

Bulk viscosities for cold Fermi superfluids close to the unitary limit

Miguel Angel Escobedo,^{1,*} Massimo Mannarelli,^{2,†} and Cristina Manuel^{2,‡}

¹ *Departament d'Estructura i Constituents de la Matèria and
Institut de Ciències del Cosmos, Universitat de Barcelona
Diagonal 647, E-08028 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain*

² *Instituto de Ciencias del Espacio (IEEC/CSIC) Campus Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona,
Facultat de Ciències, Torre C5, E-08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Catalonia, Spain*

(Dated: October 30, 2018)

We compute the coefficients of bulk viscosity for a non-relativistic superfluid corresponding to a fermionic system close to the unitarity limit. We consider the low temperature regime assuming that the transport properties of the system are dominated by phonons. To compute the coefficients of bulk viscosity we use kinetic theory in the relaxation time approximation and the low energy effective field theory of the corresponding system. We show that the three independent bulk viscosity coefficients, $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3$, associated with irreversible flows vanish for phonons with a linear dispersion law. Considering a phonon dispersion law with a cubic term in momentum we find that in the conformal limit $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = 0$, while ζ_3 is non-zero. Including a conformal breaking term which arises for a large but finite s -wave scattering length, a , at the leading order in $1/a$ we obtain that $\zeta_1 \propto 1/a$ and $\zeta_2 \propto 1/a^2$.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Ss;47.37.+q;51.20.+d

I. INTRODUCTION

The properties of quantum degenerate fermionic systems with an attractive two-body scattering interaction have been the subject of extensive investigation in the last years [1]. Of particular interest are systems with an infinite two-body scattering length that are believed to have universal properties [2], meaning that the features of the system are independent of the detailed form of the inter-particle potential.

Experiments with trapped cold atomic gases are able to reach the region of infinite scattering length (the so-called unitarity limit) tuning the interaction between the fermionic atoms by means of a magnetic-field Feshbach resonance [3, 4, 5, 6]. In general, in these experiments the two different populations of fermions consist of atoms, like ^6Li or ^{40}K , in two hyperfine states. The strength of the interaction between atoms depends on the applied magnetic field and can be measured in terms of the s -wave scattering length. By varying the magnetic-field controlled interaction, fermionic pairing is observed to undergo the Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) to Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) crossover. The unitary limit is reached when the magnetic field is tuned at the Feshbach resonance [7], where the two-body scattering length diverges.

Far from the unitarity limit the properties of the system are qualitatively well understood using mean field theory [8]. In the weak coupling BCS region the system is characterized by the formation of Cooper pairs. In the strong coupling limit the system can be described as a BEC dilute gas. The extreme BCS and BEC regimes are also in good quantitative control in mean field theory. However, the mean field expansion is not reliable close to unitarity because the scattering length is much larger than the inter-particle distance and there is no small parameter in the Lagrangian to expand in. Therefore fluctuations may change the mean field results substantially.

Close to the unitarity region a quantitative understanding of the phases comes mainly from Monte-Carlo simulations [9]. Other approaches consist in considering the expansion in a small parameter that comes from the generalization to an arbitrary number N of spins [10], or to d space dimensions [11]. In the former case, for $N \rightarrow \infty$ the problem is exactly solvable by mean-field theory and one can consider $1/N$ corrections and then extrapolates to $N = 2$. In the second case one considers an $\epsilon = 4 - d$ expansion and then extrapolates the result to $d = 3$. Both approaches are in quantitative agreement with Monte-Carlo simulations for the equation of state of the system. A different method consists in adding the quantum fluctuations on the top of the mean-field theory [12, 13]. In this way one improves the agreement with Monte-Carlo simulations, with respect to the bare mean-field calculation.

*Electronic address: mesco@ecm.ub.es

†Electronic address: massimo@ieec.uab.es

‡Electronic address: cmanuel@ieec.uab.es

It is a remarkable aspect of these fermionic systems that for any value of the attractive interaction they are superfluid, provided the temperature is sufficiently low. Superfluidity is a phenomenon that occurs after the appearance of a quantum condensate that breaks a global $U(1)$ symmetry of the system [14, 15, 16], regardless of whether the system is fermionic or bosonic. In both cases Goldstone's theorem predicts the existence of low energy modes with a linear dispersion law, which are essential to explain the property of superfluidity. We will refer generically to these modes as superfluid phonons, or phonons for simplicity, which dominate the transport effects of the system at very low temperature.

The hydrodynamic equations governing the bulk fluctuations of a superfluid are essentially different from standard fluid equations. At non-vanishing temperature one has to employ the two-fluid description of Landau [14], which takes into account the motion of both the superfluid and of the normal component of the system. In order to describe the different dissipative processes one has to introduce more transport coefficients than in a normal fluid. In particular, one has three independent bulk viscosities [17], $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3$, as well as the shear viscosity and the thermal conductivity. The shear viscosity of a unitary superfluid at low temperature has been computed in Ref. [18]. In Ref. [19] it has been shown by a general argument that ζ_1 and ζ_2 vanish in the conformal limit [19]. However, ζ_3 and the thermal conductivity associated with irreversible heat flow cannot be determined by the same symmetry reasoning.

In the present paper we evaluate the three bulk viscosity coefficients in the low temperature regime, $T \ll T_c$, where T_c is the critical temperature for superfluidity, as we consider the contribution of phonons only, assuming that the contribution of other degrees of freedom is thermally suppressed. We show that all the bulk viscosities vanish for phonons with a linear dispersion law. This is a result that was obtained several years ago by Khalatnikov and Chernikova [20], although not widely known. These authors also found that for superfluid ${}^4\text{He}$ all the transport coefficients, with the exception of the shear viscosity, vanish if one considers only phonons with a linear dispersion law. Then, we evaluate the transport coefficients considering a phonon dispersion law that includes cubic corrections. We report the general expressions for the three dissipative coefficients, given in terms of the parameters that appear in the phonon dispersion law and in terms of the pertinent decay rate. Our results strongly depend on the coefficient of the cubic term in the phonon dispersion law, which is poorly known. If experiments and/or Monte-Carlo simulations could measure more precisely the value of this coefficient then we would know with more accuracy the numerical values of the bulk viscosities.

For the specific system we are interested in, the properties of the phonons needed in our computation can be extracted from the effective field theory constructed in Ref. [21]. The Lagrangian of this theory is determined by demanding non-relativistic general coordinate invariance and conformal invariance and assuming that phonons are the only relevant degrees of freedom. From the effective Lagrangian one obtains that the phonon dispersion law and self-couplings depend on some universal and dimensionless constants. Employing the expressions for these quantities we show that in the conformal limit $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = 0$, while ζ_3 is non-zero.

As a final step we study a system that is close to unitarity. In this case scale invariance is broken and additional terms in the effective Lagrangian must be taken into account [21]. In the presence of a large but finite s -wave scattering length a , we study how the phonon dispersion law and the three-body self-couplings are modified. Then, we evaluate the first non-vanishing corrections to the bulk viscosity coefficients and find that $\zeta_1 \propto 1/a$ and $\zeta_2 \propto 1/a^2$.

It is also interesting to compare our results with those corresponding to a Bose superfluid. A computation of all the transport coefficients for a dilute but condensed Bose gas due to phonons was presented in Ref. [22], see also Ref. [16] for a more extended discussion. Remarkably, the temperature dependence for the bulk viscosities in these two different superfluids are the same.

Let us finally point out that the techniques we employ, and even the explicit computations, are very similar to those used in the evaluation of the transport coefficients for relativistic superfluids, in particular for the color flavor locked phase of dense quark matter [23, 24].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II we briefly review the superfluid hydrodynamics for a non-relativistic fluid including effects due to dissipation. The dissipative coefficients can be determined using kinetic theory and in Section III we give general expressions for the bulk viscosity coefficients employing the two different methods described in Ref. [15]. In Section IV we present the low energy effective theory valid for a cold Fermi gas, in the exact unitarity limit, Sec. IV A, and close to it, Sec. IV B. The explicit evaluation of the bulk viscosity coefficients is reported in Sec. V. We draw our conclusions in Sec. VI.

Throughout, we use natural units, so that we take the Boltzmann and Planck constants as $k_B = \hbar = 1$ in all our computations, but we restore them in the final section.

II. SUPERFLUID HYDRODYNAMICS AND KINETIC THEORY FOR THE PHONONS

In this Section we briefly review the two-fluid theory of superfluidity developed by Landau. We also provide the main ingredients of the kinetic theory for superfluid systems described by Khalatnikov [15]. This Section might be

skipped by the reader who is familiar with superfluid hydrodynamics.

A. Hydrodynamics of a non-relativistic superfluid

In a superfluid there are two independent motions, one normal and the other superfluid, with velocities \mathbf{v}_n and \mathbf{v}_s , respectively. These motions are associated with two different matter and current densities, such that the total density and the total current density of the system are given by the sum of the superfluid and normal components as

$$\rho = \rho_n + \rho_s, \quad \mathbf{j} = \rho_n \mathbf{v}_n + \rho_s \mathbf{v}_s. \quad (1)$$

The superfluid motion is irrotational, $\text{curl } \mathbf{v}_s = 0$, and thus its velocity can be written as the gradient of a scalar function that is proportional to the phase of the wave function condensate.

The hydrodynamic equations have the form of mass and momentum conservation laws and neglecting dissipation they are respectively given by

$$\partial_t \rho + \text{div} \mathbf{j} = 0, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\partial_t j_i + \partial_k \Pi_{ik} = 0, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\Pi_{ik} = \rho_n v_{ni} v_{nk} + \rho_s v_{si} v_{sk} + P \delta_{ik}, \quad (4)$$

is the momentum flux density tensor, and P is the pressure of the system.

Since in a superfluid there can be two different motions, beside Eq. (2) one has a second hydrodynamic equation describing the irrotational motion of the superfluid component

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v}_s + \nabla \left(\mu + \frac{\mathbf{v}_s^2}{2} \right) = 0, \quad (5)$$

which indicates that a gradient in the chemical potential acts as a force for the superfluid component.

In order to complete the system of equations, the energy conservation law is also needed

$$\partial_t E + \text{div}(\mathbf{Q}) = 0, \quad (6)$$

where E is the energy per unit volume and the energy flux is given by

$$\mathbf{Q} = \left(\mu + \frac{\mathbf{v}_s^2}{2} \right) \mathbf{j} + ST \mathbf{v}_n + \rho_n \mathbf{v}_n (\mathbf{v}_n \cdot (\mathbf{v}_n - \mathbf{v}_s)), \quad (7)$$

where S is the entropy. In the absence of dissipation, entropy is conserved and one has that $\partial_t S + \text{div}(S \mathbf{v}_n) = 0$.

In the presence of dissipative processes there are additional contributions to the hydrodynamic equations that arise from irreversible processes, thus

$$\frac{\partial j_i}{\partial t} + \partial_j (\Pi_{ij} + \tau_{ij}) = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_s}{\partial t} + \nabla \left(\mu + \frac{\mathbf{v}_s^2}{2} + h \right) = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}') = 0, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\mathbf{Q}' = \mathbf{q} + h(\mathbf{j} - \rho \mathbf{v}_n) + \tau \cdot \mathbf{v}_n, \quad (11)$$

and τ_{ij} , h and \mathbf{q} are small dissipative terms. In this case entropy is not conserved and the entropy production rate is given by

$$R = -h \nabla \cdot (\rho_s (\mathbf{v}_n - \mathbf{v}_s)) - \tau_{ik} \partial_k v_{ni} - \frac{1}{T} \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla T. \quad (12)$$

From the requirement that the dissipative processes induce an increase of the entropy it follows that

$$\tau_{ij} = -\eta(\partial_j v_{ni} + \partial_i v_{nj} - \frac{2}{3}\delta_{ij}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_n) - \delta_{ij}(\zeta_1 \nabla \cdot (\rho_s(\mathbf{v}_s - \mathbf{v}_n)) + \zeta_2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_n), \quad (13)$$

$$h = -\zeta_3 \nabla \cdot (\rho_s(\mathbf{v}_s - \mathbf{v}_n)) - \zeta_4 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_n, \quad (14)$$

$$\mathbf{q} = -\kappa \nabla T, \quad (15)$$

where η is the shear viscosity, κ is the thermal conductivity associated with irreversible heat flow, and $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4$ are the four bulk viscosity coefficients. According to the Onsager symmetry principle, the transport coefficients satisfy the relation $\zeta_1 = \zeta_4$, while the requirement of positive entropy production imposes that $\kappa, \eta, \zeta_2, \zeta_3$ are positive and that $\zeta_1^2 \leq \zeta_2 \zeta_3$.

Due to the presence of various bulk viscosity coefficients, the hydrodynamic equations are quite involved. From Eq.(13) it is clear that ζ_2 plays the same role as the standard bulk viscosity coefficient. On the other hand, from Eqs. (13) and (14), one can see that ζ_1, ζ_3 and ζ_4 provide a coupling between the hydrodynamic equations of the two components. It is the presence of these couplings that makes the hydrodynamic equations complicated.

However, notice that the force acting on the superfluid component is proportional to the gradient of h and therefore, even in the presence of dissipation, the motion of the superfluid component will be almost everywhere irrotational, with vorticity concentrated in superfluid vortices, see *e.g.* [25].

Let us assume that the superfluid component has a constant density. The dissipative terms associated with ζ_1 and ζ_3 vanish when $\mathbf{v}_s - \mathbf{v}_n = \text{const}$. Therefore, these viscosity terms oppose to the presence of arbitrary, space dependent, relative motion between the two components. However, the motion of the normal component is not irrotational (due to the presence of the shear viscosity) whereas the superfluid component is irrotational. This means that it is never possible for these dissipative terms to be zero, unless ζ_1 and ζ_3 are zero or unless a superfluid vortex is created. Finally, the effect of the dissipative term proportional to ζ_4 is to produce a force on the superfluid component, due to the variation of the velocity of the normal component.

The friction forces due to bulk viscosities can also be understood as drops in the main driving forces acting on the normal and superfluid components. These forces are given by the gradients of P and μ , respectively. Therefore we can write that

$$P = P_{\text{eq}} - \zeta_1 \text{div}(\rho_s(\mathbf{v}_n - \mathbf{v}_s)) - \zeta_2 \text{div} \mathbf{v}_n, \quad (16)$$

$$\mu = \mu_{\text{eq}} - \zeta_3 \text{div}(\rho_s(\mathbf{v}_n - \mathbf{v}_s)) - \zeta_4 \text{div} \mathbf{v}_n, \quad (17)$$

where P_{eq} and μ_{eq} are the pressure and chemical potential in the absence of bulk viscosities. We shall use this interpretation for the computation of the bulk viscosity coefficients.

In the following Sections we will find that in the conformal limit $\zeta_4 = \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = 0$ whilst $\zeta_3 \neq 0$, meaning that the only dissipative force acting on the normal component is due to the shear viscosity. This force will be a source of vorticity for the normal component and since ζ_3 is non-zero, it will generate a force acting on the superfluid component that will tend to make $\mathbf{v}_s - \mathbf{v}_n$ constant.

B. Kinetic theory for the superfluid phonons

At very low temperatures phonons give the leading thermal contribution to all the thermodynamic properties of the superfluid. In the hydrodynamic regime, phonons also give the leading contribution to the transport coefficients entering into the two-fluid equations. Khalatnikov developed the kinetic theory associated to these degrees of freedom that we briefly review [15].

Let us first note that at higher temperatures various quasiparticles or collective modes may contribute to the thermodynamics or to transport phenomena. For superfluid ^4He the energy spectrum reveals the presence of excitations called rotons, that can be taken into account in the construction of the kinetic theory [15]. For the cold Fermi gas close to the unitary limit, finite temperature Quantum Monte-Carlo simulations [26] and experimental measurements [27], reveal that only at very low temperatures the thermal spectrum might be well-described by phonons. At higher temperature the spectrum is much more complicated, and in the computation of transport coefficients one may need to include other different contributions. However, we shall not consider such a high temperature regime.

In general one can assume that the dispersion law of phonons is given by

$$\epsilon_p = c_s p + B p^3 + \mathcal{O}(p^5), \quad (18)$$

where c_s is the speed of the phonon and for systems with a small coefficient of thermal expansion it is equal to the speed of first sound.

Under the assumption that the leading contribution is due to phonons, one can compute various thermodynamic quantities starting from the phonon distribution function n . The entropy density is given by

$$S_{ph} = \frac{1}{6\pi^2 T^2} \int dp p^3 n(n+1) \epsilon_p \frac{\partial \epsilon_p}{\partial p}, \quad (19)$$

the number of phonons per unit volume is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_{ph} = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} n, \quad (20)$$

and the phonon contribution to the total chemical potential is given by

$$\mu = \mu_0 + \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int n \frac{\partial \epsilon_p}{\partial p} p^2 dp, \quad (21)$$

where μ_0 is the chemical potential at zero temperature.

In the study of transport phenomena it is necessary to consider the evolution of the out-of-equilibrium phonon distribution function n , which obeys the kinetic equation

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial n}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \cdot \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{p}} - \frac{\partial n}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \cdot \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{r}} = C[n], \quad (22)$$

where $H = \epsilon_p + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v}_s$ is the phonon Hamiltonian, and $C[n]$ is the collision integral.

At equilibrium phonons follow the Bose-Einstein distribution

$$n_{eq}(\epsilon_p) = \frac{1}{e^{\epsilon_p/T} - 1}, \quad (23)$$

and the collision term vanishes. For small departures from equilibrium one can linearize the collision term on the deviations $\delta n = n - n_{eq}$ and the transport coefficients can be obtained by solving the kinetic equation obeyed by δn . In general, this is a complicated task, as one has to deal with an integro-differential equation. For our purposes it is sufficient to obtain an approximated expression for the transport coefficients and therefore we shall use the relaxation time approximation (RTA). In the RTA the collision term is written as

$$\delta C = -\frac{\delta n}{\tau_{rel}}, \quad (24)$$

where τ_{rel} is the relaxation time for the collisional process that gives the leading contribution to the transport phenomena one is studying; for the bulk viscosity coefficients, collisions that change the phonon number.

Using the RTA one can easily obtain the solution for δn , and the corresponding dissipative fluxes in the hydrodynamic equations. The RTA provides the correct parametric dependence of the various transport coefficients on the relevant scales, although it does not fix with accuracy the numerical factor in front of these quantities. In the present article we shall content ourselves with the RTA. The reason is that for a system of cold fermionic atoms the coefficient of cubic order in the phonon dispersion law has not been precisely determined, therefore there is little motivation for achieving a good precision on the numerical factors of the transport coefficients.

III. PHONON CONTRIBUTION TO THE BULK VISCOSITY COEFFICIENTS

In this Section we compute the bulk viscosity coefficients for a non-relativistic superfluid. Khalatnikov described two different methods for the evaluation of these quantities, one based on studying the evolution of the phonon number density \mathcal{N}_{ph} , see Sec. III A, and the other one based on studying the evolution of the phonon distribution function n , see Sec. III B. Here we present in detail both methods and show that the first method corresponds to solving the transport equation in the relaxation time approximation, and thus, it is equivalent to the second method.

We find that for phonons with a linear dispersion law all the bulk viscosity coefficients vanish, independent of whether the system is conformal invariant or not. Then, we evaluate the bulk viscosity coefficients for phonons with a cubic dispersion law and express the result in terms of the parameters B and c_s , see Eq. (18).

A. Evaluation of the bulk viscosity coefficient with the first method

When a perturbation applied to a superfluid system determines a change of the number of phonons per unit volume, \mathcal{N}_{ph} , collisional processes tend to restore the equilibrium value of this quantity. The evolution equation for the phonon number can be written as

$$\partial_t \mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}} + \text{div}(\mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}}) = -\frac{\Gamma_{\text{ph}}}{T} \mu_{\text{ph}}, \quad (25)$$

where the rate of change is expressed as a power expansion in a “fake” phonon chemical potential, μ_{ph} , and the decay rate of phonon changing processes, Γ_{ph} [28]. Expressing the phonon number as a function of the density and of entropy, and using the linearized continuity equations for these quantities, one can express the phonon chemical potential in terms of the different dissipative flows that appear in the hydrodynamic equations. These terms modify the equilibrium pressure and chemical potential, and comparing the results with the expression in Eqs. (16) and (17), one identifies the different bulk viscosity coefficients.

For small departures from equilibrium and for small values of \mathbf{v}_s and \mathbf{v}_n it turns out that [15]

$$\zeta_1 = -\frac{T}{\Gamma_{\text{ph}}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}}}{\partial \rho} \left(\mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}} - S \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}}}{\partial S} - \rho \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}}}{\partial \rho} \right) = -\frac{T}{\Gamma_{\text{ph}}} I_1 I_2, \quad (26)$$

$$\zeta_2 = \frac{T}{\Gamma_{\text{ph}}} \left(\mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}} - S \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}}}{\partial S} - \rho \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}}}{\partial \rho} \right)^2 = \frac{T}{\Gamma_{\text{ph}}} I_2^2, \quad (27)$$

$$\zeta_3 = \frac{T}{\Gamma_{\text{ph}}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}}}{\partial \rho} \right)^2 = \frac{T}{\Gamma_{\text{ph}}} I_1^2, \quad (28)$$

and therefore $\zeta_1^2 = \zeta_2 \zeta_3$, meaning that one of the relation for positive entropy production is saturated. Here, we have defined the quantity

$$I_1 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}}}{\partial \rho}, \quad (29)$$

while

$$I_2 = \mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}} - S \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}}}{\partial S} - \rho \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}}}{\partial \rho}, \quad (30)$$

and in order to evaluate the various derivatives that appear in these expressions we change variables. Consider that in Eqs. (29) and (30) it is assumed that the independent variables are S and ρ . Now, we write $S = S(T, \mu_0)$ and $\rho = \rho(T, \mu_0)$, and by the chain-rule we have that

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}}}{\partial S} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}}}{\partial T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial S} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}}}{\partial \mu_0} \frac{\partial \mu_0}{\partial S}, \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}}}{\partial \rho} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}}}{\partial T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}}}{\partial \mu_0} \frac{\partial \mu_0}{\partial \rho}. \quad (32)$$

One can simplify these expressions using the Maxwell relation

$$\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \rho} \right)_S = \left(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial S} \right)_\rho, \quad (33)$$

and it is now easy to check that with a linear dispersion law all bulk viscosity coefficients vanish. In order to evaluate the leading correction in B to the viscosity coefficients we define the adimensional parameter

$$x = \frac{BT^2}{c_s^3}, \quad (34)$$

and expand the various quantities evaluated with the equilibrium phonon distribution function to the leading order in x . In this way we obtain that the number of phonons per unit volume is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}} = \frac{T^3}{2\pi^2 c_s^3} \left(\Gamma(3)\zeta(3) - x \Gamma(6)\zeta(5) + \mathcal{O}(x^2) \right), \quad (35)$$

while the entropy turns out to be

$$S_{ph} = \frac{T^3}{6\pi^2 c_s^3} \left(\Gamma(5)\zeta(4) - 3x\Gamma(7)\zeta(6) + \mathcal{O}(x^2) \right), \quad (36)$$

and the chemical potential is given by

$$\mu = \mu_0 + \frac{T^4}{2\pi^2 c_s^4} \left(\frac{\partial c_s}{\partial \rho} \Gamma(4)\zeta(4) + x\Gamma(6)\zeta(6) \left(\frac{c_s}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \rho} - 6 \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial \rho} \right) + \mathcal{O}(x^2) \right). \quad (37)$$

In all the above expressions $\Gamma(z)$ and $\zeta(z)$ stand for the Gamma and Riemann zeta functions, respectively.

From these expressions we have that the first non-vanishing corrections to I_1 and I_2 are

$$I_1 = \frac{60}{7c_s^7 \pi^2} T^5 \left(\pi^2 \zeta(3) - 7\zeta(5) \right) \left(c_s \frac{\partial B}{\partial \rho} - B \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial \rho} \right), \quad (38)$$

and

$$I_2 = -\frac{40Bc_s}{7c_s^7 \pi^2} T^5 \left(\pi^2 \zeta(3) - 7\zeta(5) \right) - \rho I_1 = -\frac{20}{7c_s^7 \pi^2} T^5 \left(\pi^2 \zeta(3) - 7\zeta(5) \right) \left(2Bc_s + 3\rho \left(c_s \frac{\partial B}{\partial \rho} - B \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial \rho} \right) \right). \quad (39)$$

These expressions are fully general, valid for any non-relativistic superfluid to the leading order in x . Notice that for the next-to-leading order temperature corrections one has to include terms in the phonon dispersion law going as p^5 , that we have neglected. In this paper we will only consider the first non-vanishing correction to the bulk viscosity coefficients, the next-to-leading order corrections being very suppressed at low temperatures.

Once the explicit expressions of c_s and B and their dependence on the density are known, I_1 and I_2 can be evaluated. The quantity that remains to be evaluated is the decay rate of phonon changing processes, Γ_{ph} . The parameter B determines whether some processes are or are not kinematically allowed. For $B > 0$ the leading contribution comes from the Beliaev process $\phi \rightarrow \phi\phi$. In the opposite case one has to consider processes like $\phi\phi \rightarrow \phi\phi\phi$ [29].

B. Evaluation of the bulk viscosity coefficients with the second method

The transport coefficients that appear in the superfluid equations can be derived by studying the evolution of the deviations from equilibrium of the phonon distribution function, δn . The Boltzmann equation (22), amended with a collision term describing phonon number changing processes, is linearized to get the equation obeyed by δn . At this stage one could use, for example, the Chapman-Enskog procedure, see e.g. [22]. This consists in assuming that δn can be expressed as a function of the hydrodynamical variables and their gradients. Then, the equation could be solved by a variety of numerical approaches (variational method, use of orthonormal polynomials, etc). At a technical level, the problem is very much simplified with the use of the RTA. Then one gets a simple analytical solution for δn , which is good enough to get an approximated value of the transport coefficients. The RTA allows us to get the correct parametric dependence of the transport coefficients on the scales of the problem, but it does not fix with accuracy the numerical factor in front of these quantities.

Here we show that using the RTA we obtain the same results found in the previous Section if we take the relaxation time as

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{rel}} \sim \frac{\Gamma_{ph}}{\mathcal{N}_{ph}}, \quad (40)$$

where Γ_{ph} is the phonon decay rate appearing in Eq. (25).

With the use of the RTA one finds the following expressions for the bulk viscosity coefficients [15]

$$\zeta_1 = -\tau_{rel} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{n_{eq}(\epsilon_p)(1 + n_{eq}(\epsilon_p))}{T} J_1 \left(\frac{1}{3} \mathbf{p} \cdot \frac{\partial \epsilon_p}{\partial \mathbf{p}} + \rho \frac{\partial \epsilon_p}{\partial \rho} \right), \quad (41)$$

$$\zeta_2 = -\tau_{rel} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{n_{eq}(\epsilon_p)(1 + n_{eq}(\epsilon_p))}{T} J_2 \left(\frac{1}{3} \mathbf{p} \cdot \frac{\partial \epsilon_p}{\partial \mathbf{p}} + \rho \frac{\partial \epsilon_p}{\partial \rho} \right), \quad (42)$$

$$\zeta_3 = -\tau_{rel} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{n_{eq}(\epsilon_p)(1 + n_{eq}(\epsilon_p))}{T} J_1 \frac{\partial \epsilon_p}{\partial \rho}, \quad (43)$$

$$\zeta_4 = -\tau_{rel} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{n_{eq}(\epsilon_p)(1 + n_{eq}(\epsilon_p))}{T} J_2 \frac{\partial \epsilon_p}{\partial \rho}, \quad (44)$$

where we have defined the quantities

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \rho} \epsilon_p - \frac{\partial \epsilon_p}{\partial \rho}, \quad (45)$$

$$J_2 = \rho J_1 + \frac{S}{T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial S} \epsilon_p - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial \epsilon_p}{\partial \rho} \cdot \mathbf{p}. \quad (46)$$

At the leading order in B all the integrals are zero, so we evaluate J_1 and J_2 at order B^2 . Then we realize that they can be written as

$$J_1 = \left(c_s \frac{\partial B}{\partial \rho} - B \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial \rho} \right) p \frac{M}{L}, \quad (47)$$

$$J_2 = \left(2Bc_s + 3\rho \left(c_s \frac{\partial B}{\partial \rho} - B \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial \rho} \right) \right) p \frac{M}{3L}, \quad (48)$$

where we have defined the quantities

$$M = -7c_s^6 p^2 + 120\pi^2 B c_s^3 p^2 T^2 + 20c_s^4 \pi^2 T^2 - 896\pi^4 B c_s T^4 + \mathcal{O}(B^2), \quad (49)$$

$$L = 7c_s^6 - 100Bc_s^4 \pi^2 T^2 + \mathcal{O}(B^2). \quad (50)$$

After performing the final integral in momenta, we find that the expressions of the bulk viscosities obtained by the two methods have the same parametric dependence on physical quantities. While this is what one could naturally expect, this result only comes out after several subtle cancellations of different terms in the expansion.

Although we are not interested in precise numerical factors, we notice that taking

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{rel}}} \simeq 7.6 \frac{\Gamma_{\text{ph}}}{\mathcal{N}_{\text{ph}}}, \quad (51)$$

the two methods give the same numerical expressions for the bulk viscosities. In this way, we check that the first method presented here is equivalent to solving the transport equation in the RTA.

IV. LOW ENERGY EFFECTIVE FIELD THEORY FOR THE GOLDSTONE MODE

The information required for the evaluation of the bulk viscosity coefficients might be extracted from the effective field theory associated to the Goldstone mode of the superfluid system. The effective field theory is constructed as an expansion over derivatives and over Goldstone fields, and it provides a systematic way to compute different physical quantities to a given accuracy.

It has been known for a while that the leading order term Lagrangian of the Goldstone mode of a superfluid system is entirely fixed by the equation of state [30, 31]. For the unitarity Fermi gas, the next-to-leading Lagrangian has been constructed in Ref. [21], requiring that it is invariant with respect to non-relativistic general coordinate and conformal transformations. We review here the low energy effective field theory for the cold Fermi system, and extract from it the parameters required for the evaluation of the bulk viscosity coefficients, both in the exact unitarity limit (Sec. IV A), and close to the unitarity limit (Sec. IV B). We would like to stress that the methodology used here might be also followed to study other superfluid systems, governed by different equations of state, and with different global symmetries.

A. Effective Lagrangian in the exact conformal limit

In the unitarity limit, the thermodynamic properties of the cold Fermi gas can be determined up to some dimensionless constants [2]. At zero temperature, and due to the absence of any internal scale, dimensional analysis fixes the form of the pressure as being proportional to that of a free system

$$P = c_0 m^4 \mu_0^{5/2}, \quad (52)$$

where c_0 is a dimensionless and universal constant. This parameter can be expressed as

$$c_0 = \frac{2^{5/2}}{15\pi^2 \xi^{3/2}}, \quad (53)$$

where ξ is the universal constant that fixes the relation between the chemical potential and the Fermi energy $\mu_0 = \xi E_F/m$. Experiments with cold trapped fermionic atoms [32] find $\xi \sim 0.32 - 0.44$, a result that is in agreement with Quantum Monte-Carlo calculations at vanishing temperature [33, 34].

The density at zero temperature is then easily deduced

$$\rho_0 = \frac{5}{2} c_0 m^4 \mu_0^{3/2}, \quad (54)$$

and the speed of sound at $T = 0$ turns out to be

$$c_s = \sqrt{\rho_0 \frac{\partial \mu_0}{\partial \rho_0}} = \sqrt{\frac{2\mu_0}{3}}. \quad (55)$$

The leading order (LO) Lagrangian for the Goldstone field can be determined by the equation of state; the reason being that the effective action of the theory at its minimum for constant classical field configurations has to be equal to the pressure. The next-to-leading order (NLO) Lagrangian is constructed by demanding invariance with respect to non-relativistic general coordinate invariance and conformal invariance [21]. The combined terms then read

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{NLO}} = c_0 m^{3/2} X^{5/2} + c_1 m^{1/2} \frac{(\nabla X)^2}{\sqrt{X}} + \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{m}} (\nabla^2 \phi)^2 \sqrt{X}, \quad (56)$$

where

$$X = m\mu_0 - \partial_0 \phi - \frac{(\nabla \phi)^2}{2m}, \quad (57)$$

and ϕ is the phase of the condensate and we have neglected effects due to the trapping potential. Notice that μ_0 is a chemical potential with dimensions of velocity squared. Our definition of chemical potential is the one given by Khalatnikov in Ref. [15] and differs from the definition given in Ref. [21] by a mass factor. Notice that at this order the effective Lagrangian depends on two more dimensionless parameters c_1 and c_2 , that are universal constants.

If one expands the effective Lagrangian in Eq. (56) in the field ϕ up to quadratic order, and brings the kinetic term into standard form, one obtains that the phonon dispersion relation up to cubic powers of momentum is given by [21]

$$\epsilon_p = c_s \left(p - \pi^2 \sqrt{2\xi} \left(c_1 + \frac{3}{2} c_2 \right) \frac{p^3}{k_F^2} \right), \quad (58)$$

where k_F is the Fermi momentum, $E_F = k_F^2/2m$. Then, the speed of the phonon agrees with the speed of sound, Eq. (55), and we can identify the value of the parameter B introduced in Eq. (18) as

$$B = -\pi^2 c_s \sqrt{2\xi} \left(c_1 + \frac{3}{2} c_2 \right) \frac{1}{k_F^2}. \quad (59)$$

The two parameters c_1, c_2 are related to the momentum dependence of the static density and transverse response functions and can be evaluated by the ϵ -expansion [35], finding $c_1 \simeq -0.0209$, and $c_2/c_1 = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$. These parameters can also be evaluated within mean-field theory [36], finding a value for c_1 that differs from the previous one by a 30%. While the numerical discrepancy between the predictions of the two methods is large, both give $c_1 + \frac{3}{2}c_2 < 0$, meaning that B is positive and the Beliaev process $\phi \rightarrow \phi\phi$ is kinematically allowed. We will assume that the sign of B is correctly predicted by the two methods, but leave c_1 and c_2 as coefficients still to be determined.

The three-phonon self-coupling is also determined by expanding the Lagrangian above, and reads [18]

$$\mathcal{L}_{3\phi} = -\alpha \left((\partial_0 \phi)^3 - 9c_s^2 (\partial_0 \phi) (\nabla \phi)^2 \right) + \dots, \quad (60)$$

where terms with higher number of derivatives have been neglected, and the coupling is given by

$$\alpha = \frac{\pi c_s^{3/2} \xi^{3/4}}{3^{1/4} 8 m^2 \mu_0^2}. \quad (61)$$

The effective field theory here presented allows us to compute the corrections to the phonon dispersion law needed in our computations. We have checked that the one-loop corrections to the dispersion law are very suppressed. Further, they do not modify the sign of the coefficient B that appears at tree level, which is relevant in deciding whether the Beliaev decay is kinematically allowed or not. A more detailed discussion of the one-loop phonon dispersion law will be presented elsewhere.

B. Effective Lagrangian close to the conformal limit

For finite scattering length, the pressure can be written as a power expansion in $1/a$

$$P = P_0 + P_{\text{CB}} = c_0 m^4 \mu_0^{5/2} + \frac{d_0 m^3 \mu_0^2}{a} + \dots, \quad (62)$$

where d_0 is a dimensionless constant to be determined by matching or experimentally.

This change in the equation of state induces new terms in the effective Lagrangian which are responsible for the breaking of scale and conformal invariance [21]

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{NLO}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{CB}} + \dots = c_0 m^{3/2} X^{5/2} + c_1 m^{1/2} \frac{(\nabla X)^2}{\sqrt{X}} + \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{m}} (\nabla^2 \phi)^2 \sqrt{X} + d_0 \frac{m X^2}{a} + \dots \quad (63)$$

The effect of the scale breaking term is to change the phonon dispersion law, and the self-coupling coefficients. In order to find the proper transformation of these quantities we expand \mathcal{L} to quadratic order in ϕ , and bring the kinetic term into the canonical form by the field rescaling

$$\phi \rightarrow \phi \frac{\pi \xi^{3/4}}{(2\mu_0)^{1/4} m} (1 - y), \quad (64)$$

where we have defined the quantity

$$y \equiv \frac{d_0 \pi^2 \xi^{3/2}}{a m \sqrt{2\mu_0}}. \quad (65)$$

One then finds that the speed of the phonon is corrected at the leading order in $1/a$ as

$$c_{s,\text{CB}} = c_s \left(1 + \frac{y}{2} \right), \quad (66)$$

while the cubic term in the phonon dispersion law is now given by

$$B_{\text{CB}} = -\frac{\pi^2 \xi^{3/2}}{\sqrt{3\mu_0} m^2} \left(c_1 \left(1 - \frac{3y}{2} \right) + \frac{3}{2} c_2 \left(1 - \frac{5y}{2} \right) \right). \quad (67)$$

The three-phonon self-coupling is also modified as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CB}}^{3\phi} = -\alpha_{\text{CB}} [(\partial_0 \phi)^3 - 9c_{s,\text{CB}}^2 (1 + y) (\partial_0 \phi)(\nabla \phi)^2] + \dots \quad (68)$$

where the coupling reads

$$\alpha_{\text{CB}} = \alpha (1 - 3y). \quad (69)$$

The density at zero temperature of the system also deviates from its value in the exact unitarity limit by

$$\rho_0^{\text{CB}} = \rho_0 (1 + 3y). \quad (70)$$

These corrections to the parameters of the phonon dispersion law and to the self-couplings coefficients will turn out to be of great importance in the computations of the bulk viscosity coefficients, because they will allow us to compute the only non-vanishing contributions to ζ_1 and ζ_2 close to the conformal limit.

V. BULK VISCOSITIES CLOSE TO THE UNITARITY LIMIT

For the explicit evaluation of the bulk viscosity coefficients, we start by considering the exact unitarity limit. Using the expressions of c_s and B given respectively in Eqs. (55) and (59), we evaluate the derivatives with respect to the density. At low temperature we can approximate

$$\rho \frac{\partial B}{\partial \rho} \approx \rho_0 \frac{\partial B}{\partial \rho_0} = \rho_0 \frac{\partial B}{\partial \mu_0} \frac{\partial \mu_0}{\partial \rho_0} = -\frac{B}{3}, \quad (71)$$

where we have used the fact that $\frac{\partial \mu_0}{\partial \rho_0} = \frac{2\mu_0}{3\rho_0}$. In a similar way we find that at unitarity and for vanishing temperatures

$$\rho \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial \rho} \approx \frac{c_s}{3}. \quad (72)$$

Upon substituting the expressions in Eqs. (71) and (72) in Eqs. (38) and (39) it follows that

$$I_1 = -\frac{40B}{7c_s^6\pi^2\rho_0}T^5\left(\pi^2\zeta(3) - 7\zeta(5)\right) \quad (73)$$

and

$$I_2 = 0. \quad (74)$$

Therefore the bulk viscosity coefficients ζ_1 and ζ_2 vanish.

To obtain the final expression for ζ_3 we still have to evaluate the decay rate Γ_{ph} . If the process $\phi \rightarrow \phi\phi$ is kinematically allowed, as suggested by the results of Refs. [35, 36], then we have that

$$\Gamma_{\text{ph}} = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} |M|^2 n_{\text{eq}}(\epsilon_p) (1 + n_{\text{eq}}(\epsilon_q)) (1 + n_{\text{eq}}(\epsilon_k)) (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P - K - Q), \quad (75)$$

where the delta functions ensure the energy-momentum conservation, where $P = (\epsilon_p, \mathbf{p})$, $Q = (\epsilon_q, \mathbf{q})$, $K = (\epsilon_k, \mathbf{k})$ and where $|M(P, Q, K)|^2$ is the squared of the scattering amplitude with non-relativistic normalization of the integration measure. This quantity is related to the squared of the scattering matrix with relativistic conventions $|\mathcal{M}|^2$ by

$$|M(P, Q, K)|^2 = \frac{|\mathcal{M}(P, Q, K)|^2}{2\epsilon_p 2\epsilon_q 2\epsilon_k}, \quad (76)$$

and can be computed from the three-phonon interaction, Eq. (60). The scattering matrix takes a particular simple form if expressed in terms of the vector product defined as $P \cdot K = \epsilon_p \epsilon_k - 9c_s^2 \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k}$ [18]

$$|\mathcal{M}(P, Q, K)|^2 = 4\alpha^2 (\epsilon_p Q \cdot K + \epsilon_q P \cdot K + \epsilon_k Q \cdot P)^2, \quad (77)$$

where α is defined in Eq. (61).

For phonons with a linear dispersion law, the splitting process is perfectly collinear. For $B > 0$ the process is non-collinear, and the angle of scattering between the incoming phonon and the two outgoing phonons is proportional to B . Since I_1 and I_2 are proportional to B we can evaluate the decay width considering the collinear process only and from the expressions above we obtain that

$$\Gamma_{\text{ph}} \simeq 14781.6 \frac{\alpha^2 T^8}{\pi^3 c_s^6} + \mathcal{O}(x), \quad (78)$$

where x is defined in Eq. (34). Note that in the exact unitarity limit $x \sim (T/\mu_0)^2$, so that at low temperature it is a good approximation to neglect terms of order x in Γ_{ph} .

Upon substituting the decay width and the expression for I_1 reported in Eq. (73) in Eq. (28) we find that

$$\zeta_3 \simeq 0.015 \frac{B^2 T^3}{c_s^6 \alpha^2 \rho_0^2} + \mathcal{O}(T^5) \simeq 3695.4 \left(\frac{\xi}{\mu_0}\right)^{9/2} \frac{(c_1 + \frac{3}{2}c_2)^2}{m^8} T^3 + \mathcal{O}(T^5). \quad (79)$$

It is worth noticing that if the coefficient B were negative, then one should consider the decay rate associated to the process $\phi\phi \rightarrow \phi\phi\phi$, meaning that one would get a different temperature dependence for Γ_{ph} , and thus, also for ζ_3 .

With the low energy effective field theory, one can as well compute the first corrections to the bulk viscosity coefficients for finite s -wave scattering length a . We have to evaluate how all the various ingredients needed in the computation are corrected in the presence of this scale breaking effect.

The decay rate is modified as

$$\Gamma_{\text{ph}}^{\text{CB}} \simeq \Gamma_{\text{ph}} \left(1 - \frac{27}{4}y\right) + \mathcal{O}(y^2), \quad (80)$$

where y has been defined in Eq. (65).

The expressions of I_1 and I_2 are also affected and we find that

$$I_1^{\text{CB}} = I_1 \left(1 - \frac{15c_1 + 27c_2}{2c_1 + 3c_2} y \right) + \mathcal{O}(y^2), \quad (81)$$

$$I_2^{\text{CB}} = \frac{20}{7c_1^7 \pi^2} T^5 \left(\pi^2 \zeta(3) - 7\zeta(5) \right) \frac{\pi^2 \xi^{3/2} c_2}{\sqrt{2} m^2} y + \mathcal{O}(y^2). \quad (82)$$

Upon substituting these expressions in Eqs.(26), (27) and (28) we find that to leading order in $y \sim 1/a$

$$\zeta_1 \simeq -264.7 c_2 \left(c_1 + \frac{3}{2} c_2 \right) \frac{T^3 \xi^3}{m^4 \mu_0^3} y, \quad (83)$$

$$\zeta_2 \simeq 19.0 c_2^2 \frac{T^3 \xi^{3/2}}{\mu_0^{3/2}} y^2, \quad (84)$$

$$\zeta_3 \simeq 3695.4 \left(\frac{\xi}{\mu_0} \right)^{9/2} \frac{(c_1 + \frac{3}{2} c_2)^2}{m^8} T^3 \left(1 - \frac{66c_1 + 135c_2}{8c_1 + 12c_2} y \right). \quad (85)$$

Notice that the first non vanishing correction to ζ_2 is of the order of $1/a^2$, meaning that this bulk viscosity coefficient has to be neglected in the hydrodynamic equations (8),(9) and (10), where we are retaining terms of order $1/a$ only.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have derived the expressions for the three independent bulk viscosity coefficients of a non-relativistic Fermi superfluid close to unitarity. In doing this we have first derived general expressions for these three transport coefficients assuming that the leading contribution comes from the superfluid phonons, with dispersion law containing both a linear and a cubic term in momentum. Our computations are valid at very low temperatures, because for temperatures close to the superfluid transition the contribution of other quasiparticles might also be important.

In agreement with the outcome of Ref. [19] we find by explicit calculation that at unitarity the bulk viscosity coefficients ζ_1 and ζ_2 vanish, whilst

$$\zeta_3 \simeq 3695.4 \hbar^4 \left(\frac{\xi}{\mu_0} \right)^{9/2} \frac{(c_1 + \frac{3}{2} c_2)^2}{m^8} (k_B T)^3, \quad (86)$$

and we have restored here both the Planck and Boltzmann constants. In evaluating ζ_3 we have considered that the leading collisional process is the phonon decay $\phi \rightarrow \phi\phi$, which is kinematically allowed according to the results of Refs. [35, 36]. If this were not the case, this transport coefficient would be dominated by the process $\phi\phi \rightarrow \phi\phi\phi$, resulting in a very different temperature dependence.

Let us point out that in the computation of the shear viscosity for the same system [18], it was considered that the decay $\phi \rightarrow \phi\phi$ was not kinematically allowed, resulting in a dependence $\eta \propto 1/T^5$. Considering this decay process for the computation of the shear viscosity, would change its temperature dependence into $\eta \propto 1/T$, as it occurs for ${}^4\text{He}$ [37]. We thus realize that it is very important to determine with good precision the phonon dispersion law, as the explicit values and temperature dependence of all the transport coefficients are extremely sensitive to its form.

We have also evaluated corrections to the bulk viscosities due to conformal breaking terms, finding

$$\zeta_1 \simeq -264.7 \hbar c_2 \left(c_1 + \frac{3}{2} c_2 \right) \frac{\xi^3 (k_B T)^3}{m^4 \mu_0^3} y, \quad (87)$$

$$\zeta_2 \simeq \frac{19.0}{\hbar^2} c_2^2 \frac{\xi^{3/2} (k_B T)^3}{\mu_0^{3/2}} y^2, \quad (88)$$

$$\zeta_3 \simeq 3695.4 \hbar^4 \left(\frac{\xi}{\mu_0} \right)^{9/2} \frac{(c_1 + \frac{3}{2} c_2)^2}{m^8} (k_B T)^3 \left(1 - \frac{66c_1 + 135c_2}{8c_1 + 12c_2} y \right). \quad (89)$$

We have restricted the computation to the leading correction in the parameter $y = \hbar \frac{d_0 \pi^2 \xi^{3/2}}{a m \sqrt{2} \mu_0}$, which measures the departure from the conformal limit caused by a large but finite value of the scattering length a .

The presence of non-vanishing bulk viscosity coefficients might be experimentally detectable. As an example it influences the propagation of first and second sound in a superfluid [15]. The damping of first sound, α_1 , depends on

the shear viscosity and on ζ_2 , whereas the damping of second sound, α_2 , depends on all the dissipative coefficients. Since shear viscosity is much larger than the others it will give the leading contribution to the sound absorption coefficients. However, one can show that in the unitary limit

$$\frac{\rho_n}{3^{3/2}\rho_s}\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 = \frac{\omega^2}{2\rho_n c_s^3} \left(\rho^2 \zeta_3 + \frac{\rho_n \kappa}{\rho_s T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial S} \right) \quad (90)$$

and therefore this combination of the absorption coefficients is independent of η . Here ω is the frequency of the sound oscillation and κ is the thermal conductivity. In order to evaluate the thermal conductivity one has to consider processes that change the total momentum of phonons, meaning that one has to consider the scattering of phonons with different (quasi)particles, see *e.g.* [38]. A more detailed description of the thermal properties of unitary superfluids will be presented elsewhere.

It might be interesting to explore the possibility of measuring the bulk viscosities in trapped Fermi superfluids through the study of dipole and breathing modes. The two-fluid equations of Landau predict the presence of different hydrodynamic modes, according to whether the superfluid and normal components oscillate in-phase, or move out-of-phase [39]. It has been suggested that the out-of-phase oscillations might be experimentally detected [40]. If this is the case, its study might lead to the determination of the transport coefficients studied here, in the same way that the shear viscosity can be extracted studying the in-phase breathing mode [41].

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Spanish grant FPA2007-60275. M.A.E. was also supported by MICCIN FPU (Spain).

-
- [1] For a review, see S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 1215 (2008).
[2] T. L. Ho, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 090402 (2004) [arXiv:cond-mat/0309109].
[3] K. M. O'Hara, S. L. Hemmer, M. E. Gehm, S. R. Granade and J. E. Thomas, *Science* **298**, 2179 (2002) [arXiv:cond-mat/0212463]; M. E. Gehm, S. L. Hemmer, S. R. Granade, K. M. O'Hara and J. E. Thomas, *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 011401 (2003).
[4] T. Bourdel, J. Cubizolles, L. Khaykovich, K. M. F. Magalhaes, S. J. J. Kokkelmans, G. V. Shlyapnikov and C. Salomon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 020402 (2003).
[5] S. Gupta *et al.*, *Science* **300**, 1723 (2003).
[6] C. A. Regal and D. S. Jin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 230404 (2003) [arXiv:cond-mat/0302246].
[7] E. Tiesinga, B. J. Verhaar and H. T. C. Stoof, *Phys. Rev. A* **47**, 4114 (1993); S. Inouye *et al.*, *Nature* **392**, 151 (1998); P. Courteille *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 69 (2004); J. L. Roberts *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 5109 (1998); E. Timmermans, P. Tommasini, M. Hussein and A. Kerman, *Phys. Rep.* **315**, 199 (1999).
[8] D. M. Eagles, *Phys. Rev.* **186**, 456 (1969); A. J. Leggett in *Modern Trends in the theory of condensed matter*, edited by A. Pekalski and J. Przystawa (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980).
[9] J. Carlson and S. Reddy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 060401 (2005) [arXiv:cond-mat/0503256].
[10] M. Y. Veillette, D. E. Sheehy and L. Radzihovsky, *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 043614 (2007).
[11] Z. Nussinov and S. Nussinov, *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 053622 (2006) [arXiv:cond-mat/0410597]; Y. Nishida and D. T. Son, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 050403 (2006) [arXiv:cond-mat/0604500]; Y. Nishida and D. T. Son, *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 063617 (2007), [arXiv:cond-mat/0607835].
[12] H. Hu, X.-J. Liu, and P. Drummond, *Europhys. Lett.* **74**, 574 (2006).
[13] R. B. Diener, R. Sensarma and M. Randeria, arXiv:0709.2653.
[14] L. Landau and Lifschitz, "Fluid Mechanics" vol. 6, Prentice Hall, New Jersey.
[15] I. M. Khalatnikov, "Introduction to the Theory of Superfluidity", Benjamin, New York, 1965.
[16] A. Griffin, T. Nikuni and E. Zaremba, "Bose-Condensed gases at Finite Temperatures", Cambridge University Press, New York, 2009.
[17] The shear and bulk viscosities are also traditionally known as first and second viscosities, respectively, but we will not use that terminology here.
[18] G. Rupak and T. Schafer, *Phys. Rev. A* **76** (2007) 053607 [arXiv:0707.1520 [cond-mat.other]].
[19] D. T. Son, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 020604 (2007) [arXiv:cond-mat/0511721].
[20] I. M. Khalatnikov and D. M. Chernikova *Soviet Physics JETP* **23**, 274 (1966).
[21] D. T. Son and M. Wingate, *Annals Phys.* **321**, 197 (2006) [arXiv:cond-mat/0509786].
[22] T. R. Kirkpatrick and J. R. Dorfman, *Phys. Rev. A* **28**, 2576 (1983); T. R. Kirkpatrick and J. R. Dorfman, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **58**, 301 (1985); T. R. Kirkpatrick and J. R. Dorfman, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **58**, 399 (1985).
[23] C. Manuel, A. Dobado and F. J. Llanes-Estrada, *JHEP* **0509** (2005) 076 [arXiv:hep-ph/0406058].

- [24] C. Manuel and F. J. Llanes-Estrada, JCAP **0708**, 001 (2007) [arXiv:0705.3909 [hep-ph]].
- [25] R. P. Feynman, R. B. Leyton and M. Sands, “The Feynman Lectures on Physics” Vol. 2, Addison-Wesley (1964)
- [26] A. Bulgac, J. E. Drut and P. Magierski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 090404 (2006) [arXiv:cond-mat/0505374]; A. Bulgac, J. E. Drut and P. Magierski, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 023625 (2008) [arXiv:0803.3238 [cond-mat.stat-mech]].
- [27] L. Luo, B. Clancy, J. Joseph, J. Kinast and J. E. Thomas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 080402 (2007) [arXiv:cond-mat/0611566].
- [28] Our definition of Γ_{ph} differs by a factor T from that used in Ref. [15].
- [29] For superfluid ^4He it was first believed that $B < 0$, so that the Beliaev process was forbidden. However, experimental data showed that the phonon spectrum was allowing the decay of one phonon into two. Consideration of this process modifies the relaxation times of different dissipative phenomena. These comments are collected by Khalatnikov in the Special Preface of the 1989 edition of his book [15].
- [30] V. N. Popov, “Functional Integrals in Quantum Field Theory and Statistical Physics” Reidel, Dordrecht, (1983).
- [31] M. Greiter, F. Wilczek and E. Witten, Mod. Phys. Lett. B **3**, 903 (1989).
- [32] M. Bartenstein, A. Altmeyer, S. Riedl, S. Jochim, C. Chin, J. H. Denschlag and R. Grimm, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 120401 (2004); T. Bourdel *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 050401 (2004); J. Kinast, A. Turlapov, J. Thomas, Q. Chen, J. Stajic, and K. Levin, Science **307**, 1296 (2005).
- [33] J. Carlson, S. Y. Chang, V. R. Pandharipande and K. E. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 050401 (2003); S. Y. Chang, V. R. Pandharipande, J. Carlson and K. E. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. A **70**, 043602 (2004) [arXiv:physics/0404115].
- [34] G. E. Astrakharchik, J. Boronat, J. Casulleras and S. Giorgini, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 200404 (2004).
- [35] G. Rupak and T. Schafer, arXiv:0804.2678 [nucl-th].
- [36] J. L. Mañes and M. A. Valle, arXiv:0810.3797 [cond-mat.other]
- [37] H. J. Maris, Phys. Rev. A **8**, 1980 (1973).
- [38] C. Kittel, “Introduction to solid state physics”, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1996.
- [39] E. Taylor, H. Hu, X.J. Liu and A. Griffin, Phys. Rev. A **77**, 033608 (2008)
- [40] E. Taylor, H. Hu, X.J. Liu and A. Griffin, arXiv:0709.0698.
- [41] T. Schafer, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 063618 (2007) [arXiv:cond-mat/0701251].