

## INTEGRAL Cross-calibration Status: Crab observations between 3 keV and 1 MeV

---

**E. Jourdain**

CESR/UT-CNRS

9 avenue du Colonel Roche, 31028 Toulouse cedex 04 - France

E-mail: [jourdain@cesr.fr](mailto:jourdain@cesr.fr)

**D. Götz**

CEA-Service d'Astrophysique

Orme des Merisiers, Bat. 709, 91191 Gif sur Yvette - France

E-mail: [dgotz@cea.fr](mailto:dgotz@cea.fr)

**N. J. Westergaard**

DTU Space - National Space Institute

Technical University of Denmark, Juliane Maries Vej 30, DK 2100 Copenhagen O

E-mail: [njw@space.dtu.dk](mailto:njw@space.dtu.dk)

**L. Natalucci**

INAF-Istituto di Astrofisica Spaziale e Fisica Cosmica

Area Ricerca CNR/Roma 2 - Tor Vergata, Via del Fosso del Cavaliere, 100, 00133 Roma - Italy

E-mail: [Lorenzo.Natalucci@iasf-roma.inaf.it](mailto:Lorenzo.Natalucci@iasf-roma.inaf.it)

**J. P. Roques**

CESR/UT-CNRS

9 avenue du Colonel Roche, 31028 Toulouse cedex 04 - France

E-mail: [roques@cesr.fr](mailto:roques@cesr.fr)

*On behalf of the instrument teams*

We present results of Crab observations by the INTEGRAL instruments. A simultaneous fit allows us to demonstrate that INTEGRAL provides reliable spectra over its wide energy range.

*The 7th INTEGRAL Workshop  
Copenhagen, Denmark  
September 8– 11 2008*

## 1. Introduction


This report presents the status of INTEGRAL instrument calibration after the OSA-7.0 release. We first summarize the status of each instrument, then a common fit will illustrate the inter-calibration picture.

## 2. Instrument calibrations:

### 2.1 JEM-X

The results reported here are based on the OSA-7.0 release with standard spectral extraction. Work is in progress to include the modelling of the gain effects into the next release of OSA.


**Orbit 300 data: 15 ks of useful duration** (ARF derived from earlier observations)



#### JMX1

Photon Index =  $2.15 \pm 0.05$

Flux @ 1 keV =  $11.4 \pm 0.1$  ph / cm<sup>2</sup> s keV



#### JMX2

Photon Index =  $2.08 \pm 0.05$

Flux @ 1 keV =  $10.3 \pm 0.1$  ph / cm<sup>2</sup> s keV

$N_{\text{H}} = 0.361 \times 10^{22}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> has been frozen

For both instruments, Flux[2 – 10 keV] =  $2.28 \times 10^{-8}$  erg / cm<sup>2</sup> s

## 2.2 IBIS

Latest results from IBIS/ISGRI calibrated mass model (Power Law fits):

### Orbit 300 (16 ks of useful duration):

Photon Index =  $2.12 \pm 0.03$

Flux @ 100 keV =  $6.5 \times 10^{-4}$  ph / cm<sup>2</sup> s keV

### Orbit 605 (60 ks of useful duration):

Photon Index =  $2.13 \pm 0.03$


Flux @ 100 keV =  $6.2 \times 10^{-4}$  ph / cm<sup>2</sup> s keV

Crab spectrum measured by the IBIS instrument. ISGRI data (black) are collected during rev.300 (net time: 16 ks) and PICSIT data (red: single events; green: double events) are from revs. 39-45 (net time: 563 ks). Spectra are obtained using OSA7.0.

PICSIT data are treated with an average systematic error of 5% while ISGRI data have been added 1% systematics to each channel.

The reduced chi-square is 1.73 (61 dof).

Results are compatible with SPI ones at a  $2\sigma$  confidence level.



With relatively a good performance below 100 keV, IBIS/ISGRI can effectively measure Crab spectrum and flux.

Above 100 keV a spectral break is detected but its position is not accurately determined so we fix it at 100 keV. More work is needed to assess the response at high energies, and to get rid of “narrow band” residual systematics below 100 keV.

The ISGRI response included in OSA-7.0 is derived from MC simulations and corrected a posteriori to match the SPI Crab spectrum.

Work is on-going to get rid of this ad-hoc correction by modelling the time dependent ISGRI response, using data from proton counters.

### 2.3 SPI

Considering the remarkable stability of the instrument, we have chosen to build a total Crab spectrum with all data available with 17 detectors and  $5 \times 5$  dithering patterns (See poster by Jourdain & Roques, these proceedings, for more details).

This results in 326 ks of useful duration, distributed over 7 revolutions, from September 2004 to September 2007.

When going toward higher energies ( $\sim$  above 100 keV), we can see that the global shape presents a slight curvature that can be approximated by a break in the (low energy) power law, suggesting a broken power law model. However, in this framework, we are facing a strong degeneracy in the parameter determination that we have chosen to solve by fixing the energy of the break at 100 keV.

Data are adjusted from 23 keV to 1 MeV with 0% systematics.


**Model :** *a broken power law*  
*with  $E_{break}$  fixed to 100 keV*

Photon Index 1 :  $2.08 \pm 0.01$   
 Break Energy : 100.000 keV frozen  
 Photon Index 2 :  $2.23 \pm 0.03$

Flux @ 100 keV:  $6.4 \times 10^{-4}$  ph / cm<sup>2</sup> s keV

Extrapolated flux @ 1 keV :  
 $9.3$  ph / cm<sup>2</sup> s keV

A single power law is rejected:  
 An F-test relative to the broken power law  
 excludes it with a probability of  $2 \times 10^{-10}$ .



### 3. The INTEGRAL Crab:

We then fit simultaneously JEM-X, ISGRI and SPI data with an absorbed broken power law with  $E_{\text{break}}$  fixed to 100 keV and  $N_{\text{H}}$  fixed to  $0.361 \cdot 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ .

**JEM-X 1 / 2** data have been used from 3 to 25 keV (15 ks in revolution 300, see Section 2.1).

**ISGRI** data have been used from 14 keV to 1 MeV (16 ks in revolution 300), but with OSA-7.0 standard response matrix (see Section 2.2).

**SPI** data have been used from 23 keV to 1 MeV (see Section 2.3).

Systematics have been added at a level of 3% for JEM X-1 & 2 and 1% for ISGRI and SPI.

#### Results:

*Model:* a broken power law with  $E_{\text{break}}$  fixed to 100 keV plus an absorption with  $N_{\text{H}}$  fixed to  $0.361 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ .


Photon Index 1 :  $2.105 \pm 0.3 \cdot 10^{-2}$   
 Break Energy : 100.000 keV (frozen)  
 Photon Index 2 :  $2.22 \pm 0.2 \cdot 10^{-1}$

Flux @ 100 keV:  $6.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ ph / cm}^2 \text{ s keV}$

factor SPI fixed to 1.0  
 factor ISGRI :  $0.99 \pm 0.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$   
 factor JEM X-1 :  $1.022 \pm 0.3 \cdot 10^{-2}$   
 factor JEM X-2 :  $1.06 \pm 0.3 \cdot 10^{-2}$

#### Notes:

Residuals are in sigma units in all figures.  
 Statistical errors on best-fit parameters have been calculated with Xspec but are just indicative as complete ones depend on systematic level, binning, etc.



### 4. Conclusion

The INTEGRAL instruments give consistent results on the Crab Nebula spectrum, with a global shape in agreement with observations from previous experiments.

Moreover, we have shown that for the ARFs and RMF provided with OSA-7.0, the cross-normalisation factors between SPI, ISGRI and JEM-X are compatible with 1.0 within reasonably small errors, and the shape of the combined spectrum is consistent with those for the single instruments in the overlapping energy windows.

This demonstrates that INTEGRAL can provide reliable spectra over its wide energy range.

**This paper is mainly based on a document provided to the Integral User Group by instrument teams.**

See <http://sigma-2.cesr.fr/spi/analysis/Cross-calibration.IUG.v2.pdf>