

Corners of multidimensional numerical ranges

S. Shkarin

Queen's University Belfast, Department of Pure Mathematics
University road, Belfast BT7 1NN, UK
e-mail: s.shkarin@qub.ac.uk

Abstract

The n -dimensional numerical range of a densely defined linear operator T on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is the set of vectors in \mathbb{C}^n of the form $(\langle Te_1, e_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle Te_n, e_n \rangle)$, where e_1, \dots, e_n is an orthonormal system in \mathcal{H} , consisting of vectors from the domain of T . We prove that the components of every corner point of the n -dimensional numerical range are eigenvalues of T .

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper \mathcal{H} is a complex Hilbert space, \mathbb{C} is the field of complex numbers, \mathbb{R} is the field of real numbers and \mathbb{N} is the set of positive integers. A *densely defined linear operator* on \mathcal{H} is a linear operator taking values in \mathcal{H} and defined on a dense linear subspace D_T called the *domain* of T . We denote the set of densely defined linear operators on \mathcal{H} by $\mathcal{L}(H)$. Symbol $L(\mathcal{H})$ stands for the algebra of bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} .

DEFINITION 1. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{E}(n, T)$ be the set of orthonormal systems $\mathbf{e} = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in \mathcal{H} such that $e_j \in D_T$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. The *n -dimensional numerical range* of T is the set

$$\mathcal{W}_n(T) = \{\tau(T, \mathbf{e}) : \mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}(n, T)\}, \quad \text{where } \tau(T, \mathbf{e}) = (\langle Te_1, e_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle Te_n, e_n \rangle) \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{W}_n(T)$ is a subset of \mathbb{C}^n . Clearly the 1-dimensional numerical range of $T \in L(\mathcal{H})$ is exactly the conventional numerical range. This and related numerical range type concepts were studied in [2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10]. We would like to mention the following elementary properties of $\mathcal{W}_n(T)$.

- (E0) $\mathcal{W}_n(T) = \emptyset$ if and only if $\dim \mathcal{H} < n$;
- (E1) The set $\mathcal{W}_n(T)$ is permutation invariant. That is, for any permutation $\pi \in S_n$, $U_\pi(\mathcal{W}_n(T)) = \mathcal{W}_n(T)$, where $U_\pi : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is the linear map such that $U_\pi e_k = e_{\pi(k)}$, $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ being the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^n ;
- (E2) Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, \mathcal{H}_0 be a closed linear subspace of \mathcal{H} and P be the orthoprojection onto \mathcal{H}_0 . Suppose also that $L = D_T \cap \mathcal{H}_0$ is dense in \mathcal{H}_0 and T_0 be the operator on \mathcal{H}_0 with the domain $D_{T_0} = L$ defined by the formula $T_0 x = PTx$. Then $\mathcal{W}_n(T_0) \subseteq \mathcal{W}_n(T)$ for each n ;
- (E3) Let $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $\pi_k : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ be the restriction operator: $\pi_k(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (z_1, \dots, z_k)$. Then $\pi_k(\mathcal{W}_n(T)) = \mathcal{W}_k(T)$ for any $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\dim \mathcal{H} \geq n$.

We always assume that \mathbb{C}^n is equipped with the standard inner product $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j \bar{v}_j$ and the $|\cdot|$ stands for the Euclidean norm: $|u| = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle}$. We recall the definition of corner points.

DEFINITION 2. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and $u \in \Lambda$. Then u is called a *corner point* of Λ or simply a *corner* of Λ if there exist $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$ and non-zero $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\frac{\langle v - u, w \rangle}{|v - u| \cdot |w|} \geq \delta, \quad \text{whenever } v \in \Lambda \text{ and } |v - u| < \varepsilon.$$

We are going to use the following slightly weaker property.

DEFINITION 3. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and $u \in \Lambda$. We say that u is a *pseudocorner* of Λ if there is no $\varphi \in C^1([-a, a], \mathbb{C}^n)$, $a > 0$ such that $\varphi([-a, a]) \subseteq \Lambda$, $\varphi(0) = u$ and $\varphi'(0) \neq 0$.

Clearly any pseudocorner is a corner. It is worth noting that u is not a pseudocorner of Λ if and only if there exists a diffeomorphism $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $F(u)$ is the center of an interval contained in $F(\Lambda)$.

THEOREM 1.1. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudocorner of $\mathcal{W}_n(T)$ and $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}(n, T)$ be such that $\tau(T, \mathbf{e}) = \lambda$. Then $Te_j = \lambda_j e_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. In particular, λ_j are eigenvalues of T .

The Donoghue theorem [1] says that any corner point of the numerical range of $T \in L(\mathcal{H})$ is an eigenvalue of T . This result was strengthened by Sims [3], who showed that the condition to be a corner point of the numerical range can be replaced by the weaker condition to be a boundary point of the numerical range such that the curvature of the boundary in this point is infinite. One can easily verify that such a point is a pseudocorner. This means that Theorem 1.1 for the case $n = 1$ and T bounded is exactly the Sims theorem. Thus, Theorem 1.1 generalizes the Donoghue and the Sims theorems in two directions: for unbounded operators and for multidimensional numerical ranges.

The case of corner points of the closure of the numerical range of $T \in L(\mathcal{H})$, which do not belong to the numerical range itself was considered by Hübner [6], who proved that such points are approximate eigenvalues of T . We generalize this result for multidimensional numerical ranges.

THEOREM 1.2. Let $T \in L(\mathcal{H})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudocorner of $\overline{\mathcal{W}_n(T)}$. Then λ_j are approximate eigenvalues of T .

2 Auxiliary lemmas

LEMMA 2.1. Let X be a dense linear subspace of \mathcal{H} and Y be a closed linear subspace of \mathcal{H} such that $\dim Y^\perp = n < \infty$. Then $X \cap Y$ is dense in Y .

Proof. Let P be the orthoprojection in \mathcal{H} onto Y^\perp . Since X is dense in \mathcal{H} , we see that $P(X)$ is dense in Y^\perp . Taking into account that any dense linear subspace of a finite dimensional Hausdorff topological vector space coincides with the entire space, we have $P(X) = Y^\perp$. Therefore, we can choose an n -dimensional linear subspace $L \subset X$ such that $P(L) = Y^\perp$. Then \mathcal{H} is the (non-orthogonal) direct sum of L and Y . Since $L \subset X$, we see that X is the direct sum of L and $X \cap Y$. Since L is finite dimensional, we obtain

$$L \oplus Y = \mathcal{H} = \overline{X} = \overline{L \oplus X \cap Y} = L \oplus \overline{X \cap Y}.$$

This is possible only if $\overline{X \cap Y} = Y$. \square

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudocorner of $\mathcal{W}_n(T)$ and $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}(n, T)$ be such that $\tau(T, \mathbf{e}) = \lambda$. Let also $L = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Then $T(L) \subseteq L$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $Te_j \in L$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. For any $u \in D_T \cap L^\perp$ with $\|u\| = 1$ consider the map $\varepsilon_j : [-1/2, 1/2] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by the formula $\varepsilon_j(t) = tu + (1 - t^2)^{1/2}e_j$. For $t \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ denote

$$\mathbf{e}^t = \{e_1, \dots, e_{j-1}, \varepsilon_j(t), e_{j+1}, \dots, e_n\}.$$

Clearly \mathbf{e}^t is an orthonormal system in \mathcal{H} , whose elements belong to D_T . Thus, the map

$$\varphi : [-1/2, 1/2] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \varphi(t) = \tau(T, \mathbf{e}^t)$$

takes values in $\mathcal{W}_n(T)$. From the definition of φ it follows that φ is infinitely differentiable. Indeed, any φ_k for $k \neq j$ are constants and

$$\varphi_j(t) = \langle T\varepsilon_j(t), \varepsilon_j(t) \rangle = t^2 \langle Tu, u \rangle + (1 - t^2) \langle Te_j, e_j \rangle + t(1 - t^2)^{1/2} (\langle Tu, e_j \rangle + \langle Te_j, u \rangle).$$

Differentiating the last display, we see

$$\varphi'_j(0) = \langle Tu, e_j \rangle + \langle Te_j, u \rangle.$$

On the other hand since $\lambda = \varphi(0)$ is a pseudocorner of $\mathcal{W}_n(T)$, we have $\varphi'(0) = 0$. Hence

$$\langle Tu, e_j \rangle + \langle Te_j, u \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for each } u \in D_T \cap L^\perp. \quad (1)$$

Substituting iu instead of u into (1), we obtain

$$\langle Tu, e_j \rangle - \langle Te_j, u \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for each } u \in D_T \cap L^\perp. \quad (2)$$

Subtracting (2) from (1), we get

$$\langle Te_j, u \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for each } u \in D_T \cap L^\perp. \quad (3)$$

According to Lemma 2.1, $D_T \cap L^\perp$ is dense in L^\perp . Therefore (3) implies that $\langle Te_j, u \rangle = 0$ for each $u \in L^\perp$. That is, $e_j \in (L^\perp)^\perp = L$. \square

The following lemma is an approximate version of Lemma 2.2. Unfortunately it fails for general unbounded operators.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $T \in L(\mathcal{H})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudocorner of $\overline{\mathcal{W}_n(T)}$, $\{\lambda^m = (\lambda_1^m, \dots, \lambda_n^m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements of $\mathcal{W}_n(T)$, converging to λ , $\{\mathbf{e}^m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements of $\mathcal{E}(n, T)$ such that $\tau(T, \mathbf{e}^m) = \lambda^m$ and $L_m = \text{span}\{e_1^m, \dots, e_n^m\}$. Then $\text{dist}(Te_j^m, L_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary. Then there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\text{dist}(Te_j^m, L_m) \not\rightarrow 0$. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\text{dist}(Te_j^m, L_m) \geq \varepsilon$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we can choose $v_m \in L_m^\perp$ such that $\|v_m\| = 1$ and $|\langle Te_j^m, v_m \rangle| \geq \varepsilon$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$\max\{|\langle Te_j^m, v_m \rangle + \langle Tv_m, e_j^m \rangle|, |\langle Te_j^m, v_m \rangle - \langle Tv_m, e_j^m \rangle|\} \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for each } m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4)$$

Denote

$$u_m = \begin{cases} v_m & \text{if } |\langle Te_j^m, v_m \rangle + \langle Tv_m, e_j^m \rangle| \geq \varepsilon; \\ iv_m & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From (4) it follows that

$$|\langle Te_j^m, u_m \rangle + \langle Tu_m, e_j^m \rangle| \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for each } m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5)$$

For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the map $\varepsilon_j^m : [-1/2, 1/2] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by the formula $\varepsilon_j^m(t) = tu_m + (1 - t^2)^{1/2}e_j$. For $t \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ denote

$$\mathbf{e}^{m,t} = \{e_1, \dots, e_{j-1}, \varepsilon_j^m(t), e_{j+1}, \dots, e_n\}.$$

Clearly $\mathbf{e}^{m,t}$ is an orthonormal system in \mathcal{H} . Thus, the map

$$\varphi^m : [-1/2, 1/2] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \varphi^m(t) = \tau(T, \mathbf{e}^{m,t})$$

takes values in $\mathcal{W}_n(T)$. Taking into account that T is bounded, we see that for any $k = 0, 1, \dots$, there exists $c_k > 0$ such that $|(\varphi^m)^{(k)}(t)| \leq c_k$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $t \in [-1/2, 1/2]$. Since the Fréchet space $\mathcal{E} = C^\infty([-1/2, 1/2], \mathbb{C}^n)$ is a Fréchet–Montel space, see for instance [9], the sequence φ^m has a subsequence, φ^{m_k} , converging in the Fréchet space \mathcal{E} to $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}$. Then φ takes values in $\overline{\mathcal{W}_n(T)}$. As in the proof of the previous lemma,

$$(\varphi_j^m)'(0) = \langle Tu_m, e_j \rangle + \langle Te_j, u_m \rangle.$$

According to (5), $|(\varphi_j^m)'(0)| \geq \varepsilon$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $|\varphi_j'(0)| \geq \varepsilon$. In particular $\varphi'(0) \neq 0$. On the other hand $\varphi^m(0) = \lambda^m \rightarrow \lambda$ and therefore $\varphi(0) = \lambda$. This means that λ is not a pseudocorner of $\overline{\mathcal{W}_n(T)}$. We have arrived to a contradiction. \square

The following lemma is Theorem 1.1 for the particular case when the dimension of \mathcal{H} equals n .

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $\dim \mathcal{H} = n \in \mathbb{N}$, $T \in L(\mathcal{H})$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudocorner of $\overline{\mathcal{W}_n(T)}$ and $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}(n, T)$ be such that $\tau(T, \mathbf{e}) = \lambda$. Then $Te_j = \lambda_j e_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.*

Proof. Since $\langle Te_j, e_j \rangle = \lambda_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, it suffices to verify that $\langle Te_j, e_k \rangle = 0$ for $1 \leq j, k \leq n$, $j \neq k$. Suppose the contrary. Then there exist different $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\langle Te_j, e_k \rangle \neq 0$. Choose $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|e^{-i\alpha} \langle Te_j, e_k \rangle + e^{i\alpha} \langle Te_k, e_j \rangle| = |\langle Te_j, e_k \rangle| + |\langle Te_k, e_j \rangle|.$$

Then

$$|e^{-i\alpha} \langle Te_j, e_k \rangle + e^{i\alpha} \langle Te_k, e_j \rangle| = c > 0. \quad (6)$$

For each $t \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ consider the orthonormal basis $\mathbf{e}^t = \{e_1^t, \dots, e_n^t\}$ in \mathcal{H} defined by the formula

$$e_l^t = \begin{cases} e_l & \text{if } l \neq j \text{ and } l \neq k; \\ (1 - t^2)^{1/2}e_j + e^{i\alpha}te_k & \text{if } l = j; \\ (1 - t^2)^{1/2}e_k - e^{-i\alpha}te_j & \text{if } l = k. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, the function $\varphi : [-1/2, 1/2] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, $\varphi(t) = \tau(T, \mathbf{e}^t)$ is infinitely differentiable, takes values in $\mathcal{W}_n(T)$ and $\varphi(0) = \lambda$. Differentiating with respect to t the expression $\langle Te_j^t, e_j^t \rangle$, we obtain

$$\varphi_j'(0) = e^{-i\alpha} \langle Te_j, e_k \rangle + e^{i\alpha} \langle Te_k, e_j \rangle.$$

According to (6), $\varphi_j'(0) \neq 0$ and therefore $\varphi'(0) \neq 0$. Since $\varphi(0) = \lambda$, the point λ is not a pseudocorner of $\mathcal{W}_n(T)$. This contradiction completes the proof. \square

3 Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudocorner of $\mathcal{W}_n(T)$, $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}(n, T)$ be such that $\tau(T, \mathbf{e}) = \lambda$ and $\mathcal{H}_0 = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. According to Lemma 2.2, $T(\mathcal{H}_0) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0$. Let $T_0 \in L(\mathcal{H}_0)$ be the restriction of T to \mathcal{H}_0 . Taking (E2) into account, we see that $\mathcal{W}_n(T_0) \subset \mathcal{W}_n(T)$. Since $\lambda = \tau(T, \mathbf{e}) = \tau(T_0, \mathbf{e}) \in \mathcal{W}(T_0)$, we see that λ is a pseudocorner of $\mathcal{W}(T_0)$. From Lemma 2.4 it follows that $Te_j = T_0e_j = \lambda_j e_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

Let $T \in L(\mathcal{H})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudocorner of $\overline{\mathcal{W}_n(T)}$. Pick a sequence $\lambda^m = (\lambda_1^m, \dots, \lambda_n^m)$ of elements of $\mathcal{W}_n(T)$ converging to λ and a sequence $\mathbf{e}^m = (e_1^m, \dots, e_n^m)$ of elements of $\mathcal{E}(n, T)$ such that $\tau(T, \mathbf{e}^m) = \lambda^m$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $L_m = \text{span}\{e_1^m, \dots, e_n^m\}$, P_m be the orthoprojection onto L_m and $T_m \in L(L_m)$ be operators defined by the formulas $T_m x = P_m T x$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\{t_{j,k}^m\}_{j,k=1}^n$ be the matrix of T_m with respect to the orthonormal basis \mathbf{e}^m . Since T is bounded there exists $c > 0$ such that $\|T_m\| \leq c$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore the set $\{t_{j,k}^m : m \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq j, k \leq n\}$ is bounded. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that $t_{j,k}^m \rightarrow t_{j,k} \in \mathbb{C}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for $1 \leq j, k \leq n$. Consider the operator T_0 with the matrix $\{t_{j,k}\}_{j,k=1}^n$ acting on $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{C}^n$ and let $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^n . Since $t_{j,k}^m \rightarrow t_{j,k} \in \mathbb{C}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $\mathcal{W}_n(T_0) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{W}_n(T)}$ and $\tau(T_0, \mathbf{e}) = \lambda$. Since λ is a pseudocorner of $\overline{\mathcal{W}_n(T)}$, it is also a pseudocorner of $\mathcal{W}_n(T_0)$. According to Lemma 2.4, the matrix $\{t_{j,k}\}_{j,k=1}^n$ is diagonal with $t_{j,j} = \lambda_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. According to Lemma 2.3 $\text{dist}(e_j^m, L_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Since additionally the sequence $\{t_{j,k}^m\}_{j,k=1}^n$ converges to the diagonal matrix with the numbers λ_j on the diagonal, this means that $\|Te_j^m - \lambda_j e_j^m\| = \|T^m e_j^m - \lambda_j e_j^m\| \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. It follows that λ_j are approximate eigenvalues of T . The proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete.

Acknowledgements. Supported by British Engineering and Physical Research Council Grant GR/T25552/01.

References

- [1] W. Donoghue, *On the numerical range of a bounded operator*, *Michigan Math. J.* **4** (1957), 261–263
- [2] N. Tsing, *On the shape of generalized numerical ranges*, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **10** (1981), 173–182
- [3] B. Sims, *On a connection between the numerical range and spectrum of an operator on a Hilbert space*, *J. London Math. Soc.* **8** (1974), 57–59
- [4] C. Li and N. Tsing, *On the k th matrix numerical range*, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **28** (1991), 229–239
- [5] W. Cheung and N. Tsing, *The C -numerical range of matrices is star-shaped*, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **41** (1996), 245–250
- [6] M. Hübner, *Spectrum where the boundary is not round*, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **25** (1995), 1351–1355
- [7] M. Markus and I. Filipenko, *Nondifferentiable boundary points of higher numerical range*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **21** (1978), 217–232
- [8] N. Bebiano, *Nondifferentiable points of $\partial W_c(A)$* , *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **19** (1986), 249–257
- [9] H. Schäfer, *Topological Vector Spaces*, Macmillan, New York, 1966
- [10] Yu. Safarov, *Birkhoff's theorem and multidimensional numerical range*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **222** (2005), 61–97