Gepner-like models and Landau-Ginzburg/sigma-model correspondence.

S. E. Parkhomenko Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics 142432 Chernogolovka, Russia

spark@itp.ac.ru

Abstract

The Gepner-like models of k^{K} -type is considered. When k + 2 (k = 0, 1, 2...) is multiple of K the elliptic genus and the Euler characteristic is calculated. The coincidence of the Euler characteristic of the model with the Euler characteristic of degree k + 2 surface in the projective \mathbb{P}^{K-1} space is found. Using free-field representation the identification of these models with the $\mathbb{C}^{K}/\mathbb{Z}_{k+2}$ Landau-Ginzburg orbifolds is made. The resolution of the orbifold singularities is briefly discussed.

"PACS: 11.25Hf; 11.25 Pm." Keywords: Strings, Conformal Field Theory.

0. Introduction

Since the famous work of Gepner [1] the geometric aspects underlying his puerly algebraic, Conformal Field Theory (CFT) construction of the superstring vacua are the area of intensive studies. His conjecture that there is some relationship between CY sigma model and the product of N = 2 minimal models has been essentially clarified in the works [1]-[4], [8]-[12]. Mirror symmetry, discovered in [2], [5]-[7] is one of the most important results of these studies.

In the important work of Borisov [13] the vertex operator algebra endowed with N = 2Virasoro superalgebra action has been constructed for each pair of dual reflexive polytopes defining toric CY manifold. Thus he constructed directly CFT from toric dates of CY manifold. The approach of Borisov is based essentially on the important work of Malikov, Schechtman and Vaintrob [14] where a certain sheaf of vertex algebras which is called chiral de Rham complex has been introduced. Roughly speaking the construction of [14] is a kind of free-field representation known as " $bc - \beta \gamma$ "-system which in case of N = 2 superconformal sigma model on toric CY is closely related with the Feigin and Semikhatov free-field representation [16] of N = 2supersymmetric minimal models. This circumstance is probably the key to understanding string geometry of Gepner models and proving Gepner's conjecture.

The significant step in this direction has been made in the paper [19] where the vertex algebra of certain Landau-Ginzburg (LG) orbifold has been related to chiral de Rham complex of toric CY manifold by some spectral sequence. The CY manifold has been realized as an algebraic surface degree K in the projective space \mathbb{P}^{K-1} and one of the key points of [19] is that the free-field representation of the corresponding LG orbifold is given by K copies of N = 2 minimal model free-field representation of [16].

In this note we consider Gepner-like models which are the products of N = 2 minimal

models projected by the integer U(1) charge condition. Thus we orbifoldize the product of N = 2 minimal models in complete similarity to the case of Gepner models. The only difference is that we relax the total central charge condition for the product of minimal models and consider the product of K-copies of N = 2 minimal models with equal central charges $c_1 = \ldots = c_K = \frac{3k}{k+2}$, where k + 2 is multiple of K: $k + 2 = K, 2K, \ldots$ When k + 2 = K we are in the CY situation considered in [19]. In general case we calculate in Sect.1. the elliptic genera and Euler characteristic of the model and find the coincidence of the latter with the Euler characteristic of the algebraic degree k + 2 surface in the projective space \mathbb{P}^{K-1} . In Sect.2. we use free-field representation of [16] to relate this model with $\mathbb{C}^K/\mathbb{Z}_{k+2}$ LG orbifold. In Sect.3. we discuss briefly the resolution of orbifold singularity.

1. The Elliptic genus and Euler characteristic of the Gepner-like models.

In this section the Elliptic genus is calculated for certain orbifold of the product of N = 2 minimal models. It has already been made for some important examples of superstring compactifications in the work [15].

1.1. The products of N = 2 minimal models.

The tensor product of K N = 2 unitary minimal models can be characterized by K dimensional vector $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, ..., \mu_K)$, where $\mu_i \geq 2$ being integer defines the central charge of the individual model by $c_i = 3(1 - \frac{2}{\mu_i})$. For each individual minimal model we denote by $M_{h,t}$ the irreducible unitary N = 2 Virasoro superalgebra representation in NS sector and denote by $\chi_{h,-t}(q, u)$ the character of the representation, where $h = 0, ..., \mu - 2$ and t = 0, ..., h. There are the following important automorphisms of the irreducible modules and characters [16], [17].

$$M_{h,t} \equiv M_{\mu-h-2,t-h-1}, \ \chi_{h,t}(q,u) = \chi_{\mu-h-2,t-h-1}(q,u),$$
(1)

$$M_{h,t} \equiv M_{h,t+\mu}, \ \chi_{h,t+\mu}(q,u) = \chi_{h,t}(q,u),$$
 (2)

where μ is odd and

$$M_{h,t} \equiv M_{h,t+\mu}, \ \chi_{h,t+\mu}(q,u) = \chi_{h,t}(q,u), \ h \neq [\frac{\mu}{2}] - 1,$$

$$M_{h,t} \equiv M_{h,t+[\frac{\mu}{2}]}, \ \chi_{h,t+[\frac{\mu}{2}]}(q,u) = \chi_{h,t}(q,u), \ h = [\frac{\mu}{2}] - 1,$$
(3)

where μ is even. In what follows we extend the set of admissible t:

$$t = 0, \dots, \mu - 1 \tag{4}$$

using the automorphisms above.

The parameter $t \in Z$ labels the spectral flow automorphisms [18] of N = 2 Virasoro superalgebra in NS sector

$$G^{\pm}[r] \to G^{\pm}_{t}[r] \equiv U^{t}G^{\pm}[r]U^{-t} \equiv G^{\pm}[r \pm t],$$

$$L[n] \to L_{t}[n] \equiv U^{t}L[n]U^{-t} \equiv L[n] + tJ[n] + t^{2}\frac{c}{6}\delta_{n,0},$$

$$J[n] \to J_{t}[n] \equiv U^{t}J[n]U^{-t} \equiv J[n] + t\frac{c}{3}\delta_{n,0},$$
(5)

where U^t denotes the spectral flow operator generating twisted sectors and r is half-integer for the modes of the spin-3/2 fermionic currents $G^{\pm}(z)$ while n is integer for the modes of stressenergy tensor T(z) and U(1)-current J(z) of the N = 2 Virasoro superalgebra. So allowing t to be half-integer we recover the irreducible representations and characters in the R sector. We use the following expression for the characters found in [17]

$$\chi_{h,-t}(u,q) = q^{\frac{h}{2\mu} + \frac{c}{6}t^2 + \frac{th}{\mu} - \frac{c}{24}} q^{\frac{1-\mu}{8}} u^{\frac{h}{\mu} + \frac{ct}{3}} (\frac{\eta(q^{\mu})}{\eta(q)})^3$$

$$\prod_{n=0} \frac{(1+uq^{\frac{1}{2}+t+n})}{(1+uq^{\frac{1}{2}-t+n\mu})} \frac{(1+u^{-1}q^{\frac{1}{2}-t+n})}{(1+uq^{\frac{1}{2}+t+(n+1)\mu})} \frac{(1-q^{n+1})}{(1-q^{(n+1)\mu})}$$

$$\prod_{n=0} \frac{(1-q^{-1-h+n\mu})}{(1+uq^{-\frac{1}{2}-h+t+n\mu})} \frac{(1-q^{1+h+(n+1)\mu})}{(1+u^{-1}q^{\frac{1}{2}+h-t+(n+1)\mu})}$$
(6)

where

$$\eta(q) = q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1} (1 - q^n) \tag{7}$$

The N = 2 Virasoro superalgebra generators in the product of minimal models are given by the sums of generators of each minimal model

$$G^{\pm}[r] = \sum_{i} G_{i}^{\pm}[r],$$

$$J[n] = \sum_{i} J_{i}[n], \ T[n] = \sum_{i} T_{i}[n],$$

$$c = \sum_{i} 3(1 - \frac{2}{\mu_{i}})$$
(8)

This algebra is obviously acting in the tensor products $M_{\mathbf{h},\mathbf{t}} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{K} M_{h_i,t_i}$ of the irreducible N = 2 Virsoro superalgebra representations of each individual model. We use the similar notation for the corresponding product of characters

$$\chi_{\mathbf{h},\mathbf{t}}(q,u) = \prod_{i=1}^{K} \chi_{h_i,t_i}(q,u)$$
(9)

By the definition [8] the Elliptic genus of N = 2 supersymmetric CFT is given by

$$Ell(\tau, \upsilon) = Tr_{(R \times R)}((-1)^{f+\bar{f}} \exp\left[i2\pi\tau(L[0] - \frac{c}{24}) + i2\pi\upsilon(J[0] - \frac{c}{6})\right] \exp\left[i2\pi\bar{\tau}(\bar{L}[0] - \frac{c}{24})\right])$$
(10)

The trace is taken over the Hilbert space in $R \times R$ sector and the operators f and \overline{f} are fermion number operators in left-moving and right-moving sectors.

1.2. Elliptic genera calculation.

Now we calculate the Elliptic genus for the case of certain orbifold of the product of minimal models when K-dimensional vector is given by $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu, ..., \mu)$, where μ is positive and multiple of K. In these models the total central charge is $3K(1-\frac{2}{\mu})$, so it is no longer integer and multiple of 3. Hence they can not be considered in general as the models of superstring compactification. Nevertheless the orbifold projection still exists [3] which makes them to be interesting N = 2 supesymmetric models of CFT from geometric point of view.

The orbifold group is \mathbb{Z}_{μ} and generated by

$$g = \exp(i2\pi J[0]) \tag{11}$$

Then the expression above takes the form

$$Ell_{orb}(\tau,\upsilon) = \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\mu-1} \prod_{i=1}^{K} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h_i,t_i} \tilde{\chi}_{h_i,-t_i-n+\frac{1}{2}}(\tau,\upsilon+m) \tilde{\chi}_{h_i,-t_i+\frac{1}{2}}(\bar{\tau},0)$$
(12)

It is (10) written for the orbifold of the tensor product of the minimal models, where

$$\tilde{\chi}_{h_i,-t_i}(\tau,\upsilon) \equiv Tr_{M_{h_i,t_i}}((-1)^f q^{(L[0]-\frac{c}{24})} u^{(J[0]-\frac{c}{6})})$$
(13)

The summation over n is due to the spectral flow twisted sector generated by the product of spectral flow twisted operators $\prod_{i=1}^{K} U_i^n$. The summation over m corresponds to the projection on the Z_{μ} -invariant states. The Ramound sector is given by the $\frac{1}{2}$ -twisted sector. By this convention the chiral-primary fields of NS sector corresponds to the ground states in R sector. The $\frac{1}{2}$ factor is caused by the (4) and (2),(3).

It follows from (6),(13) (see also [15])

$$\tilde{\chi}_{h_i,-t_i+\frac{1}{2}}(\tau,0) = -(\delta_{t_i,0} - \delta_{t_i,h_i+1})$$
(14)

and

$$\tilde{\chi}_{h_i,-t_i+\frac{1}{2}}(\tau,\upsilon+m) = \exp(\imath 2\pi m(\frac{h_i}{\mu} + \frac{c_i}{3}t_i))\tilde{\chi}_{h_i,-t_i+\frac{1}{2}}(\tau,\upsilon)$$
(15)

Hence the expression (12) takes the form

$$Ell_{orb}(\tau, \upsilon) = \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\mu-1} \prod_{i=1}^{K} \frac{-1}{2}$$
$$\sum_{h_i,t_i} (\delta_{t_i,0} - \delta_{t_i,h_i+1}) \exp(i2\pi m(\frac{h_i - 2(t_i + n)}{\mu}))\tilde{\chi}_{h_i,-t_i - n + \frac{1}{2}}(\tau, \upsilon) =$$
$$\frac{(-1)^K}{\mu} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\mu-1} \prod_{i=1}^{K} \sum_{h_i=0}^{\mu-2} \exp[i2\pi m(\frac{h_i - 2n}{\mu})]\tilde{\chi}_{h_i,-n+\frac{1}{2}}(\tau, \upsilon)$$
(16)

where the (1) has been taken into account.

The Euler characteristic is given by the value of the elliptic genus at v = 0.

$$Eu \equiv Ell_{orb}(\tau, 0) = (-1)^{K} \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{m=0}^{\mu-1} \prod_{i=1}^{K} \sum_{h_{i}=0}^{\mu-2} \exp\left[-i2\pi m\left(\frac{h_{i}}{\mu}\right)\right] + \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{n=1}^{K} \sum_{m=0}^{K} \exp\left[-i2\pi m\left(\frac{K(n+1)}{\mu}\right)\right] = (-1)^{K} \sum_{h_{1},\dots,h_{K}} \delta_{h_{1}+\dots+h_{K},0}^{(\mu)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\mu-1} \delta_{K(n+1),0}^{(\mu)}.$$
(17)

Now we consider the Euler characteristic (17) when K = 2, 3, 4, 5, ...1. K = 2, orbifold of $\mu = (2m, 2m)$ -model, $c = 6(1 - \frac{1}{m})$.

$$Eu = Ell_{orb}(\tau, 0) = \sum_{h_1, h_2} \delta_{h_1 + h_2, 0}^{(\mu)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\mu-1} \delta_{2(n+1), 0}^{(\mu)} = 2 - 1 - (1 - 2m) = 2m.$$
(18)

It is the Euler characteristic of 2m points. Notice that m = 1 case corresponds to 0-dimensional CY manifold in \mathbb{P}^1 . When m = 2 the total central charge c = 3 and this model can be used in the superstring compactification down to 8 space-time dimensions but the Euler characteristic is equal 4 which is different from the case of torus compactification.

2. K = 3, orbifold of $\mu = (3m, 3m, 3m)$ -model, $c = 9(1 - \frac{2}{3m})$.

$$Eu = Ell_{orb}(\tau, 0) = -\sum_{h_1, h_2, h_3} \delta^{(\mu)}_{h_1 + h_2 + h_3, 0} + \sum_{n=1}^{\mu-1} \delta^{(\mu)}_{3(n+1), 0} =$$

= 3 - 1 - (1 - 3m) - (1 - 3m)^2 = -9m(m - 1). (19)

It coincides with Euler characteristic of degree 3m surface in projective space \mathbb{P}^2 . When m = 1 we are in the situation of CY manifold which is given by degree 3 hyper-surface in \mathbb{P}^2 . When m = 2 the total central charge c = 6 and this model can be used in the superstring compactification down to 6 space-time dimensions but the Euler characteristic is equal -18 which is different from the case of K3 or torus compactification.

3. K = 4, orbifold of $\mu = (4m, 4m, 4m, 4m)$ -model, $c = 12(1 - \frac{1}{2m})$.

The Euler characteristics is given by

$$Eu = Ell_{orb}(\tau, 0) = \sum_{h_1, \dots, h_4} \delta^{(\mu)}_{h_1 + \dots + h_{4,0}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\mu-1} \delta^{(\mu)}_{4(n+1),0} = 4 - \sum_{j=0}^{3} (1 - 4m)^j = 8m(8m^2 - 8m + 3).$$
(20)

It coincides with Euler characteristic of degree 4m surface in projective space \mathbb{P}^3 . When m = 1 we are in the situation of CY manifold K3 which is given by degree 4 hyper-surface in \mathbb{P}^3 . When m = 2 the total central charge c = 9 and this model can be used in the superstring compactification down to 4 space-time dimensions with the Euler characteristic 304 which is different from the case of quintic for example.

4. K = 5, orbifold of $\mu = (5m, 5m, 5m, 5m, 5m)$ -model, $c = 15(1 - \frac{2}{5m})$.

$$Eu = Ell_{orb}(\tau, 0) = -\sum_{h_1, \dots, h_5} \delta_{h_1 + \dots + h_5, 0}^{(\mu)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\mu-1} \delta_{5(n+1), 0}^{(\mu)} = 5 - \sum_{j=0}^{4} (1 - 5m)^j = -5m(125m^3 - 125m^2 + 50m - 10)$$
(21)

It coincides with Euler characteristic of degree 5m surface in projective space \mathbb{P}^4 . When m = 1 we are in the situation of CY manifold which is given by quintic in \mathbb{P}^4 .

For the general K and $\mu = Km$ the Euler characteristic is given by

$$Eu = K - \sum_{j=0}^{K-1} (1 - Km)^j = K + \frac{(1 - Km)^K - 1}{Km}$$
(22)

2. LG orbifold geometry of Gepner-like models.

In this section we relate the Gepner-like models to the LG orbifolds $\mathbb{C}^{K}/\mathbb{Z}_{\mu}$. we start with the free-field construction of irreducible representations of N = 2 minimal models found by Feigin and Semikhatov in [16].

2.1. Free-field realization of N = 2 minimal models.

Let $X(z), X^*(z)$ be the free bosonic fields and $\psi(z), \psi^*(z)$ be the free fermionic fields (in the left-moving sector) so that its OPE's are given by

$$X^{*}(z_{1})X(z_{2}) = \ln(z_{12}) + reg.,$$

$$\psi^{*}(z_{1})\psi(z_{2}) = z_{12}^{-1} + reg,$$
(23)

where $z_{12} = z_1 - z_2$. Then for an arbitrary number μ the currents of N = 2 super-Virasoro algebra are given by

$$G^{+}(z) = \psi^{*}(z)\partial X(z) - \frac{1}{\mu}\partial\psi^{*}(z), \ G^{-}(z) = \psi(z)\partial X^{*}(z) - \partial\psi(z),$$
$$J(z) = \psi^{*}(z)\psi(z) + \frac{1}{\mu}\partial X^{*}(z) - \partial X(z),$$
$$T(z) = \partial X(z)\partial X^{*}(z) + \frac{1}{2}(\partial\psi^{*}(z)\psi(z) - \psi^{*}(z)\partial\psi(z)) - \frac{1}{2}(\partial^{2}X(z) + \frac{1}{\mu}\partial^{2}X^{*}(z)),$$
(24)

and the central charge is

$$c = 3(1 - \frac{2}{\mu}). \tag{25}$$

As usual, the fermions are expanded into the half-integer modes in NS sector and they are expanded into integer modes in R sector

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{r} \psi[r] z^{-\frac{1}{2}-r}, \ \psi^*(z) = \sum_{r} \psi^*[r] z^{-\frac{1}{2}-r}, \ G^{\pm}(z) = \sum_{r} G^{\pm}[r] z^{-\frac{3}{2}-r},$$
(26)

The bosons are expanded in both sectors into the integer modes:

$$\partial X(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} X[n] z^{-1-n}, \ \partial X^*(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} X^*[n] z^{-1-n},$$
$$J(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} J[n] z^{-1-n}, \ T(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L[n] z^{-2-n}.$$
(27)

In NS sector N = 2 Virasoro superalgebra is acting naturally in Fock module F_{p,p^*} generated by the fermionic operators $\psi^*[r]$, $\psi[r]$, $r < \frac{1}{2}$, and bosonic operators $X^*[n]$, X[n], n < 0 from the vacuum state $|p, p^* >$ such that

$$\psi[r]|p, p^* \rangle = \psi^*[r]|p, p^* \rangle = 0, r \ge \frac{1}{2},$$

$$X[n]|p, p^* \rangle = X^*[n]|p, p^* \rangle = 0, n \ge 1,$$

$$X[0]|p, p^* \rangle = p|p, p^* \rangle, \ X^*[0]|p, p^* \rangle = p^*|p, p^* \rangle.$$
(28)

It is a primary state with respect to the N = 2 Virasoro algebra

$$G^{\pm}[r]|p, p^{*} \ge 0, r \ge 0,$$

$$J[n]|p, p^{*} \ge L[n]|p, p^{*} \ge 0, n \ge 0,$$

$$J[0]|p, p^{*} \ge \frac{j}{\mu}|p, p^{*} \ge 0,$$

$$L[0]|p, p^{*} \ge \frac{h(h+2) - j^{2}}{4\mu}|p, p^{*} \ge 0,$$

(29)

where $j = p^* - \mu p, h = p^* + \mu p$.

When $\mu - 2$ is integer and non negative the Fock modules are highly reducible representations of N = 2 Virasoro algebra.

The irreducible module $M_{h,j}$ is given by cohomology of some complex building up from Fock modules. This complex has been constructed in [16]. Let us consider first free-field construction for the chiral module $M_{h,0}$. In this case the complex (which is known due to Feigin and Semikhatov as butterfly resolution) can be represented by the following diagram

The horizontal arrows in this diagram are given by the action of

$$Q^{+} = \oint dz S^{+}(z), \ S^{+}(z) = \psi^{*} \exp(X^{*})(z), \tag{31}$$

The vertical arrows are given by the action of

$$Q^{-} = \oint dz S^{-}(z), \ S^{-}(z) = \psi \exp(\mu X)(z),$$
(32)

The diagonal arrow at the middle of butterfly resolution is given by the action of Q^+Q^- . It is a complex due to the following properties screening charges Q^{\pm}

$$(Q^{+})^{2} = (Q^{-})^{2} = \{Q^{+}, Q^{-}\} = 0.$$
(33)

The main statement of [16] is that the complex (30) is exact except at the $F_{0,h}$ module, where the cohomology is given by the chiral module $M_{h,0}$.

To get the resolution for the irreducible module $M_{h,t}$ one can use the observation [16] that all irreducible modules can be obtained from the chiral module $M_{h,0}$, $h = 0, ..., \mu - 2$ by the spectral flow action U^{-t} , $t = 1, ..., \mu - 1$. The spectral flow action on the free fields can be easily described if we bosonize fermions ψ^*, ψ

$$\psi(z) = \exp(-\phi(z)), \ \psi^*(z) = \exp(\phi(z)).$$
 (34)

and introduce spectral flow vertex operator

$$U^{t}(z) = \exp(-t(\phi + \frac{1}{\mu}X^{*} - X)(z)).$$
(35)

Using the resolution (30) and the spectral flow one can obtain also the expression (6) for the character.

The resolutions and irreducible modules in R sector are generated from the resolutions and modules in NS sector by the spectral flow operator $U^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

2.2. Free-field realization of the product of minimal models.

It is clear how to generalize the free-field representation to the case of tensor product of K N = 2 minimal models. One has to introduce (in the left-moving sector) the free bosonic fields $X_i(z), X_i^*(z)$ and free fermionic fields $\psi_i(z), \psi_i^*(z), i = 1, ..., K$ so that its singular OPE's are given by (23). The N = 2 superalgebra Virasoro currents for each of the models are given by (24). To describe the products of irreducible representations $M_{\mathbf{h},\mathbf{t}}$ we introduce the fermionic screening currents and their charges

$$S_{i}^{+}(z) = \psi_{i}^{*} \exp(X_{i}^{*})(z),$$

$$S_{i}^{-}(z) = \psi_{i} \exp(\mu_{i}X_{i})(z),$$

$$Q_{i}^{\pm} = \oint dz S_{i}^{\pm}(z).$$
(36)

Then the module $M_{\mathbf{h},0}$ is given by the cohomology of the product of butterfly resolutions (30) for each minimal model. The resolution of the module $M_{\mathbf{h},\mathbf{t}}$ is generated by the spectral flow operator $U^{\mathbf{t}} = \prod_{i} U_{i}^{t_{i}}, t_{i} = 1, ..., \mu_{i} - 1$, where $U_{i}^{t_{i}}$ is the spectral flow operator from the *i*-th minimal model (35). Allowing t_{i} to be half-integer we generate the corresponding objects in R sector.

2.3. LG orbifold geometry of Gepner-like models.

The Elliptic genera (16) can be considered as the Euler character of certain complex. It is an orbifold of the complex which is given by the sum of products of butterfly resolutions for the modules $M_{\mathbf{h},0}$. The cohomology of this complex can be calculated by two steps.

At first step we take the cohomology wrt the operator

$$Q^{+} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} Q_{i}^{+} \tag{37}$$

It is generated by $bc\beta\gamma$ system of fields

$$a_i(z) = \exp [X_i](z), \ \alpha_i(z) = \psi_i \exp [X_i](z),$$

$$a_i^*(z) = (\partial X_i^* - \psi_i \psi_i^*) \exp [-X_i](z), \ \alpha_i^*(z) = \psi_i^* \exp [-X_i](z)$$
(38)

The fields $a_i(z)$ correspond to the coordinates a_i on the complex space \mathbb{C}^K , the fields $a_i^*(z)$ correspond to the operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i}$. The fields $\alpha_i(z)$ correspond to the differentials da_i , while $\alpha_i^*(z)$ correspond to the conjugated to da_i .

In terms of the fields (38) the N=2 Virasoro superalgebra currents are given by

$$G^{-} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} a_{i}^{*}, \ G^{+} = \sum_{i} (1 - \frac{1}{\mu}) \alpha_{i}^{*} \partial a_{i} - \frac{1}{\mu} a_{i} \partial \alpha_{i}^{*},$$
$$J = \sum_{i} (1 - \frac{1}{\mu}) \alpha_{i}^{*} \alpha_{i} + \frac{1}{\mu} a_{i} a_{i}^{*},$$
$$T = \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} ((1 + \frac{1}{\mu}) \partial \alpha_{i}^{*} \alpha_{i} - (1 - \frac{1}{\mu}) \alpha_{i}^{*} \partial \alpha_{i}) + (1 - \frac{1}{2\mu}) \partial a_{i} a_{i}^{*} - \frac{1}{2\mu} a_{i} \partial a_{i}^{*}$$
(39)

Notice that zero mode $G^{-}[0]$ is acting on the space of states generated by $bc\beta\gamma$ system of fields similar to the de Rham differential action on the de Rham complex of \mathbb{C}^{K} . Thus our space of states is chiral de Rham complex [14] on \mathbb{C}^{K} .

Now we consider the \mathbb{Z}_{μ} -orbifolding of this complex. The U(1) charges of the fields are given by

$$J(z_1)a_i(z_2) = z_{12}^{-1}\frac{1}{\mu}a_i(z_2) + r., \ J(z_1)a_i^*(z_2) = -z_{12}^{-1}\frac{1}{\mu}a_i^*(z_2) + r.,$$

$$J(z_1)\alpha_i(z_2) = -z_{12}^{-1}(1-\frac{1}{\mu})\alpha_i(z_2) + r., \ J(z_1)\alpha_i^*(z_2) = z_{12}^{-1}(1-\frac{1}{\mu})\alpha_i^*(z_2) + r.$$
(40)

Notice that the screening charges Q_i^+ correspond to some cone σ in the lattice \mathbb{Z}^K generated by the basic vectors e_i . Then the set of monomials generated by the operators $a_i[0]$ corresponds to the dual cone σ^* [22]. The set of fields surviving the \mathbb{Z}_{μ} -projection contains the fields

$$\prod_{i=1}^{K} (a_i)^{l_i}(z), \ \sum_i l_i = \mu$$
(41)

The homogeneous polynomials generated by zero modes of these fields correspond to the cone over the image of \mathbb{P}^{K-1} under the Veronese map [23]: $\mathbb{P}^{K-1} \to \mathbb{P}^{D-1}$ of degree μ , where D is the number of monomials (41). Thus, parameter μ is the degree of \mathbb{P}^{K-1} .

Making the projection on \mathbb{Z}_{μ} invariant states and adding twisted sectors generated by $\prod_{i=1}^{\mu-1} (U_i)^n$ we obtain toric construction of the chiral de Rham complex of the orbifold $\mathbb{C}^K/\mathbb{Z}_{\mu}$. The chiral de Rham complex on the orbifold has recently been introduced in [21].

The second step in the cohomology calculation is given by the cohomology with respect to the differential

$$Q^{-} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} Q_{i}^{-}$$
(42)

This operator survives the orbifold projection and its expression in terms of fields (38) is

$$Q^{-} = \oint dz \sum_{i=1}^{K} \alpha_{i}(a_{i})^{\mu-1}$$
(43)

So the second step of cohomology calculation corresponds to the restriction of the space of states to zeroes of the equation

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^{K} (a_i)^{\mu} = 0 \tag{44}$$

Thus the total space of states corresponds to space of states of LG orbifold $\mathbb{C}^{K}/\mathbb{Z}_{\mu}$ and hence, the expression (12) is the Elliptic genera of this LG orbifold. Notice that because of $\mu = Km$ the potential W is a section of m-th power of anticanonical sheaf on \mathbb{P}^{K-1} which can be considered as O(1)-sheaf on degree μ projective space \mathbb{P}^{K-1} .

3. LG/sigma-model correspondence conjecture.

As it has already been mentioned the case of $\mu = K$ corresponds to CY manifold which is given by degree K surface in projective space \mathbb{P}^{K-1} . The chiral de Rham complex on this manifold has been constructed in [13], [19]. In [19] the chiral de Rham complex on the CY manifold in \mathbb{P}^{K-1} has been calculated by the spectral sequence which relates this complex to the chiral de Rham complex on the LG orbifold.

We briefly consider here the spectral sequence of [19] for the simplest case of 0-dimensional CY manifold in \mathbb{P}^1 which corresponds to $\mu = (2, 2)$ model. Then we discuss the possible generalization to the case when μ is multiple of K.

When K = 2 and $\mu = (2, 2)$ the expression (12) gives the Elliptic genera of the LG orbifold $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ with the potential

$$W = a_1^2 + a_2^2 \tag{45}$$

as we have seen in Sect.2.

According to the construction [13], [19] the resolution of the orbifold singularity is given by the screening charge

$$Q_0^+ = \oint dz \frac{1}{2} (\psi_1^* + \psi_2^*) \exp(\frac{1}{2} (X_1^* + X_2^*))(z)$$
(46)

It gives a fan [22] consisting of two 2-dimensional cones σ_1 and σ_2 , generated in the lattice $(\frac{1}{2}Z)^2$ by the vectors $(e_1, \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2))$ and vectors $(e_2, \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2))$ correspondingly. To each of the cones σ_i the $bc\beta\gamma$ system of fields is related by the cohomology of the differential $Q_i^+ + Q_0^+$ (the first step of cohomology calculation). By the explicit calculations (see for example [13]) one can show that these two systems generate the space of sections of the chiral de Rham complex on the open sets of the standard covering of the total space of O(2) line bundle over \mathbb{P}^1 . The differential $Q^- = Q_1^- + Q_2^-$ commutes with Q_0^+ and defines in each open set of the covering the section of this bundle whose zeroes give the hyper-surface. Hence the cohomology with respect to the differential Q^- are given by the sections of the chiral de Rham complex on the hyper-surface for each open set. The Chech complex of the standard covering glues these sections into the chiral de Rham complex sections over the hyper-surface in \mathbb{P}^1 .

Now we propose the orbifold singularity resolution when K = 2 and $\mu = 2m$, m = 1, 2, ...In this case we have LG orbifold $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{2m}$ with the potential

$$W = a_1^{2m} + a_2^{2m} \tag{47}$$

To resolve the orbifold singularity one has to add the following set of screening charges

$$Q_n^+ = \oint dz \left(\frac{2m-n}{2m}\psi_1^* + \frac{n}{2m}\psi_2^*\right) \exp\left(\frac{2m-n}{2m}X_1^* + \frac{n}{2m}X_2^*\right)(z), \ n = 1, ..., 2m-1$$
(48)

One can easy to check that these operators commute with the total N = 2 Virasoro superalgebra currents (39). But most of the fields (48) can not appear as marginal operators of the model

because they should come from twisted sectors which are not exist in the model. The only exception comes from the spectral flow operator $\prod_{i=1}^{\mu-1} (U_i)^n$. Hence the only screening charge one can add to resolve the singularity is Q_m^+ , the middle one from (48). By this means we are turning back to the fan of $\mu = (2, 2)$ model. The important difference however is that the group \mathbb{Z}_m is acting on the chiral de Rham complex sections. But the only $bc\beta\gamma$ fields charged with respect to this group correspond to the fibers of the O(2)-bundle. In other words, the group \mathbb{Z}_m is acting nontrivially only along the fibers, so that the base \mathbb{P}^1 (consided as a zero section) is the fixed point set of the action. Therefore we obtain after the blow-up the \mathbb{Z}_m -orbifold of the chiral de Rham complex of the O(2)-bundle total space.

The differential Q^- of the second step cohomology calcualtion commutes with Q_m^+ and survives \mathbb{Z}_m -projection. It defines the section of O(2m)-bundle in each open set of the covering. More closer inspection shows that \mathbb{Z}_m -projection defines degree 2m projective space \mathbb{P}^1 so that the O(2m)-sheaf has to be considered as the O(1)-sheaf on this space.

For general values of K and $\mu = mK$ the situation is similar. The only screening charge one can add to resolve the orbifold singularity comes from the spectral flow operator

$$Q_0^+ = \oint dz \frac{1}{K} (\sum_i \psi_i^*) \exp(\frac{1}{K} \sum_i X_i^*)(z)$$
(49)

It gives the standard covering of the O(K)-bundle total space where the group \mathbb{Z}_m is acting along the fibers of the bundle with the fixed point set \mathbb{P}^{K-1} . Thus we obtain after the blow-up the \mathbb{Z}_m -orbifold of the chiral de Rham complex of the O(K)-bundle total space. The differential $Q^$ commutes with Q_0^+ and survives \mathbb{Z}_m -projection so that it defines the section of O(Km)-bundle. The corresponding sheaf has to be considred as the O(1)-sheaf on degree Km projective space \mathbb{P}^{K-1} .

More detailed investigation of toric geometry of the models we left for the future. It is important in particular to uderstand why the geometry described above satisfy the vanishing β -function equation, when m > 1. Another interesting question concerns the generalization of mirror symmetry for these models.

Acknowledgements

I thank Boris Feigin and Mikhail Bershtein for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by grants RFBR-07-02-00799-a, SS3472.2008.2, RFBR-CNRS (PICS) 09-02-91064.

References

- [1] D.Gepner, Phys.Lett. **199B** (1987) 380; Nucl.Phys. **B296** (1988) 757.
- [2] W.Lerche, C.Vafa and N.P.Warner, Chiral rings in N=2 superconformal theories, Nucl.Phys. B324 (1989), 427.
- [3] C.Vafa, String vaccua and orbifoldized Landau-Ginzburg model, Mod.Phys.Lett. A4 (1989), 1169.
- [4] T.Eguchi, H. Ooguri, A.Taormina and S.-K.Yang, Nucl. Phys. B315 (1989) 193.

- [5] L.Dixon, Some world-sheet properties of superstring compactifications on orbifolds and otherwise, Superstrings, Unified Theories and Cosmology (1987), World Scientific, Singapore, New Jersey, Hong Kong, (1988), 67.
- [6] P.Candelas, M.Lynker and R.Schimmrigk, Calabi-Yau manifolds in weighted P⁴, Nucl.Phys. B341 (1990), 383.
- B.R.Greene and M.R.Plesser, Duality in Calabi-Yau moduli space, Nucl. Phys. B338 (1990), 15.
- [8] E.Witten, On the Landau-Ginzburg description of N=2 minimal models, hep-th/9304026.
- [9] B.R.Greene, C.Vafa and N.Warner, Nucl. Phys. B324 (1989), 371.
- [10] E.Martinec, Phys.Lett. **B217** (1989), 431
- [11] E.Witten, Mirror Manifolds and Topological Field Theory, hep-th/9112056.
- [12] E.Witten, Phases of N=2 theories in two dimensions, Nucl. Phys. B403 (1993) 159, hepth/9301042.
- [13] L.A.Borisov, Vertex algebras and Mirror Symmetry, math.AG/9809094.
- [14] F.Malikov, V.Schechtman and A.Vaintrob, *Chiral de Rham complex*, alg-geom/9803041.
- T.Kawai, Y.Yamada and S.K.Yang, Elliptic Genera and N=2 Superconformal Field Theory, hep-th/9306096.
- [16] B.L.Feigin and A.M.Semikhatov, Free-field resolutions of the unitary N = 2 super-Virasoro representations, hep-th/9810059.
- [17] B.L.Feigin, A.M.Semikhatov, V.A.Sirota, and I.Yu.Tipunin, Resolutions and Characters of Irredicible Representations of the N = 2 Superconformal algebra, hep-th/9805179.
- [18] A.Schwimmer and N.Seiberg, *Phys.Lett.* **B184** (1987) 191.
- [19] V.Gobrounov and F.Malikov, Vertex algebras and Landau-Ginzburg/Calaby-Yau correspondence, math.AG/0308114.
- [20] P.S.Aspinwall Resolution of Orbifold Singularities in String Theory, hep-th/9403123.
- [21] E.Frenkel and M.Schestney, *Chiral de Rham Complex and Orbifolds*, math.AG/0307181.
- [22] W.Fulton, Introduction to Toric Varieties, U.Press., Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [23] R.Hartshorn, Algebraic Geometry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1977.