : D^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow D^{\otimes n}$  recursively defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_0 &= 1_I \\ \nabla_1 &= 1_D \\ \nabla_2 &= \nabla \\ \nabla_n &= (1 \otimes \nabla_{n-1}) \circ (\nabla \otimes 1) \quad \text{for } n > 2\end{aligned}$$


Let  $\mathcal{C}(D)$  be the subcategory of  $\mathcal{QC}$  whose objects are the pairs  $(D^{\otimes n}, \nabla_n)$  and whose morphisms  $f : (D^{\otimes n}, \nabla_n) \rightarrow (D^{\otimes m}, \nabla_m)$  are those in  $\mathcal{QC}$  for which:

$$(1 \otimes f)(\nabla_n \otimes 1) = (\nabla_m \otimes 1)(1 \otimes f) \quad \text{and} \quad (f \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \nabla_n) = (1 \otimes \nabla_m)(f \otimes 1).$$

The category  $\mathcal{C}(D)$  becomes monoidal via  $(D^{\otimes n}, \nabla_n) \otimes (D^{\otimes m}, \nabla_m) = (D^{\otimes(n+m)}, \nabla_{n+m})$ . Note that this is not the same as the usual tensor product on  $\mathcal{QC}$  which is inherited from that of  $\mathcal{C}$ . A weak Yang-Baxter operator on  $D$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a Yang-Baxter operator on  $D$  in  $\mathcal{C}(D)$ . If idempotents split in  $\mathcal{C}$  then we have  $\mathcal{C}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  taking each idempotent to a splitting. Moreover,  $\mathcal{C}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is separable Frobenius (although not strong) and so each weak YB-operator is the image of a real YB-operator.

**Proposition 3.9.** *Prebimonoidal functors compose.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $F : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is prebimonoidal with respect to a YB-operator  $y$  on  $T : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and a YB-operator  $z$  on  $FT$ , and suppose further that  $G : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  is prebimonoidal with respect to  $z$  and a YB-operator  $a$  on  $GFT$ . Then the diagram below proves that  $GF$  is prebimonoidal with respect to  $y$  and  $a$ :






The diamonds commute by naturality of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  and the left and right pentagons commute by prebi-monoidality of  $F$  and  $G$ , respectively. The four outer regions commute by definition of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  for the composite  $GF$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.10.** *If  $F$  is separable Frobenius then it is prebimonoidal relative to  $y$  and  $z = y^F$ .*

The proof is contained in the following commutative diagram:



The five diamonds commute since  $F$  is Frobenius, and the two right-hand triangles commute since  $F$  is separable. The rhombus commutes by definition of  $y^F$ , the parallelograms by naturality of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ , and the two irregular cells are trivial.

**Proposition 3.11.** *A strong monoidal functor between braided monoidal categories is prebimonoidal if and only if it is braided.*

*Proof.* As noted above, strong monoidal functors are separable Frobenius, and strong monoidal functors are braided precisely when  $c_{A,B}^F = c_{FA,FB}$ , so the above proposition establishes “if”. Conversely, suppose that  $F$  is prebimonoidal with respect to the two braidings, and consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & FA \otimes FB & & \\
& \nearrow & \parallel & \searrow \phi & \\
I \otimes FA \otimes FB \otimes I & & F(I \otimes A) \otimes F(B \otimes I) & & F(A \otimes B) \\
& \nwarrow \psi_0 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \psi_0 & \nwarrow \psi \otimes \psi & \searrow \phi & \parallel \\
& & FI \otimes FA \otimes FB \otimes FI & & F(I \otimes A \otimes B \otimes I) \\
& & \parallel & & \parallel \\
& & FI \otimes FB \otimes FA \otimes FI & & F(I \otimes B \otimes A \otimes I) \\
& \nwarrow \phi_0 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \phi_0 & \nwarrow \phi \otimes \phi & \nwarrow \psi & \parallel \\
I \otimes FB \otimes FA \otimes I & & F(I \otimes B) \otimes F(A \otimes I) & & F(B \otimes A) \\
& \nearrow & \parallel & \nearrow \psi & \\
& & FB \otimes FA & & 
\end{array}$$

$\begin{array}{l} \text{Left vertical arrow: } 1 \otimes c \otimes 1 \\ \text{Middle vertical arrow: } 1 \otimes c \otimes 1 \\ \text{Right vertical arrow: } F(1 \otimes c \otimes 1) \\ \text{Far right vertical arrow: } Fc \end{array}$

The middle cell commutes since  $F$  is prebimonoidal, the bottom left since  $\phi$  is monoidal, and the top left since  $\psi$  is opmonoidal. The three right-hand cells commute by definition, and, noting that  $\phi_0$  and  $\psi_0$  are both natural and mutually inverse, the left-hand cell does so also. Hence, the full diagram shows that  $c_{A,B}^F = c_{FA,FB}$ , as desired.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. N. Alonso Álvarez, J. M. Fernández Vilaboa and R. González Rodríguez, Weak Hopf algebras and weak Yang-Baxter operators, *Journal of Algebra* **320** (2008), 2101–2143.
- [2] J. M. Beck, Distributive laws, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* (Springer, Berlin) **80** (1969), 119–140. [www.tac.mta.ca/tac/reprints/articles/18/tr18abs.html](http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/reprints/articles/18/tr18abs.html)
- [3] J. R. Cockett and R. A. Seely, Linearly distributive functors, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **143** (1999), 155–203.
- [4] B. J. Day and C. A. Pastro, Note on Frobenius monoidal functors, *New York Journal of Mathematics* **14** (2008), 733–742. <http://arxiv.org/pdf/0801.4107v2>
- [5] A. Joyal and R. Street, Braided tensor categories, *Advances in Mathematics* **102** (1993), 20–78. MR **94m**:18008
- [6] A. Joyal and R. Street, The geometry of tensor calculus I, *Advances in Mathematics* **88** (1991), 55–112.
- [7] A. Lauda, Frobenius algebras and planar open string topological field theories, (2005) <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0508349>
- [8] C. Pastro and R. Street, Weak Hopf monoids in braided monoidal categories, *Algebra and Number Theory* **3** (2009), 149–207. <http://pjm.math.berkeley.edu/ant/2009/3-2/index.xhtml>
- [9] R. Street (2009). Weak distributive laws. submitted
- [10] K. Szlachányi, Finite quantum groupoids and inclusions of finite type, *Fields Institute Communications* **30** (2001), 393–407.
- [11] K. Szlachányi, Adjointable monoidal functors and quantum groupoids, *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics* **239** (2005), 291–307. <http://arXiv.org/pdf/math/0301253>

M. B. McCURDY, MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY, NSW 2109, AUSTRALIA  
*E-mail address:* micah.mccurdy@gmail.com

R. STREET, MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY, NSW 2109, AUSTRALIA  
*E-mail address:* street@math.mq.edu.au