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#### Abstract

Electric $S$-brane solutions with two non-composite electric branes and a set of $l$ scalar fields are considered. The intersection rules for branes correspond to Lie algebras $A_{2}, C_{2}$ and $G_{2}$. The solutions contain five factor spaces. One of them, $M_{0}$, is interpreted as our 3dimensional space. It is shown that there exists a time interval where accelerated expansion of our 3-dimensional space is compatible with a small enough variation of the effective gravitational constant $G(\tau)$. This interval contains $\tau_{0}$, a point of minimum of the function $G(\tau)$. A special solution with two phantom scalar fields is analyzed and it is shown that in the vicinity of the point $\tau_{0}$ the time variation of $G(\tau)$ (calculated in the linear approximation) decreases in the sequence of Lie algebras $A_{2}, C_{2}$ and $G_{2}$.
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## 1 Introduction

Multidimensional cosmological models with diverse matter sources are at present widely used for describing possible time variations of fundamental physical constants, e.g. gravitational constant $G$, see [1]-[16] and references therein.

It has been shown in [10] that, in the pure gravitational model with two non-zero curvatures, there exists an interval of synchronous variable $\tau$ where accelerated expansion of "our" 3-dimensional space co-exists with a small enough value of $\dot{G}$. This result was compared with our exact $(1+3+6)$ dimensional solution [17] obtained earlier.

Recently, in [16], we suggested a similar mechanism for a model with two form fields and several scalar fields (e.g., phantom ones). The main problem here was to find an interval of the synchronous time $\tau$ where the scale factor of our 3-dimensional space exhibits an accelerated expansion according to the observational data [18, 19] while the relative variation of the effective 4 -dimensional gravitational constant is small enough as compared with the Hubble parameter, see [20, 21, 11] and references therein. As it was shown in [16] such interval did exist, it contained $\tau_{0}$ - the point of minimum of the function $G(\tau)$. The analysis carried out in [16] was based on an exact $S$-brane solution with the intersection rules for branes corresponding to the Lie algebra $A_{2}$.

In this paper, we extend the results of our previous work to exact $S$-brane solutions with the intersection rules corresponding to other simple rank 2 Lie algebras: $C_{2}$ and $G_{2}$. Thus, here we generalize the results of ref. [16] to the sequence of Lie algebras $A_{2}, C_{2}$ and $G_{2}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the setup for the model is done and exact $S$-brane solutions are presented. In Section 3, solutions with acceleration and small G-dot are singled out. In Section 4, a special configuration with two phantom field is considered. Here we compare the $G$-dot calculated (in the linear approximation near the point of minimum of $G(\tau))$ for these three algebras and show that the variation of $G$ decreases in the sequence of Lie algebras $A_{2}, C_{2}$ and $G_{2}$. In the Appendix, we give a derivation of relation (3.17) for an approximate value of the dimensionless parameter of relative $G$ variation.

## 2 The model

We consider $S$-brane solutions describing two electric branes and a set of $l$ scalar fields.

The model is governed by the action

$$
\begin{align*}
S=\int d^{D} x & \sqrt{|g|}\left\{R[g]-h_{\alpha \beta} g^{M N} \partial_{M} \varphi^{\alpha} \partial_{N} \varphi^{\beta}\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{a=1,2} \frac{1}{N_{a}!} \exp \left[2 \lambda_{a}(\varphi)\right]\left(F^{a}\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g=g_{M N}(x) d x^{M} \otimes d x^{N}$ is a $D$-dimensional metric of the pseudoEuclidean signature $(-,+, \ldots,+), F^{a}=d A^{a}$ is a form of rank $N_{a},\left(h_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ is a non-degenerate symmetric matrix, $\varphi=\left(\varphi^{\alpha}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{l}$ is a vector of $l$ scalar fields, $\lambda_{a}(\varphi)=\lambda_{a \alpha} \varphi^{\alpha}$ is a linear function, with $a=1,2$ and $\alpha, \beta=1, \ldots, l$ and $|g|=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(g_{M N}\right)\right|$.

We consider the manifold

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=(0,+\infty) \times M_{0} \times M_{1} \times M_{2} \times M_{3} \times M_{4} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{i}$ are oriented Riemannian Ricci-flat spaces of dimensions $d_{i}, i=$ $0, \ldots, 4$, and $d_{1}=1$.

Let two electric branes be defined by the sets $I_{1}=\{1,2,3\}$ and $I_{2}=$ $\{1,2,4\}$. They intersect on $M_{1} \times M_{2}$. The first brane also covers $M_{3}$ while the second one covers $M_{4}$. The first brane corresponds to the form $F^{1}$ and the second one to the form $F^{2}$.

For the world-volume dimensions of branes we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(I_{s}\right)=N_{s}-1=1+d_{2}+d_{2+s} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$s=1,2$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(I_{1} \cap I_{2}\right)=1+d_{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the brane intersection dimension.
We consider an $S$-brane solution governed by the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=1+P t^{2}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ is a time variable and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{1}{4 n_{s}} K_{s} Q_{s}^{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a parameter. Here, $Q_{s}$ are charge density parameters,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{s}=d\left(I_{s}\right)\left(1+\frac{d\left(I_{s}\right)}{2-D}\right)+\lambda_{s \alpha} \lambda_{s \beta} h^{\alpha \beta} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$s=1,2,\left(h^{\alpha \beta}\right)=\left(h_{\alpha \beta}\right)^{-1}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=(2,2),(3,4),(6,10) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the Lie algebras $A_{2}, C_{2}$ and $G_{2}$, respectively. The parameters $K_{s}$ and $Q_{s}$ are supposed to be nonzero.

The intersection rules read:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(I_{1} \cap I_{2}\right)=\frac{d\left(I_{1}\right) d\left(I_{2}\right)}{D-2}-\lambda_{1 \alpha} \lambda_{2 \beta} h^{\alpha \beta}-\frac{1}{2} K_{2} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

These relations corresponds to the simple Lie algebras of rank 2 [22, 23].
Recall that $K_{s}=\left(U^{s}, U^{s}\right), s=1,2$, where the "electric" $U^{s}$-vectors and the scalar products were defined in [24, 25, 22]. Relations (2.9) follow just from the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{s s^{\prime}}\right)=\left(2\left(U^{s}, U^{s^{\prime}}\right) /\left(U^{s^{\prime}}, U^{s^{\prime}}\right)\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(A_{s s^{\prime}}\right)$ is the Cartan matrix for Lie algebra of rank 2 with $A_{12}=-1$, $A_{21}=-k$, where here and in what follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=1,2,3, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the Lie algebras $A_{2}, C_{2}$ and $G_{2}$, respectively. We remind the reader that (see [25])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(U^{1}, U^{2}\right)=d\left(I_{1} \cap I_{2}\right)-\frac{d\left(I_{1}\right) d\left(I_{2}\right)}{D-2}+\lambda_{1 \alpha} \lambda_{2 \beta} h^{\alpha \beta} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to (2.10) and relations $A_{12}=-1, A_{21}=-k$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{2}=K_{1} k \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the following exact solutions (containing three subcases corresponding to the Lie algebras $A_{2}, C_{2}$ and $G_{2}$ )

$$
\begin{array}{r}
g=\hat{H}^{2 A}\left\{-d t \otimes d t+g^{0}+\hat{H}^{-2\left(B_{1}+B_{2}\right)}\left(t^{2} g^{1}+g^{2}\right)\right. \\
\left.+\hat{H}^{-2 B_{1}} g^{3}+\hat{H}^{-2 B_{2}} g^{4}\right\} \\
\exp \left(\varphi^{\alpha}\right)=\hat{H}^{B_{1} \lambda_{1}^{\alpha}+B_{2} \lambda_{2}^{\alpha}}, \\
F^{1}=-Q_{1} \hat{H}^{-2 n_{1}+n_{2}} t d t \wedge \tau_{1} \wedge \tau_{2} \wedge \tau_{3} \\
F^{2}=-Q_{2} \hat{H}^{-2 n_{2}+k n_{1}} t d t \wedge \tau_{1} \wedge \tau_{2} \wedge \tau_{4}, \tag{2.17}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A=\sum_{s=1,2} \frac{n_{s} K_{s}^{-1} d\left(I_{s}\right)}{D-2}, \\
B_{s}=n_{s} K_{s}^{-1} \tag{2.19}
\end{array}
$$

$s=1,2$. Here $\tau_{i}$ denotes a volume form on $M_{i}\left(g_{1}=d x \otimes d x, \tau_{1}=d x\right)$.
These solutions are special case of more general solutions from [26] corresponding to the Lie algebras $A_{2}, C_{2}$ and $G_{2}$. They can also be obtained as a special 1-block case of $S$-brane solutions from [28]. The $A_{2}$-case ( $k=1$ ) was considered in [16].

We also note that the charge density parameters $Q_{s}$ obey the following relation (see (2.6) and (2.13))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Q_{1}^{2}}{Q_{2}^{2}}=\frac{n_{1}}{n_{2}} k=1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{9}{5} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the Lie algebras $A_{2}, C_{2}$ and $G_{2}$, respectively.

## 3 Solutions with acceleration and small $\dot{G}$

Let us introduce the synchronous time variable $\tau=\tau(t)$ by the relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\int_{0}^{t} d \bar{t}[\hat{H}(\bar{t})]^{A} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put $P<0$, and hence due to (2.6) all $K_{s}<0$ which implies $A<0$. Consider two intervals of the parameter $A$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \text { (i) } \quad A<-1, \\
\text { (ii) } & -1<A<0 . \tag{3.3}
\end{array}
$$

In case (i), the function $\tau=\tau(t)$ monotonically increases from 0 to $+\infty$, for $t \in\left(0, t_{1}\right)$, where $t_{1}=|P|^{-1 / 2}$, while in case (ii) it is monotonically increases from 0 to a finite value $\tau_{1}=\tau\left(t_{1}\right)$.

Let the space $M_{0}$ be our 3-dimensional space with the scale factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=\hat{H}^{A} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the first branch (i), we get the asymptotic relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0} \sim \tau^{\nu} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\tau \rightarrow+\infty$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu=A /(A+1) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, due to (3.2), $\nu>1$. For the second branch (ii) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0} \sim \operatorname{const}\left(\tau_{1}-\tau\right)^{\nu} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\tau \rightarrow \tau_{1}-0$, where $\nu<0$ due to (3.3), see (3.6).
Thus, we get an asymptotic accelerated expansion of the 3-dimensional factor space $M_{0}$ in both cases (i) and (ii), and $a_{0} \rightarrow+\infty$.

Moreover, it may be readily verified that the accelerated expansion takes place for all $\tau>0$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a}_{0}>0, \quad \ddot{a}_{0}>0 . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and in what follows we denote $\dot{f}=d f / d \tau$.
Indeed, using the relation $d \tau / d t=\hat{H}^{A}$ (see (3.1)), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a_{0}}=\frac{d t}{d \tau} \frac{d a_{0}}{d t}=\frac{2|A||P| t}{\hat{H}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{a}_{0}=\frac{d t}{d \tau} \frac{d}{d t} \frac{d a_{0}}{d \tau}=\frac{2|A| \mid P}{\hat{H}^{2+A}}\left(1+|P| t^{2}\right), \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which certainly implies the inequalities in (3.8).
Let us consider a variation of the effective constant $G$. In Jordan's frame the 4-dimensional gravitational "constant" is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\mathrm{const} \prod_{i=1}^{4}\left(a_{i}^{-d_{i}}\right)=\hat{H}^{2 A} t^{-1} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=\hat{H}^{A-B_{1}-B_{2}} t, \quad a_{2}=\hat{H}^{A-B_{1}-B_{2}}, \quad a_{3}=\hat{H}^{A-B_{1}}, \quad a_{4}=\hat{H}^{A-B_{2}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the scale factors of the "internal" spaces $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{4}$, respectively.
The dimensionless variation of $G$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\dot{G} /(G H)=2+\frac{1-|P| t^{2}}{2 A|P| t^{2}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{\dot{a}_{0}}{a_{0}} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Hubble parameter of our space. It follows from (3.13) that the function $G(\tau)$ has a minimum at the point $\tau_{0}$ corresponding to $t_{0}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{0}^{2}=\frac{|P|^{-1}}{1+4|A|} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point, $\dot{G}$ is zero.
The function $G(\tau)$ monotonically decreases from $+\infty$ to $G_{0}=G\left(\tau_{0}\right)$ for $\tau \in\left(0, \tau_{0}\right)$ and monotonically increases from $G_{0}$ to $+\infty$ for $\tau \in\left(\tau_{0}, \bar{\tau}_{1}\right)$. Here $\bar{\tau}_{1}=+\infty$ for the case (i) and $\bar{\tau}_{1}=\tau_{1}$ for the case (ii).

We consider only solutions with accelerated expansion of our space and small enough variations of the gravitational constant obeying the experimental constraint [20, 21]

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\delta|<0.1 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, as in the model with two curvatures [10], $\tau$ is restricted to a certain range containing $\tau_{0}$. It follows from (3.13) that in the asymptotical regions (3.5) and (3.7) $\delta \rightarrow 2$, which is unacceptable due to the experimental bounds (3.16). This restriction is satisfied for a range containing the point $\tau_{0}$ where $\delta=0$.

Calculating $\dot{G}$, in the linear approximation near $\tau_{0}$, we get the following approximate relation for the dimensionless parameter of relative $G$ variation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \approx\left(8+2|A|^{-1}\right) H_{0}\left(\tau-\tau_{0}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{0}=H\left(\tau_{0}\right)$ (compare with an analogous relation in [10]). This relation gives approximate bounds for values of the time variable $\tau$ allowed by the restriction on $\dot{G}$. A derivation of this is given in Appendix.

The solutions under consideration with $P<0, d_{1}=1$ and $d_{0}=3$ take place when the configuration of branes, the matrix $\left(h_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ and the dilatonic coupling vectors $\lambda_{a}$, obey the relations (2.7) and (2.9) with $K_{s}<0$. This is possible when $\left(h_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ is not positive-definite, otherwise all $K_{s}>0$. Thus, there should be at least one scalar field with negative kinetic term (i.e., a phantom scalar field).

## 4 Example: a model with two phantom fields

Let us consider the following example: $l=2,\left(h_{\alpha \beta}\right)=-\left(\delta_{\alpha \beta}\right)$, i.e. there are two phantom scalar fields. Due to (2.3), $d\left(I_{1}\right)=N_{1}-1=1+d_{2}+d_{3}$ and $d\left(I_{2}\right)=N_{2}-1=1+d_{2}+d_{4}$.

Then the relations (2.7) and (2.9) read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\lambda}_{a}^{2}=\left(N_{a}-1\right)\left(1+\frac{N_{a}-1}{2-D}\right)-K_{a}>0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$a=1,2$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\lambda}_{1} \vec{\lambda}_{2}=1+d_{2}-\frac{\left(N_{1}-1\right)\left(N_{2}-1\right)}{D-2}+\frac{1}{2} K_{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{1}<0$ and $K_{2}=K_{1} k, k=1,2,3$ for Lie algebras $A_{2}, C_{2}$ and $G_{2}$, respectively. Here we have used the relation for brane intersection: $d\left(I_{1} \cap\right.$ $\left.I_{2}\right)=1+d_{2}$.

The relations (4.1) and (4.2) for are compatible for small enough $K_{1} \in$ $\left(-\infty, K_{0}\right), K_{0} \leq 0$, since it may be verified that they imply (for $K_{1} \leq K_{0}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\vec{\lambda}_{1} \vec{\lambda}_{2}}{\left|\vec{\lambda}_{1}\right|\left|\vec{\lambda}_{2}\right|} \in(-1,+1) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the vectors $\vec{\lambda}_{1}$ and $\vec{\lambda}_{2}$, belonging to the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, and obeying the relations (4.1) and (4.2), do exist. The left-hand side of (4.3) gives $\cos \theta$, where $\theta$ is the angle between these two vectors. For the special case $k=1$, $N_{1}=N_{2}, d_{3}=d_{4}$ considered in [16] $K_{0}=0$.

Now we compare the $A$ parameters corresponding to different Lie algebras $A_{2}, C_{2}$ and $G_{2}$, when the parameter $K_{1}$ and factor space dimensions $d_{2}, d_{3}, d_{4}$ are fixed. We get from the definition (2.18)

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A_{(k)}=\frac{1}{K_{1}(D-2)}\left(n_{1} d\left(I_{1}\right)+k^{-1} n_{2} d\left(I_{2}\right)\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, more explicitly, (see (2.8))

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{(1)} & =\frac{1}{K_{1}(D-2)}\left(2 d\left(I_{1}\right)+2 d\left(I_{2}\right)\right)  \tag{4.5}\\
A_{(2)} & =\frac{1}{K_{1}(D-2)}\left(3 d\left(I_{1}\right)+2 d\left(I_{2}\right)\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
A_{(3)} & =\frac{1}{K_{1}(D-2)}\left(6 d\left(I_{1}\right)+\frac{10}{3} d\left(I_{2}\right)\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

for Lie algebras $A_{2}, C_{2}$ and $G_{2}$, respectively. Here $K_{1}<K_{0} \leq 0$.
Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{(1)}\right|<\left|A_{(2)}\right|<\left|A_{(3)}\right| . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to relation (3.17) for dimensionless parameter of relative variation of $G$ calculating in the leading approximation when $\left(\tau-\tau_{0}\right)$ is small, we get for approximate values of $\delta: \delta_{(1)}^{a p}>\delta_{(2)}^{a p}>\delta_{(3)}^{a p}$ that means that the variation of $G$ (calculated near $\tau_{0}$ ) decreases in a sequence of Lie algebras $A_{2}, C_{2}$ and $G_{2}$, but the allowed interval $\triangle \tau=\tau-\tau_{0}$ ( obeying $|\delta|<0.1$ ) increases in a sequence of Lie algebras $A_{2}, C_{2}$ and $G_{2}$. This effect could be strengthen (even drastically) when $\left|K_{1}\right|$ becomes larger. We note that for $\left|K_{1}\right| \rightarrow+\infty$ we get a strong coupling limit $\vec{\lambda}_{a}^{2} \rightarrow+\infty, a=1,2$.

## 5 Conclusions

We have considered $S$-brane solutions with two non-composite intersecting electric branes and a set of $l$ scalar fields. The solutions contain five factor spaces, and the first one, $M_{0}$, is interpreted as our 3-dimensional space. The intersection rules for branes correspond to the Lie algebras $A_{2}, C_{2}$ and $G_{2}$.

Here, as in the model with two nonzero curvatures [10], we have found that there exists a time interval where accelerated expansion of our 3-dimensional space is compatible with a small enough value of $\dot{G} / G$ obeying the experimental bounds. This interval contains a point of minimum of the function $G(\tau)$ denoted as $\tau_{0}$.

We have analyzed special solutions with two phantom scalar fields. We have shown that in the vicinity of the point $\tau_{0}$ the time variation of $G(\tau)$ (calculated in the linear approximation) decreases in the sequence of Lie algebras $A_{2}, C_{2}$ and $G_{2}$. Thus, this treatment justifies the consideration of different non-simply laced Lie algebras (such as $C_{2}$ and $G_{2}$ ) that usually do not appear for stringy-inspired solutions [22].

## Appendix

Here we give a derivation of the relation (3.17) for an approximate value of the dimensionless parameter of relative variation of $G$.

We start with the relation (3.13) written in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\dot{G} /(G H)=\frac{t^{2}-t_{0}^{2}}{|A P| t_{0}^{3}} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H=\dot{a}_{0} / a_{0}$ is the Hubble parameter and $t_{0}$ is defined in (3.15). (We recall that here and in what follows $\dot{f}=d f / d \tau$.) In the vicinity of the point $t_{0}$ we get in linear approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \approx \frac{\triangle t}{|A P| t_{0}^{3}} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the synchronous time variable $\tau=\tau(t)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \approx\left(\frac{d t}{d \tau}\right)_{0} \frac{\triangle \tau}{|A P| t_{0}^{3}}=\frac{\triangle \tau}{\hat{H}_{0}^{A}|A P| t_{0}^{3}} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(d \tau / d t=\hat{H}^{A}\right)$. Here the subscript " 0 " refers to $t_{0}$. For the Hubble parameter we get from (3.4) and (3.9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}=\left(\frac{\dot{a}_{0}}{a_{0}}\right)_{0}=\frac{2|A||P| t_{0}}{\hat{H}_{0}^{A+1}} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, it follows from (A.3) and (A.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \approx \frac{\hat{H}_{0}}{2 A^{2} P^{2} t_{0}^{4}} H_{0} \triangle \tau \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (see (2.5) and (3.15))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{0}=1-\frac{1}{1+4|A|}=\frac{4|A|}{1+4|A|} \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

the pre-factor in (A.5) reads (see (3.15)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi=\frac{\hat{H}_{0}}{2 A^{2} P^{2} t_{0}^{4}}=8+2|A|^{-1} \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we are led to the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \approx \Pi H_{0}\left(\tau-\tau_{0}\right) \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

coinciding with (3.17).
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