

Doubly CKM-suppressed corrections to CP asymmetries in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^0$

Michael Gronau

*Physics Department, Technion – Israel Institute of Technology
32000 Haifa, Israel*

Jonathan L. Rosner

*Enrico Fermi Institute and Department of Physics
University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637*

A doubly CKM-suppressed amplitude in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$ leads to corrections in CP asymmetries $S = \sin 2\beta, C = 0$, which may be enhanced by long-distance rescattering. It has been suggested that this enhancement may lead to several percent corrections. We calculate an upper bound of order 10^{-3} on rescattering corrections using measured branching ratios for charmless $|\Delta S| = 1$ B^0 decays.

PACS codes: 12.15.Hh, 12.15.Ji, 13.25.Hw, 14.40.Nd

I Introduction

The success of the Kobayashi-Maskawa (KM) model of CP violation [1] in predicting correctly CP asymmetries in B meson decays has been recently recognized by the Nobel committee [2]. The large asymmetry measured in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_{S(L)}$ [3, 4] given by $\sin 2\beta$, where $\beta \equiv \phi_1 = \arg(-V_{cb}^* V_{cd}/V_{tb}^* V_{td})$, proved unambiguously that the KM phase is the dominant source of CP violation in B decays.

This test involves an interference between B^0 - \bar{B}^0 mixing and a B^0 decay amplitude [5], consisting of a dominant color-suppressed $\bar{b} \rightarrow \bar{c}c\bar{s}$ tree amplitude and a small contribution from a $\bar{b} \rightarrow \bar{s}u\bar{u}$ penguin amplitude [6, 7, 8]. (We use the unitarity of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa [1, 9] (CKM) matrix, $V_{tb}^* V_{ts} = -V_{cb}^* V_{cs} - V_{ub}^* V_{us}$, and will not discuss order 10^{-3} effects due to CP violation in $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$ mixing [10] and $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ mixing [11].) The magnitude ξ of the ratio of these two amplitudes, which determines the theoretical precision of this test, involves three suppression factors: A ratio of CKM matrix elements, $|V_{ub}^* V_{us}|/|V_{cb}^* V_{cs}| \simeq 0.02$ [12], small Wilson coefficients of penguin operators in the effective Hamiltonian, $c_i \sim 0.04$ ($i = 3, 4, 5, 6$) (or a QCD loop factor), and a suppression by the Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka (OZI) rule [13]. The parameter ξ is expected to be somewhat larger than the product of these three factors due to color-suppression of the dominant tree amplitude which normalizes ξ . Thus, with $\xi \sim 10^{-3}$, it has been commonly accepted that the measurement of $\sin 2\beta$ in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_{S(L)}$ may involve only a very small uncertainty at a level of 10^{-3} ,

or at most a fraction of a percent [6]. This estimate was supported by calculations of ξ using QCD factorization [14] and perturbative QCD [15]. These perturbative calculations are based on the absorptive part of the u -quark loop, assuming a sufficiently large momentum transfer in the loop, and applying rather crude methods for evaluating the four quark operator matrix element $\langle J/\psi K^0 | (\bar{c}T^a c)_V (\bar{b}T^a s)_{V-A} | B^0 \rangle$. The absorptive part of the quark loop was proposed thirty years ago as a mechanism producing a strong phase leading to CP violation in charged B decays [16].

The introduction of a small penguin amplitude, carrying a weak phase γ and a strong phase δ relative to the dominant tree amplitude, affects the time-dependent CP asymmetry in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$,

$$A_{\text{CP}}(t) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S) - \Gamma(B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S)}{\Gamma(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S) + \Gamma(B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S)} = -C \cos(\Delta mt) + S \sin(\Delta mt) , \quad (1)$$

where, dropping terms quadratic in ξ [6],

$$C = -2\xi \sin \delta \sin \gamma , \quad \Delta S \equiv S - \sin 2\beta = 2\xi \cos 2\beta \cos \delta \sin \gamma . \quad (2)$$

In the limit $\xi = 0$ one has $C = 0, \Delta = 0$. Current measurements of the two asymmetries [3, 4, 17] (based on all charmonium decays),

$$C(J/\psi K^0) = 0.005 \pm 0.019 , \quad S(J/\psi K^0) = 0.671 \pm 0.024 , \quad (3)$$

involve experimental errors at a level of ± 0.02 . This error is considerably larger than the theoretical uncertainty introduced by the above estimate of the parameter ξ .

The estimate $\xi \sim 10^{-3}$ has been questioned and challenged in Refs. [18] and [19], arguing that the u -quark penguin amplitude in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^0$ may be enhanced by long distance rescattering effects from intermediate $S = 1$ charmless states to $J/\psi K^0$. A sizable enhancement of a penguin amplitude beyond a perturbative calculation, argued to be due to a large ‘‘charming penguin’’ contribution [20], has been observed in $B \rightarrow K\pi$. It was therefore argued [18, 19] that similar nonperturbative rescattering effects of intermediate charmless states may enhance ξ , leading to a hadronic uncertainty in ξ at a level of several percent.

An uncertainty in ξ at this level implies theoretical uncertainties in the asymmetries $C(J/\psi K^0)$ and $S(J/\psi K^0)$ which are comparable to or even larger than the current experimental errors in these measurements. It was pointed out in Refs. [18, 19] that CP asymmetries C and ΔS in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0$, proportional to ξ in the flavor SU(3) limit, are enhanced by a factor $(1 - \lambda^2)/\lambda^2 = 18.6$ ($\lambda = 0.2257$ [12]) relative to C and ΔS in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$. Thus, it was suggested to study ξ in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0$. Unfortunately, the decay rate for this process is suppressed by $2\lambda^2/(1 - \lambda^2)$ relative to that of $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$. Consequently one expects errors in the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0$ asymmetries to be correspondingly larger than in the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$ asymmetries. Indeed, current measurements [17, 21, 22], $C(J/\psi \pi^0) = -0.10 \pm 0.13, S(J/\psi \pi^0) = -0.93 \pm 0.15$, are not sufficiently accurate for providing useful information about ξ . Values of ξ as large as a few percent cannot be ruled out by the two asymmetries.

The purpose of this Letter is to calculate upper bounds on ξ in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^0$ from long distance rescattering effects mediated by charmless intermediate states. This

provides a re-evaluation of the contribution of the absorptive part associated with cutting the u -quark penguin loop [14, 15, 16] by computing explicitly contributions of charmless intermediate states. Using the rich amount of data for numerous charmless B meson decays obtained in experiments at $e^+e^- B$ factories, we will show that the estimate $\xi \sim 10^{-3}$ is much more reasonable than values of ξ at a level of a few percent.

II Upper bounds on rescattering in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^0$

We write the S matrix in terms of S_0 , which includes strong and electromagnetic interactions, and T , taken to be Hermitian, which corresponds to the effective weak Hamiltonian at a low energy scale,

$$S = S_0 + iT . \quad (4)$$

Unitarity of the S matrix $S^\dagger S = 1$ implies to first order in T ,

$$T = S_0 T S_0 . \quad (5)$$

Taking matrix elements of the two sides between a B meson state and a final decay state f_0 , and inserting a complete set of intermediate states f , one has

$$\langle f_0|T|B \rangle = \sum_f \langle f_0|S_0|f \rangle \langle f|T|B \rangle , \quad (6)$$

where we used the fact that B is an eigenstate of S_0 . The matrix elements $\langle f_0|T|B \rangle$ and $\langle f|T|B \rangle$ are weak decay amplitudes, often denoted $A(B \rightarrow f_0)$ and $A(B \rightarrow f)$, while $\langle f_0|S_0|f \rangle$ represents a rescattering amplitude from f to f_0 .

Let us first consider the matrix element between $B = B^0$ and $f_0 = J/\psi K^0$ for the effective $|\Delta S| = 1, \Delta C = 0$ operator T^u involving a CKM factor $V_{ub}^* V_{us}$,

$$\langle J/\psi K^0|T^u|B^0 \rangle = \sum_f \langle J/\psi K^0|S_0|f \rangle \langle f|T^u|B^0 \rangle . \quad (7)$$

Because B is a spinless particle the J/ψ and K^0 are in a P -wave. Consequently the states f are all $J = 0, P = -1, S = 1$ states. Since we are replacing the absorptive part associated with cutting the u -quark penguin loop by contributions of physical intermediate states, we consider only charmless states. This includes a long list of states, such as $f = K^{*+}\pi^-, \rho^- K^+, K^{*0}\pi^0, \rho^0 K^0, \omega K^0, K^{*0}\eta, K^{*0}\eta'$, and $K_0^{*+}(1430)\pi^-$, but excludes $K^+\pi^-, K^0\pi^0$ in an S-wave state and $K^{*+}\rho^-, K^{*0}\rho^0$ in S and D waves which have $P = +1$.

Parity and time-reversal symmetry of S_0 imply a reciprocal detailed-balance relation (we are assuming a single polarization state because $J = 0$),

$$|\langle J/\psi K^0|S_0|f \rangle| = |\langle f|S_0|J/\psi K^0 \rangle| . \quad (8)$$

Upper bounds on matrix elements $|\langle f|S_0|J/\psi K^0 \rangle|$ for each of the above states, $f = K^{*+}\pi^-, \dots, K_0^{*+}(1430)\pi^-$, may be obtained using the following considerations.

We apply Eq. (6) to matrix elements between B^0 and the above charmless final states f for the effective $|\Delta S| = 1, \Delta C = 0$ operator T^c involving a CKM factor $V_{cb}^* V_{cs}$,

$$\langle f|T^c|B^0\rangle = \sum_k \langle f|S_0|k\rangle \langle k|T^c|B^0\rangle. \quad (9)$$

The left-hand-side is dominated by a penguin amplitude which obtains a sizable ‘‘charming penguin’’ contribution [20]. Assuming that a single intermediate state $k = D^{*-}D_s^+$ can at most saturate the sum on the right-hand-side, one has

$$|\langle f|S_0|D^{*-}D_s^+\rangle| |\langle D^{*-}D_s^+|T^c|B^0\rangle| \leq |\langle f|T^c|B^0\rangle|. \quad (10)$$

On the left-hand-side of (10) we can replace the $D^{*-}D_s^+$ state by $J/\psi K^0$. One expects $|\langle f|S_0|J/\psi K^0\rangle| < |\langle f|S_0|D^{*-}D_s^+\rangle|$ because the first amplitude is OZI-suppressed. To calculate the ratio of B^0 decay amplitudes into $D^{*-}D_s^+$ and $J/\psi K^0$ we use the expression for decay rates,

$$\Gamma = \frac{p_f^*}{8\pi M_B^2} |\langle f|T|B^0\rangle|^2, \quad (11)$$

where p_f^* is the momentum of one of the two outgoing particles in the B^0 rest frame. The measured branching ratios and the corresponding momenta are [12]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-}D_s^+) &= (8.3 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}, & p_{D_s^+}^* &= 1735 \text{ MeV}/c, \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^0) &= (8.71 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-4}, & p_{K^0}^* &= 1683 \text{ MeV}/c. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

This leads to $|\langle D^{*-}D_s^+|T^c|B^0\rangle|/|\langle J/\psi K^0|T^c|B^0\rangle| = 3.04 \pm 0.21$. Using the central value, Eq. (10) may be replaced by

$$|\langle f|S_0|J/\psi K^0\rangle| < \frac{1}{3} \frac{|\langle f|T^c|B^0\rangle|}{|\langle J/\psi K^0|T^c|B^0\rangle|}. \quad (13)$$

We denote

$$r_f \equiv \frac{|\langle f|T^u|B^0\rangle|}{|\langle f|T^c|B^0\rangle|}, \quad (14)$$

and note that $\langle f|T^c|B^0\rangle$ is approximately the total B^0 decay amplitude into f , $\langle f|T|B^0\rangle$; similarly $\langle J/\psi K^0|T^c|B^0\rangle \approx \langle J/\psi K^0|T|B^0\rangle$. Combining Eqs. (8) and (13), one then obtains the following upper bound on each of the terms contributing to the sum in (7), normalized by the B^0 decay amplitude into $J/\psi K^0$:

$$\xi_f \equiv \frac{|\langle J/\psi K^0|S_0|f\rangle \langle f|T^u|B^0\rangle|}{|\langle J/\psi K^0|T|B^0\rangle|} < \frac{1}{3} r_f \left(\frac{|\langle f|T|B^0\rangle|}{|\langle J/\psi K^0|T|B^0\rangle|} \right)^2. \quad (15)$$

This upper bound is a central result in our analysis. It should be considered a strong inequality (in which the factor 1/3 may be replaced by 1/10) because it is based on a conservative inequality (10) and on presumably strong OZI-suppression of $|\langle f|S_0|J/\psi K^0\rangle|$ relative to $|\langle f|S_0|D^{*-}D_s^+\rangle|$.

Table I: Expressions for matrix elements $\langle f|T^u|B^0\rangle$ and $\langle f|T^c|B^0\rangle$ in $B^0 \rightarrow VP$ in terms of graphical amplitudes.

Final state	$\langle f T^u B^0\rangle$	$\langle f T^c B^0\rangle$
$K^{*+}\pi^-$	$-T'_P$	$-P'_P$
ρ^-K^+	$-T'_V$	$-P'_P$
$K^{*0}\pi^0$	$-C'_V/\sqrt{2}$	$(P'_V - P'_{EW,V})/\sqrt{2}$
ρ^0K^0	$-C'_P/\sqrt{2}$	$(P'_V - P'_{EW,P})/\sqrt{2}$
ωK^0	$C'_P/\sqrt{2}$	$(P'_V + 2S'_P + \frac{1}{3}P'_{EW,P})/\sqrt{2}$
$K^{*0}\eta$	$-C'_V/\sqrt{3}$	$(P'_V - P'_P - S'_V - \frac{2}{3}P'_{EW,V})/\sqrt{3}$
$K^{*0}\eta'$	$C'_V/\sqrt{6}$	$(2P'_V + P'_P + 4S'_V - \frac{1}{3}P'_{EW,V})/\sqrt{6}$

III Numerical upper bounds on rescattering

We now study numerical bounds on rescattering parameters ξ_f for numerous intermediate states f in $B^0 \rightarrow f \rightarrow J/\psi K^0$. We start by discussing $S = 1$ charmless states $f = VP$ consisting of pairs of vector and pseudoscalar mesons. We use

$$\left(\frac{|\langle f|T|B^0\rangle|}{|\langle J/\psi K^0|T|B^0\rangle|} \right)^2 = \frac{(B^0 \rightarrow f)}{(B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^0)} \left(\frac{p_{K^0}^*}{p_f^*} \right). \quad (16)$$

Values for the parameter r_f , the ratio of two amplitudes in $B \rightarrow VP$ involving CKM factors $V_{ub}^*V_{us}$ and $V_{cb}^*V_{cs}$, are extracted from a study applying broken flavor SU(3) to these decays and decays into corresponding $S = 0$ charmless states [23]. In the language of Ref. [24], matrix elements $\langle f|T^u|B^0\rangle$ involve combinations of graphical amplitudes representing color-favored and color-suppressed tree amplitudes $T'_{V(P)}$ and $C'_{V(P)}$, while $\langle f|T^c|B^0\rangle$ involve penguin amplitudes $P'_{V(P)}$, singlet penguin amplitudes $S'_{V(P)}$ (corresponding to SU(3) singlet mesons in the final state), and electroweak penguin amplitudes $P'_{EW,V(P)}$. The subscript V or P denotes the final-state meson (vector or pseudoscalar) incorporating the spectator quark. We are neglecting color-suppressed electroweak penguin contributions. SU(3) breaking is included in T'_V and T'_P in terms of ratios of pseudoscalar and vector meson decay constants, f_K/f_π and f_{K^*}/f_ρ , respectively. Expressions for the above matrix elements are given in Table I for B^0 decays into seven VP states. Note that while $\langle f|T^u|B^0\rangle$ involves color-allowed tree amplitudes T'_P and T'_V for $f = K^{*+}\pi^-$ and $f = \rho^-K^+$, it is governed by color-suppressed amplitudes C'_P and C'_V for all other final states. Consequently the values of r_f in the first two processes are expected to be considerably larger than in the others.

We calculate numerical values for r_f using entries in the third column of Table V in Ref. [23], updating some values by fitting to more recent measurements of $(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\pi^0)$, $(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\eta)$ and $(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\eta')$. Branching ratios for the seven $B^0 \rightarrow VP$ decays [17], corresponding center-of-mass momenta p^* [12], and values of r_f are used to calculate from Eqs. (15) and (16) upper bounds on ξ_f for these intermediate

Table II: Branching ratios [17], center-of-mass momenta [12], parameters r_f and upper bounds on ξ_f for seven charmless intermediate VP states.

Mode f	\bar{p} (10^{-6})	p^* (MeV)	r_f	Upper bound on ξ_f (10^{-4})
$K^{*+}\pi^-$	10.3 ± 1.1	2563	0.31 ± 0.03	7.9 ± 1.1
$\rho^- K^+$	8.6 ± 1.0	2559	0.26 ± 0.03	5.6 ± 1.0
$K^{*0}\pi^0$	2.4 ± 0.7	2562	0.09 ± 0.04	0.6 ± 0.3
$\rho^0 K^0$	5.4 ± 1.0	2558	0.04 ± 0.03	0.5 ± 0.4
ωK^0	5.0 ± 0.6	2557	0.04 ± 0.03	0.5 ± 0.4
$K^{*0}\eta$	15.9 ± 1.0	2534	0.04 ± 0.02	1.6 ± 0.7
$K^{*0}\eta'$	3.8 ± 1.2	2471	0.08 ± 0.04	0.8 ± 0.4

VP states. Input values and resulting upper bounds on ξ_f are summarized in Table II. The largest upper bounds, $\xi_f < (7.9 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$ and $\xi_f < (5.6 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$, are obtained for $f = K^{*+}\pi^-$ and $f = \rho^- K^+$, respectively. Much smaller values, at a level of 10^{-4} , are calculated for all other VP states.

Because rescattering effects of the form $B^0 \rightarrow f \rightarrow J/\pi K^0$ increase with ($B^0 \rightarrow f$), we search for charmless intermediate states f for which this branching ratio is particularly large. We note that the three-body decay mode $B^0 \rightarrow K^0\pi^+\pi^-$, with $\equiv (44.8 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-6}$ is dominated by the quasi-two-body decay $B^0 \rightarrow K_0^*(1430)^+\pi^-$, involving a scalar and a pseudoscalar meson in a $P = -1$ S -wave [12]:

$$[B^0 \rightarrow K_0^*(1430)^+\pi^-] = (50_{-9}^{+8}) \times 10^{-6} . \quad (17)$$

The fact that this branching ratio seems to exceed that for the three-body final state indicates strong destructive interference with other amplitudes including $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*+}\pi^-$, $\rho^0 K^0$, $f_0(980)K^0$, and a non-resonant amplitude [25].

In order to evaluate an upper bound for ξ_f based on the u -quark amplitude's contribution to the $K_0^*(1430)^+\pi^-$ intermediate state, we must obtain an estimate of the value of r_f for this state. This quantity (the subscript P denotes the final pseudoscalar meson incorporating the spectator quark),

$$r_f \equiv \frac{|T'_P(B^0 \rightarrow K_0^{*+}\pi^-)|}{|P'_P(B^0 \rightarrow K_0^{*+}\pi^-)|} , \quad (18)$$

is the ratio of the u -quark tree amplitude and the c -quark penguin amplitude in $B^0 \rightarrow K_0^*(1430)^+\pi^-$. While the latter amplitude dominates this process, the former may be estimated to a good approximation assuming factorization. A similar situation occurs in $B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$, where the ratio of tree and penguin amplitudes has been determined within a global flavor SU(3) fit to all $B \rightarrow K\pi$ and $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$ decays [26],

$$\frac{|T'(B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-)|}{|P'(B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-)|} = \frac{0.281(16.1 \pm 2.0)}{48.2 \pm 1.0} = 0.094 \pm 0.012 . \quad (19)$$

The ratio of the two penguin amplitudes dominating $B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow K_0^*(1430)^+\pi^-$ is obtained from the corresponding partial rates,

$$\frac{|P'(B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-)|}{|P'_P(B^0 \rightarrow K_0^{*+}\pi^-)|} \approx \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-) p^*(K_0^{*+})}{\Gamma(B^0 \rightarrow K_0^{*+}\pi^-) p^*(K^+)}} = 0.60 \pm 0.05 . \quad (20)$$

Here we have used (17) with [12] $\Gamma(B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-) = (19.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$, $p^*(K^+, K_0^{*+}) = (2615, 2445)$ MeV/ c .

In the factorization approximation the tree amplitudes $T'(B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-)$ and $T'_P(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*+}\pi^-)$ involve respectively the K and K_0^* decay constants, f_K and $f_{K_0^*}$, and the B to π form factors at $q^2 = m_K^2$ and $m_{K_0^*}^2$ which are assumed to be approximately equal. Thus,

$$\frac{|T'_P(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*+}\pi^-)|}{|T'(B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-)|} \approx \frac{f_{K_0^*}}{f_K} . \quad (21)$$

Note that the scalar K_0^* couples to the weak vector current through a coupling proportional to the K_0^* decay constant $f_{K_0^*}$ which vanishes by G -parity in the SU(3) symmetry limit [27, 28, 29]. SU(3) breaking leads to a nonzero value, expected to be of order $(m_s - m_d)/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ relative to the K meson decay constant. Theoretical calculations of $f_{K_0^*}$ lead to values in the range [30, 31, 32, 33]

$$f_{K_0^*} = 40 \pm 6 \text{ MeV} , \quad (22)$$

to be compared with $f_K = 155.5 \pm 0.8$ MeV [34].

Taking a product of the three factors in (19), (20), and (21), we find

$$r_f = 0.015 \pm 0.003 , \quad f = K_0^*(1430)^+\pi^- . \quad (23)$$

An upper bound on ξ_f for $f = K_0^*(1430)^+\pi^-$ is then obtained using Eqs. (15) and (16) while taking into account correlated errors,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_f &< \frac{1}{3} r_f \frac{[B^0 \rightarrow K_0^*(1430)^+\pi^-] p^*(K^0)}{(B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^0) p^*(K_0^{*+})} \\ &= (1.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4} , \quad f = K_0^*(1430)^+\pi^- . \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Thus, in spite of the large branching ratio measured for this decay mode, this upper bound is about four times smaller than the largest value obtained for the corresponding VP state $K^{*+}\pi^-$ in Table II.

There are good prospects for replacing the theoretical estimate (22) with an experimentally determined value. The partial width for the decay $\tau^- \rightarrow M^- \nu$, where M is a strange scalar or pseudoscalar meson, is

$$\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow M^- \nu) = G_F^2 |V_{us}|^2 \frac{f_M^2 (m_\tau^2 - m_M^2)^2}{16\pi m_\tau} . \quad (25)$$

With $G_F = 1.16637(1) \times 10^{-5}$ GeV $^{-2}$, $m_\tau = 1.77684(17)$ GeV/ c^2 , $m_K = 0.493677(16)$ GeV/ c^2 , $|V_{us}| = 0.2255(19)$, and $f_K = 0.1555(8)$ GeV [12] (for the last two, see

Ref. [34]), this yields a prediction $\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \nu) = (1.59 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-14}$ GeV. The lifetime of the τ is $(290.6 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-15}$ s [12], implying the prediction $\underline{\Gamma}(\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \nu) = (7.02 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}$, in satisfactory agreement with the experimental value [12] $(6.95 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-3}$.

The prediction (25) can be applied to the $K_0^*(1430)$ in the narrow-width approximation, permitting one to obtain the ratio of scalar and pseudoscalar decay constants:

$$\frac{f_{K_0^*}}{f_K} = \frac{m_\tau^2 - m_K^2}{m_\tau^2 - m_{K_0^*}^2} \sqrt{\frac{(\tau^- \rightarrow K_0^* \nu)}{(\tau^- \rightarrow K \nu)}}. \quad (26)$$

With the experimental upper limit [35] $[\tau^- \rightarrow K_0^*(1430) \nu] < 5 \times 10^{-4}$ (95% c.l.) and using the central value of $m[K_0^*(1430)] = 1425 \pm 50$ MeV/ c^2 [12], this ratio is less than 0.69, entailing $f_{K_0^*} < 107.5$ MeV if the predicted value of $(\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \nu)$ is used. The large width $\Gamma[K_0^*(1430)] = 270 \pm 80$ MeV leads to a small positive correction of 1.127 to the predicted partial width for $\tau^- \rightarrow K_0^*(1430) \nu$, reducing this upper bound slightly to $f_{K_0^*} < 101$ MeV. This is about a factor of 2.5 larger than the theoretical estimates summarized in Eq. (22). Using those estimates and including the finite-width correction, we predict $[\tau^- \rightarrow K_0^*(1430) \nu] = (7.8 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-5}$. As stressed in Ref. [28], this should be accessible in present experiments.

The intermediate state $f = K_0^*(1430)^0 \pi^0$ is fed by a color-suppressed u -quark tree amplitude. Its penguin-dominated branching ratio is expected to be about half of that measured for $B^0 \rightarrow K_0^*(1430)^+ \pi^-$. Consequently the upper bound on ξ_f for $f = K_0^*(1430)^0 \pi^0$ is considerably smaller than (24).

IV Conclusion

We have calculated upper bounds on contributions to the doubly-CKM-suppressed parameter ξ from rescattering $B^0 \rightarrow f \rightarrow J/\psi K^0$ through charmless $S = 1$ intermediate states f . We have derived in Eq. (15) a general conservative upper bound on ξ_f which increases with $\underline{\Gamma}(B^0 \rightarrow f)$ and with the ratio r_f of tree and penguin amplitudes in $B^0 \rightarrow f$. The actual upper bound may involve a factor 1/10 instead of 1/3 because OZI suppression in $f \rightarrow J/\psi K^0$ has not been included in (15).

The highest upper bounds on ξ_f , somewhat below 10^{-3} , were obtained for $f = K^{*+} \pi^-$ and $\rho^- K^+$, while other intermediate states with neutral vector and pseudoscalar mesons involve much smaller rescattering contributions. This applies also to the state $K_0^*(1430)^+ \pi^-$, which has the largest quasi-two-body decay branching ratio measured so far in B^0 decays, $[\underline{B}^0 \rightarrow K_0^*(1430)^+ \pi^-] = (50_{-9}^{+8}) \times 10^{-6}$. We noted destructive interference between $B^0 \rightarrow K_0^*(1430)^+ \pi^-$ and other modes contributing to $B^0 \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$. This indicates potential destructive interference between rescattering contributions to ξ of these intermediate states.

One may wonder whether larger contributions to ξ may originate in charmless intermediate states with multiplicity larger than three. Only two $S = 1$ charmless branching ratios comparable to that of $B^0 \rightarrow K_0^*(1430)^+ \pi^-$ have been measured [36], $\underline{\Gamma}(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \pi^+ \pi^-) = (54.5 \pm 5.2) \times 10^{-6}$, $\underline{\Gamma}(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} K^+ K^-) = (27.5 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-6}$.

These involve quasi-three-body decays leading to four particles in the final state. $P = -1$ projections of these states, with smaller branching ratios, may rescatter into $J/\psi K^0$. Although it is difficult to calculate or measure the tree-to-penguin ratio r_f for these states, we expect it to be no more than 0.1. The isospin relation [37] $\Gamma(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\pi^+\pi^-) = \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow K^{*+}\pi^+\pi^-)$ which holds within 1.5σ [17] is consistent with $r_f = 0$ in these processes. We do not anticipate constructive interference between rescattering contributions of the intermediate states $K^{*0}\pi^+\pi^-$ and $K^{*0}K^+K^-$ and the above calculated contributions of $K^{*+}\pi^-$ and ρ^-K^+ which are probably larger.

Rescattering from intermediate states with two vector mesons in a $P = -1$ P -wave state, including $K^{*+}\rho^-$, $K^{*0}\rho^0$ and $K^{*0}\phi$, involve branching ratios considerably smaller than those of $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\pi^+\pi^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}K^+K^-$ [12] and very small u -quark tree amplitudes. For instance, the tree amplitude in $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*+}\rho^-$ is related by flavor SU(3) to the amplitude dominating $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^-$. Approximately 100% longitudinal polarization has been measured in this process [17], corresponding to a combination of S and D waves but no P wave. This implies a negligible u -quark P wave amplitude in $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*+}\rho^-$. Similarly, the tree amplitude in $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\rho^0$ is color-suppressed, while the one in $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\phi$ is both color and OZI-suppressed. The contributions of two vector meson intermediate states to ξ are therefore negligible.

Thus, we expect a value of ξ which is at most a few times 10^{-3} , in agreement with an early estimate [6] and in contrast to a suggestion for an order of magnitude larger enhancement of ξ by long distance effects [18, 19].

Acknowledgments

We thank Robert Fleischer, Thomas Mannel, Blazenka Melic and Misha Vysotsky for useful discussions. The work of J. L. R. was supported in part by the United States Department of Energy through Grant No. DE FG02 90ER40560.

References

- [1] M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **49** (1973) 652.
- [2] <http://nobelprize.org/nobel-prizes/physics/laureates/2008/>.
- [3] K. F. Chen *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **98** (2007) 031802 [arXiv:hep-ex/0608039].
- [4] B. Aubert *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration], arXiv:0808.1903 [hep-ex].
- [5] A. B. Carter and A. I. Sanda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45** (1980) 952; Phys. Rev. D **23** (1981) 1567; I. I. Y. Bigi and A. I. Sanda, Nucl. Phys. B **193** (1981) 85.
- [6] M. Gronau, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63** (1989) 1451.
- [7] D. London and R. D. Peccei, Phys. Lett. B **223** (1989) 257.

- [8] B. Grinstein, Phys. Lett. B **229** (1989) 280.
- [9] N. Cabibbo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10** (1963) 531.
- [10] I. I. Y. Bigi, V. A. Khoze, N. G. Uraltsev and A. I. Sanda, Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys. **3** (1989) 175.
- [11] Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. B **353** (1995) 313 [Erratum-ibid. B **363** (1995) 266] [arXiv:hep-ph/9505272]; Y. Grossman, A. L. Kagan and Z. Ligeti, Phys. Lett. B **538** (2002) 327 [arXiv:hep-ph/0204212].
- [12] C. Amsler *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Phys. Lett. B **667** (2008) 1.
- [13] S. Okubo, Phys. Lett. **5** (1963) 165; G. Zweig, CERN Report No. 8419/TH-412 (1964); J. Iizuka, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **37** (1966) 21.
- [14] H. Boos, T. Mannel and J. Reuter, Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 036006 [arXiv:hep-ph/0403085].
- [15] H. n. Li and S. Mishima, JHEP **0703** (2007) 009 [arXiv:hep-ph/0610120].
- [16] M. Bander, D. Silverman and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43** (1979) 242.
- [17] E. Barberio *et al.* [Heavy Flavor Averaging Group], arXiv:0808.1297 [hep-ex]. Periodic updates may be found at <http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hfag/>.
- [18] M. Ciuchini, M. Pierini and L. Silvestrini, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 221804 [arXiv:hep-ph/0507290].
- [19] S. Faller, M. Jung, R. Fleischer and T. Mannel, arXiv:0809.0842 [hep-ph].
- [20] M. Ciuchini, E. Franco, G. Martinelli and L. Silvestrini, Nucl. Phys. B **501** (1997) 271 [arXiv:hep-ph/9703353]; M. Ciuchini, R. Contino, E. Franco, G. Martinelli and L. Silvestrini, Nucl. Phys. B **512** (1998) 3 [Erratum-ibid. B **531** (1998) 656] [arXiv:hep-ph/9708222]; C. Isola, M. Ladisa, G. Nardulli and P. Santorelli, Phys. Rev. D **68** (2003) 114001 [arXiv:hep-ph/0307367].
- [21] S. E. Lee *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 071101 [arXiv:0708.0304 [hep-ex]].
- [22] B. Aubert *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 021801 [arXiv:0804.0896 [hep-ex]].
- [23] C. W. Chiang, M. Gronau, Z. Luo, J. L. Rosner and D. A. Suprun, Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 034001 [arXiv:hep-ph/0307395].
- [24] A. S. Dighe, M. Gronau and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **57** (1998) 1783 [arXiv:hep-ph/9709223]; M. Gronau and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **61** (2000) 073008 [arXiv:hep-ph/9909478].

- [25] A. Garmash *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 012006 [arXiv:hep-ex/0610081].
- [26] C. W. Chiang, M. Gronau, J. L. Rosner and D. A. Suprun, Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 034020 [arXiv:hep-ph/0404073]. See fit I in Table V (the fit with the highest confidence level) and Eq. (9).
- [27] S. Laplace and V. Shelkov, Eur. Phys. J. C **22** (2001) 431 [arXiv:hep-ph/0105252].
- [28] M. Diehl and G. Hiller, JHEP **0106** (2001) 067 [arXiv:hep-ph/0105194].
- [29] H. Y. Cheng, C. K. Chua and K. C. Yang, Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 014017 [arXiv:hep-ph/0508104].
- [30] K. Maltman, Phys. Lett. B **462** (1999) 14 [arXiv:hep-ph/9906267].
- [31] S. Narison, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **86** (2000) 242 [arXiv:hep-ph/9911454].
- [32] C. M. Shakin and H. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 074017.
- [33] D. S. Du, J. W. Li and M. Z. Yang, Phys. Lett. B **619** (2005) 105 [arXiv:hep-ph/0409302].
- [34] See mini-review by J. L. Rosner and S. Stone, “Decay constants of charged pseudoscalar mesons,” in section on particle properties (mesons), Ref. [12].
- [35] R. Barate *et al.* [ALEPH Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C **11** (1999) 599 [arXiv:hep-ex/9903015].
- [36] B. Aubert *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **76** (2007) 071104 [arXiv:0708.2543 [hep-ex]].
- [37] M. Gronau and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005) 094031 [arXiv:hep-ph/0509155].