

Exact Coulomb cutoff technique for supercell calculations in two dimensions

A. Castro,^{1,*} E. Räsänen,^{2,†} and C. A. Rozzi^{3,‡}

¹*Institut für Theoretische Physik and European Theoretical Spectroscopy Facility,
Freie Universität Berlin, Arnimallee 14, D-14195 Berlin, Germany*

²*Nanoscience Center, Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä, FI-40014 Jyväskylä, Finland*

³*INFN-CNR National Research Center "S3", via Campi 213a, I-41100 Modena, Italy*

We present a reciprocal space technique for the calculation of the Coulomb integral in two dimensions in systems with reduced periodicity, i.e., finite systems, or systems that are periodic only in one dimension. The technique consists in cutting off the long-range part of the interaction by modifying the expression for the Coulomb operator in reciprocal space. The physical result amounts in an effective screening of the spurious interactions originated by the presence of ghost periodic replicas of the system. This work extends a previous report [C. A. Rozzi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 205119 (2006)], where three-dimensional systems were considered. We show that the use of the cutoffs dramatically enhances the accuracy of the calculations for a given supercell size, and it allows to describe two-dimensional systems of reduced periodicity with substantially less computational effort. In particular, we consider semiconductor quantum-dot arrays having potential applications in quantum information technology.

PACS numbers: 71.15.Mb, 73.21.La, 71.10.Ca

I. INTRODUCTION

The interest in low-dimensional electronic structures has increased steadily during the past few decades. This is mostly due to breakthroughs in semiconductor technology in the 1970s and early 1980s. At present, low-dimensional systems form a significant portion of the whole field of condensed matter physics. Some examples are layered semiconductor devices such as metal-oxide-semiconductor field effect transistors, quantum Hall systems, spintronic devices, and quantum wells, wires, and dots¹ (QDs).

Following the advances in constructing techniques for single two-dimensional (2D) QDs with tunable atom-like properties, it has become possible to *couple* QDs to form artificial “molecules”. Coupled QDs have significant potential for solid-state quantum computation through, e.g., coherent manipulation of spins.² Furthermore, extended lattices or arrays of QDs have been fabricated,³ which, in addition to the proposed applications in quantum information,⁴ show interesting magnetic phase transitions^{5,6} which may be exploited in quantum transport and spintronics.

From the theoretical point of view, dealing with systems of arbitrary periodic dimensionality may be complicated. In the simplest case of an infinite, fully periodic lattice of elements, periodic boundary conditions are applied at every cell border, and Bloch’s theorem describes the discrete-translation invariant form of the crystal orbitals. On the other hand, in all the cases in which the system has reduced periodicity, the use of a supercell with periodic boundary conditions in all the directions becomes problematic. In fact, the response function of a periodic lattice is generally very different from the response of a system with reduced periodicity (such as an isolated system, a chain, a slab, etc.) and the convergence of the fully periodic quantity to the reduced-periodic ones as a function of the supercell size is very slow. A large supercell is a numerical disadvantage, and even when the densities of periodic replicas of the system are not overlapping, the influence of the periodic images of the system can be noticeable if long-range

operators are used.

The main issue here is the computation of the action of a long-range operator, i.e., the Hartree (or “Coulomb”) potential

$$V[n](\mathbf{r}) = \int d^3r' \frac{n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}, \quad (1)$$

which is ubiquitous in Science, and which we study here in the context of 2D electronic structure calculations. In particular, we will exemplify our approach by utilizing density-functional theory (DFT), although the method we propose can also be useful in different fields. In Fourier space, the convolution integral (1) is transformed into a trivial product. This fact adds to the other undoubted advantages of the supercell approach, such as the natural inclusion of the periodic boundary conditions, and the existence of very efficient fast Fourier transform algorithms.

The attempt to retain these advantages, i.e., to compute Hartree integral in reciprocal space, has led to the creation of several cutoff schemes for finite systems, whose main intent is to provide an effective truncated Coulomb interaction such that the system becomes unaware of the existence of its periodic replicas.^{8,9,10} More recently, an exact scheme was proposed to achieve a broader goal,⁷ namely to truncate the Coulomb interaction in a 3D system in one or more dimensions (those along which the system is confined), leaving it long-ranged in the dimensions in which the system is infinite and periodic.

In this paper we show that a similar scheme can be drawn for the 2D case, allowing us to correct the spurious 2D supercell effect when treating finite systems and one-dimensional (1D) chains. In particular we focus on the case of an infinite chain of 2D few-electron QDs. We study the electronic structure and magnetic ground state of this system, and we show that the use of the cutoff greatly enhances the convergence of ground state fundamental quantities such as the value of the Fermi level. Our technique does not depend on the choice of the basis set, and, as well as its 3D counterpart, it is exact for a particular supercell size. We show that the inclusion of the

truncated Coulomb interaction greatly improves the convergence of the band structure, and it gives an insight about the role of inter-chain interaction in determining the conductive or insulating character of the ground state.

II. METHOD

We closely follow the procedure described in Ref. [7]. Let us recall first that Hartree integral is the convolution between the charge density and the Coulomb potential. In 2D,

$$\begin{aligned} V(x, y) &= \iint_{\text{space}} \frac{n(x', y')}{\sqrt{(x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2}} dx' dy' \\ &= \iint_{\text{space}} n(x', y') v(r-r') dx dy, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $r-r' = \sqrt{(x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2}$. We use effective atomic units¹¹ (eff. a.u.) throughout. In reciprocal space this expression reduces to the simple multiplication

$$V(\mathbf{G}) = n(\mathbf{G})v(\mathbf{G}), \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{G} = (G_x, G_y)$ are the reciprocal vectors. The Fourier transform of $v(x, y)$ can be readily computed:

$$v(G_x, G_y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy \frac{e^{i(G_x x + G_y y)}}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} = \frac{2\pi}{G}. \quad (4)$$

This expression implies full 2D periodicity, and therefore it contains spurious terms if the periodicity is reduced. Our aim is to modify the expression of the Coulomb interaction $v(\mathbf{G}) \rightarrow \tilde{v}(\mathbf{G})$ in such a way that $\tilde{v}(\mathbf{G})$ is an effectively truncated interaction. This truncation is made by limiting the domain of integration in one or in both dimensions.

For finite systems it is convenient to transform to polar coordinates, and the cutoff potential is readily obtained for $G \neq 0$ by limiting the domain of integration to a suitable radius R (see below for a discussion of this value)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}^{0D}(G) &= \int_0^R dr \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \exp(iGr \cos \phi) \\ &= 2\pi \int_0^R dr J_0(Gr) = (2\pi R) {}_1F_2\left(\frac{1}{2}; 1, \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{G^2 R^2}{4}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The $G = 0$ case poses no difficulty:

$$\lim_{G \rightarrow 0^+} \tilde{v}^{0D}(G) = 2\pi R. \quad (6)$$

This value, like in the 3D case, regularizes the average potential of the finite system by effectively adding a compensating uniform positive charge to the system.

The 1D case is more subtle. In fact it is straightforward, in Cartesian coordinates, to obtain the reciprocal space expression for the truncated Coulomb when $|G_x| > 0$

$$\tilde{v}^{1D}(G_x, G_y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \int_{-R}^{+R} dy \frac{e^{i(G_x x + G_y y)}}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \quad (7)$$

$$= 4 \int_0^R dy \cos(G_y y) K_0(|G_x y|), \quad (8)$$

where K_0 is the modified Bessel function of the second kind:

$$K_0(x) = 4 \int_0^R dt \frac{\cos(xt)}{\sqrt{t^2 + 1}}. \quad (9)$$

However, in this case, since

$$\lim_{G_x \rightarrow 0^+} K_0(G_x y) = +\infty \quad (10)$$

the integral is undefined on the whole line $G_x = 0$. Thus, the regularization cannot be obtained by compensating with a uniform background charge.

Yet charge neutrality must be imposed to regularize this expression, and this is equivalent to truncating the Coulomb interaction in both the Hartree and ionic potentials. Since the infinities in the two terms must cancel, we can safely retain the finite part of both terms. To calculate the regularized expression on the singular domain we can compute the integral (7) first by imposing a finite boundary h in the x direction too, and then passing to the limit $h \rightarrow +\infty$. All the terms that depend on h are cancelled by equal terms in the ionic potential. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}^{1D}(0, G_y) &\approx 4 \int_0^R dy \cos(G_y y) \log(2h) \\ &\quad - 4 \int_0^R dy \cos(G_y y) \log(y), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where the first term in the right hand side can be dropped, since it depends on h , and it is cancelled by an equal term in the truncated expression of the ionic potential. The point $(G_x = 0, G_y = 0)$ is now trivial:

$$\tilde{v}^{1D}(0, 0) = -4R(\log R - 1). \quad (12)$$

One last word must be spent on this method. We have so far defined for each case a domain $\mathcal{D}$ around each charge in the system such that

$$\tilde{v}(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{r} & \text{if } r \in \mathcal{D} \\ 0 & \text{if } r \notin \mathcal{D}. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

The cutoff method is exact when a suitable $\mathcal{D}$ is chosen. The periodic replicas of the system in the non-periodic directions must be far enough from each other, so that their interaction is nullified. In the 2D case, calling L the lattice constant, this is obtained imposing that the supercell size in the non-periodic direction(s) is $(1 + \sqrt{2})L$. An approximate value of $2L$ works as well, as long as the charge density is negligible at the borders. In the enlarged cell the charge density is padded with zeroes.

III. RESULTS

To test the cutoff method, we consider 1D QD arrays similar to those in Ref. [6]. Each rectangular unit cell contains two QDs, and each QD has N electrons bound by a Gaussian




Figure 1: (Color online) Fermi energy of a quantum-dot chain with three electrons per dot as a function of the supercell size perpendicular to the chain.

positive background charge density. The total Gaussian background charge density has the form

$$n_B(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\pi r_s^2} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \exp \left[-\frac{(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R})^2}{N r_s^2} \right], \quad (14)$$

where $\mathbf{r} = (x, y)$, $\mathbf{R} = (na_x, 0)$ with $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and $r_s = 2$ is the average density at the center of the QD. Note the use of eff. a.u. throughout.¹¹

We solve the Kohn-Sham equations within spin-DFT on a 2D grid with and without the cutoff method described above. In the former case, the system is periodic only in x direction, whereas in the latter case it is periodic in both x and y directions. For the exchange and correlation we use the 2D local-spin density approximation (LSDA) with the parametrization of the correlation by Attaccalite *et al.*¹² This parametrization has been shown to be more accurate than the form of Tanatar and Ceperley¹³ in the partially spin-polarized regime.¹⁴ All the numerical calculations are done using the octopus¹⁵ code.

In Fig. 1 we show the Fermi energy E_F of a QD chain with three electrons per dot as a function of the lattice constant a_y perpendicular to the chain. The lattice constant in the x direction is fixed to $a_x = 5.06$. Using the cutoff method leads to a very fast convergence, so that at $a_y = 3a_x$ the Fermi energy is converged to six digits. In contrast, without cutoff, i.e., the system being periodic in both directions, a much larger supercell is needed in order to achieve comparable accuracy. This is due to the long-range Coulomb interaction between parallel QD chains. Therefore, for accurate calculations the cutoff scheme is essential in reducing the cell size and thus the computational cost.

In Fig. 2 we plot the band structure for a QD chain with three electrons per dot at $a_x = 5.06$ (left panel), corresponding to the system considered in Fig. 1. We find that the absence of the cutoff scheme (dotted lines), i.e., the presence of other chains located here at $a_y = na_x$, $n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, leads to a rather minor effect on the bands. For the cutoff calculations (solid lines) being reference results here, we have used $a_y =$




Figure 2: (Color online) Band structure at lattice constants $a_x = 5.06$ (left) and $a_x = 8.55$ (right) for a quantum-dot chain with three electrons in each dot. The dotted lines in the left panel correspond to the result without the cutoff, i.e., with parallel chains at $a_y = na_x$, $n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. The straight lines correspond to the Fermi energies.

$3a_x$ to guarantee the highest precision. The qualitative shape of the structure is very similar, and the shift in the bands due to the interchain effects is of the same order of magnitude as the shift in E_F .

Fig. 2 can be also directly compared with the results of Ref. [6]. Overall, we find an excellent agreement, also in the case of a larger lattice constant $a_x = 8.55$ (right panel). Here the spin degeneracy is lifted due to the exchange effect which leads to a magnetic ground state.⁶

Next we consider the interchain effects on phase transitions in QD chains. As noted by Kärkkäinen *et al.*,⁶ the chains have a rich phase diagram with respect to the electron number N and the lattice constant a_x . In general, increasing a_x leads to insulating behavior and/or magnetism, i.e., spin polarization, depending on N . In Fig. 3 we show the band structure at $a_x = 5$ for two electrons per dot, calculated with the cutoff method (left panel), corresponding to a single chain, and without the cutoff (right panel). In the latter case, we set $a_y = a_x$, so that there are parallel chains in the system at $a_y = na_x$, $n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. The single QD chain is clearly a metal with bands crossing the Fermi level. In contrast the presence of periodic replicas of the chain opens up a gap across the Fermi level so that the system becomes an insulator. The physical origin of the effect is the increased localization of single QDs due to Coulomb repulsion between the replicas of the chain. Similarly, the single QD chain (left panel in Fig. 3) becomes an insulator at around $a_x = 6$, when the dots are more isolated.

Finally, we have also examined the interchain effects on the magnetism of QD wires. Generally, the spin polarization is relatively stable: For $N = 1 \dots 5$ we find similar spin-polarization as a function of the lattice constant regardless of the presence of parallel QD chains. In all cases the magnetization is in a qualitative agreement with the results in Ref. [6], minor differences arising from the use of the LSDA parametrization by Attaccalite *et al.* instead of the one by




Figure 3: (Color online) Band structure at a lattice constant $a_x = 5$ for a quantum-dot chain with two electrons in each dot. The left and right panels show the results with and without the cutoff procedure, leading to metallic and insulating band structures, respectively. In the latter case, the parallel chains are located at $a_y = na_x$, $n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$

Tanatar and Ceperley.¹³ However, further studies are required regarding the validity of the LSDA in the high-correlation regime and/or in the presence of magnetic and electric fields. These would also be ideal systems to test the 2D density functionals recently developed for both exchange¹⁶ and correlation.¹⁷

IV. SUMMARY

The reciprocal space is the natural venue for treating periodic systems. If the periodicity is not complete (the system

is not periodic in all the space dimensions) or even absent, the computations require the use of a large supercell in order to avoid the spurious interactions due to “ghost” system replicas; this is specially manifested in the computation of the Coulomb, or Hartree, integral, which is a trivial multiplication if we use plane waves. For 3D systems, it has been shown that the use of a screened Coulomb interaction greatly improves the accuracy in the calculation of ground states quantities, and substantially simplifies the evaluation of excited-state properties of reduced-periodicity systems. In this work we have shown that the same ideas can be applied to the case of the 2D electron gas; we have provided the relevant formulae for finite systems in 2D, and for systems that are periodic in only one dimension.

Moreover, the corrective cutoffs are exact and rather straightforward to apply. We have demonstrated their effectiveness by computing band structures and Fermi energies of one-dimensional periodic arrays of quantum dots formed by the confinement of two-dimensional electron gas. We expect that our technique will be of great interest for studying these novel systems of “artificial molecules” and “crystals”, although the ubiquity of the problem we have faced will probably make our technique relevant in other fields, too.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the EC Network of Excellence NANOQUANTA (NMP4-CT-2004-500198), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft within the SFB 658, and the Academy of Finland.

* Electronic address: alberto@physik.fu-berlin.de

† Electronic address: erasanen@jyu.fi

‡ Electronic address: rozzi@unimore.it

¹ For a review, see, e.g., L. P. Kouwenhoven, D. G. Austing, and S. Tarucha, Rep. Prog. Phys. **64** (2001) 701; S. M. Reimann and M. Manninen, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74** (2002) 1283.

² For a review, see R. Hanson, L. P. Kouwenhoven, J. R. Petta, S. Tarucha, and L. M. K. Vandersypen, Rev. Mod. Phys. **79**, 1217 (2007).

³ L. P. Kouwenhoven, F. W. J. Hekking, B. J. van Wees, C. J. P. M. Harmans, C. E. Timmering, and C. T. Foxon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 361 (1990).

⁴ K. Shiraishi, H. Tamura, and H. Takayanagi, Appl. Phys. Lett. **78**, 3702 (2001).

⁵ M. Koskinen, S. M. Reimann, and M. Manninen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 066802 (2003).

⁶ K. Kärkkäinen, M. Koskinen, S. M. Reimann, and M. Manninen, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 165324 (2005).

⁷ C. A. Rozzi, D. Varsano, A. Marini, E. K. U. Gross, and A. Rubio, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 205119 (2006).

⁸ G. Makov and M. C. Payne, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 4014 (1995).

⁹ M. R. Jarvis, I. D. White, R. W. Godby, and M. C. Payne, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 14972 (1997).

¹⁰ A. Castro, A. Rubio, and M. J. Stott, Can. J. Phys. **81**, 1 (2003).

¹¹ All the formulae given here are the same in effective atomic units and in Hartree atomic units. Effective atomic units are convenient to account for the background material of quantum-dot systems. The lengths and energies are then given in $a_0^* = (\epsilon/m^*)a_0$ and $\text{Ha}^* = (m^*/\epsilon^2)\text{Ha}$, respectively. Here m^* is the effective mass and ϵ is the dielectric constant of the background material.

¹² C. Attacalite, S. Moroni, P. Gori-Giorgi, and G. B. Bachelet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 256601 (2002).

¹³ B. Tanatar, D. M. Ceperley, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 5005 (1989).

¹⁴ H. Saarikoski, E. Räsänen, S. Siljamäki, A. Harju, M. J. Puska, and R. M. Nieminen, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 205327 (2003).

¹⁵ M. A. L. Marques, A. Castro, G. F. Bertsch and A. Rubio, Comp. Phys. Comm. **151**, 60 (2003); A. Castro, H. Appel, M. Oliveira, C. A. Rozzi, X. Andrade, F. Lorenzen, M. A. L. Marques, E. K. U. Gross, and A. Rubio, Phys. Stat. Sol. (b) **243**, 2465 (2006). The code is freely available at

<http://www.tddft.org/programs/octopus/>.

- ¹⁶ S. Pittalis, E. Räsänen, N. Helbig, and E. K. U. Gross, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 235314 (2007); S. Pittalis, E. Räsänen, J. G. Vilhena, and M. A. L. Marques, Phys. Rev. A **79** 012503 (2009); E. Räsänen, S. Pittalis, C. R. Proetto, and E. K. U. Gross, Phys. Rev. B (in print).
- ¹⁷ S. Pittalis, E. Räsänen, and M. A. L. Marques, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 195322 (2008); S. Pittalis, E. Räsänen, C. R. Proetto, and E. K. U. Gross, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 085316 (2009).