

On Monge-Kantorovich Problem in the Plane

BY YINFANG SHEN AND WEIAN ZHENG*

Abstract

We transfer the celebrating Monge-Kantorovich problem in a bounded domain of Euclidean plane into a Dirichlet boundary problem associated to a quasi-linear elliptic equation with 0-order term missing in its diffusion coefficients:

$$A(x, F'_x)F''_{xx} + B(y, F'_y)F''_{yy} = C(x, y, F'_x, F'_y)$$

where $A(.,.) > 0, B(.,.) > 0$ and C are functions based on the initial distributions, F is an unknown probability distribution function and therefore closed the former problem.

The mass transport problem was first formulated by Monge in 1781, and concerned finding the optimal way, in the sense of minimal transportation cost of moving a pile of soil from one site to another. This problem was given a modern formulation in the work of Kantorovitch and so is now known as the Monge-Kantorovich problem.

This type of problem has appeared in economics, automatic control, transportation, fluid dynamics, statistical physics, shape optimization, expert system, meteorology and financial mathematics. For example, for the general tracking problem, a robust and reliable object and shape recognition system is of major importance. A key way to carry this out is via template matching. which is the matching of some some object to another within a given catalogue of objects. Typically, the match will not be exact and hence some criterion is necessary to measure the “goodness of fit”.

Many mathematicians from different fields are interested in Monge-Kantorovich problem. This classical problem was revived in the mid eighties by the work of Y.Brenier([6], [7]), who characterized the optimal transfer plans in terms of gradients of convex functions. In the last decades, this problem has been recovered to

*Research partially supported N.S.F.C. Grant 10771070

have a close relationship with certain evolutionary PDE's, which can be interpreted as gradient flows of certain entropy functionals with respect to a metric (which is well-known to probabilists, see Knott-Smitt [20] and Rachev-Rueschendorf [26]) involving optimal transportation called Wasserstein metric. The first application to mathematical physics (kinetic models) is due to Tanaka, in the seventies. In the early nineties the use of entropy functionals as a tool to prove convergence to equilibrium received a strong impulse due to the work of Cercignani, Carlen, Carvalho, Pulvirenti, Desvillettes, Toscani, Villani and others. Moreover, Toscani proved that similar methods could be used to prove optimal convergence to similarity for diffusion equations. At the same time Jordan, Kinderlehrer and Otto [17] discovered that the Fokker Planck equation can be solved by a steepest descent method involving a logarithmic entropy functional and the Wasserstein distance. This work marks the beginning of the modern gradient flow theory on Wasserstein spaces. After a few years, Arnold, Carrillo, Del Pino, Dolbeault, Jüngel, Markowich, Toscani and Unterreiter established the link between convergence to equilibrium for linear and nonlinear Fokker-Planck type equations and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities, by developing a previous idea of Bakry and Emery [3] (with applications to the Porous medium equation). The key ingredients of this theory (the log-Sobolev and the Csiszar-Kullback inequalities) are related to certain Gaussian isoperimetric inequalities (see e.g. Talagrand and Otto-Villani). Otto realized simultaneously that nonlinear diffusion equations can be seen as gradient flows in the 2-Wasserstein space of probability measures of a free energy functional. This metric structure has been made rigorous by Ambrosio-Gigli-Savar. At the same time, Carrillo-McCann-Villani applied these ideas to granular media models producing these arguments in smooth settings. A basic ingredient of this theory is the notion of convexity along geodesics in the Wasserstein space introduced by McCann, also called displacement convexity.

Another striking application of the optimal transportation (from the probabilistic point of view based on martingales theory) is the justification of the mean field limits of certain stochastic particle models by means of the theory of concentration inequalities developed (among the others) by Lévy, Gromov, Milman, Bobkov, Ledoux, Malrieu. A computational method for finding entropy functionals for evolutionary equations has been recently proposed by Juengel-Mattes. The use of the Wasserstein distance has been also extended to scalar conservation laws (Bolley-Brenier-Loeper, Carrillo-Di Francesco-Lattanzio). The use of these ideas to study the long-time asymptotics of dissipative homogeneous kinetic models is based on the almost equivalence of the Euclidean transportation metric with Fourier-based metrics and on the basic mechanism of contraction of probability metrics (Gabetta-Toscani-Wennberg, Bisi-Carrillo-Toscani, Bolley-Carrillo, Carrillo-Toscani). Several (important) authors have been involved in literature of the optimal transportation theory, with remarkable applications, we mention here Caffarelli [8][9][11],

Ledoux [21], Evans and Gangbo [14], Carlen and Gangbo [12]. We recommend Caffarelli's address to ICM2002 [11] and Trudinger's invited lecture to ICM2006 [30] and L.C.Evans and W.Gangbo's paper [14] for major references from PDE point of view. We also recommend S.T.Rachev and L.Rüschendorf's book [26] for a major reference from probability point of view.

There are several formulation of Monge-Kantorovich problem. We are going to use its formulation in terms of probability theory, which is to find the Kantorovich-Rubinstein-Wasserstein distance in the plane. Suppose that we are given two probability distributions P and \tilde{P} on R^2 . A 4-dimensional random vector (X, \tilde{X}) with P and \tilde{P} as the marginal distributions is called a coupling of this pair (P, \tilde{P}) . The minimum of the coupling distance $\|X - \tilde{X}\|_{L_2}$ among all such possible couplings is called Kantorovich-Rubinstein-Wasserstein L_2 -distance between P and \tilde{P} . From weak convergence theory, it is easy to see the existence of this optimal coupling (X, \tilde{X}) . The problem is to find a concrete way to get them. It has important applications in both probability theory and mass transfer problems. However, the problem has been only completely solved in one dimensional case. In R^1 , Kantorovich-Rubinstein-Wasserstein L_2 -distance is just given by [32]

$$\sqrt{\int_0^1 |F^{(-1)}(t) - \tilde{F}^{(-1)}(t)|^2 dt} \quad (0.1)$$

where F and \tilde{F} are distribution functions of P and \tilde{P} respectively, $F^{-1}(t)$ and $\tilde{F}^{-1}(t)$, $(0 \leq t \leq 1)$ are their right inverses.

Without losing generality, we may just consider two probability measures P and Q on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. Let X and Y be two random vectors defined on a same probability space with P and \tilde{P} as their individual laws. Denote

$$\tilde{X} = (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2) = (Y_1 + 1, Y_2 + 1)$$

and denote by \tilde{P} its probability distribution which is on $[1, 2] \times [1, 2]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & E[|X_1 - \tilde{X}_1|^2 + |X_2 - \tilde{X}_2|^2] \\ &= E[|X_1 - Y_1 - 1|^2 + |X_2 - Y_2 - 1|^2] \\ &= E[|X_1 - Y_1|^2 + |X_2 - Y_2|^2] - 2E[X_1] + 2E[Y_1] - 2E[X_2] + 2E[Y_2] + 2 \end{aligned}$$

which gives the relation between Kantorovich-Rubinstein-Wasserstein L_2 -distance of (P, Q) and that of (P, \tilde{P}) . Since $-E[X_1] + E[Y_1] - E[X_2] + E[Y_2]$ is given, it is sufficient to discuss the later.

Assume that P is a probability measure on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and \tilde{P} is a probability measure on $[1, 2] \times [1, 2]$. Suppose that the couple $X = (X_1, X_2)$ and $\tilde{X} = (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)$ give the desired Kantorovich-Rubinstein-Wasserstein L_2 -distance. If we denote $Z = (X_1, \tilde{X}_2)$, then

$$E[|X - \tilde{X}|^2] = E[|X - Z|^2] + E[|Z - \tilde{X}|^2].$$

So it is sufficient to find the distribution of Z which is supported in $[0, 1] \times [1, 2]$. We assume further the density functions $f(x, y)$ of X and $\tilde{f}(x, y)$ of \tilde{X} are smooth and strictly positive on their domains. Denote the marginal densities

$$f_1(x) = \int_0^1 f(x, y) dy, \quad f_2(y) = \int_0^1 f(x, y) dx$$

and

$$\tilde{f}_1(x) = \int_1^2 \tilde{f}(x, y) dy, \quad \tilde{f}_2(y) = \int_1^2 \tilde{f}(x, y) dx.$$

Furthermore, denote the conditional distributions

$$F_1(x|y) = \frac{1}{f_2(y)} \int_0^x f(u, y) du, \quad F_2(y|x) = \frac{1}{f_1(x)} \int_1^y f(x, u) du,$$

and

$$\tilde{F}_1(x|y) = \frac{1}{\tilde{f}_2(y)} \int_0^x \tilde{f}(u, y) du, \quad \tilde{F}_2(y|x) = \frac{1}{\tilde{f}_1(x)} \int_1^y \tilde{f}(x, u) du,$$

which are strictly increasing with respect to their first argument so their inverse functions with respect to their first arguments exist and denoted as $G(1, s, y) = F_1^{(-1)}(s|y)$, $G(2, x, t) = F_2^{(-1)}(t|x)$, $\tilde{G}(1, s, y) = \tilde{F}_1^{(-1)}(s|y)$ and $\tilde{G}(2, x, t) = \tilde{F}_2^{(-1)}(t|x)$. Without losing generality, we assume that there is a positive constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{f}_2(\cdot)} \tilde{G}_x(1, \cdot, \cdot) > c \quad \frac{1}{f_1(\cdot)} G_y(2, \cdot, \cdot) > c \quad (0.2)$$

and that all functions appeared in the later equation (0.11) are sufficiently smooth. Our those regularity hypotheses will not affect the generality of our problem, because what we will treat later is the unknown distribution function F of Z , which is continuous under the weak convergence of the laws of (X, \tilde{X}) . Therefore we can always use the usual regularizing approximation procedures.

Denote by \mathcal{H} the set of all density functions $q(x, y)$ on $[0, 1] \times [1, 2]$ such that $f_1(x) = \int_1^2 q(x, y) dy$ and $\tilde{f}_2(y) = \int_0^1 q(x, y) dx$.

We are looking for a density function $p(x, y)$ satisfying

- 1) $p \in \mathcal{H}$;
- 2) $p(x, y) = q(x, y)$ minimizes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^2 \int_0^1 |s - \tilde{G}(1, \int_0^s \frac{q(u, y)}{\tilde{f}_2(y)} du, y)|^2 q(s, y) ds dy \\ & + \int_0^1 \int_1^2 |t - G(2, x, \int_0^t \frac{q(x, v)}{f_1(x)} dv)|^2 q(x, t) dt dx \end{aligned} \quad (0.3)$$

For $0 < a < a_1 < 1$ and $1 < b < b_1 < 2$ when ϵ is small enough,

$$a + \epsilon < a_1 < a_1 + \epsilon < 1, \quad b + \epsilon < b_1 < b_1 + \epsilon < 2.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(s, t) = & I_{([a, a+\epsilon] \times [b, b+\epsilon]) \cup ([a_1, a_1+\epsilon] \times [b_1, b_1+\epsilon])}(s, t) \\ & - I_{([a, a+\epsilon] \times [b_1, b_1+\epsilon]) \cup ([a_1, a_1+\epsilon] \times [b, b+\epsilon])}(s, t). \end{aligned} \quad (0.4)$$

Then $p(s, t) + \delta\xi(s, t) \in \mathcal{H}$ when both ϵ, δ are small. Since p is the minimum, by (0.1)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq & \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_1^2 \int_0^1 |s - \tilde{G}(1, \int_0^s \frac{p(u, y) + \delta\xi(u, y)}{\tilde{f}_2(y)} du, y)|^2 (p(s, y) + \delta\xi(s, y)) ds dy \\ & + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_0^1 \int_1^2 |t - G(2, x, \int_0^t \frac{p(x, v) + \delta\xi(x, v)}{f_1(x)} dv)|^2 (p(x, t) + \delta\xi(x, t)) dt dx \\ & - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_1^2 \int_0^1 |s - \tilde{G}(1, \int_0^s \frac{p(u, y)}{\tilde{f}_2(y)} du, y)|^2 p(s, y) ds dy \\ & - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_0^1 \int_1^2 |t - G(2, x, \int_0^t \frac{p(x, v)}{f_1(x)} dv)|^2 p(x, t) dt dx \quad (0.5) \\ = & \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \{ \int_1^2 \int_0^1 |s - \tilde{G}(1, \int_0^s \frac{p(u, y) + \delta\xi(u, y)}{\tilde{f}_2(y)} du, y)|^2 p(s, y) ds dy \\ & - \int_1^2 \int_0^1 |s - \tilde{G}(1, \int_0^s \frac{p(u, y)}{\tilde{f}_2(y)} du, y)|^2 p(s, y) ds dy \} \\ & + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \{ \int_0^1 \int_1^2 |t - G(2, x, \int_0^t \frac{p(x, v) + \delta\xi(x, v)}{f_1(x)} dv)|^2 p(x, t) dt dx \\ & - \int_0^1 \int_1^2 |t - G(2, x, \int_0^t \frac{p(x, v)}{f_1(x)} dv)|^2 p(x, t) dt dx \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left\{ \int_1^2 \int_0^1 \left| s - \tilde{G}\left(1, \int_0^s \frac{p(u, y) + \delta\xi(u, y)}{\tilde{f}_2(y)} du, y\right) \right|^2 \delta\xi(s, y) ds dy \right. \\
& \left. + \int_0^1 \int_1^2 \left| t - G\left(2, x, \int_0^t \frac{p(x, v) + \delta\xi(x, v)}{f_1(x)} dv\right) \right|^2 \delta\xi(x, t) dt dx \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq & -2 \int_a^{a_1} \left(\tilde{G}\left(1, \int_0^s \frac{p(u, b_1)}{\tilde{f}_2(b_1)} du, y\right) - s \right) \tilde{G}'_x\left(1, \int_0^s \frac{p(u, b_1)}{\tilde{f}_2(b_1)} du, b_1\right) \frac{p(s, b_1)}{\tilde{f}_2(b_1)} ds \\
& + 2 \int_a^{a_1} \left(\tilde{G}\left(1, \int_0^s \frac{p(u, b)}{\tilde{f}_2(b)} du, b\right) - s \right) \tilde{G}'_x\left(1, \int_0^s \frac{p(u, b)}{\tilde{f}_2(b)} du, b\right) \frac{p(s, b)}{\tilde{f}_2(b)} ds \\
& - 2 \int_b^{b_1} \left(G\left(2, a_1, \int_0^t \frac{p(a_1, v)}{f_1(a_1)} dv\right) - t \right) G'_y\left(2, a_1, \int_0^t \frac{p(a_1, v)}{f_1(a_1)} dv\right) \frac{p(a_1, t)}{f_1(a_1)} dt \\
& + 2 \int_b^{b_1} \left(G\left(2, a, \int_0^t \frac{p(a, v)}{f_1(a)} dv\right) - t \right) G'_y\left(2, a, \int_0^t \frac{p(a, v)}{f_1(a)} dv\right) \frac{p(a, t)}{f_1(a)} dt \\
& + |a_1 - \tilde{G}\left(1, \int_0^{a_1} \frac{p(u, b_1)}{\tilde{f}_2(b_1)} du, b_1\right)|^2 - \left| a - \tilde{G}\left(1, \int_0^a \frac{p(u, b_1)}{\tilde{f}_2(b_1)} du, b_1\right) \right|^2 \\
& - |a_1 - \tilde{G}\left(1, \int_0^{a_1} \frac{p(u, b)}{\tilde{f}_2(b)} du, b\right)|^2 + \left| a - \tilde{G}\left(1, \int_0^a \frac{p(u, b)}{\tilde{f}_2(b)} du, b\right) \right|^2 \\
& + |b_1 - G\left(2, a_1, \int_0^{b_1} \frac{p(a_1, v)}{f_1(a_1)} dv\right)|^2 - \left| b - G\left(2, a_1, \int_0^b \frac{p(a_1, v)}{f_1(a_1)} dv\right) \right|^2 \\
& - |b_1 - G\left(2, a, \int_0^{b_1} \frac{p(a, v)}{f_1(a)} dv\right)|^2 + \left| b - G\left(2, a, \int_0^b \frac{p(a, v)}{f_1(a)} dv\right) \right|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by $\frac{1}{(a_1 - a)(b_1 - b)}$, letting $(a_1 - a)(b_1 - b) \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} M(x, y) \geq 0 \tag{0.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& M(x, y) \\
= & -2 \int_0^x \left(\tilde{G}\left(1, \int_0^s \frac{p(u, y)}{\tilde{f}_2(y)} du, y\right) - s \right) \tilde{G}'_x\left(1, \int_0^s \frac{p(u, y)}{\tilde{f}_2(y)} du, y\right) \frac{p(s, y)}{\tilde{f}_2(y)} ds \\
& - 2 \int_1^y \left(G\left(2, x, \int_1^t \frac{p(x, v)}{f_1(x)} dv\right) - t \right) G'_y\left(2, x, \int_1^t \frac{p(x, v)}{f_1(x)} dv\right) \frac{p(x, t)}{f_1(x)} dt \\
& + \left| x - \tilde{G}\left(1, \int_0^x \frac{p(u, y)}{\tilde{f}_2(y)} du, y\right) \right|^2 + \left| y - G\left(2, x, \int_1^y \frac{p(x, v)}{f_1(x)} dv\right) \right|^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^x 2(s - \tilde{G}(1, \int_0^s \frac{p(u, y)}{\tilde{f}_2(y)} du, y)) ds \\
&\quad + \int_1^y 2(t - G(2, x, \int_1^t \frac{p(x, v)}{f_1(x)} dv)) dt \\
&= x^2 + y^2 - 2 \int_0^x \tilde{G}(1, \int_0^s \frac{p(u, 1)}{\tilde{f}_2(1)} du, 1) ds - 2 \int_1^y G(2, 0, \int_1^t \frac{p(0, v)}{f_1(0)} dv) dt \\
&\quad - 2 \int_1^y \int_0^x \{[\tilde{G}(1, \int_0^s \frac{p(u, t)}{\tilde{f}_2(t)} du, t)]'_t + [G(2, s, \int_1^t \frac{p(s, v)}{f_1(s)} dv)]'_s\} ds dt \quad (0.7)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if one replace $p + \delta\xi$ by $p - \delta\xi$, the same computation leads

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} M(x, y) \leq 0 \quad (0.8)$$

Thus we deduce from (0.6) and (0.8) that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} M(x, y) = 0 \quad (\forall 0 < x < 1 < y < 2),$$

or $(\forall 0 < x < 1 < y < 2)$

$$[\tilde{G}(1, \int_0^x \frac{p(u, y)}{\tilde{f}_2(y)} du, y)]'_y + [G(2, x, \int_1^y \frac{p(x, v)}{f_1(x)} dv)]'_x = 0 \quad (0.9)$$

Denote the probability distribution function $F(x, y) = \int_0^x \int_1^y p(s, t) dt ds$. Then

$$F'_x(x, y) = f_1(x) \int_1^y \frac{p(x, v)}{f_1(x)} dv, \quad F'_y(x, y) = f_2(y) \int_0^x \frac{p(u, y)}{f_2(y)} du,$$

(0.9) becomes

$$[\tilde{G}(1, \frac{1}{\tilde{f}_2(y)} F'_y(x, y), y)]'_y + [G(2, x, \frac{1}{f_1(x)} F'_x(x, y))]'_x = 0 \quad (0.10)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{G}_x(1, \frac{1}{\tilde{f}_2(y)} F'_y(x, y), y) \frac{1}{\tilde{f}_2(y)} F''_{yy}(x, y) + G_y(2, x, \frac{1}{f_1(x)} F'_x(x, y)) \frac{1}{f_1(x)} F''_{xx}(x, y) \\
&= -\tilde{G}_y(1, \frac{1}{\tilde{f}_2(y)} F'_y(x, y), y) - G_x(2, x, \frac{1}{f_1(x)} F'_x(x, y)) \\
&\quad + \tilde{G}_x(1, \frac{1}{\tilde{f}_2(y)} F'_y(x, y), y) \frac{f'_2(y)}{f_2^2(y)} F'_y(x, y) \\
&\quad + G_y(2, x, \frac{1}{f_1(x)} F'_x(x, y)) \frac{f'_1(x)}{f_1^2(x)} F'_x(x, y) \quad (0.11)
\end{aligned}$$

which is a quasi-linear elliptic equation with unknown $F(x, y)$, which satisfies the uniform ellipticity condition (0.2). Since its diffusion coefficients only contain the first order partial derivatives, under minus regularity condition, the solution of the Dirichlet boundary problem ($\forall x \in [0, 1], \forall y \in [1, 2]$) :

$$F(0, y) = 0, F(x, 1) = 0, F(x, 2) = \int_0^x f_1(s)ds, F(1, y) = \int_0^y f_2(t)dt$$

has a unique solution ([16] p.264).

Furthermore, if we plug (0.9) into (0.7), then

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, y) = & x^2 + y^2 - 2 \int_0^x \tilde{G}(1, \int_0^s \frac{p(u, 1)}{f_2(1)} du, 1) ds \\ & - 2 \int_1^y G(2, 0, \int_1^t \frac{p(0, v)}{f_1(0)} dv) dt \end{aligned} \quad (0.12)$$

That is, $M(x, y)$ can be written as a closed-form solution which depends only the initial values $p(0, \cdot)$ and $p(\cdot, 0)$

References

- [1] G.Alberti and L.Ambrosio: A geometric approach to monotone functions in \mathbb{R}^n . Math. Z., 230 (1999), 259-316.
- [2] L.Ambrosio: Lecture Notes on the Optimal Transport Problems. Notes of a CIME Course given in Madeira (2000), to be published in the CIME Springer Lecture Notes (see also <http://cvgmt.sns.it>).
- [3] D.Bakry and M.Emery (1985), “Diffusions hypercontractives”, Sem. Prob. XIX, Lecture Notes in Math. 1123, 177-206, Springer;
- [4] J.D.Benamou, Y.Brenier and K.Guittet (2002) “The Monge-Kantorovitch mass transfer and its computational fluid mechanics formulation ”, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids, Vol. 40, 1-2, 21 - 30;
- [5] G.Bouchitt and G.Buttazzo: Characterization of optimal shapes and masses through Monge-Kantorovich equation. J. Eur. Math. Soc., 3 (2001), 139-168.

- [6] Y.Brenier: Décomposition polaire et réarrangement monotone des champs de vecteurs. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sr I Math., 305 (1987), 805-808.
- [7] Y.Brenier: Polar factorization and monotone rearrangement of vector-valued functions. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 44 (1991), 375-417.
- [8] L.Caffarelli: Boundary regularity of maps with a convex potential. Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 45 (1992), 1141-1151;
- [9] L.Caffarelli: Allocation maps with general cost functions. Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 177 (1996), 29-35. G/A
- [10] L.Caffarelli, M.Feldman and R.J.McCann: Constructing optimal maps for Monge's transport problem as a limit of strictly convex costs. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 15 (2002), 1-26;
- [11] L.Caffarelli, "Non linear elliptic theory and the Monge-Ampere equation." ICM-2002. Proceedings of the ICM, Beijing 2002, vol. 1, 179-187;
- [12] E.A.Carlen and W.Gangbo, "Constrained steepest descent in the 2-Wasserstein metric" Ann. of Math. (2) 157 (2003), no. 3, 807-846;
- [13] G.Dall'Aglio et al., Advances in Probability distributions with given marginals, 67 (1991) Math. Appl.
- [14] L.C.Evans and W.Gangbo, "Differential equations methods for the Monge-Kantorovich mass transfer problem", Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (1999), no. 653;
- [15] W.Gangbo and R.J.McCann: The geometry of optimal transportation. Acta Math., 177 (1996), 113-161.
- [16] D.Gilbarg and N.S.Trudinger (1983), Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, Springer-Verlag
- [17] R. Jordan, D. Kinderlehrer and F. Otto (1998), The variational formulation of the Fokker-Planck equation. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 29 1-17;
- [18] L.V.Kantorovich: On the transfer of masses. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 37 (1942), 227-229.
- [19] H.G.Kellerer (1984), "Duality theorems for marginal problems", Z. Wahrsch. Verv. Gebiete, 67, 399-432;

- [20] M.Knott and C.S.Smith, Note on the optimal transportation of distributions, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 52 (1987), 323–329;
- [21] M.Ledoux (2001), The concentration of measure phenomenon. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* 89. AMS (2001);
- [22] G.Monge: Memoire sur la Theorie des Dblais et des Remblais. *Histoire de l’Acad. des Sciences de Paris*, 1781.
- [23] F. Otto (2001), The geometry of dissipative evolution equations: the porous medium equation, *Comm. Partial Diff. Equations* 23, 101-174;
- [24] F. Otto and C. Villani (2000), Generalization of an inequality by Talagrand, and links with the logarithmic Sobolev inequality, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 173(2), 361-400;
- [25] S.T.Rachev and L.Rüschendorf: A characterization of random variables with minimum L2 distance. *J. Multivariate Anal.*, 32 (1990), 48-54.
- [26] S.T.Rachev and L.Rüschendorf: Mass transportation problems. Vol I:Theory, Vol. II: Applications. *Probability and its applications*, Springer, 1998.
- [27] L.Rüschendorf: Optimal solutions of multivariate coupling problems. *Appl. Math. (Warsaw)*, 23 (1995), 325-338.
- [28] L.Rüschendorf, B.Schweizer and M.D.Taylor, Distributions with fixed marginals and related topics, *Lecture Notes Monograph Ser.*, 28 , *Inst. Math. Stat.* (1996)
- [29] N.S.Trudinger and X.J.Wang (2001), “On the Monge mass transfer problem” *Calc. Var. PDE*, 13, 19-31.
- [30] N.Trudinger (2006), “Recent developments in elliptic partial differential equations of Monge-Ampère type”, *ICM 2006*, invited lecture;
- [31] J.Urbas (1998), *Mass transfer problems*, Lecture Notes, Univ. of Bonn;
- [32] S.Vallender (1973). “Calculation of the Wasserstein distance between probability distributions on the line”, *Theory prob.Appl.*, 18, 785-786.

Yinfang Shen

Department of Statistics, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China, 200062

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

Weian Zheng

Department of Statistics, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China, 200062

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

email address: wzheng@uci.edu