

Four- and eight-fermion interactions in a space-time with non-trivial topology

Tomohiro Inagaki

Information Media Center, Hiroshima University,
1-7-1, Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima, 739-8521, JAPAN

November 5, 2018

Abstract

The phase structure of an eight-fermion interaction model is investigated in a compact space-time with non-trivial topology, $M^{D-1} \otimes S^1$. The phase boundary dividing the symmetric and the broken phase is shown as a function of the space-time dimensions. It is found that the eight-fermion interaction does not modify the phase boundary for the chiral symmetry breaking.

1 Introduction

It is expected that a higher symmetry may be realized at the early universe. Some of the symmetry is spontaneously broken to yield a theory with a lower level symmetry in the current universe. The mechanism of the symmetry breaking may be tested in critical phenomena in the early universe. One of the possible mechanisms to induce the spontaneous symmetry breaking is found in the dynamics of quarks and gluons. In QCD the expectation value of the composite operator constructed by a quark and an anti-quark field develops a non-vanishing value and the chiral symmetry is eventually broken [1].

Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio introduced a four-fermion interaction model as a prototype model to describe the dynamical mechanism of the symmetry breaking. The model is regarded as a low energy effective theory of a fundamental theory at high energy and extended to include another type interactions, higher dimensional operators and so on [2, 3, 4]. Much work has been done to study the phase structure of the models under extreme situation at the early universe, inside dense stars, or heavy ion collisions.

If the fundamental theory is described by the superstring theory, it is defined in ten space-time dimensions. Thus six space-time dimensions should be compactified to realize four space-time dimensions at low energy. The compactified space-time may have a non-trivial topology. The finite size and the topological effects from the compactified space-time may play an important role

in the symmetry breaking at very early universe. It is also expected that the critical phenomena for the symmetry breaking may explain how to stabilize the compactification scale.

The finite size and the topological effects are investigated in the four-fermion interaction model with one compactified dimension, S^1 , [5, 6, 7] the torus universe [8, 9, 10, 11, 12], and D-dimensional sphere, S^D , [13, 14]. In the case of the anti-periodic boundary condition the finite size effect raises the ground state energy and the broken symmetry is restored for a sufficiently small compact direction. The finite temperature effect is classified as the similar type effect. The fermion fields which possess the periodic boundary condition have an opposite effect. The symmetry breaking is enhanced by the finite size effect.

In the present paper we consider a model with a four- and an eight-fermion interaction and study a contribution from the higher dimensional operator to the finite size and the topological effects on the symmetry breaking. In Sec. 2 we introduce the model with scalar type four- and eight-fermion interactions in Minkowski space-time, M^D , and evaluate the effective potential. In Sec. 3 we consider the model in $S^1 \otimes M^{D-1}$ and discuss the influence of the finite-size and the non-trivial topology to the symmetry breaking. Solving the gap equations, we calculate the critical size of the compactified dimension. The critical temperature is also mentioned. In Sec. 4 we give concluding remarks.

2 Eight-fermion interaction model in Minkowski space-time, M^D

In this section we introduce the model with scalar type four- and eight-fermion interactions and study the features of the model in arbitrary space-time dimensions $2 < D < 4$. We start with the simple model with N components of fermions described by the Lagrangian [4],

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{\psi}_i i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_i + \frac{G_1}{N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \bar{\psi}_i \psi_i \right)^2 + \frac{G_2}{N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \bar{\psi}_i \psi_i \right)^4, \quad (1)$$

where the index i represents flavor of the fermion field ψ , N is the number of the flavors, G_1 and G_2 the coupling constants for the four- and the eight-fermion interactions, respectively.

The Lagrangian (1) has a global $SU(N)$ flavor symmetry under the transformation,

$$\psi \rightarrow e^{i \sum_a g_a T^a} \psi, \quad (2)$$

where T^a is the generators of the $SU(N)$ symmetry. The Lagrangian (1) is also invariant under a discrete Z_2 chiral transformation,

$$\psi \rightarrow \gamma_5 \psi. \quad (3)$$

This discrete chiral symmetry prevents the Lagrangian from having mass terms. If the composite operator $\bar{\psi}\psi$ develops a non-vanishing value, fermion mass terms are generated and the discrete chiral symmetry is dynamically broken.

In practical calculations it is more convenient to introduce auxiliary fields, σ_1 , σ_2 , and evaluate the equivalent Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{aux} = \bar{\psi} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - \sigma) \psi - \frac{N\sigma_1^2}{4G_1} - \frac{N\sigma_2^2}{4G_2}, \quad (4)$$

where σ is defined by

$$\sigma \equiv \sigma_1 \sqrt{1 + \left| \frac{N\sigma_2}{G_1} \right|}. \quad (5)$$

It is easily found that the original Lagrangian (1) can be reproduced by replacing σ_1 and σ_2 by the solutions of the equations of motion,

$$\sigma_1 = -\frac{2G_1}{N} \sqrt{1 + \left| \frac{N\sigma_2}{G_1} \right|} \bar{\psi} \psi, \quad |\sigma_2| = \text{sgn}(G_1) \frac{2G_2}{N} (\bar{\psi} \psi)^2. \quad (6)$$

To find the ground state of the model we observe the minimum of the effective potential for the auxiliary fields σ_1 and σ_2 . In the leading order of the $1/N$ expansion the effective potential is given by

$$V_0(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{4G_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{4G_2} + i \text{tr} \ln \frac{i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - \sigma}{i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu}, \quad (7)$$

where we normalize the effective potential so that $V(0, 0) = 0$.

In the D -dimensional Minkowski space-time, M^D , we rewrite the second term on the right hand side of Eq.(7) by using the Schwinger proper time method and obtain

$$V_0 = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{4G_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{4G_2} - i \text{tr} \int_0^\sigma ds \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k^2 - s^2}. \quad (8)$$

We can perform the integration and get

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= \frac{\sigma_1^2}{4G_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{4G_2} + \frac{\text{tr}1}{2(4\pi)^{D/2}} \Gamma\left(-\frac{D}{2}\right) (\sigma^2)^{D/2} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_1^2}{4G_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{4G_2} + \frac{\text{tr}1}{2(4\pi)^{D/2}} \Gamma\left(-\frac{D}{2}\right) (\sigma_1^2)^{D/2} \left(1 + \left| \frac{N\sigma_2}{G_1} \right| \right)^{D/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Since the first and the third terms on the right hand side of Eq.(9) disappear for $\sigma_1 = 0$, we should set a positive value for the coupling constant G_2 to obtain a stable ground state. At the limit $\sigma_2 \rightarrow 0$ the effective potential (9) coincides with the one for the four-fermion interaction model. If the space-time dimensions are more than four, the main contribution comes from the third term on the right hand side of Eq.(9) for a large σ_1 and σ_2 . Thus the effective potential can not be bounded below and there is no stable ground state. For $6 < D < 8$ a stable ground state can be realized.

To find the minimum of the effective potential, V_0 , we differentiate the effective potential in terms of σ_1 and σ_2 and get

$$\frac{\partial V_0}{\partial \sigma_1} = \sigma_1 \left[\frac{1}{2G_1} - \frac{\text{tr}1}{(4\pi)^{D/2}} \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{D}{2}\right) (\sigma_1^2)^{D/2-1} \left(1 + \left| \frac{N\sigma_2}{G_1} \right| \right)^{D/2} \right], \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\partial V_0}{\partial \sigma_2} = \frac{\sigma_2}{2G_2} - \frac{\text{sgn}(\sigma_2) \text{tr}1}{2(4\pi)^{D/2}} \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{D}{2}\right) \frac{N}{|G_1|} (\sigma_1^2)^{D/2} \left(1 + \left|\frac{N\sigma_2}{G_1}\right|\right)^{D/2-1}. \quad (11)$$

For $2 < D < 4$ and $6 < D < 8$ the right hand side of Eq.(11) has a negative value for $\sigma_2 < 0$ and a positive value for $\sigma_2 > 0$. It implies that the effective potential monotonically decreases for $\sigma_2 < 0$ and increases for $\sigma_2 > 0$. Therefore the minimum of the effective potential is found at $\sigma_2 = 0$ and the expectation value for σ_1 is equal to that for the four-fermion interaction model,

$$\langle \sigma_1 \rangle = \pm \left[\frac{1}{2G_1} \frac{(4\pi)^{D/2}}{\text{tr}1 \Gamma(1 - D/2)} \right]^{1/(D-2)}. \quad (12)$$

We normalize the mass scale by an arbitrary renormalization scale μ and numerically evaluate the effective potential (9). We observe only the symmetric phase for a positive G_1 . The discrete chiral symmetry is broken for $G_1 < 0$. In Figs.1 and 2 a typical behavior of the effective potential, $V_0(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, is drawn for fixed space-time dimension D less than four. As is shown in Fig.1, the state $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0$ is unstable for a negative four-fermion coupling G_1 . Thus the composite operator, $\psi\psi$ develops a non-vanishing value and the discrete chiral symmetry is dynamically broken. This result exactly reproduce the one obtained in Ref.[15]. In Fig.2 it is shown that the eight-fermion interaction enhances the symmetry breaking. We also observe that the expectation value for the auxiliary field, σ_2 , is vanishing. It is consistent with the behavior of Eq.(11) discussed above.




Figure 1: Behavior of the effective potential (9) at $\sigma_2 = 0$ for $N = 3$, $G_2 = 0.5\mu^{4-3D}$, $D = 2.5$.




Figure 2: Behavior of the effective potential (9) at $\sigma_1 = 0$ for $N = 3$, $G_1 = -0.5\mu^{2-D}$, $D = 2.5$.

3 Eight-fermion interaction model in $M^{D-1} \otimes S^1$

If the space-time has a compact direction, the effective potential is modified by finite size and topological effect. Here we focus on the finite size and topological effect and consider a simple flat space-time with non-trivial topology. We assume that one of the space direction is one dimensional sphere, S^1 . In $M^{D-1} \otimes S^1$ the effective potential is given by

$$V(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{4G_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{4G_2} - i \text{tr} \int_0^\sigma s ds \frac{1}{L} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^{D-1}k}{(2\pi)^{D-1}} \frac{1}{k^2 - s^2}, \quad (13)$$

where L is the size of the S^1 direction, k_1 is replaced as

$$k_1 \rightarrow \frac{(2n + \delta_{p,1})\pi}{L}, \quad (14)$$

and $\delta_{p,1}$ is fixed by the boundary condition, i.e. $\delta_{p,1} = 0$ for the fermion fields with the periodic boundary condition and $\delta_{p,1} = 1$ for the fermion fields with the anti-periodic boundary condition [5, 7].

Performing the integration in Eq.(13), the effective potential reads

$$\begin{aligned} V(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) &= \frac{\sigma_1^2}{4G_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{4G_2} + \frac{\text{tr}1}{2(4\pi)^{(D-1)/2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-D}{2}\right) \\ &\times \frac{1}{L} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\left(\frac{(2n + \delta_{p,1})\pi}{L} \right)^2 + \sigma^2 \right]^{(D-1)/2} - V(0, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

This expression is convenient for analytically evaluating the behavior of the effective potential. Only the second term on the right hand side of Eq.(15), remains for $\sigma_1 = 0$, we again set a positive value for the coupling constant G_2 to obtain a stable ground state.

We can also perform the summation in Eq.(13) and get

$$\begin{aligned} V(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) &= V_0(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) - \frac{\text{tr}1}{(4\pi)^{(D-1)/2}} \frac{2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{D-1}{2}\right)} \\ &\times \frac{1}{L} \int_0^\infty k^{D-2} dk \ln \frac{1 - (-1)^{\delta_{p,1}} \exp(-L\sqrt{k^2 + \sigma^2})}{1 - (-1)^{\delta_{p,1}} \exp(-L|k|)}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

These expressions is suitable for the numerical analysis of the effective potential.

To find the phase structure of the model we want to find the ground state of the model in $S^1 \otimes M^D$. The ground state is found by observing the minimum of the effective potential. The potential is not always analytic at $\sigma_2 = 0$. Hence, the minimum satisfies the equations,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \sigma_1} &= \frac{\sigma_1}{2G_1} - \frac{\text{tr}1}{(4\pi)^{(D-1)/2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3-D}{2}\right) \sigma_1 \left(1 + \left| \frac{N\sigma_2}{G_1} \right| \right) \\ &\times \frac{1}{L} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\left(\frac{(2n + \delta_{p,1})\pi}{L} \right)^2 + \sigma^2 \right]^{(D-3)/2} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \sigma_2} &= \frac{\sigma_2}{2G_2} - \frac{\text{sgn}(\sigma_2) \text{tr}1}{2(4\pi)^{(D-1)/2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3-D}{2}\right) \frac{N\sigma_1^2}{|G_1|} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{L} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\left(\frac{(2n + \delta_{p,1})\pi}{L} \right)^2 + \sigma^2 \right]^{(D-3)/2} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

or $\sigma_2 = 0$. In the latter case, $\sigma_2 = 0$, the effective potential coincides with the one for the four-fermion interaction model and the minimum of the effective potential is found by solving Eq.(17) at $\sigma_2 = 0$.

Non-vanishing expectation values for σ_1 and σ_2 are given by the solution of the gap equations,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2G_1} &= \frac{\text{tr}1}{(4\pi)^{(D-1)/2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3-D}{2}\right) \left(1 + \left| \frac{N\langle\sigma_2\rangle}{G_1} \right| \right) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{L} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\left(\frac{(2n + \delta_{p,1})\pi}{L} \right)^2 + \langle\sigma\rangle^2 \right]^{(D-3)/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2G_2} &= \frac{\text{tr}1}{2(4\pi)^{(D-1)/2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3-D}{2}\right) \frac{N\langle\sigma_1\rangle^2}{|G_1\langle\sigma_2\rangle|} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{L} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\left(\frac{(2n + \delta_{p,1})\pi}{L} \right)^2 + \langle\sigma\rangle^2 \right]^{(D-3)/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Eliminating the summation from these gap equations, we obtain a relationship between $\langle\sigma_1\rangle$ and $\langle\sigma_2\rangle$ [4],

$$\frac{N}{2G_1|G_1|} \langle\sigma_1\rangle^2 = \frac{|\langle\sigma_2\rangle|}{G_2} \left(1 + N \left| \frac{\langle\sigma_2\rangle}{G_1} \right| \right). \quad (21)$$

If we set a negative value to G_1 , Eq.(21) has no solution and the minimum is given by Eq.(20) at $\sigma_2 = 0$. We numerically show the effective potential at $\sigma_2 = 0$ as a function of σ_1 in Figs.3 and 4 for fermion fields with the periodic and the anti-periodic boundary condition, respectively. As is shown in Fig.3, the symmetry breaking is enhanced by the finite size effect and only the broken phase can be realized for the fermion fields with the periodic boundary condition. In Fig.4 it is clearly seen that the finite size effect restores the broken symmetry through the second order phase transition for the fermion fields with the anti-periodic boundary condition. These results exactly reproduce those for the four-fermion interaction model.

We also numerically evaluate the effective potential for a positive G_1 . In this case we observe only the symmetric phase for the fermion fields with the anti-periodic boundary condition. On the other hand, we find the broken phase for the fermion fields with the periodic boundary condition. The chiral symmetry




Figure 3: Behavior of the effective potential (16) for fermion fields with the periodic boundary condition, $\delta_{p,1} = 0$, at $\sigma_2 = 0$ for $N = 3$, $G_1 = -0.5\mu^{2-D}$, $G_2 = 0.5\mu^{4-3D}$, $D = 2.5$.




Figure 4: Behavior of the effective potential (16) for fermion fields with the anti-periodic boundary condition, $\delta_{p,1} = 1$, at $\sigma_2 = 0$ for $N = 3$, $G_1 = -0.5\mu^{2-D}$, $G_2 = 0.5\mu^{4-3D}$, $D = 2.5$.




Figure 5: Behavior of the effective potential (16) for fermion fields with the periodic boundary condition, $\delta_{p,1} = 0$, at $\sigma_2 = 0$, $N = 3$, $G_1 = 0.5\mu^{2-D}$, $G_2 = 0.5\mu^{4-3D}$, $D = 2.5$.




Figure 6: Behavior of the effective potential (16) for fermion fields with the periodic boundary condition, $\delta_{p,1} = 0$, under the relationship (21) at $N = 3$, $G_1 = 0.5\mu^{2-D}$, $G_2 = 0.5\mu^{4-3D}$, $D = 2.5$.

is dynamically broken for a sufficiently small L through the second order phase transition. In Fig.5 we draw the effective potential at $\sigma_2 = 0$. We also draw the effective potential under the relationship (21) in Fig.6. Comparing Fig.5 with 6, we find that the absolute minimum of the effective potential satisfies the relationship (21). It implies that the eight-fermion interaction enhances the symmetry breaking.

Since the phase transition is of the second order, the critical length, L_{cr} , is obtained by taking the limit $\langle\sigma_1\rangle \rightarrow 0$ and $\langle\sigma_2\rangle \rightarrow 0$ in Eqs. (19) and (20). We can perform the summation in these equations at the limit and get

$$L_{cr} = 2\pi \left[\frac{2\text{tr}1G_1}{\pi(4\pi)^{(D-1)/2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3-D}{2}\right) \zeta(3-D) \right]^{1/(D-2)}, \quad (22)$$

for fermion fields with the periodic boundary condition and

$$L_{cr} = 2\pi \left[\frac{2\text{tr}1G_1}{\pi(4\pi)^{(D-1)/2}} (2^{3-D} - 1) \Gamma\left(\frac{3-D}{2}\right) \zeta(3-D) \right]^{1/(D-2)}, \quad (23)$$

for fermion fields with the anti-periodic boundary condition. Since the critical length, L_{cr} , depends only on the four-fermion coupling G_1 and the space-time dimensions D , the eight-fermion coupling has no contribution to the phase boundary. The critical length coincides with the four-fermion interaction model [7]. The model for fermion fields with the anti-periodic boundary condition in $S^1 \otimes M^{D-1}$ is equivalent to the model at finite temperature, $T = 1/L$. Thus the critical temperature of our model is given by the inverse of Eq.(23).





Figure 7: Phase diagram for fermion fields with the periodic boundary condition at $G_1 = 0.5\mu^{2-D}$

Figure 8: Phase diagram for fermion fields with the anti-periodic boundary condition at $G_1 = -0.5\mu^{2-D}$

We plot the critical length of the compactified dimension for a (22) and (23), as a function of the space-time dimensions in Figs.7 and 8, respectively. Blow

three dimensions Eq.(23) has no real solution for a positive G_1 . Thus the critical length is divergent at the limit, $D \rightarrow 3$, in Fig.7. Because of the topological effect from the fermion fields with the periodic boundary condition only the broken phase can be realized for $2 < D < 3$. To find a finite critical length in Fig.8 at the limit $D \rightarrow 2$ we have to renormalize the four-fermion coupling, G_1 . The renormalization of the coupling is discussed in Ref.[15].

4 Conclusion

We have investigated the model with the scalar type four- and eight fermion interactions in Minkowski space-time, M^D , and a space-time with non-trivial topology, $S^1 \otimes R^{D-1}$. Evaluating the effective potential, we have shown the finite size and topological effects on the phase structure of the chiral symmetry breaking.

In D-dimensional Minkowski space-time, $M^D(2 < D < 4)$, the minimum of the effective potential is equivalent to the one for the four-fermion interaction model. The discrete chiral symmetry is dynamically broken for a negative four-fermion coupling, G_1 . For $2 < D < 4$ the four-fermion interaction is marginal but the eight-fermion interaction is irrelevant. Since the irrelevant operator has only a small contribution at low energy, the phase structure for the model coincides with the one for the four-fermion interaction model in M^D .

In $S^1 \otimes R^{D-1}$ the opposite finite size effects are observed between the fermion fields with the periodic and the anti-periodic boundary conditions. Under the periodic boundary condition the symmetry breaking is enhanced and only the broken phase can be realize for a negative G_1 . It is found that the discrete chiral symmetry is dynamically broken through the second order phase transition by the finite size effect for a positive G_1 . In this case it is observed that the eight-fermion interaction also enhances the symmetry breaking. In the case of the anti-periodic boundary condition the symmetry breaking is suppressed. Only the symmetric phase can be realized for a positive G_1 . For a negative G_1 the broken symmetry is restored as the length of the compactified space decreases. The phase transition is of the second order.

It should be noted the regularization dependence of the result. We can regard the model in D dimensions as the regularized model of the low energy effective theory in four-dimensions and compare the result with the one with cut-off regularization [4]. Since the four- and eight- fermion interactions are non-renormalizable in four dimensions, some of physical behaviors depend on the regularization procedure. The result obtained in the present paper may have difference from the one obtained in the other regularization procedure. In the cut-off regularized model, the eight-fermion interaction has non-negligible effect on the phase structure even in M^4 .

One is interested in applying the result to the model with extra dimensions. If the space-time dimensions are no less than four, both the four- and eight-fermion interactions are irrelevant. It is expected that the eight-fermion interaction has non-negligible contributions. It would be interesting also to study

such effects in supersymmetric models in curved space-time [16]. One will consider the problem further and hope to publish reports in these directions.

Acknowledgements

The author is supported by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), No. 18540276, 2008.

References

- [1] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. **124** (1961) 246.
- [2] G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. **D14** (1976) 3432; **D18** (1978) 2199 (E); Phys. Rep. **142** (1986) 357.
- [3] R. Alkofer and I. Zahed, Phys. Lett. B **238** (1990) 149.
- [4] M. Hayashi, T. Inagaki and H. Takata, arXiv:0812.0900 (hep-ph).
- [5] E. Elizalde, S. Leseduarte and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. **D49** (1994) 5551.
- [6] A. S. Vshivtsev, K. G. Klimenko and B. V. Magnitsky, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **59** (1996) 529 [Yad. Fiz. **59** (1996) 557].
- [7] T. Inagaki, T. Muta and S. D. Odintsov, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **127** (1997) 93.
- [8] S. K. Kim, W. Namgung, K. S. Soh and J. H. Yee, Phys. Rev. D **36** (1987) 3172.
- [9] D. Y. Song and J. K. Kim, Phys. Rev. D **41** (1990) 3165.
- [10] D. K. Kim, Y. D. Han and I. G. Koh, Phys. Rev. D **49** (1994) 6943.
- [11] K. Ishikawa, T. Inagaki, K. Yamamoto and K. Fukazawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **99**, (1998) 237.
- [12] L. M. Abreu, M. Gomes and A. J. da Silva, Phys. Lett. B **642** (2006) 551.
- [13] T. Inagaki, S. Mukaigawa, T. Muta, Phys. Rev. **D52** (1995) 4267.
- [14] K. Ishikawa, T. Inagaki, T. Muta, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A11** (1996) 939.
- [15] T. Inagaki, T. Kouno and T. Muta, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A10** (1995) 2241.
- [16] I. L. Buchbinder, T. Inagaki and S. D. Odintsov, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A12** (1997) 2271.