

Approximate formulation of the probability that the Determinant or Permanent of a matrix undergoes the least change under perturbation of a single element

Genta Ito*

Maruo Lab., 500 El Camino Real #302, Burlingame, CA 94010, United States.

SUMMARY

In an earlier paper, we discussed the probability that the determinant of a matrix undergoes the least change upon perturbation of one of its elements, provided that most or all of the elements of the matrix are chosen at random and that the randomly chosen elements have a fixed probability of being non-zero. In this paper, we derive approximate formulas for that probability by assuming that the terms in the permanent of a matrix are independent of one another, and we apply that assumption to several classes of matrices. In the course of deriving those formulas, we identified several integer sequences that are not listed on Sloane's Web site. Preprint submitted to Numer. Linear Algebra Appl. on June 28, 2007

Prepared using nlaauth.cls

KEY WORDS: Determinant; Permanent; Permutation; Sloane's sequences

1. Introduction

In an earlier paper [1], we discussed the problem of finding the probability that the determinant of a matrix undergoes the least change under perturbation of one of its elements. In this paper, we consider only the case where the randomly chosen matrix elements are values of a continuous (real) random variable, and we derive approximate formulas for that probability by assuming that the terms in the permanent of a matrix are mutually independent. Denote the determinant of any matrix A by $\det A$. For an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix M_{n+1} , the expansion of $\det M_{n+1}$ via row i is

$$\det M_{n+1} = m_{i1}M_{i1} + \cdots + m_{ij}M_{ij} + \cdots + m_{in+1}M_{in+1}, \quad (1)$$

where m_{ij} is the element of M_{n+1} at the intersection of row i and column j , and M_{ij} is the cofactor of m_{ij} . M_{ij} can be written as $(-1)^{i+j} \det S_n$, where S_n is the $n \times n$ submatrix of M_{n+1} which is obtained by deleting row i and column j . Thus the probability that $\det M_{n+1}$ undergoes the least change upon perturbation of element m_{ij} is equal to the probability that

*Correspondence to: Maruo Lab., 500 El Camino Real #302, Burlingame, CA 94010, United States. (Email: gito@maruolab.com)

$|\det S_n|$ is as small as possible. As in the earlier paper, we treat the following three classes of $n \times n$ matrices S_n :

- (i) Matrices A_n in which all the elements are values of mutually independent random variables each of which has probability r of being non-zero and probability $1 - r$ of being 0, where $0 < r < 1$.
- (ii) Matrices B_n in which all but one of the diagonal elements are set to 1 (i.e., $b_{ii} = 1$ for $i \neq 1$, where b_{11} is the special diagonal element), and b_{11} and all the off-diagonal elements are as in (i). Thus

$$B_n = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} & \cdots & b_{1n} \\ b_{21} & 1 & b_{23} & \cdots & b_{2n} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & 1 & \cdots & b_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & b_{n2} & b_{n3} & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

- (iii) Matrices C_n in which all the diagonal elements are set to 1 and all the off-diagonal elements are as in (i). Thus

$$C_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c_{12} & c_{13} & \cdots & c_{1n} \\ c_{21} & 1 & c_{23} & \cdots & c_{2n} \\ c_{31} & c_{32} & 1 & \cdots & c_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n1} & c_{n2} & c_{n3} & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrices S_n described in (i), (ii), and (iii) above will be said to be matrices of type \mathbf{A}_n , \mathbf{B}_n , and \mathbf{C}_n , respectively. Every randomly chosen element of a matrix of any of these types (i.e., every element of a matrix of type \mathbf{A}_n , every off-diagonal element of a matrix of type \mathbf{B}_n or \mathbf{C}_n , and the special diagonal element b_{11} of a matrix of type \mathbf{B}_n) will be called a *variable* element; the remaining elements will be called *fixed* elements. If \mathfrak{X} is a continuous set such that $0 \in \mathfrak{X}$ (as is assumed here), and X is a random variable whose values are selected from \mathfrak{X} , then the probability function P for \mathfrak{X} is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} P(X = 0) &= 1 - r \\ P(X \neq 0) &= r \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Since \mathfrak{X} is continuous, P can be expressed in terms of a density function q as

$$D \subseteq \mathfrak{X} \Rightarrow P(X \in D) = \int_D q(x) dx, \quad (4)$$

where

$$0 \leq q(x) \leq 1, \quad \int_{\{0\}} q(x) dx = 1 - r, \quad \int_{\mathfrak{X} - \{0\}} q(x) dx = r$$

If q is continuous on $\mathfrak{X} - \{0\}$ (as is assumed here), then

$$P(X = x) = \begin{cases} 1 - r, & x = 0 \\ 0, & x \in \mathfrak{X} - \{0\} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

As found in [1], the probability that $\det M_{n+1}$ undergoes the least change upon perturbation of element m_{ij} is equal to the probability that $\det S_n = u$, where $u = 0$ if S_n is of type \mathbf{A}_n or \mathbf{B}_n , and $u = 1$ if S_n is of type \mathbf{C}_n . In [1], the probability that $\det S_n = u$ was formulated in terms of binary matrices (matrices of 0's and 1's), as follows: For a matrix S_n of type \mathbf{A}_n , \mathbf{B}_n , or \mathbf{C}_n , \tilde{S}_n is the $n \times n$ binary matrix with $\tilde{s}_{ij} = 1$ if $s_{ij} \neq 0$, and $\tilde{s}_{ij} = 0$ if $s_{ij} = 0$. Moreover, \tilde{s}_{ij} is a *variable* (resp. *fixed*) element of \tilde{S}_n if s_{ij} is a variable (resp. fixed) element of S_n , and \tilde{S}_n is of type $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_n$, $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_n$) if S_n is of type \mathbf{A}_n (resp. \mathbf{B}_n , \mathbf{C}_n). Every variable element of \tilde{S}_n has probability r of being 1, and probability $1 - r$ of being 0. Denote the permanent of any matrix A by $\text{per } A$. The expansion of the permanent of \tilde{S}_n via the permutation group \mathfrak{S}_n on the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ is

$$\text{per } \tilde{S}_n = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \tilde{s}_{\sigma(1)1} \tilde{s}_{\sigma(2)2} \cdots \tilde{s}_{\sigma(n)n} \quad (6)$$

Since \tilde{S}_n is a binary matrix, every term in this expansion is either 0 or 1, so the value of $\text{per } \tilde{S}_n$ is a non-negative integer. In [1], it was shown that the probability that $\det S_n = u$ is equal to the probability that $\text{per } \tilde{S}_n = u$, and that \tilde{S}_n has non-zero (positive) probability of having permanent u if and only if every term in the expansion of $\text{per } \tilde{S}_n$ via \mathfrak{S}_n that contains at least one variable element is 0. Thus if S_n is of type \mathbf{A}_n or \mathbf{B}_n , then S_n has non-zero probability of having determinant 0 if and only if *every* term in the expansion of $\text{per } \tilde{S}_n$ is 0; and if S_n is of type \mathbf{C}_n , then S_n has non-zero probability of having determinant 1 if and only if the only non-zero term in the expansion of $\text{per } \tilde{S}_n$ is the ‘‘diagonal’’ term, $\tilde{c}_{11}\tilde{c}_{22}\tilde{c}_{33} \cdots \tilde{c}_{nn}$. A matrix of type $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n$ can be converted to a matrix of type $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_n$ by replacing all but one of the diagonal elements with a fixed element 1. The latter matrix can in turn be converted to a matrix of type $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_n$ by replacing the sole variable element on the main diagonal (element \tilde{b}_{11}) with a fixed element 1. Therefore, we might expect to find that there exist analytic formulas for $P_{\text{per } \tilde{B}_n=0}(r)$ in terms of $P_{\text{per } \tilde{A}_n=0}(r)$, and $P_{\text{per } \tilde{C}_n=1}(r)$ in terms of $P_{\text{per } \tilde{B}_n=0}(r)$, where $P_{\text{per } \tilde{S}_n=u}(r)$ denotes the probability that $\text{per } \tilde{S}_n = u$. In [1], the exact probability $P_{\text{per } \tilde{S}_n=u}(r)$ for a specific type of matrix ($\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n$, $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_n$, or $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_n$) was formulated in terms of the numbers of matrices \tilde{S}_n of that type which have i variable elements with a value of 1 in the expansion of their permanent (where i ranged from 0 to some i_{\max} that depended on the type of matrix). In this paper, we will derive an approximate probability $Q_{\text{per } \tilde{S}_n=u}(r)$ that $\text{per } \tilde{S}_n = u$, by assuming that all the terms in the expansion of $\text{per } \tilde{S}_n$ via \mathfrak{S}_n are independent of one another. For example, the expansion of the permanent of a matrix of type $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_3$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per } \tilde{A}_3 &= \tilde{a}_{11}\tilde{a}_{22}\tilde{c}_{33} + \tilde{a}_{13}\tilde{a}_{32}\tilde{a}_{21} + \tilde{a}_{12}\tilde{a}_{23}\tilde{a}_{31} + \tilde{a}_{13}\tilde{a}_{31}\tilde{a}_{22} \\ &\quad + \tilde{a}_{11}\tilde{a}_{23}\tilde{a}_{32} + \tilde{a}_{12}\tilde{a}_{21}\tilde{a}_{33} \end{aligned}$$

If $\tilde{a}_{23} = 0$, the terms $\tilde{a}_{12}\tilde{a}_{23}\tilde{a}_{31}$ and $\tilde{a}_{11}\tilde{a}_{23}\tilde{a}_{32}$ must both be zero, but we will ignore that relationship. Instead, for every $m \leq n$ and each of the three types of matrices ($\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n$, $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_n$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_n$), we will consider only the number of terms in the expansion of the permanent of a matrix of that type which have m variable elements, regardless of the values of those variable elements or the connections between different terms in the expansion.

2. Formulation of $Q_{\text{per } \tilde{S}_n=u}(r)$

There are $n!$ terms $\tilde{s}_{\sigma(1)1}\tilde{s}_{\sigma(2)2}\cdots\tilde{s}_{\sigma(n)n}$ in (6), because the number of elements $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is $n!$. For each term, let m be the number of variable elements it contains, and let $E_n(m)$ be the number of terms with m variable elements. Then

$$Q_{\text{per } \tilde{S}_n=u}(r) = \prod_{m=1}^n (1 - r^m)^{E_n(m)},$$

where r^m is the probability that all the variable elements in a term with m variable elements are non-zero, $1 - r^m$ is the probability that at least one variable element in a term with m variable elements is 0, and $(1 - r^m)^{E_n(m)}$ is the probability that all the terms with m variable elements are 0. What remains to be done is to determine $E_n(m)$ for every $m \leq n$ and each of the three types of matrices.

2.1. Type \tilde{A}_n

For type \tilde{A}_n , every term in the expansion of $\text{per } \tilde{A}_n$ has n variable elements, so $E_n(m) = 0$ for every $m < n$. Since there are $n!$ terms, we obtain

$$Q_{\text{per } \tilde{A}_n=0}(r) = (1 - r^n)^{n!} \quad (7)$$

2.2. Type \tilde{C}_n

For type \tilde{C}_n , let $W_n(m)$ denote $E_n(m)$, so that

$$Q_{\text{per } \tilde{C}_n=1}(r) = \prod_{m=1}^n (1 - r^m)^{W_n(m)} \quad (8)$$

Proposition 2.1.

$$W_n(n-1) = n \cdot W_{n-1}(n-1) \quad (9)$$

Proof Every term in the expansion of $\text{per } \tilde{C}_n$ that has $n-1$ variable elements contains just one fixed (diagonal) element (namely, \tilde{c}_{ii} for some i with $1 \leq i \leq n$). For every i , there exists




Figure 1. Cross shapes deleted from \tilde{C}_n to create the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrices \tilde{C}_n^i of \tilde{C}_n

a natural one-to-one correspondence between the set T_{1i} of terms in the expansion of $\text{per } \tilde{C}_n$ that contain element \tilde{c}_{ii} (and have $n-1$ variable elements of \tilde{C}_n apiece) and the set T_{2i} of terms in the expansion of $\text{per } \tilde{C}_n^i$ that have $n-1$ variable elements each, where \tilde{C}_n^i is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix of \tilde{C}_n which is formed by deleting the “cross shape” that consists

of row i and column i . There are n such cross shapes (one for each diagonal element of \tilde{C}_n), as shown in Fig. 1; moreover, for every i , \tilde{C}_n^i is a matrix of type \tilde{C}_{n-1} . Thus we obtain (9). ■

Proposition 2.2. *For every m with $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$,*

$$W_n(m) = \frac{{}_n P_m}{m!} \cdot W_m(m) \quad (10)$$

Proof Let m, k be such that $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$ and $k = n - m$. A term in the expansion of $\text{per } \tilde{C}_n$ has m variable elements if and only if it has k fixed (diagonal) elements (namely, $\tilde{c}_{i_1 i_1}, \dots, \tilde{c}_{i_k i_k}$ for some i_1, \dots, i_k with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$). For every k -tuple (i_1, \dots, i_k) with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$, there exists a natural one-to-one correspondence between the set $T_{1, \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}}$ of terms in the expansion of $\text{per } \tilde{C}_n$ that contain elements $\tilde{c}_{i_1 i_1}, \dots, \tilde{c}_{i_k i_k}$ (and have m variable elements of \tilde{C}_n apiece) and the set $T_{2, \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}}$ of terms in the expansion of $\text{per } \tilde{C}_n^{\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}}$ that have m variable elements each, where $\tilde{C}_n^{\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}}$ is the $m \times m$ submatrix of \tilde{C}_n which is formed by deleting the cross shapes that correspond to i_1, \dots, i_k (i.e., the submatrix of \tilde{C}_n which is formed by deleting rows i_1, \dots, i_k and columns i_1, \dots, i_k). The number of k -tuples (i_1, \dots, i_k) with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ is ${}_n C_k$; moreover, for each such k -tuple,

$\tilde{C}_n^{\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}}$ is a matrix of type \tilde{C}_m . Also, ${}_n C_k = \frac{{}_n P_m}{m!}$, since $k = n - m$ and ${}_n C_{n-m} = \frac{n!}{(n-m)!m!} = \frac{{}_n P_m}{m!}$. Thus we obtain (10). ■

Proposition 2.3. *For $n \geq 1$,*

$$W_n(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-j+1} \cdot {}_n P_{j-1} \quad (11)$$

Proof $W_n(n)$ is the number of permutations of the numbers $1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ with no fixed points (i.e., the number of permutations that have no cycles of length one). Such permutations are called *derangements*. In 1713, Pierre de Montmort [2] proved that

$$W_n(n) = n \cdot W_{n-1}(n-1) + (-1)^n \quad (12)$$

Thus we obtain (11) recursively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
W_n(n) &= n \cdot W_{n-1}(n-1) + (-1)^n & (13) \\
&= {}_n\mathbf{P}_1 \cdot W_{n-1}(n-1) + (-1)^n \cdot {}_n\mathbf{P}_0 \\
&= n \cdot [(n-1)W_{n-2}(n-2) + (-1)^{n-1}] + (-1)^n \\
&= n(n-1) \cdot W_{n-2}(n-2) + n \cdot (-1)^{n-1} + (-1)^n \\
&= {}_n\mathbf{P}_2 \cdot W_{n-2}(n-2) + \sum_{j=1}^2 (-1)^{n-j+1} \cdot {}_n\mathbf{P}_{j-1} \\
&= \cdots = {}_n\mathbf{P}_k \cdot W_{n-k}(n-k) + \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{n-j+1} \cdot {}_n\mathbf{P}_{j-1} \\
&= \cdots = {}_n\mathbf{P}_{n-1} \cdot W_1(1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-j+1} \cdot {}_n\mathbf{P}_{j-1} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-j+1} \cdot {}_n\mathbf{P}_{j-1}
\end{aligned}$$

The last step follows from the fact that $W_1(1) = 0$. ■

Proposition 2.4. *For every m with $0 \leq m \leq n$,*

$$W_n(m) = {}_n\mathbf{P}_m \cdot \sum_{l=0}^m \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} \quad (14)$$

Proof The only term in the expansion of per \tilde{C}_n that has no variable elements is $\tilde{c}_{11} \cdots \tilde{c}_{nn}$, so $W_n(0) = 1 = {}_n\mathbf{P}_0 \cdot \frac{(-1)^0}{0!}$. This proves that (14) holds for $m = 0$. By (11),

$$\begin{aligned}
W_n(n) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-j+1} \cdot {}_n\mathbf{P}_{j-1} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-j+1} \cdot \frac{n!}{(n-j+1)!} \\
&= n! \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^{n-j+1}}{(n-j+1)!} = {}_n\mathbf{P}_n \cdot \sum_{l=2}^n \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} \\
&= {}_n\mathbf{P}_n \cdot \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{(-1)^l}{l!}
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that (14) holds for $m = n$ (the last step follows from the fact that $\sum_{l=0}^1 \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} = 1 - 1 = 0$), so we can assume that $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$. By (10) and (11),

$$\begin{aligned} W_n(m) &= \frac{{}_n P_m}{m!} \cdot W_m(m) = \frac{\left[\frac{n!}{(n-m)!} \right]}{m!} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-j+1} \cdot {}_m P_{j-1} \\ &= \frac{\left[\frac{n!}{(n-m)!} \right]}{m!} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-j+1} \cdot \frac{m!}{(m-j+1)!} \\ &= \frac{n!}{(n-m)!} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^{m-j+1}}{(m-j+1)!} = {}_n P_m \cdot \sum_{l=2}^m \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} \\ &= {}_n P_m \cdot \sum_{l=0}^m \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} \end{aligned}$$

■ The values of $W_n(m)$ for $n = 1, \dots, 6$ (and $m = 0, \dots, n$) are given in Table I. The

Table I. Values of $W_n(m)$ for $n = 1, \dots, 6$ (and $m = 0, \dots, n$)

$n \setminus m$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	1	$\xrightarrow{-1}$ 0					
	$\downarrow \times \frac{2}{2}$	$\downarrow \times 2$					
2	1	0	$\xrightarrow{+1}$ 1				
	$\downarrow \times \frac{3}{3}$	$\downarrow \times \frac{3}{2}$	$\downarrow \times 3$				
3	1	0	3	$\xrightarrow{-1}$ 2			
	$\downarrow \times \frac{4}{4}$	$\downarrow \times \frac{4}{3}$	$\downarrow \times \frac{4}{2}$	$\downarrow \times 4$			
4	1	0	6	8	$\xrightarrow{+1}$ 9		
	$\downarrow \times \frac{5}{5}$	$\downarrow \times \frac{5}{4}$	$\downarrow \times \frac{5}{3}$	$\downarrow \times \frac{5}{2}$	$\downarrow \times 5$		
5	1	0	10	20	45	$\xrightarrow{-1}$ 44	
	$\downarrow \times \frac{6}{5}$	$\downarrow \times \frac{6}{5}$	$\downarrow \times \frac{6}{4}$	$\downarrow \times \frac{6}{3}$	$\downarrow \times \frac{6}{2}$	$\downarrow \times 6$	
6	1	0	15	40	135	264	$\xrightarrow{+1}$ 265
	$\downarrow \times \frac{7}{7}$	$\downarrow \times \frac{7}{6}$	$\downarrow \times \frac{7}{5}$	$\downarrow \times \frac{7}{4}$	$\downarrow \times \frac{7}{3}$	$\downarrow \times \frac{7}{2}$	$\downarrow \times 7$

sequence $\{W_n(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is number A000166 in Sloane's list [3]. The first ($n = 0$) term, which has no meaning for our purposes, is given there as 1. For $n \geq 1$, $W_n(n)$ is not only the number of derangements of the numbers $1, 2, 3, \dots, n$, but also the permanent of the $n \times n$ binary matrix with 0's on the main diagonal and 1's everywhere else. The sequences $\{W_n(2)\}_{n \geq 2}$, $\{W_n(3)\}_{n \geq 3}$, $\{W_n(4)\}_{n \geq 4}$, and $\{W_n(5)\}_{n \geq 5}$ are given in Sloane's list (numbers A00217, A007290, A060008, and A060836, respectively). There is no $m > 5$ for which the sequence $\{W_n(m)\}_{n \geq m}$ is given in that list. An alternative method of determining the value of $W_n(m)$ is to use the cycle structure of the permutation group \mathfrak{S}_n . For $n = 5$, the types and numbers of cycles associated with elements of \mathfrak{S}_5 that are applicable to each value of m are listed in Table II, together with the values of $W_5(m)$ computed from them. For $m = 4$ and $m = 5$, there are two different types of cycles each. The permutations that correspond to

$m = 4$ (which index the terms in the expansion of $\text{per } \tilde{S}_n$ that have 4 variable elements) are those that can be expressed as a 4-cycle (such as (1234)) and those that can be expressed as a product of two 2-cycles (such as (12)(34)). Similarly, the permutations that correspond to $m = 5$ (which index the terms with 5 variable elements) are the one that can be expressed as the 5-cycle (12345) and those that can be expressed as a product of one 2-cycle and one 3-cycle (such as (12)(345)).

Table II. Computation of $W_5(m)$ from group table for \mathfrak{S}_5

m	cycle	rep.	No. of cycles	$W_5(m)$
0	1^5	e	$5! / (1^5 \cdot 5!) = 1$	1
2	$2^1 \cdot 1^3$	(12)	$5! / (2^1 \cdot 1! \cdot 1^3 \cdot 3!) = 10$	10
3	$3^1 \cdot 1^2$	(123)	$5! / (3^1 \cdot 1! \cdot 1^2 \cdot 2!) = 20$	20
4	$4^1 \cdot 1^1$	(1234)	$5! / (4^1 \cdot 1! \cdot 1^1 \cdot 1!) = 30$	30 + 15
	$2^2 \cdot 1^1$	(12)(34)	$5! / (2^2 \cdot 2! \cdot 1! \cdot 1^1) = 15$	= 45
5	5^1	(12345)	$5! / (5^1 \cdot 1!) = 24$	24 + 20
	$2^1 \cdot 3^1$	(12)(345)	$5! / (2^1 \cdot 1! \cdot 3^1 \cdot 1!) = 20$	= 44

2.3. Type $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_n$

For type $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_n$, let $V_n(m)$ denote $E_n(m)$, so that

$$Q_{\text{per } \tilde{B}_n=0} = \prod_{m=1}^n (1 - r^m)^{V_n(m)} \quad (15)$$

Proposition 2.5. *For every m with $1 \leq m \leq n$,*

$$V_n(m) = \frac{{}_n P_m}{n} \cdot \left\{ \left[(m+1) \cdot \sum_{l=0}^m \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} \right] - \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \right\} \quad (16)$$

Proof Let \tilde{b}_{11} be the sole variable element on the main diagonal of a matrix of type $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_n$. If we delete the L-shape that consists of row 1 and column 1, we obtain a matrix of type $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{n-1}$. Therefore,

$$V_n(m) - W_{n-1}(m-1) = W_n(m) - W_{n-1}(m) \quad (17)$$

By (14),

$$\begin{aligned} V_n(m) &= W_n(m) - W_{n-1}(m) + W_{n-1}(m-1) \\ &= \left[{}_n P_m \cdot \sum_{l=0}^m \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} \right] - \left[{}_{n-1} P_m \cdot \sum_{l=0}^m \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} \right] + \left[{}_{n-1} P_{m-1} \cdot \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} \right] \\ &= \frac{{}_n P_m}{n} \cdot \left\{ \left[(m+1) \cdot \sum_{l=0}^m \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} \right] - \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \right\} \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

The values of $V_n(m)$ for $n = 1, \dots, 8$ (and $m = 0, \dots, n$) are given in Table III. The sequence $\{V_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is number A000255 in Sloane's list [3]. The first ($n = 0$) term, which has no meaning for our purposes, is given there as 1. For $n \geq 1$, $V_n(n)$ is the number of permutations of the

Table III. Values of $V_n(m)$ for $n = 1, \dots, 8$ (and $m = 0, \dots, n$)

$n \setminus m$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	0	1							
2	0	1	1						
3	0	1	2	3					
4	0	1	3	9	11				
5	0	1	4	18	44	53			
6	0	1	5	30	110	265	309		
7	0	1	6	45	220	795	1854	2119	
8	0	1	7	63	385	1855	6489	14833	16687

numbers $1, 2, 3, \dots, n+1$ such that there is no element k which is mapped to $k+1$; it is also the permanent of the $n \times n$ binary matrix that has 0's in all but one of the elements on the main diagonal, and 1's everywhere else. The only value of m for which the sequence $\{V_n(m)\}_{n \geq m}$ is given in Sloane's list is 3 (where the sequence $\{V_n(3)\}_{n \geq 3}$ is number A045943).

3. Comparison of Formulas

For $n = 3$, the formulas for the approximate probabilities $Q_{\text{per } \tilde{S}_3=u}(r)$ are

$$Q_{\text{per } \tilde{A}_3=0}(r) = (1 - r^3)^{3!} = (1 - r^3)^6$$

$$Q_{\text{per } \tilde{B}_3=0}(r) = \prod_{m=1}^3 (1 - r^m)^{V_3(m)} = (1 - r^1)^1 (1 - r^2)^2 (1 - r^3)^3$$

$$Q_{\text{per } \tilde{C}_3=1}(r) = \prod_{m=1}^3 (1 - r^m)^{W_3(m)} = (1 - r^1)^0 (1 - r^2)^3 (1 - r^3)^2$$

The formulas for the exact probabilities $P_{\text{per } \tilde{S}_3=u}(r)$ derived in [1] are

$$P_{\text{per } \tilde{A}_3=0}(r) = (1 - r)^9 + 9r(1 - r)^8 + 36r^2(1 - r)^7 + 78r^3(1 - r)^6 \\ + 90r^4(1 - r)^5 + 45r^5(1 - r)^4 + 6r^6(1 - r)^3$$



$$P_{\text{per } \tilde{B}_3=0}(r) = (1 - r)^7 + 6r(1 - r)^6 + 13r^2(1 - r)^5 + 10r^3(1 - r)^4 \\ + 2r^4(1 - r)^3$$

$$P_{\text{per } \tilde{C}_3=1}(r) = (1 - r)^6 + 6r(1 - r)^5 + 12r^2(1 - r)^4 + 6r^3(1 - r)^3$$

All six of the functions given above (for $n = 3$) are graphed vs. r in Fig. 2. Also, Fig. 3 shows the six curves for $n = 5$ vs. r . For every $n \geq 1$ and all three types of matrices ($\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n$, $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_n$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_n$),

$$\sum_{m=0}^n E_n(m) = n! \quad (18)$$

Thus the differences in the values of $E_n(m)$ for the different types of matrices stem entirely from differences in the distribution of $n!$ over the individual values of m . For type $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n$, that

Figure 2. Graphs of $Q_{\text{per } \tilde{S}_3=u}(r)$ and $P_{\text{per } \tilde{S}_3=u}(r)$ vs. r Figure 3. Graphs of $Q_{\text{per } \tilde{S}_5=u}(r)$ and $P_{\text{per } \tilde{S}_5=u}(r)$ vs. r

distribution is trivial (namely, $E_n(n) = n!$, and $E_n(m) = 0$ for $m < n$). In the case of type $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_n$, we found an analytic formula for the distribution:

$$W_n(m) = {}_n P_m \cdot \sum_{l=0}^m \frac{(-1)^l}{l!}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$$

As illustrated earlier, the distribution for type $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_n$ can also be derived from the cycle structure of the permutation group \mathfrak{S}_n . Moreover, we have shown that the distribution for type $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_n$ can be derived from that for type $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_n$, by using the relation $V_n(m) - W_{n-1}(m-1) = W_n(m) - W_{n-1}(m)$. As established in [1], there is an analytic formula for the coefficients in $P_{\text{per } \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_n=1}(r)$, since they can be derived from characteristics of acyclic digraphs with vertex set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$. For several values of n , the coefficients in $P_{\text{per } \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n=0}(r)$ and $P_{\text{per } \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_n=0}(r)$ were also presented in that paper, but they were determined by computer, using an algorithm that took a binary matrix of a given type as input and computed its permanent. It remains to be seen whether there are explicit formulas for the coefficients in $P_{\text{per } \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n=0}(r)$ and $P_{\text{per } \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_n=0}(r)$, and whether there is an analytic formula that relates one set of coefficients to the other.

REFERENCES

1. Ito, G., Least change in the Determinant or Permanent of a matrix under perturbation of a single element: continuous and discrete cases, *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications* (submitted).
2. de Montmort, P.R. *Essay D'analyse Sur Les Jeux De Hasard* (3rd edn). AMS/Chelsea Pub. Co.: New York, 1980; 131–138.
3. Sloane, N.J.A. *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences* (published electronically at <http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/>). 1996–2007.