

# FINITE TEMPERATURE CASIMIR EFFECT IN THE PRESENCE OF COMPACTIFIED EXTRA DIMENSIONS

Marianne Rypestøl and Iver Brevik<sup>1</sup>

Department of Energy and Process Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway

February 4, 2019

## Abstract

Finite temperature Casimir theory of the Dirichlet scalar field is developed, assuming that there is a conventional Casimir setup in physical space with two infinitely large plates separated by a gap  $R$ , and in addition an arbitrary number  $q$  of extra compactified dimensions. After giving general expressions for free energy and Casimir forces, we focus attention mainly on the low temperature case, as this is of main physical interest both as regards force measurements and also as regards issues related to entropy and the Nernst theorem. Temperature inversion properties are briefly discussed, as is the connection with the corresponding electromagnetic theory with idealized metal plates as boundaries.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Kk, 11.10.Wx, 42.50.Lc

## 1 Introduction

Consider two infinite parallel plates separated by a gap  $R$ . The field between the plates, and on the outside, may be a scalar field obeying Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions at  $z = 0$  and  $z = R$  ( $z$  is the direction normal to the plates), or it may be an electromagnetic field. In the latter case, ideal metal boundary conditions are assumed. In order to get a Casimir setup, we have to include the field outside the plates also. In the present paper we will for the most part focus on the scalar field, obeying Dirichlet conditions. Let one of the plates, the right one at  $z = R$ , say, be denoted a "piston".

---

<sup>1</sup>iver.h.brevik@ntnu.no

Now, generalize the situation such that  $p$  spatial dimensions are envisaged, together with  $q$  extra compactified dimensions. The spacetime dimension is thus  $D = p + q + 1$ . We are in this way led to a Casimir piston model in which spacetime is *flat*. This model has attracted considerable attention in the recent literature [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. One reason for the current interest may be the mathematical elegance of the formalism. The efficiency of regularization procedures like the zeta function regularization is quite striking. Typical for this kind of theories is that the field energy can be expressed in terms of Epstein-like and usual Epstein zeta functions. The Casimir force between the two plates in physical space is as usual found by taking the derivative of the energy (or free energy at finite temperature) with respect to  $R$ .

Another motivation is of a more physical nature, namely to investigate constraints for non-Newtonian gravity from the Casimir effect (cf., for instance, Refs. [14] and [15]). Present Casimir force experiments are so accurate that the possible influence from extra dimensions is taken seriously. The hypothetical extra force is usually taken to be of the Yukawa form.

In the present paper we will however not consider possible Casimir-induced deviations from Newtonian mechanics, but develop instead the formalism for Casimir free energy and force in the presence of extra compactified dimensions at *finite*  $T$  in general. We begin in the next section by considering the general case where the number  $p$  of edges in physical space can take the values 1, 2, or 3. Thereafter we specialize to the case  $p = 1$ , corresponding to the conventional setup with two parallel plates separated by a gap  $R$ . Zeta function regularization is employed throughout. Our main focus is on the case of low temperatures, as this appears to be the case of main physical interest. The case of high  $T$  is also briefly discussed. Some issues discussed in more detail in the Discussion (Sect. 5) are:

- 1) The entropy behavior at low temperatures, especially as regards the Nernst theorem. Actually we do not expect beforehand that there should be any problematic behavior in this context - the main reason being that we assume from the outset idealized boundary conditions - it is reassuring to check that the Nernst theorem holds also in the presence of the extra dimensions. In the analogous electromagnetic case, the idealized boundary conditions mean that the TE and TM reflection coefficients  $r_{TE}$  and  $r_{TM}$  satisfy  $r_{TE}^2 = r_{TM}^2 = 1$  for all Matsubara frequencies including the zero frequency case.

- 2) Our second point is to investigate whether there are symmetry prop-

erties to be extracted from the formalism with respect to temperature inversion. Such a symmetry was discovered by Ravndal and Tollefsen [18] as a generalization of the result obtained earlier by Brown and Maclay [16] within *electromagnetic theory*. Such a symmetry is quite useful: it means that with a fixed value of  $R$  one can relate the free energies  $F(T)$  at high and low temperatures to each other in a simple way. Explicitly, if one expresses the free energy  $F$  per unit surface as

$$F(T) = \frac{1}{R^3} f(RT), \quad (1)$$

then the symmetry property reads for the function  $f$

$$f(RT) = (2RT)^4 f\left(\frac{1}{4RT}\right). \quad (2)$$

The question is: does some kind of analogous symmetry hold in the case of the scalar field, especially when there are extra dimensions?

[It should be noted here that the relevant free energy in electrodynamics is  $F$  minus the Stefan-Boltzmann term  $(\pi^2/45)aT^4$ . This is so because the Stefan-Boltzmann pressure acts on the outer surface of the piston also. See footnote 7 in Ref. [16], Eq. (3.37) in Ref. [17], or the discussion in Sect. 5.2 below.]

3) Our third topic is to comment briefly on the connection with electrodynamics. Electrodynamics in higher-dimensional space ( $D > 4$ ) is a many-faceted topic, which has received considerable attention. Mostly one has been considering case where the higher dimensions are uncompactified. One reason for the current interest is that there is an anomaly effect reflecting the breaking of conformal symmetry. Higher dimensions related to the Casimir effect were considered long ago by Ambjørn and Wolfram [19], although anomalies of the mentioned type were not investigated until recently [20, 21, 22, 23]. We will not go into a detailed consideration of these topics, but merely explore the connection between the free energies of the scalar Dirichlet field and the electromagnetic field with the present geometry.

Finally we mention that we assume all plates, in physical space as well as in the extra compactified space, to be so large that edge effects are negligible. Our model in this respect is simpler than that of Fulling and Kirsten [3, 4]; they included a finite cross section in the cylinder volume.

## 2 General formalism, when $p = 1, 2, 3$

We assume finite temperature Casimir theory from the outset. Let the integer  $p$  be the number of edges in physical space. Thus  $p$  can take the values  $p = 1$  (two plates),  $p = 2$  (four plates), or  $p = 3$  (box). The number  $M$  of transverse dimensions in physical space is  $M = 3 - p$ . We let index  $t$  refer to the transverse directions, so that  $t \in [1, M]$ . The number  $q$  of extra compactified dimensions is assumed arbitrary. The total spacetime dimension  $D$  is thus  $D = 4 + q$ . We assume there to be a scalar field  $\Phi(x^i, y^j, t)$  in the bulk. As mentioned, Dirichlet boundary conditions are assumed on the plates in physical space. The plate separations are  $R_i$ , with  $i \in [1, 3]$ . In the compactified extra space with dimension  $q$  we assume, in accordance with usual theory, that a torus of circumference  $2\pi L_j$ ,  $j \in [1, q]$ , is attached to each spacetime point. Periodic boundary conditions are assumed for the extra dimensions. We use the convention  $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$  for the Minkowski metric.

The central differential operator in Euclidean space ( $\tau = it$ ) is  $\square_E = \partial_\tau^2 + \sum_i \partial_i^2 + \sum_j \partial_j^2$ . The total free energy  $F$  can be found by using the zeta function for the operator  $-\square_E$ ,

$$\zeta_{-\square_E}(s) = \sum_J \lambda_J^{-s}. \quad (3)$$

Here the eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_J = k_\perp^2 + \sum_{i=1}^p \left( \frac{n_i \pi}{R_i} \right)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^q \left( \frac{m_j}{L_j} \right)^2 + \omega^2, \quad (4)$$

where  $J$  is an index referring to all the indices  $\{n_i\}, \{m_j\}, \{k_t\}$ , as well as the frequency  $\omega$ . The Dirichlet conditions on the plates causes the first sum to run over positive  $n_i$  only. Further,  $m_j$  extends over all integers because of periodic boundary conditions. In (4) we have also introduced  $k_\perp^2$  as the square of the transverse wave number  $\mathbf{k}_\perp$ ,

$$k_\perp^2 = \sum_{t=1}^M k_t^2. \quad (5)$$

In geometric units, the general expression for the free energy reads

$$F = -\frac{1}{2} \zeta'_{-\square_E}(0). \quad (6)$$

We first consider the interior free energy, called  $F_I$ , in the cavity. With  $V_M$  denoting the transverse volume,

$$V_M = \prod_{t=1}^M R_t, \quad (7)$$

and with the quantity  $A$  defined as

$$A = (2\pi lT)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^p \left( \frac{n_i \pi}{R_i} \right)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^q \left( \frac{m_j}{L_j} \right)^2, \quad (8)$$

we have for the zeta function

$$\zeta_{-\square_E}(s) = \frac{V_M}{(2\pi)^M} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\{n_i\}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\{m_j\}=-\infty}^{\infty} \int d^M k_{\perp} (k_{\perp}^2 + A)^{-s}. \quad (9)$$

Here the notation  $\{n_j\} = 1$  means that  $n_1 = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ ,  $n_2 = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ . The integral can be evaluated using the technique of generalized polar coordinate transformation from Ref. [24],

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= r \cos \theta_1, \\ k_2 &= r \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2, \\ k_3 &= r \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cos \theta_3, \\ &\vdots \\ k_{M-1} &= r \sin \theta_1 \dots \sin \theta_{M-2} \cos \theta_{M-1}, \\ k_M &= r \sin \theta_1 \dots \sin \theta_{M-2} \sin \theta_{M-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The transformation and integration over all  $\theta$  yields

$$\zeta_{-\square_E}(s) = \frac{V_M}{(2\pi)^M} \frac{2\pi^{\frac{M}{2}}}{\Gamma(M/2)} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\{n_i\}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\{m_j\}=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dr r^{M-1} (r^2 + A)^{-s}. \quad (11)$$

After the variable change  $t = r^2/A$  the integral part can be recognized as the integral representation of the beta function

$$B(v, u) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt t^{v-1}}{(1+t)^{v+u}} = \frac{\Gamma(v)\Gamma(u)}{\Gamma(v+u)}. \quad (12)$$

In the end the zeta function is

$$\zeta_{-\square_E}(s) = \frac{V_M}{(2\pi)^M} \frac{\pi^{M/2} \Gamma(s - M/2)}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\{n_i\}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\{m_j\}=-\infty}^{\infty} A^{\frac{M}{2}-s}. \quad (13)$$

Using Eq. (6) we can now calculate the total interior free energy,

$$F_I = -\frac{1}{2} T \pi^{M/2} \Gamma\left(-\frac{M}{2}\right) \frac{V_M}{(2\pi)^M} \sum_{\{n_i\}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\{m_j\}=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \left( \frac{n_i \pi}{R_i} \right)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^q \left( \frac{m_j}{L_j} \right)^2 \right)^{M/2} + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} A^{M/2} \right\}. \quad (14)$$

In the above expression we have used that

$$\left( \frac{g(z)}{\Gamma(z)} \right)' \Big|_{z=-n} = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} g(-n), \quad (15)$$

for any function  $g(z)$ . We introduce the piston in the  $i = p$  direction. The




Figure 1: Illustration of the four cavities of the piston model.

Casimir free energy of the piston geometry consists of four parts.  $F_I = F_I(R_1, \dots, R_{p-1}, R_p, L_1, \dots, L_q)$  is the free energy of cavity I with length  $R_p$  in the piston direction. For cavity II we have  $F_{II} = F_{II}(R_1, \dots, R_{p-1}, X - R_p, L_1, \dots, L_q)$  and similar expression for cavity III and IV with lengths  $X/\eta$  and  $X(1 - 1/\eta)$  in the piston direction respectively. Here  $\eta$  is a parameter of order 2 (see Fig. 1). The usual situation of parallel plates is retrieved by taking  $X \rightarrow \infty$ .  $F_I$  is unaffected by this limit, but the free energies of the other cavities are not. In  $F_{II}$  the sum over  $n_p$  goes to an integral in the limit  $X \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{n_p=1}^{\infty} \rightarrow (X - R_p) \int \frac{dk_{M+1}}{2\pi}. \quad (16)$$

The free energy of cavity II can be found from from Eq. (14) by changing

$$M \rightarrow M + 1 \quad (17)$$

and

$$V_M \rightarrow (X - R)V_M. \quad (18)$$

The contribution to the free energy from the new cavities in the piston model is

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\text{II}} - F_{\text{III}} - F_{\text{IV}} &= \frac{1}{2}T\pi^{(M+1)/2}\Gamma\left(-\frac{M+1}{2}\right)\frac{V_MR_p}{(2\pi)^{M+1}} \\ &\times \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{p-1}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\{m_j\}=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \left( \frac{n_i\pi}{R_i} \right)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^q \left( \frac{m_j}{L_j} \right)^2 \right)^{(M+1)/2} \right. \\ &\left. + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( (2\pi lT)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \left( \frac{n_i\pi}{R_i} \right)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^q \left( \frac{m_j}{L_j} \right)^2 \right)^{(M+1)/2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

By assuming equal size of the compactified extra dimensions ( $L_i = L$ ) we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\text{II}} - F_{\text{III}} - F_{\text{IV}} &= \frac{1}{2}T\pi^{(M+1)/2}\Gamma\left(-\frac{M+1}{2}\right)\frac{V_MR_p}{(2\pi)^{M+1}} \\ &\times \sum_{k=0}^q \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \left\{ E_{p-1+q-k} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{R_1^2}, \dots, \frac{\pi^2}{R_{p-1}^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{M+1}{2} \right) \right. \\ &\left. + 2E_{p+q-k} \left( (2\pi T)^2, \frac{\pi^2}{R_1^2}, \dots, \frac{\pi^2}{R_{p-1}^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{M+1}{2} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$E_N(a_1, \dots, a_N; s)$  is the Epstein-like zeta function

$$E_N(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N; s) = \sum_{\{n_j\}=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N a_j n_j^2 \right)^{-s}. \quad (21)$$

The total free energy of the piston geometry, called simply  $F$ , is

$$\begin{aligned}
F = F_{\text{I}} + F_{\text{II}} - F_{\text{III}} - F_{\text{IV}} = & -\frac{1}{2}T\pi^{M/2}\frac{V_M}{(2\pi)^M}\sum_{k=0}^q\binom{q}{k}(2)^{q-k} \\
& \times \left[ \Gamma\left(-\frac{M}{2}\right)\left\{ E_{p+q-k}\left(\frac{\pi^2}{R_1^2}, \dots, \frac{\pi^2}{R_p^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{M}{2}\right) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + 2E_{1+p+q-k}\left((2\pi T)^2, \frac{\pi^2}{R_1^2}, \dots, \frac{\pi^2}{R_p^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{M}{2}\right) \right\} \right. \\
& - \frac{R_p}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\Gamma\left(-\frac{M+1}{2}\right)\left\{ E_{p-1+q-k}\left(\frac{\pi^2}{R_1^2}, \dots, \frac{\pi^2}{R_{p-1}^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{M+1}{2}\right) \right. \\
& \left. \left. + 2E_{p+q-k}\left((2\pi T)^2, \frac{\pi^2}{R_1^2}, \dots, \frac{\pi^2}{R_{p-1}^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{M+1}{2}\right) \right\} \right]. \tag{22}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us summarize: This expression holds for arbitrary temperature, for arbitrary  $p \in [1, 3]$ , for arbitrary integers  $q$ , when all the  $L_i$  are equal.

We assume henceforth  $p = 1$ , corresponding to a system of two parallel plates separated by a gap  $R_p = R$  in physical space. Thus  $M = 2$ . We also let  $F$  refer to unit surface area, in accordance with usual practice. The value of  $q$  is kept arbitrary. With  $\Gamma(-3/2) = (4/3)\sqrt{\pi}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
F = & -\frac{T}{8\pi}\sum_{k=0}^q\binom{q}{k}(2)^{q-k}\left[ \Gamma(-1)\left\{ E_{1+q-k}\left(\frac{\pi^2}{R^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -1\right) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + 2E_{2+q-k}\left((2\pi T)^2, \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -1\right) \right\} \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{2R}{3}\left\{ E_{q-k}\left(\frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{3}{2}\right) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + 2E_{1+q-k}\left((2\pi T)^2, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{3}{2}\right) \right\} \right]. \tag{23}
\end{aligned}$$

The terms with  $k = q$ , coming from  $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_q = 0$  in Eq. (19), are independent of  $L$  and equal to the Casimir free energy in ordinary 3+1

spacetime ( $q = 0$ ). Naming these terms  $F_{q=0}$  we evaluate them separately

$$F_{q=0} = -\frac{T}{8\pi} \left[ \Gamma(-1) \left\{ E_1 \left( \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}; -1 \right) + 2E_2 \left( (2\pi T)^2, \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}; -1 \right) \right\} - \frac{4R}{3} E_1 \left( (2\pi T)^2; -\frac{3}{2} \right) \right]. \quad (24)$$

Note the term  $E_{q-k} \left( \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{3}{2} \right)$  vanishes when  $k = q$ . Equation (24) can be simplified by using

$$E_1(a_1; s) = \sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty} (a_1 n_1^2)^{-s} = a_1^{-s} \zeta_R(2s), \quad (25)$$

where  $\zeta_R$  is the Riemann zeta function, and the reflection formula

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) \zeta_R(z) = \pi^{z-1/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-z}{2}\right) \zeta_R(1-z) \quad (26)$$

for  $\zeta_R$ . The Casimir free energy per surface area is then

$$F = F_{q=0} - \frac{T}{8\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \left[ \Gamma(-1) \left\{ E_{1+q-k} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -1 \right) + 2E_{2+q-k} \left( (2\pi T)^2, \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -1 \right) \right\} - \frac{2R}{3} \left\{ E_{q-k} \left( \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{3}{2} \right) + 2E_{1+q-k} \left( (2\pi T)^2, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{3}{2} \right) \right\} \right], \quad (27)$$

with

$$F_{q=0} = T^4 \frac{R\pi^2}{90} - T \frac{\zeta_R(3)}{16\pi R^2} - T \frac{\Gamma(-1)}{4\pi} E_2 \left( (2\pi T)^2, \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}; -1 \right). \quad (28)$$

The Epstein-like functions in Eqs. (27) and (28) are formally infinite and need regularization. We will apply zeta regularization [25, 26] to them. By

repeatedly using

$$\begin{aligned}
E_N(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N; s) &= -\frac{1}{2}E_{N-1}(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_N; s) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a_1}}\frac{\Gamma(s-\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(s)}E_{N-1}(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_N; s-\frac{1}{2}) \\
&+ \frac{2\pi^s}{\Gamma(s)}a_1^{-(s+1/2)/2}\sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N=1}^{\infty}n_1^{s-1/2}\left(\sum_{i=2}^N a_i n_i^2\right)^{-(s-1/2)/2} \\
&\times K_{s-1/2}\left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{a_1}}n_1\left(\sum_{i=2}^N a_i n_i^2\right)^{1/2}\right), \tag{29}
\end{aligned}$$

we can express  $F$  in terms of the modified Bessel functions of the second kind,  $K_\nu$ . We will now discuss special cases.

### 3 Low temperatures

With low temperatures we mean that

$$RT \ll 1. \tag{30}$$

In dimensional terms this means  $(k_B T)(R/\hbar c) \ll 1$ , or  $438TR \ll 1$  with  $T$  in kelvin and  $R$  in meters. Choosing two typical separations we thus see that use of low temperature theory implies the restrictions

$$R = 100 \text{ nm} : \quad T \ll 200 \text{ K},$$

$$R = 1000 \text{ nm} : \quad T \ll 20 \text{ K}.$$

Since we assume the size  $L$  of the compactified extra dimensions to be smaller than the experimental range of  $R$ , i.e.  $L \ll R$ , the condition of Eq. (30) implies  $LT \ll 1$  as well. We now use Eq. (29) to find the finite expression

for  $F_{q=0}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{q=0} &= T^4 \frac{R\pi^2}{90} - T \frac{\zeta_R(3)}{16R^2} + \frac{T}{8\pi} \Gamma(-1) E_1 \left( \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}; -1 \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{12\pi} E_1 \left( \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}; -\frac{3}{2} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{T}{R} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{n,l=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n}{l} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} K_{\frac{3}{2}} \left( \frac{\pi ln}{TR} \right) \\
&= -\frac{\pi^2}{1440R^3} - T^4 \frac{R\pi^2}{90} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{T}{R} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{n,l=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n}{l} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} K_{\frac{3}{2}} \left( \frac{\pi ln}{TR} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Notice that  $\frac{T}{8\pi} \Gamma(-1) E_1 \left( \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}; -1 \right)$  cancels  $-T \frac{\zeta_R(3)}{16\pi R^2}$ , coming from the Matsubara integer equal to zero ( $l = 0$ ), in view of Eqs. (25) and (26). This equation does so far not imply the low temperature limit. But since the argument in  $K_\nu$  is large, we need only the first term of the asymptotic expansion

$$K_\nu(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}} e^{-z} \left( 1 + \frac{\nu-1}{8z} + \frac{(\nu-1)(\nu-9)}{2!(8z)^2} + \dots \right). \tag{32}$$

Due to the product  $nl$  in the exponent of the expansion we only need the dominant term  $n = l = 1$  in the double sum. Thus  $F_{q=0}$  is

$$F_{q=0} = -\frac{\pi^2}{1440R^3} + T^4 \frac{R\pi^2}{90} - T^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi}{RT}}}{2R} \tag{33}$$

in the low temperature limit. Here  $T^4 \frac{R\pi^2}{90}$  is the Stefan-Boltzmann term corresponding to the vacuum energy in empty 3+1 spacetime and  $-\frac{T^2}{2R} e^{-\frac{\pi}{RT}}$  is the leading correction term. One might wonder why there is no term proportional to  $T^3 \zeta_R(3)$ . We will return to this later on when discussing electromagnetic fields.

To find the low temperature limit of the part of the free energy depending

on  $L$  and  $q$  we evaluate the remaining part of Eq. (27) in pairs. First we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \left[ \frac{T}{8\pi} \Gamma(-1) E_{1+q-k} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -1 \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{T}{4\pi} \Gamma(-1) E_{2+q-k} \left( (2\pi T)^2, \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -1 \right) \right] \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \left[ -\frac{1}{12\pi} E_{1+q-k} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{3}{2} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{T(2\pi T)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi^2} \sum_{\substack{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{q-k}, \\ n, l=1}}^{\infty} l^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left( \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{R^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{q-k} \frac{m_j^2}{L^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} K_{\frac{3}{2}} \left( \frac{l}{T} \left( \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{R^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{q-k} \frac{m_j^2}{L^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] \\
&\xrightarrow{T \rightarrow 0} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{12\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} E_{1+q-k} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{3}{2} \right)}_1 \\
& \quad - \underbrace{\frac{T^2}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2}{R^2} + \frac{q-k}{L^2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{T} \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2}{R^2} + \frac{q-k}{L^2}} \right)}_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Here only the largest contributions from the sum over  $n$  and the  $m_j$ 's are

included, namely  $n = m_1 = \dots = m_q = 1$ . Similarly we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \left[ \frac{RT}{6\pi} E_{1+q-k} \left( (2\pi T)^2, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{3}{2} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{RT}{12\pi} E_{q-k} \left( \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{3}{2} \right) \right] \\
& = \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \left[ \frac{R}{32\pi^2} \Gamma(-2) E_{q-k} \left( \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -2 \right) \right] \\
& + \frac{RT^2}{2\pi^2} \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{q-k}, l=1}^{\infty} l^{-2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{q-k} \frac{m_j^2}{L^2} \right) K_2 \left( \frac{l}{T} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{q-k} \frac{m_j^2}{L^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \quad (35) \\
& \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow 0} \underbrace{\frac{R}{32\pi^2} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \Gamma(-2) E_{q-k} \left( \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -2 \right)}_3 \\
& + \underbrace{\frac{RT^{\frac{5}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \left( \frac{q-k}{L^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{q-k}}{TL}}}_4.
\end{aligned}$$

The terms underbraced by 1 and 3 are independent of temperature, and those underbraced by 2 and 4 are, to lowest order in  $T$ , equal to their  $k = q - 1$  terms. Hence the total free energy per unit area of the piston is in the low temperature limit

$$\begin{aligned}
F & = -\frac{\pi^2}{1440R^3} + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \left[ \frac{R}{32\pi^2} \Gamma(-2) E_{q-k} \left( \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -2 \right) \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{12\pi} E_{1+q-k} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{3}{2} \right) \right] \quad (36) \\
& + T^4 \frac{R\pi^2}{90} - T^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi}{RT}}}{2R} + 2q \frac{RT^{\frac{5}{2}}}{(2\pi L)^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{TL}} \\
& - q \frac{T^2}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2}{R^2} + \frac{1}{L^2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{T} \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2}{R^2} + \frac{1}{L^2}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This is our main result. With  $T = 0$  only the first three terms remain. They are the energy per surface area at zero temperature and in accordance with the 2006 paper (second item) of Ref. [5], apart from terms leading to repulsive Casimir forces at large  $R$ . We are here considering the piston model, as in the 2008 paper of [5], in order to remove those terms.

As mentioned earlier we assume  $L \ll R$ . Again using Eq. (29) we can rewrite the zero temperature energy  $E$  per surface area as

$$\begin{aligned}
E = & -\frac{\pi^2}{1440R^3} + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{24\pi} E_{q-k} \left( \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{3}{2} \right) \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{8L^2R\pi^2} \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{q-k}, n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{q-k} m_j^2 \right) K_2 \left( 2n \frac{R}{L} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{q-k} m_j^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

(at  $T = 0$  the thermodynamic energy  $E$  is the same as the free energy  $F$ ). The Epstein-like terms are independent of  $R$  and will not influence the Casimir force. The arguments of the modified Bessel functions are proportional to  $R/L$  and will only give exponentially small corrections to the first term, the Casimir energy per surface area of a scalar field in three spatial dimensions.

The two last terms in Eq. (36) are low temperature corrections from the compactified extra dimensions. They are proportional to the number  $q$  and are exponentially decreasing in  $1/T$ .

The Casimir force  $P$  per unit area can be found by differentiating the free energy with respect to  $R$ ,

$$P = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial R}. \tag{38}$$

Since

$$\frac{dK_\nu(z)}{dz} = -\frac{1}{2} (K_{\nu-1}(z) + K_{\nu+1}(z)), \tag{39}$$

we find the Casimir force without compactified extra dimension to be, when

using Eq. (31),

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{q=0} &= -\frac{\partial F_{q=0}}{\partial R} = -\frac{\pi^2}{480R^4} - T^4 \frac{\pi^2}{90} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{T}{R}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{n,l=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{3n^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2Rl^{\frac{3}{2}}} K_{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{\pi ln}{TR}\right) - \frac{\pi n^{\frac{5}{2}}}{2Tl^{\frac{1}{2}}R^2} \left( K_{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\pi ln}{TR}\right) + K_{\frac{5}{2}} \left(\frac{\pi ln}{TR}\right) \right) \right] \\
&\xrightarrow{T \rightarrow 0} -\frac{\pi^2}{480R^4} - T^4 \frac{\pi^2}{90} + T \frac{\pi}{2R^3} e^{-\frac{\pi}{TR}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

This is the same result as in Ref. [17]. We evaluate the other part of the free energy considering the same pairs as before. Differentiation of Eq. (34) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\partial}{\partial R} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \left[ -\frac{1}{12\pi} E_{1+q-k} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{3}{2} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{T(2\pi T)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi^2} \sum_{\substack{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{q-k} \\ n, l=1}}^{\infty} l^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left( \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{R^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{q-k} \frac{m_j^2}{L^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} K_{\frac{3}{2}} \left( \frac{l}{T} \left( \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{R^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{q-k} \frac{m_j^2}{L^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] \\
&\xrightarrow{T \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \frac{1}{12\pi} E_{1+q-k} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{3}{2} \right) \\
&\quad + q \frac{\pi T}{R^3} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{T} \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2 n^2}{R^2} + \frac{1}{L^2}} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Using Eq. (29) for the Epstein-like functions we can carry out the differentiation. The final expression for the Casimir force per surface area at low

temperature thus reads

$$\begin{aligned}
P = & -\frac{\pi^2}{480R^4} - \frac{1}{8L^2R\pi^2} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \sum_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{q-k}, n=1}^{\infty} \\
& \times \left[ \frac{1}{Rn^2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{q-k} m_j^2 \right) K_2 \left( 2n \frac{R}{L} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{q-k} m_j^2} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{Ln} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{q-k} m_j^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left( K_1 \left( 2n \frac{R}{L} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{q-k} m_j^2} \right) + K_3 \left( 2n \frac{R}{L} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{q-k} m_j^2} \right) \right) \right] \\
& - T^4 \frac{\pi^2}{90} + T \frac{\pi}{2R^3} e^{-\frac{\pi}{TR}} - 2q \frac{T^{\frac{5}{2}}}{(2\pi L)^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{TL}} + q \frac{\pi T}{R^3} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{T} \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2 n^2}{R^2} + \frac{1}{L^2}} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

The  $T = 0$  terms arising from the compactified dimensions are negative, corresponding to an attractive force. The temperature corrections (the last three terms) are small in the low temperature limit.

## 4 High temperatures

We shall also consider briefly the case of high temperatures,

$$RT \gg 1. \tag{43}$$

For instance, in order to satisfy this condition with 1% accuracy when the separation is  $R = 1000$  nm, the temperature must be quite high,  $T = 2.3 \times 10^5$  K. At such temperatures ordinary solid bodies do not exist. It therefore seems that this limit is of less physical interest than the case of low temperatures. Let us consider, however, the surface force density. Our starting point is

again Eq. (27), from which we extract terms pairwise. The first pair is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{T}{8\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \left[ 2\Gamma(-1) E_{2+q-k} \left( (2\pi T)^2, \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -1 \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{4R}{3} E_{1+q-k} \left( (2\pi T)^2, \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -1 \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{T}{8\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \left[ -\Gamma(-1) E_{1+q-k} \left( (2\pi T)^2, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -1 \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_{q-k}, \\ n, l=1}}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left( (2\pi T l)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{q-k} \frac{m_j^2}{L^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} K_{\frac{3}{2}} \left( 2R \sqrt{(2\pi T l)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{q-k} \frac{m_j^2}{L^2}} \right) \right] \\
&\approx -\frac{qT}{\pi R L^2} (1 + (2\pi T L)^2) \exp \left( -2\frac{R}{L} \sqrt{1 + (2\pi T L)^2} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

So far no assumption about temperature has been made, we have only used  $R \gg L$ . The sum  $\sum_{j=1}^{q-k} m_j^2$  is always greater than one, hence the approximation above holds for all  $LT$  since the argument of the Bessel functions is large even when  $LT$  is small. The second pair is linear in  $T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{T}{8\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \left[ \Gamma(-1) E_{1+q-k} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}, \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -1 \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{2R}{3} E_{q-k} \left( \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -\frac{3}{2} \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{T}{8\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \Gamma(-1) E_{q-k} \left( \frac{1}{L^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{L^2}; -1 \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi R L^{\frac{3}{2}}}} \sum_{\substack{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{q-k}, \\ n=1}}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{q-k} m_j^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} K_{\frac{3}{2}} \left( 2n \frac{R}{L} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{q-k} m_j^2} \right) \right] \\
&\approx -\frac{qT}{2\pi R L^2} e^{-2\frac{R}{L}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

This expression also holds for all  $T$ . The terms left to evaluate are those corresponding to  $q = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( T^4 \frac{R\pi^2}{90} - T \frac{\zeta_R(3)}{16\pi R^2} - T \frac{\Gamma(-1)}{4\pi} E_2 \left( (2\pi T)^2, \frac{\pi^2}{R^2}; -1 \right) \right) \\
&= -\frac{R\zeta_R(3)}{8R^3\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{R}} \sum_{n,l=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{3}{2}} (2\pi Tl)^{\frac{3}{2}} K_{\frac{3}{2}}(4\pi RTnl) \\
&\xrightarrow{TR \gg 1} -\frac{\xi\zeta_R(3)}{8\pi R^3} - \frac{2\pi T^3}{R} e^{-4\pi RT}.
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

The Casimir force in the high temperature limit ( $RT \gg 1$ ) can in the end be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
P = & -\frac{T\zeta_R(3)}{8\pi R^3} - \frac{2\pi T^3}{R} e^{-4\pi RT} - \frac{qT}{2\pi RL^2} e^{-2\frac{R}{L}} \\
& - \frac{qT}{\pi RL^2} (1 + (2\pi TL)^2) \exp \left( -2\frac{R}{L} \sqrt{1 + (2\pi TL)^2} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Our results are in accordance with Ref. [27] though here we have included exponentially small corrections as well, not just the terms linear in temperature. In Ref. [27] the size of each extra compactified dimension is assumed arbitrary.

## 5 Discussion and summary

One ought to bear in mind the orders of magnitude of the central parameters here. Casimir force measurements are done with separations down to tens of nanometers. Taking  $R = 10$  nm as an extreme example, we see that the condition  $RT \ll 1$  is satisfied to about 1% accuracy if  $T \ll 2500$  K. This is a rather weak condition, showing that low temperature theory may be applicable even at room temperature. The radius  $L$  of the compactified dimensions is however always a very small quantity and can even be comparable to the Planck length. For instance, Grøn and Hervik [28] argue that  $L \sim 10^{-33}$  m. In all physical conditions, the approximation  $LT \ll 1$  is accordingly satisfied to high accuracy.

After our calculations were completed, we became aware of two very recent papers of Teo [29, 30]. In Ref. [29] a massless scalar field was considered, without reference to the free energy, however. In Ref. [30] the free energy

density was given within a  $n$ -torus for a massive scalar field (the transition to the massless case requiring special treatment). It is reassuring that directly comparable results from these two independent investigations appear to agree with each other, both for low and for high temperatures. References [29, 30] are more detailed than Ref. [27] cited above.

Let us now reconsider the items alluded to in Sect. 1.

## 5.1 Entropy, and the Nernst theorem

Of interest is here the low temperature limit. To evaluate the entropy  $S = -\partial F/\partial T$  we extract once again terms from the expression (27) pairwise. We abstain from giving the details of the calculation and present only the result:

$$\begin{aligned}
S = & -\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \left[ -\frac{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{16\pi^3} \sum_{\substack{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{q-k}, \\ n, l=1}}^{\infty} l^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left( \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{R^2} + \sum_{j=0}^{q-k} \frac{m_j^2}{L^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \right. \right. \\
& \times K_3 \left( \frac{l}{T} \left( \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{R^2} + \sum_{j=0}^{q-k} \frac{m_j^2}{L^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
& + \frac{RT^2}{2\pi^2} \sum_{\substack{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_p, \\ n, l=1}}^{\infty} l^{-2} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{q-k} \frac{m_j^2}{L^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} K_3 \left( \frac{l}{TL} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{q-k} m_j^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left. \right] \\
& + T^4 \frac{R\pi^2}{90} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{T}{R} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{n, l=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n}{l} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} K_{\frac{3}{2}} \left( \frac{\pi l n}{TR} \right) \left. \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

As the derivatives of  $K_\nu$  will produce terms containing  $K_\nu$  with the same argument we see that, when  $T \rightarrow 0$ , the  $K_\nu$  will decay exponentially implying that  $S \rightarrow 0$ . The Nernst theorem is satisfied. This is as we should expect, in the present case with idealized boundary conditions. The same property is known to hold for a metal without extra dimensions, when ideal boundary conditions are assumed for all frequencies. (The current discussion about thermal Casimir corrections relates to the case where *dissipation* is included; for a discussion on these issues see, for instance, Ref. [31].)

## 5.2 On temperature inversion symmetry. Comparison with the electromagnetic field

When dealing with the electromagnetic field instead of the scalar field the sum over  $n_i$  in Eq. (14) must be replaced with

$$\sum_{\{n_i\}=1}^{\infty} \rightarrow \sum_{\{n_i\}=0}^{\infty} + \sum_{\{n_i\}=1}^{\infty}. \quad (49)$$

For this reason the free energy density of the electromagnetic field is twice that of the scalar field plus the contribution from  $n = 0$ . The free energy density per surface area is

$$F^{\text{EM}} = 2F^{\text{SCALAR,D}} - \frac{T}{8\pi} \sum_{k=0}^q \binom{q}{k} (2)^{q-k} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{m_1, m_2, \\ \dots, m_k=0}}^{\infty} \Gamma(-1) \left( (2\pi Tl)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{q-k} \frac{m_j^2}{L^2} \right). \quad (50)$$

The prime here means that  $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_q = l = 0$  is to be omitted. By rewriting the expression above to Epstein-like zeta functions and using Eq. (29) one can show that also the new terms satisfy Nernst theorem. When  $q = 0$  the last part of the free energy reads

$$- \frac{T}{8\pi} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma(-1) ((2\pi Tl)^2) = - \frac{T^3}{2\pi} \zeta_R(3). \quad (51)$$

Again, we have used the reflection formula (26). Obviously this is equal to the  $k = q$  term when  $q \neq 0$  and can be separated from the rest of the sum. The  $\zeta_R(3)T^3$ -term is thus present for electromagnetic field [17], but not for the scalar field.

Now return to the temperature inversion symmetry noted earlier in Eq. (2). The free energy density for the electromagnetic field with no extra dimensions

is

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{q=0}^{\text{EM}} &= T^4 \frac{R\pi^2}{45} + \frac{1}{R^3} \left[ -\frac{\pi^2}{720} - \frac{(TR)^3}{2\pi} \zeta_R(3) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sqrt{2}(TR)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{n,l=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n}{l}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} K_{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{\pi ln}{TR}\right) \right] \\
&= T^4 \frac{R\pi^2}{45} + \frac{1}{R^3} \left[ -\frac{(TR)^4 \pi^2}{45} - \frac{TR}{8\pi} \zeta_R(3) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2^{\frac{3}{2}} (TR)^{\frac{5}{2}} \sum_{n,l=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{l}{n}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} K_{\frac{3}{2}}(4TR\pi ln) \right] \\
&= T^4 \frac{R\pi^2}{45} + \frac{1}{R^3} f(TR).
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

The function  $f(TR)$  satisfies the inversion symmetry as pointed out in Refs. [16, 18]. Note that the symmetry holds only for the free energy density of cavity  $I$ , not for the entire system. Although Eq. (2) is valid for cavity  $I$  when  $q = 0$  it is not valid when  $q > 0$ . Equation (14) with the substitution (49) reads, with  $p = 1$ ,

$$F_I = -\frac{1}{2} T \pi^{M/2} \Gamma\left(-\frac{M}{2}\right) \frac{V_M}{(2\pi)^M} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\{m_j\}=-\infty}^{\infty} A^{M/2} \}. \tag{53}$$

and satisfies the symmetry for  $q = 0$  since the interchange of  $n$  and  $l$  leaves the function invariant and the quantity  $A$  in Eq. (8) has only two terms. As soon as  $A$  gets more than two terms, no temperature inversion symmetry exists.

### 5.3 Summary

Our intention has been to develop the finite temperature Casimir theory of the Dirichlet scalar field, under conventional Casimir conditions involving two large parallel plate with a gap  $R$  in physical space, and in addition an arbitrary number  $q$  of extra compactified dimensions. Zeta function regularization of the Epstein-like functions is used throughout. The radii  $L$  of the compactified dimensions are for the most part taken to be equal. For

general values of  $T$ , the free energy  $F$  is given by Eqs. (28) and (29). For low  $T$  (i.e.,  $RT \ll 1$ ), the case of main physical interest, the approximate expression for  $F$  is given by Eq. (36), and the corresponding Casimir pressure is given by Eq. (42). The Nernst theorem is satisfied, as can be seen from the low temperature entropy expression (49). The case of high temperatures is briefly discussed in Sect. 4. In all cases of physical interest, the inequality  $R/L \gg 1$  is amply satisfied. It should be borne in mind that the scalar field is different from (one half) the electromagnetic field, as is exemplified by the difference term occurring in Eq. (51).

## References

- [1] E. Elizalde, S. D. Odintsov, and A. A. Saharian, e-print arXiv:0902.0717 [hep-th].
- [2] L. P. Teo, J. Phys. A **42**, 105403 (2009).
- [3] S. A. Fulling and K. Kirsten, Phys. Lett. B **671**, 179 (2009).
- [4] K. Kirsten and S. A. Fulling, arXiv:0901.1902 [hep-th].
- [5] H. Cheng, Phys. Lett. B **668**, 72 (2008); Phys. Lett. B **643**, 311 (2006); Mod. Phys. Lett. A **21**, 1957 (2006); Chin. Phys. Lett. **22**, 3032 (2005); Chin. Phys. Lett. **22**, 2190 (2005).
- [6] A. Edery and V. N. Marachevsky, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 025021 (2008).
- [7] V. N. Marachevsky, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 085019 (2007).
- [8] M. Frank, N. Saad, and I. Turan, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 055014 (2008).
- [9] S. C. Lim and L. P. Teo, Eur. Phys. J. C **60**, 323 (2009).
- [10] S. C. Lim and L. P. Teo, e-print arXiv:0804.3916 [hep-th]. .
- [11] S. A. Fulling, L. Kaplan, K. Kirsten, Z. H. Liu, and K. A. Milton, e-print arXiv:0806.2468 [hep-th].
- [12] K. Poppenhaeger, S. Hossenfelder, S. Hofmann, and M. Bleider, Phys. Lett. B **582**, 1 (2004).
- [13] R. M. Cavalcanti, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 065015 (2004).
- [14] M. Bordag, U. Mohideen, and V. M. Mostepanenko, Physics Reports **353**, 1 (2001).
- [15] K. A. Milton, J. Phys. A **37**, R209 (2004).
- [16] L. S. Brown and G. J. Maclay, Phys. Rev. **184**, 1272 (1969).
- [17] K. A. Milton, *The Casimir Effect: Physical Manifestations of the Zero-Point Energy* ( World Scientific, Singapore, 2001).
- [18] F. Ravndal and D. Tollefsen, Phys. Rev. D **40**, 4191 (1989).

- [19] J. Ambjørn and S. Wolfram, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **147**, 1 (1983).
- [20] H. Alnes, K. Olaussen, F. Ravndal, and I. K. Wehus, *J. Phys. A* **40**, F315 (2007).
- [21] H. Alnes, F. Ravndal, and I. K. Wehus, *J. Phys. A* **40**, 14309 (2007).
- [22] H. Alnes, F. Ravndal, I. K. Wehus, and K. Olaussen, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 105017 (2006).
- [23] I. Brevik and K. A. Milton, *Phys. Rev. E* **78**, 011124 (2008).
- [24] X. Z. Li, H. B. Cheng, J. M. Li, and X. H. Zhai, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 2155 (1997).
- [25] E. Elizalde, S. D. Odintsov, A. Romeo, A. A. Bytsenko, and S. Zerbini, *Zeta Regularization Techniques with Applications* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994).
- [26] E. Elizalde, *Ten Physical Applications of Spectral Zeta Functions* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995).
- [27] L. P. Teo, *Phys. Lett. B* **672**, 190 (2009).
- [28] Ø. Grøn and S. Hervik, *Einstein's General Theory of Relativity* (Springer-Verlag 2007).
- [29] L. P. Teo, e-print arXiv:0901.2195v1 [hep-th].
- [30] L. P. Teo, e-print arXiv:0903.3765v1 [hep-th].
- [31] I. Brevik, S. A. Ellingsen and K. A. Milton, *New. J. Phys.* **8**, 236 (2006).