

# Quantized Gravitational Radiation from Black Holes and other Macro Holeums in the Low Frequency Domain

A. L. Chavda<sup>a</sup>, L. K. Chavda<sup>b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Physics Department, South Gujarat University,  
Surat - 395007,* <sup>b</sup> *49, Gandhi Society,  
City Light Road, Via Parle Point, Surat - 395007.*

(Dated: October 29, 2018)

## Abstract

A gravitational bound state, called a Macro Holeum, is created from a very large number of microscopic black holes of primordial or a non-primordial origin. All of them undergo orbital motion, under the action of gravity, around their common center of mass. Four classes of Macro Holeum emerge: H, BH, HH and LH. The latter is a massless bundle of gravitational energy moving at the speed of light. The others are Dark Matter objects. BH emits Hawking radiation but the others do not. The presently existing black holes are identified with BH. All, except LH, emit gravitational radiation due to internal quantum transitions. Simple analytic formulae are derived for the energy eigenvalues, mass, radius, density and the frequency of the gravitational radiation emitted by Macro Holeums in terms of just two parameters which can be determined from the gravitational spectra. We predict that black holes have internal structure and that they and other Macro Holeums, having masses in the range 57 solar masses to 870 solar masses, would emit hydrogen-like gravitational radiation in the LIGO frequency range in the form of band or line spectra with a considerable overlapping of the bands. This will be superposed on a uniform background radiation coming from Macro Holeums at large distances greater than 100 Mpc.

PACS numbers: 04.60.-m, 04.60.Bc, 04.30.-w, 04.30.Tv, 95.35.+d, 95.36.+x

---

\*Electronic address: <sup>a</sup> a01.l.chavda@gmail.com, <sup>b</sup> holeum@gmail.com

## Contents

<b>I. Introduction</b>	3
<b>II. Derivation of the Properties of a Macro Holeum</b>	4
A. Introduction	4
B. The exact formulae for the properties	5
C. Inequalities and asymptotics	8
D. Classes of Holeum	13
E. The Macro Holeum occupies space	15
F. The screening factor and the mass of a Macro Holeum	16
G. The Density	17
H. The Energy Bands	17
I. The determination of the parameters of a Macro Holeum from the frequency band spectrum	18
J. The ball-park values for H, HH, BH	19
K. The "sentinel" mode and the line spectra	20
<b>III. Implications of Holeum Theory</b>	21
A. Internal structure of black holes	22
B. Cosmic Gravitational Background Radiation	22
<b>IV. Discussion and Conclusions</b>	23
<b>References</b>	25
<b>I. Tables</b>	28
A. Properties of a Macro Holeum, H	28
B. Properties of a Macro Holeum BH	29
C. Properties of a Macro Holeum HH	30

## I. INTRODUCTION

The nature of Dark Matter (DM) is of great current interest. Compelling theoretical and observational evidence has built up over the past two decades that the visible matter in the universe makes up a very small fraction of the total matter composition of the universe [1]. It is now believed that the universe is composed of approximately 73% dark energy (DE), 23% DM, and about 4% visible matter [2, 3, 4]. Consequently, the nature and identity of DM has become one of the most challenging problems facing modern cosmology. Several candidates for DM particles have been proposed, which include Standard Model neutrinos [5, 6], Sterile neutrinos [5, 7], Axions [5, 8], Supersymmetric particles [5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13], dark matter from Little Higgs models [14, 15, 16, 17, 18], Kaluza-Klein dark matter [19, 20], WIMPZILLAs [21], Cryptons [22, 23], primordial black holes [24, 25, 26], WIMPs [27], and super-heavy X-particles [28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]. Galaxies have been observed to have DM halos that contain far more matter than their visible regions [39, 40, 41]. Most galaxies are not dominated by DM inside their optically visible disks [39, 40, 41], which suggests that DM has properties that segregate it from visible matter.

There has been no unequivocal detection of DM particles so far. Meanwhile, we have proposed the existence of a new form of DM which we call Holeum [42], based on the copious production of primordial black holes (PBHs) that is believed to have occurred in the early universe [43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63]. The Holeum is a quantized bound state of two PBHs. It is the gravitational analogue of a hydrogen atom. Its atomic transitions give off extremely high-frequency gravitational radiation which cannot be detected as yet. The Holeum is therefore a DM particle. Since a vast quantity of microscopic black holes is believed to have been produced in the early universe, Holeums could constitute an important component of the DM present in the universe today. A segregation property puts the Holeums in the Galactic Halos and the Domain Walls, if the latter exist. Recently, we have suggested that Holeums may be the progenitors of cosmic rays of all energies including the Ultra High Energy Cosmic Rays (UHECR) [64]. Holeums have also been suggested to be responsible for a class of short duration gamma ray bursts (GRB) [65]. Thus, Holeum theory holds a possibility of providing a unified explanation for a number of cosmological phenomena. However, a simple Holeum consisting of just two microscopic black holes emits gravitational radiation in an extremely high frequency range

which is not detectable in the foreseeable future. Therefore, in this paper, we consider the formation of a Macro Holeum consisting of a very large number of microscopic black holes in the hope that such a Macro Holeum will radiate gravitational energy in the low-frequency range accessible to the Laser Interferometer Gravity-Wave Observatory (LIGO) which is now operational. Another innovation we are introducing is motivated by the following fact: The astrophysical black holes existing today are a part of DM. Some of them may be of primordial origin while the others have been created by the gravitational collapse of some stars. Any theory of DM must necessarily include them also. To this end, we are enlarging the scope of this theory by considering not only the PBHs for the formation of a Macro Holeum but also the nonprimordial microscopic black holes. Hopefully, this will enable us to include all the presently existing astrophysical black holes in the ranks of Macro Holeums leading to interesting and testable predictions.

In section 2, we state the assumptions and build a Macro Holeum in which the microscopic black holes orbit the center of mass of the system under the action of gravity. We derive simple formulae for the various properties of a Macro Holeum. We find that Macro Holeums come in four distinct classes, based on their constituent masses. We enumerate the classes of Macro Holeums and the ways to determine their properties from their gravitational frequency spectrum. We identify the presently existing astrophysical black holes with one class of Macro Holeum and present its consequences. We comment on the nature of the energy and the frequency spectra and on the dependence of the density of a Macro Holeum on its parameters. We determine the ball-park range of the masses of the Macro Holeums that will emit the gravitational radiation in the low-frequency domain accessible to LIGO. We also study the unique properties of a Macro Holeum in its ground state. In section 3, we present some implications of this theory. Discussions and conclusions are presented in section 4.

## II. DERIVATION OF THE PROPERTIES OF A MACRO HOLEUM

### A. Introduction

We would like to study the creation of a bound state of a very large number of microscopic black holes brought about by the gravitational interaction. We call it a Macro Holeum. The term "microscopic black holes" will be used as a general term that includes both the pri-

mordial and the non-primordial varieties. We will use the acronym PBH to refer specifically to the former variety. The term "black hole" will refer to all presently existing black holes irrespective of how they were formed.

While building Macro Holeums from microscopic black holes, it is instructive to recall the original quark model which built hadrons such as protons, neutrons, pi-mesons, etc. from quarks. The quark model posited the existence of three quarks, specified their quantum numbers and specified the recipe for making baryons as bound states of three quarks and mesons as bound states of a quark and an antiquark. It made no hypothesis about the origin of the quarks, nor about how and when these hadrons were actually created from the quarks. We take a similar stance here: We make a hypothesis neither about the origin of the microscopic black holes, nor about how and when Macro Holeums were formed. We assume that a Macro Holeum is a bound state of  $k$  microscopic black holes, all of which revolve around their common center of mass under the action of gravity. Here  $k$  is a very large but finite positive integer. It may be large enough to give a Macro Holeum a solar mass or even millions of solar masses.

## B. The exact formulae for the properties

Let us consider a Holeum that is a bound state of two microscopic black holes of masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  revolving around their center of mass under the action of gravity. From [42], the energy values of the Holeum are given by

$$E_2 = -\frac{m_1 m_2 c^2 \alpha_2^2}{2(m_1 + m_2) n_2^2} \quad (1)$$

where  $\alpha_2$  is the gravitational coupling constant for the interaction between masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ , given by

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_p^2} \quad (2)$$

where

$$m_p = \left( \frac{\hbar c}{G} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3)$$

is the Planck mass,  $\hbar$  is the Planck's constant divided by  $2\pi$ ,  $c$  is the speed of light in vacuum, and  $G$  is Newton's universal constant of gravity.  $n_2$  is the principal quantum number of the

bound state. From [42], the most probable radius of the Holeum is given by

$$r_2 = \frac{(R_1 + R_2) \pi^2 n_2^2}{16\alpha_2^2} \quad (4)$$

where

$$R_i = \frac{2m_i G}{c^2} \quad (5)$$

is the Schwarzschild radius of the microscopic black hole of mass  $m_i$ . The mass of the bound state is given by

$$M_2 = m_1 + m_2 + \frac{E_2}{c^2} \quad (6)$$

We shall call this bound state of two microscopic black holes a di-Holeum. Now consider a bound state of the di-Holeum and a microscopic black hole of mass  $m_3$ . We call it a tri-Holeum. Its energy eigen values are given by

$$E_3 = -\frac{m_3 M_2 c^2 \alpha_3^2}{2(m_3 + M_2) n_3^2} \quad (7)$$

where

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{m_3 M_2}{m_p^2} \quad (8)$$

The bound state radius of the tri-Holeum is given by

$$r_3 = \frac{(m_3 + M_2) G \pi^2 n_3^2}{8c^2 \alpha_3^2} \quad (9)$$

With the help of eq. (6) this may be written as

$$r_3 = \frac{(R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + \frac{E_2}{c^2}) \pi^2 n_3^2}{16\alpha_3^2} \quad (10)$$

The mass of the tri-Holeum is given by

$$M_3 = m_3 + M_2 + \frac{E_3}{c^2} \quad (11)$$

Continuing in this manner we arrive at a  $k$ -Holeum, also called a Macro Holeum, consisting of  $k$  microscopic black holes. Its energy values, bound state radius, and bound state mass are given, respectively, by

$$E_k = -\frac{m_k^3 c^2 \left[ m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_{k-1} + \frac{(E_2 + E_3 + \dots + E_{k-1})}{c^2} \right]^3}{2m_p^4 n_k^2 \left[ m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_k + \frac{(E_2 + E_3 + \dots + E_{k-1})}{c^2} \right]} \quad (12)$$

$$r_k = \frac{(R_1 + R_2 + \dots + R_k) \left[ 1 + \frac{E_2 + E_3 + \dots + E_{k-1}}{(m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_k)c^2} \right] \pi^2 n_k^2}{\left( \frac{m_k}{m_p} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_{k-1}}{m_p} \right]^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{E_2 + E_3 + \dots + E_{k-1}}{(m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_{k-1})c^2} \right]^2} \quad (13)$$

$$M_k = \left( m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_k + \frac{E_2 + E_3 + \dots + E_k}{c^2} \right) \quad (14)$$

The gravitational coupling constant  $\alpha_k$  for the interaction between a Macro Holeum containing  $k - 1$  microscopic black holes and the microscopic black hole of mass  $m_k$  is given by

$$\alpha_k = \frac{m_k M_{k-1}}{m_p^2} \quad (15)$$

Using eq. (14) this may be rewritten as

$$\alpha_k = \frac{m_k \left( m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_{k-1} + \frac{(E_2 + E_3 + \dots + E_{k-1})}{c^2} \right)}{m_p^2} \quad (16)$$

For the sake of simplicity we now consider an equal-mass case:  $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_k = m$ , say. Then eqs. (12) - (16) reduce, respectively, to

$$E_k = -\frac{(k-1)^3 mc^2 \alpha_g^2}{2kn_k^2} \left[ \frac{g_{k-1}(\alpha_g, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_{k-1})^3}{f_{k-1}(\alpha_g, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_{k-1})} \right] \quad (17)$$

$$r_k = \frac{kR\pi^2 n_k^2}{16\alpha_g^2 (k-1)^2} \left[ \frac{f_{k-1}(\alpha_g, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_{k-1})}{g_{k-1}(\alpha_g, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_{k-1})^2} \right] \quad (18)$$

$$M_k = kmg_k(\alpha_g, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_k) \quad (19)$$

$$\alpha_k = (k-1)\alpha_g g_{k-1}(\alpha_g, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_{k-1}) \quad (20)$$

where

$$\alpha_g = \left( \frac{m}{m_p} \right)^2 \quad (21)$$

Here  $n_j$  is the principal quantum number of the microscopic black hole of mass  $m_j$ ;  $j = 2, 3, \dots, k$ . From eqs. (12) and (13) as well as from eqs. (17) and (18) we see that whereas  $E_k$  and  $r_k$  depend strongly upon  $n_k$ , the principal quantum number of the outermost microscopic black hole, their dependence on the rest of the principal quantum numbers is quite symmetric. None of the latter is singled out the way  $n_k$  is. Hence for the sake of convenience we shall describe the  $k$ -Holeum in terms of a "valence" microscopic black hole, namely the outermost one and the "core" microscopic black holes, namely, the rest of them,  $j = 2, 3, \dots, k - 1$ .  $f_{k-1}$  and  $g_{k-1}$  are given by

$$f_{k-1}(\alpha_g, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_{k-1}) = 1 + \frac{E_2 + E_3 + \dots + E_{k-1}}{kmc^2} \quad (22)$$

$$g_{k-1}(\alpha_g, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_{k-1}) = 1 + \frac{E_2 + E_3 + \dots + E_{k-1}}{(k-1)mc^2} \quad (23)$$

Note that we must have at least  $k = 2$  for a bound state formation. Thus in eqs. (22) and (23), we must define  $f_1 = g_1 = 1$ . Note that the Schwarzschild radius,  $R_k$ , of the  $k$ -Holeum containing  $k$  microscopic black holes is given by

$$R_k = \frac{2M_k G}{c^2} = kRg_k(\alpha_g, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_k) \quad (24)$$

where  $M_k$  is given by eq. (19). From eqs. (18) and (24) we get the ratio of the Schwarzschild radius  $R_k$  of the  $k$ -Holeum to its bound state radius  $r_k$  as follows:

$$\frac{R_k}{r_k} = \frac{16\alpha_g^2 (k-1)^2 g_k(\alpha_g, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_k) g_{k-1}(\alpha_g, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_{k-1})^2}{\pi^2 n_k^2 f_{k-1}(\alpha_g, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_{k-1})} \quad (25)$$

Substituting for  $E_j$  from eq. (17) into eqs. (22) and (23) we have

$$f_{k-1} = 1 - \frac{\alpha_g^2}{2k} \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \frac{(j-1)^3 g_{j-1}^3}{jn_j^2 f_{j-1}} \quad (26)$$

$$g_{k-1} = 1 - \frac{\alpha_g^2}{2(k-1)} \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \frac{(j-1)^3 g_{j-1}^3}{jn_j^2 f_{j-1}} \quad (27)$$

### C. Inequalities and asymptotics

Since  $r_k > 0$  and  $M_k > 0$ , for all  $k$ , we conclude from eqs. (18) and (19) that

$$f_{k-1} > 0, g_{k-1} > 0 \quad (28)$$

From eqs. (26) - (28) we have

$$f_{k-1} \leq 1, g_{k-1} \leq 1 \quad (29)$$

for all  $k$  and  $\alpha_g$ . In this equation and subsequent ones the equality holds if either  $\alpha_g = 0$  or  $n_2 = n_3 = \dots = n_{k-1} = \infty$ . From (26), and (27) it trivially follows that

$$f_{k-1} \geq g_{k-1} \quad (30)$$

From eq. (29) it follows that

$$g_{k-1} \geq g_{k-1}^2 \geq g_{k-1}^3 \quad (31)$$

From eqs. (30) - (31) we have

$$f_{k-1} \geq g_{k-1} \geq g_{k-1}^2 \geq g_{k-1}^3 \quad (32)$$

From the latter we conclude that

$$\frac{g_{j-1}^3}{f_{j-1}} \leq 1 \quad (33)$$

From eqs. (33) and (17) we have

$$E_k \geq -\frac{(k-1)^3}{2k} \frac{mc^2 \alpha_g^2}{n_k^2} \quad (34)$$

Letting  $p = k\alpha_g$  and  $k \gg 2$  in eq.(34) we may rewrite it as

$$E_k \geq -\frac{p^2 mc^2}{2n_k^2} \quad (35)$$

If  $p \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in eq.(35), then the energy of the system has no lower bound. Therefore the system will go on losing energy during interactions until it becomes a part of the infinite energy of the vacuum state of the universe. In this case, the system cannot have an independent existence. This is averted if  $p < M$ , where  $M$  is a positive constant. In this case the system can have a stable existence. Therefore it can be shown that  $p < M$  is the necessary and sufficient condition for the stability of the Macro Holeum. In the following we will assume  $p$  to be a positive constant. Note that the right hand side of eq.(35) is identical in form to the equation for the energy eigenvalues of a hydrogen atom with  $m$  replacing the mass of the electron and  $p$  replacing the fine structure constant. Therefore it is clear that  $p$  may be interpreted as an effective coupling constant for the Macro Holeum.

Now we consider the problem of reducing this many-body problem to a two-body one. Here we are considering a truly gigantic system having a total mass comparable to or even much greater than the solar mass. Here  $k$  would be in the astronomical range, say,  $k = 10^{50}$  to  $k = 10^{100}$ . The huge mass of this system gives it a huge inertia. It will be very difficult to perturb such a system. Thus, we may assume that most of the system will remain unperturbed and only the outermost one or two microscopic black holes will be affected by the interactions of the system with its environment. In fact, to reduce this many-body problem to a two-body one, we will make the extreme simplification that all the microscopic black holes in the core are in the same quantum state described by  $n_2 = n_3 = \dots = n_{k-1} = n$ , say, and that the outermost microscopic black hole is in an arbitrary quantum state described by the principal quantum number  $n_k$ . The first assumption embodies the great inertia of the system.

We recall here that in the nuclear many-body problem, the nucleus is assumed to be an infinite medium in which every nucleon moves in the same average potential produced by

the rest of them. This reduces the many-body problem to a one-body problem. This, plus a heuristic spin-orbit potential with an arbitrarily chosen sign, fetches us good quantitative agreement with nuclear data in the nuclear shell model. Great complexity often yields to extreme simplification; provided the simplification embodies the physics of the system correctly. In our problem, if there is a greater excitation, we may describe it in terms of  $n, n_{k-1}$  and  $n_k$ ; wherein the core now consists of  $k-2$  microscopic black holes all in the same state of excitation  $n$ , and the two outer-most microscopic black holes are in arbitrary states of excitation described by  $n_{k-1}$  and  $n_k$ . But in the first approximation, in the following, we describe the system in terms of  $n$  and  $n_k$  only, apart from the other parameters.

Now we make a Taylor series expansion of  $f_{k-1}$ , eq. (26), in powers of  $x = \alpha_g^2$ . Then we get

$$f_{k-1}(x) = 1 + x f'_{k-1}(0) + \frac{x^2}{2!} f''_{k-1}(0) + \dots \quad (36)$$

From eq.(26) we have

$$f'_{k-1}(x) = -\frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \frac{(j-1)^3}{j n_j^2} \frac{g_{j-1}^3}{f_{j-1}} - \frac{x}{2k} \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \frac{(j-1)^3}{j n_j^2} \left[ \frac{3g_{j-1}^2 g'_{j-1}}{f_{j-1}} - \frac{g_{j-1}^3 f'_{j-1}}{f_{j-1}^2} \right] \quad (37)$$

From eq.(37) it follows that

$$f'_{k-1}(0) = -\frac{S_{k-1}}{2kn^2} \quad (38)$$

In these equations we have taken  $n_2 = n_3 = \dots = n_{k-1} = n$ . Similarly from eq.(27) it follows that

$$g'_{k-1}(0) = -\frac{S_{k-1}}{2(k-1)n^2} \quad (39)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_{k-1} &= \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \frac{(j-1)^3}{j} \\ &= \frac{(k-1)k(2k-1)}{6} - \frac{3k(k-1)}{2} + 3(k-1) - C - \ln(k-1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2(k-1)} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{A_j}{(k-1)k(k+1)\dots(k+j-2)} \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where  $A_2 = A_3 = \frac{1}{12}$ ,  $A_4 = \frac{19}{80}$ , etc. and  $C$  is the Euler constant;  $C = 0.577216$ . Now substituting for  $S_{k-1}$  from eq.(40) into eq.(38) and keeping only the two leading terms we get

$$f'_{k-1}(0) = -\frac{1}{2kn^2} \left[ \frac{k^3}{3} - \frac{3k^2}{2} + O(k) \right] \dots \quad (41)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
xf'(0) &= -\frac{\alpha_g^2 k^2}{6n^2} + \frac{3\alpha_g^2 k}{4n^2} + O(\alpha_g^2 k^0) \\
&= -\frac{p^2}{6n^2} + \frac{3p^2}{4kn^2} + O\left(\frac{p^2}{k^2}\right) \\
&= -\frac{p^2}{6n^2} + O(k^{-1})
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

where  $p = k\alpha_g$  is taken as a constant. Differentiating eq.(37) again with respect to  $x$  and letting  $x = 0$  we have

$$f''_{k-1}(0) = -\frac{1}{kn^2} \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \frac{(j-1)^3}{j} [3g'_{k-1}(0) - f'_{k-1}(0)] \tag{43}$$

Substituting from eqs. (38) and (39) into eqs. (43) we have

$$f''_{k-1}(0) = -\frac{1}{2kn^4} \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \frac{(2j+1)(j-1)^2 S_{j-1}}{j^2} \tag{44}$$

Since we need only the leading order terms for  $k \gg 2$ , we substitute only the first term of  $S_{j-1}$  from eq. (40) into eq. (44) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
f''_{k-1}(0) &= -\frac{1}{2kn^4} \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \frac{(4j^2-1)(j-1)^3}{6j} + l.o.t. \\
&= -\frac{1}{2kn^4} \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \left[ \frac{2}{3}j^4 - 2j^3 + \frac{11}{6}j^2 - \frac{1}{6}j - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6j} \right] + l.o.t.
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

where *l.o.t.* stands for lower order terms. Now we use the identity

$$\sum_{i=1}^m i^4 = \frac{m^5}{5} + \frac{m^4}{2} + \frac{m^3}{3} - \frac{m}{30} \tag{46}$$

where  $m = k - 1 \gg 1$ . Therefore substituting only the leading term from eq. (46) into the leading term in eq. (45) we get

$$f''_{k-1}(0) = -\frac{k^4}{15n^4} + O(k^3) \tag{47}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{x^2}{2!} f''_{k-1}(0) &= -\frac{k^4 \alpha_g^4}{30n^4} + O\left(\frac{p^4}{k}\right) \\
&= -\frac{p^4}{30n^4} + O(k^{-1})
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Substituting eqs. (42) and (48) into eq. (36) we get

$$f_{k-1}(x) = 1 - \frac{p^2}{6n^2} - \frac{p^4}{30n^4} + O(k^{-1})... \quad (49)$$

Now we further assume  $n \gg 1$ . This allows us to keep only the first two terms in eq. (49).

Therefore we get

$$f_{k-1}(x) \simeq 1 - \frac{p^2}{6n^2} + O(k^{-1})... \quad (50)$$

Now for  $k \gg 2$ ,  $f_{k-1} \simeq g_{k-1}$ . Henceforth, for simplicity, we will drop the notation  $O(k^{-1})$  and we will replace the symbol  $\simeq$  by  $=$  in the following. Therefore, for  $k \gg 2$  and  $n \gg 1$  we have

$$f_{k-1} = g_{k-1} = 1 - \frac{p^2}{6n^2} \quad (51)$$

Note that  $1 \geq f_{k-1}(x) \geq 0$ . Therefore from eq. (51) we have

$$0 \leq p^2 \leq 6. \quad (52)$$

Substituting from eq. (51) into eqs. (17) - (20) and eqs. (24) and (25), we have

$$E_k = -\frac{p^2 m c^2}{2n_k^2} \left(1 - \frac{p^2}{6n^2}\right)^2 \quad (53)$$

$$r_k = \frac{\pi^2 k R n_k^2}{16p^2 \left(1 - \frac{p^2}{6n^2}\right)} \quad (54)$$

$$M_k = m k \left(1 - \frac{p^2}{6n^2}\right) \quad (55)$$

$$R_k = k R \left(1 - \frac{p^2}{6n^2}\right) \quad (56)$$

$$\alpha_k = p \left(1 - \frac{p^2}{6n^2}\right) \quad (57)$$

$$\frac{R_k}{r_k} = \frac{16p^2}{\pi^2 n_k^2} \left(1 - \frac{p^2}{6n^2}\right)^2 \quad (58)$$

The density of the Macro Holeum is given by

$$\rho_k = \frac{3072 m_p p^5 \left(1 - \frac{p^2}{6n^2}\right)^4}{\pi^7 k R_p^3 n_k^6} \quad (59)$$

In eqs. (53) - (59),  $p = k\alpha_g$  satisfies eq. (52); and  $R_p$  given by

$$R_p = \frac{2m_p G}{c^2} \quad (60)$$

is the Schwarzschild radius of a microscopic black hole of Planck mass. Apart from the integers  $n$  and  $n_k$  the seven properties listed in eqs. (53) - (59) depend, in general, on two parameters  $m$  and  $k$  or their two suitable combinations. But  $\alpha_k$  and  $\frac{R_k}{r_k}$  depend only upon the combination  $p = k\alpha_g$ . As mentioned earlier,  $p$  may be regarded as an effective coupling strength that determines the formation of the Macro Holeum. The ratio  $\frac{R_k}{r_k}$  determines the class of the Macro Holeum as will be clear in the following.

#### D. Classes of Holeum

Eq. (52) defines the physical region in which the bound state formation can occur. This is because for  $p^2 > 6$ ,  $r_k$ ,  $M_k$ ,  $R_k$  and  $\alpha_k$  become negative for some values of  $n$ . For  $p^2 \leq 6$  this does not happen. Eq. (58) enables us to classify the Macro Holeums as follows:

1. If the ratio of the Schwarzschild radius  $R_k$  of a Macro Holeum to its bound state radius  $r_k$ , namely,  $\frac{R_k}{r_k}$  is greater than or equal to unity, then the Schwarzschild radius of the Macro Holeum is greater than its physical radius. Therefore the Macro Holeum is a black hole. It will emit Hawking radiation. We shall call such a Macro Holeum a **Black Holeum** and denote it as **BH**.
2. If the ratio in eq. (58) is less than unity then  $r_k > R_k$  and the bound state is not a black hole. It will not emit Hawking radiation despite the fact that it consists of a large number of microscopic black holes. We shall call it an **ordinary Macro Holeum** and denote it by **H**. We note that, in nature, a free neutron decays but a neutron in a stable nucleus never does so. Therefore the fact that the microscopic black holes inside the Macro Holeum H do not emit the Hawking radiation should not come as a surprise to us.
3. From eq. (55) we see that if  $p = k\alpha_g = \sqrt{6}$  for  $n = 1$  then  $M_k = 0$  and the bound state will be a *massless* Macro Holeum. It will start moving at the speed of light as soon as it is produced, subject to the conservation laws. We shall call it a **Lux Holeum** and denote it by **LH**. Strictly speaking, we are not allowed to take  $n = 1$ . Therefore,

$p = \sqrt{6}$  may be taken as a nominal value and by keeping more terms on the right hand side of eq. (49), we may improve upon this approximation to obtain a more accurate value of  $p$ . But it is always true that we can put  $M_k = 0$  in eq. (55), or more generally in eq.(19). The LH is a bundle of gravitational energy which is presently undetectable. Hence we may tentatively identify it with the Dark Energy (DE). However, further theoretical development of the Holeum theory would have to be awaited to see if the LHs possess the repulsive property associated with the DE which is believed to be responsible for the expansion of the universe.

Now we would like to discuss this classification of Macro Holeums in more quantitative detail. We rewrite eq. (58) as follows:

$$\frac{R_k}{r_k} = f(x) = ax(1 - bx)^2 \quad (61)$$

where

$$a = \frac{16}{\pi^2 n_k^2}, b = \frac{1}{6n^2}, x = p^2 \quad (62)$$

Now  $f(x)$  vanishes at  $x = 0, \frac{1}{b}$ . It has a maximum at  $x = \frac{1}{3b} = 2n^2$  and a minimum at  $x = \frac{1}{b} = 6n^2$ . If  $f_{\max} = f\left(\frac{1}{3b}\right) > 1$ , then the line  $y = 1$  intersects the curve  $y = f(x)$  in three points  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < x_1 < \frac{1}{3b} \\ \frac{1}{3b} < x_2 < \frac{1}{b} \\ \frac{1}{b} < x_3 < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

For illustrative purposes, taking  $n_k = n = 1$  we get  $f_{\max} = 1.441012 > 1$ . Note that in deriving the equations for the properties we have assumed  $n \gg 1$ . Therefore, strictly speaking, we are not allowed to take  $n = 1$ . But for simplicity we do so. Similar results will be obtained for  $n \gg 1$  too. The three values of  $x_i$  such that  $f(x_i) = 1$  are found to have the values  $x_1 = 0.831192$ ,  $x_2 = 3.470404$  and  $x_3 = 7.698403$ . Thus,  $f(x) < 1$  for  $0 < x < x_1$  and we have H formation in this range. For  $x_1 \leq x \leq x_2$  we have  $f(x) \geq 1$  and we have BH formation. For  $x_2 < x < \frac{1}{b}$  we have  $f(x) < 1$  and we again have H formation. However since the latter parameter range is higher than the earlier one and since there is a gap  $[x_1, x_2]$  between them, we call the Macro Holeums in this range **Hyper Holeums** and denote them

as **HH**. Note that both H and HH are non-black hole states with  $\frac{R_k}{r_k} < 1$ . Hence these HH states, too; do not emit Hawking radiation. The point  $x = 6$  corresponds to the formation of the Lux Holeums, LH for  $n = 1$ .  $x > 6$  is an unphysical region because  $r_k$ ,  $M_k$ ,  $R_k$  and  $\alpha_k$  become negative in this range. Thus, for  $f_{\max} > 1$  we summarize the situation as follows:

$0 < x < x_1$	H
$x_1 \leq x \leq x_2$	BH
$x_2 < x < \frac{1}{b}$	HH
$x = \frac{1}{b}$	LH

(64)

On the other hand, for  $f_{\max} < 1$ , the line  $y = 1$  intersects the curve  $y = f(x)$  in only one point, namely,  $x_3 > \frac{1}{b} = 6$  which is in the unphysical region. In other words, we have  $f(x) < 1$  for the entire physical region  $0 < x < 6$ . In this case we have

$0 < x < 6$	H
$x = 6$	LH

(65)

There is no HH and BH formation in this case.

The formula for the gravitational energy spectrum of a Macro Holeum is exactly the same for H, HH, and BH. It is given by eq. (53). It is a band spectrum. For a given value of  $n$ ,  $n_k$  takes all integral values from 1 to  $\infty$ . This gives rise to a band structure for each  $n$ .

### E. The Macro Holeum occupies space

The most probable radius of a Macro Holeum is given by eq. (54). From the latter we see that a Macro Holeum is a layered structure. Every microscopic black hole in it moves in its own separate orbit which is a closed shell. No two orbits cross each other. From eqs. (52) and (54) it follows that

$$r_k > \frac{\pi^2 k R n_k^2}{16 p^2} > \frac{\pi^2 k R n_k^2}{96} \quad (66)$$

This result implies that a Macro Holeum occupies space just like ordinary matter. It cannot be compressed beyond the limit given on the right hand side of eq. (66). A similar result was derived for a di-Holeum where  $k = 2$  in [42]. In the latter the formula for the radius was derived by maximizing the probability density which is a purely quantum mechanical concept without a classical analogue. Note that we are considering spinless microscopic

black holes. Therefore, this property of non-compressibility is not to be confused with that following from the anti-commutativity of fermionic operators, namely, the Pauli exclusion principle. Note that naively and classically we could expect to get  $r_k > kR$  from the non-overlap of the  $k$  microscopic black holes in a Macro Holeum. But here we get the far stronger result, eq. (66), from the quantum mechanical treatment. This means that the ground state of a Macro Holeum can have a radius as small as  $\frac{\pi^2}{96}$  or 10.3% of its classical non-overlap radius. It cannot be compressed beyond that. The presence of  $n_k^2$  in the numerator and that of  $1 - \frac{p^2}{6n^2}$  in the denominator of eq. (54) both greatly increase the radius of a large Macro Holeum. This means that there is a lot of empty space in a Macro Holeum. Therefore the density of Macro Holeum-type DM may be considerably less than that of water, especially for very large Macro Holeums; as we shall see shortly.

#### F. The screening factor and the mass of a Macro Holeum

A remarkable feature of the set of eqs. (53) - (59) is the presence of, what one might call the "screening factor"  $\left(1 - \frac{p^2}{6n^2}\right)$ , in them. This factor depends upon  $k$ ,  $\alpha_g$  and  $n$ . The latter is the principal quantum number of each of the  $k - 1$  microscopic black holes constituting the "core" of the Macro Holeum. Therefore it is the quantum mechanical orbital motion of the  $k - 1$  core microscopic black holes which produces the antigravity-type reduction,  $\frac{p^2}{6n^2}$  in the screening factor. There is another way to see the significance of this term. We know that an isolated system eventually settles down to its lowest energy state, i.e. its ground state. From eq. (53) we see that the lowest energy state has  $n = \infty$ . This happens only when  $n_2 = n_3 = \dots n_{k-1} = \infty$ . This is the case wherein all the microscopic black holes constituting the core have been knocked out from their orbits and are free to move randomly inside the orbit of the outermost microscopic black hole. In this case the antigravity term  $\frac{p^2}{6n_c^2}$ , in the screening factor, vanishes. It is non-zero only when there is orbital motion among the microscopic black holes of the core. This screening factor has a dramatic effect on the mass  $M_k$  of the Macro Holeum, eq. (55). If we take  $p^2 = 6$  and  $n = 1$ , then the screening term vanishes and so does the mass of the Macro Holeum. This is the Lux Holeum, LH. As discussed above, strictly speaking, we are not allowed to take  $n = 1$ , so this is a schematic argument. But we may take more terms in eq. (49) to find a more accurate value of  $p$  such that  $M_k = 0$  to a desired degree of accuracy.

## G. The Density

The density of a Macro Holeum is given by eq. (59). For  $k \gg 2$  it is inversely proportional to  $k$ . It is also proportional to the fourth power of the screening factor. Thus, it is clear that very massive Macro Holeums would have very low density. From Tables 1, 2, and 3 we see that for  $k = 10^{90}$  the densities of each of the Macro Holeums H and BH are of the order of  $10^{-4}$  times that of water whereas that of HH is a mere  $10^{-8}$  times that of water and their masses are all greater than a million solar masses. In other words, the supermassive Macro Holeums, including the supermassive black holes existing today, have extremely low densities in comparison with that of water. The density increases sharply as we go towards the center of the Macro Holeum, but it always remains finite.

## H. The Energy Bands

We expect the spectrum of energy eigenvalues of a Macro Holeum (H, HH, BH) to be similar to that of a hydrogen atom. To see this in detail let us recall that the energy eigenvalue of a hydrogen atom is given by

$$E_n = -\frac{m_e c^2 \alpha^2}{2n^2} \quad (67)$$

where  $m_e$  is the electron mass and  $\alpha = \frac{e^2}{\hbar c}$  is the fine structure constant representing the dimensionless coupling strength of the electromagnetic interaction. We may rewrite eq. (53) as:

$$E_k = -\frac{m c^2 \alpha_k^2}{2n_k^2} \quad (68)$$

where  $\alpha_k$  is given by eq. (57). Thus, the energy eigenvalue spectrum of a Macro Holeum is identical in form to that of a hydrogen atom. However, there is a great surprise here. Naively, one would think that the ground state, i.e. the state with the lowest energy, would be the one in which  $n_k = 1$  and  $n_2 = n_3 = \dots = n_{k-1} = 1$ . But a look at eq. (53) negates this. From the latter we find that the state with the lowest energy has  $n_k = 1$  and  $n_2 = n_3 = \dots = n_{k-1} = \infty$ . The latter fact implies that all the  $k - 1$  microscopic black holes of the core have been completely knocked out of their orbits and are free to move randomly inside the orbit of the outermost microscopic black hole. Thus, the ground state of the Macro Holeum is a bag full of randomly moving  $k - 1$  core microscopic black holes being

shepherded by a solitary "sentinel" microscopic black hole, itself undergoing a quantized orbital motion characterized by a principal quantum number  $n_k$ .

Although the energy spectra of a Macro Holeum and a hydrogen atom are very similar, there are two important differences between them:

1. Whereas the hydrogen atom energy spectrum is a line spectrum, that of the Macro Holeum is a band spectrum. This is because  $n_k$  takes all values from 1 to  $\infty$  for each value of  $n$  in eq. (53). This gives rise to an energy band for each  $n$ .
2. Another important difference is that for a Macro Holeum, only those transitions are allowed that obey  $\Delta n_k = \pm 2$ . This is because the graviton responsible for the gravitational interaction has a spin  $J = 2$  as opposed to the spin  $J = 1$  of the photon responsible for the electromagnetic interaction.

The width of an energy band associated with a principal quantum number  $n_k$  is given by

$$\Delta(n_k) = \frac{p^4 \left(1 - \frac{p^2}{12}\right) mc^2}{6n_k^2} \quad (69)$$

This is obtained by keeping  $n_k$  fixed and varying  $n$  from 1 to  $\infty$ . This equation shows that the broadest energy band belongs to the state with  $n_k = 1$ . In the latter case eq. (69) gives the total displacement suffered by the Lyman band.

### **I. The determination of the parameters of a Macro Holeum from the frequency band spectrum**

If the outermost microscopic black hole makes a transition from  $n_k = n_2$  to  $n_k = n_1$  while  $n$  remains the same, then the Macro Holeum will emit gravitational radiation of frequency  $\nu$ , say, given by

$$h\nu = h\nu_R \left(1 - \frac{p^2}{6n^2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{n_1^2} - \frac{1}{n_2^2}\right)$$

$$h\nu_R = \frac{p^2 mc^2}{2} \quad (70)$$

Here  $h\nu_R$  is the gravitational Rydberg constant.

Note that for a given value of  $n$  we have the following spectrum:

1. Consider the transitions  $n_k = 1$  to  $n_k = 3, 4, 5 \dots \infty$ . This is the gravitational analogue of the Lyman series of the hydrogen atom spectrum except that the top line  $L_\alpha$  corresponding to the transition  $n_k = 1$  to  $n_k = 2$  is absent due to the rule  $\Delta(n_k) = \pm 2$  mentioned above.
2. Consider the transitions  $n_k = 2$  to  $n_k = 4, 5, 6 \dots \infty$ . This is the gravitational analogue of the Balmer series of the hydrogen atom spectrum except that the top line  $B_\alpha$  corresponding to the transition  $n_k = 2$  to  $n_k = 3$  is absent due to the reason already mentioned.
3. The analogues of the Paschen and the Brackett series follow the same pattern.

Each time, the top line is missing. This entire hydrogen atom-like spectrum repeats itself for different values of  $n = 1, 2, 3 \dots \infty$ . Each time the entire pattern shifts upward and there would be overlapping of bands corresponding to different values of  $n$ . The  $n = \infty$  spectrum rests at the top of the pattern with the highest frequency,  $\nu_R$ , given by eq. (70). Of course, the  $n = \infty$  spectrum is the analogue of the line spectrum of a hydrogen atom with the constraint  $\Delta(n_k) = \pm 2$ .

### **J. The ball-park values for H, HH, BH**

We would like to study various properties such as the mass, the size, the density and especially the frequency spectrum of the Macro Holeums H, HH, and BH. In view of the fact that LIGO has started taking scientific data, it is of utmost importance to find if these Macro Holeums emit gravitational radiation in the LIGO frequency range, namely 1 Hz to several kHz. And if so, we would like to determine the ball-park values of the above-mentioned properties of these Macro Holeums that emit the gravitational radiation in the LIGO frequency range. To this end, we take  $p = \frac{\pi}{4}$ ,  $\sqrt{2}$ , and  $\sqrt{5.8}$ . These values correspond to Macro Holeums H, BH, and HH, respectively. Note that all these properties can be calculated in terms of just two parameters  $p$  and  $k$ . For each value of  $p$  we take a range of values of  $k$ . The results are presented in Tables 1, 2, and 3 respectively. Our main objective is to see if these Macro Holeums emit the gravitational radiation in the LIGO frequency range. From these we see that this is, indeed, the case: all three types of Macro Holeums radiate gravitational radiation in the LIGO range if  $k \geq 10^{80}$ . From Table 1, we see that for

$k = 10^{80}$ , the mass of the Macro Holeum H is about 87.02 times the solar mass, the radius  $r_k$  is about 319 km, the density is about  $1.27 \times 10^6$  times that of water and the Rydberg frequency is about 507 Hz which is within the LIGO frequency range. Similarly for a Macro Holeum BH, we see from Table 2 that the mass  $M_k$  is about 86.77 times the solar mass, the radius  $r_k$  is about 178 km, the density is about  $7.33 \times 10^6$  times that of water and the Rydberg frequency is about 2.2 kHz which is almost within the LIGO range. From Table 3 we see that for a Macro Holeum HH, the mass  $M_k$  is about 5.66 solar masses, the radius  $r_k$  is about 1600 km, the density is about 656 times that of water and the Rydberg frequency is 8.35 kHz, which is outside the LIGO range. But here if we take  $k = 10^{82}$  then the frequencies emitted by H, BH, and HH lie between 50.71 Hz and 834.87 Hz. These frequencies are in the LIGO range. The masses of the Macro Holeums emitting these frequencies lie between 56.62 solar masses and 870.24 solar masses. Therefore the ball-park value of  $k$  is  $10^{82}$ . However, in view of the sweeping assumption  $n_2 = n_3 = \dots = n_{k-1} = n$ , it would be prudent to take the ball-park value in the range  $k = 10^{80}$  to  $k = 10^{85}$ . The corresponding properties of the Macro Holeums may be read off from Tables 1, 2, and 3. By taking  $k > 10^{90}$  we can have frequencies in the milli-Hz range or even smaller for all three classes of Macro Holeums.

We may determine these parameters by identifying the Lyman, the Balmer, the Paschen, etc. bands and by measuring their frequencies. We need only two inputs to determine all the properties. This also enables us to calculate the ratio  $\frac{R_k}{r_k}$  that determines the class H, BH, or HH.

### K. The "sentinel" mode and the line spectra

It is necessary to devote special consideration to the "sentinel" mode or the ground state of a Macro Holeum because it has unique properties. Recalling that in this mode  $n_k$  is arbitrary but  $n_2 = n_3 = \dots = n_{k-1} = \infty$ , we rewrite the equations for the properties as follows:

$$E_k = -\frac{p^2 mc^2}{2n_k^2} = -\frac{h\nu_R}{n_k^2} \quad (71)$$

$$r_k = \frac{\pi^2 k R n_k^2}{16p^2} \quad (72)$$

$$M_k = mk \quad (73)$$

$$R_k = kR \quad (74)$$

$$\alpha_k = p = k\alpha_g \quad (75)$$

$$\frac{R_k}{r_k} = \frac{16p^2}{\pi^2 n_k^2} \quad (76)$$

and

$$\rho_k = \frac{3072m_p p^5}{\pi^7 k R_p n_k^6} \quad (77)$$

Although the eqs. (71) - (77) are derived from eqs. (53) - (59) by letting  $n = \infty$ , they are exact in this limit. They can be derived ab-initio without making any approximations. They can be used to determine the Lyman, Balmer, etc. series for the earlier case which involves considerable overlap. Eq. (71) gives the energy eigenvalue of a particle of mass  $m$  orbiting a particle of infinite mass. Here  $p$  plays the role of an effective coupling constant. There is no restriction  $p^2 \leq 6$  in this case because  $r_k$ ,  $M_k$ ,  $R_k$  and  $\alpha_k$  never become negative. There is no LH formation either. From eq. (71) we see that now we have a pure line spectrum similar to that of the hydrogen atom except that the restriction  $\Delta n_k = \pm 2$  still applies. Here, too, the ratio  $\frac{R_k}{r_k}$ , given by eq. (76), still determines the class H or BH of the Macro Holeum. For example, if  $\frac{R_k}{r_k} \geq 1$ , the Macro Holeum is a BH. Here we have  $n_k \leq \frac{4p}{\pi}$ . If  $\frac{R_k}{r_k} < 1$ , we have an H state. Here we have  $n_k > \frac{4p}{\pi}$ . Thus as before, the same tower of excited states contains BHs as the low-lying states and H states as the higher excited states. In both H and BH cases, the frequency spectrum is given by

$$h\nu = h\nu_R \left( \frac{1}{n_1^2} - \frac{1}{n_2^2} \right) \quad (78)$$

which is the usual hydrogen atom line spectrum subject to  $n_2 = n_1 \pm 2$ . As in the previous case, it should be easy to determine the Rydberg constant  $h\nu_R$  from the frequency spectrum. The identification and the determination of the Lyman, Balmer, etc. series, would also enable us to determine  $p$  and  $k$ . All the other properties given by eqs. (71) - (77) as well as the frequency spectrum given by eq. (78) can be determined. This includes the determination of the class H or BH of the Macro Holeums in this case.

### III. IMPLICATIONS OF HOLEUM THEORY

We have listed a number of phenomena that can be attributed to Holeums in the introduction and in [42, 64]. In this section we would like to add two more phenomena to this list. They are:

1. The internal structure of and the emission of gravitational radiation by astrophysical black holes.
2. Cosmic Gravitational Background Radiation (CGBR).

### **A. Internal structure of black holes**

A black hole is characterized by two properties: the presence of an event horizon and the emission of Hawking radiation. A Black Holeum, BH, described above, has both of these properties; as has an astrophysical black hole existing today. The latter may have a primordial origin or it may have been produced as a result of the gravitational collapse of a star. But a black hole is a black hole irrespective of its origin. For example, a hydrogen atom may have been produced in a laboratory or it may be in a stellar atmosphere or in an interstellar dust cloud. But all these hydrogen atoms have the same physical and chemical properties and the same internal structure. Thus, we will identify any black hole existing today with a Black Holeum BH. We give in eqs. (53) - (59) all the properties of a BH in terms of just two parameters  $k$  and  $p$ ; that can be determined from the observed frequency spectrum of the gravitational radiation emitted by it. Thus, the hypothesis that the existing black holes may be identified with BHs enables us to predict that all existing black holes have internal structure and that they emit quantized gravitational radiation. This can be tested. Of course, if the black hole is a part of a binary system, then it will also emit classical gravitational radiation predicted by the general theory of relativity. This is continuous radiation whereas the Holeum theory predicts either a band spectrum or a line spectrum. The Holeum theory puts the black holes in the larger class of Dark Matter objects, namely, Macro Holeums BH.

### **B. Cosmic Gravitational Background Radiation**

Although the universe is inhomogeneous locally, it is homogeneous on a large scale of the order of 100 Mpc. This means that apart from the gravitational radiation from the nearby Macro Holeums contained in a sphere of radius 100 Mpc, the gravitational radiation received by LIGO would be uniform in all directions. If we could filter out the radiation from all Macro Holeums in a sphere of radius 100 Mpc we will be left with a uniform gravitational radiation

from all directions. We call it Cosmic Gravitational Background Radiation (CGBR). Similar Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation (CMBR) was observed by Robert Wilson and Arno Penzias in 1964 [66]. The CGBR arises from the homogeneity of space on a large scale. The CMBR, on the other hand, is a remnant of the Big Bang.

#### IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a model of a gravitational bound state of a very large number of microscopic black holes of primordial or non-primordial origin. We call it a Macro Holeum. It emits quantized gravitational radiation. The huge inertia inherent in the system is used to reduce this many-body problem to a two-body one. There are four classes of a Macro Holeum: H, BH, HH and LH depending upon the mass of the constituents. There are five noteworthy properties of a Macro Holeum:

1. We know that the ordinary fermionic matter occupies space. Here we have shown that the bosonic Macro Holeums also occupy space. A Macro Holeum can not be compressed beyond a certain limit. This is a quantum mechanical result not related to the Pauli Exclusion Principle that applies only to fermions. In other words, the Holeum and the Macro Holeum type bosonic DM shares one very important property with the ordinary fermionic matter, namely, it too occupies space.
2. It is well-known that natural radioactivity can not be switched off. Yet the microscopic black holes in H and HH do not emit the Hawking radiation that they would emit in their free state. This may look surprising, but it is not; for the neutrons in stable nuclei do not emit their beta radioactivity.
3. The orbital motions of the large number of microscopic black holes produce an anti-gravity type effect that affects all the properties of a Macro Holeum. In particular, we can vary the mass of a Macro Holeum by manipulating the orbital excitations of its constituents. And, in fact, by taking  $p = \sqrt{6}$  with  $n = 1$ , we can create a massless LH.
4. On general grounds, we prove that a Macro Holeum is a stable quantum system just like a hydrogen atom.

5. All massive Macro Holeums H, BH, HH emit a hydrogen-like spectrum of gravitational radiation with some overlapping of bands. The two parameters  $m$  and  $k$  can be determined from the spectrum. A gratifying feature of this theory is the set of equations (71) - (78) for the properties of the ground state. These are exact and can be derived directly without making the simplifications and the approximations of the theory. They can be used as a guide to figure out the spectral details and thus to determine  $m$  and  $k$ . A surprising prediction of the theory is that even stationary, non-rotating, stand-alone astrophysical black holes emit quantized gravitational radiation. We expect that such astrophysical black holes and other Macro Holeums having their masses in the ball-park range of 57 to 870 solar masses would be emitting quantized gravitational radiation in the LIGO frequency range.

From Tables 1 and 2 we see that H and BH have very similar properties. Since we have identified astrophysical black holes with the BHs, there is a real possibility that an ordinary Macro Holeum H may be misidentified as an astrophysical black hole existing today. This is because both Hs and BHs are invisible and both would form accretion discs around themselves when they are in binaries with visible stars. An ordinary Holeum H would permit light to pass through it ; albeit after refracting it whereas a black hole has an event horizon and is completely opaque. One way to distinguish between the two would be to analyze their gravitational spectra that, as we have seen, allow us to completely characterize the source. But at the present time there is no detector that can target a specified source. Meanwhile it is necessary to bear in mind that some of the objects identified as black holes may, in fact, be ordinary Macro Holeums H.

If Macro Holeums and black holes having their masses in the ball-park range of 57 to 870 solar masses are common-place in the universe, then the prospects of LIGO detecting their gravitational radiation would be bright. On this reckoning one would not be surprised if LIGO detects the gravitational radiation from Macro Holeums and black holes more readily than from the sources it was built to detect, namely, the classical gravitational radiation predicted by the General Theory of Relativity.

This is a theory of DM. It is equally well a theory of gravitational radiation. As mentioned in [42], it has been developed in response to the call issued at the Rome conference on gravitational waves to the theoretical physicists to make new predictions that can be tested

at the upcoming facilities like LIGO, VIRGO, etc. Now that LIGO is operational, we hope it will give its verdict soon.

- 
- [1] Keith A. Olive, *TASI Lectures on Dark Matter*; arXiv:astro-ph/0301505v2 (2003).
  - [2] Martin J. Rees, *Dark Matter: Introduction*; arXiv:astro-ph/0402045v1 (2004).
  - [3] David B. Cline, *The Search for Dark Matter, Einstein's Cosmology and MOND*; arXiv:astro-ph/0510576v1 (2005).
  - [4] W. de Boer, *New Astron.Rev.* 49, 213-231; arXiv:hep-ph/0408166v2 (2005).
  - [5] Gianfranco Bertone, Dan Hooper, Joseph Silk, *Phys.Rept.* 405, 279-390; arXiv:hep-ph/0404175v2 (2005).
  - [6] L. Bergstrom, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* 63, 793; arXiv:hep-ph/0002126v1 (2000).
  - [7] S. Dodelson and L. M. Widrow, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 72, 17; arXiv:hep-ph/9303287v1 (1994).
  - [8] P. Sikivie, *Phys. Rev. D* 60, 063501; arXiv:astro-ph/9902210v3 (1999).
  - [9] T. Falk, K. A. Olive and M. Srednicki, *Phys. Lett. B* 339, 248; arXiv:hep-ph/9409270v1 (1994).
  - [10] J. L. Feng, A. Rajaraman and F. Takayama, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 91, 011302; arXiv:hep-ph/0302215v2 (2003).
  - [11] K. Choi, K. Hwang, H. B. Kim and T. Lee, *Phys. Lett. B* 467, 211; arXiv:hep-ph/9902291v1 (1999).
  - [12] L. Covi, J. E. Kim and L. Roszkowski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 82, 4180; arXiv:hep-ph/9905212v1 (1999).
  - [13] L. Covi, L. Roszkowski, R. R. de Austri and M. Small, *Axino Dark Matter and the CMSSM*; arXiv:hep-ph/0402240v2 (2004).
  - [14] N. Arkani-Hamed, A. G. Cohen and H. Georgi, *Phys. Lett. B* 513, 232; arXiv:hep-ph/0105239v4 (2001).
  - [15] N. Arkani-Hamed, A. G. Cohen, T. Gregoire and J. G. Wacker, *JHEP* 0208, 020; arXiv:hep-ph/0202089v2 (2002).
  - [16] N. Arkani-Hamed, A. G. Cohen, E. Katz and A. E. Nelson, *JHEP* 0207, 034; arXiv:hep-ph/0206021v2 (2002).
  - [17] N. Arkani-Hamed, A. G. Cohen, E. Katz, A. E. Nelson, T. Gregoire and J. G. Wacker, *JHEP* 0208, 021; arXiv:hep-ph/0206020v2 (2002).

- [18] Hsin-Chia Cheng and I. Low, JHEP 0309, 051; arXiv:hep-ph/0308199v2 (2003).
- [19] Hsin-Chia Cheng, Jonathan L. Feng, Konstantin T. Matchev, Phys.Rev.Lett. 89, 211301; arXiv:hep-ph/0207125v2 (2002).
- [20] Aurelien Barrau, Pierre Salati, Geraldine Servant, Fiorenza Donato, Julien Grain, David Maurin, Richard Taillet, Phys.Rev. D72, 063507; arXiv:astro-ph/0506389v1 (2005).
- [21] E. W. Kolb, D. J. Chung and A. Riotto, *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Dark Matter in Astro and Particle Physics (DARK98), Heidelberg, Germany*; arXiv:hep-ph/9810361v1 (1998).
- [22] J. R. Ellis, G. B. Gelmini, J. L. Lopez, D. V. Nanopoulos and S. Sarkar, Nucl. Phys. B 373, 399 (1992).
- [23] J. R. Ellis, J. L. Lopez and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B 247, 257 (1990).
- [24] A. D. Dolgov, P. D. Naselsky, I. D. Novikov, *Gravitational waves, baryogenesis, and dark matter from primordial black holes*; arXiv:astro-ph/0009407v1 (2000).
- [25] David Blais, Claus Kiefer, David Polarski, Phys.Lett. B535, 11-16; arXiv:astro-ph/0203520v2 (2002).
- [26] N. Afshordi, P. McDonald, D.N. Spergel, Astrophys.J. 594, L71-L74; arXiv:astro-ph/0302035v1 (2003).
- [27] R. Bernabei et al, Riv.Nuovo Cim. 26N1, 1-73; arXiv:astro-ph/0307403v1 (2003).
- [28] Cyrille Barbot, Manuel Drees, Astropart.Phys. 20, 5-44; arXiv:hep-ph/0211406v2 (2003).
- [29] Cyrille Barbot, Manuel Drees, Phys.Lett. B533, 107-115; arXiv:hep-ph/0202072v2 (2002).
- [30] Cyrille Barbot, *Super-heavy X-particle decay and Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Rays*; arXiv:hep-ph/0308028v1 (2003).
- [31] Sanghyeon Chang, Claudio Coriano, Alon E. Faraggi, Nucl.Phys. B477 65-104; arXiv:hep-ph/9605325v1 (1996).
- [32] Karim Benakli, John Ellis, Dimitri V. Nanopoulos, Phys.Rev. D59, 047301; arXiv:hep-ph/9803333v1 (1999).
- [33] K. Hamaguchi, Yasunori Nomura, T. Yanagida, Phys.Rev. D58 103503; arXiv:hep-ph/9805346v1 (1998).
- [34] K. Hamaguchi, Yasunori Nomura, T. Yanagida, Phys.Rev. D59, 063507; arXiv:hep-ph/9809426v2 (1999).
- [35] K. Hamaguchi, K. I. Izawa, Yasunori Nomura, T. Yanagida, Phys.Rev. D60, 125009;

- arXiv:hep-ph/9903207v2 (1999).
- [36] Claudio Coriano, Alon E. Faraggi, Michael Plumacher, Nucl.Phys. B614, 233-253; arXiv:hep-ph/0107053v1 (2001).
- [37] Daniel J. H. Chung, Edward W. Kolb, Antonio Riotto, Phys.Rev. D59, 023501; arXiv:hep-ph/9802238v2 (1999).
- [38] V. Berezhinsky, Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. 87, 387-396; arXiv:hep-ph/0001163v2 (2000).
- [39] F. Combes, New Astron.Rev. 46, 755-766; arXiv:astro-ph/0206126v1 (2002).
- [40] Henk Hoekstra, Howard K.C. Yee, Michael D. Gladders, Astrophys.J. 606, 67-77; arXiv:astro-ph/0306515v2 (2004).
- [41] Andreas Burkert, *The structure of dark matter halos. Observation versus theory*; arXiv:astro-ph/9703057v1 (1997).
- [42] Chavda, L.K., and Chavda, A.L., Class. Quant. Grav. 19, 2927; arXiv:gr-qc/0308054v1 (2002).
- [43] Ya.B. Zeldovich and I.D. Novikov, Sov.Astron.A.J. 10, 602 (1967).
- [44] S.W. Hawking, MNRAS 152, 75 (1971).
- [45] M.Yu. Khlopov and A.G. Polnarev, Phys.Lett. B. 97, 383 (1980).
- [46] A.G. Polnarev and R. Zemboricz, Phys.Rev. D. 43, 1106 (1988).
- [47] S.W. Hawking, Phys. Lett. B. 231, 237 (1989).
- [48] J. Garriga and M. Sakellariadou, Phys.Rev. D. 48, 2502 (1993).
- [49] R. Caldwell and P. Casper, Phys. Rev. D. 53, 3002 (1996).
- [50] J.H. MacGibbon, R.H. Brandenberger and U.F. Wichoski, Phys. Rev. D. 57, 2158 (1998).
- [51] M. Crawford and D.N. Schramm, Nature 298, 538 (1982).
- [52] S.W. Hawking, I. Moss and J. Stewart, Phys.Rev. D. 26, 2681 (1982).
- [53] H. Kodama, K. Sato, M. Sasaki, Prog.Theor.Phys. 68, 1979 (1982).
- [54] D. La and P.J. Steinhardt, Phys.Lett. B. 220, 375 (1989).
- [55] I.G. Moss, Phys.Rev. D. 50, 676 (1994).
- [56] H. Kodama, K. Sato, M. Sasaki and K. Maeda, Prog.Theor.Phys. 66, 2052 (1981).
- [57] K. Maeda, K. Sato, M. Sasaki and H. Kodama, Phys.Lett. 108B, 103 (1982).
- [58] R.V. Konoplich, S.G. Rubin, A.S. Sakharov and M.Yu. Khlopov, Phys.Atom.Nuc. 62, 1593 (1999).
- [59] M.Yu.Khlopov, R.V. Konoplich, S.G. Rubin and A.S. Sakharov, Grav.Cos. 2, 1 (1999).
- [60] V.I. Dokuchaev, Yu.N. Eroshenko and S.G. Rubin, *Quasars formation around clusters of*

*primordial black holes*; arXiv:astro-ph/0412418v2 (2004).

- [61] S.G. Rubin, M.Yu. Khlopov and A.S. Sakharov, *Grav.Cos.* 6, 1 (2001).  
 [62] S.G. Rubin, A.S. Sakharov and M.Yu. Khlopov, *J.Exp.Theor.Phys.* 91, 921 (2001).  
 [63] M.Yu.Khlopov, S.G. Rubin and A.S. Sakharov, *Primordial Structure of Massive Black Hole Clusters*; arXiv:astro-ph/0401532v2 (2004).  
 [64] Chavda, L.K., and Chavda, A.L., *Ultra High Energy Cosmic Rays from decays of Holeums in Galactic Halos*; arXiv:0806.0454v1 (2008).  
 [65] S. Al Dallah, *Advances in Space Research*, Volume 40, Issue 8, 1186-1198 (2006).  
 [66] Arno A. Penzias, Robert Woodrow Wilson, *A Measurement of excess antenna temperature at 4080-Mc/s*; *Astrophys.J.*142:419-421,(1965).

## I. TABLES

### A. Properties of a Macro Holeum, H

<b>k</b>	<b>m GeV</b>	<b><math>h\nu_R</math> Hz</b>	<b><math>r_k</math> cm</b>	<b><math>M_k/M_\odot</math></b>	<b><math>\rho_k</math> g/cm<sup>3</sup></b>
10 <sup>50</sup>	$1.0822 \times 10^{-6}$	$5.0706 \times 10^{17}$	$3.1925 \times 10^{-8}$	$8.7024 \times 10^{-14}$	$1.2699 \times 10^{36}$
10 <sup>60</sup>	$1.0822 \times 10^{-11}$	$5.0706 \times 10^{12}$	$3.1925 \times 10^{-3}$	$8.7024 \times 10^{-9}$	$1.2699 \times 10^{26}$
10 <sup>70</sup>	$1.0822 \times 10^{-16}$	$5.0706 \times 10^7$	$3.1925 \times 10^2$	$8.7024 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.2699 \times 10^{16}$
10 <sup>72</sup>	$1.0822 \times 10^{-17}$	$5.0706 \times 10^6$	$3.1925 \times 10^3$	$8.7024 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.2699 \times 10^{14}$
10 <sup>74</sup>	$1.0822 \times 10^{-18}$	$5.0706 \times 10^5$	$3.1925 \times 10^4$	$8.7024 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.2699 \times 10^{12}$
10 <sup>76</sup>	$1.0822 \times 10^{-19}$	$5.0706 \times 10^4$	$3.1925 \times 10^5$	$8.7024 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.2699 \times 10^{10}$
10 <sup>78</sup>	$1.0822 \times 10^{-20}$	$5.0706 \times 10^3$	$3.1925 \times 10^6$	$8.7024 \times 10^0$	$1.2699 \times 10^8$
10 <sup>80</sup>	$1.0822 \times 10^{-21}$	$5.0706 \times 10^2$	$3.1925 \times 10^7$	$8.7024 \times 10^1$	$1.2699 \times 10^6$
10 <sup>82</sup>	$1.0822 \times 10^{-22}$	$5.0706 \times 10^1$	$3.1925 \times 10^8$	$8.7024 \times 10^2$	$1.2699 \times 10^4$
10 <sup>84</sup>	$1.0822 \times 10^{-23}$	$5.0706 \times 10^0$	$3.1925 \times 10^9$	$8.7024 \times 10^3$	$1.2699 \times 10^2$
10 <sup>86</sup>	$1.0822 \times 10^{-24}$	$5.0706 \times 10^{-1}$	$3.1925 \times 10^{10}$	$8.7024 \times 10^4$	$1.2699 \times 10^0$
10 <sup>88</sup>	$1.0822 \times 10^{-25}$	$5.0706 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.1925 \times 10^{11}$	$8.7024 \times 10^5$	$1.2699 \times 10^{-2}$
10 <sup>90</sup>	$1.0822 \times 10^{-26}$	$5.0706 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.1925 \times 10^{12}$	$8.7024 \times 10^6$	$1.2699 \times 10^{-4}$

Here  $p = \frac{\pi}{4}$ ,  $n = n_k = 1$ , except for  $\rho_k$  where  $n_k = 10$  and  $n = 1$ .  $\frac{R_k}{r_k} = 0.80501$ .  $M_\odot$  is the mass of the sun.

## B. Properties of a Macro Holeum BH

<b>k</b>	<b>m GeV</b>	<b><math>h\nu_R</math> Hz</b>	<b><math>r_k</math> cm</b>	<b><math>M_k/M_\odot</math></b>	<b><math>\rho_k</math> g/cm<sup>3</sup></b>
$10^{50}$	$1.4521x10^{-6}$	$2.2061x10^{18}$	$1.7782x10^{-8}$	$8.6767x10^{-14}$	$7.3282x10^{36}$
$10^{60}$	$1.4521x10^{-11}$	$2.2061x10^{13}$	$1.7782x10^{-3}$	$8.6767x10^{-9}$	$7.3282x10^{26}$
$10^{70}$	$1.4521x10^{-16}$	$2.2061x10^8$	$1.7782x10^2$	$8.6767x10^{-4}$	$7.3282x10^{16}$
$10^{72}$	$1.4521x10^{-17}$	$2.2061x10^7$	$1.7782x10^3$	$8.6767x10^{-3}$	$7.3282x10^{14}$
$10^{74}$	$1.4521x10^{-18}$	$2.2061x10^6$	$1.7782x10^4$	$8.6767x10^{-2}$	$7.3282x10^{12}$
$10^{76}$	$1.4521x10^{-19}$	$2.2061x10^5$	$1.7782x10^5$	$8.6767x10^{-1}$	$7.3282x10^{10}$
$10^{78}$	$1.4521x10^{-20}$	$2.2061x10^4$	$1.7782x10^6$	$8.6767x10^0$	$7.3282x10^8$
$10^{80}$	$1.4521x10^{-21}$	$2.2061x10^3$	$1.7782x10^7$	$8.6767x10^1$	$7.3282x10^6$
$10^{82}$	$1.4521x10^{-22}$	$2.2061x10^2$	$1.7782x10^8$	$8.6767x10^2$	$7.3282x10^4$
$10^{84}$	$1.4521x10^{-23}$	$2.2061x10^1$	$1.7782x10^9$	$8.6767x10^3$	$7.3282x10^2$
$10^{86}$	$1.4521x10^{-24}$	$2.2061x10^0$	$1.7782x10^{10}$	$8.6767x10^4$	$7.3282x10^0$
$10^{88}$	$1.4521x10^{-25}$	$2.2061x10^{-1}$	$1.7782x10^{11}$	$8.6767x10^5$	$7.3282x10^{-2}$
$10^{90}$	$1.4521x10^{-26}$	$2.2061x10^{-2}$	$1.7782x10^{12}$	$8.6767x10^6$	$7.3282x10^{-4}$

Here  $p^2 = 2$ ,  $n = n_k = 1$ , except for  $\rho_k$  where  $n_k = 10$  and  $n = 1$ .  $\frac{R_k}{r_k} = 1.4410$ .  $M_\odot$  is the mass of the sun.

### C. Properties of a Macro Holeum HH

<b>k</b>	<b>m GeV</b>	<b><math>h\nu_R</math> Hz</b>	<b><math>r_k</math> cm</b>	<b><math>M_k/M_\odot</math></b>	<b><math>\rho_k</math> g/cm<sup>3</sup></b>
$10^{50}$	$1.8950x10^{-6}$	$8.3487x10^{18}$	$1.6003x10^{-7}$	$5.6616x10^{-19}$	$6.5595x10^{32}$
$10^{60}$	$1.8950x10^{-11}$	$8.3487x10^{13}$	$1.6003x10^{-2}$	$5.6616x10^{-10}$	$6.5595x10^{22}$
$10^{70}$	$1.8950x10^{-16}$	$8.3487x10^8$	$1.6003x10^3$	$5.6616x10^{-5}$	$6.5595x10^{12}$
$10^{72}$	$1.8950x10^{-17}$	$8.3487x10^7$	$1.6003x10^4$	$5.6616x10^{-4}$	$6.5595x10^{10}$
$10^{74}$	$1.8950x10^{-18}$	$8.3487x10^6$	$1.6003x10^5$	$5.6616x10^{-3}$	$6.5595x10^8$
$10^{76}$	$1.8950x10^{-19}$	$8.3487x10^5$	$1.6003x10^6$	$5.6616x10^{-2}$	$6.5595x10^6$
$10^{78}$	$1.8950x10^{-20}$	$8.3487x10^4$	$1.6003x10^7$	$5.6616x10^{-1}$	$6.5595x10^4$
$10^{80}$	$1.8950x10^{-21}$	$8.3487x10^3$	$1.6003x10^8$	$5.6616x10^0$	$6.5595x10^2$
$10^{82}$	$1.8950x10^{-22}$	$8.3487x10^2$	$1.6003x10^9$	$5.6616x10^1$	$6.5595x10^0$
$10^{84}$	$1.8950x10^{-23}$	$8.3487x10^1$	$1.6003x10^{10}$	$5.6616x10^2$	$6.5595x10^{-2}$
$10^{86}$	$1.8950x10^{-24}$	$8.3487x10^0$	$1.6003x10^{11}$	$5.6616x10^3$	$6.5595x10^{-4}$
$10^{88}$	$1.8950x10^{-25}$	$8.3487x10^{-1}$	$1.6003x10^{12}$	$5.6616x10^4$	$6.5595x10^{-6}$
$10^{90}$	$1.8950x10^{-26}$	$8.3487x10^{-2}$	$1.6003x10^{13}$	$5.6616x10^5$	$6.5595x10^{-8}$

Here  $p^2 = 5.8$ ,  $n = n_k = 1$ , except for  $\rho_k$  where  $n_k = 10$  and  $n = 1$ .  $\frac{R_k}{r_k} = 1.0447 \times 10^{-2}$ .

$M_\odot$  is the mass of the sun.