

Renormalization group evolution of neutrino masses and mixing in the Type-III seesaw mechanism

Joydeep Chakraborty,^{1,*} Amol Dighe,^{2,†} Srubabati Goswami,^{3,‡} and Shamayita Ray^{2,§}

¹*Harish-Chandra Research Institute,*

Chhatnag Road, Jhansi, Allahabad 211019, India

²*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research,*

Homi Bhabha Road, Colaba, Mumbai 400005, India

³*Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad 380009, India*

Abstract

We consider the standard model extended by heavy right handed fermions transforming as triplets under $SU(2)_L$, which generate neutrino masses through the Type-III seesaw mechanism. At energies below their respective mass scales, the heavy fields get sequentially decoupled to give an effective dimension-5 operator. Above their mass thresholds, these fields also participate in the renormalization of the wavefunctions, masses and coupling constants. We compute the renormalization group evolution of the effective neutrino mass matrix in this model, with particular emphasis on the threshold effects. The evolution equations are obtained in a basis of neutrino parameters where all the quantities are well-defined everywhere, including at $\theta_{13} = 0$. We also point out the important role of the threshold effects and Majorana phases in the evolution of mixing angles through illustrative examples.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Gh, 11.10.Hi, 14.60.Pq, 14.60.St.

Keywords: Renormalization group evolution, Type-III seesaw, Triplet fermion, Neutrino masses and mixing

*Electronic address: joydeep@hri.res.in

†Electronic address: amol@theory.tifr.res.in

‡Electronic address: sruba@prl.res.in

§Electronic address: shamayitar@theory.tifr.res.in

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last decade, results from solar, atmospheric, accelerator and reactor experiments looking for neutrino flavour oscillations have succeeded in establishing that atleast two of the neutrinos are massive and there is mixing between different flavors [1]. The present best-fit values of the mass squared differences and mixing angles determined from analyses of global data on neutrino oscillation are [2]

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta m_{21}^2 &= 7.65_{-0.60}^{+0.69} \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2, & |\Delta m_{31}^2| &= 2.40_{-0.33}^{+0.35} \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2, \\ \sin^2 \theta_{12} &= 0.30_{-0.05}^{+0.07}, & \sin^2 \theta_{23} &= 0.50_{-0.14}^{+0.17}, & \sin^2 \theta_{13} &= 0.01_{-0.01}^{+0.046}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta m_{ij}^2 \equiv m_i^2 - m_j^2$ are the mass squared differences and θ_{ij} the mixing angles. The relative position of the third mass eigenstate m_3 with respect to the other two is unknown, though the solar neutrino data give $\Delta m_{21}^2 > 0$. This results in two possible orderings of the neutrino masses: normal ($m_1 < m_2 < m_3$) and inverted ($m_3 < m_1 < m_2$).

One of the most distinctive features emerging out of the above results is the occurrence of two large and one small mixing angles which is rather different from the quark sector where all three mixing angles are small. The absolute masses of neutrinos are also orders of magnitude smaller than those of quarks and charged leptons, the current bound from cosmology on the sum of neutrino masses being $\sum m_i \lesssim 1.5 \text{ eV}$ [3]. The most favored mechanisms to generate such small neutrino masses and nontrivial mixings are the so called seesaw mechanisms which need the introduction of one or more heavy fields. At energies below their mass scales, the heavy fields get integrated out giving rise to an effective dimension-5 operator [4]

$$\mathcal{L}_5 = \kappa_5 l_L l_L \phi \phi, \tag{1}$$

where l_L and ϕ are respectively the lepton and Higgs doublets belonging to the standard model (SM). Here κ_5 is the effective coupling which has inverse mass dimension and can be expressed in terms of a dimensionless coupling a_5 as $\kappa_5 = a_5/\Lambda$, with Λ some high energy scale. In this picture the SM serves as an effective theory valid upto the mass scale Λ , which can be taken to be the mass of the lightest of the heavy fields. Such an operator violates lepton number by two units and hence gives rise to Majorana masses for neutrinos: $m_\nu \sim \kappa_5 v^2$, where v is the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field ϕ after spontaneous symmetry breaking. Taking $v \sim 246 \text{ GeV}$, a neutrino mass of $\sim 0.05 \text{ eV}$ implies $\Lambda \sim 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$ if $a_5 \sim 1$.

There are four possible ways to form a dimension-5 gauge singlet term out of the two lepton doublets and two Higgs doublets: (i) each l_L - ϕ pair forms a fermion singlet, (ii) each of the l_L - l_L and ϕ - ϕ pair forms a scalar triplet, (iii) each l_L - ϕ pair forms a fermion triplet, and (iv) each of the l_L - l_L and ϕ - ϕ pair forms a scalar singlet. Case (i) can arise from the tree level exchange of a right handed fermion singlet and this corresponds to the Type-I seesaw mechanism [5]. Case (ii) arises when the heavy particle is a Higgs triplet giving rise to the Type-II seesaw mechanism [6, 7]. For case (iii) the exchanged particle should be a right-handed fermion triplet, which corresponds to generating neutrino mass through the Type-III seesaw mechanism [8]. The last scenario gives terms of the form $\overline{\nu}_L^C e_L$ which cannot generate a neutrino mass.

Type-III seesaw mechanism mediated by heavy fermion triplets transforming in the adjoint representation has been considered earlier in [8, 9]. Very recently there has been a renewed interest in these type of models. The smallness of neutrino masses usually implies the mass of the heavy particle to be high $\sim 10^{11-15}$ GeV. However, it is also possible to assume that one or more of the triplets have masses near the TeV scale, making it possible to search for their signatures at the LHC [10, 11, 12, 13]. In such models, the Yukawa couplings need to be small to suppress the neutrino mass. Lepton flavour violating decays in the context of Type-III seesaw models have also been considered in [14]. Recently it has also been suggested that the neutral member of the triplet can serve as the dark matter and can be instrumental in generating small neutrino mass radiatively [15].

The possibility of being able to add one triplet fermion per family without creating anomalies was one of the consequences of a general analysis in [16] which discussed adding an extra U(1) gauge group to the SM. Possible ways of adding fermion triplets in an anomaly-free manner have been explored [17], with some specific models studied in [18]. A possible origin of such an extra U(1) gauge group has been proposed in [19]. Fermions in the adjoint representation fit naturally into the 24-dimensional representation of SU(5), and can rectify the two main problems encountered in SU(5) Grand Unified Theory (GUT) models, viz. generation of neutrino masses and gauge coupling unification [10, 11, 20, 21]. The latter requirement constrains the fermionic triplets to be of mass below TeV for $M_{\text{GUT}} \sim 10^{16}$ GeV, making the model testable at the LHC. Leptogenesis mediated by triplet fermions has been explored in [22]. Additional fermions transforming as triplet representations in the context of left-right symmetric model have been studied in [23]. Minimal supersymmetric

standard model extended by triplet fermions has recently been considered in [24].

Whether the exchanged particle at the high scale is a singlet fermion (Type-I seesaw) or a triplet fermion (Type-III seesaw), the light neutrino mass matrix is given as $m_D^T \mathbb{M}_R^{-1} m_D$. Here m_D is the Dirac mass matrix coupling the left handed neutrinos with the right handed heavy fields, and \mathbb{M}_R is the Majorana mass matrix for the right handed fields. Thus the generation of the light neutrino mass matrix is similar in the Type-I and Type-III seesaw mechanisms, both of which are fermion mediated. Since the neutrino mass is generated at the high scale while the neutrino masses and mixings are measured experimentally at a low scale, the renormalization group (RG) evolution effects need to be included. These radiative corrections in Type-I and Type-III seesaw are different, since the heavy fermions couple differently to the other particles in the theory. We note that below the mass scale of the lightest of the heavy particles, the effect of all heavy degrees of freedom are integrated out and the effective mass operators in these scenarios become identical.

The effect of RG induced quantum corrections on leptonic masses and mixings have been studied extensively in the literature [25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32]. These effects can have interesting consequences such as the generation of large mixing angles [33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38], small mass splittings for degenerate neutrinos [39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47], or radiative generation of θ_{13} starting from a zero value at the high scale [48, 49, 50, 51]. RG induced deviations from various high scale symmetries like tri-bimaximal mixing scenario [52, 53, 54] or quark-lepton complementarity [54, 55, 56, 57] and correlations with low scale observables have been explored. Such effects can have significant contributions from the threshold corrections [58, 59, 60]. The RG evolution of the neutrino mass operator in the SM and the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) in the context of Type-I seesaw [59, 61, 62] and Type-II seesaw [63, 64] have been studied in the literature. In the context of Type-III seesaw with degenerate heavy fermions, the impact of the RG evolution on the vacuum stability and perturbativity bounds of the Higgs Boson has been explored in [65].

In this work we study the RG evolution in the SM in the context of the Type-III seesaw model with nondegenerate heavy fermions. Our model consists of the SM with additional massive fermion triplets Σ with masses $\sim M_i$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, M_r$) such that $M_1 < M_2 < \dots < M_r$. Below the mass scale M_1 all the triplets will be decoupled from the model and the RG evolution will be according to the SM. The triplets will manifest themselves at this low scale in the form of an effective operator κ obtained by integrating out the heavy

fields. For energy scales above M_1 , the effect of the heavy fermions will come into play successively and above M_r all the three triplets will contribute to the RG running. We evaluate the contributions of these fermion triplets to the wavefunction, mass and coupling constant renormalization of the SM fields and of the triplet fields themselves. We obtain the β -functions for RG evolution of the Yukawa couplings, the Higgs self-coupling, the Majorana mass matrix of the fermion triplets, the effective vertex κ and the gauge couplings, including the extra contribution due to the additional triplets wherever applicable. We obtain analytic expressions for the runnings of the masses, mixing angles and phases in a basis where all the quantities are well-defined at every point in the parameter space including $\theta_{13} = 0$ [51]. We also solve the RG equations numerically and present some illustrative examples of running of masses and mixing angles. We analyze the effect of the seesaw thresholds and Majorana phases and check if such a scheme can generate masses and mixing angles consistent with the current bounds.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II we outline the basic features of the Type-III seesaw model including extra $SU(2)_L$ -triplet fermions, and describe how the effective neutrino mass operator can arise by this mechanism. In Sec. III, we describe how to include the varying mass thresholds of the heavy particles in the analysis, discuss the renormalization of the SM extended with heavy triplets, and give the expressions of the β functions including the effect of the extra triplets. In Sec. IV, we detail the changes in the RG equations of the effective neutrino mass operator due to the inclusion of the extra fermion triplets. In Sec. V, we numerically demonstrate the modifications in the RG equations of the neutrino masses and mixing angles. We summarize our results in Sec. VI.

II. THE TYPE-III SEESAW MODEL

We consider the Type-III seesaw model where three heavy fermions are added to each family of the SM. These fermions have zero weak hypercharge, i.e. they are singlets of the gauge group $U(1)_Y$ of the SM. However, under the $SU(2)_L$ gauge, they transform as a triplet in the adjoint representation. In the basis of the Pauli matrices $\{\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3\}$, this triplet can be represented as

$$\Sigma_R = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_R^0/\sqrt{2} & \Sigma_R^+ \\ \Sigma_R^- & -\Sigma_R^0/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \frac{\Sigma_R^i \sigma^i}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (2)$$

where $\Sigma_R^\pm = (\Sigma_R^1 \mp i\Sigma_R^2)\sqrt{2}$. For the sake of simplicity of further calculations, we combine Σ_R with its charge conjugate

$$\Sigma_R^C = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_R^{0C}/\sqrt{2} & \Sigma_R^{-C} \\ \Sigma_R^{+C} & -\Sigma_R^{0C}/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \frac{\Sigma_R^{Ci}\sigma^i}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (3)$$

and use the quantity Σ , defined as

$$\Sigma \equiv \Sigma_R + (\Sigma_R)^C. \quad (4)$$

Clearly, Σ also transforms in the adjoint representation of $SU(2)_L$. Note that though formally $\Sigma = \Sigma^C$, the individual elements of Σ are not all Majorana particles. While the diagonal elements of Σ are indeed Majorana spinors which represent the neutral component of Σ , the off-diagonal elements are charged Dirac spinors.

A. The Lagrangian

Introduction of the fermionic triplets Σ will introduce new terms in the Lagrangian. The net Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \mathcal{L}_\Sigma, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_\Sigma = \mathcal{L}_{\Sigma,kin} + \mathcal{L}_{\Sigma,mass} + \mathcal{L}_{\Sigma,Yukawa}. \quad (6)$$

Here,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma,kin} = \text{Tr}[\bar{\Sigma}i\not{D}\Sigma], \quad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma,mass} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\bar{\Sigma}\mathbb{M}_\Sigma\Sigma], \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma,Yukawa} = -\bar{l}_L\sqrt{2}Y_\Sigma^\dagger\Sigma\tilde{\phi} - \phi^T\varepsilon^T\bar{\Sigma}\sqrt{2}Y_\Sigma l_L, \quad (9)$$

where

$$\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

is the completely anti-symmetric tensor in the $SU(2)_L$ space. Here we have not written the generation indices explicitly. \mathbb{M}_Σ is the Majorana mass matrix of the heavy fermion triplets

and Y_Σ is the Yukawa coupling. The SM fields l_L , ϕ and $\tilde{\phi}$ are $SU(2)_L$ doublets and can be written as

$$l_L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L^- \end{pmatrix}_{Y=-1}, \quad \phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \phi^0 \end{pmatrix}_{Y=1}, \quad \tilde{\phi} = \varepsilon\phi^* = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^0 \\ -\phi^- \end{pmatrix}_{Y=-1}. \quad (11)$$

Each member of the $SU(2)_L$ doublet l_L is a 4-component Dirac spinor. Since the fermion triplet Σ is in the adjoint representation of $SU(2)_L$, the covariant derivative of Σ is defined as

$$D_\mu\Sigma = \partial_\mu\Sigma + ig_2[W_\mu, \Sigma], \quad (12)$$

where g_2 is the $SU(2)_L$ gauge coupling.

All the Feynman diagrams for the new vertices involving the triplet fermionic field Σ are given in the Appendix A. The Feynman diagrams for the SM particles are shown in the Appendix B.

B. The effective vertex

In the low energy limit of the extended standard model, we have an effective theory which will be described by the SM Lagrangian with the additional operators obtained by integrating out the heavy fermion triplets added to it. The lowest dimensional one of such operators is the dimension-5 operator¹

$$\mathcal{L}_\kappa = \kappa_{fg} \left(\overline{l}_L^C \sigma^i \varepsilon \phi \right) \left(\phi^T \sigma^i \varepsilon l_L^g \right) + \text{h.c.}, \quad (13)$$

$$= -\kappa_{fg} \left(\overline{l}_{Lc}^C \phi_a l_{Lb}^g \phi_d \right) \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{ac}\varepsilon_{bd} + \varepsilon_{ab}\varepsilon_{cd}) + \text{h.c.}, \quad (14)$$

where κ is a symmetric complex matrix with mass dimension (-1) . Generation indices $f, g \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ are shown explicitly and $a, b, c, d \in \{1, 2\}$ are the $SU(2)_L$ indices. In writing the last line we have used

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma^i)_{ab}(\sigma^i)_{cd} &= 2\delta_{ad}\delta_{bc} - \delta_{ab}\delta_{cd} \\ \Rightarrow (\sigma^i\varepsilon)_{ba}(\sigma^i\varepsilon)_{dc} &= 2\varepsilon_{da}\varepsilon_{bc} - \varepsilon_{ba}\varepsilon_{dc} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

¹ We use this form to emphasize the triplet nature of the l_L - ϕ pairs. Since all the dimension-5 operators are equivalent, we choose the normalization such that κ_{fg} defined here matches that in [66].




FIG. 1: The effective vertex κ at an energy $\mu \ll M_1$, after all the heavy fermions have been decoupled from the theory. $f, g \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ are the generation indices. The $SU(2)_L$ and generation indices for Σ are not shown explicitly since they are summed over.

and utilizing the $\phi_d \leftrightarrow \phi_a$ symmetry, we can write

$$2\varepsilon_{da}\varepsilon_{bc} - \varepsilon_{ba}\varepsilon_{dc} = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_{ab}\varepsilon_{dc} + \varepsilon_{db}\varepsilon_{ac}) . \quad (16)$$

The relevant diagrams in the complete theory giving rise to the effective operators in the low energy limit are shown in Fig 1. The “shaded box” on the left hand side represents the effective low energy vertex κ , while $\mathcal{A}_{(a)}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{(b)}$ are the amplitudes of the diagrams labeled as (a) and (b) on the right hand side. The amplitudes are given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{(a)} = i\mu^\epsilon (Y_\Sigma^T \mathbb{M}_\Sigma^{-1} Y_\Sigma)_{fg} [(\varepsilon^T \sigma^i)_{ab} (\varepsilon^T \sigma^i)_{cd}] P_L , \quad (17)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{(b)} = i\mu^\epsilon (Y_\Sigma^T \mathbb{M}_\Sigma^{-1} Y_\Sigma)_{fg} [(\varepsilon^T \sigma^i)_{db} (\varepsilon^T \sigma^i)_{ca}] P_L , \quad (18)$$

with $\epsilon = 4 - D$ where D is the dimensionality that we introduce in order to use dimensional regularization. Note that $\mathcal{A}_{(b)}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{A}_{(a)}$ just by $d \leftrightarrow a$ interchange. Using Eq. (15) one finally gets

$$\mathcal{A}_{(a)} + \mathcal{A}_{(b)} = -i\mu^\epsilon (Y_\Sigma^T \mathbb{M}_\Sigma^{-1} Y_\Sigma)_{fg} (\varepsilon_{ab}\varepsilon_{cd} + \varepsilon_{ac}\varepsilon_{bd}) P_L . \quad (19)$$

This is equal to the left hand side of Fig. 1 with the identification

$$\kappa = 2Y_\Sigma^T \mathbb{M}_\Sigma^{-1} Y_\Sigma . \quad (20)$$

Equation (20) gives the Feynman rule for the low energy effective vertex κ , as shown in the Appendix A. From Eqs. (20) and (14), one gets the neutrino mass after spontaneous

symmetry breaking to be

$$\mathbf{m}_\nu = -\frac{v^2}{2} Y_\Sigma^T \mathbb{M}_\Sigma^{-1} Y_\Sigma \quad (21)$$

which is the Type-III seesaw relation. Here, v denotes the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field.

III. RADIATIVE CORRECTIONS IN TYPE-III SEESAW

A. Sequential decoupling of heavy fermions

Let us consider the most general case when there are r triplets having masses $M_1 < M_2 < \dots < M_{r-1} < M_r$. Above the heaviest mass M_r , all the r -triplets are coupled to the theory and will contribute to the neutrino mass through seesaw mechanism as

$$\mathbf{m}_\nu^{(r+1)} = -\frac{v^2}{2} Y_\Sigma^{(r+1)T} \mathbb{M}_\Sigma^{(r+1)-1} Y_\Sigma^{(r+1)} \quad (\mu > M_r). \quad (22)$$

Here, $Y_\Sigma^{(r+1)}$ is a $[r \times n_F]$ dimensional matrix (n_F is the number of flavors, which is 3 in our case), $\mathbb{M}_\Sigma^{(r+1)}$ is a $[r \times r]$ matrix and $\mathbf{m}_\nu^{(r+1)}$ is a $[n_F \times n_F]$ dimensional matrix. Below the scale M_r , the heaviest triplet decouples from the theory. Integrating out this degree of freedom gives rise to an effective operator $\mathcal{K}^{(r)}$. The matching condition at $\mu = M_r$ is

$$\mathcal{K}^{(r)} \Big|_{M_r} = 2Y_\Sigma^{(r+1)T} (M_r)^{-1} Y_\Sigma^{(r+1)} \Big|_{M_r}. \quad (23)$$

This condition ensures the continuity of \mathbf{m}_ν at $\mu = M_r$. In order to get the value of the threshold M_r , we need to write the above matching condition in the basis where $\mathbb{M}_\Sigma = \text{diag}(M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r)$. Here it is worth mentioning that the matching scale has to be found carefully since \mathbb{M}_Σ itself runs with the energy scale, i.e. $M_i = M_i(\mu)$. The threshold scale M_i is therefore to be understood as $M_i(\mu = M_i)$.

In the energy range $M_{r-1} < \mu < M_r$, the effective mass of the neutrinos will be given as

$$\mathbf{m}_\nu^{(r)} = -\frac{v^2}{4} \left(\mathcal{K}^{(r)} + 2Y_\Sigma^{(r)T} \mathbb{M}_\Sigma^{(r)-1} Y_\Sigma^{(r)} \right). \quad (24)$$

The first term in Eq. (24) is the contribution of the integrated out triplet of mass M_r through the effective operator $\mathcal{K}^{(r)}$. The second term represents the contribution of the remaining $(r-1)$ heavy fermion triplets, which are still coupled to the theory, through the seesaw mechanism. $\mathbb{M}_\Sigma^{(r)}$ is now a $[(r-1) \times (r-1)]$ matrix while $Y_\Sigma^{(r)}$ is a $[(r-1) \times n_F]$ dimensional matrix.

The matching condition at $\mu = M_{r-1}$ is

$$\left. \begin{matrix} {}^{(r-1)} \\ \kappa \end{matrix} \right|_{M_{r-1}} = \left. \begin{matrix} {}^{(r)} \\ \kappa \end{matrix} \right|_{M_{r-1}} + 2Y_\Sigma^{(r)T} (M_{r-1})^{-1} Y_\Sigma^{(r)} \left. \right|_{M_{r-1}} . \quad (25)$$

Generalizing the above sequence, we can say that if we consider the intermediate energy region between the $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ and the n^{th} threshold, i.e. $M_n > \mu > M_{n-1}$, then all the heavy triplets from masses M_r down to M_n have been decoupled. In this region the Yukawa matrix $Y_\Sigma^{(n)}$ will be $[(n-1) \times n_F]$ dimensional and will be given as

$$Y_\Sigma \rightarrow \left. \begin{matrix} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} (y_\Sigma)_{1,1} & \cdots & (y_\Sigma)_{1,n_F} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ (y_\Sigma)_{n-1,1} & \cdots & (y_\Sigma)_{n-1,n_F} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right) \\ \left. \begin{matrix} \\ \\ \\ \end{matrix} \right\} = Y_\Sigma^{(n)} , \\ \left. \begin{matrix} \\ \\ \\ \end{matrix} \right\} \text{heavy triplets with masses} \\ \left. \begin{matrix} \\ \\ \\ \end{matrix} \right\} M_n - M_r \text{ integrated out .} \end{matrix} \right\} \quad (26)$$

$\mathbb{M}_\Sigma^{(n)}$ will be $[(n-1) \times (n-1)]$ dimensional. In this energy range the effective neutrino mass matrix will be

$$\mathbb{m}_\nu^{(n)} = -\frac{v^2}{4} \left(\begin{matrix} {}^{(n)} \\ \kappa \end{matrix} + 2Q \right) , \quad (27)$$

with

$$Q \equiv Y_\Sigma^{(n)T} \mathbb{M}_\Sigma^{(n)-1} Y_\Sigma^{(n)} , \quad (28)$$

while the matching condition at $\mu = M_n$ is given by Eq. (25) with r replaced by $(n+1)$. For $\mu < M_1$, all the heavy triplets will get decoupled and thus only $\begin{matrix} {}^{(1)} \\ \kappa \end{matrix}$ will contribute, which is the low energy effective neutrino mass operator.

B. Dimensional regularization and renormalization

Now we consider the radiative corrections to the fields, masses and couplings in our model, on the lines of that performed in [29, 66] in the context of Type-I seesaw. The wavefunction renormalizations are defined as

$$\psi_B^f = \left(Z_\psi^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)_{fg} \psi^g , \quad (29)$$

where $\psi \in \{l_L, q_L, e_R, u_R, d_R\}$. We denote the renormalized quantities as X and the corresponding bare fields as X_B . For the fermion triplets

$$\Sigma_B^{fi} = \left(Z_{\Sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)_{fg} \Sigma^{gi}. \quad (30)$$

For the doublet Higgs

$$\phi_B = Z_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi, \quad (31)$$

whereas

$$A_B = Z_A^{\frac{1}{2}} A \quad (32)$$

for the gauge bosons where $A \in \{B, W^i, G^A\}$. For the Faddeev-Popov ghosts one has

$$c_B = Z_c^{\frac{1}{2}} c, \quad (33)$$

however the ghosts will not appear in the RG evolution of the relevant quantities at one loop level. We introduce the abbreviation

$$\delta Z_X = Z_X - 1, \quad (34)$$

where Z_X denotes the renormalization constant of any of the relevant quantities X .

We will use the dimensional regularization and the minimal subtraction scheme for renormalization. In this renormalization formalism, the counter terms are defined such that they only cancel out the divergent parts. Thus the renormalization constants are of the form

$$Z_X = 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \delta Z_{X,k} \frac{1}{\epsilon^k}, \quad (35)$$

where the $\delta Z_{X,k}$ are independent of ϵ . In our scenario, at the one loop level, the renormalization constants are proportional to $1/\epsilon$. The final results of course will be independent of the particular regularization as well as the renormalization scheme used for the calculations.

The diagrams contributing to the renormalization constants of the different quantities are all shown explicitly in Appendix C. The renormalization constants of different quantities are given by

$$\delta Z_{\phi} = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(2T - \frac{3}{10}(3 - \xi_1)g_1^2 - \frac{3}{2}(3 - \xi_2)g_2^2 \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \quad (36)$$

$$\delta Z_{l_L} = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(Y_e^\dagger Y_e + 3Y_{\Sigma}^{(n)\dagger} Y_{\Sigma}^{(n)} + \frac{3}{10}\xi_1 g_1^2 + \frac{3}{2}\xi_2 g_2^2 \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \quad (37)$$

$$\delta Z_{e_R} = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(2Y_e Y_e^\dagger + \frac{6}{5}\xi_1 g_1^2 \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \quad (38)$$

$$\delta Z_{\Sigma} = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[\left(2Y_{\Sigma}^{(n)\dagger} Y_{\Sigma}^{(n)} + 4\xi_2 g_2^2 \right) P_R + \left(2(Y_{\Sigma}^{(n)\dagger} Y_{\Sigma}^{(n)})^* + 4\xi_2 g_2^2 \right) P_L \right] \frac{1}{\epsilon}. \quad (39)$$

where we have used the R_ξ gauge, and the GUT normalization of the gauge couplings [25].

The Yukawa couplings are renormalized as²

$$\delta Z_{Y_e} = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(-6Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma + \frac{9}{10} (2 + \xi_1) g_1^2 + \frac{3}{2} \xi_2 g_2^2 \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \quad (40)$$

$$\delta Z_{Y_\Sigma} = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(2Y_e^\dagger Y_e - \frac{3}{10} \xi_1 g_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} (12 + 7\xi_2) g_2^2 \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \quad (41)$$

while the Majorana neutrino mass matrix gets renormalized as

$$\delta Z_{\mathbb{M}_\Sigma} = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} (12 + 4\xi_2) g_2^2 \frac{1}{\epsilon}. \quad (42)$$

The addition of the right handed fermion triplets to the SM will contribute one extra diagram to the renormalization of the Higgs self-coupling λ , as shown in the diagram (G1) of the Appendix C. This contribution will be³

$$\delta Z_\lambda|_{\text{new}} = -\frac{5i}{4\pi^2} \text{Tr} \left[Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma \right] (\delta_{ab}\delta_{cd} + \delta_{ac}\delta_{bd}) \frac{1}{\epsilon}. \quad (43)$$

Finally for the effective vertex $\kappa^{(n)}$, the renormalization constant is

$$\delta \kappa^{(n)} = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[2 \kappa^{(n)} (Y_e^\dagger Y_e) + 2 (Y_e^\dagger Y_e)^T \kappa^{(n)} - \lambda \kappa^{(n)} - \left(\frac{3}{2} - \xi_1 \right) g_1^2 \kappa^{(n)} - \left(\frac{3}{2} - 3\xi_2 \right) g_2^2 \kappa^{(n)} \right] \frac{1}{\epsilon}. \quad (44)$$

We observe that there is no contribution from the fermion triplet Σ in the loop, which means that $\delta \kappa^{(n)}$ will not directly depend on the fermion triplets still coupled to the theory. However, during RG evolution an indirect dependence will creep in via the other couplings.

C. Calculation of the β functions

To calculate the β functions for the RG evolution of the Yukawa couplings, Majorana mass matrix, the effective vertex κ and other relevant quantities, we consider the relations

² In [65] the contributions of fermion triplets to some of the above renormalization constants are calculated in the context of SM extended with these fields. Their conventions of field normalizations are different and hence the results may differ upto numerical constants in certain cases. However, their Eq. (19) for δY_ν , which is the same quantity as our δZ_{Y_Σ} in Eq. (41), is missing the $Y_e^\dagger Y_e$ term. The source of this term is the diagram labelled as (F2) in Appendix C. The extra contribution to δZ_{Y_e} from the fermion triplets has also not been calculated in [65].

³ Note that Ref. [65] gives this quantity ($\delta\lambda$ in their Eq. (20)) to be of the form $\text{Tr}(Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma)$. However, the additional contribution to the Higgs quartic coupling δZ_λ should be of the form $\text{Tr}(Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma)$, since it comes from the diagram (G1) in Appendix C.

between the bare (X_B) and the corresponding renormalized (X) quantities given by

$$Z_\Sigma^{T\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{M}_{\Sigma B} Z_\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} = Z_{\mathbb{M}_\Sigma} \mathbb{M}_\Sigma , \quad (45)$$

$$Z_{\Sigma R}^{\frac{1}{2}} Y_{\Sigma B} Z_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} Z_{l_L}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \mu^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} Y_\Sigma Z_{Y_\Sigma} , \quad (46)$$

$$Z_{eR}^{\frac{1}{2}} Y_{eB} Z_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} Z_{l_L}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \mu^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} Y_e Z_{Y_e} , \quad (47)$$

$$Z_{l_L}^{T\frac{1}{2}} Z_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} \kappa_B Z_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} Z_{l_L}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \mu^\epsilon (\kappa + \delta\kappa) , \quad (48)$$

where $Z_{\Sigma R} = P_R Z_\Sigma$. We further use the functional differentiation method as in [66] to find the β functions for the Yukawa couplings as

$$16\pi^2 \beta_{Y_e} = Y_e \left(\frac{3}{2} Y_e^\dagger Y_e + \frac{15}{2} Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma + T - \frac{9}{4} g_1^2 - \frac{9}{4} g_2^2 \right) , \quad (49)$$

$$16\pi^2 \beta_{Y_\Sigma} = Y_\Sigma \left(\frac{5}{2} Y_e^\dagger Y_e + \frac{5}{2} Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma + T - \frac{9}{20} g_1^2 - \frac{33}{4} g_2^2 \right) , \quad (50)$$

$$16\pi^2 \beta_{Y_u} = Y_u \left(\frac{3}{2} Y_u^\dagger Y_u - \frac{3}{2} Y_d^\dagger Y_d + T - \frac{17}{20} g_1^2 - \frac{9}{4} g_2^2 - 8g_3^2 \right) , \quad (51)$$

$$16\pi^2 \beta_{Y_d} = Y_d \left(\frac{3}{2} Y_d^\dagger Y_d - \frac{3}{2} Y_u^\dagger Y_u + T - \frac{1}{4} g_1^2 - \frac{9}{4} g_2^2 - 8g_3^2 \right) . \quad (52)$$

Here

$$T = \text{Tr} \left[Y_e^\dagger Y_e + 3Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma + 3Y_u^\dagger Y_u + 3Y_d^\dagger Y_d \right] , \quad (53)$$

and $\beta_X \equiv \mu(dX/d\mu)$. Note that $Y_\Sigma^{(n)}$ is given in Eq. (26), with $(n-1)$ the number of heavy fermion triplets still coupled to the theory.

Since the fermion triplets have non-zero $SU(2)_L$ charge, they couple to the W bosons and hence will affect the RG evolution of the gauge coupling g_2 via

$$16\pi^2 \beta_{g_2} = b_2 g_2^3 , \quad (54)$$

where

$$b_2 = -\frac{19}{6} + \frac{4(n-1)}{3} . \quad (55)$$

Note that if the number of heavy fermion triplets is ≤ 2 , the value of b_2 is always negative. On the other hand, if the number is ≥ 3 , then b_2 becomes positive above the mass scale M_3 . Adding fermion triplets shifts the g_1 - g_2 intersection to higher energy scales, and the g_2 - g_3 intersection to lower energy scales, as can be seen from Fig. 2. The exact situation would depend on the values of M_i .




FIG. 2: The solid (red) line and the dashed (green) lines show the energy scale variations of g_1 and g_3 respectively in the SM, which is unaffected in Type-III seesaw. The dotted (blue) line gives the SM running of g_2 , while dot-dashed (magenta), dot-dot-dashed (sky) and densely dotted (black) lines show the running if there were one, two or three fermion triplets respectively.

The RG evolution of λ is given by

$$16\pi^2\beta_\lambda = 6\lambda^2 - 3\lambda \left(\frac{3}{5}g_1^2 + 3g_2^2 \right) + 3g_2^4 + \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{3}{5}g_1^2 + g_2^2 \right)^2 + 4\lambda T - 8 \text{Tr}[Y_e^\dagger Y_e Y_e^\dagger Y_e + 3Y_u^\dagger Y_u Y_u^\dagger Y_u + 3Y_d^\dagger Y_d Y_d^\dagger Y_d] - 20 \text{Tr}[Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma]^{(n)} . \quad (56)$$

As it is evident from Eq. (56), the last term is the new contribution to the β -function from the heavy triplets still coupled to the theory.

The RG evolution of the Majorana mass matrix of the heavy triplet fermions is given by

$$16\pi^2\beta_{\mathbb{M}_\Sigma} = \left[\left(Y_\Sigma^{(n)} Y_\Sigma^{(n)\dagger} \right) P_L + \left(Y_\Sigma^{(n)} Y_\Sigma^{(n)\dagger} \right)^* P_R \right] \mathbb{M}_\Sigma + \mathbb{M}_\Sigma \left[\left(Y_\Sigma^{(n)} Y_\Sigma^{(n)\dagger} \right)^* P_L + \left(Y_\Sigma^{(n)} Y_\Sigma^{(n)\dagger} \right) P_R \right] - 12g_2^2 \mathbb{M}_\Sigma , \quad (57)$$

where it is always possible to separate the components of different chirality to get the left-

chiral part as

$$16\pi^2\beta_{\mathbb{M}_\Sigma} = \left(Y_\Sigma^{(n)} Y_\Sigma^{(n)\dagger} \right) \mathbb{M}_\Sigma + \mathbb{M}_\Sigma \left(Y_\Sigma^{(n)} Y_\Sigma^{(n)\dagger} \right)^T - 12g_2^2 \mathbb{M}_\Sigma, \quad (58)$$

since $P_L + P_R = \mathbb{I}$. Thus all the β -functions are gauge-independent, as they should be. The anomalous dimension of \mathbb{M}_Σ is

$$\begin{aligned} -16\pi^2\gamma_{\mathbb{M}_\Sigma}^{(0)} &= \mathbb{M}_\Sigma^{-1} \left[\left(Y_\Sigma^{(n)} Y_\Sigma^{(n)\dagger} \right) P_L + \left(Y_\Sigma^{(n)} Y_\Sigma^{(n)\dagger} \right)^* P_R \right] \mathbb{M}_\Sigma \\ &\quad + \left[\left(Y_\Sigma^{(n)} Y_\Sigma^{(n)\dagger} \right)^* P_L + \left(Y_\Sigma^{(n)} Y_\Sigma^{(n)\dagger} \right) P_R \right] - 12g_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Similar to the left-chiral component of $\beta_{\mathbb{M}_\Sigma}$ in Eq. (58), the left-chiral component of $\gamma_{\mathbb{M}_\Sigma}^{(0)}$ is

$$-16\pi^2\gamma_{\mathbb{M}_\Sigma}^{(0)} = \mathbb{M}_\Sigma^{-1} \left(Y_\Sigma^{(n)} Y_\Sigma^{(n)\dagger} \right) \mathbb{M}_\Sigma + \left(Y_\Sigma^{(n)} Y_\Sigma^{(n)\dagger} \right)^* - 12g_2^2. \quad (60)$$

As seen from Eq. (27), the RG evolution of the light neutrino mass matrix $\mathbb{m}_\nu^{(n)}$ is controlled by the evolutions of both $\kappa^{(n)}$ and $Q^{(n)}$, which are given by

$$16\pi^2\beta_\kappa = \alpha_\kappa \kappa + P_\kappa^T \kappa + \kappa P_\kappa, \quad (61)$$

$$16\pi^2\beta_Q = \alpha_Q Q + P_Q^T Q + Q P_Q, \quad (62)$$

with

$$P_\kappa = \frac{3}{2} Y_\Sigma^{(n)\dagger} Y_\Sigma^{(n)} - \frac{3}{2} Y_e^\dagger Y_e; \quad \alpha_\kappa = 2T + \lambda - 3g_2^2, \quad (63)$$

$$P_Q = \frac{3}{2} Y_\Sigma^{(n)\dagger} Y_\Sigma^{(n)} + \frac{5}{2} Y_e^\dagger Y_e; \quad \alpha_Q = 2T - \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 - \frac{9}{2} g_2^2. \quad (64)$$

IV. RG RUNNING OF NEUTRINO MASSES AND MIXING ANGLES

To derive the RG evolution for the neutrino masses and mixings we follow the standard procedure [29, 61]. At any energy scale μ , the neutrino mass matrix \mathbb{m}_ν can be diagonalized by a unitary transformation via

$$U_\nu(\mu)^T \mathbb{m}_\nu(\mu) U_\nu(\mu) = \text{diag}(m_1(\mu), m_2(\mu), m_3(\mu)). \quad (65)$$

In a basis where Y_e is diagonal, the neutrino mixing matrix is given as

$$U_{\text{PMNS}} = U_\nu, \quad (66)$$

where U_{PMNS} is the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata neutrino mixing matrix [67, 68]. From Eqs. (49) it is seen that above and between the thresholds, off-diagonal terms will be generated in Y_e even if we start with a diagonal Y_e at the high scale, due to the $Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma$ terms. These terms will give additional contributions to the evolution of different parameters. In the presence of Y_e with off-diagonal entries, the neutrino mixing matrix will be given as

$$U_{\text{PMNS}} = U_e^\dagger U_\nu, \quad (67)$$

where U_e is the unitary matrix that diagonalizes $Y_e^\dagger Y_e$ by a unitary transformation. U_{PMNS} is parameterized as [7, 68]

$$U_{\text{PMNS}} = \text{diag}(e^{i\delta_e}, e^{i\delta_\mu}, e^{i\delta_\tau}) \cdot \mathcal{U} \cdot \text{diag}(e^{-i\phi_1}, e^{-i\phi_2}, 1), \quad (68)$$

with

$$\mathcal{U} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -c_{23}s_{12} - s_{23}s_{13}c_{12}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{12} - s_{23}s_{13}s_{12}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{23}s_{12} - c_{23}s_{13}c_{12}e^{i\delta} & -s_{23}c_{12} - c_{23}s_{13}s_{12}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (69)$$

Here c_{ij} and s_{ij} are the cosines and sines respectively of the mixing angle θ_{ij} , δ is the Dirac CP violating phase, ϕ_i are the Majorana phases. The ‘‘flavor’’ phases δ_e , δ_μ and δ_τ do not play any role in the phenomenology of neutrino mixing.

In this work, we consider $r = 3$ heavy fermion triplets, one for each generation. Then Y_Σ is a 3×3 matrix at high scale and is identically zero for $\mu < M_1$. The RG evolution of the neutrino parameters is then controlled by

$$16\pi^2\beta_{Y_e} = Y_e F + \alpha_e Y_e, \quad (70)$$

$$16\pi^2\beta_{\mathfrak{m}_\nu} = P^T \mathfrak{m}_\nu + \mathfrak{m}_\nu P + \alpha_\nu \mathfrak{m}_\nu, \quad (71)$$

where

$$P = C_e Y_e^\dagger Y_e + C_\Sigma Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma, \quad (72)$$

$$F = D_e Y_e^\dagger Y_e + D_\Sigma Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma. \quad (73)$$

Eqs. (70) and (71) are essentially the same as the β -functions given in Eqs. (49), (61) and (62), which we rewrite in the above form for later discussions. For $\mu > M_3$ and $\mu < M_1$, the evolutions of Y_e and \mathfrak{m}_ν can be written in simple analytic forms, using Table I. Note that

	C_e	C_Σ	D_e	D_Σ	α_e	α_ν
$\mu > M_3$	$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{15}{2}$	$T - \frac{9}{4}g_1^2 - \frac{9}{4}g_2^2$	$2T - \frac{9}{10}g_1^2 - \frac{9}{2}g_2^2$
$\mu < M_1$	$-\frac{3}{2}$	0	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	$T - \frac{9}{4}g_1^2 - \frac{9}{4}g_2^2$	$2T + \lambda - 3g_2^2$

TABLE I: Coefficients of the β -functions governing the running of neutrino masses and mixings in the energy regimes $\mu > M_3$ and $\mu < M_1$. The quantity T is defined in Eq. (53).

for $\mu > M_3$ the running of the neutrino masses will be governed by β_Q and so P in Eq. (72) is the same as P_Q as defined in Eq. (64). On the other hand, for $\mu < M_1$, we have $P = P_\kappa$ as given in Eq. (63). P and F are 3×3 matrices, with the rows and columns representing generations. We denote the elements of P and F by P_{fg} and F_{fg} . The coefficient of P_{fg} and F_{fg} in the running of Y_e and m_ν can be read off directly from [61], since the structure of Eqs. (70) and (71) remain the same both in Type-I and Type-III seesaw. The values of P_{fg} and F_{fg} themselves will however be different because of different underlying theories. The values of the relevant coefficients in Type-III seesaw are shown in Table I.

If we consider the running equations in the basis $\mathcal{P}_\delta = \{m_i; \theta_{12}, \theta_{13}, \theta_{23}; \phi_i; \delta\}$, then both δ and $\dot{\delta}$ become ill-defined at $\theta_{13} = 0$ [29, 30] and as a consequence, $\dot{\theta}_{13}$ also becomes ill-defined because of its δ dependence. This is only an apparent singularity. One can get rid of it by imposing a particular value of $\cot \delta$ at $\theta_{13} = 0$ [29, 30] or by using the basis $\mathcal{P}_J = \{m_i; \theta_{12}, \theta_{23}, \theta_{13}^2; \phi_i; J_{\text{CP}}, J'_{\text{CP}}\}$, where the singularity does not appear at all [51]. Here J_{CP} and J'_{CP} are defined as

$$J_{\text{CP}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} s_{12} c_{12} s_{23} c_{23} s_{13} c_{13}^2 \sin \delta, \quad (74)$$

$$J'_{\text{CP}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} s_{12} c_{12} s_{23} c_{23} s_{13} c_{13}^2 \cos \delta. \quad (75)$$

In the limit $\theta_{13} \rightarrow 0$, $J_{\text{CP}}, J'_{\text{CP}} \rightarrow 0$. From the point of view of the experiments also, the Jarlskog invariant J_{CP} is the quantity which appears in the probability expressions for CP violation in neutrino oscillation experiments, and is therefore directly measurable. J'_{CP} is needed in order to have complete information on δ , since J_{CP} has no information on the sign of $\cos \delta$. We also choose to write the RG evolution for θ_{13}^2 instead of θ_{13} as is traditionally done. This quantity turns out to have a smooth behaviour at $\theta_{13} = 0$. Moreover, since $\theta_{13} \geq 0$ by convention, the complete information about θ_{13} lies within θ_{13}^2 . The information about the Dirac phase will be present in $J_{\text{CP}}, J'_{\text{CP}}$.

	$32\pi^2 \dot{\theta}_{12}$	$64\pi^2 \dot{\theta}_{13}^2$	$32\pi^2 \dot{\theta}_{23}$
P_{11}	$\mathcal{Q}_{12}^+ \sin 2\theta_{12}$	0	0
P_{22}	$-\mathcal{Q}_{12}^+ \sin 2\theta_{12} c_{23}^2$	$(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{23}^+ - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{13}^+) \sin 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{23}$	$(\mathcal{Q}_{23}^+ c_{12}^2 + \mathcal{Q}_{13}^+ s_{12}^2) \sin 2\theta_{23}$
P_{33}	$-\mathcal{Q}_{12}^+ \sin 2\theta_{12} s_{23}^2$	$-(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{23}^+ - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{13}^+) \sin 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{23}$	$-(\mathcal{Q}_{23}^+ c_{12}^2 + \mathcal{Q}_{13}^+ s_{12}^2) \sin 2\theta_{23}$
Re P_{21}	$2\mathcal{Q}_{12}^+ \cos 2\theta_{12} c_{23}$	$4(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{13}^+ c_{12}^2 + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{23}^+ s_{12}^2) s_{23}$	$(\mathcal{Q}_{23}^+ - \mathcal{Q}_{13}^+) \sin 2\theta_{12} s_{23}$
Re P_{31}	$-2\mathcal{Q}_{12}^+ \cos 2\theta_{12} s_{23}$	$4(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{13}^+ c_{12}^2 + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{23}^+ s_{12}^2) c_{23}$	$(\mathcal{Q}_{23}^+ - \mathcal{Q}_{13}^+) \sin 2\theta_{12} c_{23}$
Re P_{32}	$\mathcal{Q}_{12}^+ \sin 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{23}$	$2(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{23}^+ - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{13}^+) \sin 2\theta_{12} \cos 2\theta_{23}$	$2(\mathcal{Q}_{23}^+ c_{12}^2 + \mathcal{Q}_{13}^+ s_{12}^2) \cos 2\theta_{23}$
Im P_{21}	$4\mathcal{S}_{12} c_{23}$	$4(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{13}^- c_{12}^2 + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{23}^- s_{12}^2) s_{23}$	$2(\mathcal{S}_{23} - \mathcal{S}_{13}) \sin 2\theta_{12} s_{23}$
Im P_{31}	$-4\mathcal{S}_{12} s_{23}$	$4(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{13}^- c_{12}^2 + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{23}^- s_{12}^2) c_{23}$	$2(\mathcal{S}_{23} - \mathcal{S}_{13}) \sin 2\theta_{12} c_{23}$
Im P_{32}	0	$2(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{23}^- - \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{13}^-) \sin 2\theta_{12}$	$4(\mathcal{S}_{23} c_{12}^2 + \mathcal{S}_{13} s_{12}^2)$

TABLE II: Coefficients of P_{fg} in the RG evolution equations of the mixing angles θ_{12} , θ_{13}^2 and θ_{23} , in the limit $\theta_{13} \rightarrow 0$.

The expressions for the running of masses and Majorana phases are the same as the ones obtained in [61] for the Type-I seesaw mechanism. (See Tables 5, 6, and 14 therein. Note that ϕ_i in our paper corresponds to $\varphi_i/2$ in [61].) The running of masses and the Majorana phases does not depend on the Dirac phase to the lowest order in θ_{13} . Hence the RG evolution equations do not change with the change in basis $\mathcal{P}_\delta \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_J$. Running of the two large mixing angles θ_{12} and θ_{23} , as given in Table II, is also the same as that in the \mathcal{P}_δ basis since the quantities \mathcal{S}_{ij} and \mathcal{Q}_{ij}^\pm , defined as

$$\mathcal{Q}_{13}^\pm = \frac{|m_3 \pm m_1 e^{2i\phi_1}|^2}{\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2 (1 + \zeta)}, \quad \mathcal{Q}_{23}^\pm = \frac{|m_3 \pm m_2 e^{2i\phi_2}|^2}{\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2}, \quad \mathcal{Q}_{12}^\pm = \frac{|m_2 e^{2i\phi_2} \pm m_1 e^{2i\phi_1}|^2}{\Delta m_{\text{sol}}^2}, \quad (76)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{13} = \frac{m_1 m_3 \sin 2\phi_1}{\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2 (1 + \zeta)}, \quad \mathcal{S}_{23} = \frac{m_2 m_3 \sin 2\phi_2}{\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2}, \quad \mathcal{S}_{12} = \frac{m_1 m_2 \sin (2\phi_1 - 2\phi_2)}{\Delta m_{\text{sol}}^2}, \quad (77)$$

depend on the mass eigenvalues and Majorana phases only. However the running of θ_{13}^2 , as seen from the Table II, depends on the quantities $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ij}^\pm$, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{ij}^\pm$ defined as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{13}^\pm = \frac{4(m_1^2 + m_3^2) J'_{\text{CP}} \pm 8m_1 m_3 (J'_{\text{CP}} \cos 2\phi_1 + J_{\text{CP}} \sin 2\phi_1)}{a\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2 (1 + \zeta)}, \quad (78)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{23}^\pm = \frac{4(m_2^2 + m_3^2) J'_{\text{CP}} \pm 8m_2 m_3 (J'_{\text{CP}} \cos 2\phi_2 + J_{\text{CP}} \sin 2\phi_2)}{a\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2}, \quad (79)$$

	$64\pi^2 \dot{J}_{\text{CP}}/a$	$64\pi^2 \dot{J}'_{\text{CP}}/a$	$32\pi^2(\dot{\phi}_1 - \dot{\phi}_2)$
P_{11}	0	0	$-4\mathcal{S}_{12} \cos 2\theta_{12}$
P_{22}	$-4a\mathcal{G}_s^+$	$2a(\mathcal{G}_0^- - 2\mathcal{G}_c^-)$	$4\mathcal{S}_{12}c_{23}^2 \cos 2\theta_{12}$
P_{33}	$4a\mathcal{G}_s^-$	$-2a(\mathcal{G}_0^- - 2\mathcal{G}_c^-)$	$4\mathcal{S}_{12}s_{23}^2 \cos 2\theta_{12}$
$\text{Re } P_{21}$	$4s_{23}\mathcal{G}_s^+$	$2s_{23}(\mathcal{G}_0^+ + 2\mathcal{G}_c^+)$	$-8\mathcal{S}_{12}c_{23} \cos 2\theta_{12} \cot 2\theta_{12}$
$\text{Re } P_{31}$	$4c_{23}\mathcal{G}_s^+$	$2c_{23}(\mathcal{G}_0^+ + 2\mathcal{G}_c^+)$	$8\mathcal{S}_{12}s_{23} \cos 2\theta_{12} \cot 2\theta_{12}$
$\text{Re } P_{32}$	$-2 \sin 2\theta_{12} \cos 2\theta_{23} \mathcal{G}_s^-$	$\sin 2\theta_{12} \cos 2\theta_{23} (\mathcal{G}_0^- - 2\mathcal{G}_c^-)$	$-4\mathcal{S}_{12} \cos 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{23}$
$\text{Im } P_{21}$	$2s_{23}(\mathcal{G}_0^+ - 2\mathcal{G}_c^+)$	$4s_{23}\mathcal{G}_s^+$	$-4\mathcal{Q}_{12}^- c_{23} \cot 2\theta_{12}$
$\text{Im } P_{31}$	$2c_{23}(\mathcal{G}_0^+ - 2\mathcal{G}_c^+)$	$4c_{23}\mathcal{G}_s^+$	$4\mathcal{Q}_{12}^- s_{23} \cot 2\theta_{12}$
$\text{Im } P_{32}$	$\sin 2\theta_{12}(\mathcal{G}_0^- + 2\mathcal{G}_c^-)$	$-2 \sin 2\theta_{12} \mathcal{G}_s^-$	0

TABLE III: Coefficients of P_{fg} in the RG evolution equations of the Jarlskog invariant J_{CP} , the quantity $J'_{\text{CP}} \equiv J_{\text{CP}} \cot \delta$, and the Majorana phase difference $(\phi_1 - \phi_2)$, in the limit $\theta_{13} \rightarrow 0$. The convention used here is $a \equiv s_{12}c_{12}s_{23}c_{23}$, and $J_{\text{CP}} \equiv (a/2)s_{13}c_{13}^2 \sin \delta$.

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{13}^{\pm} = \frac{4(m_1^2 + m_3^2) J_{\text{CP}} \pm 8m_1m_3(J_{\text{CP}} \cos 2\phi_1 - J'_{\text{CP}} \sin 2\phi_1)}{a\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2(1 + \zeta)}, \quad (80)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{23}^{\pm} = \frac{4(m_2^2 + m_3^2) J_{\text{CP}} \pm 8m_2m_3(J_{\text{CP}} \cos 2\phi_2 - J'_{\text{CP}} \sin 2\phi_2)}{a\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2}, \quad (81)$$

where $a \equiv s_{12}c_{12}s_{23}c_{23}$. Clearly these quantities depend on J_{CP} , J'_{CP} in addition to the masses and Majorana phases. The coefficients for the RG evolution of J_{CP} and J'_{CP} are presented in Table III, where the quantities $\mathcal{G}_{0,c,s}^{\pm}$ are given by

$$\mathcal{G}_0^{\pm} = \frac{m_2^2 + m_3^2}{\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2} \pm \frac{m_1^2 + m_3^2}{\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2(1 + \zeta)}, \quad (82)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_s^{\pm} = \frac{m_1m_3 \sin 2\phi_1}{\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2(1 + \zeta)} \pm \frac{m_2m_3 \sin 2\phi_2}{\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2}, \quad (83)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_c^{\pm} = \frac{m_1m_3 \cos 2\phi_1}{\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2(1 + \zeta)} \pm \frac{m_2m_3 \cos 2\phi_2}{\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2}. \quad (84)$$

Thus all the the quantities appearing in the evolution equations (78) – (84) have finite well-defined limits for $\theta_{13} \rightarrow 0$ in the \mathcal{P}_J basis.

Even if one starts with diagonal Y_e (i.e. $Y_e = \text{diag}(y_e, y_\mu, y_\tau)$) at the high scale, non-zero off-diagonal elements of Y_e will be generated through Eqs. (70) – (73) since $Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma^{(n)}$ is not diagonal. These off-diagonal elements will give additional contributions to the running of masses and mixing above and between the thresholds through F and α_e . Since α_e is flavor

	$16\pi^2 \dot{\theta}_{12}^{U_e}$	$16\pi^2 \dot{\theta}_{13}^{U_e}$	$16\pi^2 \dot{\theta}_{23}^{U_e}$	$16\pi^2 J_{\text{CP}}^{U_e}$	$16\pi^2 J'_{\text{CP}}^{U_e}$	$16\pi^2 \dot{\phi}_1^{U_e}$	$16\pi^2 \dot{\phi}_2^{U_e}$
F_{11}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F_{22}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F_{33}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\text{Re } F_{21}$	$-c_{23}$	$-4s_{23}J'_{\text{CP}}/a$	0	0	$-s_{23}a/2$	0	0
$\text{Re } F_{31}$	s_{23}	$-4c_{23}J'_{\text{CP}}/a$	0	0	$-c_{23}a/2$	0	0
$\text{Re } F_{32}$	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
$\text{Im } F_{21}$	0	$-4s_{23}J_{\text{CP}}/a$	0	$-s_{23}a/2$	0	$c_{23}c_{12}/s_{12}$	$-c_{23}s_{12}/c_{12}$
$\text{Im } F_{31}$	0	$-4c_{23}J_{\text{CP}}/a$	0	$-c_{23}a/2$	0	$-s_{23}c_{12}/s_{12}$	$s_{23}s_{12}/c_{12}$
$\text{Im } F_{32}$	0	0	0	0	0	$-1/(c_{23}s_{23})$	$-1/(c_{23}s_{23})$

TABLE IV: Coefficients of F_{fg} in the RG evolution equations of all the angles (θ_{12} , θ_{13}^2 , θ_{23}), J_{CP} , J'_{CP} and the Majorana phases ϕ_i in the limit $\theta_{13} \rightarrow 0$. The convention used here is $a \equiv s_{12}c_{12}s_{23}c_{23}$, and $J_{\text{CP}} \equiv (a/2)s_{13}c_{13}^2 \sin \delta$. We neglect y_e and y_μ compared to y_τ , and take vanishing flavor phases.

diagonal, it will contribute to the running of y_e , y_μ and y_τ , while off-diagonal components of F will contribute additional terms in the β -functions of angles and phases, as tabulated in Table IV. These contributions will just get added to the P_{fg} contribution for the evolution of the quantities in Tables II, III, IV. Note that the F_{fg} coefficients are $\lesssim \mathcal{O}(1)$, whereas the P_{fg} coefficients are $\gtrsim \mathcal{O}(m_i^2/\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2)$. Since the running is significant only when $m_i^2 \gg \Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2$, in almost all the region of interest P_{fg} contributions dominate over the F_{fg} contribution.

Note that the analytical expressions obtained in Eq. (76) onwards, and those given in the tables, are valid only in the two extreme regions $\mu > M_3$ and $\mu < M_1$. For the intermediate energy scales, m_ν will receive contributions from both $\kappa^{(n)}$ and $Q^{(n)}$. In the SM these two quantities have non-identical evolutions, as seen from Eqs. (61) and (62), and therefore the net evolution of Y_e and m_ν is rather complicated. We perform it numerically in the next section.

V. ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES OF RG RUNNING OF MASSES AND MIXING

In this section we numerically calculate the RG evolution of the masses and mixing parameters within the Type-III seesaw model including the impact of running between the thresholds. This analysis is done by imposing suitable matching conditions (25) at the thresholds. For illustration, we start at $\mu_0 = 10^{16}$ GeV and choose the basis in which Y_e is diagonal, so that $U_{\text{PMNS}} = U_\nu$. We further choose U_ν at this high scale to be the bimaximal mixing matrix $U_{\nu, \text{bimax}}$ [39, 69], i.e. $\theta_{12} = \theta_{23} = \pi/4$ and $\theta_{13} = 0$. This scenario is clearly inconsistent with the current data in the absence of RG evolution. We shall check if the radiative corrections to the masses and mixing angles can make it consistent with the data at the low scale.

If the low energy theory in the complete energy range $\mu < \mu_0$ is the SM, then θ_{12} decreases as the energy scale decreases, however the running is not sufficient to achieve compatibility with the low energy data. If the low energy theory is the MSSM, then θ_{12} increases with decreasing energy scale [56], so that compatibility with the data is not possible. However, it has been shown in [59, 70, 71] in the context of Type-I seesaw mechanism, that the inclusion of threshold effects can make the mixing angle θ_{12} decrease substantially as we go to lower energy scale and can give the correct values consistent with the Large Mixing Angle (LMA) solution. In this section we study the evolution from bi-maximal mixing at high scale in the context of Type-III seesaw scenario, including the seesaw threshold effects.

We write the neutrino mass matrix as

$$m_\nu = U_{\nu, \text{bimax}}^* \text{diag}(m_1, m_2, m_3) U_{\nu, \text{bimax}}^\dagger, \quad (85)$$

with $\delta_e = \delta_\mu = \delta_\tau = 0$ at the high scale. Given the masses of the three fermion triplets and the light neutrino masses at the high scale, one can determine a Y_Σ at the high scale⁴ that satisfies the seesaw relation $m_\nu = -(v^2/2)Y_\Sigma^T \mathbb{M}_\Sigma^{-1} Y_\Sigma$. We then evolve the parameters using the analysis of Sec IV.

Among the neutrino mixing angles, θ_{12} is expected to be the most sensitive to RG effects. Table II shows that $\dot{\theta}_{12}$ is proportional to \mathcal{Q}_{12}^+ and \mathcal{S}_{12} , which are in turn proportional to

⁴ The solution for Y_Σ need not be unique, however any one of the solutions would suffice for the illustration. For practicality, we first choose an “trial” Y_Σ , calculate the corresponding \mathbb{M}_Σ from the seesaw relation, and then apply the basis transformation that makes \mathbb{M}_Σ diagonal and takes the “trial” Y_Σ to its final form.




FIG. 3: RG evolution of mixing angles and mass squared differences, starting from bimaximal mixing at $\mu_0 = 10^{16}$ GeV, for normal mass ordering and hierarchical neutrino masses. The left panels represent the scenario where the Majorana phases vanish at μ_0 . The right panel shows a representative case of nonzero Majorana phases ($\phi_1 = 89.0^\circ, \phi_2 = 0.4^\circ$) at μ_0 . The values of parameters at the high scale have been chosen such that the Δm^2 's and g_2 at the low scale are reproduced.

($m_i^2/\Delta m_{\text{sol}}^2$) as can be seen from Eqs. (76) and (77). For the other angles θ_{ij} , the corresponding quantities \mathcal{Q}_{ij}^+ and \mathcal{S}_{ij} are proportional to ($m_i^2/\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2$), so the evolution of these angles is smaller. The direction of θ_{12} evolution depends on the details of the Yukawa coupling matrix and masses of the heavy fermions.

Since the values of Majorana phases at the low scale are completely unknown, we first consider the case where $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0$. In this case the CP violation will remain zero at all energy scales. The left panels of Fig. 3 show the running of mixing angles and mass squared differences for the normal mass ordering in this scenario. It is observed that θ_{12} in the

intermediate energy region changes more rapidly than in the extreme regions, however this change is in the opposite direction to what is required. As a result, bimaximal mixing at the high scale is not compatible with the low energy data in our model when the Majorana phases vanish. With nonzero Majorana phases, however, it is possible to achieve compatibility with the low scale data, as can be seen from the right panels of the figure.

The lower panels of Fig. 3 show the evolution of m_0 , the lowest mass scale, and the two mass squared differences. As can be observed, the running of masses is quite substantial in Type-III seesaw, as compared to the SM, the MSSM [30], or the Type-I seesaw [59]. Most of this running occurs in the intermediate energy range $M_1 < \mu < M_3$, where threshold effects play a crucial role in enhancing the running. Note that the values of m_0 required to cause substantial running of mixing angles is quite small: in the case of vanishing (non vanishing) Majorana phases, we have taken $m_0 = 0.04(0.01)$ eV at $\mu = \mu_0$. Thus, even at extremely small m_0 , substantial running of neutrino parameters can be present in the Type-III seesaw.

The example of the bimaximal mixing discussed above was just for illustration. However, it brings out certain salient features of the RG running in Type-III seesaw scenario. The running of neutrino masses can be quite substantial here in the intermediate energy range. Moreover, threshold effects can enhance the extent of running of mixing angles, as well as the direction of the evolution, similar to the Type-I seesaw scenario [59]. Majorana phases are also seen to play an important role in determining the extent and the direction of RG running of neutrino mixing parameters.

In Fig. 4, we illustrate the RG evolution of parameters when the neutrino masses are quasi-degenerate. We have taken the parameter values at the high scale to achieve compatibility with the low scale data, without imposing any special symmetry. However in order to bring out certain salient features of the RG evolution that are independent of the threshold effects, we have chosen a small θ_{13} value, $|\phi_1 - \phi_2| \approx \pi/2$, and $Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma$ to be almost diagonal in the charged lepton basis, with hierarchical eigenvalues. These conditions ensure that P_{21} and P_{31} are small, and \mathcal{S}_{12} vanishes, so that from Table III, the evolution of $(\phi_1 - \phi_2)$ is extremely small. Thus $|\phi_1 - \phi_2|$ is expected to stay close to $\pi/2$ even after evolution, which is verified by the figure. Moreover, combined with $m_1 \approx m_2$, the choice $|\phi_1 - \phi_2| \approx \pi/2$ makes \mathcal{Q}_{12}^+ extremely small, thus restricting the θ_{12} evolution.

It is observed that the running of θ_{23} is now large, owing to $m_0^2/\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2 \sim 1$. This makes it possible to mimic maximal mixing accidentally, even if the mixing generated at the high




FIG. 4: RG evolution of mixing angles, mass squared differences, and CP violating phases, for quasi-degenerate neutrino masses and normal mass ordering. The values of parameters at the high scale have been chosen such that the Δm^2 's and g_2 at the low scale are reproduced. Note that for the Majorana phases ϕ_i , the regions $(0^\circ - 180^\circ)$ and $(180^\circ - 360^\circ)$ should be identified with each other.

scale is arbitrary. The value of θ_{13} also quadruples from its high scale value. The Dirac phase, which was chosen to vanish at μ_0 , is generated by the RG evolution. The running of Dirac as well as Majorana phases is substantial between the thresholds.

The right hand bottom panel of Fig. 4 shows the evolution of $m_\beta \equiv \sqrt{\sum_i |U_{ei}|^2 m_i^2}$, the effective neutrino mass measured in the Tritium beta decay experiments [72], as well as $m_{ee} \equiv |\sum_i U_{ei}^2 m_i|$, the effective neutrino Majorana mass in the neutrinoless double beta decay. Note that since θ_{13} is small, $m_1 \approx m_2 \approx m_0$, and since $|\phi_1 - \phi_2| \approx \pi/2$ in addition, we have $m_{ee} \approx m_0 \cos 2\theta_{12}$. Also in the quasi-degenerate case, the sum of neutrino masses that is restricted by cosmology is $\sum m_i \approx 3m_0$. The large running of these masses suggests that, even if the beta decay experiments were to bound m_β to ≤ 0.3 eV, or the neutrinoless double

beta decay experiments were to bound m_{ee} to ≤ 0.1 eV, or the cosmological observations were to restrict m_0 at the low scale to ≤ 0.3 eV, the value of m_0 generated at the high scale can still be substantially larger.

It is thus observed that in Type-III seesaw, the RG evolution of masses, angles as well as CP violating phases can be significant between the thresholds even at low m_0 values. The reason behind this, as well as the exact dependence of the evolution on the mass thresholds and Majorana phases, needs to be studied in further detail for a better understanding of the allowed neutrino parameter space at high energies.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have studied the RG evolution of neutrino masses and mixing angles in the context of Type-III seesaw mechanism mediated by heavy fermions Σ transforming as triplets under $SU(2)_L$. Tree level exchange of such particles gives rise to an effective operator $\kappa_5 l_L l_L \phi \phi$ below their lowest mass threshold. If one or more such triplets are present in the model, they affect the RG evolution of wavefunctions, masses and couplings. We compute these extra contributions using dimensional regularization and minimal subtraction scheme. We calculate the beta functions for the Yukawa couplings Y_e , Y_u , Y_d and Y_Σ , the $SU(2)_L$ gauge coupling g_2 , the Higgs self-coupling λ , the heavy fermion triplet mass matrix M_Σ , and finally the light neutrino mass matrix m_ν . We do our calculation in the R_ξ gauge and show the gauge invariance explicitly by demonstrating that the terms containing ξ are not present in the β -functions.

It is found that the presence of the triplets does not give rise to any additional diagram for the effective vertex κ . However, the presence of these fields is felt indirectly in the running of κ through their contribution to the evolution of the other quantities. Since the fermion triplets couple to W bosons, the evolution of the $SU(2)_L$ gauge coupling g_2 is significantly affected, with more than two Σ triplets changing the sign of the β function for g_2 . This may also have implications for the unification of gauge couplings. In turn, the masses of the Σ 's are also affected substantially due to the coupling with g_2 .

We give the analytic expressions for the RG evolutions of the neutrino masses and mixing above the highest mass threshold and below the lowest one. We use a basis $\mathcal{P}_J = \{m_i, \theta_{12}, \theta_{23}, \theta_{13}^2, \phi_i, J_{CP}, J'_{CP}\}$ instead of the commonly used basis $\mathcal{P}_\delta =$

$\{m_i, \theta_{12}, \theta_{23}, \theta_{13}, \phi_i, \delta\}$. The advantage of the \mathcal{P}_J basis is that all the evolution equations are explicitly non-singular at all points in the parameter space including at $\theta_{13} = 0$ [51].

We consider the scenario with three triplets having non-degenerate masses and include the effect of successive decoupling of the heavy triplets at their respective mass thresholds by imposing suitable matching conditions at each threshold. We present illustrative examples of running of masses and mixings by numerical diagonalization of the effective neutrino mass matrix. Although the running of neutrino parameters is not very large in the SM, in our model the running can be large due to threshold effects of the heavy triplets. In particular we find that starting from bi-maximal mixing at a high scale it is possible to generate low scale values of masses and mixing angles for the normal hierarchical neutrino spectrum. However, this requires non-zero values of the Majorana phases. Indeed it is observed that threshold effects and Majorana phases can influence the evolution of the mixing angles significantly.

We show that even in the case of hierarchical neutrinos, the RG evolution of neutrino masses and mixing between the thresholds can be substantial in the Type-III seesaw scenario. Moreover for quasi-degenerate neutrinos, the large running of masses implies that the value of m_0 at the high scale can be quite large, even if the mass related measurements from the beta decay, neutrinoless double beta decay, or cosmology, restrict its value at the low scale.

In conclusion, this work studies threshold effects in the context of the Type-III seesaw mechanism. It is crucial for testing the viability of a high scale theory with low scale data. Indeed it is seen that theories that are excluded by the data in the absence of RG running can become viable once these effects are included. In order to determine the allowed neutrino parameter space at the high scale, a detailed exploration of the dependence of RG effects on various parameters is necessary. This is all the more important in view of the onset of the precision era in neutrino physics.

Acknowledgement

J.C. and S.G. thank A. Raychaudhuri for encouragement and discussions. S.G. wishes to thank Dilip Ghosh, Anjan Joshipura, Subrata Khan, Namit Mahajan and Manimala Mitra for helpful discussions, and I. Gogoladze and N. Okada for useful communications. S.R. would like to thank R. Loganayagam for useful discussions. J.C. acknowledges support from RECAPP project and J.C. and S.G. acknowledges support from neutrino project under

the XIth plan of Harish Chandra Research Institute. The work of A.D. and S.R. was partially supported by the Max Planck – India Partnergroup project between Tata Institute of Fundamental Research and Max Planck Institute for Physics.


APPENDIX A: FEYNMAN RULES INVOLVING THE FERMION TRIPLET Σ

In this appendix, we list the Feynman rules involving the fermion triplets Σ . Following [73], we introduce the fermion flow arrow for the leptons, which is the gray arrow in the diagrams. The black arrows indicate the lepton number flow. However interactions involving Σ may violate lepton numbers and thus the Σ line does not carry any lepton flow arrow. For the lepton number conserving interactions, the two arrows are parallel for particles, and antiparallel for the charge-conjugate fields. The Feynman rules are also given for the effective operator in the low energy limit of the theory obtained by integrating out these heavy fermion triplets.


1. Propagator

$$\begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} \\ \Sigma^{gj} \qquad \qquad \qquad \Sigma^{fi} \end{array} = \frac{i(\not{p} + M_f)}{p^2 - M_f^2 + i\epsilon} \delta_{fg} \delta_{ij}$$


2. Yukawa interactions




$$\phi_a = -i\mu^{\epsilon/2} (Y_\Sigma^\dagger)_{fg} (\sigma^i \varepsilon)_{ba} P_R$$



$$\phi_a = -i\mu^{\epsilon/2} (Y_\Sigma^T)_{fg} (\varepsilon^T \sigma^i)_{ba} P_L$$



$$\phi_a = -i\mu^{\epsilon/2} (Y_\Sigma)_{gf} (\varepsilon^T \sigma^i)_{ab} P_L$$



$$\phi_a = -i\mu^{\epsilon/2} (Y_\Sigma^*)_{gf} (\sigma^i \varepsilon)_{ab} P_R$$

3. Gauge boson interactions

$$\begin{array}{c} \Sigma^{fk} \\ \diagup \\ \bullet \mu \\ \diagdown \\ \Sigma^{gj} \end{array} \text{---} W^i = -i\mu^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} g_2 \gamma^\mu (i\varepsilon^{jik})$$

4. Counterterms

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \otimes \text{---} \\ \Sigma^{gj} \qquad \Sigma^{fi} \end{array} = i \left[\not{D} (\delta Z_\Sigma)_{fg} - (\delta Z_{M_\Sigma} M_\Sigma)_{fg} \right] \delta_{ij}$$


$$\begin{array}{c} l_{Lb}^f \\ \diagup \\ \bullet \mu \\ \diagdown \\ \Sigma^{gi} \end{array} \text{---} \phi_a = -i\mu^{\epsilon/2} (\delta Z_{Y_\Sigma}^\dagger Y_\Sigma^\dagger)_{fg} (\sigma^i \varepsilon)_{ba} P_R$$

$$\begin{array}{c} l_{Lb}^f \\ \diagup \\ \bullet \mu \\ \diagdown \\ \Sigma^{gi} \end{array} \text{---} \phi_a = -i\mu^{\epsilon/2} (\delta Z_{Y_\Sigma} Y_\Sigma)_{gf} (\varepsilon^T \sigma^i)_{ab} P_L$$


$$\begin{array}{c} l_{Lb}^f \\ \diagup \\ \bullet \mu \\ \diagdown \\ \Sigma^{gi} \end{array} \text{---} \phi_a = -i\mu^{\epsilon/2} (\delta Z_{Y_\Sigma}^T Y_\Sigma^T)_{fg} (\varepsilon^T \sigma^i)_{ba} P_L$$

$$\begin{array}{c} l_{Lb}^f \\ \diagup \\ \bullet \mu \\ \diagdown \\ \Sigma^{gi} \end{array} \text{---} \phi_a = -i\mu^{\epsilon/2} (\delta Z_{Y_\Sigma}^* Y_\Sigma^*)_{gf} (\sigma^i \varepsilon)_{ab} P_R$$

5. Effective vertex κ




$$= i\mu^{\epsilon/2} \kappa_{fg} \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{ab}\varepsilon_{cd} + \varepsilon_{ad}\varepsilon_{bc}) P_L$$




$$= i\mu^{\epsilon/2} (\kappa^\dagger)_{fg} \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{ab}\varepsilon_{cd} + \varepsilon_{ad}\varepsilon_{bc}) P_R$$

6. Counterterms for κ



$$= i\mu^{\epsilon/2} (\delta\kappa)_{fg} \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{ab}\varepsilon_{cd} + \varepsilon_{ad}\varepsilon_{bc}) P_L$$



$$= i\mu^{\epsilon/2} (\delta\kappa^\dagger)_{fg} \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{ab}\varepsilon_{cd} + \varepsilon_{ad}\varepsilon_{bc}) P_R$$

APPENDIX B: FEYNMAN RULES FOR THE SM FIELDS

In this appendix, we list the Feynman rules involving the SM fields only, also given in [66], which are needed for our calculations. The directions of the arrows should be interpreted in the same way as stated at the beginning of Appendix A.

1. Propagators

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{q_{La}^g} \longrightarrow q_{Lb}^f &= \frac{i\cancel{p}}{p^2+i\epsilon} \delta_{fg} \delta_{ab} \quad ; \quad \overline{X_R^g} \longrightarrow X_R^f = \frac{i\cancel{p}}{p^2+i\epsilon} \delta_{fg}, \quad X \in \{u, d\} \\
\overline{l_{La}^g} \longrightarrow l_{Lb}^f &= \frac{i\cancel{p}}{p^2+i\epsilon} \delta_{fg} \delta_{ab} \quad ; \quad \overline{e_R^g} \longrightarrow e_R^f = \frac{i\cancel{p}}{p^2+i\epsilon} \delta_{fg} \\
\overline{l_{La}^g} \longleftarrow l_{Lb}^f &= \frac{-i\cancel{p}}{p^2+i\epsilon} \delta_{gf} \delta_{ab} \quad ; \quad \overline{e_R^g} \longleftarrow e_R^f = \frac{-i\cancel{p}}{p^2+i\epsilon} \delta_{gf} \\
\text{---} \phi_a \longrightarrow \text{---} \phi_b &= \frac{i}{p^2-m_\phi^2+i\epsilon} \delta_{ab} \\
\text{~~~~~} X^\mu \text{~~~~~} X^\nu &= \frac{i(-\eta^{\mu\nu}+(1-\xi)p^\mu p^\nu/p^2)}{p^2+i\epsilon}; \quad X \in \{B, W^i\}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi = \xi_1$ for B boson and $\xi = \xi_2$ for W boson.

2. Yukawa interactions

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{array}{c} l_{Lb}^f \\ \swarrow \\ \bullet \\ \searrow \\ e_R^g \end{array} \text{---} \phi_a &= -i\mu^{\epsilon/2} (Y_e^\dagger)_{fg} \delta_{ab} P_R \\
\begin{array}{c} l_{Lb}^f \\ \swarrow \\ \bullet \\ \searrow \\ e_R^g \end{array} \text{---} \phi_a &= -i\mu^{\epsilon/2} (Y_e^T)_{fg} \delta_{ab} P_L \\
\begin{array}{c} l_{Lb}^f \\ \swarrow \\ \bullet \\ \searrow \\ e_R^g \end{array} \text{---} \phi_a &= -i\mu^{\epsilon/2} (Y_e)_{gf} \delta_{ab} P_L \\
\begin{array}{c} l_{Lb}^f \\ \swarrow \\ \bullet \\ \searrow \\ e_R^g \end{array} \text{---} \phi_a &= -i\mu^{\epsilon/2} (Y_e^*)_{gf} \delta_{ab} P_R
\end{aligned}$$

Similar Feynman rules, as those in the left panel, are there for Yukawa interactions of q_L-u_R and q_L-d_R with the Higgs ϕ having coefficients Y_u and Y_d respectively.

3. Gauge boson – lepton interactions

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \begin{array}{c} e_R^f \\ \swarrow \\ \mu \\ \searrow \\ e_R^g \end{array} \text{---} B & = i\mu^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} g_1 \gamma^\mu \delta_{gf} P_R & \begin{array}{c} e_R^f \\ \swarrow \\ \mu \\ \searrow \\ e_R^g \end{array} \text{---} B & = -i\mu^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} g_1 \gamma^\mu \delta_{fg} P_L \\
 \\
 \begin{array}{c} l_{La}^f \\ \swarrow \\ \mu \\ \searrow \\ l_{Lb}^g \end{array} \text{---} W^i & = -i\mu^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} g_2 \gamma^\mu (\sigma^i)_{ba} \delta_{gf} P_L & \begin{array}{c} l_{La}^f \\ \swarrow \\ \mu \\ \searrow \\ l_{Lb}^g \end{array} \text{---} W^i & = i\mu^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} g_2 \gamma^\mu (\sigma^i)_{ba} \delta_{fg} P_R \\
 \\
 \begin{array}{c} l_{La}^f \\ \swarrow \\ \mu \\ \searrow \\ l_{Lb}^g \end{array} \text{---} B & = \frac{i}{2} \mu^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} g_2 \gamma^\mu \delta_{gf} \delta_{ab} P_L & \begin{array}{c} l_{La}^f \\ \swarrow \\ \mu \\ \searrow \\ l_{Lb}^g \end{array} \text{---} B & = -\frac{i}{2} \mu^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} g_2 \gamma^\mu \delta_{fg} \delta_{ab} P_R
 \end{array}$$

4. Gauge boson – Higgs interactions

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \begin{array}{c} \phi_a \\ \swarrow \\ \mu \\ \searrow \\ \phi_b \end{array} \text{---} B & = -\frac{i}{2} \mu^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} g_1 (p_\mu + q_\mu) \delta_{ab} & \begin{array}{c} \phi_a \\ \swarrow \\ \mu \\ \searrow \\ \phi_b \end{array} \text{---} W^i & = -i\mu^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} g_2 (p_\mu + q_\mu) (\sigma^i)_{ba}
 \end{array}$$

The vertices involving two Higgses and two gauge bosons are not shown since they do not appear explicitly in our analysis.

5. Higgs self-interaction

$$\begin{array}{c} \phi_a \\ \swarrow \\ \bullet \\ \searrow \\ \phi_b \\ \swarrow \\ \bullet \\ \searrow \\ \phi_c \quad \phi_d \end{array} = -i\mu^\epsilon \lambda \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} + \delta_{bc} \delta_{ad})$$

6. Counterterms

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} &= i \not{p}' (\delta Z_{l_L})_{gf} P_L \delta_{ba} & \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} &= -i \not{p}' (\delta Z_{l_L})_{fg} P_L \delta_{ba} \\
\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} &= i \not{p}' (\delta Z_{e_R})_{gf} P_R & \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} &= -i \not{p}' (\delta Z_{e_R})_{fg} P_R \\
\text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} &= i (p^2 \delta Z_\phi - \delta m_\phi^2) \delta_{ba}
\end{aligned}$$

APPENDIX C: CALCULATION OF RENORMALIZATION CONSTANTS

Here we show the Feynman diagrams contributing to the renormalization constants of different quantities. Note that for particles in the loop, we suppress the flavor as well as the $SU(2)_L$ indices.

1. Doublet Higgs wavefunction and mass (Z_ϕ and δm_ϕ^2)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \equiv \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} + \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} + \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\
& \quad + \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} + \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\
& \quad + \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} + \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\
& \quad + \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} = \text{UV finite}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow \delta Z_\phi &= -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(2T - \frac{3}{10} (3 - \xi_1) g_1^2 - \frac{3}{2} (3 - \xi_2) g_2^2 \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \\
\text{and } \delta m_\phi^2 &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(3\lambda m_\phi^2 - \frac{3}{10} \xi_1 g_1^2 m_\phi^2 - \frac{3}{2} \xi_2 g_2^2 m_\phi^2 - 4 \text{Tr}[3Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma] M_\Sigma^2 \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

2. Left-handed lepton wavefunction (Z_{l_L})

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \equiv \text{B1} + \text{B2} + \text{B3} \\
 & + \text{B4} + \text{B5} \\
 & + \text{B6} = \text{UV finite}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta Z_{l_L} = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(Y_e^\dagger Y_e + 3Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma + \frac{3}{10}\xi_1 g_1^2 + \frac{3}{2}\xi_2 g_2^2 \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon}.$$


3. Wavefunction and mass of fermion triplet (Z_Σ and Z_{M_Σ})

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \equiv \text{C1} + \text{C2} \\
 & + \text{C3} + \text{C4} \\
 & = \text{UV finite}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta Z_\Sigma = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[\left(2Y_\Sigma Y_\Sigma^\dagger + 4\xi_2 g_2^2 \right) P_R + \left(2(Y_\Sigma Y_\Sigma^\dagger)^* + 4\xi_2 g_2^2 \right) P_L \right] \frac{1}{\epsilon},$$


$$\text{and } \delta Z_{M_\Sigma} = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} (12 + 4\xi_2) g_2^2 \frac{1}{\epsilon}.$$

4. Right-handed charged lepton wavefunction (Z_{e_R})




$$\Rightarrow \delta Z_{e_R} = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(2Y_e Y_e^\dagger + \frac{6}{5} \xi_1 g_1^2 \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon}.$$

5. $l_L e_R \phi$ Yukawa vertex (Z_{Y_e})




$$\Rightarrow \delta Z_{Y_e} = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(-6Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma + \frac{9}{5} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \xi_1 \right) g_1^2 + \frac{3}{2} \xi_2 g_2^2 \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon}.$$

6. $l_L \Sigma \phi$ Yukawa vertex (Z_{Y_Σ})




$$\Rightarrow \delta Z_{Y_\Sigma} = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(2Y_e^\dagger Y_e - \frac{3}{10} \xi_1 g_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} (12 + 7\xi_2) g_2^2 \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon}.$$

7. The extra diagram contributing to Z_λ



$$= -\frac{5i}{4\pi^2} \text{Tr} \left[Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma Y_\Sigma^\dagger Y_\Sigma \right] (\delta_{ab} \delta_{cd} + \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd}) \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \text{UV finite}.$$

8. Calculation of Z_κ



$$\Rightarrow \delta\kappa = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[2\kappa (Y_e^\dagger Y_e) + 2 (Y_e^\dagger Y_e)^T \kappa - \lambda\kappa - \left(\frac{3}{2} - \xi_1 \right) g_1^2 \kappa - \left(\frac{3}{2} - 3\xi_2 \right) g_2^2 \kappa \right] \frac{1}{\epsilon}.$$

-
- [1] For a recent review see M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and M. Maltoni, Phys. Rept. **460**, 1 (2008) [arXiv:0704.1800 [hep-ph]];
- [2] T. Schwetz, M. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, arXiv:0808.2016 [hep-ph]; G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, A. Palazzo and A. M. Rotunno, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 141801 (2008) [arXiv:0806.2649 [hep-ph]]; A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Choubey, S. Goswami, S. T. Petcov and D. P. Roy, arXiv:0804.4857 [hep-ph].
- [3] S. Hannestad, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 221301 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0505551].
- [4] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 1566 (1979); S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 1694 (1980).
- [5] P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. B **67**, 421 (1977); T. Yanagida, in *Proceedings of the Workshop on the Unified Theory and the Baryon Number in the Universe* (O. Sawada and A. Sugamoto, eds.), KEK, Tsukuba, Japan, 1979, p. 95; M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, and R. Slansky, *Complex spinors and unified theories*, in *Supergravity* (P. van Nieuwenhuizen and D. Z. Freedman, eds.), North Holland, Amsterdam, 1979, p. 315; S. L. Glashow, *The future of elementary particle physics*, in *Proceedings of the 1979 Cargèse Summer Institute on Quarks and Leptons* (M. Lévy et al., eds.), Plenum Press, New York, 1980, pp. 687–713 ; R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 912 (1980).
- [6] M. Magg and C. Wetterich, Phys. Lett. B **94**, 61 (1980); G. Lazarides, Q. Shafi and C. Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. B **181**, 287 (1981); R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 165 (1981); J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D **25**, 774 (1982).
- [7] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2227 (1980).
- [8] R. Foot, H. Lew, X. G. He and G. C. Joshi, Z. Phys. C **44**, 441 (1989).
- [9] E. Ma and D. P. Roy, Nucl. Phys. B **644**, 290 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0206150].
- [10] B. Bajc and G. Senjanovic, JHEP **0708**, 014 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0612029].
- [11] B. Bajc, M. Nemevsek and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 055011 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0703080].
- [12] A. Abada, C. Biggio, F. Bonnet, M. B. Gavela and T. Hambye, JHEP **0712**, 061 (2007) [arXiv:0707.4058 [hep-ph]].
- [13] R. Franceschini, T. Hambye and A. Strumia, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 033002 (2008) [arXiv:0805.1613 [hep-ph]]; F. del Aguila and J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, arXiv:0808.2468 [hep-ph].

- [14] A. Abada, C. Biggio, F. Bonnet, M. B. Gavela and T. Hambye, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 033007 (2008) [arXiv:0803.0481 [hep-ph]].
- [15] E. Ma and D. Suematsu, arXiv:0809.0942 [hep-ph].
- [16] S. M. Barr, B. Bednarz and C. Benesh, *Phys. Rev. D* **34**, 235 (1986).
- [17] E. Ma, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **17**, 535 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0112232].
- [18] R. Adhikari, J. Erler and E. Ma, arXiv:0810.5547 [hep-ph].
- [19] S. M. Barr and I. Dorsner, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 015011 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0503186].
- [20] P. Fileviez Perez, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 071701 (2007) [arXiv:0705.3589 [hep-ph]]; I. Dorsner and P. Fileviez Perez, *JHEP* **0706**, 029 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0612216].
- [21] R. N. Mohapatra, N. Okada and H. B. Yu, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 075011 (2008) [arXiv:0807.4524 [hep-ph]].
- [22] S. Blanchet and P. Fileviez Perez, *JCAP* **0808**, 037 (2008); W. Fischler and R. Flauger, *JHEP* **0809**, 020 (2008); S. Blanchet and P. Fileviez Perez, arXiv:0810.1301 [hep-ph].
- [23] P. Fileviez Perez, arXiv:0809.1202 [hep-ph].
- [24] S. Di Chiara and K. Hsieh, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 055016 (2008) [arXiv:0805.2623 [hep-ph]].
- [25] P. H. Chankowski and Z. Pluciennik, *Phys. Lett. B* **316**, 312 (1993) [arXiv:hep-ph/9306333]; P. H. Chankowski and S. Pokorski, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **17**, 575 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0110249].
- [26] K. S. Babu, C. N. Leung and J. T. Pantaleone, *Phys. Lett. B* **319**, 191 (1993) [arXiv:hep-ph/9309223].
- [27] J. R. Ellis and S. Lola, *Phys. Lett. B* **458**, 310 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9904279];
- [28] M. S. Carena, J. R. Ellis, S. Lola and C. E. M. Wagner, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **12**, 507 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9906362].
- [29] S. Antusch, M. Drees, J. Kersten, M. Lindner and M. Ratz, *Phys. Lett. B* **519**, 238 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0108005].
- [30] S. Antusch, J. Kersten, M. Lindner and M. Ratz, *Nucl. Phys. B* **674**, 401 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0305273].
- [31] S. Antusch, M. Drees, J. Kersten, M. Lindner and M. Ratz, *Phys. Lett. B* **525**, 130 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0110366].
- [32] T. Fukuyama and N. Okada, *JHEP* **0211**, 011 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0205066].
- [33] M. Tanimoto, *Phys. Lett. B* **360**, 41 (1995) [arXiv:hep-ph/9508247].

- [34] N. Haba, N. Okamura and M. Sugiura, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **103**, 367 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9810471].
- [35] K. R. S. Balaji, A. S. Dighe, R. N. Mohapatra and M. K. Parida, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 5034 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/0001310]; K. R. S. Balaji, A. S. Dighe, R. N. Mohapatra and M. K. Parida, *Phys. Lett. B* **481**, 33 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/0002177].
- [36] K. R. S. Balaji, R. N. Mohapatra, M. K. Parida and E. A. Paschos, *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 113002 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0011263].
- [37] R. N. Mohapatra, M. K. Parida and G. Rajasekaran, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 053007 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0301234].
- [38] S. K. Agarwalla, M. K. Parida, R. N. Mohapatra and G. Rajasekaran, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 033007 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0611225].
- [39] F. Vissani, arXiv:hep-ph/9708483.
- [40] G. C. Branco, M. N. Rebelo and J. I. Silva-Marcos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 683 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9810328].
- [41] J. A. Casas, J. R. Espinosa, A. Ibarra and I. Navarro, *Nucl. Phys. B* **556**, 3 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9904395].
- [42] J. A. Casas, J. R. Espinosa, A. Ibarra and I. Navarro, *Nucl. Phys. B* **569**, 82 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9905381].
- [43] N. Haba, Y. Matsui, N. Okamura and M. Sugiura, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **103**, 145 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9908429].
- [44] R. Adhikari, E. Ma and G. Rajasekaran, *Phys. Lett. B* **486**, 134 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/0004197].
- [45] A. S. Joshipura, S. D. Rindani and N. N. Singh, *Nucl. Phys. B* **660**, 362 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0211378].
- [46] A. S. Joshipura and S. Mohanty, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 091302 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0302181].
- [47] Z. Z. W. Xing and H. Zhang, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **48**, 525 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0601106].
- [48] A. S. Joshipura, *Phys. Lett. B* **543**, 276 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0205038].
- [49] A. S. Joshipura and S. D. Rindani, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 073009 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0211404].
- [50] J. W. Mei and Z. Z. Xing, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 053002 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0404081].
- [51] A. Dighe, S. Goswami and S. Ray, arXiv:0810.5680 [hep-ph].
- [52] F. Plentinger and W. Rodejohann, *Phys. Lett. B* **625**, 264 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0507143].

- [53] A. Dighe, S. Goswami and W. Rodejohann, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 073023 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0612328].
- [54] A. Dighe, S. Goswami and P. Roy, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 096005 (2007) [arXiv:0704.3735 [hep-ph]].
- [55] M. Hirsch, E. Ma, J. C. Romao, J. W. F. Valle and A. Villanova del Moral, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 053006 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0606082].
- [56] A. Dighe, S. Goswami and P. Roy, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 071301 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0602062].
- [57] M. A. Schmidt and A. Y. Smirnov, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 113003 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0607232].
- [58] S. F. King and N. N. Singh, *Nucl. Phys. B* **591**, 3 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/0006229].
- [59] S. Antusch, J. Kersten, M. Lindner and M. Ratz, *Phys. Lett. B* **544**, 1 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0206078].
- [60] R. N. Mohapatra, M. K. Parida and G. Rajasekaran, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 057301 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0501275].
- [61] S. Antusch, J. Kersten, M. Lindner, M. Ratz and M. A. Schmidt, *JHEP* **0503**, 024 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0501272].
- [62] J. W. Mei, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 073012 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0502015].
- [63] M. A. Schmidt, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 073010 (2007) [arXiv:0705.3841 [hep-ph]].
- [64] W. Chao and H. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 033003 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0611323].
- [65] I. Gogoladze, N. Okada and Q. Shafi, *Phys. Lett. B* **668**, 121 (2008) [arXiv:0805.2129 [hep-ph]].
- [66] J. Kersten, diploma thesis, TU, Muenchen (2001).
- [67] B. Pontecorvo, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **7**, 172 (1958) [*Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **34**, 247 (1957)]; B. Pontecorvo, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **26**, 984 (1968) [*Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **53**, 1717 (1967)]; V. N. Gribov and B. Pontecorvo, *Phys. Lett. B* **28**, 493 (1969).
- [68] Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa and S. Sakata, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **28**, 870 (1962).
- [69] V. D. Barger, S. Pakvasa, T. J. Weiler and K. Whisnant, *Phys. Lett. B* **437**, 107 (1998); A. J. Baltz, A. S. Goldhaber and M. Goldhaber, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 5730 (1998); M. Jezabek and Y. Sumino, *Phys. Lett. B* **440**, 327 (1998); G. Altarelli and F. Feruglio, *Phys. Lett. B* **439**, 112 (1998).
- [70] T. Miura, T. Shindou and E. Takasugi, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 093009 (2003).
- [71] T. Shindou and E. Takasugi, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 013005 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0402106].
- [72] J. Wolf [KATRIN Collaboration], arXiv:0810.3281 [physics.ins-det].
- [73] A. Denner, H. Eck, O. Hahn and J. Kublbeck, *Nucl. Phys. B* **387**, 467 (1992).