

# About statistics of periods of continued fractions of quadratic irrationalities

E. Yu. Lerner\*

## Abstract

In this paper we answer certain questions posed by V.I. Arnold, namely, we study periods of continued fractions for solutions of quadratic equations in the form  $x^2 + px = q$  with integer  $p$  and  $q$ ,  $p^2 + q^2 \leq R^2$ . Our results concern the average sum of period elements and Gauss–Kuzmin statistics as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Keywords** Continued fractions, quadratic irrationalities, Arnold conjecture, Gauss–Kuzmin statistics, Bykovskii’s theorem, “Nose-Hoover” algorithm, mediant.

**Mathematical Subject Classification (2000)** 11K50, 11J70.

## 1 Statement and discussion of obtained results

Any value  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is representable as a continued fraction (CF)

$$x = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \dots}} = [a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots],$$

where  $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  (if  $a_0 = 0$ , then we omit it),  $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . Let  $i$  be a fixed position in the CF expansion and let  $P_i(k)$  stand for the probability that  $a_i = k$  with  $x$  randomly chosen in the segment  $[0, 1)$ . Hereinafter we understand the random choice as a realization of the uniform distribution. It is well known that according to the Gauss–Kuzmin theorem,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} P_i(k) = \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{k(k+2)}\right) / \ln 2. \quad (1)$$

The roots of the quadratic equation  $x^2 + px = q$ , where  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Delta = p^2 + 4q > 0$ , are expandable in a periodic CF. Let  $x_+(p, q) = \sqrt{\Delta}/2 - p/2$ . The fractional part of this value  $\{x_+(p, q)\} = x_+(p, q) - [x_+(p, q)]$  has no pre-period in the CF expansion<sup>1</sup>:  $\{x_+(p, q)\} = [[a_1, \dots, a_{T(p,q)}]]$ . Here  $T(p, q)$  is the length of the period of the CF for  $x_+(p, q)$ ; denote the period itself  $(a_1, \dots, a_{T(p,q)})$  by  $a(p, q)$ .

Let us adduce the Arnold conjecture about the Gauss–Kuzmin statistics for periods of CF of quadratic irrationalities ([1, problem 1993-11B], [2, 3, 4]):

\*Kazan State University, Russia; e-mail: eduard.lerner@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup>see Remark after the proof of Lemma 3

**Conjecture 1.** *Choose an integer point  $(p, q)$  in the circle of radius  $R$  with the probability proportional to  $T(p, q)$ . Further, let us randomly choose  $a_i$  from the corresponding set  $a(p, q)$  (V.I. Arnold performs this two-step procedure immediately by the random choice from the union of sets  $a(p, q)$ ). Then the probability that  $a_i = k$  tends to  $\ln(1 + \frac{1}{k(k+2)})/\ln 2$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .*

Unfortunately, for some reasons, the proof of this conjecture performed by V.A. Bykovskii and his followers was not published. Below we describe the simple proof of the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $w \in (0, 1)$  be a fixed value. Let us randomly choose a fixed integer point  $(p, q)$  in the circle of radius  $R$ . Further, let us choose from the corresponding set  $a(p, q)$  the value  $a_i$  with the probability proportional to  $w^i$ . Then the probability that  $a_i = k$  tends to  $(1 - w) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i(k) w^{i-1}$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . The limit of this sum with  $w \rightarrow 1$  is the Gauss-Kuzmin statistics  $\ln(1 + \frac{1}{k(k+2)})/\ln 2$ .*

The latter part of this assertion, evidently, follows from the Kuzmin theorem (1) and the regularity of the Abel summation method [5]. We did not succeed to prove a variant of Theorem 1 with  $w$  initially equal to 1.

Note that with the random choice of  $(p, q)$  in the circle of radius  $R$  the number  $x_+(p, q)$  is not necessarily an irrational real value. In accordance with Theorem 1 the probability of this event tends to zero as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\Omega_R$  stand for the set of pairs  $(p, q)$  for which this event does not take place with fixed  $R$ .

In papers [3, 4] V.I. Arnold experimentally studied the value

$$\widehat{T}(R) = \sum_{(p,q) \in \Omega_R} T(p, q) / |\Omega_R|.$$

He established that

$$\widehat{T}(R) \sim \text{const } R \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2)$$

Let  $T_0(q) = T(0, q)$  be the period of the CF for the square root of  $q$ . Put  $\widehat{T}_0(Q) = \sum_{q=1}^Q T_0(q)/Q$ . Some experts in the number theory studied the mean value of the mentioned kind experimentally; this enabled them to state the following conjecture:  $\widehat{T}_0(Q) \sim \text{const} \sqrt{Q} \ln^{-\alpha}(Q)$ , where  $\alpha > 0$  (see [6] and references therein).

Note that one can easily upper estimate  $\widehat{T}_0(Q)$  [7]:

$$\widehat{T}_0(Q) < \text{const} \sqrt{Q}.$$

In [8] (see also [6]) E.P. Golubeva proves that the left-hand side of this inequality is asymptotically small in comparison with the right-hand one; moreover, if the extended Riemann conjecture is true, then the order of their difference is not less than  $\ln(Q)^{\ln 2 - \varepsilon}$ . The bound

$$\widehat{T}_0(Q) > \text{const} \sqrt{Q} \ln^{-\alpha}(Q) \quad (3)$$

would allow us to answer the famous Gauss question [9] about the growth of the number of classes of real quadratic fields. The known lower bound for  $\widehat{T}_0(Q)$  is far from the right-hand side of (3). In [6] E.P. Golubeva proves only that  $\widehat{T}_0(Q) > \text{const} \ln(Q)$ .

Problem 5 listed in [10] implies the estimation of the growth rate of elements of the period of a CF. Let us adduce its exact statement [10, page 7].

Let  $\widehat{a}(p, q) = \sum_{a_i \in a(p, q)} a_i / T(p, q)$ ,  $A(R) = \sum_{(p, q) \in \Omega_R} \widehat{a}(p, q) / |\Omega_R|$ . “The problem is to evaluate the growth rate of  $A(R)$ : is  $A$  greater than  $CR^\alpha$  for some positive  $C$ ,  $\alpha$ ? Or is it smaller than some  $C(\ln R)^\alpha$ ?”.

Let us prove the following assertion.

**Theorem 2.** *Let us choose  $a_i$  in the same way as in the statement of Conjecture 1; let  $A'_R$  stand for the mean value of the chosen number, that is,*

$$A'_R = \frac{\sum_{(p, q) \in \Omega_R} \sum_{a_i \in a(p, q)} a_i}{\sum_{(p, q) \in \Omega_R} T(p, q)}. \quad (4)$$

*If correlation (2) or at least that  $\widehat{T}(R) > \text{const } R(\ln R)^{-\beta}$  analogous to inequality (3) takes place, then we have*

$$A'_R < C(\ln R)^\alpha.$$

The main idea of the proof of Theorem 1 is the representation of the probability under consideration as the Riemann integral. By applying the Weyl theorem<sup>2</sup> to the uniformly distributed sequence  $x_+(p, q)$  in Section 2 we easily prove the desired assertion.

The idea of the application of the Riemann integral sums was used implicitly for the proof of a similar correlation in the case of rational values with fixed denominator [12, Theorem 4.5.3.E]. However, in this case one usually applies another technique. An analog of Conjecture 1 for rational values  $p/q$  was proved by M.O. Avdeeva and V.A. Bykovskii in [13]. The asymptotic validity of the Gauss–Kuzmin statistics in the case of a fixed denominator follows from results obtained by H. Heilbronn and J.W. Porter (see [14]). In [15] A.V. Ustinov establishes the limit statistics for finite fractions whose divisors and denominators belong to an arbitrary expanding domain.

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on the upper estimate for  $\sum_{a_i \in a(p, q)} a_i$  as a certain simple function of the discriminant  $\Delta$ . We prove this inequality (possibly, known by experts) with the help of a simple geometric construction that represents a symbiosis of the “Nose-Hoover” algorithm [2] and an explicit technique for defining values of an integer-valued sign-indefinite quadratic form [16].

Note that sign-indefinite quadratic forms correspond to hyperbolic operators. Symmetric properties of hyperbolic operators were studied in geometric terms by F. Aicardi ([17], see also [18, 19]). The algebraic approach to this topic is described in well-known papers [20, 21].

This paper is written under the bright impression of lectures delivered by V.I. Arnold [22, 23, 24] and a result of the performed numerical experiments.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.

Let  $b$  be an arbitrary number from the segment  $[0, 1]$ . Recall ([25]) that a sequence is said to be uniformly distributed, if among its first  $N$  elements the quotient of terms whose fractional part is less than  $b$  tends to  $b$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>The reference to the Weyl theorem was done by a reviewer of the journal “Functional Analysis and Its Application”, the proof of the first variant of Theorem 1 adduced in [11] is more awkward.




Figure 1: Domains considered in the proof of Lemma 2. The rays that bound the domain  $S'$  are tangent lines to the parabolic curve  $q = -p^2/4$ . Indicated are sets of points  $M_{20,1,1/2} \cap M'_{20,1,1/2}$  and  $\bar{M}_{20,1,1/2} \cap \bar{M}'_{20,1,1/2}$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let us enumerate numbers  $x_+(p, q)$ ,  $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ ,  $p^2 + 4q > 0$  in ascending order of the distance from the point  $(p, q)$  to the origin of coordinates (in the case of equal distances the numeration is arbitrary). The obtained sequence is uniformly distributed.*

Since  $x_+(p, q) - x_+(-p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , in order to prove the lemma, suffice it to consider the terms of the sequence that correspond to points in the right half-plane. Lemma 1 easily follows from Lemma 2.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $n$  is a fixed integer number. The subsequence of the sequence from Lemma 1 that corresponds to points  $(p, q)$  such that  $n \leq q/p < n + 1$ ,  $p > 0$  is uniformly distributed.*

*Proof of Lemma 2* Conditions  $n \leq q/p < n + b$ ,  $p > 0$ ,  $b \in [0, 1]$  define the sector  $S_{n,n+b}$  in the plane  $(p, q)$ . Instead of it, let us consider another domain  $S'_{n,n+b}$ . The domain  $S'_{n,n+b}$  is defined by inequalities  $np + n^2 \leq q < (n + b)p + (n + b)^2$ , with  $p > -2n$  and inequalities  $-p^2/4 \leq q < (n + b)p + (n + b)^2$  with  $-2n - b < p \leq -2n$  (see Fig. 1). One can easily make sure that the rays that define the boundaries of the domain  $S'$  are equiscalar lines of the function  $x_+(p, q)$ . Evidently, the condition  $\{x_+(p, q)\} < b$  for points from  $S'_{n,n+b}$  is equivalent to their belonging to the subsector  $S'_{n,n+b}$ .

Let  $M_{R,n,b}$  ( $M'_{R,n,b}$ ) stand for the set of integer points located inside the sector  $S_{n,n+b}$  (the domain  $S'_{n,n+b}$ ) and the circle of radius  $R$  centered at the origin of coordinates. Let  $\bar{M}_{R,n,b} = M_{R,n,b} \setminus M'_{R,n,b}$ ,

$$\bar{M}'_{R,n,b} = M'_{R,n,1} \setminus M'_{R,n,b}.$$

Evidently, for  $R \rightarrow \infty$  we have  $|M'_{R,n,b} \cap M_{R,n,b}|/|M_{R,n,1}| \rightarrow b$ ,  $|\bar{M}'_{R,n,b} \cap \bar{M}_{R,n,b}|/|M_{R,n,1}| \rightarrow 1 - b$ , whence we obtain the assertion of Lemma 2.  $\square$

The assertion of Lemma 1 follows from the inequality  $|\bigcup_{n:|n| \leq N} M_{R,n,1}|/|M_{R,+}| > 1 - 1/N$ , where  $M_{R,+}$  is the collection of all integer points located in the half-circle of radius  $R$ .

Let us now immediately prove Theorem 1. Let  $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $I_k^i(x)$  stand for the indicator function of the set of points  $x$  from the segment  $[0, 1]$  for which the  $i$ -th position in the CF is occupied by the number  $k$ . This set and its complement are representable as unions of countable numbers of intervals [26]. Consequently, the function  $I_k^i(x)$  is countably continuous and therefore it is Riemann integrable. The function  $f_{k,w}(x) = (1 - w) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} I_k^i(x) w^{i-1}$  has the same property. The integral of this function

$$\int_0^1 f_{k,w}(x) dx = (1 - w) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i(k) w^{i-1} \quad (5)$$

equals the probability of the event  $A: a_j = k$  with the random choice of the number  $x$  in the segment  $[0, 1]$ . Here  $j$  is chosen randomly, namely,  $\text{Prob}(j = i) = (1 - w) w^{i-1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

We can calculate the Riemann integral (5) with the help of the Weyl theorem [25]. In accordance with this theorem the frequency of the event  $A$  for the first  $N$  terms of a uniformly distributed sequence tends to its probability. Taking into account the fact that the CF for  $\{x_+(p, q)\}$  has no pre-period, we obtain the assertion of Theorem 1.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 2

We remind [2] that the ‘‘Nose-Hoover’’ algorithm for finding a CF of a real number  $x$ ,  $x > 0$ , is reduced to the geometric method which constructs the boundary of the convex shell of the set of integer nonnegative points  $(u, v)$  located above (below) the straight line  $v = xu$ . Let  $\mathbf{e}_0$  stand for the vector  $(0, 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0)$ . Put

$$\mathbf{e}_{n+1} = \mathbf{e}_{n-1} + a_{n-1} \mathbf{e}_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (6)$$

where  $a_{n-1}$  is the maximal integer such that the vector  $\mathbf{e}_{n+1}$  lies below (for odd  $n$ ) or above (for even  $n$ ) the straight line  $v = xu$ . Klein noted that  $a_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , coincide with partial quotients of the CF of the number  $x$ . The geometric algorithm results in two polylines which represent parts of sails of the CF.

Let  $x > 1$ . We need a slightly modified algorithm which results in one infinite polyline  $L$ , originating at the point  $(1, 1)$ , whose segments are the vectors  $a_{n-1} \mathbf{e}_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Evidently,  $\mathbf{e}_{n+1} + \mathbf{e}_n = \mathbf{e}_n + \mathbf{e}_{n+1}$ . Consequently, if in the standard ‘‘Nose-Hoover’’ algorithm (6) we add the extra vector  $\mathbf{e}_n$  and thus go out of the line  $v = xu$  (i. e., we consider the vector  $\mathbf{e}_{n-1} + (a_{n-1} + 1) \mathbf{e}_n$ ), then we get the first integer point on the segment of the polyline of another sail constructed at step  $n + 1$ . This fact justifies a simple geometric algorithm which constructs the polyline  $L$ .

We begin the construction process with the point  $(1, 1)$ ; it is convenient to connect it with the origin of coordinates by the segment which does not enter in  $L$ . As the ‘‘constructive’’ term at the first ( $n$ th) step we choose the vector  $\mathbf{e}_1$  (the vector  $\mathbf{e}_n$ ). We add this vector till we go out of the line  $v = xu$ . The newly




Figure 2: The gradual “Nose-Hoover” algorithm for  $x = \sqrt{2}$

added vector  $e_{n+1}$  is directed from the origin of coordinates to the point obtained as a result of the latter addition up to the step out of the line. See Fig. 2 for the first segments of the polyline for  $x = \sqrt{2}$ . The zero partial quotient (i. e.,  $[x]$ ) differs from zero. In order to provide the correspondence to the indices of partial quotients, it is also convenient to begin the numeration of segments of the polyline  $L$  with zero.

Let us consider the approximation of a number  $x$  by mediants. Let  $f_1 = \frac{v_1}{u_1}$ ,  $f_2 = \frac{v_2}{u_2}$  be irreducible fractions such that  $v_1, u_1, v_2, u_2 \geq 0$  and  $f_1 < f_2$ . Let us define the operation  $\downarrow$  of finding the mediant (the “insertion” operation) by the formula  $f_1 \downarrow f_2 = \frac{v}{u}$ , where  $v = v_1 + v_2$ ,  $u = u_1 + u_2$ . Earlier we used the denotation introduced by A.A. Kirillov. Evidently, in the geometric representation of the fraction  $\frac{v}{u}$  as the integer vector  $(u, v)$  the operation  $\downarrow$  corresponds to the addition of vectors  $(u_1, v_1)$  and  $(u_2, v_2)$ . The obtained diagonal of the parallelogram appears to be “inserted” between its sides.

Let  $x \in (f_1, f_2)$ ,  $f_3 = f_1 \downarrow f_2$ . Since  $f_3 \in (f_1, f_2)$ , one can treat  $f_3$  as an approximation of the number  $x$ . If  $x \in (f_1, f_3)$ , then we put  $f_4 = f_1 \downarrow f_3$ , otherwise we do  $f_4 = f_3 \downarrow f_2$ . The process of the approximation of the number  $x$  by mediants consists in the repetition of these operations for the corresponding intervals.

The condition  $x > 0$  means that  $x \in (\frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{0})$ . The initial approximation of  $x$  for such an interval is the fraction  $\frac{1}{1}$ . One can easily see that for the mapping

$$\text{the fraction } \frac{v}{u} \leftrightarrow \text{the point } (u, v)$$

the geometric representation of the algorithm for approximating the number  $x$  by mediants is completely identical to the algorithm for constructing the polyline  $L$ . The technical distinction consists in the following fact: earlier we constructed each segment of the polyline  $L$  “at once”, but now it “grows gradually” due to the stepwise addition of the next vector  $\mathbf{e}_i$ .

Further we consider a simplified version of this algorithm for the quadratic irrationality  $x_+(p, q)$ . We will need it for the proof of the next assertion.

**Lemma 3.** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  put  $D(n) = \sum_{u=1}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} \tau(n - u^2)$ , where  $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$  is the integer part,  $\tau(m)$  is the quantity of divisors of the number  $m$ . We have the inequality

$$\sum_{a_i \in a(p, q)} a_i \leq f(\Delta/4), \quad \text{where } \Delta = p^2 + 4q, \quad (7)$$

$$f(n) = 2D(n) + \tau(n), \text{ if } n \text{ is integer}; \quad (8)$$

$$f(n) = 2 \sum_{\substack{i \in \{1, 3, \dots\} \\ i^2 < 4n}} \tau(n - i^2/4), \text{ otherwise.} \quad (9)$$

In the case of an odd period  $T(p, q)$  one can improve this bound, namely, divide the right-hand side of inequality (7) by two.

*Proof of Lemma 3* Note that  $\{x_+(p, q)\} = \{x_+(p + 2, q - p - 1)\}$ , therefore, without loss of generality, we assume that  $p = 0$  or  $p = 1$ , here  $x_+(p, q) > 1$  (the special case  $x_+(1, 1)$  is evident) and the second root of the quadratic equation is negative.

Let us apply to  $x_+(p, q)$  the gradual “Nose-Hoover” algorithm described above. Let  $(u_n, v_n)$  stand for the  $n$ th integer point on the polyline  $L$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . We obtain it at the  $n$ th step of the gradual “Nose-Hoover” algorithm. In Fig. 2, for example,  $(u_0, v_0) = (1, 1)$ ,  $(u_1, v_1) = (1, 2)$ ,  $(u_2, v_2) = (2, 3)$ ,  $(u_3, v_3) = (3, 4)$ ,  $(u_4, v_4) = (5, 7)$ , etc.

Let us draw one more vector which originates from the point  $(u_n, v_n)$ ; denote it by  $\mathbf{e}'_n$ . The vector  $\mathbf{e}'_n$  is defined by the condition  $\mathbf{e}'_n + \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}''_n = 0$ , where  $\mathbf{e}_i$  is the vector which originates from the point  $(u_n, v_n)$  and goes along the polyline  $L$ ,  $\mathbf{e}''_n = -(u_n, v_n)$  (we considered this vector earlier). The collection of vectors  $\{\mathbf{e}'_n, \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}''_n\}$ , where each one is defined accurate to the multiplier  $(-1)$ , is a *superbasis* [16]. This means that any pair of these vectors generates the whole integer lattice. The transition from the point  $(u_n, v_n)$  to that  $(u_{n+1}, v_{n+1})$  corresponds to the replacement of one superbasis with another one; the latter differs from the initial superbasis only in one of three its elements. Thus, for the polyline  $L$  in Fig. 2 the initial superbasis is  $\{(0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$ , the 1st one is  $\{(1, 1), (1, 0), (1, 2)\}$ , the 2nd one is  $\{(1, 2), (1, 1), (2, 3)\}$ , the 3rd one is  $\{(1, 1), (2, 3), (3, 4)\}$ , etc.

Let us extend vectors  $\mathbf{e}'_n$  up to the rays which originate at the points  $(u_n, v_n)$ . As a result, the first quadrant appears to be divided onto disjoint connected domains (see Fig. 2). Let us associate each vector  $(u_n, v_n)$  with a domain, whose boundary contains all points of polylines which include the vector  $(u_n, v_n)$  in the corresponding superbasis. In the picture these domains look like narrow “crevices” located at the north-east of the points  $(u_n, v_n)$ . We associate the domains which border on the coordinate axes with the unit vectors of these axes.




Figure 3: The arithmetic progression rule

<i>step number</i>	0	1	2	3	4
<i>a is the value located at the north west of L</i>	1	2	1	1	1
<i>b is the value located at the south east of L</i>	-1	-1	-1	-2	-1
<i>h is the common difference of the arithmetic progression</i>	2	0	-2	0	2
<i>the number of a segment of the polyline</i>	0	1	1	2	2

Table 1: All values of  $(a, b, h)$  for the polyline represented in Fig. 2

We have

$$(u_n, v_n) \text{ is located above the line } v = x_+(p, q)u \iff v_n^2 + pv_nu_n > qu_n^2. \quad (10)$$

Let us write the values of the quadratic form  $v^2 + pvu - qu^2$  at the points  $(u_n, v_n)$  in the corresponding domain. See Fig. 2 for the result obtained in the case  $p = 0, q = 2$  (the upper right corner of the figure contains the value calculated at the point  $(5, 7)$ ). From (10) it follows that the points of the polyline  $L$  belong to boundaries of domains with positive and negative values. The collection of superbases which correspond to these points is called the *river* of the quadratic form [16].

Let us adduce the main result of the theory of quadratic forms which enables one to make the calculation of the period of a CF much easier. Using the values of the quadratic form at three vectors from any superbasis, one can easily restore the CF. To put it more precisely, the following correlation [16] is true. Let domains associated with values  $a, b, c, d$  of the quadratic form be located in accordance with Fig. 3. Then values  $d, a + b, c$  form an arithmetic progression. Moreover, if  $h$  is the common difference of this progression, then

$$h^2/4 - ab = \Delta/4. \quad (11)$$

Therefore, using the values  $(a, b)$  for two neighboring domains with different signs separated by the polyline  $L$ , and the value  $h$ , one can unambiguously restore all subsequent and previous values of partial quotients of the CF, moving along the river of the quadratic form (see the figures in Chapter 1 of book [16]).

Let us now immediately prove the inequalities (7). They follow from correlation (11). Assume that at step  $n_1$  we get the same parameters of the arithmetic progression as those obtained at step 0. Such a step exists because the algorithm is invertible. Let  $l_1$  stand for the number of the polyline segment which contains the point  $(u_{n_1}, v_{n_1})$ . Then a part of the CF  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{l_1}$  becomes periodic. In addition, the number  $l_1$  is even, because the points  $(u_0, v_0)$  and  $(u_{n_1}, v_{n_1})$  are located to one side of the polyline  $L$ . In the case of an odd period the sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{l_1}$  contains at least two repeating subsequences. In accordance with the algorithm we have  $\sum_{i=1}^{l_1} a_i = n_1$ . Therefore, suffice it to estimate the number of all possible triplets  $(a, b, h)$  which satisfy (11). The obtained bound obeys formulas (8,9). The multiplier 2 in the right-hand sides of

these formulas appears, because we have to take into account various signs of  $h$ , and the term  $\tau(\Delta/4)$  does when we consider the case  $h = 0$ . Lemma 3 is proved.  $\square$

*Remark* In the proof of Lemma 3 we established that CF for  $\{x_+(p, q)\}$  has no pre-period.

Let us complete the proof of Theorem 2. Formally speaking, the Dirichlet theorem (the equality  $\sum_{u=1}^n \tau(u)/n = \ln n + (2\gamma - 1) + O(1/\sqrt{n})$ ) does not imply that  $D(n) \sim \sqrt{n} \ln n$ , because the values of the function  $\tau$  are not uniform. A more accurate bound is

$$D(n) = O(\ln^3(n)\sqrt{n})$$

(see [7] and references therein). This, evidently, implies that  $f(n) = O(\ln^3(n)\sqrt{n})$ . Taking the sum over all possible values of  $(p, q)$ , we obtain that the numerator of fraction (4) equals  $O(R^3 \ln^3(R))$ , whereas the denominator by assumption is greater than  $\text{const } R^3 (\ln R)^{-\beta}$ . Theorem 2 is proved.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Arnold VI (2004) Arnold's Problems. Springer, Berlin; PHASIS, Moscow
- [2] Arnold VI (2000) Tsepnie drobi (Continued fractions). Moscow Center for Continuous Mathematical Education, Moscow
- [3] Arnold VI (2007) Continued fractions of square roots of rational numbers and their statistics. Russ Math Surv **62**(5):843–855
- [4] Arnold VI (2008) Statistics of Periods of Continued Fractions of Quadratic Irrationalities. Izv. RAN. Ser. Matem., **72**(1), pp 3–38
- [5] Hardy GH (1949) Divergent Series. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- [6] Golubeva EP (1987,1988) The lengths of periods of the expansion in a continuous fraction of quadratic irrationalities and the numbers of classes of real quadratic fields. I, II. Zap. nauch. semin. LOMI, **160**, pp 72–81; **168**, pp 11–22
- [7] Beceanu M (2003) Period of the Continued Fraction of  $\sqrt{n}$ . Junior Thesis, Princeton University, Princeton, <http://www.math.princeton.edu/mathlab/jr02fall/Periodicity/mariusjp.pdf>
- [8] Golubeva EP (1984) The length of the period of a quadratic irrationality. Matem. Sborn., **123**:1, pp 120–129
- [9] Venkov BA (1970) Elementary Number Theory. Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen
- [10] Arnold VI (2008) Problems for the Seminar, ICTP, 2007-2008. Trieste. <http://www.pdmi.ras.ru/arnsem/Arnold/prob08.pdf>
- [11] Lerner EYu (2008) Statistics of incomplete quotients of continued fractions of quadratic irrationalities. Preprint. <http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/0810.0718>

- [12] Knuth DE (1997) *The Art of Computer Programming, V.2*. Addison-Wesley Professional
- [13] Avdeeva MO and Bykovskii VA (2002) *Solution of the Arnold Problem on Gauss–Kuzmin Statistics*. Preprint, Dal’nauka, Vladivostok
- [14] Ustinov AV (2008) The number of solutions to the comparison  $xy \equiv l \pmod{q}$  located below the graph of a twice continuously differentiable function. *Algebra i Analiz*, **20**: 5, pp 186–216
- [15] Ustinov AV (2005) Gauss–Kuz’min Statistics for Finite Continued Fractions. *Fundam. and Appl. Math.*, **11**:6, pp 195–208
- [16] Conway JH (1997) *The Sensual (Quadratic) Form*. Carus Mathematical Monographs **26**, Mathematical Association of America, Washington
- [17] Aicardi F (to appear) The sails of the  $SL(2, Z)$  operators and their symmetries. *Funct Anal Other Math*
- [18] Aicardi F (2007) Symmetries of quadratic forms classes and of quadratic surds continued fractions. Part II: Classification of the periods’ palindromes. arXiv:0708.2082v3 [math.GM]
- [19] Karpenkov ON (2007) On determination of periods of geometric continued fractions for twodimensional algebraic hyperbolic operators. arXiv:0708.1604v1 [math.NT]
- [20] Lewis J, Zagier D (1997) Period functions and the Selberg zeta function for the modular group. In: *The mathematical beauty of physics, Saclay, 1996*. Adv Ser Math Phys, **24**. World Sci, River Edge, pp 83–97
- [21] Manin YuI, Marcolli M (2002) Continued fractions, modular symbols, and noncommutative geometry. *Selecta Math (N S)* **8**(3):475–521
- [22] Arnold VI (2007) Quadratic Irrational Numbers, Their Continued Fractions and Palindromes. Lectures of the Summer School “Modern Mathematics”, Dubna. <http://www.mathnet.ru>
- [23] Arnold VI (2007) Statistics of Periodic and Multidimensional Continued Fractions. Report at the International Conference “Analysis and Singularities”, Moscow. <http://www.mathnet.ru>
- [24] Arnold VI (2008) Continued Fractions of Square Roots of Integer Numbers. Lectures of the Summer School “Modern Mathematics”, Dubna. <http://www.mathnet.ru>
- [25] Kuipers L and Niederreiter H (1974) *Uniform distribution of sequences*. Wiley, New York
- [26] Khinchin AYa (1997) *Continued Fractions*. Dover, New York