

THE SYMMETRIES OF THE π -METRIC

Marcelo Muniz Silva Alves ^{*} Luciano Panek [†]

Abstract

Let V be an n -dimensional vector space over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q . We consider on V the π -metric d_π recently introduced by K. Feng, L. Xu and F. J. Hickernell. In this paper we give a complete description of the group of symmetries of the metric space (V, d_π) .

Key words: error-block code, π -metric, code automorphism, symmetry

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{F}_q^n be the finite field of q elements. Let n be a positive integer and let π be a partition of n , i.e., π is a non-empty sequence (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) where each k_i is a positive integer, $n = k_1 + \dots + k_m$, $m \geq 1$ and $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 1$. Feng, Xu and Hickernell introduced in [1] a metric associated to the partition π , the π -metric, similar to the classic Hamming metric. The partition π induces a direct sum decomposition

$$\mathbb{F}_q^n = \mathbb{F}_q^{k_1} \oplus \mathbb{F}_q^{k_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{F}_q^{k_m}$$

where every vector v of \mathbb{F}_q^n is written as

$$v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m),$$

with $v_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^{k_i}$. The π -weight of $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is

$$\omega_\pi(v) = |\{i : 1 \leq i \leq m, v_i \neq 0\}|$$

and the π -distance between u and v is given by $d_\pi(u, v) = \omega_\pi(u - v)$. Note that when $k_i = 1$ for all i (and $m = n$) the π -weight is the Hamming weight over \mathbb{F}_q^n . It is clear that

$$d_\pi(u, v) = |\{i : 1 \leq i \leq m, u_i \neq v_i\}|.$$

A \mathbb{F}_q -linear code in (\mathbb{F}_q^n, d_π) is called a *linear error-block code*. The linear symmetries (automorphisms) of \mathbb{F}_q^n with respect to a π -metric have already been determined in [2]. In this paper we describe all symmetries of (\mathbb{F}_q^n, d_π) ; in order to do that, we describe two subgroups \mathcal{M} and S_π of symmetries and prove that the full symmetry group is their semi-direct product. We also reobtain the automorphism group as a particular case.

From this point on we write simply d and ω instead of d_π and ω_π .

^{*}Departamento de Matemática, Centro Politécnico, UFPR, Caixa Postal 019081, 81531-990, Curitiba, PR. E-mail: marcelomsa@ufpr.br

[†]Centro de Engenharias e Ciências, UNIOESTE, 85870-650, Foz do Iguaçu, PR. E-mail: lucpanek@gmail.com

2 The Symmetry Group

A *symmetry* of (\mathbb{F}_q^n, d) is a bijection $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n$ that preserves distance, i.e.,

$$d(\varphi(u), \varphi(v)) = d(u, v)$$

for every $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. An *automorphism* is a linear symmetry. We will denote the group of symmetries of (\mathbb{F}_q^n, d) by $Symm(\mathbb{F}_q^n, d)$.

Let \mathcal{M} be the group of mappings $T : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n$, where

$$T((v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m)) = (T_1(v_1), \dots, T_m(v_m))$$

and every “coordinate function” T_i is a bijection from $\mathbb{F}_q^{k_i}$ onto itself. Since each T_i is a bijection, $T_i(v_i) \neq T_i(u_i)$ if and only if $u_i \neq v_i$. It follows that

$$d(T(u), T(v)) = \sum_{i=1}^m \delta(T_i(u_i), T_i(v_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^m \delta(u_i, v_i) = d(u, v),$$

so that every $T \in \mathcal{M}$ is a symmetry of (\mathbb{F}_q^n, d) .

Let $B(\mathbb{F}_q^{k_i})$ be the group of bijections from $\mathbb{F}_q^{k_i}$ onto itself. Clearly, if

$$M_i = \{(id, \dots, id, T_i, id, \dots, id) \in \mathcal{M} : T_i \in B(\mathbb{F}_q^{k_i})\}$$

then $\mathcal{M} = M_1 \times \dots \times M_m$. Besides, M_i is isomorphic to $B(\mathbb{F}_q^{k_i})$, which is in turn isomorphic to $S_{q^{k_i}}$, the permutation group on a set of q^{k_i} symbols; hence, we have proved the following:

Proposition 1 *Let \mathcal{M} be the group of mappings $T : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n$ defined above. Then*

- (i) \mathcal{M} is a subgroup of (\mathbb{F}_q^n, d) .
- (ii) \mathcal{M} is isomorphic to the direct product $\prod_{i=1}^m S_{q^{k_i}}$.

Let S_m be the permutation group of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. We will call a permutation $\sigma \in S_m$ *admissible* if $\sigma(i) = j$ implies that $k_i = k_j$. Clearly, the set S_π of all admissible permutations is a subgroup of S_m .

Proposition 2 [2] *S_π acts as a group of linear symmetries in V .*

Besides being linear, these symmetries satisfy an important property: if

$$V_i = \{(0, \dots, 0, v_i, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : v_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^{k_i}\},$$

then $\sigma(V_i) = V_{\sigma(i)}$. As we see in the following, even non-linear symmetries satisfy an analogous property.

Lemma 1 *Let F be a symmetry of (\mathbb{F}_q^n, d) and let $v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, there exists $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ such that $k_i = k_j$ and*

$$F(v + V_i) = F(v) + V_j.$$

Proof. Let $v_i \in V_i$, $v_i \neq 0$. Since $d(F(v + v_i), F(v)) = d(v + v_i, v) = 1$, $F(v + v_i) - F(v)$ is a vector of π -weight 1. But for any vector u in \mathbb{F}_q^m , $\omega(u) = 1$ iff $u \in V_j$ for some j . Hence there is an index j such that $F(v + v_i) = F(v) + v_j$, with $v_j \in V_j$, $v_j \neq 0$.

If $v'_i \in V_i$, $v'_i \neq v_i$ and $v'_i \neq 0$, then $F(v + v'_i) = F(v) + v_k$ for some $v_k \in V_k$ (with $v_k \neq 0$), but also $d(F(v + v_i), F(v + v'_i)) = d(v + v_i, v + v'_i) = 1$. If $k \neq j$ then $d(F(v) + v_j, F(v) + v_k) = 2$; hence $k = j$ and $F(v + v'_i) = v + v'_j$, with $v'_j \neq v_j$. This proves that $F(v + V_i) \subseteq F(v) + V_j$.

Now apply the same reasoning to F^{-1} : if $v_i \neq 0$ and $F(v + v_i) = F(v) + v_j$, then $F^{-1}(F(v) + v_j) \in v + V_i$ and therefore $F^{-1}(F(v) + v_j) \subseteq v + V_i$. It follows that $F(v + V_i) = F(v) + V_j$.

Finally, $k_i = \dim(V_i) = \dim(V_j) = k_j$ because F is bijective. \square

This result implies, in particular, that for each i there corresponds a j such that $F(V_i) = F(0) + V_j$ and that $k_i = \dim(V_i) = \dim(V_j) = k_j$. This suggests the following definition.

Definition 1 Let F be a symmetry of (\mathbb{F}_q^n, d) . The admissible permutation σ_F induced by F is given by

$$\sigma_F(i) = j \text{ if and only if } F(V_i) = F(0) + V_j.$$

Theorem 1 Every symmetry F is a product σT , where $\sigma \in S_\pi$ and $T \in \mathcal{M}$. This decomposition is unique.

Proof. Suppose that $F(0) = 0$; then $F = (\sigma_F)(\sigma_F^{-1}F)$, where σ_F is the admissible permutation defined by F . Clearly, $(\sigma_F^{-1}F)(V_i) = V_i$ for each i , and therefore this mapping is in \mathcal{M} .

If $F(0) = v_0 \neq 0$, let S be the translation $S(v) = v - v_0$; then $S \in \mathcal{M}$, $SF(0) = 0$ and, therefore, $SF = \sigma_{SF}T$, where $T \in \mathcal{M}$. It follows that F can be written as $F = \sigma_{SF}(TS^{-1})$.

It follows that every symmetry F is a product σT ; since $S_\pi \cap \mathcal{M} = \{id\}$, this decomposition is unique. \square

Theorem 2 (i) $Symm(\mathbb{F}_q^n, d) \cong S_\pi \times \mathcal{M}$, where the semi-direct structure is induced by the action of S_π on \mathcal{M} by conjugation.

$$(ii) \text{ } Symm(\mathbb{F}_q^n, d) \cong S_\pi \times \prod_{i=1}^m S_{q^{k_i}}.$$

Proof. We will show that the group \mathcal{M} is normal in $Symm(\mathbb{F}_q^n, d)$. Since the last result shows that $Symm(\mathbb{F}_q^n, d) = S_\pi \mathcal{M}$, it is enough to check that if $T = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m) \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\sigma \in S_\pi$ then $\sigma^{-1}T\sigma$ is also in \mathcal{M} . Applying $\sigma^{-1}T\sigma$ to a vector we conclude that

$$\sigma^{-1}T\sigma = (T_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, T_{\sigma(m)})$$

and hence that \mathcal{M} is a normal subgroup of $Symm(\mathbb{F}_q^n, d)$. Since $S_\pi \cap \mathcal{M} = \{id\}$, this shows that the symmetry group is isomorphic to the semi-direct product $S_\pi \times \mathcal{M}$, where multiplication is given by

$$(\sigma, T)(\varphi, S) = (\sigma\varphi, (\varphi^{-1}T\varphi)S).$$

The fact that $\mathcal{M} \cong \prod_{i=1}^m S_{q^{k_i}}$ yields the second assertion. \square

Corollary 1 Let $\pi = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$ be a partition of n . If $k_1 = \dots = k_{m_1} = l_1, \dots, k_{m_1+\dots+m_{l-1}+1} = \dots = k_n = l_r$ with $l_1 > l_2 > \dots > l_r$, then

$$|\text{Symm}(\mathbb{F}_q^n, d)| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^l m_j! \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^m (q^{k_i})! \right).$$

Proof. In fact, since $\text{Symm}(\mathbb{F}_q^n, d) \cong S_\pi \times \prod_{i=1}^m S_{q^{k_i}}$ and $S_\pi \cong \prod_{j=1}^m S_{l_j}$,

$$|\text{Symm}(\mathbb{F}_q^n, d)| = |S_\pi| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m |S_{q^{k_i}}| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^l m_j! \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^m (q^{k_i})! \right).$$

□

When $m = n$, $k_1 = k_2 = \dots = k_n = 1$, the π -weight is the Hamming weight on \mathbb{F}_q^n . In this case each M_i is isomorphic to S_q and every permutation in S_n is admissible; thus we reobtain the symmetry groups of Hamming spaces from our previous calculations.

Corollary 2 Let d_H be the Hamming metric over \mathbb{F}_q^n . The symmetry group of (\mathbb{F}_q^n, d_H) is isomorphic to $S_n \times S_q^n$.

Another proof of this can be found in [3].

3 Automorphisms

The group of automorphisms of (\mathbb{F}_q^n, d) is easily deduced from the results above. Let $F = \sigma T$ be a symmetry. Since σ is linear, the linearity of F is a matter of whether T is linear or not. Now, if $T = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m)$ is linear, then each component T_i must also be linear; since each T_i is bijective, T_i is in the group $\text{Aut}(V_i)$ of linear automorphisms of V_i . Therefore $T \in \prod_{i=1}^m \text{Aut}(V_i)$. On the other hand, any element of this group is a linear symmetry; hence:

Theorem 3 The automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_q^n, d)$ of (\mathbb{F}_q^n, d) is isomorphic to $S_\pi \times \prod_{i=1}^m \text{Aut}(V_i)$.

Corollary 3 Let $\pi = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$ be a partition of n . If

$$k_1 = \dots = k_{m_1} = l_1, \dots, k_{m_1+\dots+m_{l-1}+1} = \dots = k_n = l_r$$

with $l_1 > l_2 > \dots > l_r$, then

$$|\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_q^n, d)| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^l m_j! \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^m (q^{k_i} - 1)(q^{k_i} - q) \dots (q^{k_i} - q^{k_i-1}) \right).$$

Proof. Note initially that there is a bijection from $\text{Aut}(V_i)$ and the family of all ordered bases of V_i : let $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{k_i})$ be an ordered basis of V_i ; if $T \in \text{Aut}(V_i)$, then $(T(e_1), T(e_2), \dots, T(e_{k_i}))$ is an ordered basis of V_i ; if $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k_i})$ is an ordered basis

of V_i then there exist a unique automorphism T with $T(e_j) = v_j$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k_i\}$. Since the number of ordered basis of V_i equal

$$(q^{k_i} - 1)(q^{k_i} - q) \dots (q^{k_i} - q^{k_i-1})$$

follows that $|Aut(V_i)| = (q^{k_i} - 1)(q^{k_i} - q) \dots (q^{k_i} - q^{k_i-1})$. From above theorem

$$|Aut(\mathbb{F}_q^n, d)| = |S_\pi| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m |Aut(V_i)|.$$

Since $|S_\pi| = \sum_{j=1}^l m_j!$ the corollary follows. \square

Restricting to the Hamming case again, $Aut(V_i) = Aut(\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathbb{F}_q^*$, and $S_\pi = S_n$. Hence:

Corollary 4 *The automorphism group of (\mathbb{F}_q^n, d_H) is $S_n \times (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$.*

References

- [1] K. Feng, L. Xu and F.J. Hickernell, Linear Error-Block Codes, *Finite Fields and Their Applications* **12** 638-652 (2006).
- [2] M.M.S. Alves, L. Panek and M. Firer, Error-Block Codes and Poset Metrics, *Advances in Mathematics of Communications*, **2** 95-111 (2008).
- [3] I. Constantinescu and W. Heise, On the concept of code-isomorphy, *Journal of Geometry* **57** 63-69 (1996).