

# TWO-SIDED VECTOR SPACES

ADAM NYMAN AND CHRISTOPHER J. PAPPACENA

ABSTRACT. We study the structure of two-sided vector spaces over a perfect field  $K$ . In particular, we give a complete characterization of isomorphism classes of simple two-sided vector spaces which are left finite-dimensional. Using this description, we compute the Quillen  $K$ -theory of the category of left finite-dimensional, two-sided vector spaces over  $K$ . We also consider the closely related problem of describing homomorphisms  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given the central role that vector spaces play in mathematics, it is natural to study two-sided vector spaces; that is, abelian groups  $V$  equipped with both a left and right action by a field  $K$ , subject to the associativity condition  $(xv)y = x(vy)$  for  $x, y \in K$  and  $v \in V$ . When the left and right actions of  $K$  on  $V$  agree, then  $V$  is nothing more than an ordinary  $K$ -vector space. In this case,  $V$  decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible subspaces, and every irreducible subspace is 1-dimensional (and hence isomorphic to  $K$  as a vector space over  $K$ ). When the left and right actions of  $K$  and  $V$  differ, then the structure of  $V$  can be much more complicated. For example,  $V$  does not generally decompose into irreducible subspaces. Furthermore, the distinct irreducible subspaces of  $V$  may not be 1-dimensional or isomorphic to each other.

Apart from being intrinsically interesting, two-sided vector spaces play an important role in noncommutative algebraic geometry. In particular, two-sided vector spaces are noncommutative analogues of vector bundles over  $\text{Spec } K$ . Noncommutative analogues of vector bundles were defined and used by Van den Bergh [9] to construct noncommutative  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles over commutative schemes.

The purpose of this paper is to study the structure of two-sided vector spaces over  $K$  when  $K$  is a perfect field. In particular, we classify irreducible two-sided vector spaces which are finite-dimensional as ordinary  $K$ -vector spaces. We then use our classification to determine the algebraic  $K$ -theory of the category of all such two-sided vector spaces. We also give canonical representations for certain two-sided vector spaces, generalizing [5, Theorem 1.3].

The structure theory of two-sided vector spaces has important applications to noncommutative algebraic geometry via the theory of noncommutative vector bundles. Let  $S$  and  $X$  be commutative schemes and suppose  $X$  is an  $S$ -scheme of

---

*Date:* November 13, 2018.

*Key words and phrases.* Two-sided vector space, matrix homomorphism, noncommutative vector bundle.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15A03, 16D20; Secondary 14A22, 19A49, 19D50.

The second author was partially supported by the National Security Agency under grant NSA 032-5529.

finite type. By an “ $S$ -central noncommutative vector bundle over  $X$ ” we mean an  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -central, coherent sheaf  $X$ -bimodule which is locally free on the right and left [9, Definition 2.3, p. 440]. When  $S = \text{Spec } k$  and  $X = \text{Spec } K$ , a sheaf  $X$ -bimodule which is locally free of finite rank on each side is nothing more than a two-sided  $K$ -vector space  $V$ , finite-dimensional on each side, where the left and right actions of  $K$  on  $V$  may differ.

When  $X$  is an integral scheme, any noncommutative vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $X$  localizes to a noncommutative vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}_\eta$  over the generic point  $\eta$  of  $X$ . If  $\mathcal{O}_X$  acts centrally on  $\mathcal{E}$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_\eta$  is completely characterized by its dimension over the field of fractions,  $k(X)$ , of  $X$ . In this case, the rank of  $\mathcal{E}$  is defined as  $\dim_{k(X)} \mathcal{E}_\eta$ . Since localization is exact, localization induces a map  $K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(\text{Spec } k(X))$ , and the rank of  $\mathcal{E}$  can also be defined as the image of the class of  $\mathcal{E}$  via this map.

Now suppose  $X$  is of finite type over  $\text{Spec } k$ . If  $\mathcal{O}_X$  does not act centrally on  $\mathcal{E}$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_\eta$  will be a two-sided vector space over  $k(X)$  whose left and right actions differ. In this case,  $\mathcal{E}_\eta$  is not completely characterized by its left and right dimension. However, localization induces a map  $K_0^B(X) \rightarrow K_0^B(\text{Spec } k(X))$  where  $K_0^B(X)$  denotes the Quillen  $K$ -theory of the category of  $k$ -central noncommutative vector bundles over  $X$  and  $K_0^B(\text{Spec } k(X))$  is defined similarly. It is thus reasonable to define the rank of  $\mathcal{E}$  as the image of the class of  $\mathcal{E}$  via this map. If this notion of rank is to be useful we must be able to compute the group  $K_0^B(\text{Spec } k(X))$ .

In addition, one can often construct a noncommutative symmetric algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  from a noncommutative vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  [5, Section 2], [8, Section 5.1]. While  $\mathcal{A}$  is not generally a sheaf of algebras over  $X$ , its localization at the generic point  $\eta$  of  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_\eta$ , is an algebra. The birational class of the projective bundle associated to  $\mathcal{A}$  is determined by the degree zero component of the skew field of fractions of  $\mathcal{A}_\eta$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}_\eta$  is generated by  $\mathcal{E}_\eta$ , we see that the birational class of a noncommutative projectivization is governed by a noncommutative vector bundle over  $\text{Spec } K(X)$ .

We now summarize the contents of the paper. In Section 2 we describe some general properties of two-sided vector spaces that we will use in the sequel. In Section 3 we study simple objects in  $\text{Vect}(K)$ , the category of two-sided  $K$ -vector spaces which are left finite-dimensional. In particular, we parameterize isomorphism classes of simple two-sided vector spaces by orbits of embeddings  $\lambda : K \rightarrow \bar{K}$  under the action of left-composition by elements of  $\text{Aut}(\bar{K}/K)$  (Theorem 3.2). In Section 4, we use results from Section 3 to explicitly describe the Quillen  $K$ -groups of  $\text{Vect}(K)$ , denoted  $K_i^B(K)$  (Theorem 4.1), and give a procedure for calculating the ring structure on  $K_0^B(K)$ .

Finally in Section 5, we study matrix representations of two-sided vector spaces, i.e. homomorphisms  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$ . Specifically, we consider the problem of finding a  $P \in GL_n(K)$  such that the homomorphism  $P\phi P^{-1}$  has a particularly nice form. We prove that if every matrix in  $\text{im } \phi$  has all of its eigenvalues in  $K$ , then the triangularized form of  $\phi$  can be described in terms of higher derivations on  $K$  (Theorem 5.4). We also develop sufficient conditions on a matrix  $A$  to ensure the existence of an upper triangular matrix  $P \in GL_n(K)$  with  $PAP^{-1}$  in Jordan canonical form (Theorem 5.8). Combining these results, we give sufficient conditions that enable us to describe the off diagonal blocks of  $P\phi P^{-1}$  (Corollary 5.10).

Throughout the paper, we provide examples of our results. We reproduce and extend the third case of [5, Theorem 1.3] by describing the structure of 2 and 3-dimensional simple two-sided vector spaces when they exist. When  $p \geq 3$  is prime and  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{2})$ , we describe the isomorphism classes of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -central two-sided  $K$ -vector spaces. There are only two, with dimensions 1 and  $p - 1$ . We then describe the ring  $K_0^B(K)$  via generators and relations. Finally, we provide an example in Section 5 to show that there exists a field  $K$ , a homomorphism  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_3(K)$ , and an element  $y \in K$  such that there is no  $P \in GL_3(K)$  with  $P\phi P^{-1}$  upper triangular and  $P\phi(y)P^{-1}$  in Jordan canonical form (Example 5.5).

**Acknowledgments.** We thank R. Piziak for general help with some of the finer points of linear algebra and matrix theory, and we thank R. Guralnick for informing us of a more general version of Lemma 2.4 than that which appeared in earlier drafts of this paper.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

As we mentioned above,  $K$  will always denote a perfect field of arbitrary characteristic and  $\bar{K}$  will be a fixed algebraic closure of  $K$ . By a *two-sided vector space* we mean a  $K$ -bimodule  $V$  where the left and right actions of  $K$  on  $V$  do not necessarily coincide. Except when explicitly stated to the contrary, we shall only consider those two-sided vector spaces whose left dimension is finite, and we use the phrases “two-sided vector space” and “bimodule” interchangeably.

Since we shall only consider bimodules  $V$  with  ${}_K V$  and  $V_K$  both unital, it is easy to see that the prime subfield of  $K$  must act centrally on any two-sided vector space. We shall fix a base field  $k \subset K$  and consider only those bimodules  $V$  which are centralized by  $k$ . Note that we do not assume that  $K/k$  is algebraic in general. While all of the notions that we introduce in this paper will depend on the centralizing subfield  $k$ , it turns out that  $k$  itself will usually not play an important role in any of our results. In particular we will omit  $k$  from our notation.

Given a  $K$ -bimodule  $V$  and a set of vectors  $\{v_i : i \in I\}$ , we shall always write  $\text{span}\{v_i\}$  to stand for the *left* span of the  $v_i$ . In general,  $\text{span}\{v_i\}$  will not be a sub-bimodule of  $V$ .

If  $V$  is a two-sided vector space, then right multiplication by  $x \in K$  defines an endomorphism  $\phi(x)$  of  ${}_K V$ , and the right action of  $K$  on  $V$  is via the  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\phi : K \rightarrow \text{End}({}_K V)$ . This observation motivates the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$  be a nonzero homomorphism. Then we denote by  ${}_1 K_\phi^n$  the two-sided vector space of left dimension  $n$ , where the left action is the usual one and the right action is via  $\phi$ ; that is,

$$(1) \quad x \cdot (v_1, \dots, v_n) = (xv_1, \dots, xv_n), \quad (v_1, \dots, v_n) \cdot x = (v_1, \dots, v_n)\phi(x).$$

We shall always write scalars as acting to the left of elements of  ${}_1 K_\phi^n$  and matrices acting to the right; thus, elements of  $K^n$  are written as row vectors and if  $v \in K^n$  is an eigenvector for  $\phi(x)$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , we write  $v\phi(x) = \lambda v$ .

It is easy to see that, if  $V$  is a two-sided vector space and  $[K : k] < \infty$ , then  $\dim_K V$  is finite if and only if  $\dim V_K$  is finite, and in this case the two dimensions must be equal. Thus, when  $[K : k] < \infty$ , we may drop subscripts and simply write  $\dim V$  for this common dimension. If  $[K : k]$  is infinite, it is no longer true that

the finiteness of  $\dim_K V$  implies the finiteness of  $\dim V_K$ , as the following example shows.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $K = k(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ , let  $\phi : K \rightarrow K$  be the homomorphism defined by  $\phi(x_i) = x_{i+1}$  and let  $V = {}_1K_\phi$ . Then the dimension of  ${}_K V$  is 1, while the dimension of  $V_K$  is infinite.

We denote the category of left finite-dimensional two-sided vector spaces by  $\mathbf{Vect}(K)$ . Clearly  $\mathbf{Vect}(K)$  is a finite-length category. If we write  $K^e = K \otimes_k K$  for the enveloping algebra of  $K$ , then there is a category equivalence between (not necessarily finite-dimensional)  $K$ -bimodules and (say) left  $K^e$ -modules. Under this equivalence,  $\mathbf{Vect}(K)$  can be identified as a full subcategory of the category of finite-length  $K^e$ -modules. If  $[K : k]$  is finite, then  $\mathbf{Vect}(K) = K^e\text{-mod}$ , the category of noetherian left  $K^e$ -modules. When  $K/k$  is infinite, this need no longer hold: if we define  $V = {}_\phi K_1$  in the obvious way for the map  $\phi$  in Example 2.2, then  $V$  is clearly simple in  $K^e\text{-Mod}$  but is not in  $\mathbf{Vect}(K)$ .

If  $V \in \mathbf{Vect}(K)$  with left dimension equal to  $n$ , then choosing a left basis for  $V$  shows that  $V \cong {}_1K_\phi^n$  for some homomorphism  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$ ; we shall say that  $\phi$  represents  $V$  in this case.

If  $L$  is an extension field of  $K$ , then of course any matrix over  $K$  can be viewed as a matrix over  $L$ , and a function  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$  can be viewed as having its image in  $M_n(L)$ . If  $A, B \in M_n(K)$ , then we write  $A \sim_L B$  if  $A$  and  $B$  are similar in  $M_n(L)$ ; that is, if  $B = PAP^{-1}$  for some  $P \in GL_n(L)$ . Similarly, if  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$  and  $\psi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$  are functions, we write  $\phi \sim_L \psi$  if  $\phi(x) = P\psi(x)P^{-1}$  for some  $P \in GL_n(L)$ . In either case, if  $P$  actually lives in  $M_n(K)$ , then we simply write  $\sim$  for  $\sim_K$ .

The following well known result follows readily from the fact that a homomorphism  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$  restricts to a representation of the group  $K^*$  of units of  $K$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $L$  be an extension field of  $K$ .  $L \otimes_K {}_1K_\phi^n \cong L \otimes_K {}_1K_\psi^n$  as  $L \otimes_K K^e$ -modules if and only if  $\phi \sim_L \psi$ .*

The next result is a special case of the Noether-Deuring Theorem [1, Exercise 6, p. 139].

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $L$  be an extension field of  $K$ , and let  $A, B \in M_n(K)$ . If  $A \sim_L B$ , then  $A \sim B$ . Similarly, if  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$  and  $\psi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$  are functions with  $\phi \sim_L \psi$ , then  $\phi \sim \psi$ .*

### 3. SIMPLE TWO-SIDED VECTOR SPACES

The main result of this section is a determination of all of the isomorphism classes of simple two-sided vector spaces. In order to state our classification, we introduce some notation. We write  $\text{Emb}(K)$  for the set of  $k$ -embeddings of  $K$  into  $\bar{K}$ , and  $G = G(K)$  for the absolute Galois group  $\text{Aut}(\bar{K}/K)$ . (Note that  $\bar{K}/K$  is Galois since  $K$  is perfect.) If  $L$  is an intermediate field, then we write  $G(L)$  for  $\text{Aut}(\bar{K}/L)$ .

Now,  $G$  acts on  $\text{Emb}(K)$  by left composition. Given  $\lambda \in \text{Emb}(K)$ , we denote the orbit of  $\lambda$  under this action by  $\lambda^G$ , and we write  $K(\lambda)$  for the composite field  $K \vee \text{im}(\lambda)$ . The stabilizer  $G_\lambda$  of  $\lambda$  under this action is easy to calculate:  $\sigma\lambda = \lambda$  if and only if  $\sigma$  fixes  $\text{im}(\lambda)$ ; since  $\sigma$  fixes  $K$  as well we have that  $G_\lambda = G(K(\lambda))$ .

**Lemma 3.1.**  $[K(\lambda) : K]$  is finite if and only if  $|\lambda^G|$  is finite, and in this case  $|\lambda^G| = [K(\lambda) : K]$ .

*Proof.* By the above, the stabilizer of  $\lambda$  is  $G(K(\lambda))$ . Thus  $|\lambda^G| = [G : G(K(\lambda))]$ . The result now follows by basic Galois Theory.  $\square$

It turns out that we will only be interested in those embeddings  $\lambda$  with  $\lambda^G$  finite; we denote the set of finite orbits of  $\text{Emb}(K)$  under the action of  $G$  by  $\Lambda(K)$ . The following theorem gives our classification of simple bimodules.

**Theorem 3.2.** *There is a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphism classes of simples in  $\text{Vect}(K)$  and  $\Lambda(K)$ . Moreover, if  $V$  is a simple two-sided vector space corresponding to  $\lambda^G \in \Lambda(K)$ , then  $\dim_K V = |\lambda^G|$  and  $\text{End}(V) \cong K(\lambda)$ .*

To prove the first part of Theorem 3.2, we construct a map from the collection of simple bimodules to  $\Lambda(K)$  and show that it gives the desired bijection. We begin in greater generality, starting with a (not necessarily simple) two-sided vector space  $V$  with  $V \cong {}_1K_\phi^n$ . Now,  $\text{im } \phi$  is a set of pairwise commuting matrices in  $M_n(K)$ ; viewing  $\text{im } \phi$  as a subset of  $M_n(\bar{K})$ , we know that there exists a common eigenvector  $v \in \bar{K}^n$  for  $\text{im } \phi$ . Define a function  $\lambda : K \rightarrow \bar{K}$  by letting  $\lambda(x)$  be the eigenvalue of  $\phi(x)$  corresponding to  $v$ ; i.e.  $v\phi(x) = \lambda(x)v$ . It is easy to check that  $\lambda$  is an embedding of  $K$  into  $\bar{K}$ , and since  $\phi$  is a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism we have that  $\lambda \in \text{Emb}(K)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $v \in \bar{K}^n$  is a common eigenvector for  $\text{im } \phi$  with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then  $\lambda \in \Lambda(K)$ . Moreover,  $|\lambda^G| \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* Note first that if  $\sigma \in G$ ,  $\sigma(v)$  is also a common eigenvector of  $\text{im } \phi$ , with corresponding eigenvalue  $\sigma\lambda$ . Indeed, we compute

$$(2) \quad \sigma(v)\phi(x) = \sigma(v)\sigma(\phi(x)) = \sigma(v\phi(x)) = \sigma(\lambda(x)v) = \sigma\lambda(x)\sigma(v).$$

Now, if  $\sigma\lambda \neq \tau\lambda$ , then for at least one value of  $x \in K$  the vectors  $\sigma(v)$  and  $\tau(v)$  are eigenvectors for  $\phi(x)$  with different eigenvalues; from this it follows that  $\sigma(v)$  and  $\tau(v)$  are linearly independent. If  $\lambda^G = \{\sigma_i\lambda : i \in I\}$ , then  $\{\sigma_i(v) : i \in I\}$  is a linearly independent subset of  $\bar{K}^n$ . Thus  $|\lambda^G| \leq n$  and in particular  $\lambda^G \in \Lambda(K)$ .  $\square$

Viewing  $\lambda$  as an embedding of  $K$  into  $K(\lambda)$ , we may without loss of generality assume that the common eigenvector  $v$  for  $\text{im } \phi$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  lives in  $K(\lambda)^n$ . We now fix notation which will be useful when proving Theorem 3.2. We let  $m = [K(\lambda) : K] = |\lambda^G|$  and we fix a basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$  for  $K(\lambda)/K$ . We may write

$$(3) \quad v = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i v_i$$

with each  $v_i \in K^n$  and

$$\lambda(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(x) \alpha_i$$

where each  $\lambda_i : K \rightarrow K$  is an additive function. Finally, we let  $\beta_{ijk}$  denote the structure constants for the basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ ; that is,

$$\alpha_i \alpha_j = \sum_{k=1}^m \beta_{ijk} \alpha_k.$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *In the above notation,  $\text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  is a two-sided subspace of  $V$ . In particular, if  $V$  is simple,  $\dim_K V = |\lambda^G|$ .*

*Proof.* We must show that  $v_i\phi(x) \in \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  for all  $x \in K$  and all  $i$ . On the one hand,  $v\phi(x) = (\sum_i \alpha_i v_i)\phi(x) = \sum_i \alpha_i v_i\phi(x)$ . On the other hand,

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} v\phi(x) &= \lambda(x)v = \left(\sum_p \lambda_p(x)\alpha_p\right)\left(\sum_q \alpha_q v_q\right) \\ &= \sum_{p,q} \lambda_p(x)\alpha_p\alpha_q v_q = \sum_i \alpha_i \left(\sum_{p,q} \beta_{pqi}\lambda_p(x)v_q\right). \end{aligned}$$

Matching up coefficients of  $\alpha_i$  shows that  $v_i\phi(x) = \sum_{p,q} \beta_{pqi}\lambda_p(x)v_q$ , so that  $v_i\phi(x) \in \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ . This proves the first assertion.

If  $V$  is simple, the first part of the lemma implies  $V = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ . Thus,  $m = |\lambda^G| \geq \dim_K V$ . On the other hand,  $|\lambda^G| \leq \dim_K V$  by Lemma 3.3. Thus,  $|\lambda^G| = \dim_K V$  when  $V$  is simple.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$  be a homomorphism and let  $\lambda : K \rightarrow \bar{K}$  be the eigenvalue of a common eigenvector of  $\text{im } \phi \subset M_n(\bar{K})$ . The map*

$$\Phi : \{\text{Isomorphism classes of simples in } \text{Vect}(K)\} \rightarrow \Lambda(K)$$

*defined by  $\Phi([{}_1K_\phi^n]) = \lambda^G$  is a bijection.*

*Proof. Part 1.* We show  $\Phi$  is an injection.

*Part 1, Step 1.* We show  $\Phi$  is well defined. Let  $V$  be a simple object in  $\text{Vect}(K)$ , and suppose  $V \cong {}_1K_\phi^n$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $|\lambda^G| = n$ . Let us write out the elements of  $\lambda^G$  as  $\{\lambda, \sigma_2\lambda, \dots, \sigma_n\lambda\}$ . Then taking  $\{v, \sigma_2(v), \dots, \sigma_n(v)\}$  as a basis for  $\bar{K}^n$ , we see that there exists  $Q \in GL_n(\bar{K})$  such that

$$(5) \quad Q\phi(x)Q^{-1} = \text{diag}(\lambda(x), \sigma_2\lambda(x), \dots, \sigma_n\lambda(x))$$

for all  $x \in K$ . In particular, if  $\mu : K \rightarrow \bar{K}$  is the eigenvalue for  $\phi(x)$  corresponding to some common eigenvector  $w$  of  $\text{im } \phi$ , then we must have  $\mu = \sigma_i\lambda$  for some  $i$ ; that is,  $\mu^G = \lambda^G$ .

If we choose a different isomorphism  $V \cong {}_1K_\psi^n$ , then  $\phi \sim \psi$ ; say  $\phi \cong P\psi P^{-1}$  for some  $P \in GL_n(K)$ . If  $v$  is a common eigenvector for  $\text{im } \phi$  with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then an easy computation shows that  $vP$  is a common eigenvector for  $\text{im}(\psi)$  with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

*Part 1, Step 2.* We show  $\Phi$  is an injection. If  $K$  is finite, then every embedding of  $K$  into  $\bar{K}$  is in fact an automorphism of  $K$ . Hence every simple in  $\text{Vect}(K)$  is isomorphic to  ${}_1K_\phi$  for some  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(K)$ , and the above correspondence just sends  ${}_1K_\phi$  to  $\phi$ . Thus the claim follows when  $K$  is finite.

Now suppose that  $K$  is infinite,  $\Phi([V]) = \lambda^G = \Phi([W])$  and  $|\lambda^G| = n$ . Write  $V \cong {}_1K_\phi^n$  and  $W \cong {}_1K_\psi^n$ . As in equation (5), there are invertible matrices  $P, Q \in M_n(\bar{K})$  such that

$$(6) \quad P\phi(x)P^{-1} = Q\psi(x)Q^{-1} = \text{diag}(\lambda(x), \sigma_2\lambda(x), \dots, \sigma_n\lambda(x)),$$

so that  $\phi \sim_{\bar{K}} \psi$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $\phi \sim \psi$  and  $V \cong W$ .

*Part 2.* Let  $\lambda : K \rightarrow \bar{K}$  be an embedding with  $\lambda^G \in \Lambda(K)$ . We shall construct a

simple two-sided vector space  $V(\lambda) = {}_1K_\phi^n$  from  $\lambda$ , such that  $v = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in K(\lambda)^n$  is a common eigenvector for  $\text{im } \phi$ , with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Retaining the above notation, we define a map  $\phi = (\phi_{ij}) : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$  by

$$(7) \quad \phi_{ij}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_{jki} \lambda_k(x).$$

*Part 2, Step 1.* We prove that, for all  $\sigma \in G$  and  $x \in K$ ,  $\sigma(v)$  is an eigenvector for  $\phi(x)$  with eigenvalue  $\sigma\lambda(x)$ . We have  $\sigma(v) = (\sigma(\alpha_1), \dots, \sigma(\alpha_n))$  and  $\sigma\lambda(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x)\sigma(\alpha_i)$ . On the one hand,

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma(v)\phi(x) &= (\sigma(\alpha_1), \dots, \sigma(\alpha_n))\phi(x) \\ &= \left( \sum_i \phi_{i1}(x)\sigma(\alpha_i), \dots, \sum_i \phi_{in}(x)\sigma(\alpha_i) \right) \\ &= \left( \sum_{i,k} \beta_{1ki} \lambda_k(x)\sigma(\alpha_i), \dots, \sum_{i,k} \beta_{nki} \lambda_k(x)\sigma(\alpha_i) \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma\lambda(x)\sigma(v) &= \left( \sum_k \lambda_k(x)\sigma(\alpha_k) \right) (\sigma(\alpha_1), \dots, \sigma(\alpha_n)) \\ &= \left( \sum_k \lambda_k(x)\sigma(\alpha_k\alpha_1), \dots, \sum_k \lambda_k(x)\sigma(\alpha_k\alpha_n) \right) \\ &= \left( \sum_{i,k} \lambda_k(x)\beta_{k1i}\sigma(\alpha_i), \dots, \sum_{i,k} \lambda_k(x)\beta_{kn i}\sigma(\alpha_i) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing coordinates and using the identity  $\beta_{pqr} = \beta_{qpr}$  for all  $p, q, r$  gives the result.

*Part 2, Step 2.* We show  $\phi$  is a homomorphism. Since each  $\lambda_k$  is an additive function it is clear that  $\phi$  is additive. To see that  $\phi$  is multiplicative, write out  $\lambda^G = \{\sigma_1\lambda, \dots, \sigma_n\lambda\}$  (where  $\sigma_1$  is the identity). Then  $\{\sigma_1(v), \dots, \sigma_n(v)\}$  is a basis for  $\bar{K}^n$ , and for all  $x, y \in K$ , we have

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_i(v)\phi(x)\phi(y) &= \sigma_i\lambda(x)\sigma_i(v)\phi(y) = \sigma_i\lambda(x)\sigma_i\lambda(y)\sigma_i(v) \\ &= \sigma_i\lambda(xy)\sigma_i(v) = \sigma_i(v)\phi(xy). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\phi(x)\phi(y)$  and  $\phi(xy)$  act as the same linear transformation on each  $\sigma_i(v)$ . Since the  $\sigma_i(v)$  form a basis for  $\bar{K}^n$ , we have that  $\phi(x)\phi(y) = \phi(xy)$  for all  $x, y \in K$ .

*Part 2, Step 3.* Since  $\phi$  is a homomorphism, we can define the two-sided vector space  $V(\lambda) = {}_1K_\phi^n$ . We prove  $V(\lambda)$  is simple. Suppose that  $W$  is a simple sub-bimodule of  $V(\lambda)$  with  $\dim W = m$ , and fix a left basis for  $V(\lambda)$  containing a left basis for  $W$ . Then, relative to this basis, we have  $V(\lambda) \cong {}_1K_\psi^n$ , where  $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 & \theta \\ 0 & \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $W \cong {}_1K_{\psi_2}^m$ . Since  $W$  is simple, there is a unique orbit  $\mu^G = \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m\} \in \Lambda(K)$  with  $\psi_2 \sim_{\bar{K}} \text{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$ . On the other hand, we have by definition of  $V(\lambda)$  that  $\phi \sim_{\bar{K}} \text{diag}(\lambda, \sigma_2\lambda, \dots, \sigma_n\lambda)$ ; since  $\phi \sim \psi$  we see that  $\mu_1 = \sigma_j\lambda$  for some  $j$ . Hence  $\mu^G = \lambda^G$  and  $W = V(\lambda)$  since  $\Phi$  is injective.  $\square$

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.2, we need to compute  $\text{End}(V(\lambda))$ .

**Proposition 3.6.**  $\text{End}(V(\lambda)) \cong K(\lambda)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $|\lambda^G| = n$ . We first note that  $\text{End}(V(\lambda))$  can be made into a left vector space over  $K$  by defining  $(xf)(v) = xf(v)$  for  $x \in K$ ,  $v \in V(\lambda)$ , and  $f \in \text{End}(V(\lambda))$ . Also, since  $V(\lambda)$  is a simple bimodule, it is generated as a bimodule by a single element  $w$ . If  $\{f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}\}$  is a subset of  $\text{End}(V(\lambda))$ , then  $\{f_1(w), \dots, f_{n+1}(w)\}$  are necessarily linearly dependent in  $V(\lambda)$ ; hence there exist  $x_i \in K$  such that the endomorphism  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i f_i$  acts as 0 on  $w$ . Since  $w$  generates  $V(\lambda)$  we see that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i f_i = 0$  and so  $\dim \text{End}(V(\lambda)) \leq n$ .

Fix an isomorphism  $V(\lambda) \cong {}_1K_\phi^n$ , and let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be the standard basis for  $K^n$ . Given  $f \in \text{End}(V(\lambda))$ , we can write  $f(e_i) = \sum_j f_{ji} e_j$ , where  $f_{ji} \in K$ . Then the map  $f \mapsto M(f) = (f_{ij})$  allows us to realize each  $f \in \text{End}(V(\lambda))$  as right multiplication by the matrix  $M(f) \in M_n(K)$ . The fact that  $f$  is a bimodule endomorphism is equivalent to  $M(f)$  commuting with  $\phi(x)$  for all  $x \in K$ . Conversely, if  $M \in M_n(K)$  with  $M = (m_{ij})$  and if  $M$  commutes with  $\phi(x)$ , the rule  $e_i \mapsto \sum_j m_{ji} e_j$  makes  $M$  an element of  $\text{End}(V(\lambda))$ .

For each  $p \leq n$ , let  $M(p)$  be the matrix given by  $M(p)_{ij} = \beta_{pji}$ . We prove that  $M(p) \in \text{End}(V(\lambda))$ . If  $v = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  as in (3), then one calculates that

$$(11) \quad \sigma(v)M(p) = (\sigma(\alpha_1), \dots, \sigma(\alpha_n))(\beta_{pji}) = \left( \sum_j \beta_{p1j} \sigma(\alpha_j), \dots, \sum_j \beta_{pnj} \sigma(\alpha_j) \right)$$

for all  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\bar{K}/K)$ . On the other hand,

$$(12) \quad \sigma(\alpha_p)\sigma(\alpha_i) = \sigma(\alpha_p\alpha_i) = \sigma\left(\sum_j \beta_{pji}\alpha_j\right) = \sum_j \beta_{pji}\sigma(\alpha_j).$$

Hence we see that the  $i$ -th component of  $\sigma(v)M(p)$  is  $\sigma(\alpha_p)\sigma(\alpha_i)$ , and we conclude that  $\sigma(v)$  is an eigenvector for  $M(p)$  with eigenvalue  $\sigma(\alpha_p)$ ; in particular, we see that  $\sigma(v)M(p)M(q) = \sigma(v)M(q)M(p)$  for all  $p, q \leq n$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\bar{K}/K)$ . Since  $\{v, \sigma_2(v), \dots, \sigma_n(v)\}$  is a basis for  $\bar{K}^n$ , we conclude that in fact  $M(p)$  and  $M(q)$  commute for all  $p, q$ . Finally, since  $\sigma_i(v)$  is a common eigenvector for  $\phi(x)$  and  $M(p)$  for all  $p \leq n$  and  $x \in K$ , we see that  $M(p)$  and  $\phi(x)$  commute. Therefore,  $\{M(1), \dots, M(n)\}$  are pairwise commuting,  $K$ -linearly independent elements of  $\text{End}(V(\lambda))$ . Since  $\dim \text{End}(V(\lambda)) \leq n$ , we conclude that  $\text{End}(V(\lambda)) \cong K\{M(1), \dots, M(n)\}$ ; one checks easily that the map  $M(p) \mapsto \alpha_p$  gives the desired ring isomorphism  $\text{End}(V(\lambda)) \cong K(\lambda)$ .  $\square$

We illustrate Theorem 3.2 with several examples.

**Example 3.7.** Suppose that there exists  $\lambda \in \text{Emb}(K)$  with  $|\lambda^G| = 2$ . Then  $K(\lambda)$  is a degree 2 extension of  $K$ , and so  $K(\lambda) = K(\sqrt{m})$  for some  $m \in K$ . Then  $\text{Aut}(K(\sqrt{m})/K)$  is generated by  $\sigma$ , where  $\sigma(\sqrt{m}) = -\sqrt{m}$ , and  $\lambda^G = \{\lambda, \sigma\lambda\}$ .

Using  $\{1, \sqrt{m}\}$  as a  $K$ -basis for  $\sqrt{m}$ , we can write  $\lambda(x) = \lambda_1(x) + \lambda_2(x)\sqrt{m}$ . If we write out the matrix  $(\phi_{ij}(x))$ , we see that

$$(13) \quad \phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(x) & m\lambda_2(x) \\ \lambda_2(x) & \lambda_1(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

and that

$$(1, \sqrt{m}) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(x) & m\lambda_2(x) \\ \lambda_2(x) & \lambda_1(x) \end{pmatrix} = (\lambda(x), \lambda(x)\sqrt{m}) = \lambda(x)(1, \sqrt{m}).$$



Moreover, The fact that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism gives the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1(xy) &= \lambda_1(x)\lambda_1(y) + m\lambda_2(x)\lambda_2(y) \\ \lambda_2(xy) &= \lambda_1(x)\lambda_2(y) + \lambda_1(y)\lambda_2(x).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we recover [5, Theorem 1.3(iii)] as a special case of Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

**Example 3.8.** Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic different from 3, and suppose there exists  $\lambda \in \text{Emb}(K)$  such that  $|\lambda^G| = 3$ . Then  $[K(\lambda) : K] = 3$ , so that  $K(\lambda) = K(\gamma)$ , where  $\gamma$  is the root of an irreducible polynomial  $x^3 + bx + c$  with  $b, c \in K$ . Thus,  $\{1, \gamma, \gamma^2\}$  is a basis of  $K(\lambda)/K$ . In this basis, we find that  $(\phi_{ij}(x))$  is the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0(x) & -c\lambda_2(x) & -c\lambda_1(x) \\ \lambda_1(x) & \lambda_0(x) - b\lambda_2(x) & -b\lambda_1(x) - c\lambda_2(x) \\ \lambda_2(x) & \lambda_1(x) & \lambda_0(x) - b\lambda_2(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

where the functions  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_0(xy) &= \lambda_0(x)\lambda_0(y) - c\lambda_2(x)\lambda_1(y) - c\lambda_1(x)\lambda_2(y) \\ \lambda_1(xy) &= \lambda_1(x)\lambda_0(y) + (\lambda_0(x) + b\lambda_2(x))\lambda_1(y) - (b\lambda_1(x) + c\lambda_2(x))\lambda_2(y) \\ \lambda_2(xy) &= \lambda_2(x)\lambda_0(y) + \lambda_1(x)\lambda_1(y) + (\lambda_0(x) - b\lambda_2(x))\lambda_2(y).\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Example 3.9.** Suppose  $p \geq 3$  is prime,  $\rho = \sqrt[p]{2}$ ,  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity,  $k = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\rho)$ . Then  $K(\zeta)$  is the Galois closure of  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ , with  $\text{Aut}(K(\zeta)/K) = \{\sigma_i : 1 \leq i \leq p-1\}$ , where  $\sigma_i(\zeta) = \zeta^i$ . If we let  $\lambda : K \rightarrow \bar{K}$  be the embedding that takes  $\rho$  to  $\zeta\rho$ , then  $\text{Emb}(K) = \{\text{Id}_K\} \cup \{\sigma_i\lambda : 1 \leq i \leq p-1\}$ . Hence  $\Lambda(K)$  consists of the two orbits  $\{\text{Id}_K\}$  and  $\lambda^G = \{\sigma_i\lambda : 1 \leq i \leq p-1\}$ , and so there are up to isomorphism two simples in  $\text{Vect}(K)$ : the trivial simple bimodule  $K$  corresponding to  $\{\text{Id}_K\}$ , and a  $p-1$ -dimensional simple corresponding to  $\lambda^G$ .

We now construct the matrix homomorphism  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_{p-1}(K)$  representing the  $p-1$ -dimensional simple as in (7). First, taking  $\{1, \zeta, \dots, \zeta^{p-2}\}$  as a basis of  $K(\zeta)/K$  and letting  $\alpha_i = \zeta^i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq p-2$  (we have shifted our indices for ease of computation), we compute the constants  $\beta_{jki}$ : if  $j+k \neq p-1$ , then

$$\alpha_j\alpha_k = \zeta^j\zeta^k = \zeta^{j+k} = \alpha_{j+k},$$

where the superscripts and subscripts are taken modulo  $p$ . Therefore, when  $j+k \neq p-1$ ,  $\beta_{jki} = 1$  if and only if  $i \equiv j+k \pmod{p}$ , and  $\beta_{jki} = 0$  otherwise.

If  $j+k = p-1$ , then

$$\alpha_j\alpha_k = \zeta^{p-1} = -1 - \zeta - \dots - \zeta^{p-2} = -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \dots - \alpha_{p-2}.$$

Therefore, when  $j+k = p-1$ ,  $\beta_{jki} = -1$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq p-2$ .

Thus,

- (1) if  $j = 0$  then  $j+k \neq p-1$ , so  $\beta_{0ki} = \delta_{ki}$ , and
- (2) if  $j \neq 0$ , either
  - (a)  $k = p-1-j$ , in which case  $\beta_{j,p-1-j,i} = -1$  for all  $i$  or
  - (b)  $k \neq p-1-j$ , in which case  $\beta_{j,i-j,i} = 1$  for all  $i \neq j-1$  (where subscripts are taken modulo  $p$ ) and  $\beta_{jki} = 0$  otherwise.

Next, we write

$$\lambda(x) = \lambda_0(x) + \lambda_1(x)\zeta + \dots + \lambda_{p-2}(x)\zeta^{p-2}$$

and determine the functions  $\lambda_i(x)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq p-2$ . If  $x \in K$ , we may write  $x = \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} a_l \rho^l$  with  $a_0, \dots, a_{p-1} \in \mathbb{Q}$ . It is then easy to see that

$$\lambda_i \left( \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} a_l \rho^l \right) = a_i \rho^i - a_{p-1} \rho^{p-1}$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq p-2$ .

Using the formula  $\phi_{ij}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \beta_{jki} \lambda_k(x)$ , we may deduce that  $\phi(x)$  is the matrix

$$(14) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0(x) & -\lambda_1(x) \\ \lambda_1(x) & -\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_0(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

when  $p = 3$  and  $\phi(x)$  is the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0(x) & -\lambda_{p-2}(x) & -\lambda_{p-3}(x) + \lambda_{p-2}(x) & \cdots & -\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_2(x) \\ \lambda_1(x) & -\lambda_{p-2}(x) + \lambda_0(x) & -\lambda_{p-3}(x) & \cdots & -\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_3(x) \\ \lambda_2(x) & -\lambda_{p-2}(x) + \lambda_1(x) & -\lambda_{p-3}(x) + \lambda_0(x) & \cdots & -\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_4(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_{p-3}(x) & -\lambda_{p-2}(x) + \lambda_{p-4}(x) & -\lambda_{p-3}(x) + \lambda_{p-5}(x) & \cdots & -\lambda_1(x) \\ \lambda_{p-2}(x) & -\lambda_{p-2}(x) + \lambda_{p-3}(x) & -\lambda_{p-3} + \lambda_{p-4}(x) & \cdots & -\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_0(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

when  $p \geq 5$ .

Had we chosen a different basis for  $K(\lambda)$  over  $K$ , then  $\phi(x)$  would have a different form. For example, when  $p = 3$ , then  $K(\zeta) = K(\sqrt{-3})$ . If we use  $\{1, \sqrt{-3}\}$  as a basis for  $K(\zeta)$  over  $K$ , then we find that  $\phi(x)$  takes the form (13).  $\square$

We conclude this section by noting that there are no nontrivial extensions between nonisomorphic simple bimodules. The result is probably well known, but we were unable to find a reference.

**Proposition 3.10.**  $\text{Ext}_{K^e}^1(V, W) = 0$  for nonisomorphic simple bimodules  $V, W$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $V$  and  $W$  are nonisomorphic simple bimodules. Let  $\Phi([V]) = \lambda^G$  and  $\Phi([W]) = \mu^G$ , and fix isomorphisms  $V \cong {}_1K_\phi^m$  and  $W \cong {}_1K_\psi^n$ . If  $U$  is an extension of  $V$  by  $W$ , then there is a basis for  $K^{m+n}$  such that  $U \cong {}_1K_\eta^{m+n}$ , where

$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} \psi & \theta \\ 0 & \phi \end{pmatrix}$  for some  $\theta : K \rightarrow M_{n \times m}(K)$ . Enumerate the elements of  $\lambda^G$  and  $\mu^G$  as  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\}$  and  $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n\}$ , respectively. Then we have that

$$(15) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \phi & \theta \\ 0 & \psi \end{pmatrix} \sim_{\bar{K}} \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \sim_{\bar{K}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & \psi \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows by Lemma 2.4 that  $U \cong V \oplus W$ .  $\square$

#### 4. ALGEBRAIC $K$ -THEORY OF $\text{Vect}(K)$

We shall denote by  $K_i^B(K)$  the Quillen  $K$ -theory of  $\text{Vect}(K)$  [6] (the superscript stands for ‘‘bimodule’’). The description of the simples in  $\text{Vect}(K)$  in Section 3 and the Devissage Theorem [6, Corollary 5.1] immediately yield the following result.

**Theorem 4.1.** *For all  $i \geq 0$ , there is an isomorphism of abelian groups*

$$(16) \quad K_i^B(K) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda^G \in \Lambda(K)} K_i(K(\lambda)).$$

The Grothendieck group  $K_0^B(K)$  can be made into a commutative ring by defining multiplication via the tensor product. Thus, if  $V$  and  $W$  are simple bimodules in  $\text{Vect}(K)$ , we define  $[V] \cdot [W] = [V \otimes W]$  in  $K_0^B(K)$ . (Here and below  $\otimes$  denotes the tensor product over  $K$ .) In particular,  $[V] \cdot [W] = \sum_{i=1}^t [V_i]$ , where  $V_1, \dots, V_t$  are the composition factors of  $V \otimes W$ . There is an especially nice description of  $K_0^B(K)$  when  $\text{Emb}(K) = \text{Aut}(K)$ ; this will happen for instance if  $K$  is a normal algebraic extension of the centralizing subfield  $k$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *If  $K$  is a field with  $\text{Emb}(K) = \text{Aut}(K)$ , then there is a ring isomorphism  $K_0^B(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\text{Aut}(K)]$ .*

*Proof.* Each simple bimodule in  $\text{Vect}(K)$  is isomorphic to  ${}_1K_\phi$  for some  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(K)$ . The map  $[{}_1K_\phi] \mapsto \phi$  then gives an isomorphism between the abelian groups  $K_0^B(K)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\text{Aut}(K)]$ . Moreover, an elementary calculation shows that  ${}_1K_\phi \otimes {}_1K_\psi \cong {}_1K_{\phi\psi}$ . From this it follows readily that the above map is actually a ring isomorphism.  $\square$

In order to describe the ring structure of  $K_0^B(K)$  for a general field  $K$ , we shall need to introduce some notation. Identifying the  $K$ -algebras  $M_m(K) \otimes M_n(K)$  and  $M_{mn}(K)$ , we introduce multi-index notation to refer to the coordinates of  $M_{mn}(K)$  as follows. Order the pairs  $(i, j)$  with  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$  lexicographically; then there is a bijection between these pairs and  $\{1, \dots, mn\}$ . We shall write  $A_{(i_1, i_2), (j_1, j_2)}$  for the entry of  $A \in M_{mn}(K)$  whose row corresponds to  $(i_1, i_2)$  and whose column corresponds to  $(j_1, j_2)$  under this bijection. The reason for adopting this notation is that, if  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_m(K)$  and  $B = (b_{ij}) \in M_n(K)$ , then  $(A \otimes B)_{(i_1, i_2), (j_1, j_2)} = a_{i_1 j_1} b_{i_2 j_2}$ , where  $A \otimes B$  is the Kronecker product of  $A$  and  $B$ .

The following is a variant of the Kronecker product for functions.

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $\phi = (\phi_{ij}) : K \rightarrow M_m(K)$  and  $\psi = (\psi_{ij}) : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$  be functions. Then we define their *Kronecker composition*  $\phi \otimes \psi : K \rightarrow M_{mn}(K)$  by the rule  $(\phi \otimes \psi)_{(i_1, i_2), (j_1, j_2)} = \phi_{i_1 j_1} \circ \psi_{i_2 j_2}$ . Similarly, if  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(K)$ , then we define  $\phi \otimes A \in M_{mn}(K)$  to be the matrix given by  $(\phi \otimes A)_{(i_1, i_2), (j_1, j_2)} = \phi_{i_1 j_1}(a_{i_2 j_2})$ . Note that if  $x \in K$ , then  $(\phi \otimes \psi)(x) = \phi \otimes (\psi(x))$ , so that the two definitions are consistent with each other. Finally, if  $B \in M_m(K)$ , then we define the functions  $\phi B$  and  $B\phi$  by  $(\phi B)(x) = \phi(x)B$  and  $(B\phi)(x) = B\phi(x)$ , respectively.

The utility of the Kronecker composition in understanding tensor products of bimodules is revealed in the following lemma. In particular, it implies that when  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_m(K)$  and  $\psi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$  are homomorphisms, so too is  $\phi \otimes \psi : K \rightarrow M_{mn}(K)$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *Given homomorphisms  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_m(K)$  and  $\psi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$ , we have  ${}_1K_\phi^m \otimes {}_1K_\psi^n \cong {}_1K_{\phi \otimes \psi}^{mn}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  and  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  be the standard left bases for  $K^m$  and  $K^n$ , respectively. If we let  $e_{(i,j)} = e_i \otimes f_j$ , then  $\{e_{(i,j)} : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$  gives a left basis for  $K^{mn}$ . We compute the right action of  $K$  on  $K^{mn}$  under this

basis:

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{(i,j)} \cdot x &= (e_i \otimes f_j) \cdot x = e_i \otimes f_j \psi(x) = e_i \otimes \sum_{l=1}^n \psi_{jl}(x) f_l \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^n e_i \cdot \psi_{jl}(x) \otimes f_l = \sum_{l=1}^n e_i \phi(\psi_{jl}(x)) \otimes f_l \\
(17) \quad &= \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \phi_{ik}(\psi_{jl}(x)) e_k \otimes f_l = \sum_{(k,l)} \phi_{ik} \circ \psi_{jl}(x) e_{(k,l)}. \\
&= \sum_{(k,l)} (\phi \otimes \psi)_{(i,j),(k,l)}(x) e_{(k,l)} = e_{(i,j)}(\phi \otimes \psi)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_m(K)$  and  $\psi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$  be homomorphisms and let  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_m(K)$ ,  $B = (b_{ij})$ ,  $C = (c_{ij}) \in M_n(K)$ . Then the following hold:*

- (1)  $(\phi \otimes B)(\phi \otimes C) = \phi \otimes BC$ .
- (2)  $(A \otimes I_n)(\phi \otimes B) = (A\phi) \otimes B$  and  $(\phi \otimes B)(A \otimes I_n) = (\phi A) \otimes B$ , where  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix.
- (3) If  $\phi \sim \phi'$  and  $\psi \sim \psi'$ , then  $\phi \otimes \psi \sim \phi' \otimes \psi'$ .

*Proof.* (1) We compute the  $(i_1, i_2), (j_1, j_2)$  component of  $(\phi \otimes B)(\phi \otimes C)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
(\phi \otimes B)(\phi \otimes C)_{(i_1, i_2), (j_1, j_2)} &= \sum_{(k,l)} (\phi \otimes B)_{(i_1, i_2), (k,l)} (\phi \otimes C)_{(k,l), (j_1, j_2)} \\
(18) \quad &= \sum_{(k,l)} \phi_{i_1 k} (b_{i_2 l}) \phi_{k j_1} (c_{l j_2}) \\
&= \sum_l \phi_{i_1 j_1} (b_{i_2 l} c_{l j_2}) \quad (\phi \text{ is a homomorphism}) \\
&= \phi_{i_1 j_1} ((BC)_{i_2 j_2}) = (\phi \otimes BC)_{(i_1, i_2), (j_1, j_2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

(2) Again, the proof is a computation. We show the first equality and leave the second to the reader.

$$\begin{aligned}
(A \otimes I_n)(\phi \otimes B)_{(i_1, i_2), (j_1, j_2)} &= \sum_{(k,l)} (A \otimes I_n)_{(i_1, i_2), (k,l)} (\phi \otimes B)_{(k,l), (j_1, j_2)} \\
(19) \quad &= \sum_{(k,l)} a_{i_1 k} (I_n)_{i_2 l} \phi_{k j_1} (b_{l j_2}).
\end{aligned}$$

The only nonzero term in the sum occurs when  $l = i_2$ , because of the  $(I_n)_{i_2 l}$  term. Hence the above sum collapses to

$$(20) \quad \sum_k a_{i_1 k} \phi_{k j_1} (b_{i_2 j_2}) = (A\phi)_{i_1 j_1} (b_{i_2 j_2}) = (A\phi \otimes B)_{(i_1, i_2), (j_1, j_2)}.$$

(3) First, suppose that  $B \in M_n(K)$  is invertible. Then by part (1), we have  $(\phi \otimes B)(\phi \otimes B^{-1}) = \phi \otimes BB^{-1} = \phi \otimes I_n = I_{mn}$ . Thus  $\phi \otimes B$  is invertible, with inverse  $\phi \otimes B^{-1}$ . Now, suppose that  $B\psi(x)B^{-1} = \psi'(x)$  for all  $x \in K$ , and that  $A\phi(x)A^{-1} = \phi'(x)$  for all  $x \in K$ . Then, for all  $x \in K$ , we have

$$(21) \quad (A \otimes I_n)(\phi \otimes B)(\phi \otimes \psi(x))(\phi \otimes B^{-1})(A^{-1} \otimes I_n) = (\phi' \otimes \psi')(x)$$

by parts (1) and (2) above. Hence  $\phi \otimes \psi \sim \phi' \otimes \psi'$ . □

Any embedding  $\lambda$  of  $K$  into  $\bar{K}$  can be lifted to an automorphism  $\bar{\lambda}$  of  $\bar{K}$ , such that  $\bar{\lambda}|_K = \lambda$ . The following lemma extends this to certain homomorphisms  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *If  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$  represents a simple bimodule, then there exists a homomorphism  $\bar{\phi} : \bar{K} \rightarrow M_n(\bar{K})$  such that  $\bar{\phi}|_K = \phi$ .*

*Proof.* Write  ${}_1K_\phi^m \cong V(\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda^G \in \Lambda(K)$ , and write  $\lambda^G = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\}$ . Viewing  $\phi$  as a function from  $K$  to  $M_m(\bar{K})$ , there exists  $P \in GL_m(\bar{K})$  such that  $\phi(x) = P \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1(x), \dots, \lambda_m(x))P^{-1}$  for all  $x \in K$ . Lift each  $\lambda_i$  to  $\bar{\lambda}_i : \bar{K} \rightarrow \bar{K}$ , and define  $\bar{\phi}$  by the formula

$$(22) \quad \bar{\phi}(x) = P \operatorname{diag}(\bar{\lambda}_1(x), \dots, \bar{\lambda}_m(x))P^{-1}.$$

Then one easily checks that  $\bar{\phi}$  is a lift of  $\phi$ .  $\square$

The above result obviously extends to semisimple bimodules by induction, but we will only need to apply it in the case where  $V$  is simple.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $\lambda^G, \mu^G \in \Lambda(K)$ . Then  $V(\lambda) \otimes V(\mu)$  is semisimple.*

*Proof.* If  $K$  is finite, then each of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  is an automorphism of  $K$ , and  $V(\lambda) \otimes V(\mu) \cong V(\lambda\mu)$  is simple. So we may assume that  $K$  is infinite. Enumerate the elements of  $\lambda^G$  and  $\mu^G$  as  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\}$  and  $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n\}$  respectively, and let  $\bar{\lambda}_i$  and  $\bar{\mu}_j$  be lifts of  $\lambda_i$  and  $\mu_j$  to automorphisms of  $\bar{K}$ . If we write  $V(\lambda) \cong {}_1K_\phi^m$  and  $V(\mu) \cong {}_1K_\psi^n$ , then the previous lemma shows that there are lifts  $\bar{\phi} : \bar{K} \rightarrow M_m(\bar{K})$  and  $\bar{\psi} : \bar{K} \rightarrow M_n(\bar{K})$ , such that  $\bar{\phi} \sim \operatorname{diag}(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_m)$  and  $\bar{\psi} \sim \operatorname{diag}(\bar{\mu}_1, \dots, \bar{\mu}_n)$ . It follows from Lemma 4.5 and an elementary calculation that  $\bar{\phi} \otimes \bar{\psi} \sim \operatorname{diag}(\bar{\lambda}_i \bar{\mu}_j : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n)$ .

For each pair  $(i, j)$ , let  $\nu_{ij} = \bar{\lambda}_i \bar{\mu}_j|_K$ . Then  $\nu_{ij} \in \operatorname{Emb}(K)$  and  $\nu_{ij}^G \in \Lambda(K)$ . Moreover, an easy calculation shows that  $\bar{\phi} \otimes \bar{\psi}|_K = \phi \otimes \psi$ , and from this we conclude that  $\phi \otimes \psi \sim_{\bar{K}} \operatorname{diag}(\nu_{ij})$ . Partition the multiset  $\{\nu_{ij}\}$  into a union of disjoint orbits, counting multiplicities, say  $\{\nu_{ij}\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^t (m_k) \nu_k^G$ , where  $(m_k) \nu_k^G$  means  $m_k$  copies of  $\nu_k^G$ . Let  $V = \bigoplus_{k=1}^t V(\nu_k)^{(m_k)}$  and write  $V \cong {}_1K_\theta^{mn}$  for some  $\theta$ . Then by construction we have that  $\phi \otimes \psi \sim_{\bar{K}} \theta$ ; by Lemma 2.4  $\phi \otimes \psi \sim \theta$ , so that  $V(\lambda) \otimes V(\mu) \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^t V(\nu_k)^{(m_k)}$  is semisimple.  $\square$

The above theorem yields a presentation for the ring  $K_0^B(K)$  by generators and relations. We distinguish between the trivial simple bimodule  $K$  which corresponds to  $\{\operatorname{Id}_K\} \in \Lambda(K)$  and acts as the identity of  $K_0^B(K)$ , and the nontrivial simple bimodules  $\{V(\lambda) : \lambda^G \neq \{\operatorname{Id}_K\}\}$ .

**Corollary 4.8.** *Write  $\Lambda(K) = \{\operatorname{Id}_K\} \cup (\bigcup_{i \in I} \lambda_i^G)$  as a union of disjoint orbits, and for each pair  $i, j$ , write  $V(\lambda_i) \otimes V(\lambda_j) \cong K^{(\alpha_{ij})} \oplus (\bigoplus_{l \in I} V(\lambda_l)^{(\alpha_{ijl})})$  for nonnegative integers  $\alpha_{ij}, \alpha_{ijl}$ . Then  $K_0^B(K)$  is isomorphic to the quotient of  $\mathbb{Z}\langle \{x_i : i \in I\} \rangle$  by the ideal  $I$  generated by  $\{x_i x_j - (\sum_{l \in I} \alpha_{ijl} x_l + \alpha_{ij}) : i, j \in I\}$ .*

The following example illustrates how one can use Theorem 4.7 to find an explicit presentation for  $K_0^B(K)$ .

**Example 4.9.** Let  $p$  be an odd prime and let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\rho)$ , where  $\rho$  is a real  $p$ -th root of 2. As in Example 3.9,  $\operatorname{Emb}(K)$  is partitioned into two orbits:  $\operatorname{Emb}(K) = \{\operatorname{Id}_K\} \cup \lambda^G$ , where  $\lambda$  is the embedding defined by  $\lambda(\rho) = \zeta\rho$ . Now,  $\operatorname{Aut}(K(\zeta)/K)$  is cyclic of order  $p-1$ ; let  $\sigma$  be a generator for  $\operatorname{Aut}(K(\zeta)/K)$ . To be precise, let

$\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^n$ , where  $n$  is a multiplicative generator for  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ . There are obvious lifts of  $\lambda$  and  $\sigma$  to automorphisms of  $\bar{K}$ ; we abuse notation and denote these lifts by  $\lambda$  and  $\sigma$  as well.

There are exactly two simple bimodules up to isomorphism: The trivial bimodule  $K$ , and the  $p-1$ -dimensional bimodule  $V(\lambda)$ . In order to calculate the ring structure on  $K_0^B(K)$ , we must decompose  $V(\lambda) \otimes V(\lambda)$  as a direct sum of simples.

If we write  $V(\lambda) \cong {}_1K_\phi^{p-1}$ , then  $\phi \sim_{\bar{K}} \text{diag}(\sigma^i \lambda : 0 \leq i \leq p-2)$ . Hence  $\phi \otimes \phi \sim_{\bar{K}} \text{diag}(\sigma^i \lambda \sigma^j \lambda : 0 \leq i, j \leq p-2)$ . So, we must count the number of times that  $\sigma^i \lambda \sigma^j \lambda|_K = \text{Id}_K$ .

We compute:

$$\sigma^i \lambda \sigma^j \lambda(\rho) = \sigma^i \lambda \sigma^j (\zeta \rho) = \sigma^i \lambda (\zeta^{n^j} \rho) = \sigma^i (\zeta^{n^j+1} \rho) = \zeta^{n^i(n^j+1)} \rho.$$

So, we must have  $n^i(n^j+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Since  $(n, p) = 1$ , this only happens when  $n^j+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , and since  $n$  is a multiplicative generator for  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ , this only happens for  $j = (p-1)/2$ . For this value of  $j$ , we see that  $\sigma^i \lambda \sigma^{(p-1)/2} \lambda|_K = \text{Id}_K$  for all  $i$ ; in particular, there are exactly  $p-1$  copies of the trivial bimodule as a summand of  $V(\lambda) \otimes V(\lambda)$ .

The rest is a dimension count: Since  $\dim V(\lambda) \otimes V(\lambda) = (p-1)^2$  and  $V(\lambda) \otimes V(\lambda) \cong K^{(p-1)} \oplus V(\lambda)^{(t)}$ , it follows that  $t = p-2$ ; i.e.  $V(\lambda) \otimes V(\lambda) \cong K^{(p-1)} \oplus V(\lambda)^{(p-2)}$ .

From this we conclude that  $K_0^B(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2 - (p-2)x - (p-1))$ .  $\square$

We conclude this section with a brief discussion of an alternative, ‘naive’ approach to the Grothendieck ring of  $\text{Vect}(K)$ . Namely, one could consider the free abelian group on isomorphism classes in  $\text{Vect}(K)$ , modulo only those relations induced by direct sums (instead of all exact sequences). We denote this ring by  $K_0^\oplus(K)$ . Our aim is to show that, while  $K_0^B(K)$  is computable in many cases,  $K_0^\oplus(K)$  is an intractable object of study. We begin with a definition.

**Definition 4.10.** A *higher  $k$ -derivation of order  $m$*  (or an  *$m$ -derivation*) on  $K$  is a sequence of  $k$ -linear maps  $\mathbf{d} = \{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_m\}$ , such that  $d_i(xy) = \sum_{i+j=i} d_i(x)d_j(y)$  for all  $x, y \in K$ . (In particular  $d_0 : K \rightarrow K$  is an endomorphism and  $d_1$  is a  $d_0$ -derivation.) We denote the set of all  $n$ -derivations by  $HS_n(K)$ , and the set of all higher derivations (of all orders) by  $HS(K)$ . We refer the reader to [4, Section 27] for more information on higher derivations. (Note that our definition is slightly more general, in that [4] assumes that  $d_0 = \text{Id}_K$ ).

Note that  $HS(K)$  can be made into an abelian semigroup with identity as follows: Given  $\mathbf{d} = \{d_0, \dots, d_m\}$  and  $\mathbf{d}' = \{d'_0, \dots, d'_n\}$ , we define  $\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d}' = \{\delta_0, \dots, \delta_{m+n}\}$ , where  $\delta_i = \sum_{i+j=i} d_i d'_j$ . (Here we set  $d_i = 0$  for  $i > m$  and  $d'_j = 0$  for  $j > n$ .) The above operation actually makes  $HS(K)$  a group, but we will not need this fact below.

Given  $\mathbf{d} = \{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_m\}$ , we define a map  $\phi(\mathbf{d}) : K \rightarrow M_{m+1}(K)$  by

$$(23) \quad \phi(\mathbf{d})(x) = \begin{pmatrix} d_0(x) & d_1(x) & \dots & d_m(x) \\ 0 & d_0(x) & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & d_1(x) \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & d_0(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

That is,  $\phi(\mathbf{d})(x)$  is an upper triangular Toeplitz matrix, whose entry on the  $i$ -th superdiagonal is  $d_i(x)$ . The fact that  $\mathbf{d} \in HS_m(K)$  is precisely the condition that  $\phi(\mathbf{d})$  is a homomorphism. It is fairly easy to see that the two-sided vector space  $V(\mathbf{d}) = {}_1K_{\phi(\mathbf{d})}^{m+1}$  is indecomposable in  $\text{Vect}(K)$ . Conversely, if  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_{m+1}(K)$  is a homomorphism such that  $\phi(x)$  is an upper triangular Toeplitz matrix for all  $x \in K$ , then  $\mathbf{d} = \{d_0, \dots, d_m\} \in HS_m(K)$ , where  $d_i(x)$  is the  $i$ -th superdiagonal of  $\phi(x)$ .

It follows readily that there is an abelian semigroup homomorphism  $\Psi : \mathbb{Z}[HS(K)] \rightarrow K_0^{\oplus}(K)$ . However,  $\Psi$  is in general neither injective nor surjective, and is also not a ring homomorphism.

For instance, let  $\mathbf{d} = \{d_0, d_1\}$  and let  $\mathbf{d}' = \{d_0, xd_1\}$  for some  $x \in K^*$ . Then conjugating  $\phi(\mathbf{d})$  by  $\text{diag}(x, 1)$  shows that  $V(\mathbf{d}) \cong V(\mathbf{d}')$  and so  $\Psi$  is not injective. Similarly, let  $V = {}_1K_{\phi}^3$ , where

$$\phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} d_0(x) & d_1(x) & d_1(x) \\ 0 & d_0(x) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_0(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $V$  is indecomposable but is not in the image of  $\Psi$ , so  $\Psi$  is not surjective.

The fact that  $\Psi$  is not a ring homomorphism is easy: If  $\mathbf{d} \in HS_m(K)$  and  $\mathbf{d}' \in HS_n(K)$ , then  $\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d}' \in HS_{m+n}(K)$  and so the left dimension of  $V(\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d}')$  is  $m+n+1$ . On the other hand, the left dimension of  $V(\mathbf{d}) \otimes V(\mathbf{d}')$  is  $(m+1)(n+1)$ .

The above remarks show that in general  $K_0^{\oplus}(K)$  is a more intractable object of study than  $K_0^B(K)$ , and that its structure depends on significantly subtler arithmetic properties of the field  $K$ .

## 5. REPRESENTATIVES FOR EQUIVALENCE CLASSES OF MATRIX HOMOMORPHISMS

Let  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$  be a homomorphism. In this final section, we consider the problem of finding a representative for the  $\sim$ -equivalence class of  $\phi$  that has a particularly “nice” form.

For example, suppose that  $\phi(y)$  has all of its eigenvalues in  $K$  for some  $y \in K$ . Then there exists  $P \in GL_n(K)$  such that  $P\phi(y)P^{-1}$  is in Jordan canonical form. Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$  be the distinct eigenvalues of  $\phi(y)$ , with corresponding multiplicities  $m_1, \dots, m_t$ . For each  $i$ , let  $n_{i,1}, \dots, n_{i,s_i}$  be the sizes of the  $\lambda_i$ -Jordan blocks of  $P\phi(y)P^{-1}$ . Then [2, Section VIII.2] implies the following result. (We say that an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$  is *generalized upper triangular Toeplitz* if it is of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ & \end{pmatrix}$  or  $\begin{pmatrix} T \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $T$  is an upper triangular Toeplitz matrix.)

**Theorem 5.1.** *Assume the above notation. For all  $x \in K$ ,*

$$(24) \quad P\phi(x)P^{-1} = \text{diag}(\phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_t(x)),$$

where each  $\phi_i(x)$  is an  $m_i \times m_i$ -block matrix of the form  $\phi_i(x) = (T_{ipq}(x))$ , where  $T_{ipq}(x)$  is a generalized upper triangular Toeplitz matrix of size  $n_{i,p} \times n_{i,q}$ .

The above theorem uses nothing more than the description of the set of all matrices which commute with a given matrix in Jordan canonical form; in particular it does *not* use the additional information that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism, or that the matrices in  $\text{im } \phi$  also commute with each other. Consequently one can often find a better representation than the one afforded by Theorem 5.1.

**Example 5.2.** Suppose that  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_3(K)$  is such that  $\phi(y) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$  for some  $y \in K$ . Then  $\phi(y)$  is in Jordan canonical form, so Theorem 5.1 shows that there exist functions  $a, b, c, d, e : K \rightarrow K$  such that

$$(25) \quad \phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a(x) & b(x) & c(x) \\ 0 & a(x) & 0 \\ 0 & d(x) & e(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Writing out the condition that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism shows that each of  $a$  and  $e$  are (nonzero) homomorphisms from  $K$  to  $K$ . If we conjugate  $\phi(x)$  by the matrix

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ we see that } \phi(x) \sim \psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a(x) & c(x) & b(x) \\ 0 & e(x) & d(x) \\ 0 & 0 & a(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we let  $V = {}_1K_\psi^3$ , then the composition factors of  $V$  are  $\{{}_1K_a, {}_1K_e, {}_1K_a\}$ .

Suppose first that  $a = e$ . Then the fact that  $\psi$  is a homomorphism implies that  $b(x_1x_2) = a(x_1)b(x_2) + c(x_1)d(x_2) + b(x_1)a(x_2)$  for all  $x_1, x_2 \in K$ . Since  $b(x_1x_2) = b(x_2x_1)$  we can equate terms and get that  $c(x_1)d(x_2) = c(x_2)d(x_1)$ . If  $c \neq 0$ , then choosing  $x_2$  so that  $c(x_2) \neq 0$ , we see that  $d(x) = \alpha c(x)$ , where  $\alpha = d(x_2)/c(x_2)$ . If  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then we can conjugate  $\psi$  by  $Q = \text{diag}(1, 1, \alpha)$  to

$$\text{conclude that } \phi \sim \begin{pmatrix} a & c & \frac{1}{\alpha}b \\ 0 & a & c \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

If  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $d = 0$  and so  $\phi \sim \begin{pmatrix} a & c & b \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ .

Finally, if  $a \neq e$  then the fact that there are no nontrivial extensions between nonisomorphic simples shows that  $\phi \sim \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus we conclude that  $\phi$  is equivalent to a homomorphism as in Theorem 5.1 that is also upper triangular.  $\square$

Motivated by the above example, we may ask whether a homomorphism  $\phi$  is always equivalent to an upper triangular homomorphism or, ideally, an upper triangular homomorphism of the form (24). Assuming that the matrices in  $\text{im } \phi$  have their eigenvalues in  $K$ , the answer to the first question is “yes” [3, p. 100]. We shall prove that, under certain additional assumptions, the matrices in  $\text{im } \phi$  have upper triangular Toeplitz diagonals. We then derive a sufficient condition for an affirmative answer to the second question. We begin with some elementary reductions.

Given  $V \in \text{Vect}(K)$ , let  $S_1, \dots, S_t$  be a complete list of the pairwise nonisomorphic composition factors of  $V$ . Since  $\text{Ext}^1(S_i, S_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , we see that  $V \cong V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_t$ , where each  $V_i$  has each of its composition factors isomorphic to  $S_i$ . Now, if  $\phi$  represents  $V$  and  $\phi_i$  represents  $V_i$  for each  $i$ , then it is clear that  $\phi \sim \text{diag}(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_t)$ . Thus it suffices to consider the case where the composition factors of  ${}_1K_\phi^n$  are pairwise isomorphic. We shall further assume that the simple composition factor of  ${}_1K_\phi^n$  is isomorphic to  ${}_1K_a$  for some  $a : K \rightarrow K$ ; we shall say that  $\phi$  is *a-homogeneous* in this case.

**Lemma 5.3.** *If  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$  is a-homogenous for some  $a : K \rightarrow K$ , then  $\phi$  is equivalent to an upper triangular homomorphism with each diagonal entry equal to  $a$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $n$ , the case  $n = 1$  being trivial. Let  $V = {}_1K_\phi^n$ . Then  ${}_1K_a$  is a sub-bimodule of  $V$ , generated as a left subspace by a single vector



$v$ . Choose a basis for  $V$  containing  $v$  and order it so that  $v$  occurs last; then we see that, in this basis,  $V \cong {}_1K_\phi^n$ , where  $\tilde{\phi} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \psi & \theta \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$  for some  $\psi : K \rightarrow M_{n-1}(K)$ . Now,  ${}_1K_\psi^{n-1}$  is also  $a$ -homogeneous and so by induction is equivalent to an upper triangular homomorphism with each diagonal entry equal to  $a$ . The result follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$  be  $a$ -homogeneous for some  $a : K \rightarrow K$ . Then there exist higher derivations  $\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_t$ , each of whose 0-th components is equal to  $a$ , such that*

$$(26) \quad \phi \sim \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \dots & A_{1t} \\ 0 & A_{22} & \dots & A_{2t} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & A_{tt} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A_{ii}(x) = \phi(\mathbf{d}_i)(x)$  and  $A_{ij}(xy) = \sum_{l=1}^t A_{il}(x)A_{lj}(y)$  for all  $x, y \in K$ .

*Proof.* The fact that  $A_{ij}(xy) = \sum_l A_{il}(x)A_{lj}(y)$  follows because  $\phi$  is a homomorphism; the key is to show that the diagonal matrices  $A_{ii}$  have the stated form. By the previous lemma, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\phi$  is upper triangular. Write  $\phi = (\phi_{ij})$ , where  $\phi_{ii} = a$  for all  $i$  and  $\phi_{ij} = 0$  for  $i > j$ . Let  $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_q$  be all of the indices for which  $\phi_{i_k, i_k+1} = 0$ . Then we can partition  $\phi$  into blocks of size  $i_1, i_2 - i_1, \dots, i_q - i_{q-1}, n - i_q$ . If we let  $\phi_l$  denote the  $l$ -th diagonal block in this partition, then each  $\phi_l$  has the properties that each of its diagonal entries is equal to  $a$ , and none of its first superdiagonal entries is identically 0.

Replacing  $\phi$  by  $\phi_l$  we may assume without loss of generality that  $\phi_{i, i+1}$  is not identically 0 for any  $i$ . After these reductions, we see that the theorem is trivially true when  $n = 1$  or  $2$ , so we assume without loss of generality that  $n \geq 3$ . If we expand out  $\phi_{i, i+2}(xy)$  using the fact that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism and  $\phi_{ij} = 0$  for  $i > j$ , we obtain

$$(27) \quad \phi_{i, i+2}(xy) = \phi_{ii}(x)\phi_{i, i+2}(y) + \phi_{i, i+1}(x)\phi_{i+1, i+2}(y) + \phi_{i, i+2}(x)\phi_{i+2, i+2}(y)$$

and a similar equation for  $\phi_{i, i+2}(yx)$ . Substituting  $\phi_{ii} = \phi_{i+2, i+2}$  and using the fact that  $\phi(xy) = \phi(yx)$ , we can simplify the resulting equations to obtain

$$(28) \quad \phi_{i, i+1}(x)\phi_{i+1, i+2}(y) = \phi_{i, i+1}(y)\phi_{i+1, i+2}(x)$$

for all  $x, y \in K$ . If we choose  $y$  such that  $\phi_{i, i+1}(y) \neq 0$ , then we have that  $\phi_{i+1, i+2}(x) = \alpha_i \phi_{i, i+1}(x)$  for all  $x \in K$ , where  $\alpha_i = \phi_{i+1, i+2}(y)/\phi_{i, i+1}(y)$ . Note also that  $\alpha_i \neq 0$  for any  $i$  since we know that  $\phi_{i+1, i+2}$  is not identically 0.

Let  $b = \phi_{12}$  and let  $\beta_i = \prod_{j \leq i} \alpha_j$ , so that

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & & & * \\ 0 & a & \beta_1 b & & \\ \vdots & 0 & a & \ddots & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \beta_{n-2} b \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Choose  $y \in K$  with  $b(y) \neq 0$ . An elementary calculation shows that  $(\phi(y) - a(y)I_n)^{n-1}$  is the matrix whose only nonzero entry is  $\beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-2} b(y)^{n-1}$  in its  $(1, n)$ -position. This shows that the minimal polynomial for  $\phi(y)$  is  $(X - a(y))^n$ , so that

the Jordan canonical form for  $\phi(y)$  is a single block of size  $n$ . If  $P \in GL_n(K)$  is such that  $P\phi(y)P^{-1}$  is in Jordan canonical form, then Theorem 5.1 shows that  $P\phi(x)P^{-1}$  is an upper triangular Toeplitz matrix with diagonal equal to  $a(x)$  for all  $x \in K$ . Thus there exists a higher derivation  $\mathbf{d}$  such that  $P\phi P^{-1} = \phi(\mathbf{d})$ . This shows that  $\phi$  is equivalent to a matrix of the form (26).  $\square$

One may ask under what circumstances it is possible to obtain the best of both worlds: That is, when can we conclude that  $\phi$  is equivalent to an upper triangular representation as in (26), and also have each  $A_{ij}$  be a generalized upper triangular Toeplitz matrix as in Theorem 5.1? Since the Toeplitz condition arises out of commuting with a matrix in Jordan canonical form, the following would be a sufficient condition:

- (\*) Given a homomorphism  $\phi$ , there exists  $y \in K$  and  $P \in GL_n(K)$  such that  $P\phi(y)P^{-1}$  is in Jordan canonical form and  $P\phi(x)P^{-1}$  is upper triangular for all  $x \in K$ .

If  $\phi$  is an upper triangular homomorphism, then of course condition (\*) is satisfied if there exists  $y \in K$  and an upper triangular  $P \in GL_n(K)$  such that  $P\phi(y)P^{-1}$  is in Jordan canonical form.

Condition (\*) is not automatic for a given  $y$  and  $\phi$ . The following example illustrates that, given  $y$ , there may be no  $P$  such that  $P\phi(y)P^{-1}$  is in Jordan canonical form and  $P\phi(x)P^{-1}$  is upper triangular.

**Example 5.5.** Let  $\mathbf{d} = \{d_0, d_1, d_2\}$  be a 2-derivation, and assume that  $d_1 \neq 0$  and that there exists a  $y \in K$  such that  $d_1(y) = 0$ ,  $d_2(y) \neq 0$ . Define  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_3(K)$  by

$$\phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} d_0(x) & d_2(x) & d_1(x) \\ 0 & d_0(x) & 0 \\ 0 & d_1(x) & d_0(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We claim there does not exist a basis in which  $\phi(y)$  has Jordan canonical form and the image of  $\phi$  is upper triangular. To establish this claim, we describe every  $P \in GL_3(K)$  in which the image of  $P\phi P^{-1}$  is upper triangular, and show that  $P\phi(y)P^{-1}$  is not in Jordan canonical form for any such  $P$ .

Since  $d_1 \neq 0$ , it is not hard to see that the only simultaneous eigenvectors for  $\text{im } \phi$  are in  $W = \text{span}(0, 1, 0)$ . Similarly, the only simultaneous eigenvectors for  $\text{im } \phi$  acting on  $K^3/W$  are in  $\text{span}\{(0, 0, 1) + W\}$ . From this we conclude that, if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis with  $\text{im } P\phi P^{-1}$  upper triangular, then

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(0, f_1, 0), (0, f_2, f_3), (f_4, f_5, f_6) : f_1, f_3, f_4 \neq 0\}.$$

For such a basis  $\mathcal{B}$ , we have

$$P\phi(x)P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d_0(x) & \frac{f_4}{f_3}d_1(x) & \left(\frac{f_6 f_3 - f_4 f_2}{f_1 f_3}\right)d_1(x) + \frac{f_4}{f_1}d_2(x) \\ 0 & d_0(x) & \frac{f_3}{f_1}d_1(x) \\ 0 & 0 & d_0(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By construction,  $P\phi(y)P^{-1}$  is not in Jordan canonical form.

Note that higher derivations satisfying the given hypotheses do exist. For example, let  $K$  be the quotient field of  $k[x, y, z]/(xy - z^2)$ , where  $k$  is a field of characteristic 2. In [7, Example 1.2 and Theorem 1.5], a nontrivial  $\mathbf{d} \in HS_2(K)$  is constructed such that  $d_1(x - z) = 0$  and  $d_2(x - z) = x$ .  $\square$

**Definition 5.6.** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  upper triangular matrix with single eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , and let the Jordan canonical form of  $A$  have block sizes  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_p$ . For each  $i \leq n$ , let  $A_i$  be the matrix consisting of the first  $i$  rows and columns of  $A$ . We say that  $A$  is *Jordan-ordered* if, for all  $i \leq n$ , the dimension of the eigenspace of  $A_i$  is  $j$ , where  $j$  is the smallest integer such that  $n_1 + \cdots + n_j \geq i$ .

**Example 5.7.** Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ , so that the Jordan canonical form of  $A$  has blocks of size 2 and 1. Then  $A$  is not Jordan-ordered, because the dimension of the eigenspace of  $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$  is 2 and not 1.  $\square$

It is not hard to see that, if  $A$  is in Jordan canonical form, then  $A$  is Jordan-ordered if and only if the Jordan blocks of  $A$  are arranged in decreasing size.

The following is our main result concerning Jordan-ordered matrices.

**Theorem 5.8.** *If  $A \in M_n(K)$  is Jordan-ordered, then there exists an upper triangular  $P \in GL_n(K)$  such that  $PAP^{-1}$  is Jordan-ordered and is in Jordan canonical form.*

We begin with a preliminary lemma.

**Lemma 5.9.** *Suppose that  $A \in M_{n+1}(K)$  has a single eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of multiplicity  $n$ . If*

$$(29) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} & & & a_1 \\ & B & & \vdots \\ & & & a_n \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $B \in M_n(K)$  in Jordan canonical form, then there exists an upper triangular  $P \in GL_n(K)$  such that  $PAP^{-1}$  is in Jordan canonical form.

*Proof.* Since  $A$  has the single eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , the Jordan canonical form for  $A$  must be

$$(30) \quad \begin{pmatrix} & & & 0 \\ & B & & \vdots \\ & & & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $a = 0$  or  $1$ . We give the proof when  $a = 1$ , the case  $a = 0$  being similar and left to the reader.

Let  $E_A$  denote the eigenspace of  $A$  and suppose that  $\dim E_A = m + 1$ . Since  $e_{n+1} \in E_A$ , we can take a basis for  $E_A$  containing it; moreover elementary calculations then allow us to assume that the final entry of all other basis elements is 0. Thus  $E_A$  has a basis of the form

$$\{(0, \dots, 0, 1), (c_{11}, \dots, c_{1n}, 0), \dots, (c_{m1}, \dots, c_{mn}, 0)\}.$$

Since the last  $m$  of these vectors are eigenvectors for  $A$ , we see that  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  must be a solution to the system of equations

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} c_{11}x_1 + \dots + c_{1n}x_n &= 0 \\ &\vdots \\ c_{m1}x_1 + \dots + c_{mn}x_n &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\{(c_{11}, \dots, c_{1n}), \dots, (c_{m1}, \dots, c_{mn})\}$$

is a set of  $m$  linearly independent eigenvectors of  $B$ . Because  $a = 1$  we see that the dimension of the eigenspace  $E_B$  of  $B$  is also  $m + 1$ , and we note that  $e_n \in E_B$ . Since  $B$  is in Jordan canonical form, the matrix

$$(32) \quad \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & \dots & c_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{m1} & \dots & c_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$$

has  $n - m - 1$  of its columns equal to 0, and its final column cannot be equal to 0 since  $e_n$  is an eigenvector for  $B$ . Thus (31) can be viewed as a system of  $m$  equations in  $m + 1$  variables, say  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{m+1}} = x_n$ . Since the rows of (32) are linearly independent, some subset of  $m$  columns of (32) is linearly independent. Thus the solution space of (31) is 1-dimensional. On the other hand, since  $A$  has the given Jordan canonical form,  $(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{m+1}}) = (0, 0, \dots, 1)$  must be a solution to (31). Thus we conclude that  $(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_{m+1}}) = (0, 0, \dots, c)$  for some  $c \in K$ .

Consider the system

$$(\lambda I_n - B) \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $B$  is in Jordan canonical form, the image of left multiplication by  $\lambda I_n - B$  has each of its  $i_1, \dots, i_{m+1}$ -components equal to 0, and also has dimension  $n - m - 1$ . Since  $a_{i_1} = \dots = a_{i_m} = 0$ , we see that there is a solution  $y_1 = b_1, \dots, y_n = b_n$ . Let  $\vec{b}$  be the column vector  $(b_1, \dots, b_n)^T$ ; then an elementary calculation shows that, if  $P = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & \vec{b} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL_{n+1}(K)$ , then

$$PAP^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 0 \\ & & & \vdots \\ & B & & 0 \\ & & & c \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows, since the Jordan canonical form for  $A$  is (30), that  $c \neq 0$ . Conjugating by  $\text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 1/c)$  finishes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.8.* We proceed by induction on  $n$ , the case  $n = 1$  being trivial. Since  $A$  is upper triangular,  $e_n$  is an eigenvector for  $A$ . If  $A_{n-1}$  denotes the matrix obtained by deleting the last row and column from  $A$ , then by induction there exists an upper triangular  $Q \in GL_{n-1}(K)$  such that  $QA_{n-1}Q^{-1}$  is Jordan-ordered and

in Jordan canonical form. Let  $R = \begin{pmatrix} Q & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL_n(K)$ ; conjugating  $A$  by  $R$  then gives

$$RAR^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & a_1 \\ & B & & \vdots \\ & & & a_n \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $B$  is the Jordan ordered, Jordan canonical form for  $A_{n-1}$ .

Let the Jordan canonical form for  $A$  have blocks of sizes  $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_p$ . If  $n_p = 1$ , then  $B$  has blocks of sizes  $n_1, \dots, n_{p-1}$ , and the Jordan canonical form for  $A$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ . By Lemma 5.9, there is an upper triangular  $T \in GL_n(K)$  with  $TRAR^{-1}T^{-1}$  Jordan-ordered and in Jordan canonical form. Thus the theorem follows with  $P = TR$  in this case.

If  $n_p > 1$ , then  $B$  has blocks of sizes  $n_1, \dots, n_{p-1}, n_p - 1$  and the block of size  $n_p - 1$  occurs at the bottom of  $B$ . Thus the Jordan canonical form for  $A$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & & 0 \\ & B & & \vdots \\ & & & 0 \\ & & & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

and again letting  $T$  be as in Lemma 5.9, we see that  $PAP^{-1}$  is Jordan-ordered and in Jordan canonical form for  $P = TR$ .  $\square$

Combining Theorems 5.4 and 5.8, we can state a sufficient condition for a homomorphism  $\phi : K \rightarrow M_n(K)$  to be equivalent to an upper triangular homomorphism which is generalized upper triangular Toeplitz. We state the result in the case where  $\phi$  is  $a$ -homogenous for some  $a : K \rightarrow K$ .

**Corollary 5.10.** *Let  $\phi$  be  $a$ -homogeneous, and let  $\psi \sim \phi$ , where  $\psi$  is a homomorphism in the form (26). If  $\psi(y)$  is Jordan-ordered for some  $y \in K$ , then*

$$(33) \quad \phi \sim \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \dots & T_{1s} \\ 0 & T_{22} & \dots & T_{2s} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & T_{ss} \end{pmatrix}$$

where each  $T_{ij}(x)$  is generalized upper triangular Toeplitz.

In particular there exist higher derivations  $\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_s$  such that  $T_{ii} = \phi(\mathbf{d}_i)$ , although these derivations may be different than those in (26).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Methods of Representation Theory with Applications to Finite Groups and Orders*, Vol. 1, Wiley Classics Library, Wiley, New York, 1990.
- [2] F. R. Gantmacher, *The Theory of Matrices*, Vol. 1, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1960.
- [3] J. E. Humphreys, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **73**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.

- [4] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **8**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [5] D. Patrick, *Noncommutative symmetric algebras of two-sided vector spaces*, J. Algebra **233** (2000), 16–36.
- [6] D. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory I*, Algebraic K-Theory, Batelle, 1972 (H. Bass, ed.) Lecture Notes in Mathematics **341**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973, 85–147.
- [7] W. N. Traves, *Tight closure and differential simplicity*, J. Algebra **228** (2000), 457–476.
- [8] M. Van den Bergh, *Non-commutative  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles over commutative schemes*, submitted.
- [9] M. Van den Bergh, *A translation principle for the four-dimensional Sklyanin algebras*, J. Algebra **184** (1996), 435–490.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA, MISSOULA, MT 59812-0864  
*E-mail address:* `NymanA@mso.umt.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAYLOR UNIVERSITY, WACO, TX 76798  
*E-mail address:* `Chris.Pappacena@baylor.edu`