

## DOES CHIRAL FERMION COUPLED TO A BACKGROUND DILATON FIELD PRESERVE INFORMATION?

Anisur Rahaman, Durgapur Govt. College, Durgapur - 713214, Burdwan,  
West Bengal, INDIA

e-mail: anisur.rahman@saha.ac.in

PACS No. 11.10 Kk, 11.15. -q

Keywords: Chiral Fermion, Dilaton gravity, Information loss

### Abstract

A model where chiral boson is coupled to a background dilaton field is considered to study the s-wave scattering of fermion by a back ground dilaton black hole. It is found that the scattering process of chiral fermion does not violate unitarity and information remains preserved. Faddeevian anomaly plays a crucial role on the information scenario.

In recent years there has been a lot of interest in the physics of information loss. Matter falling into the black holes carries some information with it. That becomes inaccessible to the rest of the world. A problem arises when the black hole evaporates through Hawking radiation. It is a controversial issue whether or not quantum coherence would be maintained during the formation and subsequent evaporation of a black hole. Quite a long ago Hawking suggested that the process did not preserve information and unitarity failed to be maintained. [1]. It was an indication of a new level of unpredictability in the realm of quantum mechanics induced by gravity. There were plenty of opinions that went against Hawking's suggestion. The main theme of those opinions was that the information about the initial state of the system was carried by some Planck scale steady remnant [2, 3]. However, it is fair to admit that the issue gradually shifted against Hawking's suggestion but it was not well settled.

In a recent publication, we find that Hawking has moved away from his previous belief and suggested that quantum gravity interaction does not lead to any loss of information. So there lies no problem to maintain quantum coherence during the formation and subsequent evaporation of the black hole [4]. In spite of that, Hawking radiation effect on fermion information loss problem is not well understood [5]. Even now it has been standing as a controversial issue.

This type of problem is very difficult to analyze in general. However, some less complicated models are around us which were solved to study this paradox [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. In these studies only the s-wave scattering of fermion incident on the extremal charged black hole was considered. Angular momentum coordinate becomes irrelevant in this situation and a two dimensional effective action results. Though those simplified models do not capture the detailed physics of black hole those models contain the information loss paradox in a significant way [8, 10, 11]. Scattering of fermion was not studied only in connection with information loss, scattering of boson was also studied in [12, 13, 14] in the same context. The scattering of chiral fermion off dilaton black hole was not ignored too. It was considered with a particular interest in [11].

The scattering of Dirac fermion itself is an interesting problem [6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14]. If Dirac fermions are replaced by the chiral fermion it makes the analysis more complex because the chiral fermions generate anomaly in the energy momentum sector when they couple to gravity [15]. Therefore,

to get a solution for this type of complicated problem from the study of the s-wave scattering of fermion from a back ground dilaton field is interesting in its own right.

In ref. [11], the authors showed that the scattering of chiral fermion can be studied in presence of the anomalies too if one deals with the bosonized lagrangian. The process of bosonization forces anomaly to enter into the picture. The anomaly involved in studying this scattering problem [16] lead to the author to conclude that information loss stood as a genuine problem. Consequently, unitarity fails to be maintained. Of course it was the correct conclusion, and the result was consistent too with the Hawking's previous belief. Dirac fermion in [8, 10] gave a completely opposite result. It exhibited an information preserving result. In this situation one automatically may be moved by the question 'Is there any possibility of getting a result for chiral fermion which would be in agreement with the Hawking's recent suggestion?' The answer certainly may come from the investigation whether chiral fermion can offer information preserving result like Dirac fermion. This motivates us to investigate the scattering of chiral fermion off dilatonic black hole in a different setting.

To this end we consider a model where chiral fermion gets coupled to a background dilaton field  $\Phi$ . Of course, electromagnetic background is there. For sufficiently low energy incoming fermion, the scattering of s-wave fermion incident on a charge dilaton black hole can be described by the action

$$\mathcal{S}_f = \int d^2x [i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu[\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu]\psi - \frac{1}{4}e^{-2\Phi(x)}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}]. \quad (1)$$

Here  $e$  has one mass dimension. The indices  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  takes the values 0 and 1 in  $(1+1)$  dimensional space time. The dilaton field  $\Phi$  stands as a non dynamical back ground and its only role in this model is to make the coupling constant a position dependent one. Let us now define  $g^2(x) = e^{2\Phi(x)}$ . Here as usual we will choose a particular dilaton background motivated by the linear dilatonic vacuum of  $(1+1)$  dimensional gravity. Therefore,  $\Phi(x) = -x^1$ , where  $x^1$  is space like coordinate. The region  $x^1 \rightarrow \infty$ , corresponds to exterior space where the coupling  $g^2(x)$  vanishes and the fermion will be able to propagate freely. However, the region where  $x^1 \rightarrow -\infty$ , the coupling constant will diverge and it is analogous to infinite throat in the interior of certain magnetically charged black hole.

The equation (1) is obtained from the action

$$S_{AF} = \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} [R + 4(\nabla\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{Q^2} - \frac{1}{2}F^2 + i\bar{\psi}D\psi] \quad (2)$$

for sufficiently low energy incoming fermion and negligible gravitational effect [8]. It is a two dimensional effective field theory of dilaton gravity coupled to fermion. Here  $\Phi$  represents the scalar dilaton field and  $\psi$  is the charged fermion. Equation (2) was derived viewing the throat region of a four dimensional dilatonic black hole as a compactification from four to two dimension [3, 6, 8]. Note that, in the extremal limit, the geometry is completely non-singular and there is no horizon but when a low energy particle is thrown into the non-singular extremal black hole, it produces a singularity and an event horizon. In this context, we should mention that the geometry of the four dimensional dilatonic black hole consists of three regions [3, 6, 7, 8]. First one is the asymptotically flat region far from the black hole. As long as one proceed nearer to the black hole the curvature begins to rise and finally enters into the mouth region (the entry region to the throat). Well into the throat region, the metric is approximated by the flat two dimensional Minkosky space times the round metric on the two sphere with radius  $Q$  and equation (2) results. The dilaton field  $\Phi$  indeed increases linearly with the proper distance into the throat.

In the present situation we are interested in studying the scattering of chiral fermion. So we need to replace the vector interaction by the chiral interaction which leads to

$$\mathcal{S}_f = \int d^2x [i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu(1 + \gamma_5))\psi - \frac{1}{4}e^{-2\Phi(x)}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}]. \quad (3)$$

Equation (3), is the quantum chiral electrodynamics in place of quantum electrodynamics with a dilaton back ground field  $\Phi$ . This can be decoupled into the following

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_f &= \int d^2x [\bar{\psi}_R\gamma_\mu\partial^\mu\psi_R + i\bar{\psi}_L\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu)\psi_L \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}e^{-2\Phi(x)}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Here  $\psi_R$  represents the right handed fermion. This right handed fermions remain uncoupled in this type of chiral interaction. Integration over the right

handed part gives a field independent counter part which can be absorbed within the normalization and the action reduces to the following

$$\mathcal{S}_f = \int d^2x [i\bar{\psi}_L \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu + i2e\sqrt{\pi}A_\mu)\psi_L - \frac{1}{4}e^{-2\Phi(x)}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}]. \quad (5)$$

In equation (5)  $e$  is replaced by  $2e\sqrt{\pi}$  for later convenience. We now bosonize the theory. The advantage of using the bosonized version is that the anomaly automatically gets incorporated within it. So the tree level bosonized theory contains the effect of anomaly too. In order to bosonize the theory when we integrate out the left handed fermion anomaly enter into the theory. The anomaly considered in this situation is of Faddeevian class [21]. With the generalized Faddeevian anomaly [19, 20] the bosonized action reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{CH} &= \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi + e(\eta^{\mu\nu} - \epsilon^{\mu\nu})\partial_\mu\phi A_\nu \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}e^2[A_0^2 - A_1^2 + 2\alpha A_1(A_0 + A_1)] - \frac{1}{4}e^{-2\Phi(x)}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

This equation though shows no Lorentz covariant structure it has the physical Lorentz invariance [20]. Here we impose the chiral constraint  $\Omega(x) = \pi(x) - \phi'(x)$ , to express the action in terms of chiral boson following the procedure available in [17]. In terms of chiral boson the model turns into

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{CH} &= \dot{\phi}\phi' - \phi'^2 + 2e(A_0 - A_1)\phi' \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}e^2[2(\alpha - 1)A_1^2 + 2(\alpha + 1)A_0A_1] + \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\Phi(x)}F_{01}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Here  $\phi$  represents a scalar field. Note that  $\dot{\phi}^2$  is absent because the first two terms in the lagrangian (7) corresponds to the kinetic term for chiral boson [22, 23]. It is now necessary to carry out the Hamiltonian analysis of the theory to observe the role of dilaton field on the equation of motion. From the standard definition of momentum the canonical momenta corresponding to the chiral boson field  $\phi$ , the gauge field  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  are obtained.

$$\pi_\phi = \phi', \quad (8)$$

$$\pi_0 = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$\pi_1 = e^{-2\phi(x)}(\dot{A}_1 - A'_0) = \frac{1}{g^2}(\dot{A}_1 - A_0). \quad (10)$$

Here  $\pi_\phi$ ,  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_1$  are the momenta corresponding to the field  $\phi$ ,  $A_0$  and  $A_1$ . Using the above equations it is straightforward to obtain the canonical Hamiltonian through a Legendre transformation. The canonical Hamiltonian is found out to be

$$H_C = \int dx \left[ \frac{1}{2} e^{2\Phi} \pi_1^2 + \pi_1 A'_0 + \phi'^2 - 2e(A_0 - A_1)\phi' - \frac{1}{2} e^2 [2(\alpha - 1)A_1^2 + 2(1 + \alpha)A_0 A_1] \right]. \quad (11)$$

The Hamiltonian though acquires an explicit space dependence through the dilaton field  $\Phi(x)$ , it has no time dependence. So it is preserved in time. Equation (8) and (9) are the primary constraints of the theory. Therefore, it is necessary to write down an effective Hamiltonian:

$$H_{eff} = H_C + u\pi_0 + v(\pi_\phi - \phi'), \quad (12)$$

where  $u$  and  $v$  are two arbitrary Lagrange multipliers. The primary constraints (8) and (9) have to be preserved in order to have a consistent theory. The preservation of the constraint (9), leads to a new constraint which is the Gauss law of the theory:

$$G = \pi'_1 + 2e\phi' + e^2(1 + \alpha)A_1 = 0. \quad (13)$$

The preservation of the constraint (8) though does not give rise to any new constraint it fixes the velocity  $v$  which comes out to be

$$v = \phi' - e(A_0 - A_1). \quad (14)$$

The constraint (13), also has to be conserved and the conservation of it requires

$$\dot{G} = 0. \quad (15)$$

A new constraint

$$(1 + \alpha)e^{2\Phi}\pi_1 + 2\alpha(A'_0 + A'_1) = 0, \quad (16)$$

appears from the preservation condition (15). No new constraints come out from the preservation of (16). So we find that the phase space of the theory contains the following four constraints.

$$\omega_1 = \pi_0, \quad (17)$$

$$\omega_2 = \pi_1' + 2e\phi' + e^2(1 + \alpha)A_1 = 0, \quad (18)$$

$$\omega_3 = (1 + \alpha)e^{2\Phi}\pi_1 + 2\alpha(A_0' + A_1') = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$\omega_4 = \pi_\phi - \phi'. \quad (20)$$

The four constraints (17), (18), (19) and (20) form a second class set and all of these are weak condition up to this stage. If we impose these constraints strongly into the canonical Hamiltonian (11), the canonical Hamiltonian gets simplified into the following.

$$H_R = \int dx^1 \left[ \frac{1}{2} e^{2\Phi(x)} \pi_1^2 + \frac{1}{4e^2} \pi_1'^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha - 1) \pi_1' A_1 + \frac{1}{4} e^2 [(1 + \alpha)^2 - 8\alpha] A_1^2 \right]. \quad (21)$$

$H_R$  given in equation (21), is generally known as reduced Hamiltonian. According to Dirac, Poisson bracket gets invalidate for this reduced Hamiltonian [24]. This reduced Hamiltonian however remains consistent with the Dirac brackets which is defined by

$$[A(x), B(y)]^* = [A(x), B(y)] - \int [A(x), \omega_i(\eta)] C_{ij}^{-1}(\eta, z) [\omega_j(z), B(y)] d\eta dz, \quad (22)$$

where  $C_{ij}^{-1}(x, y)$  is defined by

$$\int C_{ij}^{-1}(x, z) [\omega_j(z), \omega_k(y)] dz = \delta(x - y) \delta_{ik}. \quad (23)$$

Here  $i$  and  $j$  runs from 1 to 4 and  $\omega$ 's represent the constraints of the theory. With the definition (22), we can compute the Dirac brackets between the fields describing the reduced Hamiltonian  $H_R$ . The Dirac brackets between the fields  $A_1$  and  $\pi_1$  are required to obtain the theoretical spectra (equation of motion):

$$[A_1(x), A_1(y)]^* = \frac{1}{2\alpha e^2} \delta'(x - y), \quad (24)$$

$$[A_1(x), \pi_1(y)]^* = \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{2\alpha} \delta(x - y), \quad (25)$$

$$[\pi_1(x), \pi_1(y)]^* = -\frac{(1 + \alpha)^2}{4\alpha} e^2 \epsilon(x - y). \quad (26)$$

Using (21), (24), (25) and (26), we obtain the following first order equations of motion:

$$\dot{A}_1 = \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{2\alpha} e^{2\Phi(x)} \pi_1 - A_1', \quad (27)$$

$$\dot{\pi}_1 = \pi_1' + 2(\alpha - 1)e^2 A_1. \quad (28)$$

After a little algebra we find that the field  $\pi_1$  satisfy a Klein Gordon equation

$$(\square - e^{2\Phi} e^2 \frac{(\alpha - 1)^2}{\alpha}) \pi_1 = 0, \quad (29)$$

The equation (29), represents a massive boson with square of the mass  $m^2 = g^2 \frac{-(1-\alpha)^2}{\alpha} e^2$ . Here  $\alpha$  must be negative in order to have the mass of the boson a physical one. Mass of this boson however in this particular situation is not constant. It contains a position dependent factor  $g^2 = e^{2\Phi}$  where  $\Phi = -x^1$  for the background motivated by the linear dilatonic vacuum of  $(1 + 1)$  dimensional gravity. Therefore,  $m^2 \rightarrow +\infty$  when  $x^1 \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $m^2 \rightarrow 0$  when  $x^1 \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus mass of the boson is found to be increased indefinitely in the negative  $x^1$  direction which implies that any finite energy contribution must be totally reflected and an observer at  $x^1 \rightarrow \infty$  will recover all information. To be more precise, mass will vanish near the mouth (the entry region to the throat) but increases indefinitely as one goes into the throat because of the variation of this space dependent factor  $g^2$ . Since massless scalar is equivalent to massless fermion in  $(1 + 1)$  dimension, we can conclude that a massless fermion proceeding into the black hole will not be able to travel an arbitrarily long distance and will be reflected back with a unit probability. So, there will be no information loss and a unitary s-matrix can be constructed for this particular scattering problem. This results reminds us the scattering of Dirac fermion [8, 10]. Thus in the description of scattering of chiral fermion where  $U(1)$  anomaly has been taken into account with the introduction of Faddeevian class of anomalous term is found to be free from the *dangerous* information loss problem. Note that this result is just opposite to the conclusion of [11]. The analysis available in [11] and the present analysis differs only in the anomaly structure but this particular class of anomaly has brought a novel change in the information scenario for chiral fermion. It is tempting to note that the change appeared in the scattering of chiral fermion in connection with information scenario is consistent with the standard belief as well as with the Hawking's recent suggestion. It is fair to admit here that the mechanism how this new setting of anomaly saved the model from the danger of information loss is not clear and certainly needs further investigation. However, one should not think that it has come out of the blue. We observed the crucial role of anomaly elsewhere too,



e. g., in quantum electro dynamics and quantum chiral electrodynamics etc. [16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. A famous instance is the removal of the long suffering of the chiral electro dynamics from the non unitarity problem [16]. To conclude this we would like to mention that anomaly not always appears as a disturbing term, some times it appears as a surprise to give a relief from disturbance. The present work would be an an example of that.

**Acknowledgment:** It is a pleasure to thank the Director, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics and the Head of the Theory Group of Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata for providing working facilities. I would also like to thanks the referee for his valuable suggestion.

## References

- [1] S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys, **43** (1975) 199
- [2] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. **B335** (1990) 138
- [3] D. Garfinkle, G. Horowitz and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. **D43** (1991) 3140
- [4] S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev **D72** (2005) 084013
- [5] D. Ahn, quant-Ph/0604080
- [6] S. Giddings and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 627
- [7] T. Banks, A. Dabholkar, M. Douglas and M. O'Loughlin, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) 3607
- [8] M. Alford and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 563
- [9] C. Callan, S. Giddings, J. Hervey and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) R1005
- [10] A. Peet, L. Susskind and L. Thorlacius, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 3435
- [11] A. Ghosh and P. Mitra, Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994) 7389
- [12] P. Fischer et al, Phys. Lett. **521** (2001) 357

- [13] Grumiller, W. Kummer and D. V. Vassilevich, Phys. Rept. **369** (2002) 327
- [14] Grumiller and R. Meyer, Class. Quant. Grav. **23** (2006) 6435
- [15] S. Bellucci, M. F. L. Golterman and D. N. Petcher, Nucl. Phys. **B326** (1989) 307
- [16] R Jackiw and R Rajaraman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54** (1985) 1219
- [17] K. Harada, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990) 139
- [18] P. Mitra, Phys. Lett **B284** (1992) 23
- [19] S. Ghosh and P. Mitra, Phys. Rev. **D44** (1991) 1332
- [20] A. Rahaman, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. **A21** (2006) 1251
- [21] L. D. Faddeev, Phys. Lett. **B167** (1986) 225
- [22] W. Siegel, Nucl. Phys. **B238** (1984) 307 (1984)
- [23] R. Floreanini, R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**(1987) 1873
- [24] P. A. M. Dirac, Lectures on Quantum Mechanics(Yeshiva Univ. Press New York, 1964)