

# The role of Kelvin-Helmholtz instability in dusty and partially ionized outflows

Mohsen Shadmehri<sup>1,2\*</sup> and Turlough P. Downes<sup>1†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Mathematical Sciences, Dublin City University, Glasnevin, Dublin 9, Ireland*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, School of Science, Ferdowsi University, Mashhad, Iran*

7 December 2021

## ABSTRACT

We investigate the linear theory of Kelvin-Helmholtz instability at the interface between a partially ionized dusty outflow and the ambient material analytically. We model the interaction as a multifluid system in a planar geometry. The unstable modes are independent from the charge polarity of the dust particles. Although our results show a stabilizing effect for charged dust particles, the growth time scale of the growing modes gradually becomes independent of the mass or charge of the dust particles when the magnetic field strength increases. We show that growth time scale decreases with increasing the magnetic field. Also, as the mass of the dust particles increases, the growth time scale of the unstable mode increases.

**Key words:** instabilities - ISM: general - ISM: jets and outflows

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The Kelvin-Helmholtz (KH) instability is of much interest in the investigation of variety of the astrophysical phenomena such as interaction between jets or outflows and the ambient medium (e.g., Watson et al. 2007; Birk & Wiechen 2002; Rosen et al. 1999; Downes & Ray 1998; Hardee & Stone 1997; Bodo et al. 1995; ). This instability, the simplest example of shear flow instability, is a well-known phenomenon in fluid mechanics and astrophysics (e.g. Chandrasekhar 1961). Despite the very existence of the ions, neutrals and charged dust particles in jets or outflows (Markwick-Kemper, Green & Peeters 2005; Weinberger & Armsdorfer 2004; Gueth, Bachiller & Tafalla 2003; Shepherd 2001), the KH instability in these systems has been studied mostly in one fluid approximation just for simplicity. Dusty outflows are observed in some of the starburst galaxies (e.g., Alton, Davies & Bianchi 1999). However, a two-fluid treatment of KH instability, taking account of the different motions of ions and neutrals and of the magnetic field, has been investigated by some authors as well (e.g., Birk et al. 2000; Watson et al. 2004; Chhajlani & Vyas 1991; Chhajlani & Vyas 1990).

Birk et al. (2000) studied the KH instability by taking into account the full incompressible dynamics of both the neutral and the ionized gas components with applications to multi-phase galactic outflow winds. In another similar

study, Watson et al. (2004) investigated the KH instability in the linear, partially ionized regime to determine its possible effect on entrainment in massive bipolar outflows. They showed that for much of the relevant parameter space, neutral and ions are sufficiently decoupled that the neutrals are unstable while ions are held in place by the magnetic field. Shadmehri & Downes (2007) extended this analysis to a *layer* of ions and neutrals with finite thickness. They showed that perturbations with wavelength comparable to layer’s thickness are significantly affected by the thickness of the layer. Birk & Wiechen (2002) focused on unstable shear flows in partially ionized dense dusty plasma. They considered the dust and neutral gas components so that dust and neutral collisions is the dominant momentum transfer mechanism and dust component can interact with magnetic field lines, although dust charge fluctuations are negligible. They showed long wavelength modes can be stabilized by dust and neutral gas collisional momentum transfer. However, their analysis focused on numerical solution and multifluid numerical simulations of the problem of shear flow in weakly ionized, magnetized dusty plasmas, and did not concentrate on solving the dispersion relation for this type of flow. In this paper, we will obtain the dispersion relation of KH instability in a multifluid system.

Recently, Wiechen (2006) studied the KH instability by doing multifluid numerical simulations in partially ionized, dusty plasmas for different masses and charges of dust. He showed a stabilizing effect for more massive dust grains with no dependence on the charge polarity of the dust. In this pa-

\* E-mail: mohsen.shadmehri@dcu.ie (MS);

† E-mail: turlough.downes@dcu.ie (TPD)

per, we study KH instability in a multifluid system *analytically*. In particular, we apply our results to magnetized dusty outflows. In the next section, the basic equations and assumptions which are based on Pandey & Vladimirov (2007) are presented. We develop analytic estimates of the relevant time scales of the KH modes. In section 3, properties of the unstable growing modes are studied.

## 2 GENERAL FORMULATION

### 2.1 Basic multifluid equations

Our basic equations and the main assumptions are similar to Pandey & Vladimirov (2007). We take account of the different bulk velocities and densities of the neutral, electrons, ions and charged dust particles on both sides of the KH interface. The continuity equation is

$$\frac{\partial \rho_j}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_j \mathbf{v}_j) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $\rho_j$  and  $\mathbf{v}_j$  are the velocity of the various plasma components and the neutrals, respectively.

The momentum equations are

$$0 = -q_j n_j (\mathbf{E}' + \frac{\mathbf{v}_j \times \mathbf{B}}{c}) - \rho_j \nu_{jn} \mathbf{v}_j, \quad (2)$$

$$\rho_n (\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_n}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_n \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_n) = -\nabla P + \sum_{e,i,d} \rho_j \nu_{jn} \mathbf{v}_j. \quad (3)$$

Note that velocities  $\mathbf{v}_j$  are written in the neutral frame and  $\mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_n \times \mathbf{B}/c$  is the electric field in the neutral frame.  $j$  stands for electrons ( $q_e = -e$ ), ions ( $q_i = e$ ) and dust ( $q_d = Ze$ ), where  $Z$  is the number of charge on the grain. The other physical variables have their usual meanings.

Also, the collision frequencies is (Draine et al. 1983)

$$\nu_{jn} = \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle_{jn}}{m_j + m_n} \rho_n, \quad (4)$$

where  $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{jn}$  is the rate coefficient for the momentum transfer by the collision of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  particle with the neutrals:

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{in} = 1.9 \times 10^{-9} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}, \quad (5)$$

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{en} = 4.5 \times 10^{-9} \left(\frac{T}{30\text{K}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

and for small grains, we have  $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{dn} \approx \langle \sigma v \rangle_{in}$ , but for grains ranging between a few Angstrom to a few microns (Nakano & Umebayashi 1986)

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{dn} = 2.8 \times 10^{-5} \left(\frac{T}{30\text{K}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left(\frac{a}{10^{-5} \text{cm}}\right)^2 \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}, \quad (7)$$

where  $a$  is the grain radius. In our calculation, for the ion mass and mean neutral mass we adopt  $m_i = 30m_p$  and  $m_n = 2.33m_p$ , where  $m_p = 1.67 \times 10^{-24} \text{g}$  is the proton mass.

Defining the mass density of the bulk fluid and the bulk velocity as  $\rho \approx \rho_n$  and  $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}_n$ , equations (1), (2) and (3) give the continuity and the momentum equations for the bulk fluid as

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\rho \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \right) = -\nabla P + \frac{\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}}{c}. \quad (9)$$

The next simplifying assumption is that electrons and ions are assumed well coupled to the magnetic field which implies  $\beta_e \gg \beta_i \gg 1$ , where  $\beta_j = \omega_{cj}/\nu_{jn}$  is the ratio of cyclotron  $\omega_{cj} = q_j B/m_j c$  to the collision frequencies. Based on this assumption and using quasi-neutrality condition, we have (Pandey & Vladimirov 2007; Ciolek & Mouschovias 1993)

$$\mathbf{v}_e = -\frac{1 + \Theta}{Z e n_d} \mathbf{J}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\Theta = [1 + \frac{\nu_{nd}}{\nu_{ni}}] \beta_d^2. \quad (11)$$

Thus, the induction equation can be written as (Pandey & Vladimirov 2007)

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times [(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) - \frac{1 + \Theta}{Z e n_d} \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}] \quad (12)$$

Equations (8), (9) and (12) along with the equation

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (13)$$

are our basic equations for Kelvin-Helmholtz instability in a partially ionized medium. However, we assume incompressibility for the analytical calculations.

### 2.2 Linear perturbations

For doing linear analysis, the unperturbed properties of the system are important. We suppose that the streaming takes place in the  $x$ -direction with velocity  $U(z)$ ,

$$U(z) = \begin{cases} +U & \text{for } z < 0 \\ -U & \text{for } z > 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $U$  is constant. The magnetic field is assumed parallel to the interface; that is,  $\mathbf{B} = B \mathbf{e}_x$ . Finally, all unperturbed physical quantities are assumed constant in each medium.

Now, we can linearize the basic equations. We perturb the physical variables as

$$\chi(z, x, t) = \chi'(z) \exp[i(\omega t + k_x x + k_y y)]. \quad (14)$$

Thus,

$$ik_x u'_x + ik_y u'_y + \frac{du'_z}{dz} = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$\phi \rho u'_x = -k_x P', \quad (16)$$

$$\phi \rho u'_y = -k_y P' + \frac{B}{4\pi} (k_x B'_y - k_y B'_x), \quad (17)$$

$$\phi \rho u'_z = i \frac{dP'}{dz} - \frac{iB}{4\pi} (ik_x B'_z - \frac{dB'_x}{dz}), \quad (18)$$

$$\phi B'_x = k_x B u'_x + \eta k_x B \left( \frac{dB'_y}{dz} - ik_y B'_z \right), \quad (19)$$

$$\phi B'_y = k_x B u'_y - \eta k_x B \left( \frac{dB'_x}{dz} - ik_x B'_z \right), \quad (20)$$

$$\phi B'_z = k_x B u'_z - \eta k_x B i (k_x B'_y - k_y B'_x), \quad (21)$$

$$ik_x B'_x + ik_y B'_y + \frac{dB'_z}{dz} = 0, \quad (22)$$

where

$$\phi = \omega + k_x U, \eta = \frac{c}{4\pi} \frac{1 + \Theta}{Z e n_d} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{B}{\rho \omega_{mcd}}, \quad (23)$$

and  $\omega_{mcd} = (\rho_d/\rho)(1/1 + \Theta)(ZeB/m_d c)$  is the modified dust-cyclotron frequency.

Now, we can simplify the above differential equations. By multiplying equation (16) by  $k_x$  and equation (17) by  $k_y$  and then adding the resulting equations, we obtain

$$i\phi\rho \frac{du'_z}{dz} = -k^2 P' + \frac{k_y B}{4\pi} (k_x B'_y - k_y B'_x), \quad (24)$$

where  $k^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2$ . This equation gives  $P'$  in terms of the other variables and the parameters. By substituting  $P'$  into equation (18), we obtain

$$\rho\phi(\mathcal{D} - k^2)u'_z = \frac{k_x B}{4\pi}(\mathcal{D} - k^2)B'_z, \quad (25)$$

where  $\mathcal{D} \equiv \frac{d^2}{dz^2}$ .

On the other hand, using equations (16) and (17) we have

$$k_x u'_y - k_y u'_x = \frac{k_x B}{4\pi\rho\phi} (k_x B'_y - k_y B'_x). \quad (26)$$

Also, using equation (19) and (20) we obtain

$$k_x B'_y - k_y B'_x = \frac{k_x B}{\phi} (k_x u'_y - k_y u'_x) - \frac{\eta k_x B i}{\phi} (\mathcal{D} - k^2) B'_z. \quad (27)$$

So, from equations (26) and (27) we have

$$k_x B'_y - k_y B'_x = -i \frac{(\eta k_x B / \phi)}{1 - (k_x^2 B^2 / 4\pi\rho\phi^2)} \times (\mathcal{D} - k^2) B'_z. \quad (28)$$

Substituting this equation into equation (21) gives

$$B'_z = \frac{k_x B}{\phi} u'_z - \frac{(\eta^2 k_x^2 B^2 / \phi^2)}{1 - (k_x^2 B^2 / 4\pi\rho\phi^2)} (\mathcal{D} - k^2) B'_z. \quad (29)$$

Equations (25) and (29) are our main equation for KH analysis. However, we can simply them into one differential equation for  $u'_z$ ,

$$(\mathcal{D} - q^2)(\mathcal{D} - k^2)u'_z = 0, \quad (30)$$

where

$$q^2 = k^2 - \frac{1}{4\pi\rho\eta^2} \left(\frac{v_A k_x}{\phi}\right)^2 \left[\left(\frac{\phi}{v_A k_x}\right)^2 - 1\right]^2, \quad (31)$$

and  $v_A = B/\sqrt{4\pi\rho}$  is Alfvén speed.

### 2.3 Dispersion relation

The general solution of equation (30) is a linear combination of  $\exp(\pm kz)$  and  $\exp(\pm qz)$ . Thus, we can write the solutions of equation (30) appropriate to the two regions as

$$u'_z(z) = \begin{cases} A_1 e^{+kz} + A_2 e^{+q_1 z} & \text{for } z < 0 \\ A_3 e^{-kz} + A_4 e^{-q_2 z} & \text{for } z > 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  and  $A_4$  are the constants of integration yet to be obtained from the boundary conditions appropriate to

our system. Note that  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are given by equation (31), and are assumed to have a positive real part so as to render the perturbations bounded at infinity. We have

$$q_1^2 = k^2 \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{\omega_{mcd}}{kU}\right)^2 \left[\frac{\mathcal{M}^2(x+1)^2 - 1}{x+1}\right]^2 \right\}, \quad (32)$$

$$q_2^2 = k^2 \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{\omega_{mcd}}{kU}\right)^2 \left[\frac{\mathcal{M}^2(x-1)^2 - 1}{x-1}\right]^2 \right\}, \quad (33)$$

and  $\mathcal{M} = U/v_A$  and  $x = \omega/k_x U$ .

The boundary conditions to be satisfied at the interface  $z = 0$  are the standard conditions which have been used by many authors (Hunter & Whitaker 1989; Roychoudhury & Lovelace 1986; Mehta & Bhatia 1988; Chhajlani & Vyas 1990; Chhajlani & Vyas 1991). We are using the continuity of normal and tangential components of the magnetic field, the vertical displacement of the interface and the total pressure as the boundary conditions. After doing mathematical manipulations, we obtain

$$\frac{A_1 + A_2}{x+1} = \frac{A_3 + A_4}{x-1}, \quad (34)$$

$$[1 - \mathcal{M}^2(x+1)^2]A_2 = \frac{x+1}{x-1}[1 - \mathcal{M}^2(x-1)^2]A_4, \quad (35)$$

$$\frac{x+1}{x-1}(kA_1 + q_1 A_2) = -kA_3 - q_2 A_4, \quad (36)$$

$$kA_1 + q_1 \mathcal{M}^2(x+1)^2 A_2 = \frac{x+1}{x-1} \times [-kA_3 - q_2 \mathcal{M}^2(x-1)^2 A_4]. \quad (37)$$

For a non-trivial solution of the above algebraic equations, the determinant of the coefficients should vanish. We get the following equation

$$\beta\alpha^2 q_1 + q_2 = \frac{(1-\beta)(\alpha^2-1)}{(1+\beta)[1-M^2(x+1)^2]} k, \quad (38)$$


where  $\alpha = (x+1)/(x-1)$  and  $\beta = [1 - M^2(x-1)^2]/[1 - M^2(x+1)^2]$ . Equation (38) is the general dispersion relation. However, it would be extremely unwieldy to solve it in its present form. After substituting the values of  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  and doing long algebraic mathematical manipulations, we can transform equation (38) into a polynomial form, i.e.

$$P_8 z^8 + P_7 z^7 + P_6 z^6 + P_5 z^5 + P_4 z^4 + P_3 z^3 + P_2 z^2 + P_1 z + P_0 = 0, \quad (39)$$

where  $x = \pm\sqrt{z}/M$  and the coefficients  $P_1 \dots P_8$  are presented in the Appendix. We note that not all roots of the equation (39) are acceptable. All roots are inserted into our fundamental dispersion relation (38) to determine which are the valid solutions. We accept only those solutions which have negative imaginary part and give us positive values for the real parts of  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ .

### 3 ANALYSIS

Now, we carry out a parameter study of the roots of equation (39) as functions of the input parameters. Although this dispersion relation is applicable to a wide range of astrophysical systems, we restrict our analysis to dusty outflows with small grains in order to illustrate the effect of



**Figure 1.** Growth time scales of the instability vs. wavelength of the perturbations for different level of the magnetic strength and various sizes of dust particles. In these plots, it is assumed  $|Z| = 1$ . Curves of top plot are labeled by the size of the dust particles in Angstrom. But since for  $B > 50\mu\text{G}$ , the curves are not very sensitive to the size of the dust particles or their electrical charge, curves of the bottom plot are labeled by the magnetic strength only.

charged dust particles on the growth rate of the unstable modes. We take the molecular hydrogen density to be  $10^3 \text{ cm}^3$  and the ratio of ionized to neutral mass density is  $10^{-6}$ . Also, the ratio of dust to neutral density is assumed to be 0.01. The bulk density of a dust particle is assumed  $1.25 \text{ gr cm}^{-3}$ . Also, the relative velocity  $2U$  is assumed to be  $20 \text{ Km s}^{-1}$ . We note that the electrical charge of the dust particles appears only through the modified dust-cyclotron frequency  $\omega_{mcd}$ . But in our final dispersion relation, this parameter  $f = (\omega_{mcd}/kU)^{-2}$  appears and so, the polarity of the electrical charge of the dust particle is not important.

Since we fix the densities and the velocity for the flow, when the magnetic field strength increases the Mach number decreases. Another important input parameter is modified dust-cyclotron frequency  $\omega_{mcd}$  which is calculated based on the other input parameters. Figure 1 shows growth rates of the fastest unstable growing mode versus wavelength of the perturbations for different level of the magnetic strength and various sizes of dust particles. Here, the electrical charge of dust particles is assumed to be  $|Z| = +1$ . Top plot of Figure 1 shows the dispersion relation for weak magnetic field, but the bottom plot is for magnetic field with stronger strength. We noticed as the strength of the magnetic field increases, the time-scale of the growing modes becomes independent of

the variations of mass of the dust particles. In top plot of this figure, solid curves are corresponding to magnetic strength  $B = 10 \mu\text{G}$ , but dashed lines are for  $B = 20 \mu\text{G}$ . Each curve is labeled by the size of the dust particles. When the size (i.e., mass) of the dust particles increases, the time-scale of the growing modes increases too. In other words, mass of the dust particles has a stabilizing effect on the growing modes.


In bottom plot of Figure 1, the dispersion relation is shown for stronger magnetic field strengths, i.e.  $B = 60, 80$  and  $100 \mu\text{G}$ . However, the plots are insensitive to the mass of small dust particles. We see as the magnetic field increases, the growth rate of the unstable modes at a particular wavelength of the perturbation increases. It means that the magnetic field has a destabilizing effect on the unstable modes.

Figure 2 shows the dispersion relation for the magnetic strength  $B = 10 \mu\text{G}$  and dust particles with radius  $100 \text{ Angstrom}$ , but changing the electrical charge of the grains. As the charge of the grain increases, the growing time-scale of the unstable modes increases.

We can understand the latter result as follows. From the form of our dispersion relation it is clear that the only variable which changes as we vary the grain radius,  $a$ , is  $f$  through the modified dust cyclotron frequency,  $\omega_{mcd}$ . Using relations (4), (7), the definition of  $\omega_{mcd}$  and recalling that the mass of a dust grain is proportional to  $a^3$  we can see that as  $a$  increases  $\omega_{mcd}$  decreases. Physically this means that larger grains are less well coupled to the magnetic field, since the dust grains can only respond to variations of the magnetic field with frequency less than  $\omega_{mcd}$ . As Figure 1 shows, the magnetic field destabilizes the flow. Hence it is no surprise that as the dust component of the flow becomes less coupled to the field the flow becomes more stable. A similar argument explains the results in Fig 2. According to the definition of the modified dust cyclotron frequency, we have  $\omega_{mcd} \propto |Z|/\Theta$ . Since equation (11) shows that  $\Theta \propto |Z|^2$ , we can conclude that  $\omega_{mcd} \propto |Z|^{-1}$ . Thus, for increasing values of  $|Z|$  the dust cyclotron frequency  $\omega_{mcd}$  decreases which implies less magnetic coupling and greater growth times. Interestingly, numerical simulations (e.g. Wiechen 2006) and laboratory experiments (e.g. Luo, Angelo & Merlino 2001) have also shown that the Kelvin-Helmholtz mode is significantly stabilized with increasing mass of the dust.

## 4 DISCUSSION

We studied the KH instability in a multifluid system analytically. Our results show that a higher mass of the dust particles has a stabilizing effect on the growing KH modes. But when the strength of the magnetic field increases, the time-scale of the growing modes gradually are becoming independent of dust size or mass. Also, the dynamics of unstable modes are independent from the charge polarity of the dust. Considering the wavelength of the perturbations and the time scale of the growing modes, we may conclude that some of structures which are seen in dusty outflows (e.g., Weinberger & Armsdorfer 2004; Gueth, Bachiller & Tafalla 2003; Shepherd 2001) are produced by the KH instability.



**Figure 2.** Growth time scales of the instability vs. wavelength of the perturbations for different electrical charge of the dust particles.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the referee, G. E. Ciolek, for suggestions and comments to improve the paper. The research of M. S. was funded under the Programme for Research in Third Level Institutions (PRTL) administered by the Irish Higher Education Authority under the National Development Plan and with partial support from the European Regional Development Fund.

## REFERENCES

- Alton P. B., Davies J. I., Bianchi S., 1999, *A&A*, 343, 51  
 Birk G. T., Wiechen H., 2002, *Physics Plasmas*, 9, 964  
 Birk G. T., Wiechen H., Lesch H., Kronberg P. P., 2000, *A&A*, 353, 108  
 Bodo G., Massaglia S., Rossi P., Rosner R., Malagoli A., Ferrari A., 1995, *A&A*, 303, 281  
 Chandrasekhar S., 1961, *Hydrodynamics and Hydromagnetic Stability* (Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press)  
 Chhajlani R. K., Vyas M. K., 1991, *Ap&SS*, 176, 69  
 Chhajlani R. K., Vyas M. K., 1990, *Ap&SS*, 173, 109  
 Ciolek G. E., Mouschovias T. Ch., 1993, *ApJ*, 418, 774  
 Downes T. P., Ray T. P., *A&A*, 1998, 331, 1130  
 Gueth F., Bachiller R., Tafalla M., *A&A*, 2003, 401, L5  
 Hardee P. E., Stone J. M., 1997, *ApJ*, 483, 121  
 Hunter J. H., Whitaker R. W., 1989, *ApJS*, 71, 777  
 Luo Q. Z., Angelo N. D., Merlino R. L., 2001, *Physics Plasmas*, 8, 31  
 Markwick-Kemper F., Green J. D., Peeters E., 2005, 628, L119  
 Mehta V., Bhatia P. K., 1988, *Ap&SS*, 141, 151  
 Pandey B. P., Vladimirov S. V., 2007, *ApJ*, 664, 942  
 Rosen A., Hardee P. E., Clarke D. A., Johnson A., 1999, *ApJ*, 510, 136  
 Roychoudhury S., Lovelace R. V. E., 1986, *ApJ*, 302, 188  
 Shepherd D. S., *ApJ*, 2001, 546, 345  
 Shadmehri M., Downes T. P., *Astrophysics & Space Science*, 2007, in press

- Watson C., Churchwell E., Zweibel E. G., Crutcher R. M., 2007, *ApJ*, 657, 318  
 Watson C., Zweibel E. G., Heitsch F., Churchwell E., 2004, *ApJ*, 608, 274  
 Weinberger R., Arnsdorfer B., 2004, *A&A*, 416, L27  
 Wiechen H., 2006, *Physics Plasmas*, 13, 062104

## THE COEFFICIENTS OF THE DISPERSION EQUATION

The coefficients of equation (39) are

$$P_8 = M^4,$$

$$P_7 = -8M^4,$$

$$P_6 = -8M^6 - 4M^8 + 28M^4 + 4M^2 f,$$

$$P_5 = -20M^2 f - 56M^4 + 48M^6 + 8M^4 f + 8M^8,$$

$$P_4 = -4M^6 f + 70M^4 - 20M^4 f + 4f^2 + 40M^2 f + 36M^8 + 8M^{10} + 6M^{12} - 120M^6,$$

$$P_3 = -8f^2 + 32M^{10} + 160M^6 + 8M^{12} - 40M^2 f + 32M^4 f - 144M^8 - 56M^4 + 40M^6 f - 16M^8 f,$$

$$P_2 = 8M^{14} + 8M^2 f^2 + 40M^8 f - 120M^6 - 4M^{10} f - 8M^4 f^2 + 4f^2 + 36M^{12} + 196M^8 - 4M^{16} + 28M^4 - 40M^4 f - 144M^{10} - 16M^6 f + 20M^2 f,$$

$$P_1 = -120M^8 + 8M^2 f^2 + 8fM^{12} - 20M^{10} f - 120M^{12} - 4M^2 f + 48M^6 + 8M^4 f^2 + 32M^8 f + 24M^4 f - 8M^4 + 48M^{14} + 160M^{10} - 8M^{16} - 40M^6 f,$$

$$P_0 = -8M^{18} + M^{20} - 20fM^{12} + 4M^{14} f - 8M^6 + 28M^8 + 4M^4 f^2 + 28M^{16} + 4f^2 M^8 - 40M^8 f + 40M^{10} f - 4M^4 f + 70M^{12} - 8f^2 M^6 - 56M^{14}, -56M^{10} + M^4 + 20M^6 f.$$

where  $f = (\omega_{mcd}/kU)^{-2}$ .