The sensitivity of hyperfine structure to nuclear radius and quark mass variation

T. H. Dinh¹, A. Dunning¹, V. A. Dzuba¹, and V. V. Flambaum^{1,2}

¹School of Physics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, 2052, Australia

² New Zealand Institute for Advanced Study, Massey University, Auckland, New Zealand

(Dated: June 21, 2024)

To search for the temporal variation of the fundamental constants one needs to know dependence of atomic transition frequencies on these constants. We study the dependence of the hyperfine structure of atomic *s*-levels on nuclear radius and, via radius, on quark masses. An analytical formula has been derived and tested by the numerical relativistic Hartree-Fock calculations for Rb, Cd^+ , Cs, Yb^+ and Hg^+ . The results of this work allow the use of very precise atomic clock experiments to put constrains on time variation of the quark masses.

PACS numbers: 06.20.Jr, 31.30.Gs

I. INTRODUCTION

Interest in the variation of the fundamental constants is motivated by theories unifying gravity and other interactions. Indications that the fundamental constants might have varied slightly from those of the distant past have been found in Big Bang nucleosynthesis and quasar absorption spectra (see, e.g. reviews [1, 2, 3]). Most publications report only constraints on possible variations of fundamental constants (see, e.g.[3, 4]) and the majority of recent work has been devoted to the variation of the fine structure constant α . However, the hypothetical unification of all interactions implies that the variation of the dimensionless strong interaction parameter $X_q = m_q / \Lambda_{QCD}$ (where $m_q = (m_u + m_d)/2$ is the average current-quark mass and Λ_{QCD} is the QCD scale) may be larger than the variation of α (see for example [5, 6] and the references therein). In all intermediate calculations it is convenient to assume that the strong interaction scale Λ_{OCD} does not vary, so we will speak of the variation of masses (this means that we measure masses in units of Λ_{QCD}). We will restore the explicit appearance of Λ_{QCD} in the final answers.

In a previous paper [7] calculations of the sensitivity of the nuclear radii to quark mass variation were performed. In the present paper we calculate the dependence of hyperfine transition frequency on nuclear radius (a preliminary approximate analytical result of this work was presented in Ref. [7]). Combining the results of the present work and Ref. [7] we calculate the dependence of the hyperfine structure on the quark masses and the dimensionless strong interaction parameter $X_q = m_q/\Lambda_{QCD}$. These calculations are needed to use the results of very accurate atomic clock experiments to obtain constraints on the variation of the fundamental constants.

The result of the present work is presented as a simple analytical formula. To test this formula and improve the accuracy we have performed numerical relativistic Hartree-Fock calculations for atoms of experimental interest Rb, Cd⁺, Cs, Yb⁺ and Hg⁺.

II. DEPENDENCE OF HYPERFINE TRANSITION FREQUENCY ON NUCLEAR RADIUS AND QUARK MASS

It has been found in Ref. [7] that the variation of a nuclear radius r_n can be related to variation of quark mass by

$$\frac{\delta r_n}{r_n} \approx 0.3 \frac{\delta m_q}{m_q},$$
 (1)

Numerical factor 0.3 in (1) is approximately the same for all nuclei. Then the variation of the frequency of a hyperfine transition ω_h may be presented as

$$\frac{\delta\omega_h}{\omega_h} \approx 0.3 k_{hr} \frac{\delta m_q}{m_q},\tag{2}$$

where

$$k_{hr} = \frac{\delta\omega_h/\omega_h}{\delta r_n/r_n} \tag{3}$$

In this section we calculate k_{hr} using analytical and numerical approaches.

To take into account finite nuclear size in the magnetic dipole hyperfine structure (hfs) Hamiltonian we approximate the nucleus by a uniformly magnetized sphere. Then the Hamiltonian has a form

$$\hat{H}_{hfs} = -\frac{e}{c} \boldsymbol{\mu} \cdot [\mathbf{n} \times \boldsymbol{\alpha}] U(r),$$

$$U(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{r}{r_{s}^{3}}, & r < r_{n} \\ \frac{r}{r^{2}}, & r \ge r_{n} \end{cases}.$$
(4)

Here $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{r}/r, \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is Dirac matrix, $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is nuclear magnetic moment and r_n is nuclear radius.

For the analytical consideration we use a model of the nucleus where the nuclear charge is considered to be uniformly distributed about a sphere of radius r_n . Such a charge distribution corresponds to the potential

$$V(r) = \begin{cases} -\frac{Ze^2}{r_n} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{r^2}{2r_n^2}\right), & r < r_n \\ -\frac{Ze^2}{r}, & r \ge r_n \end{cases}$$
(5)

It is convenient to present the hyperfine frequency as $\omega_h = \omega_0(1-\delta_h)$, where ω_0 is the frequency at $r_n = 0$ and δ_h describes the change of the hfs frequency due to finite nuclear radius r_n . For the potential (5) the electron wave functions in the vicinity of the nucleus can be found analytically and we obtain the following approximate expression for δ_h (see appendix for details):

$$\delta_h \approx \frac{72}{35} (Zr_n/a_B)^{2\gamma - 1},\tag{6}$$

where $\gamma = \sqrt{1 - Z^2 \alpha^2}$ and a_B is the Bohr radius. Then we obtain

$$k_{hr} = \frac{\delta\omega_h/\omega_h}{\delta r_n/r_n} = -\frac{(2\gamma - 1)\delta_h}{1 - \delta_h},\tag{7}$$

To check these results with a more accurate approach we calculate atomic hfs constants using the relativistic Hartree-Fock method (see, e.g.[8]). Relativistic Hartree-Fock Hamiltonian for atoms with one external electron above closed shells can be written as

$$\hat{H}^{HF} = c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + (\beta - 1)mc^2 + V_{nuc}(r) + V^{N-1} .$$
(8)

Here $V_{nuc}(r)$ is nuclear potential and V^{N-1} is the self-consistent Hartree-Fock potential of the closed-shell atomic core containing N-1 atomic electrons. Nuclear potential $V_{nuc}(r)$ is found by numerical integration of the Fermi-type distribution of the nuclear charge. We assume the same electric and magnetic radius. The same Hamiltonian (8) is used for core and valence states. The hfs frequencies are expressed via expectation values of the hfs Hamiltonian (4) over wave functions of the valence electron calculated with the Hamiltonian (8). Note that neither core polarization nor correlation effects are important for the *relative* change of the hfs frequency for s-wave energy levels since the polarization and correlation corrections are dominated by the matrix elements of the hyperfine interaction between the s-wave orbitals (the hyperfine matrix elements between the p,d,... orbitals are significantly smaller). To find the change of the hyperfine frequency due to the change of nuclear radius we perform calculations for at least two different nuclear radii and than calculate derivative numerically

$$\frac{\delta\omega_h}{\delta r_n} = \frac{\omega_h(r_n + \delta r_n) - \omega_h(r_n - \delta r_n)}{2\delta r_n}.$$
(9)

The values of k_{hr-cal} found from the Hartree-Fock calculations are presented in Table I. Using (7) we can express δ_h in a form similar to (6)

$$\delta_h \approx C(Zr_n/a_B)^{2\gamma - 1},\tag{10}$$

where C is a fitting factor found from a comparison of the results of calculations with the formula (10). The values of C for some atoms are presented in Table I. Its variation from atom to atom is small and average value is 1.995. Using $r_n = 1.1A^{\frac{1}{3}}$ fm and the total number of nucleons $A \approx 2.5Z$ leads to the formula

$$\delta_h = 1.995 \times (2.8 \cdot 10^{-5} Z^{4/3})^{2\gamma - 1} \tag{11}$$

which can be used for any medium or heavy atom. Note that this result agrees with the purely analytical result eq. (6) to the accuracy of few per cent.

Now we can use the expression (2) to calculate the sensitivity of ω_h to the quark mass due to the variation of nuclear radius (parameter $k_{hq} = 0.3 \cdot k_{hr}$). All results are displayed in Table II. Also presented in Table II are the parameters K_{rel} , k_{μ} and $k = k_{\mu} + k_{hq}$. K_{rel} is the sensitivity of the hyperfine structure to variation of α derived from the results of the atomic many-body calculations [9]. Parameter k_{μ} is the sensitivity of the nuclear magnetic moment to quark mass calculated in Ref. [10].

It is convenient to present the final results using the ratio of the hyperfine energy $E_h = \hbar \omega_h$ to the atomic unit of energy $E_a = m_e e^4 / \hbar^2$. Atomic experiments always measure the ratio of two atomic frequencies. The atomic unit of energy E_a cancels out in such ratios. Following Ref. [10] we define the parameter V through the relation

$$\frac{\delta V}{V} = \frac{\delta(E_h/E_a)}{E_h/E_a}.$$
(12)

Then one can use Table II to find the dependence of the hyperfine transition frequencies on the variation of the fundamental constants using the following formula from Ref. [10] :

$$V = \alpha^{2+K_{rel}} \left(\frac{m_q}{\Lambda_{QCD}}\right)^k \frac{m_e}{m_p} \tag{13}$$

A number of limits on variation of V from different experiments are presented in Ref. [10].

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Australian Research Council and Marsden grant.

Appendix

Analytical approach presented here is very similar to those used in Ref. [11]. To simplify all expressions we use atomic units $\hbar = e = m_e = 1, c = 1/\alpha$ in the Appendix.

We use an electron wave function in the form

$$\psi_{\kappa}^{m_{j}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{r} \begin{pmatrix} f_{\kappa}(r)\chi_{\kappa}^{m_{j}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \\ ig_{\kappa}(r)\chi_{-\kappa}^{m_{j}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \end{pmatrix}$$
(14)

where $\chi_{\kappa}^{m_j}$ are spherical spinors. For an s-orbital the initial terms of a power series solution of the radial wave functions inside the nucleus are

$$f_n = a x \left[1 - \left(\frac{3}{8} \frac{Z^2}{c^2} + \frac{1}{2} Z r_n \right) x^2 + \dots \right]$$
(15)
$$g_n = -\frac{a Z}{2a} x^2 \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{5} + \frac{9}{40} \frac{Z^2}{a^2} + \frac{3}{10} Z r_n \right) x^2 + \dots \right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2c}x \left[1 - \left(\frac{5}{5} + \frac{1}{40}\frac{c^2}{c^2} + \frac{1}{10}2T_n\right)x + \dots\right]$$
(16)

TABLE I: The sensitivity of the hyperfine transition frequency to variation of the nuclear radius, analytical (k_{hr}) from eq. (7,11) and numerical (k_{hr-cal}) results.

Atom or Ion	$^{87}_{37}{ m Rb}$	$^{111}_{48}{ m Cd}^+$	$^{133}_{55}{ m Cs}$	$^{171}_{70}{ m Yb}^+$	$^{199}_{80}{ m Hg}^+$	$^{205}_{81}{ m Tl}$	$^{233}_{87}{ m Fr}$
k_{hr}	-0.010	-0.017	-0.024	-0.048	-0.077	-0.081	-0.111
k_{hr-cal}	-0.0096	-0.0171	-0.0242	-0.0492	-0.0778	-0.0798	-0.1082
С	1.9514	2.0034	2.0338	2.0500	2.0050	1.9623	1.9563

TABLE II: The sensitivity of the hyperfine structure to variation of α (parameter K_{rel}) and to the quark mass/strong interaction scale m_q/Λ_{QCD} (parameter $k = k_\mu + k_{hq}$)

Atom or Ion	$^{87}_{37}\mathrm{Rb}$	$^{111}_{48}{ m Cd}^+$	$^{133}_{55}{ m Cs}$	$^{171}_{70}{ m Yb}^+$	$^{199}_{80}{ m Hg^+}$
K_{rel}	0.34	0.6	0.83	1.5	2.28
k_{μ}	-0.016	0.125	0.009	-0.085	-0.088
k_{hq}	-0.003	-0.005	-0.007	-0.014	-0.023
k	-0.019	0.120	0.002	-0.099	-0.111

where $x = r/r_n$. Only those terms explicit in (15) and (16) will be retained for further calculation. The external radial wavefunctions take the form of Bessel functions

$$f_{e} = (\gamma + \kappa) \left(J_{2\gamma} \left(y \right) + b Y_{2\gamma} \left(y \right) \right) - \frac{y}{2} \left(J_{2\gamma - 1} \left(y \right) + b Y_{2\gamma - 1} \left(y \right) \right)$$
(17)

$$g_e = \frac{Z}{c} \left(J_{2\gamma} \left(y \right) + b Y_{2\gamma} \left(y \right) \right) \tag{18}$$

where, $\kappa = (-1)^{j-l+1/2}(j+1/2),$

$$\gamma = \sqrt{\kappa^2 - \alpha^2 Z^2}, \quad y = \sqrt{8Zr} \tag{19}$$

As the binding energy of the electron is small in comparison to the potential energy it has been neglected in the calculation of (15-18). The constants a and b are found such that the wave functions remain continuous at $r = r_n$. For small r_n they may be approximated by

$$a = \frac{(2Zr_n)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(2\gamma)} \left(-\frac{1}{5}(2\gamma+3) + \frac{3}{80}\frac{Z^2}{c^2}(3\gamma+7) + \frac{1}{20}Zr_n(3\gamma+7) \right)^{-1}$$
(20)

$$b = -\frac{a\pi(2Zr_n)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(1+2\gamma)} \left(-\frac{1}{5}(2\gamma-3) + \frac{3}{80}\frac{Z^2}{c^2}(3\gamma-7) + \frac{1}{20}Zr_n(3\gamma-7) \right)$$
(21)

As we shall only consider relative changes in the hyperfine interaction normalization of the wave functions is not necessary. The first order correction to the energy is simply the s-wave expectation value of the hyperfine interaction which may be shown to be

$$\omega_h = \left\langle s | \hat{H}_{hfs} | s \right\rangle = k \int U(r) f g \, dr \tag{22}$$

where k is a constant [12]. In the limit of zero nuclear radius this becomes

$$\omega_0 = k \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r^2} f_0 g_0 dr = \frac{k Z^2 (1 - 2\kappa)}{c(\gamma - 4\gamma^3)}$$
(23)

where $f_0 = f_e$, $g_0 = g_e(r_n = 0)$. We define a relative change in the hyperfine interaction δ_h by $\omega_h = \omega_0(1 - \delta_h)$.

$$\delta_{h} = -\frac{k}{\omega_{0}} \int_{0}^{r_{n}} \frac{r}{r_{n}^{3}} \left(f_{n}g_{n} - f_{0}g_{0}\right) dr -\frac{k}{\omega_{0}} \int_{r_{n}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(f_{0}\delta g_{e} + g_{0}\delta f_{e}\right) dr$$
(24)

where $\delta g = g_e - g_0$ and $\delta f = f_e - f_0$. For small r_n these integrals result in

$$\delta_{h} = -\frac{a^{2}\gamma(4\gamma^{2}-1)}{Zr_{n}} \left(\frac{1}{35} - \frac{13}{1008}\frac{Z^{2}}{c^{2}} - \frac{13}{756}Zr_{n} + \frac{1}{640}\frac{Z^{4}}{c^{4}} + \frac{1}{240}\frac{Z^{3}r_{n}}{c^{2}} + \frac{1}{360}Z^{2}r_{n}^{2}\right) + \frac{(2Zr_{n})^{2\gamma-1}2\gamma(1+\gamma)(1+2\gamma)}{3\Gamma(1+2\gamma)^{2}} + \frac{b(4\gamma^{2}-1)}{3\pi Zr_{n}} \quad (25)$$

Keeping only leading in Zr_n terms reduces this to

$$\delta_h \approx \frac{72}{35} (Zr_n)^{2\gamma - 1} \tag{26}$$

Differentiation of ω_h with respect to r_n and rearranging yields

$$\frac{\delta\omega_h}{\omega_h} = -\frac{(2\gamma - 1)\delta_h}{1 - \delta_h} \frac{\delta r_n}{r_n}$$
(27)

- [1] J-P. Uzan, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 403 (2003).
- [2] V. A. Dzuba and V. V. Flambaum, Can. J. Phys. 87, 15 (2009).
- [3] V. V. Flambaum, Int. J. Phys. A 22, 4937 (2007).
- [4] S. N. Lea, Rep. Prog. Phys. 70, 1473 (2007); EPJ-Special Topics, 163, 37 (2008).
- [5] W. J. Marciano, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 489 (1984); X. Calmet and H. Fritzsch, Eur. Phys. J. **C24**, 639 (2002);
 P. Langacker, G. Segré, and M. J. Strassler, Phys. Lett. **B528**, 121 (2002); T. Dent and M. Fairbairn. Nucl. Phys. **B653**, 256 (2003); C. Wetterich, JCAP **10**, 002 (2003);
 Phys. Lett. **B561**, 10 (2003).
- [6] V. V. Flambaum and R. B. Wiringa, Phys. Rev. C 76,

 $054002\ (2007)$.

- [7] V. V. Flambaum and R. B. Wiringa, Phys. Rev. C 79, 034302 (2009).
- [8] V. A. Dzuba, V. V. Flambaum, and O. P. Sushkov, J. Phys. B 17, 1953 (1984).
- [9] V. A. Dzuba, V. V. Flambaum, and J. K. Webb, Phys. Rev. A 59, 230 (1999).
- [10] V. V. Flambaum and A. F. Tedesco, Phys. Rev. C 73, 055501 (2006).
- [11] V. V. Flambaum and J. S. M. Ginges, Phys. Rev. A 65, 032113 (2002).
- [12] C. Schwartz, Phys. Rev. 97, 380 (1955).