

Weight Distribution of A p -ary Cyclic Code

Xiangyong Zeng¹, Lei Hu², Wenfeng Jiang², Qin Yue³, Xiwang Cao³

1. Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Hubei University, Wuhan, 430062, China

E-mail: xzeng@hubu.edu.cn

2. State Key Laboratory of Information Security, Graduate School of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China

E-mail: {hu,wfjiang}@is.ac.cn

3. Department of Math, School of Sciences

Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, China

E-mail: {yueqin,xwcao}@nuaa.edu.cn

Abstract: For an odd prime p and two positive integers $n \geq 3$ and k with $\frac{n}{\gcd(n,k)}$ being odd, the paper determines the weight distribution of a p -ary cyclic code \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{F}_p with nonzeros α^{-1} , $\alpha^{-(p^k+1)}$ and $\alpha^{-(p^{3k}+1)}$, where α is a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} .

Keywords: Cyclic code, exponential sum, quadratic form, weight distribution, linearized polynomial

1 Introduction

Nonlinear functions over finite fields have useful applications in coding theory and cryptography [2, 15]. Some linear codes having good properties [3, 5, 7, 13, 15, 17] were constructed from highly nonlinear functions [4, 6, 8, 16].

Let q be a power of a prime p , and \mathbb{F}_{q^n} be a finite field with q^n elements. A p -ary $[m, l]$ linear code is a linear subspace of \mathbb{F}_p^m with dimension l . The Hamming weight of a codeword $c_1 c_2 \cdots c_m$ is the number of nonzero c_i for $1 \leq i \leq m$. In this paper, we study a $[p^n - 1, 3n]$ cyclic code \mathcal{C} given by

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ \mathbf{c}(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta) = \left(\text{Tr}_1^n(\epsilon x + \gamma x^{p^k+1} + \delta x^{p^{3k}+1}) \right)_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*} \mid \epsilon, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \right\},$$

where k is a positive integer and Tr_1^n is the trace function from \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to \mathbb{F}_p . This code is constructed from the function $\text{Tr}_1^n(\epsilon x + \gamma x^{p^k+1} + \delta x^{p^{3k}+1})$, which can have high nonlinearity if either γ or δ is nonzero. It is easy to know that α^{-1} , $\alpha^{-(p^k+1)}$, $\alpha^{-(p^{3k}+1)}$ and their \mathbb{F}_p -conjugates are all nonzeros of the cyclic code \mathcal{C} , where α is a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} [15].

In this paper we assume that p and $\frac{n}{\gcd(k,n)}$ are both odd, and we determine the weight distribution of the code \mathcal{C} . To this goal, we will focus on determining the ranks of a class of quadratic forms and calculating two classes of exponential sums. The ranks of quadratic forms are determined through finding the number of solutions to a class of linearized polynomials

$$L_{\gamma,\delta}(z) = \gamma z^{p^k} + \gamma^{p^{-k}} z^{p^{-k}} + \delta z^{p^{3k}} + \delta^{p^{-3k}} z^{p^{-3k}}$$

over the field \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . By applying the theory of quadratic forms, two classes of exponential sums are evaluated and the weight distribution of the cyclic code \mathcal{C} is determined. Throughout the paper, we set $d = \gcd(k, n)$, $s = \frac{n}{\gcd(k,n)}$ and $n \geq 3$.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives some definitions and preliminaries. Section 3 studies the rank distribution of a class of quadratic forms. Section 4 determines the weight distribution of \mathcal{C} .

2 Preliminaries

Identifying \mathbb{F}_{q^n} with the n -dimensional \mathbb{F}_q -vector space \mathbb{F}_q^n , a univariable polynomial $f(x)$ defined on \mathbb{F}_{q^n} can be regarded as an n -variable polynomial on \mathbb{F}_q . The former is called a quadratic form if the latter is a homogeneous polynomial of degree two:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq n} a_{jk} x_j x_k,$$

here we use a basis of \mathbb{F}_q^n over \mathbb{F}_q and identify $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ with a vector $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. The rank of the quadratic form $f(x)$ is defined as the codimension of the \mathbb{F}_q -vector space

$$W = \{w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \mid f(x+w) = f(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}, \quad (1)$$

denoted by $\text{rank}(f)$. Then $|W| = q^{n-\text{rank}(f)}$.

For a quadratic form $f(x)$, there exists a symmetric matrix A such that $f(x) = X^T A X$, where X is written as a column vector and its transpose is $X^T = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. The determinant $\det(f)$ of $f(x)$ is defined to be the determinant of A , and $f(x)$ is nondegenerate if $\det(f) \neq 0$. By Theorem 6.21 of [14], there exists a nonsingular matrix B such that $B^T A B$ is a diagonal matrix. Making a nonsingular linear substitution $X = B Y$ with $Y^T = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, one has

$$f(x) = Y^T B^T A B Y = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i y_i^2 \quad (2)$$

where $r \leq n$ is the rank of $f(x)$ and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

Let m be a positive factor of the integer n . The trace function Tr_m^n from \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to \mathbb{F}_{p^m} is defined by

$$\text{Tr}_m^n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n/m-1} x^{p^{mi}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}.$$

Let $e(y) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\text{Tr}_1^n(y)/p}$ and $\zeta_p = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/p}$.

The following lemmas will be used throughout this paper.

Lemma 1 (Theorems 5.33 and 5.15 of [14]): Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with $q = p^l$, where p is an odd prime. Let η be the quadratic character of \mathbb{F}_q . Then for $a \neq 0$,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^l(ax^2)} = \begin{cases} \eta(a)(-1)^{l-1} p^{\frac{l}{2}}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \eta(a)(-1)^{l-1} (\sqrt{-1})^l p^{\frac{l}{2}}, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2 (Theorems 6.26 and 6.27 of [14]): Let q be an odd prime power, f be a nondegenerate quadratic form over \mathbb{F}_{q^l} . Define a function $v(\rho)$ over \mathbb{F}_q as $v(0) = q - 1$ and $v(\rho) = -1$ for $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then for $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_q$ the number of solutions to the equation $f(x_1, \dots, x_l) = \rho$ is

$$q^{l-1} + q^{\frac{l-1}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{l-1}{2}} \rho \cdot \det(f)\right)$$

for odd l , and

$$q^{l-1} + v(\rho) q^{\frac{l-2}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{l}{2}} \det(f)\right)$$

for even l .

Lemma 3: (i) (Theorems 5.15 of [14]) Let ζ_p be a complex primitive p -th root of unity and η be the quadratic character of \mathbb{F}_p . Then

$$\sum_{\rho=1}^{p-1} \eta(\rho) \zeta_p^\rho = \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p}.$$

(ii) Let the function $v(\rho)$ over \mathbb{F}_p be defined by $v(0) = p - 1$ and $v(\rho) = -1$ for $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. Then

$$\sum_{\rho=1}^{p-1} v(\rho) \zeta_p^\rho = p.$$

3 Rank distribution of a class of quadratic forms

This section studies the rank distribution of the quadratic forms $\text{Tr}_d^n(\gamma x^{p^k+1} + \delta x^{p^{3k}+1})$ for nonzero γ or δ .

To determine the distribution, we define a related exponential sum

$$S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} e\left(\epsilon x + \gamma x^{p^k+1} + \delta x^{p^{3k}+1}\right), \quad \epsilon, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}. \quad (3)$$

Then the possible values of the ranks are measured by evaluating the exponential sum $S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$. For discussion of the exponential sum of a general quadratic form, please refer to references [10] and [12].

Proposition 1: For odd s and $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$, the exponential sum $S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$ satisfies

$$|S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)| = 0, \quad p^{\frac{n}{2}}, \quad p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}, \quad \text{or } p^{\frac{n}{2}+d}.$$

Proof: Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)|^2}{S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta) S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)} \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} e\left(-\epsilon x - \gamma x^{p^k+1} - \delta x^{p^{3k}+1}\right) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} e\left(\epsilon y + \gamma y^{p^k+1} + \delta y^{p^{3k}+1}\right) \\ &= \sum_{x, z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} e\left(\epsilon z + \gamma z^{p^k+1} + \delta z^{p^{3k}+1} + \gamma z^{p^k} x + \gamma z x^{p^k} + \delta z^{p^{3k}} x + \delta z x^{p^{3k}}\right) \quad \text{by } y = x + z \\ &= \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} e\left(\epsilon z + \gamma z^{p^k+1} + \delta z^{p^{3k}+1}\right) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} e(x L_{\gamma, \delta}(z)) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where

$$L_{\gamma, \delta}(z) = \gamma z^{p^k} + \gamma^{p^{-k}} z^{p^{-k}} + \delta z^{p^{3k}} + \delta^{p^{-3k}} z^{p^{-3k}}$$

is a linearized polynomial in z . Let V be the set of all roots of $L_{\gamma, \delta}(z) = 0$. (By abuse of notation, we use V to denote the set in despite of it depending on γ and δ .) Thus, V is an \mathbb{F}_{p^d} -vector space.

By (4), we have

$$|S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)|^2 = p^n \left| \sum_{z \in V} e\left(\epsilon z + \gamma z^{p^k+1} + \delta z^{p^{3k}+1}\right) \right|. \quad (5)$$

Let

$$\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(x) = \gamma x^{p^k+1} + \delta x^{p^{3k}+1} - \delta^{p^{-k}} x^{p^{2k}+p^{-k}} + \delta^{p^{-2k}} x^{p^k+p^{-2k}}. \quad (6)$$

By (6), we have

$$\text{Tr}_1^n(\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)) = \text{Tr}_1^n\left(\gamma z^{p^k+1} + \delta z^{p^{3k}+1}\right) \quad (7)$$

and

$$\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z) + \Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^{-k}} = z L_{\gamma, \delta}(z). \quad (8)$$

If $z \in V$, then by (8),

$$\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^k} = -\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z). \quad (9)$$

By $\gcd(k, n) = d$, there is an integer k' such that $kk' \equiv d \pmod{n}$ and hence, $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^d} = \Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^{kk'}} = (-1)^{k'} \Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)$, where the last equality is derived from (9). If k' is even, $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^d} = \Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)$ and then $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^k} = \Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)$, which together with (9) again implies $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z) = 0$. If k' is odd, then

$$\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^d} = -\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z). \quad (10)$$

By the property $\text{Tr}_d^n(\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)) = \text{Tr}_d^n(\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^{-d}})$ of trace function and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{Tr}_d^n(zL_{\gamma, \delta}(z)) \\ &= \text{Tr}_d^n(\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)) + \text{Tr}_d^n(\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^{-k}}) \\ &= 2\text{Tr}_d^n(\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)) \\ &= 2(\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z) + \Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^d} + \cdots + \Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^{(s-1)d}}) \\ &= 2\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equal sign holds due to (10) and s being odd. This implies $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z) = 0$ and $\text{Tr}_1^n(\gamma z^{p^k+1} + \delta z^{p^{3k}+1}) = \text{Tr}_1^n(\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)) = 0$ by (7). Conversely, if $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z) = 0$, then by (8), $L_{\gamma, \delta}(z) = 0$ and $\text{Tr}_1^n(\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)) = 0$. Therefore, $z \in V$ if and only if $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z) = 0$. Further, in this case $\text{Tr}_1^n(\gamma z^{p^k+1} + \delta z^{p^{3k}+1}) = 0$. Thus, by (5),

$$|S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)| = \sqrt{p^n \left| \sum_{z \in V} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^n(\epsilon z)} \right|}. \quad (11)$$

Since V is an \mathbb{F}_{p^d} -vector space, we can assume $|V| = p^{dm}$ for an integer $m \geq 0$.

If $m \geq 3$, then $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z) = 0$ has at least p^{3d} solutions. For a fixed $z_0 \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and for any $z \in V$, we have $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z) = \Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z_0) = 0$ and $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z + z_0) = 0$ since $z + z_0$ is also in the vector space V . Thus, the equation

$$(z + z_0)(z_0 \Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z) + z \Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z_0)) - z z_0 \Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z + z_0) = 0 \quad (12)$$

has at least p^{3d} solutions.

By (6), Equation (12) becomes

$$\delta^{p^{-2k}} (z^{p^k} z_0 - z z_0^{p^k}) (z^{p^{-2k}} z_0 - z z_0^{p^{-2k}}) - \delta^{p^{-k}} (z^{p^{2k}} z_0 - z z_0^{p^{2k}}) (z^{p^{-k}} z_0 - z z_0^{p^{-k}}) = 0, \quad (13)$$

which has at least p^{3d} roots on variable z . Let $z = w z_0$, then

$$\delta^{p^{-2k}} z_0^{p^k + p^{-2k} + 2} (w^{p^k} - w) (w^{p^{-2k}} - w) - \delta^{p^{-k}} z_0^{p^{2k} + p^{-k} + 2} (w^{p^{2k}} - w) (w^{p^{-k}} - w) = 0.$$

Let $u = w^{p^{-k}} - w$, the above equation can be rewritten as

$$-\delta^{p^{-2k}} z_0^{p^k + p^{-2k}} u^{p^k} (u^{p^{-k}} + u) + \delta^{p^{-k}} z_0^{p^{2k} + p^{-k}} (u^{p^{2k}} + u^{p^k}) u = 0,$$

which has at least p^{2d} roots on u since $w^{p^{-k}} - w = u$ has at most p^d roots on w for each u . Define

$$\Psi_{\delta, z_0}(x) = \delta^{p^{-2k}} z_0^{p^k + p^{-2k}} x^{p^k} (x^{p^{-k}} + x) - \delta^{p^{-k}} z_0^{p^{2k} + p^{-k}} (x^{p^{2k}} + x^{p^k}) x.$$

Similarly, for each nonzero root u_0 of $\Psi_{\delta, z_0}(u) = 0$, the equation

$$(u + u_0) (u_0 \Psi_{\delta, z_0}(u) + u \Psi_{\delta, z_0}(u_0)) - u u_0 \Psi_{\delta, z_0}(u + u_0) = 0$$

has at least p^{2d} solutions on u . By the definition of $\Psi_{\delta, z_0}(x)$, the above equation is equivalent to

$$\delta^{p^{-2k}} z_0^{p^k + p^{-2k}} (u^{p^k} u_0 - u u_0^{p^k}) (u^{p^{-k}} u_0 - u u_0^{p^{-k}}) = 0.$$

This shows that $u = v u_0$ where $v \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}$. Consequently, for each given $u_0 \neq 0$, the above equation has at most p^d roots. This gives a contradiction and then $m \leq 2$.

This finishes the proof. \blacksquare

Remark 1: The possible ranks of some quadratic forms can be determined by directly calculating the number of the solutions to their related linearized polynomials [18, 11]. The number of the roots to the linearized polynomial $L_{\gamma,\delta}(z)$ in Proposition 1 is discussed by studying that of an associated nonlinear polynomial. The method was first presented to study a linear mapping over a finite field of characteristic 2 [9] and further used to discuss some triple error correcting binary codes with BCH parameters [1]. In Proposition 1, we used this method to the cases of odd characteristic.

From Proposition 1, the value of the dimension m determines the rank of the following quadratic form.

Corollary 1: For odd s and $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$, the quadratic form

$$\Omega_{\gamma,\delta}(x) = \text{Tr}_d^n \left(\gamma x^{p^k+1} + \delta x^{p^{3k}+1} \right)$$

has rank s , $s-1$, or $s-2$.

When there is exactly one nonzero element in $\{\gamma, \delta\}$, the rank of $\Omega_{\gamma,\delta}(x)$ can be determined by directly calculating the number of solutions to $L_{\gamma,\delta}(z) = 0$.

Proposition 2: For odd s and $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$, the quadratic forms $\Omega_{\gamma,0}(x) = \text{Tr}_d^n \left(\gamma x^{p^k+1} \right)$ and $\Omega_{0,\delta}(x) = \text{Tr}_d^n \left(\delta x^{p^{3k}+1} \right)$ have rank s .

Proof: We only give the proof of $\text{rank}(\Omega_{0,\delta}) = s$, and another case can be proven in a similar way.

It is sufficient to determine the number of solutions to $\delta z^{p^{3k}+1} + \delta p^{-3k} z^{p^{-3k}} = 0$. This equation has nonzero solutions if and only if $(\delta z^{p^{3k}+1})^{p^{3k}-1} = -1$. If the latter holds, then $\text{gcd}(p^{3k}-1, p^n-1) = (p^{\text{gcd}(3k,n)}-1) \frac{p^n-1}{2}$. Let $s_1 = \frac{n}{\text{gcd}(3k,n)}$ and then

$$p^n - 1 = \left(p^{\text{gcd}(3k,n)} - 1 \right) \left(p^{(s_1-1)\text{gcd}(3k,n)} + p^{(s_1-2)\text{gcd}(3k,n)} + \dots + p^{\text{gcd}(3k,n)} + 1 \right).$$

Notice that s_1 is a factor of the odd integer s . As a consequence, $p^{(s_1-1)\text{gcd}(3k,n)} + p^{(s_1-2)\text{gcd}(3k,n)} + \dots + p^{\text{gcd}(3k,n)} + 1$ is odd and $\frac{p^n-1}{2}$ can not be divided by $p^{\text{gcd}(3k,n)} - 1$. Thus, -1 is not $(p^{\text{gcd}(3k,n)} - 1)$ -th power of any element in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ and then $\delta z^{p^{3k}+1} + \delta p^{-3k} z^{p^{-3k}} = 0$ has only the zero solution. This finishes the proof. \blacksquare

Remark 2: For $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$, $\text{Tr}_1^d(\Omega_{\gamma,0}(x))$ and $\text{Tr}_1^d(\Omega_{0,\delta}(x))$ are p -ary bent functions.

To study the rank distribution of the quadratic form $\Omega_{\gamma,\delta}$, for $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, we define

$$R_i = \left\{ (\gamma, \delta) \mid \text{rank}(\Omega_{\gamma,\delta}) = s - i, (\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \right\}. \quad (14)$$

Lemma 4: $|R_2| = \frac{(p^{n-d}-1)(p^n-1)}{p^{2d}-1}$.

Proof: If $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_2$, then $\gamma\delta \neq 0$ by Propositions 1 and 2, and V is a two-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_{p^d} . Let $\{v_1, v_0\}$ be a basis of V over \mathbb{F}_{p^d} . Then, $v_1 v_0^{-1} \notin \mathbb{F}_{p^d}$ and $(v_1^{p^{4k}} v_0^{p^{2k}} - v_1^{p^{2k}} v_0^{p^{4k}})(v_1^{p^k} v_0^{p^{2k}} - v_1^{p^{2k}} v_0^{p^k}) \neq 0$. By (13),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{p^k-1} &= \frac{(v_1^{p^{3k}} v_0^{p^{2k}} - v_1^{p^{2k}} v_0^{p^{3k}})(v_1 v_0^{p^{2k}} - v_1^{p^{2k}} v_0)}{(v_1^{p^{4k}} v_0^{p^{2k}} - v_1^{p^{2k}} v_0^{p^{4k}})(v_1^{p^k} v_0^{p^{2k}} - v_1^{p^{2k}} v_0^{p^k})} \\ &= \left(\frac{v_1^{p^{2k}} v_0^{p^k} - v_1^{p^k} v_0^{p^{2k}}}{(v_1^{p^{2k}} v_0 - v_1 v_0^{p^{2k}})^{p^k+1}} \right)^{p^k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\delta = \lambda \frac{v_1^{p^{2k}} v_0^{p^k} - v_1^{p^k} v_0^{p^{2k}}}{(v_1^{p^{2k}} v_0 - v_1 v_0^{p^{2k}})^{p^k+1}} \quad (15)$$

for an element $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}^*$. Since $\Phi_{\gamma,\delta}(v_1) = \gamma v_1^{p^k+1} + \delta v_1^{p^{3k}+1} - \delta^{p^{-k}} v_1^{p^{2k}+p^{-k}} + \delta^{p^{-2k}} v_1^{p^k+p^{-2k}} = 0$, we have

$$\gamma = -\delta v_1^{p^{3k}-p^k} + \delta^{p^{-k}} v_1^{p^{2k}+p^{-k}-p^k-1} - \delta^{p^{-2k}} v_1^{p^{-2k}-1}. \quad (16)$$

From (15) and (16), γ and δ are uniquely determined by v_1, v_0 and λ . Further, there are exactly $p^d - 1$ pairs (γ, δ) corresponding to a given pair (v_1, v_0) .

On the other hand, for any $v_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ and $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}_{p^d}$, let $v_1 = \beta v_0$. If δ and γ are defined by (15) and (16), respectively, then $\Phi_{\gamma,\delta}(v_1) = 0$. In the sequel, we will prove $v_0 L_{\gamma,\delta}(v_0) = 0$.

From (15), we have

$$\delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1} = \frac{\lambda(\beta^{p^{2k}} - \beta^{p^k})}{(\beta^{2k} - \beta)^{p^k+1}}. \quad (17)$$

Then

$$(\delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1})(\beta - \beta^{p^{2k}}) = \frac{\lambda(\beta^{p^{2k}} - \beta^{p^k})}{(\beta - \beta^{2k})^{p^k}} \text{ and } (\delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1})(\beta^{p^{2k}} - \beta)^{p^k} = \frac{\lambda(\beta^{p^{2k}} - \beta^{p^k})}{\beta^{p^{2k}} - \beta}.$$

Thus, by (16) and (17),

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 L_{\gamma,\delta}(v_0) &= \gamma v_0^{p^k+1} + (\gamma v_0^{p^k+1})^{p^{-k}} + \delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1} + (\delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1})^{p^{-3k}} \\ &= \left(-(\delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1})\beta^{p^{3k}-p^k} + (\delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1})^{p^{-k}} \beta^{p^{2k}+p^{-k}-p^k-1} - (\delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1})^{p^{-2k}} \beta^{p^{-2k}-1} \right) + \\ &\quad \left(-(\delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1})^{p^{-k}} \beta^{p^{2k}-1} + (\delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1})^{p^{-2k}} \beta^{p^k+p^{-2k}-1-p^{-k}} - (\delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1})^{p^{-3k}} \beta^{p^{-3k}-p^{-k}} \right) \\ &\quad + \delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1} + (\delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1})^{p^{-3k}} \\ &= (\delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1})(1 - \beta^{p^{3k}-p^k}) + (\delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1})^{p^{-k}} (\beta^{p^{2k}+p^{-k}-p^k-1} - \beta^{p^{2k}-1}) \\ &\quad + (\delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1})^{p^{-2k}} (\beta^{p^k+p^{-2k}-1-p^{-k}} - \beta^{p^{-2k}-1}) + (\delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1})^{p^{-3k}} (1 - \beta^{p^{-3k}-p^{-k}}) \\ &= \beta^{-p^k} (\delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1})(\beta - \beta^{p^{2k}})^{p^k} + \beta^{p^{2k}-p^k-1} (\delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1})^{p^{-k}} (\beta - \beta^{p^{2k}})^{p^{-k}} \\ &\quad + \beta^{p^{-2k}-1-p^{-k}} (\delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1})^{p^{-2k}} (\beta^{p^{2k}} - \beta)^{p^{-k}} + \beta^{-p^{-k}} (\delta v_0^{p^{3k}+1})^{p^{-3k}} (\beta^{p^{2k}} - \beta)^{p^{-3k}} \\ &= \lambda \left(\frac{\beta^{p^{2k}-p^k}-1}{\beta - \beta^{p^{2k}}} + \frac{\beta^{p^{2k}-1}-\beta^{p^{2k}-p^k}}{\beta - \beta^{p^{2k}}} + \frac{\beta^{p^{-2k}-p^{-k}}-\beta^{p^{-2k}-1}}{\beta - \beta^{p^{-2k}}} + \frac{1-\beta^{p^{-2k}-p^{-k}}}{\beta - \beta^{p^{-2k}}} \right) \\ &= \lambda \left(\frac{-\beta^{-1}(\beta - \beta^{p^{2k}})}{\beta - \beta^{p^{2k}}} + \frac{\beta^{-1}(\beta - \beta^{p^{-2k}})}{\beta - \beta^{p^{-2k}}} \right) \\ &= \lambda(-\beta^{-1} + \beta^{-1}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows $L_{\gamma,\delta}(v_0) = 0$, and hence $\Phi_{\gamma,\delta}(v_0) = 0$. Thus $\{v_1, v_0\}$ is a basis of the \mathbb{F}_{p^d} -vector space consisting of all solutions to $\Phi_{\gamma,\delta}(x) = 0$.

There are totally $\frac{(p^n-1)(p^n-p^d)}{(p^{2d}-1)(p^{2d}-p^d)}$ two-dimensional vector subspaces of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} over \mathbb{F}_{p^d} , thus,

$$|R_2| = (p^d - 1) \times \frac{(p^n - 1)(p^n - p^d)}{(p^{2d} - 1)(p^{2d} - p^d)} = \frac{(p^n - 1)(p^{n-d} - 1)}{p^{2d} - 1}. \quad \blacksquare$$

The values of $S(0, \gamma, \delta)$ can be discussed in terms of $\text{rank}(\Omega_{\gamma,\delta})$ as below.

For $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_0$, $\text{rank}(\Omega_{\gamma,\delta}) = s$ and by a nonsingular linear substitution as in (2), $\Omega_{\gamma,\delta}(x) =$

$\sum_{i=1}^s h_i y_i^2$, where $h_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}^*$ and $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
S(0, \gamma, \delta) &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^d(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x))} \\
&= \sum_{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^d(h_1 y_1^2 + h_2 y_2^2 + \dots + h_s y_s^2)} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^s \sum_{y_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^d(h_i y_i^2)} \\
&= \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^s \left(\eta(h_i) (-1)^{d-1} p^{\frac{d}{2}} \right), & p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \prod_{i=1}^s \left(\eta(h_i) (-1)^{d-1} (\sqrt{-1})^d p^{\frac{d}{2}} \right), & p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \quad \text{by Lemma 1} \\
&= \begin{cases} (-1)^{d-1} \eta\left(\prod_{i=1}^s h_i\right) p^{\frac{ns}{2}}, & p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ (-1)^{d-1} \eta\left(\prod_{i=1}^s h_i\right) (\sqrt{-1})^n p^{\frac{ns}{2}}, & p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S(0, \gamma, \delta) &= \sum_{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{s-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^d(h_1 y_1^2 + h_2 y_2^2 + \dots + h_{s-1} y_{s-1}^2)} \\
&= p^d \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} \sum_{y_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^d(h_i y_i^2)} \\
&= \begin{cases} \eta\left(\prod_{i=1}^{s-1} h_i\right) p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}, & p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \eta\left(\prod_{i=1}^{s-1} h_i\right) (\sqrt{-1})^{n-d} p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}, & p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

for $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_1$, and

$$S(0, \gamma, \delta) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{d-1} \eta\left(\prod_{i=1}^{s-2} h_i\right) p^{\frac{ns}{2}+d}, & p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ (-1)^{d-1} \eta\left(\prod_{i=1}^{s-2} h_i\right) (\sqrt{-1})^{n-2d} p^{\frac{ns}{2}+d}, & p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

for $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_2$.

From (18), (19) and (20), for $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_i$ with $i \in \{0, 2\}$, we have

$$S(0, \gamma, \delta) = \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p^d-1}{2}} \theta_i} p^{\frac{n+id}{2}}, \quad \theta_i \in \{\pm 1\}, \tag{21}$$

and for $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_1$,

$$S(0, \gamma, \delta) = \theta_1 p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}, \quad \theta_1 \in \{\pm 1\}. \tag{22}$$

Two subsets $R_{i,j}$ of R_i for $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ are defined as

$$R_{i,j} = \left\{ (\gamma, \delta) \in R_i \mid \theta_i = j \right\} \tag{23}$$

where $j = \pm 1$.

The following result can be obtained based on Equalities (18), (20) and the fact that s is odd.

Lemma 5: For $i \in \{0, 2\}$, $|R_{i,1}| = |R_{i,-1}|$.

Proof: For $i \in \{0, 2\}$, let $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_i$ and $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}^*$ such that $\eta(u) = -1$. Then

$$\Omega_{u\gamma, u\delta}(x) = \text{Tr}_d^n(u\gamma x^{p^k+1} + u\delta x^{p^{3k+1}}) = u \text{Tr}_d^n(\gamma x^{p^k+1} + \delta x^{p^{3k+1}}) = u\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x).$$

By (18) and (20),

$$S(0, u\gamma, u\delta) = \eta(u)^{s-i} S(0, \gamma, \delta) = (-1)^{s-i} S(0, \gamma, \delta) = -S(0, \gamma, \delta).$$

The above equality shows that for $j \in \{1, -1\}$, if $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_{i,j}$, then $(u\gamma, u\delta) \in R_{i,-j}$. This finishes the proof. \blacksquare

Proposition 3: (i) $\sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} S(0, \gamma, \delta) = p^{2n}$.

$$(ii) \sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} S(0, \gamma, \delta)^2 = \begin{cases} p^{2n}(2p^n - 1), & p^d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ p^{2n}, & p^d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: The result in (i) can be directly verified, and we only give the proof of (ii).

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} S(0, \gamma, \delta)^2 \\ &= \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^n(\gamma(x^{p^k+1} + y^{p^k+1}))} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^n(\delta(x^{p^{3k}+1} + y^{p^{3k}+1}))} \\ &= p^{2n} |T_1|, \end{aligned}$$

where T_1 consists of all solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ to the equation $x^{p^k+1} + y^{p^k+1} = 0$ since $x^{p^k+1} + y^{p^k+1} = 0$ implies $x^{p^{3k}+1} + y^{p^{3k}+1} = 0$.

If $xy = 0$, $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ is the only solution of $x^{p^k+1} + y^{p^k+1} = 0$.

If $xy \neq 0$, we have $(\frac{x}{y})^{p^k+1} = -1$. If this equation has solution, say $\frac{x}{y} = \alpha^j$ for a primitive element α of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} and $1 \leq j < p^n - 1$, then $j(p^k + 1) \equiv \frac{p^n - 1}{2} \pmod{p^n - 1}$. This equality holds if and only if $\gcd(p^k + 1, p^n - 1) | \frac{p^n - 1}{2}$. Notice that $\gcd(p^k + 1, p^n - 1) = 2$ and s is odd. Consequently, $(\frac{x}{y})^{p^k+1} = -1$ has solutions if and only if $p^n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Further, in this case the number of solutions is equal to 2. Thus, $x^{p^k+1} + y^{p^k+1} = 0$ has $2(p^n - 1)$ solutions if $p^n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and no solution if $p^n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

The above analysis and the equality $p^n \equiv p^d \pmod{4}$ finish the proof. \blacksquare

With the above preparations, the rank distribution of $\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)$ can be determined as below.

Proposition 4: (i) For $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $j \in \{1, -1\}$, $R_{i,j}$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} |R_{0,1}| = |R_{0,-1}| = \frac{(p^{n+2d} - p^{n+d} - p^n + p^{2d})(p^n - 1)}{2(p^{2d} - 1)}, \\ |R_{1,1}| = \frac{(p^{n-d} + p^{\frac{n-d}{2}})(p^n - 1)}{2}, \\ |R_{1,-1}| = \frac{(p^{n-d} - p^{\frac{n-d}{2}})(p^n - 1)}{2}, \\ |R_{2,1}| = |R_{2,-1}| = \frac{(p^{n-d} - 1)(p^n - 1)}{2(p^{2d} - 1)}. \end{cases}$$

(ii) For odd s , when (γ, δ) runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, the rank distribution of the quadratic form $\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)$ is given as follows:

$$\begin{cases} s, & \frac{(p^{n+2d} - p^{n+d} - p^n + p^{2d})(p^n - 1)}{p^{2d} - 1} \text{ times}, \\ s - 1, & p^{n-d}(p^n - 1) \text{ times}, \\ s - 2, & \frac{(p^{n-d} - 1)(p^n - 1)}{p^{2d} - 1} \text{ times}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: By Propositions 1, 2, 3, Lemmas 4, and 5, we have the following identities of parameters

$|R_{i,j}|$ with $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $j \in \{\pm 1\}$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} |R_0| + |R_1| + |R_2| = p^{2n} - 1, \\ p^{\frac{n+d}{2}} (|R_{1,1}| - |R_{1,-1}|) + p^n = \sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} S(0, \gamma, \delta), \\ (-1)^{\frac{p^d-1}{2}} p^n |R_0| + p^{n+d} |R_1| + (-1)^{\frac{p^d-1}{2}} p^{n+2d} |R_2| + p^{2n} = \sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} S(0, \gamma, \delta)^2, \\ |R_{0,1}| = |R_{0,-1}|, \\ |R_{2,1}| = |R_{2,-1}| = \frac{(p^{n-d}-1)(p^n-1)}{2(p^{2d}-1)}. \end{array} \right.$$

This finishes the proof. ■

By (14), (18)-(23) and Proposition 4, an immediate result is given as below.

Corollary 2: For odd s , when (γ, δ) runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, the exponential sum $S(0, \gamma, \delta)$ defined in (3) has the following distribution:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p^d-1}{2}} p^{\frac{n}{2}}}, & \frac{(p^{n+2d}-p^{n+d}-p^n+p^{2d})(p^n-1)}{2(p^{2d}-1)} \text{ times,} \\ -\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p^d-1}{2}} p^{\frac{n}{2}}}, & \frac{(p^{n+2d}-p^{n+d}-p^n+p^{2d})(p^n-1)}{2(p^{2d}-1)} \text{ times,} \\ p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}, & \frac{(p^{n-d}+p^{\frac{n-d}{2}})(p^n-1)}{2} \text{ times,} \\ -p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}, & \frac{(p^{n-d}-p^{\frac{n-d}{2}})(p^n-1)}{2} \text{ times,} \\ \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p^d-1}{2}} p^{\frac{n+2d}{2}}}, & \frac{(p^{n-d}-1)(p^n-1)}{2(p^{2d}-1)} \text{ times,} \\ -\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p^d-1}{2}} p^{\frac{n+2d}{2}}}, & \frac{(p^{n-d}-1)(p^n-1)}{2(p^{2d}-1)} \text{ times.} \end{array} \right.$$

4 Weight distribution of the p -ary code \mathcal{C}

This section studies the distribution of the exponential sum $S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$ and the weight distribution of the code \mathcal{C} .

If either γ or δ is nonzero, then $\text{Tr}_1^d(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x))$ is also a quadratic form. By (1), Propositions 1, 2 and Corollary 1, $\text{rank}(\text{Tr}_1^d(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta})) = d \cdot \text{rank}(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}) = n$, $n-d$, or $n-2d$.

For $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$, let $N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho)$ denote the number of solutions to $\text{Tr}_1^d(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)) + \text{Tr}_1^n(\epsilon x) = \rho$. Then, (3) can be written as

$$S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta) = \sum_{\rho=0}^{p-1} N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho) \zeta_p^\rho. \quad (24)$$

Let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} over \mathbb{F}_p , and $\epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \alpha_i$ with $\epsilon_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Then the matrix $C = (\text{Tr}_1^n(\alpha_i \alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is nonsingular. Let $D^T = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ and $X = BY$ be defined as in Section 2, then $\text{Tr}_1^n(\epsilon x) = D^T C X$. Denote $D^T C B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$, and we have

$$\text{Tr}_1^d(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)) + \text{Tr}_1^n(\epsilon x) = Y^T B^T A B Y + D^T C B Y = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i y_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i y_i. \quad (25)$$

By application of the quadratic form theory, the distribution of $S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$ is discussed and the weight distribution of \mathcal{C} is determined.

Theorem 1: For two positive integers n and k with $d = \gcd(n, k)$, if s is odd, then when $(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$

runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, the exponential sum $S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$ defined in (3) has the following distribution

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} p^n, & 1 \text{ time,} \\ 0, & (p^n - 1)(p^{2n-d} - p^{2n-2d} + p^{2n-3d} - p^{n-2d} + 1) \text{ times,} \\ \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} p^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_p^\rho, & \frac{(p^{n-1} + \eta(-\rho)p^{\frac{n-1}{2}})(p^{n+2d} - p^{n+d} - p^n + p^{2d})(p^n - 1)}{2(p^{2d} - 1)} \text{ times,} \\ -\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} p^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_p^\rho, & \frac{(p^{n-1} - \eta(-\rho)p^{\frac{n-1}{2}})(p^{n+2d} - p^{n+d} - p^n + p^{2d})(p^n - 1)}{2(p^{2d} - 1)} \text{ times,} \\ p^{\frac{n+d}{2}} \zeta_p^\rho, & \frac{(p^{n-d-1} + v(\rho)p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}})(p^{n-d} + p^{\frac{n-d}{2}})(p^n - 1)}{2} \text{ times,} \\ -p^{\frac{n+d}{2}} \zeta_p^\rho, & \frac{(p^{n-d-1} - v(\rho)p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}})(p^{n-d} - p^{\frac{n-d}{2}})(p^n - 1)}{2} \text{ times,} \\ \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} p^{\frac{n+2d}{2}} \zeta_p^\rho, & \frac{(p^{n-2d-1} + \eta(-\rho)p^{\frac{n-2d-1}{2}})(p^{n-d} - 1)(p^n - 1)}{2(p^{2d} - 1)} \text{ times,} \\ -\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} p^{\frac{n+2d}{2}} \zeta_p^\rho, & \frac{(p^{n-2d-1} - \eta(-\rho)p^{\frac{n-2d-1}{2}})(p^{n-d} - 1)(p^n - 1)}{2(p^{2d} - 1)} \text{ times} \end{array} \right.$$

for odd d , and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} p^n, & 1 \text{ time,} \\ 0, & (p^n - 1)(p^{2n-d} - p^{2n-2d} + p^{2n-3d} - p^{n-2d} + 1) \text{ times,} \\ p^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_p^\rho, & \frac{(p^{n-1} + v(\rho)p^{\frac{n-2}{2}})(p^{n+2d} - p^{n+d} - p^n + p^{2d})(p^n - 1)}{2(p^{2d} - 1)} \text{ times,} \\ -p^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_p^\rho, & \frac{(p^{n-1} - v(\rho)p^{\frac{n-2}{2}})(p^{n+2d} - p^{n+d} - p^n + p^{2d})(p^n - 1)}{2(p^{2d} - 1)} \text{ times,} \\ p^{\frac{n+d}{2}} \zeta_p^\rho, & \frac{(p^{n-d-1} + v(\rho)p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}})(p^{n-d} + p^{\frac{n-d}{2}})(p^n - 1)}{2} \text{ times,} \\ -p^{\frac{n+d}{2}} \zeta_p^\rho, & \frac{(p^{n-d-1} - v(\rho)p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}})(p^{n-d} - p^{\frac{n-d}{2}})(p^n - 1)}{2} \text{ times,} \\ p^{\frac{n+2d}{2}} \zeta_p^\rho, & \frac{(p^{n-2d-1} + v(\rho)p^{\frac{n-2d-2}{2}})(p^{n-d} - 1)(p^n - 1)}{2(p^{2d} - 1)} \text{ times,} \\ -p^{\frac{n+2d}{2}} \zeta_p^\rho, & \frac{(p^{n-2d-1} - v(\rho)p^{\frac{n-2d-2}{2}})(p^{n-d} - 1)(p^n - 1)}{2(p^{2d} - 1)} \text{ times} \end{array} \right.$$

for even d , where $\rho = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$, η is the quadratic character of \mathbb{F}_p and $v(0) = p-1$, $v(\rho) = -1$ for $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$.

Proof: Since s is odd, the integer $n-d$ is always even. If d is odd, then n and $n-2d$ are both odd. The proof in this case is divided into the following subcases.

(i) For $(\gamma, \delta) = (0, 0)$, $S(\epsilon, 0, 0) = 0$ for $\epsilon \neq 0$, and p^n for $\epsilon = 0$.

(ii) For $(\gamma, \delta) \neq (0, 0)$, the discussion is divided into three subcases.

In the case of $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_0$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $y_i = z_i - \frac{b_i}{2a_i}$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i y_i^2 + b_i y_i) = \rho$ is equivalent to $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_i^2 = \lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} + \rho$, where $\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i^2}{4a_i}$. Let $\Delta_0 = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i$, then Lemma 2 implies

$$N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho) = p^{n-1} + p^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} + \rho) \Delta_0 \right). \quad (26)$$

Notice that the matrix CB in (25) is nonsingular. As a consequence, (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) runs through \mathbb{F}_p^n as ϵ runs through \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . $\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}$ is also a quadratic form with n variables b_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Again by Lemma 2, as ϵ runs through \mathbb{F}_{p^n} ,

$$\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i^2}{4a_i} = \rho' \text{ occurring } p^{n-1} + p^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \rho' \Delta_0 \right) \text{ times} \quad (27)$$

for each $\rho' \in \mathbb{F}_p$ since $\eta \left((4^n \prod_{i=1}^n a_i)^{-1} \right) = \eta \left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right)$.

By (24), (26) and Lemma 3 (i), we have

$$S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta) = \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Delta_0 \right) p^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} \zeta_p^{-\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}}. \quad (28)$$

By (27), as ϵ runs through \mathbb{F}_{p^n} , for each $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$, we have

$$S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta) = \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Delta_0 \right) \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_p^\rho} \text{ occurring } p^{n-1} + p^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \rho \Delta_0 \right) \text{ times.} \quad (29)$$

In the case of $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_1$, the rank of $\text{Tr}_1^d(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x))$ is $n - d$, and then

$$\text{Tr}_1^d(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)) + \text{Tr}_1^n(\epsilon x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} a_i y_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i y_i.$$

If there exists some $b_i \neq 0$ for $n - d < i \leq n$, then for any $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho) = p^{n-1}$ and $S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta) = 0$. Since the matrix CB is nonsingular, there are exactly $p^n - p^{n-d}$ choices for ϵ such that there is at least one $b_i \neq 0$ with $n - d < i \leq n$, as ϵ runs through \mathbb{F}_{p^n} .

If $b_i = 0$ for all $n - d < i \leq n$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{n-d} (a_i y_i^2 + b_i y_i) = \rho$ is equivalent to $\sum_{i=1}^{n-d} a_i z_i^2 = \lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} + \rho$, where $\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} \frac{b_i^2}{4a_i}$ and $z_i = y_i + \frac{b_i}{2a_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - d$. Let $\Delta_1 = \prod_{i=1}^{n-d} a_i$, then for any $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and even $n - d$, by Lemma 2,

$$N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho) = p^d \left(p^{n-d-1} + v(\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} + \rho) p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_1 \right) \right),$$

i.e.,

$$N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho) = p^{n-1} + v(\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} + \rho) p^{\frac{n+d-2}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_1 \right). \quad (30)$$

By Lemma 2, when $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-d})$ runs through \mathbb{F}_p^{n-d} ,

$$\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} \frac{b_i^2}{4a_i} = \rho' \text{ occurring } p^{n-d-1} + v(\rho') p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_1 \right) \text{ times} \quad (31)$$

for each $\rho' \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Then by (24) and (30),

$$S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta) = \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_1 \right) p^{\frac{n+d}{2}} \zeta_p^{-\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}}$$

since $\sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p} v(\rho + \lambda_{\gamma, \delta, \epsilon}) \zeta_p^{\rho + \lambda_{\gamma, \delta, \epsilon}} = p$ by Lemma 3 (ii). Notice that $v(-\rho) = v(\rho)$ for any $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$. By (31), when $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-d})$ runs through \mathbb{F}_p^{n-d} ,

$$S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta) = \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_1 \right) p^{\frac{n+d}{2}} \zeta_p^\rho \text{ occurring } p^{n-d-1} + v(\rho) p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_1 \right) \text{ times} \quad (32)$$

for each $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

In the case of $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_2$, the rank of $\text{Tr}_1^d(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x))$ is $n - 2d$ and

$$\text{Tr}_1^d(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)) + \text{Tr}_1^n(\epsilon x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2d} a_i y_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i y_i.$$

Similarly, if there exists some $b_i \neq 0$ with $n - 2d < i \leq n$, then $N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho) = p^{n-1}$ for any $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and $S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta) = 0$. When ϵ runs through \mathbb{F}_{p^n} , there are $p^n - p^{n-2d}$ choices for ϵ such that there is at least one $b_i \neq 0$ with $n - 2d < i \leq n$.

If $b_i = 0$ for all $n - 2d < i \leq n$, a similar analysis shows that for any $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$, by Lemma 2,

$$N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho) = p^{n-1} + p^{\frac{n+2d-1}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-2d-1}{2}} (\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} + \rho) \Delta_2 \right) \quad (33)$$

where $\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2d} \frac{b_i^2}{4a_i}$ and $\Delta_2 = \prod_{i=1}^{n-2d} a_i$. When $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-2d})$ runs through \mathbb{F}_p^{n-2d} , by Lemma 2,

$$\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2d} \frac{b_i^2}{4a_i} = \rho' \text{ occurring } p^{n-2d-1} + p^{\frac{n-2d-1}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-2d-1}{2}} \rho' \Delta_2 \right) \text{ times} \quad (34)$$

for each $\rho' \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Thus, by lemma 3 (i), (24) and (33), we have

$$S(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon) = \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-2d-1}{2}} \Delta_2 \right) \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p^{\frac{n}{2}+d} \zeta_p^{-\lambda_{\gamma, \delta, \epsilon}}}.$$

Consequently, when $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-2d})$ runs through \mathbb{F}_p^{n-2d} ,

$$S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta) = \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-2d-1}{2}} \Delta_2 \right) \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p^{\frac{n}{2}+d} \zeta_p^\rho} \text{ occuring} \\ p^{n-2d-1} + p^{\frac{n-2d-1}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-2d+1}{2}} \rho \Delta_2 \right) \text{ times} \quad (35)$$

for each $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

From the above analysis, $S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta) = p^n$ if and only if $\epsilon = \gamma = \delta = 0$, and $S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta) = 0$ occurs $p^n - 1 + (p^n - p^{n-d})|R_1| + (p^n - p^{n-2d})|R_2| = (p^n - 1)(p^{2n-d} - p^{2n-2d} + p^{2n-3d} - p^{n-2d} + 1)$ times. By (28) and Corollary 2, for $i \in \{1, -1\}$, there are $|R_{0,i}|$ pairs $(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ such that $\eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Delta_0 \right) = i$. Thus for each $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$, we have

$$S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta) = \pm \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_p^\rho} \text{ occuring} \left(p^{n-1} \pm p^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \eta(-\rho) \right) |R_{0,\pm 1}| \text{ times}$$

when $(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. The other cases can be similarly analyzed.

For the even case of d , the integers $n, n - 2d$ are also even. This case has a difference from the odd case of d only in the application of Lemma 2. It can be proven in a similar way and we omit the proof here. \blacksquare

Notice that the weight of the codeword $\mathbf{c}(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$ is equal to $p^n - 1 - (N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0) - 1) = p^n - N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0)$. Consequently, the values $N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0)$ for any given ϵ, γ, δ are needed to determine the weight distribution.

Theorem 2: For two integers n and k with $d = \gcd(n, k)$, if $s = n/d$ is odd, then the weight distribution of the code \mathcal{C} is given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0, & 1 \text{ time,} \\ (p-1)p^{n-1}, & (p^n - 1) (p^{2n-1} + (p-1)p^{2n-d-1} - p^{2n-2d} + \\ & (p-1)p^{2n-3d-1} + p^{n-1} - (p-1)p^{n-2d-1} + 1) \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)p^{n-1} - p^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)(p^{n-1} + p^{\frac{n-1}{2}})(p^{n+2d} - p^{n+d} - p^n + p^{2d})(p^n - 1)}{2(p^{2d} - 1)} \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)p^{n-1} + p^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)(p^{n-1} - p^{\frac{n-1}{2}})(p^{n+2d} - p^{n+d} - p^n + p^{2d})(p^n - 1)}{2(p^{2d} - 1)} \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)(p^{n-1} - p^{\frac{n+d-2}{2}}), & \frac{(p^{n-d-1} + (p-1)p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}})(p^{n-d} + p^{\frac{n-d}{2}})(p^n - 1)}{2} \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)(p^{n-1} + p^{\frac{n+d-2}{2}}), & \frac{(p^{n-d-1} - (p-1)p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}})(p^{n-d} - p^{\frac{n-d}{2}})(p^n - 1)}{2} \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)p^{n-1} - p^{\frac{n+d-2}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)(p^{n-d-1} + p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}})(p^{n-d} - p^{\frac{n-d}{2}})(p^n - 1)}{2} \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)p^{n-1} + p^{\frac{n+d-2}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)(p^{n-d-1} - p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}})(p^{n-d} + p^{\frac{n-d}{2}})(p^n - 1)}{2} \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)p^{n-1} - p^{\frac{n+2d-1}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)(p^{n-2d-1} + p^{\frac{n-2d-1}{2}})(p^{n-d} - 1)(p^n - 1)}{2(p^{2d} - 1)} \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)p^{n-1} + p^{\frac{n+2d-1}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)(p^{n-2d-1} - p^{\frac{n-2d-1}{2}})(p^{n-d} - 1)(p^n - 1)}{2(p^{2d} - 1)} \text{ times} \end{array} \right.$$

for odd d , and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0, & 1 \text{ time,} \\ (p-1)p^{n-1}, & (p^n-1)(p^{2n-d}-p^{2n-2d}+p^{2n-3d}-p^{n-2d}+1) \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)(p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}), & \frac{(p^{n-1}+(p-1)p^{\frac{n-2}{2}})(p^{n+2d}-p^{n+d}-p^n+p^{2d})(p^n-1)}{2(p^{2d}-1)} \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)(p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}), & \frac{(p^{n-1}-(p-1)p^{\frac{n-2}{2}})(p^{n+2d}-p^{n+d}-p^n+p^{2d})(p^n-1)}{2(p^{2d}-1)} \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)(p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n-2}{2}})(p^{n+2d}-p^{n+d}-p^n+p^{2d})(p^n-1)}{2(p^{2d}-1)} \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)(p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n-2}{2}})(p^{n+2d}-p^{n+d}-p^n+p^{2d})(p^n-1)}{2(p^{2d}-1)} \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)(p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n+d-2}{2}}), & \frac{(p^{n-d-1}+(p-1)p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}})(p^{n-d}+p^{\frac{n-d}{2}})(p^n-1)}{2} \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)(p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n+d-2}{2}}), & \frac{(p^{n-d-1}-(p-1)p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}})(p^{n-d}-p^{\frac{n-d}{2}})(p^n-1)}{2} \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n+d-2}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)(p^{n-d-1}+p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}})(p^{n-d}-p^{\frac{n-d}{2}})(p^n-1)}{2} \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n+d-2}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)(p^{n-d-1}-p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}})(p^{n-d}+p^{\frac{n-d}{2}})(p^n-1)}{2} \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)(p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n+2d-2}{2}}), & \frac{(p^{n-2d-1}+(p-1)p^{\frac{n-2d-2}{2}})(p^{n-d}-1)(p^n-1)}{2(p^{2d}-1)} \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)(p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n+2d-2}{2}}), & \frac{(p^{n-2d-1}-(p-1)p^{\frac{n-2d-2}{2}})(p^{n-d}-1)(p^n-1)}{2(p^{2d}-1)} \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n+2d-2}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)(p^{n-2d-1}+p^{\frac{n-2d-2}{2}})(p^{n-d}-1)(p^n-1)}{2(p^{2d}-1)} \text{ times,} \\ (p-1)p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n+2d-2}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)(p^{n-2d-1}-p^{\frac{n-2d-2}{2}})(p^{n-d}-1)(p^n-1)}{2(p^{2d}-1)} \text{ times.} \end{array} \right.$$

for even d , as $(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$.

Proof: We also only give the proof for odd d , and omit the proof of the other case.

(i) For $(\gamma, \delta) = (0, 0)$, $N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0) = p^{n-1}$ for $\epsilon \neq 0$, and p^n for $\epsilon = 0$.

(ii) For $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_0$. Notice that there are $\frac{p-1}{2}$ square and non-square elements in \mathbb{F}_p^* , respectively. As ϵ runs through \mathbb{F}_{p^n} , by (26) and (27),

$$N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0) = p^{n-1} \text{ occurring } p^{n-1} \text{ times}$$

and

$$N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0) = p^{n-1} \pm p^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Delta_0 \right) \text{ occurring } \frac{p-1}{2} \left(p^{n-1} \pm p^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Delta_0 \right) \right) \text{ times.}$$

For $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_1$, if there exists some $b_i \neq 0$ for $n-d < i \leq n$, then for any $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho) = p^{n-1}$.

If $b_i = 0$ for all $n-d < i \leq n$, when $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-d})$ runs through \mathbb{F}_p^{n-d} ,

$$N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0) = p^{n-1} + (p-1)p^{\frac{n+d-2}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_1 \right) \text{ occurring } p^{n-d-1} + (p-1)p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_1 \right) \text{ times}$$

and

$$N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0) = p^{n-1} - p^{\frac{n+d-2}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_1 \right) \text{ occurring } (p-1) \left(p^{n-d-1} - p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_1 \right) \right) \text{ times.}$$

For $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_2$, if there exists some $b_i \neq 0$ with $n-2d < i \leq n$, then $N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho) = p^{n-1}$ for any $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

If $b_i = 0$ for all $n-2d < i \leq n$, when $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-2d})$ runs through \mathbb{F}_p^{n-2d} ,

$$N_{\gamma, \delta, \epsilon}(0) = p^{n-1} \text{ occurring } p^{n-2d-1} \text{ times,}$$

and

$$N_{\gamma, \delta, \epsilon}(0) = p^{n-1} \pm p^{\frac{n+2d-1}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-2d-1}{2}} \Delta_2 \right) \text{ occurring } \frac{p-1}{2} \left(p^{n-2d-1} \pm p^{\frac{n-2d-1}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{\frac{n-2d-1}{2}} \Delta_2 \right) \right) \text{ times.}$$

We only give the frequencies of the codewords with weight $(p-1)p^{n-1}$ and $(p-1)p^{n-1} - p^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$. Other cases can be similarly analyzed. The weight of $\mathbf{c}(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$ is equal to $(p-1)p^{n-1}$ if and only if $N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0) = p^{n-1}$. By the above analysis and Proposition 4, the frequency is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & p^n - 1 + p^{n-1}|R_0| + (p^n - p^{n-d})|R_1| + (p^n - p^{n-2d} + p^{n-2d-1})|R_2| \\ = & (p^n - 1)(p^{2n-1} + (p-1)p^{2n-d-1} - p^{2n-2d} + (p-1)p^{2n-3d-1} + p^{n-1} - (p-1)p^{n-2d-1} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

The weight of $\mathbf{c}(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$ is equal to $(p-1)p^{n-1} - p^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ if and only if $N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0) = p^{n-1} + p^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$. The corresponding frequency is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{p-1}{2}(p^{n-1} + p^{\frac{n-1}{2}})|R_{0,1}| + \frac{p-1}{2}(p^{n-1} + p^{\frac{n-1}{2}})|R_{0,-1}| \\ = & \frac{(p-1)(p^{n-1} + p^{\frac{n-1}{2}})(p^{n+2d} - p^{n+d} - p^n + p^{2d})(p^n - 1)}{2(p^{2d} - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

■

Acknowledgments

This research was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 60603012 and 60573053.

References

- [1] C. Bracken, New families of triple error correcting codes with BCH parameters, available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0803.3553>.
- [2] C. Carlet, Boolean functions for cryptography and error correcting codes, in: Y. Crama, P. Hammer (Eds.), *Boolean Methods and Models*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, U.K. (in press).
- [3] C. Carlet, P. Charpin, V. Zinoviev, Codes, Bent functions and permutations suitable for DES-like cryptosystems, *Des. Codes Cryptography* 15 (1998) 125-156.
- [4] C. Carlet, C. Ding, Highly nonlinear functions, *J. Complexity* 20 (2004) 205-244.
- [5] C. Carlet, C. Ding, J. Yuan, Linear codes from perfect nonlinear mappings and their secret sharing schemes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 51 (2005) 2089-2102.
- [6] R.S. Coulter, R.W. Matthews, Planar functions and planes of Lenz-Barlotti class II, *Des. Codes Cryptography* 10 (1997) 167-184.
- [7] C. Ding, H. Niederreiter, Systematic authentication codes from highly nonlinear functions, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 50 (2004) 2421-2428.
- [8] C. Ding, J. Yuan, A family of skew Hadamard difference sets, *J. Combin. Theory, series A*. 113 (2006) 1526-1535.
- [9] H. Dobbertin, Another proof of Kasami's theorem, *Des. Codes Cryptography* 17(1-3) (1999) 177-180.
- [10] S. Draper and X. Hou, Explicit evaluation of certain exponential sums of quadratic functions over \mathbb{F}_{p^n} , p odd, submitted, (also available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0708.3619v1>).

- [11] K. Feng, J. Luo, Weight distribution of some reducible cyclic codes, *Finite Fields Appl.* 14 (2008) 390-409.
- [12] X. Hou, Explicit evaluation of certain exponential sums of binary quadratic functions, *Finite Fields Appl.* 13 (2007) 843-868.
- [13] T. Kasami, Weight distribution of Bose-Chaudhuri-Hocquenghem codes, *Combinatorial Mathematics and Its Applications*, in R.C. Bose, T.A. Dowling (Eds.), Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press, 335-357, 1969.
- [14] R. Lidl, H. Niederreiter, *Finite fields*, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*, vol. 20. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1983.
- [15] F.J. MacWilliams, N.J. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, Amsterdam, The Netherlands: North-Holland, 1977.
- [16] O.S Rothaus, On bent functions, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* 20 (1976) 300-305.
- [17] J. Yuan, C. Carlet, C. Ding, The weight distribution of a class of linear codes from perfect nonlinear functions, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 52 (2006) 712-717.
- [18] X. Zeng, J.Q. Liu, L. Hu, Generalized Kasami sequences: the large set, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 53 (2007) 2587-2598.