

Recursive properties of Dirac and Metriplectic Dirac brackets with Applications

Sonnet Hung Q. Nguyen^a, Łukasz A. Turski^b

^a *Hanoi University of Science, Nguyen Trai 334, Hanoi, Vietnam.*

^b *Center for Theoretical Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, and Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Cardinal Wyszyński University, Al. Lotników 32/46, 02-668 Warsaw, Poland*

Abstract

In this article, we prove that Dirac brackets for Hamiltonian and non-Hamiltonian constrained systems can be derived recursively. We then study the applicability of that formulation in analysis of some interesting physical models. Particular attention is paid to feasibility of implementation code for Dirac brackets in Computer Algebra System and analytical techniques for inversion of triangular matrices.

Key words: Constrained dynamical systems, Dirac bracket, Constrained Hamiltonian dynamics, non-Hamiltonian dynamics, Dissipative dynamics, Metriplectic, Poisson structure, Dirac submanifold, Symplectic integration, Tridiagonal matrices, Mathematica.

PACS: 45.10.-b, 02.70.-c, 45.50.-j, 45.20.-Jj

1 Introduction

The fundamental notion in the Hamiltonian formulation of classical dynamics of particles and fields is the canonical Poisson bracket defined over the space of all differentiable functions of the phase space (of even dimension), such that: for each two phase space functions $f(q, p)$ and $g(q, p)$ where $(q, p) = (q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ denote generalized positions and momenta respectively,

Email addresses: hungnq_kvl@vnu.edu.vn, sonnet@impan.gov.pl (Sonnet Hung Q. Nguyen), laturski@cft.edu.pl (Łukasz A. Turski).

$$\{f, g\} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_k} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_k} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_k} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_k}. \quad (1.1)$$

This bracket is linear in each argument, skew-symmetric: $\{f, g\} = -\{g, f\}$, satisfies *Leibniz* identity: $\{f, g \cdot h\} = \{f, g\} \cdot h + g \cdot \{f, h\}$, *Jacobi* identity: $\{f, \{g, h\}\} + \{g, \{h, f\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\} = 0$ and is non-degenerate, i.e. if $\{f, g\} = 0$ for all g , then $f = \text{const}$. This canonical Poisson bracket equips the phase space with a symplectic structure [1]. The Hamiltonian dynamics is then determined by defining the proper Hamiltonian function \mathcal{H} . The evolution equation for any phase space function $f(q, p)$ reads then: $\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \{f, \mathcal{H}\}$.

In applications one often encounters a situation when the phase space dynamics is subject to certain *external* restricting conditions on the phase space variables called constraints. Often the constraints can be written in terms of some phase space functions $\phi_i(q, p) = 0$, and we will restrict our analysis to these cases only. The Hamiltonian formalism for such constrained systems requires modifications. These modifications have been first suggested by Dirac [2], and a brief account of the Dirac theory follows.

Let ϕ_i (with $i = 1, \dots, L$) denote all constraints for our Hamiltonian system. Those constraints can be divided into two classes by analyzing the $L \times L$ skew-symmetric matrix of their mutual Poisson brackets $A_{ij} = \{\phi_i, \phi_j\}$. Since A is skew-symmetric, its rank K must be even. We assume that after relabeling of the ϕ_i and/or redefining the constraints by taking their linear combinations (known as the Dirac separating constraints algorithm), the top left $K \times K$ submatrix of A , which we denote by W , is regular. The constraint functions $\phi_{K+1}, \dots, \phi_L$ are then called first class constraints, and are associated with local gauge symmetries [2], while ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_K are called second-class. In this work we will consider second-class constraints only, and for them we can introduce the *Dirac bracket* (DB)[2], of two phase space functions f, g :

$$\{f, g\}_D = \{f, g\} - \sum_{i,j=1}^K \{f, \phi_i\} (W^{-1})_{ij} \{\phi_j, g\}. \quad (1.2)$$

In the modern language of symplectic geometry, constrained Hamiltonian dynamics can be represented by a triplet (M, N, ω) where (M, ω) is a symplectic manifold, namely Phase space, and N is a constraint submanifold of M . The DB (1.2) is the Poisson bracket on a symplectic submanifold $N' \subset N$, called second-class constraint manifold [1,3,4,5].

Symplectic structure requires even dimensional manifolds and non-degenerate Poisson structure. Both these assumptions seem too restrictive and not always applicable. With the appearance of non-canonical Poisson structure (PS) in rigid body dynamics, theory of magnetism, infinite dimensional PS in magneto-hydrodynamics, etc. and issues of geometric quantization, systematic

studies of the general *Poisson bracket* (PB) which is a Lie bracket satisfying the Leibniz identity, has become important.

The fundamental geometric object in the description of any generalized Hamiltonian dynamics is a Poisson manifold. Geometrically, Poisson manifold is a manifold endowed with a bivector field π satisfying $[\pi, \pi] = 0$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the Schouten bracket[6] on multivector fields. Algebraically, M is a Poisson manifold if there is a Poisson bracket on the space of smooth functions defined on M . The Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ and the bivector field π determine each other [5,7] by the formula $\{f, g\} = \pi(df, dg)$. Both the geometric and algebraic characterization of Poisson manifolds are used in the literature.

In the analysis of the constrained systems dynamics it is of predominant importance to formulate it as a usual Poisson structure on a submanifold of a non-constrained system's Poisson manifold. The conditions under which the Poisson structure on a submanifold is achievable was investigated in [8,9] and the geometric derivation of the DB formula (1.2) via a procedure called geometric reduction of Poisson tensor was known [10].

In many of the important physical applications the systems described are not purely Hamiltonian but also dissipative. The description of such combined dissipative-hamiltonian dynamics can be formulated in various ways, however one of them seems to be particularly elegant and allows to incorporate in it many methods developed in purely symplectic dynamics. This method was introduced first in the phase transformation kinetics in [11] and then independently in [12,13] and called metriplectic. The main point in metriplectic formulation [13] is that a mixed bracket obtained by adding a symmetric bracket to the Poisson bracket can successfully be used for description of dissipative systems.

In the metriplectic framework, the underlying structure of a dissipative system consists of a Poisson and a symmetric bracket [13], and the obvious generalization of this construction for *constrained dissipative system* (CDS) must consist of two DB [14]: the usual skew-symmetric DB and the symmetric DB, which describe the Hamiltonian and dissipative part respectively. In [14] we have assumed that CDS be geometrically represented by a triplet $(M, N, \omega - g)$, here N is a submanifold of the symplectic manifold (M, ω) and g is a covariant semimetric tensor. Generalized result can be easily obtained by replacing the symplectic 2-form ω by a contravariant Poisson tensor π , and the covariant metric $(0, 2)$ tensor g by a contravariant (semi/pseudo)-metric $(2, 0)$ tensor G .

The aim of the article is to give a formal (algebraic) proof of the recursiveness of symmetric and skew-symmetric DB. For the latter, this property probably has been known for years in practical calculation, but none algebraic proof seems to be available in the literature. The proof given in this paper is, to the

best of our knowledge, the first one.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 resumes a construction leading to the DB-like formula in the general case and conditions of submanifold possessing Poisson structure in the form of the DB. Section 3 presents rigorous proof for the recursiveness of symmetric and skew-symmetric DB. Section 4 illustrates the constrained metriplectic formalism on two examples, using the computer algebra package Mathematica. Appendix A shows that symbolic/analytical difficulties appeared in the Dirac approach are unavoidable and that they also appear in the Lagrangian approach. Appendix B contains Dirac and LMM description for N -pendulum, which serves as our numerical case study. Appendix C contains some techniques for analytical inversion of symmetric tridiagonal matrices, which we worked out in 2004.

In this article, we denote a symmetric, skew-symmetric and general bracket by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}$ and $\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ respectively.

2 Geometric interpretation on Dirac-like brackets

We begin by showing how an arbitrary K -tensor defined on a manifold M can be reduced in the (almost) Dirac sense to any submanifold of M , regardless of this tensor degeneracy.

Conventionally we will denote the dual spaces to E , F , etc. and similar dual map to f , etc. by superscript asterisk, e.g E^* , F^* and f^* , the annihilator¹ by superscript zero, e.g F^0 and V^0 . Furthermore, denoting annihilation between elements of E and E^* by $(\cdot | \cdot)$, each bivector $\pi \in \wedge^2 E$ defines the map $\pi^\sharp : E^* \rightarrow E$ by $(\pi^\sharp(\zeta) | \eta) = \pi(\zeta, \eta)$ for $\zeta, \eta \in E^*$. The term almost Poisson structure means that this structure is bilinear and skew-symmetric, but does not necessarily satisfy the Jacobi identity.

Let E be a linear space, F be its linear subspace and let E be a direct sum $E = F \oplus V$. This direct sum determines uniquely the projection $p : E \rightarrow F$ and induces a splitting in its dual space $E^* = F^* \oplus V^*$ with $F^* = V^0$ and $V^* = F^0$. The direct decomposition on E^* determines uniquely the map $p^* : F^* \rightarrow E^*$ which is the dual map of p .

Definition 1 Each multilinear map $K : (E^*)^k \rightarrow R$ induces multilinear map $K_F : (F^*)^k \rightarrow R$ by

$$K_F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) = K(p^*(\alpha_1), \dots, p^*(\alpha_k)). \quad (2.1)$$

¹ The annihilator of $F \subset E$ is $F^0 = \{\phi \in E^* \text{ such that } \phi(F) = 0\}$

We will call K_F an almost Dirac reduction of K on F with respect to the direct sum $E = F \oplus V$ (or with respect to the projection p).

If K is symmetric or skew-symmetric then K_F has the same properties, but K_F may not inherit other algebraic properties of K . In particular, if K is non-negative, i.e. $K(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha) \geq 0$, $\forall \alpha \in E^*$, then K_F also is non-negative, but if $K = \pi$ is Poissonian, the bracket defined by π_F may not satisfy the Jacobi identity. Thus, in general π_F is only *almost* Poissonian. The sufficient condition for π_F to be Poissonian is:

Proposition 1 *Suppose that π is a Poisson tensor in E such that $F \cap \pi^\sharp(F^0) = \{0\}$. Then π_F is a Poisson tensor.*

Proof. Since $F \cap \pi^\sharp(F^0) = \{0\}$, one has $\pi^\sharp(\zeta) \in V$ for every $\zeta \in F^0$. Hence $\pi(\zeta, \eta) = (\pi^\sharp(\zeta) | \eta) = 0$ for every $\zeta \in F^0, \eta \in V^0$. This orthogonality condition implies that $\pi \in \wedge^2 E$ decomposes as $\pi = \pi_F + \pi_V$ where $\pi_F \in \wedge^2 F$ and $\pi_V \in \wedge^2 V$. The identity $[\pi, \pi] = 0$ implies that $[\pi_F, \pi_F] = [\pi_F + \pi, \pi_F - \pi] = -[2\pi_F + \pi_V, \pi_V]$. Because of $[\pi_F, \pi_F] \in \wedge^3 F \subset \wedge^2 E \wedge F$ and $-[2\pi_F + \pi_V, \pi_V] \in \wedge^2 E \wedge V$, they must both be zeros. Therefore $[\pi_F, \pi_F] = 0$ which means that π_F is Poissonian.

Proposition (1) leads to the following concept of Dirac subspace: A linear subspace F of a Poisson space (E, π) is called a *Dirac subspace* if $F \cap \pi^\sharp(F^0) = \{0\}$. Furthermore, since any projection $p : E \rightarrow F$ defines a split $E = F \oplus V_p$ where $V_p = (Id - p)F$ and the condition $F \cap \pi^\sharp(F^0) = \{0\}$ is equivalent to $\pi^\sharp(F^0) \subset V_p$, this condition suggests to introduce a concept of Dirac projection: A linear map $p : E \rightarrow F$ is called a *Dirac projection* if $p(\pi^\sharp(F^0)) = 0$.

We now consider the non-linear case. Let us consider a smooth finite dimensional manifold M , a submanifold $N \subset M$ and a regular distribution \mathcal{V} on M (that is a smooth family of the subspaces of the tangent spaces, $\mathcal{V}_x \subset T_x M$) such that $T_x M = T_x N \oplus \mathcal{V}_x$ for every x in N . Thus \mathcal{V} is *complementary* of TN in TM .

For any k one-forms $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$, the reduction of $(k, 0)$ -tensor field K on N is defined by:

$$K_N(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) = K(p^*(\alpha_1), \dots, p^*(\alpha_k)). \quad (2.2)$$

We call the tensor field K_N the almost Dirac reduction of K with respect to the submanifold N and the direct decomposition $T_N M = TN \oplus \mathcal{V}$.

Applying proposition (1) one gets the following

Proposition 2 *Let N be a submanifold of a Poisson manifold (M, π) . Suppose that $TN \cap \pi^\sharp(TN^0) = \{0\}$ and π_N is smooth. Then, π_N is a Poisson*

tensor on N .

Thus we obtain a sufficient condition for constructing Poisson structure on a submanifold. Furthermore, proposition (2) leads to the following concept of Dirac submanifold.

Definition 2 [9] *A submanifold N of the Poisson manifold (M, π) is called a Dirac submanifold if $TN \cap \pi^\sharp(TN^0) = \{0\}$ and induced tensor π_N is smooth.*

Note that the concept of Dirac submanifold (def. 2) is less restrictive than the one introduced by Xu [8] and the other mentioned therein: A submanifold N is -called by Xu- a Dirac submanifold of the Poisson manifold (M, Π) if there exists a bundle \mathcal{V} such that $T_N M = TN \oplus \mathcal{V}$ and \mathcal{V} is a coisotropic submanifold of TM .

For applications, the most important case of this geometric procedure is when $K = \pi \pm G$, where π, G are Poisson and pseudo/semi-metric tensor, respectively.

3 Algebraic formulas for computing Dirac brackets

3.1 Pfaffians and the Tanner's identities

For any function of two arguments F defined on the set of generators of the commutative algebra \mathcal{A} , we introduce the notation

$$F[x_1 \cdots x_n, y_1 \cdots y_n] = \det(F[x_i, y_j]) = \begin{vmatrix} F[x_1, y_1] & \cdots & F[x_1, y_n] \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ F[x_n, y_1] & \cdots & F[x_n, y_n] \end{vmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

We will use the following identities:

$$F[\alpha, \beta] F[\alpha x z, \beta y t] = F[\alpha x, \beta y] F[\alpha z, \beta t] - F[\alpha x, \beta t] F[\alpha z, \beta y] \quad (3.2)$$

$$F[\alpha, \beta] F[\alpha x u v, \beta y s t] = F[\alpha x, \beta y] F[\alpha u v, \beta s t] - F[\alpha x, \beta s] F[\alpha u v, \beta y t] + F[\alpha x, \beta t] F[\alpha u v, \beta y s], \quad (3.3)$$

which are a special case of the Tanner identity [15,16]; and they also are known as theorems on bordered determinants [17], pages 46-50. Assuming $F[u, v] = \eta(u, v)$ for u, v from a commutative algebra with the bracket η , we have

$$F[\phi_1 \cdots \phi_N, \xi_1 \cdots \xi_N] = \begin{vmatrix} \eta(\phi_1, \xi_1) & \cdots & \eta(\phi_1, \xi_N) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \eta(\phi_N, \xi_1) & \cdots & \eta(\phi_N, \xi_N) \end{vmatrix}. \quad (3.4)$$

3.2 Determinant and recursive formulas

Let (\mathcal{F}, \cdot) be a commutative algebra with the bracket $\eta : \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ and $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a set of elements from \mathcal{F} . Suppose the square matrix $W = (W_{ij})$ with $W_{ij} = \eta(\phi_i, \phi_j)$ is invertible, and let us denote its inverse matrix by $C = [C_{ij}]$. The original DB formula follows:

$$\eta_D(f, g) = \eta(f, g) - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \eta(f, \phi_i) C_{ij} \eta(\phi_j, g), \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (3.5)$$

The new bracket (3.5) is bilinear and it inherits algebraic properties from the original bracket η . It is easy to check that $\forall f \in \mathcal{F}, \eta_D(\phi_i, f) = 0$, which means that all elements ϕ_i are in the algebra center (called Casimir's elements) of the algebra (\mathcal{F}, η_D) . For skew-symmetric algebras the number of fixed elements ϕ_j must be even, because the skew-symmetric matrix W with odd rank always is singular. Indeed, denoting $\det W$ by $|W|$, for skew-symmetric matrix W we have $|W| = |W^T| = (-1)^n |W|$.

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a matrix, then the matrix obtained from A after deleting i -th row and j -th column will be denoted by $A^{(i,j)}$. Recall the Laplace expansion formula which states that $\det A = |A| = \sum_j (-1)^{i+j} a_{ij} |A^{(i,j)}|$ for any square matrix A . Now we can easily prove the following *determinant formula* for the DB.

Proposition 3 [14] Supposing the matrix $W(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$ is invertible, the following identity holds

$$\forall f, g \in \mathcal{F} : \eta_D(f, g) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \eta(\phi_1, \phi_1) & \cdots & \eta(\phi_1, \phi_n) & \eta(\phi_1, g) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \eta(\phi_n, \phi_1) & \cdots & \eta(\phi_n, \phi_n) & \eta(\phi_n, g) \\ \eta(f, \phi_1) & \cdots & \eta(f, \phi_n) & \eta(f, g) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \eta(\phi_1, \phi_1) & \cdots & \eta(\phi_1, \phi_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \eta(\phi_n, \phi_1) & \cdots & \eta(\phi_n, \phi_n) \end{vmatrix}}. \quad (3.6)$$

Rewriting (3.6) in the notation (3.1) we get

$$\forall f, g \in \mathcal{F} : \eta_D(f, g) = \frac{|W_{f,g}|}{|W|}, \quad (3.7)$$

where $|W| = F[\phi_1 \cdots \phi_n, \phi_1 \cdots \phi_n]$ and $|W_{f,g}| = F[\phi_1 \cdots \phi_n f, \phi_1 \cdots \phi_n g]$.

Proof. Apply twice the Laplace formula to the last column and row of the matrix $W_{f,g}$.

A. Symmetric case:

Now let (\mathcal{F}, \cdot) be a commutative algebra with the bracket $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^n$, be a set of elements from \mathcal{F} . We define inductively a family of brackets

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle^{(0)} &= \langle f, g \rangle, \\ \langle f, g \rangle^{(k+1)} &= \langle f, g \rangle^{(k)} - \frac{\langle f, \phi_{k+1} \rangle^{(k)} \langle \phi_{k+1}, g \rangle^{(k)}}{\langle \phi_{k+1}, \phi_{k+1} \rangle^{(k)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Denote the Dirac bracket determined by k constraints ϕ_a with $a = 1, \dots, k$, by $\langle f, g \rangle_D^{(k)}$, thus

$$\langle f, g \rangle_D^{(k)} = \langle f, g \rangle - \sum_{a,b=1}^k \langle f, \phi_a \rangle C_{ab}^{(k)} \langle \phi_b, g \rangle, \quad (3.9)$$

where $C^{(k)}$ is the inverse matrix of $k \times k$ matrix $W^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} \eta(\phi_1, \phi_1) & \cdots & \eta(\phi_1, \phi_k) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \eta(\phi_k, \phi_1) & \cdots & \eta(\phi_k, \phi_k) \end{bmatrix}$.

We prove the following theorem

Theorem 1 (Recursive general brackets) Assume that the family of brackets (3.8) is well-defined. Then $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{F}$ and $1 \leq m \leq n$:

$$\langle f, g \rangle^{(m)} = \langle f, g \rangle_D^{(m)} . \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. We prove the formula (3.10) by induction with m . For $m = 1$, (3.10) is obviously true. Suppose that it is true for $m = k$, thus

$$\forall f, g : \quad \langle f, g \rangle^{(k)} = \langle f, g \rangle_D^{(k)} , \quad (3.11)$$

we shall prove that it remains true for $m = k + 1$. The proof is based on the Tanner identity (3.2) and the proposition 3.

First, let $\alpha = \phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_k$, using formula (3.7) in the proposition 3 we have

$$\langle f, g \rangle_D^{(k+1)} = \frac{F[\alpha \phi_{k+1} f, \alpha \phi_{k+1} g]}{F[\alpha \phi_{k+1}, \alpha \phi_{k+1}]} . \quad (3.12)$$

Multiplying r.h.s. of (3.12) by $1 = \frac{F[\alpha, \alpha]}{F[\alpha, \alpha]}$ and using (3.2) we get

$$\langle f, g \rangle_D^{(k+1)} = \frac{F[\alpha f, \alpha g]}{F[\alpha, \alpha]} - \frac{F[\alpha f, \alpha \phi_{k+1}] F[\alpha \phi_{k+1}, \alpha g]}{F[\alpha, \alpha] F[\alpha \phi_{k+1}, \alpha \phi_{k+1}]} \quad (3.13)$$

Using formula (3.7) again, we show that: the first term in the r.h.s. of eq. (3.13) is equal $\langle f, g \rangle_D^{(k)}$ and also equal $\langle f, g \rangle^{(k)}$ by induction assumption (3.11). Applying similar argument for the second term in the r.h.s. of eq. (3.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F[\alpha f, \alpha \phi_{k+1}]}{F[\alpha, \alpha]} &= \langle f, \phi_{k+1} \rangle^{(k)} , \quad \frac{F[\alpha \phi_{k+1}, \alpha g]}{F[\alpha, \alpha]} = \langle \phi_{k+1}, g \rangle^{(k)} \quad \text{and} \\ &\frac{F[\alpha \phi_{k+1}, \alpha \phi_{k+1}]}{F[\alpha, \alpha]} = \langle \phi_{k+1}, \phi_{k+1} \rangle^{(k)} . \end{aligned}$$

In summary, the r.h.s. of eq. (3.13) is equal

$$\langle f, g \rangle^{(k)} - \frac{\langle f, \phi_{k+1} \rangle^{(k)} \langle \phi_{k+1}, g \rangle^{(k)}}{\langle \phi_{k+1}, \phi_{k+1} \rangle^{(k)}} . \quad (3.14)$$

It implies that r.h.s. of eq. (3.13) is equal $\langle f, g \rangle^{(k+1)}$ which ends the proof. ♠

To apply theorem **1** we need an existence of the family of brackets (3.8). This condition requires the invertibility of $\langle \phi_{i+1}, \phi_{i+1} \rangle^{(i)}$ for all i with $1 \leq i \leq n$, and therefore it is equivalent to the regularity (or non-degeneracy) of all main minors of W . This condition may seem to be too restrictive, however by making new constraints from linear combinations of old constraints, we can go beyond this restriction. The following simple example illustrates the procedure.

Example 3.1 Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$,

$$\langle x_1, x_1 \rangle = \langle x_2, x_2 \rangle = 0, \quad \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = \langle x_2, x_1 \rangle = a(x),$$

other brackets are whatever, and the constraints are $\phi_1 = x_1 = 0, \phi_2 = x_2 = 0$.

In the standard approach, after calculating the constraint matrix $W = a(x) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$,

and its inverse, we easily get the Dirac bracket

$$\langle f, g \rangle_D = \langle f, g \rangle - \frac{1}{a(x)} (\langle f, x_1 \rangle \langle x_2, g \rangle + \langle f, x_2 \rangle \langle x_1, g \rangle).$$

In this case, direct recursive scheme is inapplicable because of

$$\langle \phi_1, \phi_1 \rangle = 0 = \langle \phi_2, \phi_2 \rangle,$$

but by introducing new (equivalent) constraints $u_1 = x_1 + x_2 = 0$ and $u_2 = x_1 - x_2 = 0$, the recursive scheme may apply as below.

In the first step, we have

$$\langle f, g \rangle^{(1)} = \langle f, g \rangle - \frac{\langle f, u_1 \rangle \langle u_1, g \rangle}{\langle u_1, u_1 \rangle}.$$

Since $\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle = 0$ we get $\langle f, u_2 \rangle^{(1)} = \langle f, u_2 \rangle$, $\langle u_2, g \rangle^{(1)} = \langle u_2, g \rangle$ and $\langle u_2, u_2 \rangle^{(1)} = \langle u_2, u_2 \rangle$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle^{(2)} &= \langle f, g \rangle^{(1)} - \frac{\langle f, u_2 \rangle^{(1)} \langle u_2, g \rangle^{(1)}}{\langle u_2, u_2 \rangle^{(1)}} \\ &= \langle f, g \rangle - \frac{\langle f, u_1 \rangle \langle u_1, g \rangle}{\langle u_1, u_1 \rangle} - \frac{\langle f, u_2 \rangle \langle u_2, g \rangle}{\langle u_2, u_2 \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, express it in terms of the original constraints

$$\langle f, g \rangle^{(2)} = \langle f, g \rangle - \frac{1}{a(x)} (\langle f, x_1 \rangle \langle x_2, g \rangle + \langle f, x_2 \rangle \langle x_1, g \rangle).$$

We can use theorem 1 to prove that symmetric DB inherits non-negativity from a semimetric bracket. Precisely,

Proposition 4 Suppose \mathcal{F} be an algebra of real functions with semimetric bracket $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, i.e. $\langle f, f \rangle$ is a non-negative function for every function $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be a set of elements from \mathcal{F} such that $W(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$ is invertible. Then the Dirac bracket $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D$ with respect to $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^n$, is semimetric.

Proof. Since the recursion property of symmetric DB in theorem 1, it is enough to prove $\langle f, f \rangle^{(1)}$ is a non-negative function. Indeed, for every real number λ , one has

$$0 \leq \langle f - \lambda\phi_1, f - \lambda\phi_1 \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle - 2\lambda \langle f, \phi_1 \rangle + \lambda^2 \langle \phi_1, \phi_1 \rangle,$$

which implies that the discriminant $\Delta = [\langle f, \phi_1 \rangle]^2 - \langle f, f \rangle \langle \phi_1, \phi_1 \rangle \geq 0$. Thus,

$$\langle f, f \rangle^{(1)} = \langle f, f \rangle - \frac{\langle f, \phi_1 \rangle^2}{\langle \phi_1, \phi_1 \rangle} \geq 0.$$

B. Skew-symmetric case:

Now let (\mathcal{F}, \cdot) be a commutative algebra with a skew-symmetric bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ and $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{2n}$, be a set of elements from \mathcal{F} . We define inductively a family of brackets

$$\begin{aligned} \{f, g\}^{(0)} &= \{f, g\}, \\ \{f, g\}^{(k+1)} &= \{f, g\}^{(k)} - \frac{\{f, \phi_{2k+2}\}^{(k)} \{\phi_{2k+1}, g\}^{(k)} - \{f, \phi_{2k+1}\}^{(k)} \{\phi_{2k+2}, g\}^{(k)}}{\{\phi_{2k+1}, \phi_{2k+2}\}^{(k)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

We prove that (3.15) are identical with the Dirac brackets.

Theorem 2 (Recursive skew-symmetric brackets) Suppose that the family of bracket recursively defined by (3.15) is well-defined. Then $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{F}$ and $1 \leq m \leq n$:

$$\{f, g\}^{(m)} = \{f, g\}_D^{(2m)}, \tag{3.16}$$

where r.h.s. is the Dirac bracket with respect to $2m$ constraints

$$\{f, g\}_D^{(2m)} = \{f, g\} - \sum_{a,b=1}^{2m} \{f, \phi_a\} C_{ab}^{(2m)} \{\phi_b, g\}.$$

In the above $C^{(2m)}$ is the inverse of the $2m \times 2m$ matrix $W^{(2m)}$

$$W^{(2m)} = \begin{bmatrix} \{\phi_1, \phi_1\} & \cdots & \{\phi_1, \phi_{2m}\} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \{\phi_{2m}, \phi_1\} & \cdots & \{\phi_{2m}, \phi_{2m}\} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. We prove this theorem by induction with m .

It is true for $m = 1$ and suppose that $\{f, g\}^{(k)} = \{f, g\}_D^{(2k)}$ for some $k \geq 1$, we shall prove that $\{f, g\}^{(k+1)} = \{f, g\}_D^{(2k+2)}$. Let denote $\alpha = \phi_1 \cdots \phi_{2k}$, because of (3.7) in the proposition **3** we have:

$$\{f, g\}_D^{(2k+2)} = \frac{F[\alpha\phi_{2k+1}\phi_{2k+2}f, \alpha\phi_{2k+1}\phi_{2k+2}g]}{F[\alpha\phi_{2k+1}\phi_{2k+2}, \alpha\phi_{2k+1}\phi_{2k+2}]}.$$
 (3.17)

Multiplying r.h.s. of (3.17) by $1 = \frac{F[\alpha, \alpha]}{F[\alpha, \alpha]}$, using the Tanner identities (3.2), (3.3) and knowing determinant of a skew-symmetric matrix of odd size to be zero, $F[\alpha\phi_{2k+1}, \alpha\phi_{2k+1}] = 0$, we get the r.h.s of (3.17)

$$\frac{F[\alpha\phi_{2k+1}, \alpha g] F[\alpha\phi_{2k+2}f, \alpha\phi_{2k+1}\phi_{2k+2}] - F[\alpha\phi_{2k+1}, \alpha\phi_{2k+2}] F[\alpha\phi_{2k+2}f, \alpha\phi_{2k+1}g]}{-F[\alpha\phi_{2k+1}, \alpha\phi_{2k+2}] F[\alpha\phi_{2k+2}, \alpha\phi_{2k+1}]}$$

Again, multiplying by $1 = \frac{F[\alpha, \alpha]}{F[\alpha, \alpha]}$, using the Tanner identities (3.2), the vanishing determinant of a skew-symmetric matrix of odd size, i.e. $F[\alpha\phi_{2k+2}, \alpha\phi_{2k+2}] = 0$, and the recursive assumption $\{u, v\}^{(k)} = \{u, v\}_D^{(2k)}$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \{f, g\}_D^{(2k+2)} &= \frac{F[\alpha f, \alpha g]}{F[\alpha, \alpha]} + \frac{F[\alpha f, \alpha\phi_{2k+1}]F[\alpha\phi_{2k+2}, \alpha g] - F[\alpha f, \alpha\phi_{2k+2}]F[\alpha\phi_{2k+1}, \alpha g]}{F[\alpha, \alpha]F[\alpha\phi_{2k+1}, \alpha\phi_{2k+2}]} \\ &= \{f, g\}_D^{(2k)} + \frac{\{f, \phi_{2k+1}\}_D^{(2k)}\{\phi_{2k+2}, g\}_D^{(2k)} - \{f, \phi_{2k+2}\}_D^{(2k)}\{\phi_{2k+1}, g\}_D^{(2k)}}{\{\phi_{2k+1}, \phi_{2k+2}\}_D^{(2k)}} \\ &= \{f, g\}^{(k)} + \frac{\{f, \phi_{2k+1}\}^{(k)}\{\phi_{2k+2}, g\}^{(k)} - \{f, \phi_{2k+2}\}^{(k)}\{\phi_{2k+1}, g\}^{(k)}}{\{\phi_{2k+1}, \phi_{2k+2}\}^{(k)}}. \end{aligned}$$

It implies that r.h.s. of eq. (3.17) is equal $\{f, g\}^{(k+1)}$ which ends the proof. ♠

Theorems **1** and **2** are main results of this article.

One may use theorem 2 in proving Jacobi identity and some other algebraic properties for Dirac bracket. For example, one can prove the following

Proposition 5 Suppose $(\mathcal{F}, \cdot, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ be skew-symmetric algebra and $\{\phi_k, k = 1, \dots, 2n\}$ be a set of elements from \mathcal{F} such that $W(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{2n})$ is invertible. Then $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{F}$:

$$\{f, g\}_D^2 = \frac{|W(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{2n}, f, g)|}{|W(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{2n})|} = \frac{F[\phi_1 \cdots \phi_{2n} f g, \phi_1 \cdots \phi_{2n} f g]}{F[\phi_1 \cdots \phi_{2n}, \phi_1 \cdots \phi_{2n}]}. \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. Let $\alpha = \phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_{2n}$. From the identity (3.2) we have

$$F[\alpha, \alpha] F[\alpha f g, \alpha f g] = F[\alpha f, \alpha f] F[\alpha g, \alpha g] - F[\alpha f, \alpha g] F[\alpha g, \alpha f] = (F[\alpha f, \alpha g])^2. \quad (3.19)$$

Dividing both sides of (3.19) by $(F[\alpha, \alpha])^2$ (i.e. $|W(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{2n})|^2$) we obtain $\frac{F[\alpha f g, \alpha f g]}{F[\alpha, \alpha]} = \{f, g\}_D^2$.

3.3 Jacobi identity

In [2], Dirac was struggling to prove the Jacobi identity for his bracket formula. He wrote: "I think there ought to be some neat way of proving it, but I haven't been able to find it". The Proposition 6 below contains what we believe is just that kind of a proof.

Proposition 6 Let (\mathcal{F}, \cdot) be a commutative algebra with Lie or Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$. Suppose $\{\phi_k, k = 1, \dots, 2n\}$ be a set of elements from \mathcal{F} such that $(\{\phi_i, \phi_j\})$ is invertible. Then $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_D$ with respect to $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{2n}$ is a Lie or Poisson bracket, respectively.

Proof. Only the Jacobi identity is difficult to verify. Using the theorem 2 and the induction principle, it is enough to show that $\{\cdot, \cdot\}^{(1)}$ satisfies the Jacobi identity. In order to check the Jacobi identity for $\{\cdot, \cdot\}^{(1)}$, it is convenient to introduce the following symbols: $A_i = \{f, \phi_i\}$, $B_i = \{g, \phi_i\}$, $C_i = \{h, \phi_i\}$ with $i = 1, 2$ and $\phi_{12} = \{\phi_1, \phi_2\}$. Since the Jacobi identity holds for $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ all the following sums vanish

$$\begin{aligned} I_i &= \{A_i, g\} + \{f, B_i\} + \{\phi_i, \{f, g\}\}, \quad J_i = \{A_i, h\} + \{f, C_i\} + \{\phi_i, \{f, h\}\}, \\ K_i &= \{C_i, g\} + \{h, B_i\} + \{\phi_i, \{h, g\}\}, \quad D = \{\phi_2, A_1\} + \{A_2, \phi_1\} + \{f, \phi_{12}\}, \\ E &= \{\phi_2, B_1\} + \{B_2, \phi_1\} + \{g, \phi_{12}\}, \quad F = \{\phi_2, C_1\} + \{C_2, \phi_1\} + \{h, \phi_{12}\}. \end{aligned}$$

(3.20)

Full expansion of $Jacobi = \{f, \{g, h\}_D\}_D + \{g, \{h, f\}_D\}_D + \{h, \{f, g\}_D\}_D$ produces 39 non-vanishing terms that can be grouped in a polynomial of the variable $z = (\phi_{12})^{-1}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Jacobi = & [\{f, \{g, h\}\} + \{g, \{h, f\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\}] + \\ & [(A_2K_1 - A_1K_2) + (B_2J_1 - B_1J_2) + (C_1I_2 - C_2I_1)]z + \\ & [(A_1B_2 - A_2B_1)F + (C_1A_2 - C_2A_1)E + (B_1C_2 - B_2C_1)D]z^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Clearly, r.h.s. of (3.21) is equal zero since all its coefficients are zero according to (3.20).

4 Applications

One important class of constrained dynamical systems is characterized by K holonomic constraints $\phi_i(q) = 0$, where $i = 1, \dots, K$. These constraints represent a subclass of time-independent constraints $\phi_i(q, p) = 0$ considered in this article. In the Dirac approach, these dynamical systems are described by a system of $2K$ constraints $\phi_i(q) = 0$ and $\tilde{\phi}_i(q, p) = \{\phi_i, \mathcal{H}\} = 0$.

For holonomic constraints, it is convenient to introduce two $K \times K$ matrices: symmetric $S = (S_{ij})$ with $S_{ij} = \{\phi_i, \tilde{\phi}_j\}$ and skew-symmetric $A = (A_{ij})$ with $A_{ij} = \{\tilde{\phi}_i, \tilde{\phi}_j\}$. The matrix W and its inverse C can then be written as

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & S \\ -S^T & A \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } C = W^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} S^{-1}AS^{-1} & -S^{-1} \\ S^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.1)$$

In order to compute C one has to invert one symmetric $K \times K$ matrix and do matrix multiplications twice. Symbolic computation is costly, but numerical computation requires only $\sim K^3$ flops (floating-point operations).

Consider now a constrained model with damping force proportional to the generalized velocity. Such a case is described by a metriplectic structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_i, x_j\} &= 0 = \{p_i, p_j\}, \quad \{x_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \\ \langle x_i, x_j \rangle &= 0, \quad \langle p_i, p_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}\lambda_i(q, p), \text{ where } \lambda_i \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The dissipative constraint matrix $W^D = (W_{ij}^D)$, where

$$W_{ij}^D = \langle \tilde{\phi}_i, \tilde{\phi}_j \rangle = \sum_l \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_i}{\partial p_l} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_j}{\partial p_l} \lambda_l,$$

is a symmetric $K \times K$ matrix, and let denote its inverse matrix by $C^D = (W^D)^{-1}$. The metriplectic Dirac equations for the dynamics governed by $\dot{f} = \{f, \mathcal{H}\}_{D-} \langle f, \mathcal{H} \rangle_D$, take the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q}_i &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i} - \sum_{j,k=1}^K (S^{-1})_{jk} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_j}{\partial p_i} \tilde{\phi}_k, \\ \dot{p}_i &= -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_i} - \sum_{j,k=1}^K (S^{-1})_{jk} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial q_i} \{\tilde{\phi}_k, \mathcal{H}\} + \left[(S^{-1}AS^{-1})_{jk} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial q_i} + (S^{-1})_{jk} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_j}{\partial q_i} \right] \tilde{\phi}_k \\ &\quad - \lambda_i \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i} - \sum_{j,k=1}^K \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_j}{\partial p_i} C^{jk} \sum_{l=1}^n \lambda_l \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_k}{\partial p_l} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_l} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Recursive symbolic evaluation of explicit equations for a system having $2K$ constraints is realized by K steps. In each step we deal with only two constraints, e.g ϕ_i and $\tilde{\phi}_i$ in the i -th step. In order to calculate $2n$ explicit equations of motion subject to $2K$ constraints, i.e. $\{x_i, \mathcal{H}\}^{(K)}$ and $\{p_i, \mathcal{H}\}^{(K)}$, we have to compute $(6n+3)$ brackets determined in $(K-1)$ -th step: $\{x_i, \mathcal{H}\}^{(K-1)}$, $\{p_i, \mathcal{H}\}^{(K-1)}$, $\{x_i, \phi_K\}^{(K-1)}$, $\{x_i, \tilde{\phi}_K\}^{(K-1)}$, $\{p_i, \phi_K\}^{(K-1)}$, $\{p_i, \tilde{\phi}_K\}^{(K-1)}$, $\{\phi_K, \mathcal{H}\}^{(K-1)}$, $\{\tilde{\phi}_K, \mathcal{H}\}^{(K-1)}$ and $\{\phi_K, \tilde{\phi}_K\}^{(K-1)}$.

We illustrate our procedure on the model of chain molecule often studied in polymer and proteins physics, paying particular attention to the implementation of the code for Dirac brackets in symbolic computer algebra system.

A chain molecules is a constrained system consisting of N massive points (or spherical balls) attached by rigid massless bonds having fixed length, in d -dim space. We are interested in the cases when $d = 2$ (planar) or 3. The molecules interact with each other through a pair potential which depends only on the distance between molecules, e.g the Coulomb interaction and/or Lennard-Jonnes potential $V_{ij} = a \frac{q_i q_j}{r_{ij}} + \varepsilon \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^6 - \left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} \right]$, and with an external field $\tilde{U}(\vec{r}_i)$. In a real application such a chain is immersed into a fluid matrix, thus each of its molecules is subject to an additional frictional force.

We denote the position of the i -th molecule as \vec{r}_i and its momentum as \vec{p}_i . We will lump all the positions into one vector $\vec{r} = (\vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_N)$ and similarly $\vec{p} = (\vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_N)$. It is convenient also to use the following notation: the relative position of i -th and j -th molecule $\vec{r}_{ij} = \vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j$, the relative position of two consecutive molecules (or shortly link vector) $\Delta \vec{r}_i = \vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_{i+1}$, the relative velocity of two consecutive molecules $\Delta \vec{v}_i = \frac{\vec{p}_i}{m_i} - \frac{\vec{p}_{i+1}}{m_{i+1}}$, and the unit vector of the link vector $\vec{e}_i = \frac{\Delta \vec{r}_i}{|\Delta \vec{r}_i|}$. The Hamiltonian for our model reads then




Fig. 1. Linear polymer consists of N molecules interacting each other.

$$\mathcal{H}(\vec{r}, \vec{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{|\vec{p}_i|^2}{2m_i} + \tilde{U}(\vec{r}_i) \right] + \sum_{j>i+1}^N V_{ij}(r_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{|\vec{p}_i|^2}{2m_i} + U(\vec{r}). \quad (4.3)$$

Putting $K = (N - 1)$, the $2K$ constraints follow:

$$\phi_k(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2}(|\Delta\vec{r}_k|^2 - l_k^2) = 0, \quad \tilde{\phi}_k(\vec{r}, \vec{p}) = \Delta\vec{v}_k \cdot \Delta\vec{r}_k = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Using this notation we can easily evaluate matrix coefficients for all the matrices in Eq. (4.2). We found it convenient to collect them in the table 1, where the b_i , c_i , a_i , $b_i^{(D)}$ and $c_i^{(D)}$ (for isotropic friction $\lambda_{i(d-1)+1} = \dots = \lambda_{i(d-1)+d} = \Lambda_i$ which is the frictional coefficient for i -th molecule) are given as

$$\begin{aligned} b_i &= -\frac{\Delta\vec{r}_i \cdot \Delta\vec{r}_{i+1}}{m_{i+1}} = \frac{l_i l_{i+1}}{m_{i+1}} \cos(\alpha_i), \quad \text{where } \cos(\alpha_i) = -\vec{e}_i \cdot \vec{e}_{i+1}, \\ c_i &= \frac{(m_i + m_{i+1})}{m_i m_{i+1}} |\Delta\vec{r}_i|^2 = \left(\frac{1}{m_i} + \frac{1}{m_{i+1}} \right) l_i^2, \quad a_i = \frac{\Delta\vec{r}_i \cdot \Delta\vec{v}_{i+1} - \Delta\vec{v}_i \cdot \Delta\vec{r}_{i+1}}{m_{i+1}}, \\ c_i^{(D)} &= \left(\frac{\Lambda_i}{m_i^2} + \frac{\Lambda_{i+1}}{m_{i+1}^2} \right) l_i^2, \quad b_i^{(D)} = \frac{-\Lambda_{i+1}}{m_{i+1}^2} \Delta\vec{r}_i \cdot \Delta\vec{r}_{i+1} = \frac{\Lambda_{i+1}}{m_{i+1}^2} l_i l_{i+1} \cos(\alpha_i). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the matrices S , $S^{(D)}$ are symmetric tridiagonal, while A is skew-symmetric tridiagonal, shown in the table 2. For homogeneous polymer in homogeneous environment, consisting of identical molecules, $l_i = l$ and $m_i = m$, all formulas on elements of S , $S^{(D)}$ become even simpler:

$$c_i = \frac{2l^2}{m}, \quad b_i = \frac{l^2}{m} \cos(\alpha_i), \quad \text{and} \quad c_i^{(D)} = \frac{2\Lambda l^2}{m^2}, \quad b_i^{(D)} = \frac{\Lambda l^2}{m^2} \cos(\alpha_i). \quad (4.5)$$

Condition	$S_{ij} = \{\phi_i, \tilde{\phi}_j\}$	$A_{ij} = \{\tilde{\phi}_i, \phi_j\}$	$S_{ij}^{(D)} = \langle \tilde{\phi}_i, \phi_j \rangle$
$ i - j > 1$	0	0	0
$j = i + 1$	b_i	a_i	$b_i^{(D)}$
$j = i$	c_i	0	$c_i^{(D)}$
$j = i - 1$	b_j	$-a_j$	$b_j^{(D)}$

Table 1
Elements of the matrices S , A and $S^{(D)}$

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & b_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ b_1 & c_2 & b_2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & b_{K-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & b_{K-1} & c_K \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -a_1 & 0 & a_2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & a_{K-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_{K-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Table 2
Symmetric and skew-symmetric Tridiagonal Matrices S and A

Though the tridiagonal matrices have been considered numerically for years, the explicit analytic formulas for elements of the inverse matrix of a tridiagonal matrix are known only in some special cases [18]: $b_i = b$ and $c_j = c$. Here we propose a general expression for elements of S^{-1} . Details of the derivation of that formula are given in the Appendix A.

Let $S(1, \dots, i-1)$ be the top left $(i-1) \times (i-1)$ matrix containing rows and columns $\{1, \dots, i-1\}$ of S and $S(j+1, \dots, K)$ be the bottom right $(K-j) \times (K-j)$ matrix containing rows and columns $\{j+1, \dots, K\}$ of S , we get the following recursive formula:

$$(S^{-1})_{i,j} = (-1)^{i+j} \frac{|S(1, \dots, i-1)| |S(j+1, \dots, K)|}{|S(1 \dots K)|} b_i b_{i+1} \cdots b_{j-1}, \quad (4.6)$$


for $i \leq j$, and S^{-1} is symmetric. Since both matrices S and $S^{(D)}$ have a similar form, we can use the formula (4.6) in calculating their inverse.

Furthermore, for $K \geq n > l \geq 1$, the $|S(l, \dots, n)|$ is calculated from the recursive relation: $|S(\emptyset)| = 1$, $|S(l)| = c_l$, $|S(l, \dots, n)| = c_n |S(l, \dots, n-1)| - b_{n-1}^2 |S(l, \dots, n-2)|$.

With the formula (4.6), it is easy to show that the inverse matrix of a symmetric tridiagonal matrix is one-pair matrix. Numerically it can be computed fast with $O(N)$ complexity cost, and with modest memory usage. Since the recursion relation (4.6) is rather involved, we can only calculate the Dirac equations via recursion. More technical details are presented in our paper posted on the arxiv page.

Discussion

We have implemented our formalism using the package Mathematica version 5.2 and 6.0, the computer algebra system, both for symbolic and numerical calculation, and measured the CPU time needed in computing explicit analytical r.h.s. of (4.2) in two ways: one based on the formula (4.6) and the other based on the recursion relation (3.15). All computation have been done on an ordinary PC (with dual core processor 1.6 GHz and 1GB RAM) running MS Windows XP and Linux FC6. The symbolic computing time for one pair of



equations in 3-dim, after using least square interpolation, seems to grow with the number of constraints like $0.028 e^{0.49K}$ and as $0.00046 e^{1.06K}$ for method inverting triangular matrices and using recursive formula, respectively. Consequently, the recursive formula is reasonably good only for systems with less than 12 constraints. Since the computing time in both methods grow exponentially in the number of constraints, computing explicit analytical Dirac equations seems to be inapplicable for very long chains. However, fast algorithm for numerical inversion of tridiagonal matrices does exist and has a complexity $O(N)$. Thus, Dirac finite difference equations for long chains are computable.

Having explicit equations of motion one can solve them numerically either by standard explicit/implicit Runger-Kutta algorithm or standard Mathematica's ODE solver *NDSolve*.

Another important issue is that alternatively to the system of equations (4.2), one can consider the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q}_i &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i}, \\ \dot{p}_i &= -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_i} - \sum_{j,k=1}^K (S^{-1})_{jk} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial q_i} \{\tilde{\phi}_k, \mathcal{H}\} - \lambda_i \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i} - \sum_{j,k=1}^K \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_j}{\partial p_i} C_{jk}^D \sum_l \lambda_l \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_k}{\partial p_l} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_l} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Since constraints are Casimir elements regarding to Dirac bracket, any solution of (4.2) with initial conditions satisfying all constraints, automatically satisfies all constraints for all time. Therefore it must also be a solution of (4.7).

This fact and the uniqueness of solution (locally) imply that two systems (4.2) and (4.7) are equivalent. In our tests, symbolic computation for the latter is 6-7 times faster than for the former. Moreover, for non-dissipative mechanical systems, the latter is exactly the system of equations obtained from the *Lagrange Multiplier Method* (LMM), eq. (A.5) in the Appendix A. Though these two systems are mathematically equivalent, they are not equivalent for numerical algorithms approximating solution, which means that errors grow differently for each of them even if using a common numerical algorithm. Errors in computing approximate solution of the *LMM-like* eq. (4.7) or (A.5), always grow faster than those of the *Dirac-like* eq. (4.2). We studied numerically the violation of energy and bond length constraints for a particular polymer with one fixed end, eg. N -pendulum described in the Appendix B. These numerical results are presented briefly in the figure 2. In summation, standard numerical




Fig. 2. Numerical test: Energy and Constraints errors for 4-pendulums dynamics described by the Hamilton-Dirac eq. (4.2), simplified Dirac (4.7) and LMM (A.5) using default numerical algorithm NDSolve. For simplicity we have chosen a system consisting of 4 equal masses which are in the axis x at the beginning, and whose initial velocities have random values satisfying constraints' equations.

algorithms seem to work well with Dirac-like equations. To deal numerically with LMM-like equations, we recommend to use either constrained algorithms

(eg. SHAKE, LINCS) or other advanced symplectic/poisson ones, which have been developed recently.

Although in the simulation, polymers with nearly constant bond length, called stiff bead-spring chains, are more often considered than those with rigid constant length, named bead-rod chains, the matrix S which has been carefully studied here, is closely related to the metric potential $U = \frac{1}{2}kT \log(|S|)$ in the statistical mechanics of Polymers [23].

The application of bracket formalism to the non-linear many particle models is possible by time consuming. We have looked at the possibility of using our method to obtain a set of analytical equations and simulate mechanics of the caricatured human body [19].

Instead of models for body dynamics such as inverted pendulum [20], or elastic string [21] are used, we used skeletal humanoid consisting of 13 material points, fig. 3. We found that symbolic calculation each pair of explicit analytical equations for humanoid takes app. 9 minutes using formula (4.6) for inverting matrix S , of uninterrupted Mathematica performance in PC.




Fig. 3. Humanoid is a (dissipative) constrained dynamical system with 24 phase space constraints. This is an example of non-linear chain.

5 Conclusions

In this article we have reviewed a geometric construction of Dirac-like brackets and proved recursive character of such brackets. We showed that computing explicit dynamical equations based on these brackets may be difficult, but it is possible to produce analytical equations even for systems with many constraints.

We have applied here the Dirac procedure for metriplectic mechanical models with finite degrees of freedom, but in our previous work we have shown its usefulness for continuous models [14], for example incompressible hydrodynamics [22]. Fixman [23] have used constraints approach in formulation of statistical

mechanics of various polymer models. The fact that constraints can then be visualized as a kind of temperature dependent potential is not unusual. Fixman and others have restricted their procedure to the equilibrium calculations. Our formalism allows us to go beyond the equilibrium application and see the form of the constrained Liouville equations, modifications in the dynamical modes coupling due to the presence of constraints and possible the role of the constraints play in removing the singularities appearing in low dimensional systems statistical mechanics. For example, the fact that the transport coefficients, like viscosity, thermal conductivity and diffusion coefficient do not exists in $d = 2$, can be modified by presence of the constraints in a fashion analogous to that mentioned in [24].

Acknowledgements

The work one of us (SN) was partially supported by the Hanoi University of Science Grant No. TN-08-15 and the other (LAT) was partially supported by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education Grant No. N20204232/1171.

A Lagrange Multiplier Method

The purpose of this section is to show that computing explicit analytical equations in the Lagrangian formalism is equally difficult as in the Dirac formalism.

For simplicity, suppose that all constraints of the form: $\phi_k(q) = 0$, $k = 1, \dots, K$ and $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$. Lagrangian of constrained system is a sum of unconstrained Lagrangian and a linear combination of constraints: $\mathcal{L}(q, \dot{q}) = \mathcal{L}_0(q, \dot{q}) - \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k \phi_k(q)$. The Euler-Lagrange equations read

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q} = 0.$$

Suppose Lagrangian of the form $\mathcal{L}_0 = T(\dot{q}) - V(q) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^T M \dot{q} - V(q)$, with introducing conservative force $F = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial q}$, the Euler-Lagrange equations become

$$M\ddot{q} = F - \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial q_i} = F - B\lambda, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $B = (B_{ik})$ is a $n \times K$ matrix whose elements $B_{ik} = \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial q_i}$. Since $\phi_k(q) = 0$, all first and second time derivatives of ϕ_k vanish:

$$0 = \frac{d\phi_k}{dt} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_i \quad \text{or} \quad [B^T \dot{q}]_k = 0, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$0 = \frac{d^2 \phi_k}{dt^2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \phi_k}{\partial q_i \partial q_j} \dot{q}_i \dot{q}_j + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial q_i} \ddot{q}_i = G_k + [B^T \ddot{q}]_k, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where $G_k = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \phi_k}{\partial q_i \partial q_j} \dot{q}_i \dot{q}_j$. Substituting for $\ddot{q} = M^{-1}[F - B\lambda]$, derived from (A.1), in (A.3) we get:

$$0 = G + B^T M^{-1}[F - B\lambda], \quad (\text{A.4})$$

here $G = (G_k)$, $\lambda = (\lambda_k)$ are column vectors $K \times 1$ and $F = (F_j)$ is a column vector $n \times 1$. Therefore, $[G + B^T M^{-1}F] = (B^T M^{-1}B)\lambda$ or $\lambda = (B^T M^{-1}B)^{-1}[G + B^T M^{-1}F]$. Substituting this back to (A.1) we get explicit constrained equations:

$$M\ddot{q} = F - B(B^T M^{-1}B)^{-1}[G + B^T M^{-1}F]. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Thus, for achieving explicit equations in the Lagrangian formalism, it is also necessary to compute analytical inversion of the $K \times K$ matrix $(B^T M^{-1}B)$ which is exactly equal the matrix S in the Dirac approach where the Hamiltonian obtained from the Legendre transformation: $\mathcal{H} = p\dot{q} - \mathcal{L}$ with $p = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}}$.

B N-pendulum in d dimensional space

We denote the position of the i -th mass as $\vec{r}_i = (x_{d(i-1)+1}, \dots, x_{di})$, its momentum as $\vec{p}_i = (p_{d(i-1)+1}, \dots, p_{di})$, the relative position of i -th and j -th mass $\vec{r}_{ij} = \vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j$, the relative position of two consecutive masses (or shortly link vector) $\Delta \vec{r}_i = \vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_{i+1}$, the relative velocity of two consecutive masses $\Delta \vec{v}_i = \frac{\vec{p}_i}{m_i} - \frac{\vec{p}_{i+1}}{m_{i+1}}$, and the unit vector of the link vector $\vec{e}_i = \frac{\Delta \vec{r}_i}{|\Delta \vec{r}_i|}$.

B.1 Hamilton-Dirac description for N-pendulum

The Hamiltonian is given by $\mathcal{H}(\vec{r}, \vec{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{|\vec{p}_i|^2}{2m_i} + g m_i x_{di} \right]$, and $2N$ second-class constraints follow:




Fig. B.1. N-pendulum is a constrained system with N length constraints, which can be viewed as a linear polymer with fixed end.

$$\phi_k(\vec{r}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{j=1}^d x_j^2 - l_1^2) = \frac{1}{2}(|\vec{r}_1|^2 - l_1^2) & \text{for } k = 1, \\ \frac{1}{2}(|\Delta\vec{r}_k|^2 - l_k^2) & \text{for } 1 < k \leq N \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_k(\vec{r}, \vec{p}) = \{\phi_k, \mathcal{H}\} = \begin{cases} \vec{r}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_1 & \text{for } k = 1, \\ \Delta\vec{v}_k \cdot \Delta\vec{r}_k & \text{for } 1 < k \leq N. \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

B.2 Lagrange Multiplier Method for N-pendulum

The Lagrangian is given by $\mathcal{L}(\vec{r}, \vec{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{|\vec{p}_i|^2}{2m_i} - g m_i x_{di} \right]$, and N length-constraints follow:

$$\phi_k(\vec{r}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}[\sum_{j=1}^d x_j^2 - l_1^2] = \frac{1}{2}(|\vec{r}_1|^2 - l_1^2) & \text{for } k = 1, \\ \frac{1}{2}(|\Delta\vec{r}_k|^2 - l_k^2) & \text{for } 1 < k \leq N. \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

In order to calculate explicit eq. (A.5) we need to calculate explicit elements of S^{-1} where S follows:

$$B^T M^{-1} B = S = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & b_1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ b_1 & c_2 & b_2 & 0 & & \vdots \\ 0 & b_2 & c_3 & b_3 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & c_{N-1} & b_{N-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & b_{N-1} & c_N \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\text{B.4})$$

here

$$\begin{aligned}
b_i &= \begin{cases} \frac{\vec{r}_1 \cdot \Delta \vec{r}_1}{m_1} = \frac{l_1 l_2}{m_1} \cos(\alpha_1) & \text{for } i = 1, \\ -\frac{\Delta \vec{r}_{i-1} \cdot \Delta \vec{r}_i}{m_i} = \frac{l_i l_{i+1}}{m_i} \cos(\alpha_i) & \text{for } 1 < i \leq N-1, \end{cases} \\
c_i &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m_1} l_1^2 & \text{for } i = 1, \\ \left(\frac{1}{m_{i-1}} + \frac{1}{m_i} \right) l_i^2 & \text{for } 1 < i \leq N. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

C Symbolic Inversion of Symmetric Tridiagonal Matrices

In this section we discuss problem of symbolic inversion general symmetric tridiagonal matrix whose explicit form is given in (C.1).

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & b_1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ b_1 & c_2 & b_2 & 0 & & \vdots \\ 0 & b_2 & c_3 & b_3 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & c_{K-1} & b_{K-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & b_{K-1} & c_K \end{bmatrix} \tag{C.1}$$

Notation: Let $M = (M_{i,j})$ be a matrix. Define as $M(i_1, \dots, i_p; j_1, \dots, j_q)$ the matrix consisting of elements $M_{i,j}$ where $i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_p\}$ and $j \in \{j_1, \dots, j_q\}$. In the case $\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \equiv \{j_1, \dots, j_q\}$ instead writing $M(i_1, \dots, i_p; i_1, \dots, i_p)$ we will write $M(i_1, \dots, i_p)$.

Definition 3 A $n \times n$ symmetric matrix Q is called an one-pair matrix if its elements are products of components of two vectors $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$, i.e.

$$Q_{i,j} = \begin{cases} u_i w_j & \text{for } i \leq j \\ u_j w_i & \text{for } i \geq j. \end{cases} \tag{C.2}$$

C.1 Direct computation

Since $(S^{-1})_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} |S^{(j;i)}| / \det S$, in order to compute elements of S^{-1} one has to compute the determinant $|S| = \det S$ and the co-factor $(-1)^{i+j} |S^{(j;i)}|$.

First, if denote the determinant of $k \times k$ symmetric tridiagonal matrix by S_k , then S_k can be calculated recursively as follows:

$$S_0 = 1, S_1 = c_1, S_k = c_k S_{k-1} - b_{k-1}^2 S_{k-2}. \quad (\text{C.3})$$

Second, since S is tridiagonal, the $S^{(j;i)}$ has three decoupled sub-blocks on the main diagonal with the order $(i-1)$, $|j-i|$ and $(K-j)$.

Denote the determinant of a matrix (S_{ab}) whose indexes a, b belong to the set $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p\}$ by $|S(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p)|$, with $i \leq j$ we have

$$(S^{-1})_{i,j} = (-1)^{i+j} \frac{|S(1, \dots, i-1)| |S(j+1, \dots, K)|}{|S(1, \dots, K)|} b_i b_{i+1} \dots b_{j-1}. \quad (\text{C.4})$$

For $K \geq k \geq l \geq 1$, the $|S(l, \dots, k)|$ is computed from recursive relation: $|S(\emptyset)| = 1, |S(l)| = c_l, |S(l, \dots, k)| = c_k |S(l, \dots, k-1)| - b_{k-1}^2 |S(l, \dots, k-2)|$.

Introducing $d_i^{(l)} = |S(l, \dots, l+i-1)|$ with $l+i-1 \leq K$, the sequence $d_i^{(l)}$ for fixed value of l is determined by the recursion:

$$d_0^{(l)} = 0, d_1^{(l)} = c_l, d_{i+1}^{(l)} = c_{l+i} d_i^{(l)} - b_{l+i-1}^2 d_{i-1}^{(l)}. \quad (\text{C.5})$$

The elements of the inverse matrix are calculated as follows:

$$(S^{-1})_{i,j} = (-1)^{i+j} \frac{d_{i-1}^{(1)} d_{K-j}^{(j+1)}}{d_K^{(1)}} b_i b_{i+1} \dots b_{j-1}, \text{ for } i \leq j. \quad (\text{C.6})$$

If $b_i \neq 0$, one can introduce

$$u_i = \frac{(-1)^i}{|S|} |S(1, \dots, i-1)| b_i b_{i+1} \dots b_{K-1} \quad (\text{C.7})$$

$$w_j = (-1)^j \frac{|S(j+1, \dots, K)|}{b_j b_{j+1} \dots b_{K-1}}, \quad (\text{C.8})$$

and express elements of S^{-1} by two vectors (u_k) and (w_k)

$$(S^{-1})_{i,j} = u_i w_j \text{ for } i \leq j. \quad (\text{C.9})$$

Thus, we have proved that the inverse of a symmetric tridiagonal matrix is one-pair matrix. The reverse statement remains true. Eq. (C.5) and (C.6) seem to define the most effective algorithm for computing elements of S^{-1} .

C.2 Block diagonalization

This method based on the observation that for a symmetric tridiagonal matrix S it is easy to find a sequence of upper triangular (non-symmetric) tridiagonal matrices $U_k, k = 1, \dots, K-1$, with the main diagonal $\{1, \dots, 1, x_k, z_k, 1, \dots, 1\}$ and its upper neighbour diagonal $\{0, \dots, 0, y_k, 0, \dots, 0\}$, i.e.

$$U_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & x_k & y_k & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & 0 & z_k & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\text{C.10})$$

such that $\forall k, 1 \leq k \leq K-1$:

$$(U_1 \dots U_k)^T S (U_1 \dots U_k) = \begin{bmatrix} I_{k+1} & \vdots & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \beta_{k+1} & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \beta_{k+1} & c_{k+2} & b_{k+2} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & b_{k+2} & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & b_{K-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & b_{K-1} & c_K & \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{C.11})$$

Thus, their product $U = U_1 \dots U_{K-1}$ is the upper triangular satisfying: $U^T S U = I$. This implies that the inverse matrix of S is a product of U and its transposition, $S^{-1} = U U^T$. With convention $z_0 = 1$, elements of U follow:

$$U_{i,j} = \begin{cases} x_j (y_i \cdots y_{j-1}) z_{i-1} & \text{for } i \leq j-1 \\ x_j z_{j-1} & \text{for } i = j \\ 0 & \text{for } i > j. \end{cases} \quad (\text{C.12})$$

In order to calculate U_k one has to solve recursively a system of 3 quadratic equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_k & 0 \\ y_k & z_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_k & \beta_k \\ \beta_k & d_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_k & y_k \\ 0 & z_k \end{bmatrix} = I, \quad (\text{C.13})$$

where

$$a_1 = c_1, \beta_1 = b_1, d_1 = c_2, \text{ and for } k > 1: \\ a_k = 1, \beta_k = b_k z_{k-1} = b_k \sqrt{\frac{a_{k-1}}{a_{k-1} d_{k-1} - (\beta_{k-1})^2}}, d_k = c_{k+1}. \quad (\text{C.14})$$

In each stage, the quadratic system (C.13) has 4 solutions but for our purpose it is enough to consider only one among them

$$x_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_k}}, y_k = -\frac{\beta_k}{\sqrt{a_k [a_k d_k - (\beta_k)^2]}}, z_k = \frac{\sqrt{a_k}}{\sqrt{a_k d_k - (\beta_k)^2}}. \quad (\text{C.15})$$

Express the elements of the inverse matrix $S^{-1} = UU^T$ respecting (C.12)

$$\begin{aligned} (S^{-1})_{i,j} &= \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} U_{i,k} U_{j,k} = \sum_{k=\max\{i,j\}}^{K-1} U_{i,k} U_{j,k} \\ &= \sum_{k=\max\{i,j\}}^{K-1} (x_k)^2 (y_i \dots y_{k-1}) (y_j \dots y_{k-1}) z_{i-1} z_{j-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.16})$$

Note that in (C.16), recursion appears only in the expression of β_k :

$$\beta_1 = b_1, \beta_2 = b_2 \sqrt{\frac{c_1}{c_1 c_2 - b_1^2}}, \beta_k = b_k \sqrt{\frac{1}{c_k - (\beta_{k-1})^2}}, \text{ for } k > 2. \quad (\text{C.17})$$

References

- [1] Marsden J E and Ratiu T S 1994 *Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetries* Springer Heidelberg
- [2] Dirac P A M 1950 *Generalized Hamiltonian Mechanics* *Canad. J. Math* **2** 129; 1950 *Proc. Roy. Soc. London* **A 246** 326; 1964 *Lecture Notes on Quantum Mechanics* Yeshiva Univ. NY
- [3] Flato M, Lichnerowicz A and Sternheimer D 1976 *Deformations of Poisson brackets, Dirac brackets and applications* *J. Math. Phys.* **17** No. **9** (1976) 1754-1762

- [4] Śniatycki J 1974 *Dirac brackets in geometric dynamics* Annales de l'Inst. Henri Poincaré **XX** 4 365-372
- [5] Bhaskara K H and Viswanath K 1988 *Poisson algebras and Poisson manifolds* Pitman Research Notes in Math No. **174** Longman Sci.
- [6] Schouten J A 1954 *On the differential operators of first order in tensor calculus* Conv. Int. Geom. Diff. Roma Cremanese 1-7
- [7] Vaisman I 1994 *Lectures on the Geometry of Poisson Manifolds* Progress in Math. **118** Birkhäuser Basel
- [8] Xu P 2003 *Dirac submanifolds and Poisson involutions* Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 36 403-430. Preprint available at: math.SG/0110326
- [9] Crainic M and Fernandes R L 2004 *Integrability of Poisson brackets* J. Diff. Geom. 66(1):71137
- [10] Marciniak K and Błaszczak M 2005 *Geometric reduction of Hamiltonian systems* Rep. Math. Phys. **55** 325-339
- [11] Langer J S and Turski L A 1973 *Hydrodynamic Model of the Condensation of a Vapor near Its Critical Point* Phys. Rev. **A** 8 3230-43
- [12] Enz C P and Turski L A 1979 *The Fokker-Planck Equation for Compressible Liquids* Physica **A** 96 369
- [13] Morrison P J 1986 *A paradigm for joined Hamiltonian and dissipative dynamics* Physica D **18** 410-419
- [14] Nguyen Q H S and Turski L A 2001 *On Dirac approach to constrained dissipative dynamics* J. Phys. **A**: Math. Gen. **34** 9281-9302; See related reference therein
- [15] Tanner H W L 1878 *A Theorem relating to Pfaffians* Messenger of Mathematics **8** 56-59
- [16] Knuth D 1996 *Overlapping Pfaffians* Electronic J. of Combinatorics **3** 151-163. Preprint available at: math.co/9503234
- [17] Vein R and Dale P 1999 *Determinants and Their Applications in Mathematical Physics* Appl. Math. Scie. Vol **134** Springer-Verlag New York 46-50
- [18] Hu G Y and O'Connell R F 1996 *Analytical inversion of symmetric tridiagonal matrices* J. Phys. **A**: Math. Gen. **29** 1511-1513
- [19] N.I. Badler, C.B. Phillips, D.L. Webber 1999 *Simulations humans. Computer graphics, animation and control* Oxford University Press
- [20] C. Maurer and R.J. Peterke 2005 *A new interpretation of spontaneous sway measure based on a simple model of human posture control* J. Neurophysiol. 93, 189
- [21] M Leuk, C.C. Chow, A.E. Pavliik, J.J. Collins 1998 *Human Balance out of the equilibrium: Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics in Posture Control* Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 413

- [22] Nguyen Q H S and Turski L A 1999 *Canonical description of incompressible fluid: Dirac brackets approach* *Physica A* **272** 48-55
- [23] Fixman M 1974 *Classical Statistical Mechanics of Constraints: A Theorem and Application* *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, Vol. **71** No. **8** 3050-3053
- [24] Turski L A 1983 *On the hydrodynamics of desorbing adsorbate* *Phys. Rev. A* **28** 2548. See related reference therein