

Extreme spin-orbit coupling in Hermite-Gaussian beams in a uniaxial crystal

T. Fadeyeva, K. Kotlyarov, A. Volyar

Taurida National University, 95007, Ukraine, Simferopol, Vernadsky av. 4
e-mail: volyar@crimea.edu

Transformation of the spin and orbital angular momentum of Hermite-Gaussian beams of a complex and real argument propagating through a uniaxial crystal is considered. We revealed that the spin and orbital angular momentum of the complex argument HG beam experience a sharp splash whereas such an intense conversion process is not inherent in a real argument HG beams. The reasons and conditions of the resonance effect are brought to light.

OCIS Codes: 260.0260, 260.1180, 260.6042

It is well known that Hermite-Gaussian beams (HG) propagating through free space or a homogeneous isotropic medium cannot carry over an orbital angular momentum (OAM) [1] while their spin angular momentum (SAM) is defined by the initial polarization states [2]. On the other hand, a traditional lens converter [3] enables us to transform HG beam into a singular one bearing optical vortices that possess the OAM. However, presence of optical vortices in the beam is not the exclusive requirement. For example, even a fundamental Gaussian beam subjected to an astigmatic transformation gains also the OAM [4]. Transmitting through a homogeneous isotropic medium, light beam changes neither the OAM nor SAM. Absolutely other situation appears in a non-homogeneous isotropic medium, for example, in an optical fiber [5, 6]. Polarization transformations and change of a vortex structure in the optical fiber are controlled by a spin-orbital coupling, a total angular momentum flux along the fiber axis being conserved. A sharp gradient of a refractive index causes an intense spin-orbit coupling resulting in essential field transformations such as, for example, a transverse shift of the reflected and refracted beams on the boundary face of two homogeneous isotropic media [7].

A circularly polarized Gaussian beam propagating along the optical axis of a homogeneous uniaxial crystal gets also the OAM owing to nucleation of a double-charged optical vortex in the orthogonally polarized beam component [8,9]. Ciattoni et.al.[10] showed that such a vortex nucleation in an anisotropic medium is a result of a spin-orbit coupling on the stipulation that a total angular momentum flux along the crystal optical axis is conserved. A gradual beam depolarization in the Gaussian beam is accompanied by a slow growth of the OAM so that the OAM can reach a maximum value only at infinitely large crystal length. By analyzing this situation we supposed that an intense spin-orbit coupling at a relatively small crystal length can be in line with sharp intensity variations over the beam cross-section. Such characteristic features have a whole family of high-order beams:

Hermite-Gaussian, Laguerre-Gaussian, Bessel-Gaussian at alias.

The aim of this Letter is to consider intrinsic features of a spin-orbital coupling in Hermite-Gaussian beams propagating along the optical axis of a homogeneous uniaxial crystal and to bring to light the conditions for the resonance transformations of the spin and orbital AM.

Let us consider the propagation of a circularly polarized HG beam along the optical axis of a uniaxial crystal with a permittivity tensor in diagonal form: $\hat{\varepsilon} = \text{diag}(\varepsilon_o, \varepsilon_o, \varepsilon_3)$, where $n_o = \sqrt{\varepsilon_o}$ and $n_3 = \sqrt{\varepsilon_3}$ being the refractive indices along a major crystallographic axes (see Fig.1). The basic equation for the transverse components of the complex amplitudes $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\perp} = (\mathbf{e}_+ \tilde{E}_+ + \mathbf{e}_- \tilde{E}_-)$ (where \mathbf{e}_+ and \mathbf{e}_- being the unit vectors of a circularly polarized basis) of the paraxial beam field $\mathbf{E}_{\perp} = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\perp}(x, y, z) \exp(-ik_o z)$ (where $k_o = k_0 n_o$, k_0 stands for a wavenumber in free space) is presented in the paper [9]. Generally speaking, there are two types of HG beams [11]: the HG beams of a real argument $\mathcal{H}_{m,n}^e$ (or standard beams) and the HG




Fig.1 (on-line) Sketch of the beam propagation through the crystal and polarization filters.: P- a polarizer, B – a quarter-wave retarder, A – a transmittance axis of the polarizer, C – a unit vector of the crystal optical axis, e and o – crystallographic axes of the birefringent phase retarder and the intensity distributions of the circularly polarized components of the HG beam with $m=n=4$, $w_0 = 8 \mu\text{m}$; $z=4.7 \text{ mm}$

beams of a complex argument $e^{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n}$ (or elegant HG beams):

$$\mathcal{H}_{m,n}^{(o,e)}(x,y,z) = H_m(\sqrt{2}X/|\sigma_{o,e}|) \times H_n(\sqrt{2}Y/|\sigma_{o,e}|) e^{i(m+n)\Gamma_{o,e}} \Psi_0^{(o,e)}, \quad (2)$$

$$e^{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n}(x,y,z) = (\sqrt{\sigma_{o,e}})^{-m-n} \times H_m(X/\sqrt{\sigma_{o,e}}) H_n(Y/\sqrt{\sigma_{o,e}}) \Psi_0^{(o,e)}, \quad (3)$$

where $H_m(x)$ stands for a Hermite polynomial,

$$\Psi_0^{(o,e)} \exp\{-R^2\sigma_{o,e}\}/\sigma_{o,e}, \quad \sigma_o = 1 - iz/z_o, \\ z_o = k_o w_o^2/2, \quad \sigma_e = 1 - iz/z_e, \quad z_e = k_e w_o^2/2, \\ k_e = k_o n_e, \quad \tan \Gamma_{o,e} = z/z_{o,e}, \quad n_e \text{ being the}$$

refractive index of the extraordinary beam, w_o is a beam waist radius at the $z=0$ plane, indices o- and e- designate the ordinary or extraordinary beam in the crystal, respectively. We suppose that the electric field $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\perp}$ of the HG beam is right hand polarized at the $z=0$ plane:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\perp}^{(m,n)}(x,y,z=0) = \mathbf{e}_+ e^{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n}^{(o,e)}(x,y,z=0) \quad (\text{or}) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\perp}^{(m,n)}(x,y,z=0) = \mathbf{e}_+ e^{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n}^{(o,e)}(x,y,z=0)). \quad \text{A}$$

particular solution to the paraxial wave equation (1) in terms of $e^{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n}$ mode beams of a complex argument can be presented as

$$\tilde{E}_+ = e^{\mathcal{H}}_{+}^{(m,n)} = [e^{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n}^{(o)} + e^{\mathcal{H}}_{m,n}^{(e)}] / \sqrt{2}, \\ \tilde{E}_- = e^{\mathcal{H}}_{-}^{(m,n)} = \frac{(-w_o)^{m+n}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial^{m+n}}{\partial x^m \partial y^m} \mathcal{G}, \quad (4)$$

where $\mathcal{G} = -e^{i2\varphi} [(\sigma_o \Psi_o - \sigma_e \Psi_e)/R^2 + \Psi_o - \Psi_e]$,

$n_e = n_3 / n_o$. $R^2 = X^2 + Y^2$, $\tan \varphi = y/x$. The field equations for the standard \mathcal{H} -beams can be rewritten in terms of the complex amplitude $e^{\mathcal{H}}$ -beams by means of the expression

$$\mathcal{H}_{2n+s}(x) = a \sum_{k=0}^n 2^k / [(n-k)!(2k+s)!] e^{\mathcal{H}}_{2n+s}(x) \quad (5)$$

and $s = 0, 1$, $a = 2^{s/2} (2n+s)!$. The major difference between the HG beams of the real and complex argument is that the standard \mathcal{H} -beams do not change their structure when propagating in free space up to the scale. Structure of the elegant $e^{\mathcal{H}}$ -beam is radically transformed over all length of propagation. A little displacement of the beam cross-section from the initial plane $z=0$ results in vanishing edge dislocations in the $e^{\mathcal{H}}$ -beam while

the edge dislocations in the \mathcal{H} -beam are slightly shifted (see Fig. 2). As the $e^{\mathcal{H}}$ -beam propagates along the crystal, the beam field is concentrated around four symmetric spots (see the intensity distributions in the dotted frame in Fig.2) provided that $m=n$ regardless of the magnitude of the indices. However, the sizes of the spots decrease when enlarging the index value. At a large crystal length, the spots are modulated by a set of interference fringes. Naturally, such a beam reconstruction cannot but have an impact on the spin-orbit coupling.

In the paraxial approximation, the conservation law of the AM flux along the crystal optical axis can be presented as [10]

$$\ell_z(z) + s_z(z) = \ell_z(z=0) + s_z(z=0) = \text{const}, \quad (6)$$

where the OAM ℓ_z and the SAM s_z are

$$\ell_z = -iK \langle \mathbf{E} | \hat{\ell}_z | \mathbf{E}^* \rangle, \quad s_z = iK \int_{\infty} (E_+ E_+^* - E_- E_-^*) dS, \quad (7)$$

$K = \text{const}$, $\hat{\ell}_z = x\partial/\partial y - y\partial/\partial x$. Taking into account eqs (4), the forth integration of eqs (7) gives the expression for SAM and OAN in the $e^{\mathcal{H}}$ -beams:

$$s_z = A \cos(N\gamma), \quad \ell_z = 1 - s_z, \quad (9) \\ A = (1 + Z^2)^{-(m+n+1)/2} \quad N = (m+n+1), \quad \tan \gamma = Z, \\ Z = (1/z_o - 1/z_e)z/2. \quad \text{A similar expression for the}$$

real argument \mathcal{H} -beams has a cumbersome form and is not presented in the Letter. The above expressions are of a generalization of the expression (52) in the paper [10] obtained for a circularly polarized fundamental Gaussian beam. Notice that the SAM and OAM fluxes vanish for the linearly polarized initial beam. A set of curves shown in Fig.3 describes evolution of the SAM s_z and OAM ℓ_z along the crystal length. In contrast to a smooth




Fig. 2 Transformation of the circularly polarized components in the $e^{\mathcal{H}}_{10,10}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{10,10}$ beams along the LiNbO_3 crystal length, $w_o = 10 \mu\text{m}$




Fig.3 (on-line) Normalized SAM $s_z = S_z / K$ and OAM $l_z = L_z / K$ as functions of the length Z

curves for a Gaussian beam ($m=n=0$), the SAM and OAM of the complex argument $e\mathcal{H}$ -beams experience a sharp spike near an optimal crystal length $Z = Z_{opt}$ where the AM reaches the extreme value $s_z = s_{extr}$, $l_z = l_{extr}$. A magnitude of the AM splashes depends on the beam indices m and n : the more the index value, the more the modulus of the spin and orbital AM. However, a total AM is conserved. At the same time, the SAM and OAM for the real argument \mathcal{H} -beams have more smooth behavior. For example, the \mathcal{H} -beam with indices $m=n=50$ has the extreme OAM less than $l_{extr} < 1.2$ while the $e\mathcal{H}$ -beam even for the indices $m=n=10$ gains the extreme OAM $l_{extr} \approx 1.8$.

The equation for the optimal Z_{opt} can be derived from the requirement: $ds_z/dz = 0$. After a simple algebra we come to the characteristic equation: $\tan[(m+n+1)\arctan Z] = -Z$. The second root of this equation corresponds to the optimal crystal length $Z = Z_{opt}$. When enlarging the indices m and n , the optimal length Z_{opt} tends to zero: $Z_{opt} \ll 1$ and we can write approximate solution to the above equation: $(m+n+1)Z_{opt} - \pi \approx -Z_{opt}$, $m+n \gg 1$ or $Z_{opt} \approx \pi / (m+n+2)$. Fig.4,a illustrates the extreme magnitudes of the AM as a function of the indices $m=n$. Noteworthy that the extreme OAM reaches $l_{extr} \approx 2$ for $m > 40$ and then changes very slowly.

There are three physical processes in the crystal that underline the extreme spikes of OAM. First of all, when transmitting, high-order $e\mathcal{H}$ -beams are transformed in such a way that light forms only four symmetric maxima regardless of the beam indices. The second, a uniaxial crystal forms a non-uniformly polarized field distribution in the on-axis propagating beam consisting of interlaced rings of right- and left-hand circular polarization. Typical map of the field distribution in $e\mathcal{H}$ beam illustrates Fig.4,b. The left hand circular polarization




Fig. 4 (a) Extreme values of the SAM $s_z^{(extr)}$ and OAM $l_z^{(extr)}$ as a function of the index m : $m=n$, and (b) The map of the polarization state distribution on the background of the total intensity distribution in the $e\mathcal{H}_{m,n}$ beam with $m=n=10$, $Z=0.0785$.

positioned at the central parts of all four intensity maxima of the beam results in arising a black strip in the $e\mathcal{H}_+$ component in Fig.2 (the framed pictures). The left hand circular polarized component dominates in the beam i.e. the SAM changes a sign. The resonance condition corresponds to coinciding the radius of the intensity maxima of the beam and the radius of the ring with a left hand circular polarization. A conservation law of the total AM flux causes a slash of the OAM at the expense of a spin-orbit coupling in a homogeneous anisotropic medium of a uniaxial crystal.

The authors thanks E. Abramochkin for a fruitful discussion of the complex and real argument HG beams.

References

1. S. Franke-Arnold, L. Allen, M. Padgett. Advances in optical angular momentum. Laser & Photon. Rev. **2**, 299 (2008)
2. R. Bath. Phys. Rev. **50**, 115 (1936)
3. M. W. Beijersbergen, L. Allen, H. E. L. O. van der Veen, and J. P. Woerdman, Opt. Commun. **96**, 123 (1993)
4. Bekshaev A.Ya., Soskin M.S., Vasnetsov M.V. J. Opt. Soc. Amer. A. **20** (8), 1635(2003)
5. V. Liberman, B. Zel'dovich. Phys. Rev. A, **46**, 5199 (1992)
6. C.N. Alexeyev, A.V. Volyar, and M.A. Yavorsky. in Lasers, Optics and Electro-Optics Research Trends, (ed. L.I.Chen, 131 (2007).
7. K. Yu. Bliokh, Yu. P. Bliokh. Phys. Rev. E. **75** 066609-1-10 (2007)
8. A.Ciattoni, G.Cincotti, C. Palma. J. Opt. Soc Am. A, **20** 163 (2003)
9. A.Volyar, T. Fadeyeva. Optics and Spectroscopy. **101**, 297 (2006)
10. A.Ciattoni, G. Cincotti, C. Palma. Phys. Rev. E, **67**, 036618-1-10 (2003)
11. E. Zauderer. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **3**, 465 (1986)