### Electric S-brane solutions corresponding to rank-2 Lie algebras: acceleration and small variation of G

V.D. Ivashchuk<sup>1, a, b</sup>, S.A. Kononogov<sup>2, a</sup> and V.N. Melnikov<sup>3, a, b</sup>

a Center for Gravitation and Fundamental Metrology, VNIIMS, 46 Ozyornaya St., Moscow 119361, Russia

b Institute of Gravitation and Cosmology, Peoples'

Friendship University of Russia, 6 Miklukho-Maklaya St., Moscow 117198, Russia

#### Abstract

Electric S-brane solutions with two non-composite electric branes and a set of l scalar fields are considered. The intersection rules for branes correspond to Lie algebras  $A_2$ ,  $C_2$  and  $G_2$ . The solutions contain five factor spaces. One of them,  $M_0$ , is interpreted as our 3dimensional space. It is shown that there exists a time interval where accelerated expansion of our 3-dimensional space is compatible with a small enough variation of the effective gravitational constant  $G(\tau)$ . This interval contains  $\tau_0$ , a point of minimum of the function  $G(\tau)$ . A special solution with two phantom scalar fields is analyzed and it is shown that in the vicinity of the point  $\tau_0$  the time variation of  $G(\tau)$ (calculated in the linear approximation) decreases in the sequence of Lie algebras  $A_2$ ,  $C_2$  and  $G_2$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>ivashchuk@mail.ru

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>kononogov@vniims.ru

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>melnikov@phys.msu.ru

### 1 Introduction

Multidimensional cosmological models with diverse matter sources are at present widely used for describing possible time variations of fundamental physical constants, e.g. gravitational constant G, see [1]-[16] and references therein.

It has been shown in [10] that, in the pure gravitational model with two non-zero curvatures, there exists an interval of synchronous variable  $\tau$ where accelerated expansion of "our" 3-dimensional space co-exists with a small enough value of  $\dot{G}$ . This result was compared with our exact (1+3+6)dimensional solution [17] obtained earlier.

Recently, in [16], we suggested a similar mechanism for a model with two form fields and several scalar fields (e.g., phantom ones). The main problem here was to find an interval of the synchronous time  $\tau$  where the scale factor of our 3-dimensional space exhibits an accelerated expansion according to the observational data [18, 19] while the relative variation of the effective 4-dimensional gravitational constant is small enough as compared with the Hubble parameter, see [20, 21, 11] and references therein. As it was shown in [16] such interval did exist, it contained  $\tau_0$  – the point of minimum of the function  $G(\tau)$ . The analysis carried out in [16] was based on an exact S-brane solution with the intersection rules for branes corresponding to the Lie algebra  $A_2$ .

In this paper, we extend the results of our previous work to exact S-brane solutions with the intersection rules corresponding to other simple rank 2 Lie algebras:  $C_2$  and  $G_2$ . Thus, here we generalize the results of ref. [16] to the sequence of Lie algebras  $A_2$ ,  $C_2$  and  $G_2$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the setup for the model is done and exact S-brane solutions are presented. In Section 3, solutions with acceleration and small G-dot are singled out. In Section 4, a special configuration with two phantom field is considered. Here we compare the G-dot calculated (in the linear approximation near the point of minimum of  $G(\tau)$ ) for these three algebras and show that the variation of G decreases in the sequence of Lie algebras  $A_2$ ,  $C_2$  and  $G_2$ . In the Appendix, we give a derivation of relation (3.17) for an approximate value of the dimensionless parameter of relative G variation.

### 2 The model

We consider S-brane solutions describing two electric branes and a set of l scalar fields.

The model is governed by the action

$$S = \int d^{D}x \sqrt{|g|} \Big\{ R[g] - h_{\alpha\beta} g^{MN} \partial_{M} \varphi^{\alpha} \partial_{N} \varphi^{\beta} - \sum_{a=1,2} \frac{1}{N_{a}!} \exp[2\lambda_{a}(\varphi)] (F^{a})^{2} \Big\},$$
(2.1)

where  $g = g_{MN}(x)dx^M \otimes dx^N$  is a *D*-dimensional metric of the pseudo-Euclidean signature (-, +, ..., +),  $F^a = dA^a$  is a form of rank  $N_a$ ,  $(h_{\alpha\beta})$  is a non-degenerate symmetric matrix,  $\varphi = (\varphi^{\alpha}) \in \mathbb{R}^l$  is a vector of l scalar fields,  $\lambda_a(\varphi) = \lambda_{a\alpha}\varphi^{\alpha}$  is a linear function, with a = 1, 2 and  $\alpha, \beta = 1, ..., l$ and  $|g| = |\det(g_{MN})|$ .

We consider the manifold

$$M = (0, +\infty) \times M_0 \times M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3 \times M_4.$$
(2.2)

where  $M_i$  are oriented Riemannian Ricci-flat spaces of dimensions  $d_i$ ,  $i = 0, \ldots, 4$ , and  $d_1 = 1$ .

Let two electric branes be defined by the sets  $I_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $I_2 = \{1, 2, 4\}$ . They intersect on  $M_1 \times M_2$ . The first brane also covers  $M_3$  while the second one covers  $M_4$ . The first brane corresponds to the form  $F^1$  and the second one to the form  $F^2$ .

For the world-volume dimensions of branes we get

$$d(I_s) = N_s - 1 = 1 + d_2 + d_{2+s}, (2.3)$$

s = 1, 2, and

$$d(I_1 \cap I_2) = 1 + d_2 \tag{2.4}$$

is the brane intersection dimension.

We consider an S-brane solution governed by the function

$$\hat{H} = 1 + Pt^2, \tag{2.5}$$

where t is a time variable and

$$P = \frac{1}{4n_s} K_s Q_s^2 \tag{2.6}$$

is a parameter. Here,  $Q_s$  are charge density parameters,

$$K_s = d(I_s)(1 + \frac{d(I_s)}{2 - D}) + \lambda_{s\alpha}\lambda_{s\beta}h^{\alpha\beta}, \qquad (2.7)$$

 $s = 1, 2, (h^{\alpha\beta}) = (h_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}$ , and

$$(n_1, n_2) = (2, 2), (3, 4), (6, 10)$$
 (2.8)

for the Lie algebras  $A_2$ ,  $C_2$  and  $G_2$ , respectively. The parameters  $K_s$  and  $Q_s$  are supposed to be nonzero.

The intersection rules read:

$$d(I_1 \cap I_2) = \frac{d(I_1)d(I_2)}{D-2} - \lambda_{1\alpha}\lambda_{2\beta}h^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}K_2.$$
 (2.9)

These relations corresponds to the simple Lie algebras of rank 2 [22, 23].

Recall that  $K_s = (U^s, U^s)$ , s = 1, 2, where the "electric"  $U^s$ -vectors and the scalar products were defined in [24, 25, 22]. Relations (2.9) follow just from the formula

$$(A_{ss'}) = (2(U^s, U^{s'})/(U^{s'}, U^{s'})), \qquad (2.10)$$

where  $(A_{ss'})$  is the Cartan matrix for Lie algebra of rank 2 with  $A_{12} = -1$ ,  $A_{21} = -k$ , where here and in what follows

$$k = 1, 2, 3, \tag{2.11}$$

for the Lie algebras  $A_2$ ,  $C_2$  and  $G_2$ , respectively. We remind the reader that (see [25])

$$(U^1, U^2) = d(I_1 \cap I_2) - \frac{d(I_1)d(I_2)}{D-2} + \lambda_{1\alpha}\lambda_{2\beta}h^{\alpha\beta}.$$
 (2.12)

Due to (2.10) and relations  $A_{12} = -1, A_{21} = -k$ , we get

$$K_2 = K_1 k.$$
 (2.13)

We consider the following exact solutions (containing three subcases corresponding to the Lie algebras  $A_2$ ,  $C_2$  and  $G_2$ )

$$g = \hat{H}^{2A} \Big\{ -dt \otimes dt + g^0 + \hat{H}^{-2(B_1 + B_2)} (t^2 g^1 + g^2) \\ + \hat{H}^{-2B_1} g^3 + \hat{H}^{-2B_2} g^4 \Big\},$$
(2.14)

$$\exp(\varphi^{\alpha}) = \hat{H}^{B_1 \lambda_1^{\alpha} + B_2 \lambda_2^{\alpha}}, \qquad (2.15)$$

$$F^{1} = -Q_{1}\hat{H}^{-2n_{1}+n_{2}}tdt \wedge \tau_{1} \wedge \tau_{2} \wedge \tau_{3}, \qquad (2.16)$$

$$F^{2} = -Q_{2}\hat{H}^{-2n_{2}+kn_{1}}tdt \wedge \tau_{1} \wedge \tau_{2} \wedge \tau_{4}, \qquad (2.17)$$

where

$$A = \sum_{s=1,2} \frac{n_s K_s^{-1} d(I_s)}{D - 2},$$
(2.18)

$$B_s = n_s K_s^{-1}, (2.19)$$

s = 1, 2. Here  $\tau_i$  denotes a volume form on  $M_i$   $(g_1 = dx \otimes dx, \tau_1 = dx)$ .

These solutions are special case of more general solutions from [26] corresponding to the Lie algebras  $A_2$ ,  $C_2$  and  $G_2$ . They can also be obtained as a special 1-block case of S-brane solutions from [28]. The  $A_2$ -case (k = 1) was considered in [16].

We also note that the charge density parameters  $Q_s$  obey the following relation (see (2.6) and (2.13))

$$\frac{Q_1^2}{Q_2^2} = \frac{n_1}{n_2}k = 1, \ \frac{3}{2}, \ \frac{9}{5}$$
(2.20)

for the Lie algebras  $A_2$ ,  $C_2$  and  $G_2$ , respectively.

## **3** Solutions with acceleration and small G

Let us introduce the synchronous time variable  $\tau = \tau(t)$  by the relation:

$$\tau = \int_0^t d\bar{t} [\hat{H}(\bar{t})]^A \tag{3.1}$$

We put P < 0, and hence due to (2.6) all  $K_s < 0$  which implies A < 0. Consider two intervals of the parameter A:

(i) 
$$A < -1$$
, (3.2)

(ii) 
$$-1 < A < 0.$$
 (3.3)

In case (i), the function  $\tau = \tau(t)$  monotonically increases from 0 to  $+\infty$ , for  $t \in (0, t_1)$ , where  $t_1 = |P|^{-1/2}$ , while in case (*ii*) it is monotonically increases from 0 to a finite value  $\tau_1 = \tau(t_1)$ .

Let the space  $M_0$  be our 3-dimensional space with the scale factor

$$a_0 = \hat{H}^A. \tag{3.4}$$

For the first branch (i), we get the asymptotic relation

$$a_0 \sim \tau^{\nu},\tag{3.5}$$

for  $\tau \to +\infty$ , where

$$\nu = A/(A+1)$$
(3.6)

and, due to (3.2),  $\nu > 1$ . For the second branch (ii) we obtain

$$a_0 \sim \operatorname{const}(\tau_1 - \tau)^{\nu}, \qquad (3.7)$$

for  $\tau \to \tau_1 - 0$ , where  $\nu < 0$  due to (3.3), see (3.6).

Thus, we get an asymptotic accelerated expansion of the 3-dimensional factor space  $M_0$  in both cases (i) and (ii), and  $a_0 \to +\infty$ .

Moreover, it may be readily verified that the accelerated expansion takes place for all  $\tau > 0$ , i.e.,

$$\dot{a}_0 > 0, \qquad \ddot{a}_0 > 0.$$
 (3.8)

Here and in what follows we denote  $\dot{f} = df/d\tau$ .

Indeed, using the relation  $d\tau/dt = \hat{H}^A$  (see (3.1)), we get

$$\dot{a_0} = \frac{dt}{d\tau} \frac{da_0}{dt} = \frac{2|A||P|t}{\hat{H}},$$
(3.9)

and

$$\ddot{a}_0 = \frac{dt}{d\tau} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{da_0}{d\tau} = \frac{2|A||P}{\hat{H}^{2+A}} (1+|P|t^2), \qquad (3.10)$$

which certainly implies the inequalities in (3.8).

Let us consider a variation of the effective constant G. In Jordan's frame the 4-dimensional gravitational "constant" is

$$G = \text{const} \prod_{i=1}^{4} (a_i^{-d_i}) = \hat{H}^{2A} t^{-1}, \qquad (3.11)$$

where

$$a_1 = \hat{H}^{A-B_1-B_2}t, \quad a_2 = \hat{H}^{A-B_1-B_2}, \quad a_3 = \hat{H}^{A-B_1}, \quad a_4 = \hat{H}^{A-B_2}$$
(3.12)

are the scale factors of the "internal" spaces  $M_1, \ldots, M_4$ , respectively.

The dimensionless variation of G reads

$$\delta = \dot{G}/(GH) = 2 + \frac{1 - |P|t^2}{2A|P|t^2},$$
(3.13)

where

$$H = \frac{\dot{a}_0}{a_0} \tag{3.14}$$

is the Hubble parameter of our space. It follows from (3.13) that the function  $G(\tau)$  has a minimum at the point  $\tau_0$  corresponding to  $t_0$ , where

$$t_0^2 = \frac{|P|^{-1}}{1+4|A|}.$$
(3.15)

At this point,  $\dot{G}$  is zero.

The function  $G(\tau)$  monotonically decreases from  $+\infty$  to  $G_0 = G(\tau_0)$  for  $\tau \in (0, \tau_0)$  and monotonically increases from  $G_0$  to  $+\infty$  for  $\tau \in (\tau_0, \bar{\tau}_1)$ . Here  $\bar{\tau}_1 = +\infty$  for the case (i) and  $\bar{\tau}_1 = \tau_1$  for the case (ii).

We consider only solutions with accelerated expansion of our space and small enough variations of the gravitational constant obeying the experimental constraint [20, 21]

$$|\delta| < 0.1. \tag{3.16}$$

Here, as in the model with two curvatures [10],  $\tau$  is restricted to a certain range containing  $\tau_0$ . It follows from (3.13) that in the asymptotical regions (3.5) and (3.7)  $\delta \to 2$ , which is unacceptable due to the experimental bounds (3.16). This restriction is satisfied for a range containing the point  $\tau_0$  where  $\delta = 0$ .

Calculating G, in the linear approximation near  $\tau_0$ , we get the following approximate relation for the dimensionless parameter of relative G variation:

$$\delta \approx (8+2|A|^{-1})H_0(\tau - \tau_0), \qquad (3.17)$$

where  $H_0 = H(\tau_0)$  (compare with an analogous relation in [10]). This relation gives approximate bounds for values of the time variable  $\tau$  allowed by the restriction on  $\dot{G}$ . A derivation of this is given in Appendix.

The solutions under consideration with P < 0,  $d_1 = 1$  and  $d_0 = 3$  take place when the configuration of branes, the matrix  $(h_{\alpha\beta})$  and the dilatonic coupling vectors  $\lambda_a$ , obey the relations (2.7) and (2.9) with  $K_s < 0$ . This is possible when  $(h_{\alpha\beta})$  is not positive-definite, otherwise all  $K_s > 0$ . Thus, there should be at least one scalar field with negative kinetic term (i.e., a phantom scalar field).

### 4 Example: a model with two phantom fields

Let us consider the following example: l = 2,  $(h_{\alpha\beta}) = -(\delta_{\alpha\beta})$ , i.e. there are two phantom scalar fields. Due to (2.3),  $d(I_1) = N_1 - 1 = 1 + d_2 + d_3$  and  $d(I_2) = N_2 - 1 = 1 + d_2 + d_4$ .

Then the relations (2.7) and (2.9) read

$$\vec{\lambda}_a^2 = (N_a - 1)(1 + \frac{N_a - 1}{2 - D}) - K_a > 0, \tag{4.1}$$

a = 1, 2, and

$$\vec{\lambda}_1 \vec{\lambda}_2 = 1 + d_2 - \frac{(N_1 - 1)(N_2 - 1)}{D - 2} + \frac{1}{2}K_2,$$
(4.2)

where  $K_1 < 0$  and  $K_2 = K_1 k$ , k = 1, 2, 3 for Lie algebras  $A_2$ ,  $C_2$  and  $G_2$ , respectively. Here we have used the relation for brane intersection:  $d(I_1 \cap I_2) = 1 + d_2$ .

The relations (4.1) and (4.2) for are compatible for small enough  $K_1 \in (-\infty, K_0), K_0 \leq 0$ , since it may be verified that they imply (for  $K_1 \leq K_0$ )

$$\frac{\vec{\lambda}_1 \vec{\lambda}_2}{|\vec{\lambda}_1| |\vec{\lambda}_2|} \in (-1, +1) \tag{4.3}$$

i.e., the vectors  $\vec{\lambda}_1$  and  $\vec{\lambda}_2$ , belonging to the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and obeying the relations (4.1) and (4.2), do exist. The left-hand side of (4.3) gives  $\cos \theta$ , where  $\theta$  is the angle between these two vectors. For the special case k = 1,  $N_1 = N_2$ ,  $d_3 = d_4$  considered in [16]  $K_0 = 0$ .

Now we compare the A parameters corresponding to different Lie algebras  $A_2$ ,  $C_2$  and  $G_2$ , when the parameter  $K_1$  and factor space dimensions  $d_2$ ,  $d_3$ ,  $d_4$  are fixed. We get from the definition (2.18)

$$A = A_{(k)} = \frac{1}{K_1(D-2)} (n_1 d(I_1) + k^{-1} n_2 d(I_2)), \qquad (4.4)$$

or, more explicitly, (see (2.8))

$$A_{(1)} = \frac{1}{K_1(D-2)} (2d(I_1) + 2d(I_2)), \tag{4.5}$$

$$A_{(2)} = \frac{1}{K_1(D-2)} (3d(I_1) + 2d(I_2)), \tag{4.6}$$

$$A_{(3)} = \frac{1}{K_1(D-2)} (6d(I_1) + \frac{10}{3}d(I_2)), \qquad (4.7)$$

for Lie algebras  $A_2$ ,  $C_2$  and  $G_2$ , respectively. Here  $K_1 < K_0 \leq 0$ . Hence,

$$|A_{(1)}| < |A_{(2)}| < |A_{(3)}|.$$
(4.8)

Due to relation (3.17) for dimensionless parameter of relative variation of G calculating in the leading approximation when  $(\tau - \tau_0)$  is small, we get for approximate values of  $\delta$ :  $\delta_{(1)}^{ap} > \delta_{(2)}^{ap} > \delta_{(3)}^{ap}$  that means that the variation of G (calculated near  $\tau_0$ ) decreases in a sequence of Lie algebras  $A_2$ ,  $C_2$  and  $G_2$ , but the allowed interval  $\Delta \tau = \tau - \tau_0$  (obeying  $|\delta| < 0.1$ ) increases in a sequence of Lie algebras  $A_2$ ,  $C_2$  and  $G_2$ . This effect could be strengthen (even drastically) when  $|K_1|$  becomes larger. We note that for  $|K_1| \to +\infty$ we get a strong coupling limit  $\tilde{\lambda}_a^2 \to +\infty$ , a = 1, 2.

### 5 Conclusions

We have considered S-brane solutions with two non-composite intersecting electric branes and a set of l scalar fields. The solutions contain five factor spaces, and the first one,  $M_0$ , is interpreted as our 3-dimensional space. The intersection rules for branes correspond to the Lie algebras  $A_2$ ,  $C_2$  and  $G_2$ .

Here, as in the model with two nonzero curvatures [10], we have found that there exists a time interval where accelerated expansion of our 3-dimensional space is compatible with a small enough value of  $\dot{G}/G$  obeying the experimental bounds. This interval contains a point of minimum of the function  $G(\tau)$  denoted as  $\tau_0$ .

We have analyzed special solutions with two phantom scalar fields. We have shown that in the vicinity of the point  $\tau_0$  the time variation of  $G(\tau)$ (calculated in the linear approximation) decreases in the sequence of Lie algebras  $A_2$ ,  $C_2$  and  $G_2$ . Thus, this treatment justifies the consideration of different non-simply laced Lie algebras (such as  $C_2$  and  $G_2$ ) that usually do not appear for stringy-inspired solutions [22].

## Appendix

Here we give a derivation of the relation (3.17) for an approximate value of the dimensionless parameter of relative variation of G.

We start with the relation (3.13) written in the following form

$$\delta = \dot{G}/(GH) = \frac{t^2 - t_0^2}{|AP|t_0^3},\tag{A.1}$$

where  $H = \dot{a}_0/a_0$  is the Hubble parameter and  $t_0$  is defined in (3.15). (We recall that here and in what follows  $\dot{f} = df/d\tau$ .) In the vicinity of the point  $t_0$  we get in linear approximation

$$\delta \approx \frac{\triangle t}{|AP|t_0^3}.\tag{A.2}$$

Using the synchronous time variable  $\tau = \tau(t)$  we get

$$\delta \approx \left(\frac{dt}{d\tau}\right)_0 \frac{\Delta \tau}{|AP|t_0^3} = \frac{\Delta \tau}{\hat{H}_0^A |AP|t_0^3} \tag{A.3}$$

 $(d\tau/dt = \hat{H}^A)$ . Here the subscript "0" refers to  $t_0$ . For the Hubble parameter we get from (3.4) and (3.9)

$$H_0 = \left(\frac{\dot{a}_0}{a_0}\right)_0 = \frac{2|A||P|t_0}{\hat{H}_0^{A+1}}.$$
 (A.4)

Then, it follows from (A.3) and (A.4) that

$$\delta \approx \frac{\hat{H}_0}{2A^2 P^2 t_0^4} H_0 \bigtriangleup \tau. \tag{A.5}$$

Since (see (2.5) and (3.15))

$$\hat{H}_0 = 1 - \frac{1}{1+4|A|} = \frac{4|A|}{1+4|A|}$$
(A.6)

the pre-factor in (A.5) reads (see (3.15)):

$$\Pi = \frac{\dot{H}_0}{2A^2 P^2 t_0^4} = 8 + 2|A|^{-1} \tag{A.7}$$

and we are led to the relation

$$\delta \approx \Pi H_0(\tau - \tau_0), \tag{A.8}$$

coinciding with (3.17).

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research grant Nr.  $07 - 02 - 13624 - of_{i_{ts}}$ .

# References

- V.N. Melnikov, Multidimensional Classical and Quantum Cosmology and Gravitation. Exact Solutions and Variations of Constants. CBPF-NF-051/93, Rio de Janeiro, 1993;
   V.N. Melnikov, in: "Cosmology and Gravitation", ed. M. Novello, Editions Frontieres, Singapore, 1994, p. 147.
- [2] V.N. Melnikov, Multidimensional Cosmology and Gravitation, CBPF-MO-002/95, Rio de Janeiro, 1995, 210 p.;
  V.N. Melnikov. In: "Cosmology and Gravitation. II", ed. M. Novello, Editions Frontieres, Singapore, 1996, p. 465.
- [3] V.N. Melnikov, Exact Solutions in Multidimensional Gravity and Cosmology III. CBPF-MO-03/02, Rio de Janeiro, 2002, 297 pp.
- [4] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Nuovo Cim. B 102, 131 (1988).
- [5] K.A. Bronnikov, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *Nuovo Cim.* B 102, 209 (1988).
- [6] V.N. Melnikov, Gravity as a Key Problem of the Millennium. Proc. 2000 NASA/JPL Conference on Fundamental Physics in Microgravity, NASA Document D-21522, 2001, p. 4.1-4.17, Solvang, CA, USA.
- [7] V.N. Melnikov, Gravity and cosmology as key problems of the millennium. In: "Albert Einstein Century Int. Conf.", eds. J.-M. Alimi and A. Fuzfa, AIP Conf. Proc. Melville-NY, 2006, v. 861, pp. 109-126.
- [8] V.N. Melnikov, Variations of constants as a test of gravity, cosmology and unified models, *Grav. Cosmol.* 13, No. 2 (50), 81-100 (2007).
- [9] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Problems of G and multidimensional models. In: Proc. JGRG11, Eds. J. Koga et al., Waseda Univ., Tokyo, 2002, pp. 405-409.
- [10] H. Dehnen, V.D. Ivashchuk, S.A. Kononogov and V.N. Melnikov, On time variation of G in multidimensional models with two curvatures, *Grav. Cosmol.* 11, 340 (2005).
- [11] V. Baukh and A. Zhuk, Sp-brane accelerating cosmologies, Phys. Rev D 73, 104016 (2006).
- [12] A.I. Zhuk, Conventional cosmology from multidimensional models, hep-th/0609126.

- [13] J.-M. Alimi, V.D. Ivashchuk, S.A. Kononogov and V.N. Melnikov, Multidimensional cosmology with anisotropic fluid: acceleration and variation of G, *Grav. Cosmol.* **12**, 173-178 (2006); gr-qc/0611015.
- [14] V.D. Ivashchuk, S.A. Kononogov, V.N. Melnikov and M. Novello, Nonsingular solutions in multidimensional cosmology with perfect fluid: acceleration and variation of G, Grav. Cosmol. 12, 273-278 (2006); hep-th/0610167.
- [15] S. B. Fadeev and V. D. Ivashchuk, 5-dimensional solution with acceleration and small variation of G, In: Proc. of the Russian summer school-seminar Modern theoretical problems of gravitation and cosmology, September 9-16, 2007, TSHPU, Kazan-Yalchik, Russia, 4pp.; arXiv:0706.3988.
- [16] J.-M. Alimi, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, An S-brane solution with acceleration and small enough variation of G, *Grav. Cosmol.* 13, No. 2 (50), 137-141 (2007); arXiv:0711.3770.
- [17] V.R. Gavrilov, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Multidimensional Integrable Vacuum Cosmology with Two Curvatures, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 13, No 11, 3039-3056 (1996).
- [18] A.G. Riess *et al*, *AJ* **116**, 1009 (1998).
- [19] S. Perlmutter *et al*, ApJ **517**, 565 (1999).
- [20] R. Hellings, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51**, 1609 (1983).
- [21] J.O. Dickey et al, Science **265**, 482 (1994).
- [22] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Multidimensional classical and quantum cosmology with intersecting *p*-branes, *J. Math. Phys.* **39**, 2866-2889 (1998); hep-th/9708157.
- [23] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Exact solutions in multidimensional gravity with antisymmetric forms, topical review, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 18, R82-R157 (2001); hep-th/0110274.
- [24] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Intersecting p-brane solutions in multidimensional gravity and M-theory, *Grav. Cosmol.* 2, 297-305 (1996); hep-th/9612089.
- [25] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Sigma-model for the generalized composite p-branes, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 14 3001-3029 (1997); Erratum: ibid., 15, 3941-4942 (1998); hep-th/9705036.

- [26] I.S. Goncharenko, V. D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Fluxbrane and S-brane solutions with polynomials related to rank-2 Lie algebras, *Grav. Cosmol.* 13, No. 4 (52), 262-266 (2007); math-ph/0612079.
- [27] V.D. Ivashchuk, Composite fluxbranes with general intersections, Class. Quantum Grav. 19, 3033-3048 (2002); hep-th/0202022.
- [28] V.D. Ivashchuk, Composite S-brane solutions related to Toda-type systems, Class. Quantum Grav. 20, 261-276 (2003); hep-th/0208101.