

Pomeron intercept and slope: the QCD connection

Konstantin Goulianos

The Rockefeller University, 1230 York Avenue, New York, NY 10021, USA

Abstract

The ratio of intercept to slope of the Pomeron trajectory is derived in a phenomenological model based on a QCD approach to diffraction.

Key words: diffraction, pomeron

PACS: 11.55.Jy, 12.38.Qk 12.40.Nn, 13.85.Dz, 13.85.Lg

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Regge approach	2
3	Scaling properties and renormalization	4
4	Parton model approach	5
5	The ratio $r = \alpha'/\epsilon$	7
6	Summary	8
	References	9
7	Figures	10

Email address: dino@rockefeller.edu (Konstantin Goulianos).

URL: <http://physics.rockefeller.edu> (Konstantin Goulianos).

1 Introduction

Hadronic diffraction has traditionally been treated in the framework of Regge theory[1,2,3]. In this approach, diffractive processes at high energies are formally described by the exchange of the *Pomeron trajectory*, presumed to be formed by a *family* of particles carrying the quantum numbers of the vacuum. Although no particles were known to belong to this family, the Pomeron trajectory was introduced in the 1970s to account for the observations that the K^+p cross section was found to be increasing with energy at the Serpukov 70 GeV ($\sqrt{s} = 11.5$ GeV for pp collisions) proton synchrotron, and the elastic and total pp cross sections, which at low energies were falling with increasing energy, started to flatten out and then began to rise as collision energies up to $\sqrt{s}=60$ GeV became available at the Intersecting Storage Rings (ISR) at CERN.

In the long history of hadronic diffraction spanning a period of nearly a half century, the intercept $\alpha_P(0) = 1 + \epsilon_P$ of the Pomeron trajectory, $\alpha_P(t) = \alpha_P(0) + \alpha'_P t$, as determined from elastic and total pp and $p\bar{p}$ cross sections, increased from an initially proposed value of unity to the value of $\alpha_P(0) \approx 1.08$ [4], while the slope parameter α'_P gradually decreased from ~ 1 (GeV/c) $^{-2}$ at $\sqrt{s} \sim 5$ GeV (see [5]) to reach a stable value of $\alpha'_P \approx 0.25$ (GeV/c) $^{-2}$ at pp and $p\bar{p}$ collider energies (see [3]). In contrast, the Reggeon trajectories formed by the known mesons and resonances have $\alpha'_R \approx 1$ (GeV/c) $^{-2}$. To date, no particle or resonance has yet been positively identified to lie on the Pomeron trajectory. The small value of α'_P relative to α'_R remains a theoretical puzzle, whose phenomenological interpretation might contribute to our understanding of the underlying QCD nature of the Pomeron. In this paper, we present a phenomenological model that relates ϵ_P to α'_P based on a parton model approach to diffraction.

2 Regge approach

In the Regge approach, high energy hadronic cross sections are dominated by Pomeron exchange. For pp interactions, the Pomeron exchange contribution to total, elastic, and single diffractive cross sections is given by

$$\sigma^{tot}(s) = \beta_{Ppp}^2(0) \left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{\alpha_P(0) - 1} \Rightarrow \sigma_0 \left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{\epsilon_P}, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma^{el}(s, t)}{dt} = \frac{\beta_{Ppp}^4(t)}{16\pi} \left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^2 [\alpha_P(t) - 1], \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_{sd}(s, \xi, t)}{d\xi dt} = \underbrace{\frac{\beta_{\mathbb{P}pp}^2(t)}{16\pi} \xi^{1-2\alpha_{\mathbb{P}}(t)}}_{f_{\mathbb{P}/p}(\xi, t)} \underbrace{\beta_{\mathbb{P}pp}(0) g(t) \left(\frac{s'}{s_0}\right)^{\alpha_{\mathbb{P}}(0)-1}}_{\sigma^{\mathbb{P}p}(s', t)}. \quad (3)$$

The differential diffractive cross section, Eq. (3), consists of two terms: the term on the right, $\sigma^{\mathbb{P}p}(s', t)$, which may be viewed as the \mathbb{P} - p total cross section, and the term on the left, $f_{\mathbb{P}/p}(\xi, t)$, which is interpreted as the Pomeron flux emitted by the diffractively scattered proton [7]. The parameters appearing in Eq. (3) are defined below:

- (i) $\alpha_{\mathbb{P}}(t) = \alpha_{\mathbb{P}}(0) + \alpha'_{\mathbb{P}}t = (1 + \epsilon_{\mathbb{P}}) + \alpha'_{\mathbb{P}}t$ is the Pomeron trajectory;
- (ii) $\beta_{\mathbb{P}pp}(t)$ is the coupling of the Pomeron to the proton usually expressed as $\beta_{\mathbb{P}pp}(t) = \sigma_0 \cdot e^{b_0 t}$, where $\sigma_0 \equiv \beta_{\mathbb{P}pp}(0)$ and $e^{b_0 t}$ is a simple exponential expression for the form factor of the diffractively escaping proton, $F_p^2(t) = e^{b_0 t}$;
- (iii) $g(t)$ is the triple-Pomeron ($\mathbb{P}\mathbb{P}\mathbb{P}$) coupling;
- (iv) $s' \equiv M^2$ is the \mathbb{P} - p c.m.s. energy squared, where M is the mass of the diffractively excited proton;
- (v) $\xi \approx M^2/s$ is the fraction of the momentum of the incident proton carried by the Pomeron; and
- (vi) s_0 an energy scale parameter traditionally set to 1 GeV².

In analogy with Eq. (1), the Pomeron-proton total cross section is written as

$$\sigma^{\mathbb{P}p}(s', t) = \beta_{\mathbb{P}pp}(0) g(t) \left(\frac{s'}{s_0}\right)^{\alpha_{\mathbb{P}}(0)-1} = \sigma_0^{\mathbb{P}p}(t) \left(\frac{s'}{s_0}\right)^{\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}}}, \quad (4)$$

$$\sigma_0^{\mathbb{P}p}(t) \Rightarrow \sigma_0^{\mathbb{P}p}, \quad (5)$$

where in Eq. (5) $\sigma_0^{\mathbb{P}p}(t)$ was set to a constant, $\sigma_0^{\mathbb{P}p}$, as it has been shown to be independent of t [8].

Regge theory was successful in describing elastic, diffractive and total hadronic cross sections at energies up to $\sqrt{s} \sim 60$ GeV, with all processes accommodated in a simple Pomeron pole approach, as summarized in Ref. [5] (1983). Results from an experiment on photon dissociation on hydrogen [9] (1985) were also well described by this approach. However, the early success of Regge theory was precarious. The theory was known to asymptotically violate unitarity, as the $\sim s^{\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}}}$ power law increase of total cross sections would eventually exceed the Froissart bound of $\sigma_T < \frac{\pi}{m_\pi^2} \cdot \ln^2 s$, which is based on analyticity and unitarity.

The confrontation of Regge theory with unitarity came at much lower energies than what would be considered *asymptopia* by Froissart bound considerations. As collision energies climbed upwards in the 1980s to reach $\sqrt{s} = 630$ GeV at the CERN $S\bar{p}p$ S collider and $\sqrt{s} = 1800$ GeV at the Fermilab Tevatron

$\bar{p}p$ collider, diffraction dissociation could no longer be described by Eq. (3), signaling a breakdown of factorization. The first clear experimental evidence for a breakdown of factorization in Regge theory was reported by the CDF Collaboration in 1994 (see [10], Sev. VII). In a measurement of the single diffractive cross section in $\bar{p}p$ collisions CDF found a suppression factor of ~ 5 (~ 10) at $\sqrt{s} = 546$ GeV (1800 GeV) relative to predictions based on extrapolations from $\sqrt{s} \sim 20$ GeV.

3 Scaling properties and renormalization

The breakdown of factorization in Regge theory was traced back to the energy dependence of the single diffractive cross section, $\sigma_{sd}^{tot}(s) \sim s^{2\epsilon_P}$, which is faster than that of the total cross section, $\sigma^{tot}(s) \sim s^{\epsilon_P}$, so that as s increased unitarity would be violated if factorization held. This can be seen more clearly in the $s^{2\epsilon_P}$ dependence of $d\sigma_{sd}(M^2, t)/dM^2|_{t=0}$ of the cross section obtained from Eq. (3) by a change of variables from ξ to M^2 using $\xi = M^2/s$:

$$\text{Regge: } d\sigma_{sd}(M^2, t)/dM^2|_{t=0} \sim s^{2\epsilon_P}/(M^2)^{1+\epsilon_P}. \quad (6)$$

In 1995 it was shown [11,12,13] that unitarization could be achieved and the factorization breakdown in single diffraction dissociation fully accounted for by interpreting the Pomeron flux of Eq. (3) as a probability density and *renormalizing* it so that its integral over ξ and t could not exceed unity:

$$f_{P/p}(\xi, t) \Rightarrow N_s^{-1} \cdot f_{P/p}(\xi, t) \quad (7)$$

$$N_s \equiv \int_{\xi^{(min)}}^{\xi^{(max)}} d\xi \int_{t=0}^{-\infty} dt f_{P/p}(\xi, t) \sim s^{2\epsilon_P}/\ln s. \quad (8)$$

Here, $\xi^{(min)} = M_0^2/s$, where $M_0^2 = 1.4$ GeV² is the effective threshold for diffraction dissociation, and $\xi^{(max)} = 0.1$ [13]. As the Pomeron flux integral is $\sim s^{2\epsilon_P}/\ln s$, the s -dependence introduced through the renormalization factor N_s^{-1} replaces the power law factor $s^{2\epsilon_P}$ in Eq. (6) by $\ln s$ ensuring unitarization:

$$\text{Regge/renorm: } d\sigma_{sd}(M^2, t)/dM^2|_{t=0} \sim \ln s/(M^2)^{1+\epsilon_P}. \quad (9)$$

In the QCD inspired parton model approach presented in Sec. 4, this renormalization procedure eliminates overlapping rapidity gaps caused by multiple Pomeron emissions while preserving the (ξ, t) , or (M^2, t) , dependence of the differential cross section.

In Fig. 1 (from Ref. [13]), $\sigma_{sd}^{tot}(s)$ is compared with Regge predictions using the standard or renormalized Pomeron flux. The renormalized flux prediction is in excellent agreement with the data. An important aspect of renormalization is that it leads to a scaling behavior, whereby $d\sigma_{sd}(M^2)/dM^2$ has no power law dependence on s . This “scaling law” holds for the differential soft single diffractive cross section as well, as shown in Fig. 2 (from Ref. [15]).

The elastic and total cross sections are not affected by the renormalization procedure presented here. Unitarization for the elastic and total cross sections may be achieved using an eikonal approach, e.g. as reported in Ref. [14] where excellent agreement is obtained between p^\pm , π^\pm , and K^\pm cross section data and the corresponding predictions based on Regge theory and eikonalization.

The features of the data displayed in Figs. (1) and (2) are obtained below in the parton model approach to diffraction which we use to derive the ratio of ϵ to α' , and thus play a crucial role in validating the model.

4 Parton model approach

The Regge theory form of the rise of the total $pp/\bar{p}p$ cross section at high energies, $\sigma_{pp/\bar{p}p}^{tot}(s) = \sigma_\circ \cdot s^\epsilon$, which requires a Pomeron trajectory with intercept $\alpha(0) = 1 + \epsilon$, is precisely the form expected in a parton model approach, where cross sections are proportional to the number of available “wee” (lowest energy) partons. In [16], the parton model cross section is obtained as $\sigma_{pp/\bar{p}p}^{tot} = N \times \sigma_\circ$, where N is the flux of wee partons and σ_\circ the cross section of one wee parton interacting with the target proton. The wee partons originate from emissions of single partons cascading down to lower energy partons in tree-like chains. The average spacing in (pseudo)rapidity¹ between two successive parton emissions is $\sim 1/\alpha_s$. This spacing governs the wee parton density in the η -region where particles are produced, defined here as $\Delta\eta'$, which in the case of the total cross section is equal to $\Delta\eta = \ln s$, leading to a total pp cross section of (see [16])

$$\sigma_{pp/\bar{p}p}^{tot} = \sigma_\circ \cdot e^{\epsilon\Delta\eta}. \quad (10)$$

This expression is similar in form to the Regge theory Pomeron contribution to the total cross section. Since from the optical theorem $\sigma_{pp/\bar{p}p}^{tot}$ is proportional to the imaginary part of the forward ($t = 0$) elastic scattering amplitude, the full parton model amplitude may be written as

$$\text{Im } f_{pp/\bar{p}p}^{\text{el}}(t, \Delta\eta) \sim e^{(\epsilon + \alpha't)\Delta\eta}, \quad (11)$$

¹ We assume $p_T = 1$ GeV, so that $\Delta y' = \Delta\eta'$.

where $\alpha'(t)$ is introduced as a simple linear parameterization of the t -dependence. The parameter α' reflects the transverse size of the cluster of wee partons in a chain, which is governed by the $\Delta\eta$ spacing between successive chains and thereby related to the parameter ϵ .

For the relationship between α' and ϵ we turn to single diffraction dissociation, which through the coherence requirement isolates the cross section from one wee parton interacting with the proton, since all possible interactions of the remaining wee partons are shielded by the formation of the diffractive rapidity gap. Based on the amplitude of Eq. (11), the single diffractive cross section in the parton model approach takes the form

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_{sd}(s, \Delta\eta, t)}{dt d\Delta\eta} = \frac{1}{N_{gap}(s)} \cdot \underbrace{C_{gap} \cdot F_p^2(t) \left\{ e^{(\epsilon + \alpha' t)\Delta\eta} \right\}^2}_{P_{gap}(\Delta\eta, t)} \cdot \kappa \cdot \left[\sigma_\circ e^{\epsilon\Delta\eta'} \right], \quad (12)$$

where, from right to left:

- (i) the factor in square brackets represents the cross section due to the wee partons in the η -region of particle production $\Delta\eta'$;
- (ii) $\Delta\eta = \ln s - \Delta\eta'$ is the rapidity gap;
- (iii) κ is a QCD color factor selecting color-singlet gg or $q\bar{q}$ exchanges to form the rapidity gap;
- (iv) $P_{gap}(\Delta\eta, t)$ is a gap probability factor representing the elastic scattering between the dissociated proton (cluster of dissociation particles) and the surviving proton;
- (v) $N_{gap}(s)$ is the integral of the gap probability distribution over all phase-space in t and $\Delta\eta$;
- (vi) $F_p(t)$, in $P_{gap}(\Delta\eta, t)$, is the proton form factor $F_p(t) = e^{b_\circ t}$ defined in the discussion of the parameters that appear in the Pomeron flux in Eq. (3); and
- (vii) C_{gap} is a normalization constant, whose value is rendered irrelevant by the renormalization division by $N_{gap}(s)$.

Since $\Delta\eta = -\ln \xi$, the form of Eq. (12) is identical to the Regge form of Eq. (3), identifying C_{gap} and $\kappa\sigma_\circ$ as $\sigma_\circ/16\pi$ and σ_\circ^{pp} , respectively. The factor κ is expressed below in Sec 5, Eq. (17), in terms of the (soft scale) gluon and quark fractions of the proton weighted by the corresponding QCD color factors, ensuring a fully QCD based phenomenological description of the differential single diffraction dissociation cross section on which the derivation of the ratio of slope to intercept rests.

5 The ratio $r = \alpha'/\epsilon$

By a change of variables from $\Delta\eta$ to M^2 using $\Delta\eta' = \ln M^2$ and $\Delta\eta = \ln s - \ln M^2$, Eq. (12) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\sigma(s, M^2, t)}{dM^2 dt} &= \left[\frac{\sigma_o}{16\pi} \sigma_o^{Pp} \right] \frac{s^{2\epsilon}}{N(s)} \frac{1}{(M^2)^{1+\epsilon}} e^{bt} \\ s \xrightarrow{\Rightarrow} \infty &\left[2\alpha' e^{\frac{\epsilon b_0}{2\alpha'}} \sigma_o^{Pp} \right] \frac{\ln s^{2\epsilon}}{(M^2)^{1+\epsilon}} e^{bt}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $b = b_0 + 2\alpha' \ln \frac{s}{M^2}$. Integrating this expression over M^2 and t yields the total single diffractive cross section,

$$\sigma_{sd} \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} 2 \sigma_o^{Pp} e^{\frac{\epsilon b_0}{2\alpha'}} = \sigma_{sd}^\infty = \text{constant}. \quad (14)$$

The remarkable property that the total single diffractive cross section becomes constant as $s \rightarrow \infty$ is a direct consequence of the coherence condition required for the recoil proton to escape intact, which selects one out of several available wee partons to provide a color-shield to the exchange and enable the formation of a diffractive rapidity gap. Since diffraction selects the interaction of one of the partons of the outgoing proton, the constant σ_{sd}^∞ is identified as the σ_o of Eq. (10), which is specific to the dissociating particle, in this case the proton, and therefore equals σ_0^{pp} . We thus have

$$2\sigma_o^{Pp} e^{\frac{\epsilon b_0}{2\alpha'}} = \sigma_0^{pp}, \quad (15)$$

which is the sought after relationship between ϵ and α' in terms of constants which can be deduced from QCD parameters through the relationships:

$$\sigma_o^{Pp} = \beta_{Ppp}(0) \cdot g(t) = \kappa \sigma_o^{pp} \quad (16)$$

$$\kappa = \frac{f_g^\infty}{N_c^2 - 1} + \frac{f_q^\infty}{N_c} \quad (17)$$

$$b_0 = R_p^2/2 = 1/(2m_\pi^2). \quad (18)$$

Here, the color factor κ is expressed in terms of the gg and $q\bar{q}$ color factors weighted by the corresponding gluon and sea-quark fractions, and R_p is the radius of the proton expressed in terms of the pion mass, m_π . The fractions f_g^∞ and f_q^∞ , where the superscript indicates the limit $s \rightarrow \infty$, as in Eq. (14), are extracted from the CTEQ5L [17] parameterizations of the corresponding

nucleon parton distribution functions $x \cdot f(s)$ at a scale of $Q^2 \sim 1 \text{ GeV}^2$, considered the appropriate scale for the soft pp and $p\bar{p}$ scattering being discussed (see [18], Sec. 5.1). Inserting these parameters in Eq. (14) yields

$$r = \frac{\alpha'}{\epsilon} = -[16 m_\pi^2 \ln(2\kappa)]^{-1}. \quad (19)$$

The above equation, in which r is expressed in terms of the mass of the pion and the parameter κ which depends on QCD color factors and the gluon and sea-quark fractions of the underlying PDF of the nucleon, represents the sought after ‘‘QCD connection’’ between the Pomeron intercept and its slope. For a numerical estimate of r , we use $m_\pi = 0.14 \text{ GeV}$ and $\kappa = 0.18 \pm 0.02$, as obtained for gluon and quark fractions of $f_g^\infty = 0.75$ and $f_q^\infty = 0.25$ evaluated from the CTEQ5L nucleon PDF (see [18], Sec. 5.1). The uncertainty in κ is due to an estimated uncertainty of 10 % in the gluon fraction, with a corresponding uncertainty in the quark fraction as constrained by $f_g^\infty + f_q^\infty = 1$. Using these values yields $r_{pheno} = 3.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$.

This result is in excellent agreement with the ratio calculated from the values of $\epsilon_P = 0.08$ and $\alpha'_P = 0.25 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$ for the soft Pomeron trajectory obtained from fits to experimental data of total and elastic pp and $\bar{p}p$ cross sections for collision energies up to $\sqrt{s} = 540 \text{ GeV}$, $r_{exp} = 0.25 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}/0.08 = 3.13 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$ [4]. The smaller value of r_{exp} obtained from a global fit to $p^\pm p$, $\pi^\pm p$, and $K^\pm p$ cross sections, $r_{exp}(\text{global fit}) = 0.26 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}/0.104 = 2.5 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-2}$ [14], could be attributed to the increase of the intercept due to additional radiation from hard (high Q^2) partonic exchanges at higher energies, as for example manifested in the two-Pomeron model of Ref. [19].

6 Summary

In a QCD based parton model approach to elastic, diffractive, and total cross sections, interactions occur through the emission of partons, which cascade down to wee partons in chains of tree-like configurations. As the spacing between successive emissions is controlled by the strong coupling constant, the total cross section, which is proportional to the number of wee partons produced, assumes a power law behavior similar to that of Regge theory. This partonic description is used to relate the Pomeron intercept of Regge theory to the underlying parton distribution function. The transverse size of the cluster of wee partons in a chain originating from one such emission, which is the source of the slope parameter α' of the Pomeron trajectory, depends on the distance in (pseudo) rapidity space between successive emissions and thereby on the parameter ϵ . Exploiting single diffraction, which through the coherence requirement isolates a partonic chain due a single parton emission, the ratio

of α' to ϵ is derived in terms of the pion mass, m_π , and a QCD color factor κ appropriately weighted by the gluon and quark fractions of the proton at the soft scale of $Q^2 \sim 1 \text{ GeV}^2$, as obtained from the CTEQ5L parameterization [17] of the nucleon parton distribution function. The derived value of the ratio of α'/ϵ , $r_{pheno} = 3.12 \pm 0.4 (\text{GeV}/c)^{-2}$, is in good agreement with the experimental value of $r_{exp} = 3.12 (\text{GeV}/c)^{-2}$.

References

- [1] P. D. B. Collins, An Introduction to Regge Theory and High Energy Physics, Cambridge University Press (1977).
- [2] V. Barone and E. Predazzi, High-Energy Particle Diffraction, Springer Press (2001).
- [3] A. Donnachie, G. Dosch, O. Nachtmann, and P. V. Landshoff, Pomeron Physics and QCD, Cambridge University Press (2002).
- [4] A. Donnachie and P. V. Landshoff, Nucl. Phys. **B244** (1984) 322; Nucl. Phys. **B267** (1985) 690; Phys. Lett. **B296** (1992) 227.
- [5] K. Goulianos, Diffractive Interactions of Hadrons at High Energies, Phys. Reports **101**, 171 (1983).
- [6] K. Goulianos, in Proceedings of “12th International Conference on Elastic and Diffractive Scattering - Forward Physics and QCD (EDS07),” DESY, Hamburg, Germany, 21-25 May 2007; <http://www.desy.de/~eds07/proceedings.html>, pp. 248-253.
- [7] G. Ingelman and P. E. Schlein, Jet Structure in High Mass Diffractive Scattering, Phys. Lett. B **152**, 256 (1985).
- [8] R. L. Cool et al., Diffraction Dissociation of π^\pm , K^\pm , and p^\pm at 100 and 200 GeV/c, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 701 (1981).
- [9] T. J. Chapin et al., Diffraction Dissociation of Photons on Hydrogen, Phys. Rev. D **31**, 17 (1985).
- [10] F. Abe et al. (CDF Collaboration), Measurement of $\bar{p}p$ Single Diffraction Dissociation at $\sqrt{s} = 546$ and 1800 GeV, Phys. Rev D **50**, 5535 (1994).
- [11] K. Goulianos, Probing the Structure of the Pomeron at Hadron Colliders and at HERA, in: Proc. Les Rencontres de Physique de la Vallée d’Aoste, La Thuile, Aosta Valley, Italy, 5-11 Mar 1995.
- [12] K. Goulianos, Renormalized Diffractive Cross Sections at HERA and the Structure of the Pomeron, in: Proc. 7th Rencontres de Blois, Frontiers in Strong Interactions - 6th International Conference on Elastic and Diffractive Scattering, Blois, France, 20-24 Jun 1995; e-Print Archive: hep-ph/9512291.

- [13] K. Goulianos, Renormalization of Hadronic Diffraction and the Structure of the Pomeron, Phys. Lett. B **358**, 379 (1995); Erratum-*ib.* **363**, 268 (1995).
- [14] R. J. M. Covelan, J. Montanha, and K. Goulianos, A New Determination of the Soft Pomeron Intercept, Phys. Lett. B **389** (1996) 176.
- [15] K. Goulianos and J. Montanha, Factorization and Scaling in Hadronic Diffraction, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 114017 (1999).
- [16] E. Levin, An Introduction to Pomerons, Preprint DESY 98-120, arXiv:hep-ph/9808486.
- [17] CTEQ5L, H.L. Lai et al., Archive:hep-ph/9903282.
- [18] K. Goulianos, *Hadronic Diffraction: Where do we Stand?*, in *La Thuile 2004, Results and Perspectives in Particle Physics*, edited by M. Greco, Proc. of Les Rencontres de Physique de la Vallé d'Aoste, La Thuile, Aosta Valley, Italy, February 29 - March 6, 2004, pp. 251-274; arXiv:hep-ph/0407035.
- [19] A. Donnachie and P. V. Landshoff, New data and the hard Pomeron, Phys. Lett. B **518** (2001) 63, hep-ph/0105088.

7 Figures




Fig. 1. Total $pp/\bar{p}p$ single diffraction dissociation cross section data (both \bar{p} and p sides) for $\xi < 0.05$ compared with predictions based on the standard and the renormalized Pomeron flux [13].




Fig. 2. Cross sections $d^2\sigma_{sd}/dM^2dt$ for $p + p(\bar{p}) \rightarrow p(\bar{p}) + X$ at $t = -0.05 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $\sqrt{s} = 14, 20, 546$ and 1800 GeV . Standard (renormalized) flux predictions are shown as dashed (solid) lines. At $\sqrt{s}=14$ and 20 GeV , the fits using the standard and renormalized fluxes coincide [15].