

# Comment on “Observation of a Push Force on the End Face of a Nanometer Silica Filament Exerted by Outgoing Light”

Iver Brevik\*

Department of Energy and Process Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway

The Abraham-Minkowski electromagnetic energy-momentum tensor problem has been on the agenda since about 1910. The recent experiment of She *et al.* [1] is in this connection of interest, as it shows how the radiation force from a low-intensity laser yields an inward push force on the end face of a vertical fiber.

But does this experiment measure electromagnetic momentum? In our opinion the answer is no. What is detected is merely the electromagnetic Abraham-Minkowski force density  $\mathbf{f}^{AM} = -(\epsilon_0/2)E^2\nabla n^2$  in the surface layer of the filament (or in other regions where  $n$  varies). This is not related to the electromagnetic momentum in itself. The electromagnetic force density is  $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}^{AM} + [(n^2 - 1)/c^2]\partial/\partial t(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H})$ , and electromagnetic momentum does not appear until the second term in this expression. This is the Abraham term. It is in principle measurable although it is usually small; moreover it simply fluctuates out when averaged over an optical period in a stationary beam.

For illustration, let us assume that a short laser pulse with energy  $\mathcal{H}$  falls from vacuum towards the entrance surface of a free-standing fiber (we ignore gravity). If there is an antireflection film of refractive index  $\sqrt{n}$  on the surface,  $\mathcal{H}$  is the energy of the pulse in the medium also. The impulse imparted to the surface because of the surface force  $f^{AM}$  is  $G_{surf} = \mathcal{H}(n - 1)/c$ , directed against the beam if  $n > 1$ . When the pulse leaves at the exit surface, a corresponding reverse impulse is imparted. In the Abraham case, one has to take into account the mechanical momentum  $G_{mech}^A$  caused by the Abraham term also. One finds  $G_{mech}^A = \mathcal{H}(n^2 - 1)/nc$ . The resulting longitudinal displacement of the fiber because of the sum  $G_{surf} + G_{mech}^A$  becomes  $\Delta x^A = (\mathcal{H}/c^2\mu)(n - 1)$ , where  $\mu = M/L$  is the mass per unit length. As discussed on p. 189 in Ref. [2],  $\Delta x^A \sim 1$  pm or less, and is clearly non-observable.

In the present case, the fiber is fixed at the upper end. There will be a downward directed impulse imparted to the fiber at the lower end when the pulse leaves. It is very small: taking the flux to be 10 mW and the pulse duration to be 270 ms, we get  $\mathcal{H} = 2.7$  mJ resulting in  $G_{surf} = 4.5$  pN·s if  $n = 1.5$ . Because of elasticity, there

\*Electronic address: iver.h.brevik@ntnu.no

will be an upward directed recoil in the fiber. (Sideways motion may result from non-axisymmetric elastic conditions.)

We propose finally a modification of the experiment that might be capable of detecting the Abraham force after all (cf. also p. 191 of [2]): Let a long fiber of length  $L$  be wound up on a drum of radius  $R$  and of small weight. Suspend the drum as a vertical torsional pendulum such that it can oscillate about the  $z$  axis with an eigenfrequency  $\omega_0$ . Then send an *intensity modulated* optical wave through the fiber, such that its harmonic component has the same frequency  $\omega_0$ . We take the incident energy flux in vacuum to be  $P^{(i)} = P_0 \cos^2(\frac{1}{2}k_0x - \frac{1}{2}\omega_0t)$ , where  $k_0 = \omega_0/c$ ,  $x$  is the longitudinal coordinate, and  $P_0$  is the unmodulated energy flux averaged over an optical period. With antireflection films on the end surfaces we obtain in the Abraham case a longitudinal force  $F^A = P_0[(n - 1)/cn] \sin(\frac{1}{2}nk_0L) \sin(\frac{1}{2}nk_0L - \omega_0t)$ , whereas in the Minkowski case  $F^M = -nF^A$ . These forces give rise to measurable axial torques  $N_z$  on the drum. Assuming the sheet of fiber on the drum to be thin we obtain, when setting  $\sin(\frac{1}{2}nk_0L) \approx \frac{1}{2}nk_0L$ , in the Abraham case  $N_z^A = [(n - 1)/2c^2]RLP_0\omega_0 \sin(\frac{1}{2}nk_0L - \omega_0t)$ . In the Minkowski case,  $N_z^M = -nN_z^A$ . The two predictions are thus quite different.

For definiteness, assume that a YAG laser at  $1.06 \mu\text{m}$  produces the incident beam. Assume that a high power of  $P_0 = 1$  kW can be transmitted through the fiber, and neglect any losses. Then, with  $L = 100$  m,  $n = 1.5$ ,  $R = 10$  cm,  $\omega_0 = 10$  s $^{-1}$ , we obtain for the predicted torque amplitudes  $N_z^A = 2.8 \times 10^{-13}$  Nm,  $N_z^M = 4.2 \times 10^{-13}$  Nm.

The above amplitudes are less than those of Ref. [3] ( $10^{-12}$  Nm), but of the same order of magnitude as in Ref. [4]. Actually, they are greater than those in the classic experiment of Ref. [5] ( $10^{-16}$  Nm). Realization of our proposed experiment appears difficult but not impossible.

Finally, it should be mentioned that our Einstein-box argument above implicitly assumed wide lateral dimensions for the pulse. Cf. also the Comment of Mansuripur on this point [6].

- [1] W. She, J. Yu, and R. Feng, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 243601 (2008).  
 [2] I. Brevik, Physics Reports **52**, 133 (1979).  
 [3] G. Roosen and C. Imbert, Can. J. Phys. **52**, 1903 (1974).  
 [4] R. V. Jones and J. C. S. Richards, Proc. Roy. Soc. A **221**,

480 (1954).

- [5] R. A. Beth, Phys. Rev. **50**, 115 (1936).  
 [6] M. Mansuripur, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 019301 (2009).