

# $B \rightarrow f_0(980)(\pi, \eta^{(\prime)})$ Decays in the PQCD Approach

Zhang Zhi-Qing and Xiao Zhen-Jun \*

*Department of Physics and Institute of Theoretical Physics,  
Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210097, P.R.China*

(Dated: November 6, 2018)

## Abstract

Based on the assumption of two-quark structure of the scalar meson  $f_0(980)$ , we calculate the branching ratios and CP-violating asymmetries for the four  $B \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi$  and  $B \rightarrow f_0(980)\eta^{(\prime)}$  decays by employing the perturbative QCD (pQCD) factorization approach. The leading order pQCD predictions for branching ratios are,  $Br(B^- \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^-) \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ ,  $Br(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^0) \sim 26 \times 10^{-7}$ ,  $Br(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\eta) \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-7}$  and  $Br(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\eta') \sim 6.7 \times 10^{-7}$ , which are consistent with both the QCD factorization predictions and the experimental upper limits.

PACS numbers: 13.25.Hw, 12.38.Bx, 14.40.Nd

arXiv:0812.2314v1 [hep-ph] 12 Dec 2008

---

\* Electronic address: xiaozhenjun@njnu.edu.cn

Very recently, some  $B \rightarrow SP$  decays have been studied, for example, by employing the QCD factorization (QCDF) approach or the perturbative QCD (PQCD) approach [1, 2, 3]. In B factory, the first scalar meson  $f_0(980)$  observed in the decay mode  $B \rightarrow f_0(980)K$  by Belle [4], and confirmed by BaBar [5] later, then many  $B \rightarrow SP$  channels have been measured [6, 7].

In this paper, we will calculate the branching ratios and CP asymmetries of  $B^- \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^-, \bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^0$  and  $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\eta^{(\prime)}$  decays in the pQCD approach at leading order. This paper is organized as follows: In Sect. 1, we give a brief discussion about the physical properties of  $f_0(980)$ , and will calculate the decay amplitudes for the considered decays. Sect.2 contains the numerical results and discussions.

## 1. Decay amplitudes of $B \rightarrow f_0(980)(\pi, \eta^{(\prime)})$ decays

At present we still do not have a clear understanding about the inner structure of the scalar mesons. There are many interpretations for the scalar mesons, such as  $qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$  four-quark state[8] or  $q\bar{q}$  state[9], the possibilities of  $K\bar{K}$  molecular state[10], and even the admixture with glueball states.

In the four-quark model, the flavor wave function of  $f_0(980)$  is symbolically given by[8]  $f_0 = s\bar{s}(u\bar{u} + d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}$ , which is supported by a lattice calculation. This scenario can explain some experiment phenomena, such as the mass degeneracy of  $f_0(980)$  and  $a_0(980)$ , the large coupling of  $f_0(980)$  and  $a_0(980)$  to  $K\bar{K}$ . But we may wonder if the energetic  $f_0(980)$  produced in B decays is dominated by the four-quark configuration as it requires to pick up two energetic quark-anti quark pairs to form a fast-moving light four-quark scalar meson[11].

In the naive 2-quark model,  $f_0(980)$  is purely an  $s\bar{s}$  state and this is supported by the data of  $D_s^+ \rightarrow f_0\pi^+$  and  $\phi \rightarrow f_0\gamma$ . However, there also exist some experiment evidences, such as  $\Gamma(J/\psi \rightarrow f_0\omega) \approx \frac{1}{2}\Gamma(J/\psi \rightarrow f_0\phi)$ ,  $f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi\pi$  is not OZI suppressed relative to  $a_0(980) \rightarrow \pi\eta$ , indicating that  $f_0(980)$  is not purely an  $s\bar{s}$  state, but a mixture of  $s\bar{s}$  and  $n\bar{n} \equiv (u\bar{u} + d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}$ :

$$|f_0(980)\rangle = |s\bar{s}\rangle \cos\theta + |n\bar{n}\rangle \sin\theta, \quad (1)$$

where  $\theta$  is the mixing angle. According to Ref.[12],  $\theta$  lies in the ranges of  $25^\circ < \theta < 40^\circ$  or  $140^\circ < \theta < 165^\circ$ . Because of our poor knowledge about the non-perturbative dynamics of QCD, we still can not distinguish between the four-quark and two-quark model assignment at present. Some authors, on the other hand, have shown that the scalar mesons with masses above 1 GeV can be identified as conventional  $q\bar{q}$  states with the large possibility[13, 14], this conclusion was obtained by calculating the masses and the decay constants of these scalar mesons composed of quark-antiquark pairs based on QCD sum rule. we here work in the two-quark model and identifying  $f_0(980)$  as the mixture of  $s\bar{s}$  and  $n\bar{n}$ , in order to give quantitative predictions.

In the two-quark model, the decay constants for scalar meson  $f_0(980)$  are defined by:

$$\langle f_0(p) | \bar{q}_2 \gamma_\mu q_1 | 0 \rangle = 0, \quad \langle f_0(p) | \bar{q}_2 q_1 | 0 \rangle = m_S \bar{f}_S. \quad (2)$$

and

$$\langle f_0^n | \bar{d}d | 0 \rangle = \langle f_0^n | \bar{u}u | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} m_{f_0} \tilde{f}_{f_0}^n, \quad \langle f_0^s | \bar{s}s | 0 \rangle = m_{f_0} \tilde{f}_{f_0}^s, \quad (3)$$

where  $f_0^n$  and  $f_0^s$  represent the quark flavor states of  $f_0(980)$ . Using the QCD sum rules method, one can find the scale-dependent scalar decay constants  $f_{f_0}^n$  and  $f_{f_0}^s$  are very close[1, 11]. So one usually assumes  $\tilde{f}_{f_0}^n = \tilde{f}_{f_0}^s$  and denotes them as  $\bar{f}_{f_0}$  in the following.

The twist-2 and twist-3 light-cone distribution amplitudes (LCDAs) for different components of scalar meson  $f_0(980)$  are defined by:

$$\langle f_0(p) | \bar{q}(z) \not{q}(0) | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N_c}} \int_0^1 dx e^{ixp \cdot z} \cdot \{ \not{p} \Phi_{f_0}(x) + m_{f_0} \Phi_{f_0}^S(x) + m_{f_0} (\not{n}_+ \not{n}_- - 1) \Phi_{f_0}^T(x) \}_{jl}. \quad (4)$$

Here we assume that  $f_0^n(p)$  and  $f_0^s(p)$  have the same form and denoted as  $f_0(p)$ , and  $n_+ = (1, 0, 0_T)$  and  $n_- = (0, 1, 0_T)$  are the light-like vectors.

The twist-2 LCDA  $\Phi_f(x, \mu)$  can be expanded as the Gegenbauer polynomials:

$$\Phi_f(x, \mu) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2N_c}} \bar{f}_f(\mu) 6x(1-x) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m(\mu) C_m^{3/2}(2x-1), \quad (5)$$

where the values for Gegenbauer moments are taken at scale  $\mu = 1\text{GeV}$ :  $B_1 = -0.78 \pm 0.08$ ,  $B_2 = 0$  and  $B_3 = 0.02 \pm 0.07$ .

As for the twist-3 distribution amplitudes  $\Phi_f^S$  and  $\Phi_f^T$ , we adopt the asymptotic form:

$$\Phi_f^S = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2N_c}} \bar{f}_f, \quad \Phi_f^T = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2N_c}} \bar{f}_f(1-2x). \quad (6)$$

The B meson is treated as a heavy-light system. We here use the same B meson wave function as in Ref. [15, 16]. For the  $\eta - \eta'$  system, we use the quark-flavor basis with  $\eta_q = (u\bar{u} + d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}$  and  $\eta_s = s\bar{s}$ , employ the same wave function, the identical distribution amplitudes  $\phi_{\eta_{q,s}}^{A,P,T}$ , and use the same values for other relevant input parameters, such as  $f_q = (1.07 \pm 0.02)f_\pi$ ,  $f_s = (1.34 \pm 0.06)f_\pi$ ,  $\phi = 39.3^\circ \pm 1.0^\circ$ , etc, as given in Ref. [17]. From those currently known studies[15, 16, 18] we believe that there is no large room left for the contribution due to the gluonic component of  $\eta^{(\prime)}$ , and therefore neglect the possible gluonic component in both  $\eta$  and  $\eta'$  meson.

The pQCD factorization approach has been used to study the  $B \rightarrow f_0(980)K$  decays [2, 3]. Following the same procedure of Ref. [3], we here would like to study  $B \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi$  and  $f_0(980)\eta^{(\prime)}$  decays by employing the pQCD approach at leading order.

Since the b quark is rather heavy we consider the B meson at rest for simplicity. By using the light-cone coordinates the B meson and the two final state meson's momenta can be written as

$$P_B = \frac{M_B}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 1, \mathbf{0}_T), \quad P_2 = \frac{M_B}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 0, \mathbf{0}_T), \quad P_3 = \frac{M_B}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 1, \mathbf{0}_T), \quad (7)$$

where the meson masses have been neglected. Putting the anti- quark momenta in B, P and S mesons as  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ , and  $k_3$ , respectively, we can choose

$$k_1 = (x_1 P_1^+, 0, \mathbf{k}_{1T}), \quad k_2 = (x_2 P_2^+, 0, \mathbf{k}_{2T}), \quad k_3 = (0, x_3 P_3^-, \mathbf{k}_{3T}). \quad (8)$$

In the pQCD approach, the decay amplitude  $\mathcal{A}(B \rightarrow P f_0)$  can be written conceptually as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(B \rightarrow P f_0) &\sim \int d^4 k_1 d^4 k_2 d^4 k_3 \text{Tr} [C(t) \Phi_B(k_1) \Phi_P(k_2) \Phi_{f_0}(k_3) H(k_1, k_2, k_3, t)], \\ &\sim \int dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 b_3 db_3 \\ &\quad \cdot \text{Tr} [C(t) \Phi_B(x_1, b_1) \Phi_P(x_2, b_2) \Phi_{f_0}(x_3, b_3) H(x_i, b_i, t) S_t(x_i) e^{-S(t)}], \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where the term ‘‘Tr’’ denotes the trace over Dirac and color indices.  $C(t)$  is the Wilson coefficient. The function  $H(x_i, b_i, t)$  is the hard part and can be calculated perturbatively, while  $b_i$  is the conjugate space coordinate of  $k_{iT}$ , and  $t$  is the largest energy scale in hard function. The function  $\Phi_M$  is the wave function which describes hadronization of the quark and anti-quark to the meson  $M$ . The threshold function  $S_t(x_i)$  smears the end-point singularities on  $x_i$ . The last term,  $e^{-S(t)}$ , is the Sudakov form factor which suppresses the soft dynamics effectively.

For our considered decays, the relevant weak effective Hamiltonian  $H_{eff}$  can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q=u,c} V_{qb} V_{qd}^* \left\{ [C_1(\mu) O_1^q(\mu) + C_2(\mu) O_2^q(\mu)] + \sum_{i=3}^{10} C_i(\mu) O_i(\mu) \right\}, \quad (10)$$

where the Fermi constant  $G_F = 1.16639 \times 10^{-5} GeV^{-2}$ ,  $V_{ij}$  is the Cabbibo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix elements,  $C_i(\mu)$  are Wilson coefficients at the renormalization scale  $\mu$  and  $O_i$  are the four-fermion operators for the case of  $b \rightarrow d$  transition.

In the pQCD approach, the typical Feynman diagrams contributing to the  $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^0$ ,  $B^- \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^-$  and  $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\eta^{(\prime)}$  decays at leading order are illustrated in Fig. 1. By analytical calculations of the relevant Feynman diagrams, one can find the total decay amplitudes for the considered decays:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(f_0 \pi^0) &= \frac{\xi_u}{\sqrt{2}} [(-M_{e\pi} + M_{a\pi} + M_{ef} + M_{af})C_2 + (F_{a\pi} + F_{ef} + F_{af})a_2] F_1(\theta) \\ &\quad + \frac{\xi_t}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \left[ F_{e\pi}^{P2} \left( a_6 - \frac{1}{2}a_8 \right) + M_{e\pi} \left( C_3 + 2C_4 - \frac{1}{2}C_9 + \frac{1}{2}C_{10} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + M_{e\pi}^{P2} \left( 2C_6 + \frac{1}{2}C_8 \right) + (M_{e\pi}^{P1} + M_{a\pi}^{P1} + M_{ef}^{P1} + M_{af}^{P1}) \left( C_5 - \frac{1}{2}C_7 \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + (M_{a\pi} + M_{ef} + M_{af}) \left( C_3 - \frac{3}{2}a_{10} \right) - (M_{a\pi}^{P2} + M_{ef}^{P2} + M_{af}^{P2}) \frac{3}{2}C_8 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - (F_{a\pi} + F_{ef} + F_{af}) \left( -a_4 - \frac{3}{2}a_7 + \frac{3}{2}a_9 + \frac{1}{2}a_{10} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + (F_{a\pi}^{P2} + F_{ef}^{P2} + F_{af}^{P2}) \left( a_6 - \frac{1}{2}a_8 \right) \right] F_1(\theta) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ M_{e\pi} \left( C_4 - \frac{1}{2}C_{10} \right) + M_{e\pi}^{P2} \left( C_6 - \frac{1}{2}C_8 \right) \right] F_2(\theta) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}(f_0 \pi^-) = & \xi_u [M_{e\pi} C_2 + (M_{a\pi} + M_{ef} + M_{af}) C_1 + (F_{a\pi} + F_{ef} + F_{af}) a_1] F_1(\theta) \\
& - \xi_t \left\{ \left[ F_{e\pi}^{P2} \left( a_6 - \frac{1}{2} a_8 \right) + M_{e\pi} \left( C_3 + 2C_4 - \frac{1}{2} C_9 + \frac{1}{2} C_{10} \right) \right. \right. \\
& + M_{e\pi}^{P1} \left( C_5 - \frac{1}{2} C_7 \right) + (M_{a\pi}^{P1} + M_{ef}^{P1} + M_{af}^{P1}) (C_5 + C_7) \\
& - (M_{a\pi} + M_{ef} + M_{af}) (C_3 + C_9) \\
& + (F_{a\pi} + F_{ef} + F_{af}) (a_4 + a_{10}) + (F_{a\pi}^{P2} + F_{ef}^{P2} + F_{af}^{P2}) \left( a_6 - \frac{1}{2} a_8 \right) \left. \right] F_1(\theta) \\
& + \left[ M_{e\pi} \left( C_4 - \frac{1}{2} C_{10} \right) + M_{e\pi}^{P2} \left( C_6 - \frac{1}{2} C_8 \right) \right] F_2(\theta) \left. \right\}, \tag{12}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}(f_0 \eta) = & \xi_u \{ [(M_{e\eta} + M_{a\eta} + M_{ef} + M_{af}) C_2 + (F_{a\eta} + F_{af}) a_2] + F_{ef} a_2 f_q \} F_1(\theta) F_1(\phi) \\
& - \xi_t \left\{ \left[ F_{e\eta}^{P2} \left( a_6 - \frac{1}{2} a_8 \right) \right. \right. \\
& + (M_{e\eta} + M_{a\eta} + M_{ef} + M_{af}) \left( C_3 + 2C_4 - \frac{1}{2} C_9 + \frac{1}{2} C_{10} \right) \\
& + (M_{e\eta}^{P1} + M_{a\eta}^{P1} + M_{ef}^{P1} + M_{af}^{P1}) \left( C_5 - \frac{1}{2} C_7 \right) \\
& + (M_{e\eta}^{P2} + M_{a\eta}^{P2} + M_{ef}^{P2} + M_{af}^{P2}) \left( 2C_6 + \frac{1}{2} C_8 \right) \\
& + (F_{a\eta} + F_{ef} f_q + F_{af}) \left( 2a_3 + a_4 - 2a_5 - \frac{1}{2} a_7 + \frac{1}{2} a_9 - \frac{1}{2} a_{10} \right) \\
& + (F_{a\eta}^{P2} + F_{ef}^{P2} + F_{af}^{P2}) \left( a_6 - \frac{1}{2} a_8 \right) \left. \right] F_1(\theta) F_1(\phi) \\
& + \left[ (F_{a\eta} + F_{ef} f_s + F_{af}) \left( a_3 - a_5 + \frac{1}{2} a_7 - \frac{1}{2} a_9 \right) \right. \\
& + (M_{e\eta} + M_{a\eta} + M_{ef} + M_{af}) \left( C_4 - \frac{1}{2} C_{10} \right) \\
& + (M_{e\eta}^{P2} M_{a\eta}^{P2} + M_{ef}^{P2} + M_{af}^{P2}) \left( C_6 - \frac{1}{2} C_8 \right) \left. \right] F_2(\theta) F_2(\phi) \left. \right\}, \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi_u = V_{ub}^* V_{ud}$ ,  $\xi_t = V_{tb}^* V_{td}$ ,  $F_1(\theta) = \sin \theta / \sqrt{2}$  and  $F_2(\theta) = \cos \theta$  are the mixing factors for  $f_0(980)$  meson, while  $F_1(\phi) = \cos \phi / \sqrt{2}$  and  $F_2(\phi) = -\sin \phi$  are the mixing factors for  $\eta - \eta'$  system. For  $B \rightarrow f_0(980)\eta'$  decay, the corresponding decay amplitude  $\mathcal{M}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0 \eta')$  can be obtained from  $\mathcal{M}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0 \eta)$  in Eq. (13) by replacements of  $F_1(\phi) \rightarrow F_1' = \sin \phi / \sqrt{2}$  and  $F_2(\phi) \rightarrow F_2' = \cos \phi$ .

The Wilson coefficients  $a_i$  in Eq. (11-13) are the combinations of the ordinary Wilson




FIG. 1: Typical Feynman diagrams contributing to the  $B \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi(\eta')$  decays at leading order .

coefficients  $C_i(\mu)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_1 &= C_2 + \frac{C_1}{3}, & a_2 &= C_1 + \frac{C_2}{3}, \\
 a_i &= C_i + \frac{C_{i+1}}{3}, & \text{for } i &= 3, 5, 7, 9, \\
 a_i &= C_i + \frac{C_{i-1}}{3}, & \text{for } i &= 4, 6, 8, 10.
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

The non-zero individual decay amplitudes in Eqs. (11-13), such as  $F_{e\pi}^{P2}, M_{e\pi}, M_{e\pi}^{P1}, M_{e\pi}^{P2}, \dots$ , are obtained by evaluating analytically the different Feynman

diagrams in Fig. 1. For  $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^0$  and  $B^- \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^-$  decays, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{e\pi}^{P2} = & -16\pi C_F m_B^4 r_f \bar{f}_f \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_3 db_3 \Phi_B(x_1, b_1) \\
& \cdot \left\{ [\Phi_\pi^A(x_3) + r_\pi x_3 (\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) - \Phi_\pi^T(x_3)) + 2r_\pi \Phi_\pi^P(x_3)] \right. \\
& \left. \cdot E_{ei}(t) h_e(x_1, x_3, b_1, b_3) + 2r_\pi \Phi_\pi^P(x_3) E_{ei}(t') h_e(x_3, x_1, b_3, b_1) \right\}, \quad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{e\pi} = & 32\pi C_F m_B^4 / \sqrt{2N_C} \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 \Phi_B(x_1, b_1) \Phi_f(x_2) \\
& \cdot \left\{ [(1-x_2)\Phi_\pi(x_3) - r_\pi x_3 (\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) - \Phi_\pi^T(x_3))] E'_{ei}(t) h_n(x_1, \bar{x}_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \right. \\
& \left. - [(x_2+x_3)\Phi_\pi(x_3) - r_\pi x_3 (\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) + \Phi_\pi^T(x_3))] E'_{ei}(t') h_n(x_i, b_1, b_2) \right\}, \quad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{e\pi}^{P1} = & \frac{32}{\sqrt{6}} \pi C_F m_B^4 r_f \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 \Phi_B(x_1, b_1) \\
& \cdot \left\{ E'_{ei}(t) h_n(x_1, \bar{x}_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \cdot [(x_2-1)\Phi_\pi^A(x_3) (\Phi_f^S(x_2) + \Phi_f^T(x_2)) \right. \\
& \quad + r_\pi (x_2-1) (\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) - \Phi_\pi^T(x_3)) (\Phi_f^S(x_2) + \Phi_f^T(x_2)) \\
& \quad - r_\pi x_3 (\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) + \Phi_\pi^T(x_3)) (\Phi_f^S(x_2) - \Phi_f^T(x_2))] \\
& \quad + E'_{ei}(t') h_n(x_i, b_1, b_2) \cdot [x_2 \Phi_\pi^A(x_3) (\Phi_f^S(x_2) - \Phi_f^T(x_2)) \\
& \quad + r_\pi x_2 (\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) - \Phi_\pi^T(x_3)) (\Phi_f^S(x_2) - \Phi_f^T(x_2)) \\
& \quad \left. + r_\pi x_3 (\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) + \Phi_\pi^T(x_3)) (\Phi_f^S(x_2) + \Phi_f^T(x_2))] \right\}, \quad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{e\pi}^{P2} = & -\frac{32}{\sqrt{6}} \pi C_F m_B^4 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 \Phi_B(x_1, b_1) \Phi_f(x_2) \\
& \cdot \left\{ [(x_2-x_3-1)\Phi_\pi^A(x_3) + r_\pi x_3 (\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) + \Phi_\pi^T(x_3))] E'_{ei}(t) h_n(x_1, \bar{x}_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \right. \\
& \left. + [x_2 \Phi_\pi^A(x_3) - r_\pi x_3 (\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) - \Phi_\pi^T(x_3))] E'_{ei}(t') h_n(x_i, b_1, b_2) \right\}, \quad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{a\pi} = & \frac{32}{\sqrt{6}}\pi C_F m_B^4 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 \Phi_B(x_1, b_1) \\
& \cdot \left\{ [-x_2 \Phi_\pi^A(x_3) \Phi_f(x_2) \right. \\
& + r_\pi r_f \Phi_f^T(x_2) ((x_2 + x_3 - 1) \Phi_\pi^P(x_3) + (-x_2 + x_3 + 1) \Phi_\pi^T(x_3)) \\
& + r_\pi r_f \Phi_f^S(x_2) ((x_2 - x_3 + 3) \Phi_\pi^P(x_3) - (x_2 + x_3 - 1) \Phi_\pi^T(x_3))] \\
& \cdot E'_{ai}(t) h_{na}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \\
& - E'_{ai}(t') h'_{na}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \cdot [(x_3 - 1) \Phi_\pi^A(x_3) \Phi_f(x_2) \\
& + r_\pi r_f \Phi_f^S(x_2) ((x_2 - x_3 + 1) \Phi_\pi^P(x_3) - (x_2 + x_3 - 1) \Phi_\pi^T(x_3)) \\
& \left. + r_\pi r_f \Phi_f^T(x_2) ((x_2 + x_3 - 1) \Phi_\pi^P(x_3) - (1 + x_2 - x_3) \Phi_\pi^T(x_2))] \right\}, \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{a\pi}^{P1} = & \frac{32}{\sqrt{6}}\pi C_F m_B^4 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 \Phi_B(x_1, b_1) \\
& \cdot \left\{ [r_\pi(1 + x_3) \Phi_f(x_2) (\Phi_\pi^T(x_3) - \Phi_\pi^P(x_3)) + r_f(x_2 - 2) \Phi_\pi(x_3) (\Phi_f^S(x_2) + \Phi_f^T(x_2))] \right. \\
& \cdot E'_{ai}(t) h_{na}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \\
& - [r_\pi(x_3 - 1) \Phi_f(x_2) (\Phi_\pi^T(x_3) - \Phi_\pi^P(x_3)) + r_f x_2 \Phi_\pi(x_3) (\Phi_f^S(x_2) + \Phi_f^T(x_2))] \\
& \left. \cdot E'_{ai}(t') h'_{na}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \right\}, \quad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{a\pi}^{P2} = & -\frac{32}{\sqrt{6}}\pi C_F m_B^4 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 \Phi_B(x_1, b_1) \\
& \cdot \left\{ [(x_3 - 1) \Phi_f(x_2) \Phi_\pi^A(x_3) + 4r_\pi r_f \Phi_f^S(x_2) \Phi_\pi^P(x_3) + r_\pi r_f ((x_2 - x_3 - 1) (\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) \right. \\
& \cdot \Phi_f^S(x_2) - \Phi_\pi^T(x_3) \Phi_f^T(x_2)) - (x_2 + x_3 - 1) (\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) \Phi_f^T(x_2) - \Phi_\pi^T(x_3) \Phi_f^S(x_2))] \\
& \cdot E'_{ai}(t) h_{na}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \\
& + [x_2 \Phi_f(x_2) \Phi_\pi^A(x_3) - x_2 r_\pi r_f (\Phi_f^S(x_2) + \Phi_f^T(x_2)) (\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) - \Phi_\pi^T(x_3)) \\
& - r_\pi r_f (1 - x_3) (\Phi_f^S(x_2) - \Phi_f^T(x_2)) (\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) + \Phi_\pi^T(x_3))] \\
& \left. \cdot E'_{ai}(t') h'_{na}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \right\}, \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{a\pi} = & -F_{a\pi}^{P1} = 8\pi C_F m_B^4 f_B \int_0^1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_2 db_2 b_3 db_3 \\
& \cdot \left\{ [(x_3 - 1) \Phi_\pi^A(x_3) \Phi_f(x_2) - 2r_\pi r_f (x_3 - 2) \Phi_\pi^P(x_3) \Phi_f^S(x_2) + 2r_\pi r_f x_3 \Phi_\pi^T(x_3) \Phi_f^S(x_2)] \right. \\
& \cdot E_{ai}(t) h_a(x_2, 1 - x_3, b_2, b_3) \\
& + [x_2 \Phi_\pi^A(x_3) \Phi_f(x_2) - 2r_\pi r_f \Phi_\pi^P(x_3) ((x_2 + 1) \Phi_f^S(x_2) + (x_2 - 1) \Phi_f^T(x_2))] \\
& \left. \cdot E_{ai}(t') h_a(1 - x_3, x_2, b_3, b_2) \right\}, \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
F_{a\pi}^{P2} = & -16\pi C_F m_B^4 f_B \int_0^1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_2 db_2 b_3 db_3 \\
& \cdot \left\{ [r_\pi(x_3 - 1)\Phi_f(x_2)(\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) + \Phi_\pi^T(x_3)) + 2r_f\Phi_\pi(x_3)\Phi_f^S(x_2)]E_{ai}(t)h_a(x_2, \bar{x}_3, b_2, b_3) \right. \\
& \left. - [2r_\pi\Phi_\pi^P(x_3)\Phi_f(x_2) + r_f x_2 \Phi_K^A(x_3)(\Phi_f^T(x_2) - \Phi_f^S(x_2))]E_{ai}(t')h_a(\bar{x}_3, x_2, b_3, b_2) \right\} \quad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{ef} = F_{ef}^{P1} = & 8\pi C_F m_B^4 f_\pi \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 \Phi_B(x_1, b_1) \\
& \cdot \left\{ [(1 + x_2)\Phi_f(x_2) - r_f(1 - 2x_2)(\Phi_f^S(x_2) + \Phi_f^T(x_2))]E_{ei}(t)h_e(x_1, x_2, b_1, b_2) \right. \\
& \left. - 2r_f\Phi_f^S(x_2)E_{ei}(t')h_e(x_2, x_1, b_2, b_1) \right\}, \quad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{ef}^{P2} = & 16\pi C_F m_B^4 f_\pi r_\pi \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 \Phi_B(x_1, b_1) \\
& \cdot \left\{ -[\Phi_f(x_2) + r_f(x_2\Phi_f^T(x_2) - (x_2 + 2)\Phi_f^S(x_2))]E_{ei}(t)h_e(x_1, x_2, b_1, b_2) \right. \\
& \left. + 2r_f\Phi_f^S(x_2)E_{ei}(t')h_e(x_2, x_1, b_2, b_1) \right\}, \quad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{ef} = & \frac{32}{\sqrt{6}}\pi C_F m_B^4 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 \Phi_B(x_1, b_1)\Phi_\pi^A(x_3) \\
& \cdot \left\{ -[(x_3 - 1)\Phi_f(x_2) - r_f x_2(\Phi_f^S(x_2) - \Phi_f^T(x_2))]E'_{ei}(t)h_n(x_1, 1 - x_3, x_2, b_1, b_3) \right. \\
& \left. + [-(x_2 + x_3)\Phi_f(x_2) - r_f x_2(\Phi_f^S(x_2) + \Phi_f^T(x_2))]E'_{ei}(t')h_n(x_1, x_3, x_2, b_1, b_3) \right\} \quad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{ef}^{P1} = & \frac{32}{\sqrt{6}}\pi C_F m_B^4 r_\pi \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_3 db_3 \Phi_B(x_1, b_1) \\
& \cdot \left\{ E'_{ei}(t)h_n(x_1, 1 - x_3, x_2, b_1, b_3) \cdot [(x_3 - 1)\Phi_f(x_2)(\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) + \Phi_\pi^T(x_3)) \right. \\
& + r_f\Phi_f^T(x_2)((x_2 + x_3 - 1)\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) + (-x_2 + x_3 - 1)\Phi_\pi^T(x_3)) \\
& + r_f\Phi_f^S(x_2)((x_2 - x_3 + 1)\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) - (x_2 + x_3 - 1)\Phi_\pi^T(x_3))] \\
& + [-x_3\Phi_f(x_2)(\Phi_\pi^T(x_3) - \Phi_\pi^P(x_3)) - r_f x_3(\Phi_f^S(x_2) - \Phi_f^T(x_2))(\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) - \Phi_\pi^T(x_3)) \\
& \left. - r_f x_2(\Phi_f^S(x_2) + \Phi_f^T(x_2))(\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) + \Phi_\pi^T(x_3))]E'_{ei}(t')h_n(x_1, x_3, x_2, b_1, b_3) \right\}, \quad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{ef}^{P2} = & -\frac{32}{\sqrt{6}}\pi C_F m_B^4 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 \Phi_B(x_1, b_1) \Phi_\pi^A(x_3) \\
& \cdot \left\{ [(x_3 - x_2 - 1)\Phi_f(x_2) - r_f x_2 (\Phi_f^S(x_2) + \Phi_f^T(x_2))] E'_{ei}(t) h_n(x_1, 1 - x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \right. \\
& \left. + [x_2 \Phi_f(x_2) + r_f x_2 (\Phi_f^S(x_2) - \Phi_f^T(x_2))] E'_{ei}(t') h_n(x_1, x_3, x_2, b_1, b_2) \right\}, \quad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_a = & -\frac{32}{\sqrt{6}}\pi C_F m_B^4 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_3 db_3 \Phi_B(x_1, b_1) \\
& \cdot \left\{ [x_3 \Phi_\pi^A(x_3) \Phi_f(x_2) + r_\pi r_f \Phi_f^T(x_2) ((x_2 - x_3 + 1)\Phi_\pi^T(x_3) - (x_2 + x_3 - 1)\Phi_\pi^P(x_3)) \right. \\
& \quad + r_\pi r_f \Phi_f^S(x_2) ((-x_2 + x_3 + 3)\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) + (x_2 + x_3 - 1)\Phi_\pi^T(x_3))] \\
& \quad \cdot E'_{ai}(t) h_{na}(x_1, x_3, x_2, b_1, b_3) \\
& \quad + E'_{ai}(t') h'_{na}(x_1, x_3, x_2, b_1, b_3) [(x_2 - 1)\Phi_\pi^A(x_3) \Phi_f(x_2) \\
& \quad + r_\pi r_f \Phi_f^T(x_2) ((-x_2 + x_3 + 1)\Phi_\pi^T(x_3) - (x_2 + x_3 - 1)\Phi_\pi^P(x_3)) \\
& \quad \left. + r_\pi r_f \Phi_f^S(x_2) ((x_2 - x_3 - 1)\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) + (x_2 + x_3 - 1)\Phi_\pi^T(x_3))] \right\}, \quad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{af}^{P1} = & \frac{32}{\sqrt{6}}\pi C_F m_B^4 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_3 db_3 \Phi_B(x_1, b_1) \\
& \cdot \left\{ [r_f(x_2 + 1)\Phi_\pi^A(x_3)(\Phi_f^S(x_2) - \Phi_f^T(x_2)) + r_\pi(x_3 - 2)\Phi_f(x_2)(\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) + \Phi_\pi^T(x_3))] \right. \\
& \quad \cdot E'_{ai}(t) h_{na}(x_1, x_3, x_2, b_1, b_3) \\
& \quad - [r_f(x_2 - 1)\Phi_\pi^A(x_3)(\Phi_f^S(x_3) - \Phi_f^T(x_3)) + r_\pi x_3 \Phi_f(x_2)(\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) + \Phi_\pi^T(x_3))] \\
& \quad \left. \cdot E'_{ai}(t') h'_{na}(x_1, x_3, x_2, b_1, b_3) \right\}. \quad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{af} = F_{af}^{P1} = & 8\pi C_F m_B^4 f_B \int_0^1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_2 db_2 b_3 db_3 \\
& \cdot \left\{ [(x_2 - 1)\Phi_\pi^A(x_3) \Phi_f(x_2) + 2r_\pi r_f(x_2 - 2)\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) \Phi_f^S(x_2) - 2r_\pi r_f x_2 \Phi_\pi^P(x_3) \Phi_f^T(x_2)] \right. \\
& \quad \cdot E_{ai}(t) h_a(x_3, 1 - x_2, b_3, b_2) \\
& \left. + [x_3 \Phi_\pi^A(x_3) \Phi_f(x_2) + 2r_\pi r_f \Phi_f^S(x_2) ((x_3 + 1)\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) + (x_3 - 1)\Phi_\pi^T(x_3))] \right. \\
& \quad \left. \cdot E_{ai}(t') h_a(1 - x_2, x_3, b_2, b_3) \right\}, \quad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{af}^{P2} = & 16\pi C_F m_B^4 f_B \int_0^1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_2 db_2 b_3 db_3 \\
& \cdot \left\{ [r_f(x_2 - 1)\Phi_\pi^A(x_3)(\Phi_f^S(x_2) + \Phi_f^T(x_2)) - 2r_\pi \Phi_\pi^P(x_3) \Phi_f(x_2)] \right. \\
& \quad \cdot E_{ai}(t) h_a(x_3, \bar{x}_2, b_2, b_3) \\
& \quad - [2r_f \Phi_\pi^A(x_3) \Phi_f^S(x_2) + r_\pi x_3 \Phi_f(x_2)(\Phi_\pi^P(x_3) - \Phi_\pi^T(x_3))] \\
& \quad \left. \cdot E_{ai}(t') h_a(1 - x_2, x_3, b_2, b_3) \right\}, \quad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

TABLE I: The pQCD predictions (in unit of  $10^{-6}$ ) for the branching ratios of  $B \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi, f_0(980)\eta^{(\prime)}$  decays.

Channel	$\theta_1 = 32.5^\circ \pm 7.5^\circ$	$\theta_2 = 152.5^\circ \pm 12.5^\circ$	Data[19]	QCDF[11]
$Br(B^- \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^-)$	$2.5 \pm 1.0$	$1.6_{-0.6}^{+1.8}$	$< 3.0$	0.9
$Br(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^0)$	$0.26 \pm 0.06$	$0.04_{-0.02}^{+0.06}$	–	0.03
$Br(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\eta)$	$0.25 \pm 0.07$	$0.59 \pm 0.20$	$< 0.4$	–
$Br(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\eta')$	$0.67 \pm 0.06$	$0.26 \pm 0.03$	$< 1.5$	–

where  $r_f = m_f/m_B$  and  $r_\pi = m_\pi^\pi/m_B$ . The explicit expressions of hard functions  $E_{ei,ai}^{(\prime)}(t)$  and  $h_{e,a}(x_i, b_j), \dots$  can be found for example in Ref.[16]. For  $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\eta^{(\prime)}$  decays, one can find the corresponding decay amplitudes from those given in Eq. (15-32) by simple replacements.

## 2. Numerical results and discussions

For numerical calculation, we will use the following input parameters:

$$\begin{aligned}
m(f_0(980)) &= 0.98\text{GeV}, & m_\pi &= 0.14\text{GeV}, & m_\eta &= 547.5\text{MeV}, & m_{\eta'} &= 957.8\text{MeV}, \\
M_B &= 5.28\text{GeV}, & m_0^\pi &= 1.4\text{GeV}, & M_W &= 80.42\text{GeV}, & \bar{f}_{f_0} &= (0.37 \pm 0.02)\text{GeV} \\
f_B &= 0.19\text{GeV}, & f_\pi &= 0.13\text{GeV}, & \tau_{B^\pm} &= 1.671 \text{ ps}, & \tau_{B^0} &= 1.536 \text{ ps}, \\
V_{tb} &= 0.9997, & |V_{td}| &= 0.0082, & V_{ud} &= 0.974, & |V_{ub}| &= 0.00367,
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

with the CKM angle  $\beta = 21.6^\circ$  and  $\gamma = 60^\circ$ .

It is straightforward to calculate the branching ratios of the considered decays. If  $f_0(980)$  is purely composed of  $\bar{n}n$ , the pQCD predictions for the branching ratios are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^0) &= (0.89_{-0.08-0.13-0.03}^{+0.10+0.16+0.05}) \times 10^{-6}, \\
\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^-) &= (16.4_{-1.6-1.2-0.9}^{+1.7+1.1+0.8}) \times 10^{-6}, \\
\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\eta) &= (2.0_{-0.2-0.3-0.1}^{+0.2+0.4+0.1}) \times 10^{-6}, \\
\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\eta') &= (1.3_{-0.1-0.2-0.1}^{+0.2+0.3+0.0}) \times 10^{-6},
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where the theoretical uncertainties are from the decay constant of  $\bar{f}_{f_0} = 0.37 \pm 0.02$  GeV, the Gegenbauer moments  $B_1 = -0.78 \pm 0.08$  and  $B_3 = 0.02 \pm 0.07$ . If  $f_0(980)$  is purely composed of  $\bar{s}s$ , the branching ratios will be

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^0) &= (4.66_{-0.49-0.90-0.06}^{+0.52+1.01+0.10}) \times 10^{-8}, \\
\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^-) &= (8.56_{-0.21-1.04-0.00}^{+1.80+2.77+0.96}) \times 10^{-8}, \\
\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\eta) &= (0.24_{-0.03-0.03-0.03}^{+0.02+0.02+0.05}) \times 10^{-6}, \\
\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\eta') &= (0.38_{-0.04-0.03-0.03}^{+0.05+0.04+0.04}) \times 10^{-6},
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where the theoretical uncertainties are from the same hadron parameters as above.




FIG. 2: The  $\theta$ -dependence of the central values of the pQCD predictions for the branching ratios of (a)  $B \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi$  decays, and (b)  $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0\eta^{(\prime)}$  decays.

When  $f_0(980)$  is treated as a mixing state of  $\bar{n}n$  and  $\bar{s}s$ , the leading order pQCD predictions are listed in Table I, where the two ranges of the mixing angle  $\theta$ ,  $\theta_1 = [25^\circ, 40^\circ]$  and  $\theta_2 = [140^\circ, 165^\circ]$ , are taken into account. The QCDF predictions as given in Ref.[11] are also listed in Table I as a comparison. The remaining theoretical uncertainties induced by the errors of other input parameters and the wave functions are generally 30 – 50%, and not shown here explicitly.

In Fig. 2, we show the  $\theta$ -dependence of the central values of the pQCD predictions for the branching ratios of the four considered decays. One should note that the large theoretical uncertainties of the pQCD predictions are not shown here explicitly. The two vertical bands show the two ranges of the mixing angle  $\theta$  preferred by the known experiments [12], while the three horizontal solid or dots lines show the corresponding experimental upper limits [19] as listed in Table I. From the numerical results as shown in Table I and Fig.2, one can not distinguish two regions of the mixing angle  $\theta$  from currently available data, if the still large theoretical uncertainties are taken into account.

Now we turn to the evaluations of the CP-violating asymmetries of  $B \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi, f_0(980)\eta^{(\prime)}$  decays in the pQCD approach. The pQCD predictions for the direct CP-violating asymmetries of the four considered decays are listed in Table II. Although the CP-violating asymmetries are large in size, it is still difficult to measure them, since their branching ratios are generally very small, say around  $10^{-6} \sim 10^{-8}$ .

In this paper, based on the assumption of two-quark structure of the scalar meson  $f_0(980)$ , we calculated the branching ratios and CP-violating asymmetries of the four  $B \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi$  and  $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\eta^{(\prime)}$  decays by employing the leading order pQCD factorization approach. The pQCD predictions are generally consistent with both the QCDF predictions and the currently available experimental upper limits.

TABLE II: The pQCD predictions (in units of  $10^{-2}$ ) for the CP-violating asymmetries of  $B \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi, f_0(980)\eta^{(\prime)}$  decays.

Channel	$A_{CP}^{dir}$		$A_{CP}^{mix}$	
	$\theta_1 = [25^\circ, 40^\circ]$	$\theta_2 = [140^\circ, 165^\circ]$	$\theta_1 = [25^\circ, 40^\circ]$	$\theta_2 = [140^\circ, 165^\circ]$
$B^- \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^-$	[50, 64]	[-39, 7.0]	--	--
$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^0$	[-7.5, -2.3]	[-99, -56]	$\sim -69$	[-25, 7.1]
$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\eta$	[-43, -5.0]	[-55, -30]	[-72, 12]	[-63, -23]
$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f_0(980)\eta'$	[-42, -28]	[-29, 8.5]	[-57, -38]	[-75, -38]

### Acknowledgment

This work is partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No.10575052 and 10735080.

- 
- [1] Cheng H Y, Yang K C, Phys.Rev., 2005, **D71**: 054020.
  - [2] Cheng C H, Phys.Rev., 2003, **D67**: 014012; 2003, **D67**: 094011.
  - [3] Wang Wei, Shen Y L, Li Y, Lü C D, Phys.Rev., 2006, **D74**: 114010.
  - [4] Garmash A et al(Belle Collaboration), Phys.Rev., 2002, **D65**: 092005.
  - [5] Aubert B et al(BaBar Collaboration), Phys.Rev., 2004, **D70**: 092001.
  - [6] Garmash A et al(Belle Collaboration), Phys.Rev., 2005, **D71**: 092003.
  - [7] Aubert B et al(BaBar Collaboration), Phys.Rev.Lett., 2005, **94**: 041802; Phys.Rev., 2005, **D72**: 072003; 2006, **D73**: 031101.
  - [8] Jaffe R L. Phys.Rev., 1977, **D15**: 267; 1977, **D15**:281.
  - [9] Tornqvist N A, Phys.Rev.Lett., 1982, **49**: 624; Tornqvist N A, Roos M, Phys.Rev.Lett., 1996, **76**:1575.
  - [10] Weinstein J, Isgur N, Phys.Rev.Lett., 1982 **48**: 659; 1990, **41**:2236; Locher M P et al., Eur.Phys.J. 1998, **C4**: 317.
  - [11] Cheng H Y, Chua C K, Yang K C, Phys.Rev., 2006, **D73**: 014017.
  - [12] Cheng H Y, Phys.Rev., 2004, **D67**: 034024.
  - [13] Du D S, Li J W, Yang M Z, Phys. Lett., 2005 **B619**:105.
  - [14] Lü C D, Wang Y M, Zou H, Phys.Rev., 2007, **D75**: 056001.
  - [15] Liu X, Wang H S, Xiao Z J, Guo L B, and Lü C D, Phys.Rev., 2006, **D73**: 074002.
  - [16] Guo D Q, Chen X F, Xiao Z J, Phys.Rev., 2007, **D75**: 054033.
  - [17] Feldmann T, Int.J. Mod.Phys. 2000, **A15**:159.
  - [18] Charng Y Y, Kurimoto T, Li H N, Phys.Rev., 2006, **D74**: 074024; Erratum 2008, **D78**:059901(E).
  - [19] Heavy Flavor Averaging Group, Barberio E. et al., arXiv:0808.1297[hep-ex], and online update at <http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hfag>