

**PERTURBATIVE SOLUTIONS TO THE EXTENDED
CONSTANT SCALAR CURVATURE EQUATIONS ON
ASYMPTOTICALLY HYPERBOLIC MANIFOLDS**

ERWANN DELAY

ABSTRACT. We prove local existence of solutions to the extended constant scalar curvature equations introduced by A. Butscher, in the asymptotically hyperbolic setting. This gives a new local construction of asymptotically hyperbolic metrics with constant scalar curvature.

Keywords : Asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds, general relativity, constraint equations, symmetric 2-tensor, asymptotic behavior.

2000 MSC : 35J50, 58J05, 35J70, 35J60, 35Q75.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of constant scalar curvature metrics plays a particularly important part in riemannian geometry and in general relativity. For instance in the riemannian point of view it gives rise to the well known Yamabe problem when working in a conformal class. For the general relativity, constant scalar curvature riemannian metrics are particular solutions to the constraint equations, in the time symmetric case. In [3], A. Butscher introduce a system of equations called the "extended constraint equations", equivalent to the usual constraints. This new system has the advantage that, as the Einstein equation for riemannian metrics, it can naturally be modified to a determined elliptic system by adding a gauge-breaking term.

In the asymptotically flat setting, A. Butscher proves in [3] that his system can be solved near the usual euclidian metric.

The present note is a study of the extended constraint equation on asymptotically hyperbolic (A.H.) manifolds, in the time symmetric case, near an Einstein metric. It will appear that the proof is more simple in our context due to the fact we do not have to handle with a kernel. Let us now introduce some notations and the system we want to study. For a riemannian metric g on a manifold M^n , let us denote by $R(g)$ the scalar curvature of g . In the asymptotically hyperbolic setting, the constant scalar curvature equation is

$$(1.1) \quad R(g) = -n(n-1).$$

The extended scalar curvature equation is

$$(1.2) \quad \text{Ric}(g) + (n-1)g = S,$$

$$(1.3) \quad \operatorname{div}_g S = 0,$$

where, for a metric g , a symmetric two tensor T and a one form ξ ,

$$(1.4) \quad S = T - \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr}_g(T)g + \mathring{L}\xi,$$

is a trace free symmetric two tensor, and \mathring{L} is the conformal killing operator (see section 2). At this stage it is important to remark that equation (1.3) is a consequence of (1.2) by the Bianchi identity. Taking the trace of equation (1.2) we see that any solution g has constant scalar curvature satisfying (1.1). Reciprocally any metric of constant scalar curvature $R(g) = -n(n-1)$ is a solution of (1.2) with, for instance, $\xi = 0$ and $T = \operatorname{Ric}(g) + (n-1)g^1$.

We fix a smooth asymptotically hyperbolic metric g_0 . The weighted Hölder spaces $C_s^{k,\alpha}$ we will work with are the one introduced by Lee [7]. It consist of tensor field of the form $\rho^s u$ for u in the usual Hölder space $C^{k,\alpha}$.

The theorem we will prove is the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let $s \in (0, n-1)$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let g_0 be a non-degenerate A.H. Einstein metric. For all sufficiently small $T \in C_s^{k,\alpha}$, there exists (ξ, h) close to zero in $C_s^{k+1,\alpha} \times C_s^{k+2,\alpha}$ such that $g = g_0 + h$ and ξ solves (1.2) and (1.3) (then g solves (1.1)). The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} : C_s^{k,\alpha} &\longrightarrow C_s^{k+1,\alpha} \times C_s^{k+2,\alpha} \\ T &\longmapsto (\xi, h) \end{aligned}$$

is smooth near zero.

Here we say that the metric g is *non-degenerate* if the L^2 -kernel of $\Delta_L + 2(n-1)$ acting on trace free symmetric two tensors is trivial, Δ_L being the Lichnerowicz laplacian of g (see section 2). This condition is satisfied for instance on the hyperbolic space but also on a large class of A.H. manifolds (see [7] and Theorem 2.2 of [1]).

With the construction we use, the metric g we obtain also satisfies that the identity map from (M, g) to (M, g_0) is harmonic.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. I am grateful to F. Gautero for his comments on the original manuscript.

2. DEFINITIONS, NOTATIONS AND CONVENTIONS

Let (\overline{M}, g) be a smooth, compact n -dimensional manifold with boundary $\partial_\infty M$. Let $M := \overline{M} \setminus \partial_\infty M$ which is a non-compact manifold. We call $\partial_\infty M$ the *boundary at infinity* of M . Let g be a Riemannian metric on M . We say that (M, g) is *conformally compact* if there exists a smooth defining function ρ on \overline{M} (that is $\rho \in C^\infty(\overline{M})$, $\rho > 0$ on M , $\rho = 0$ on $\partial_\infty M$ and $d\rho$ is nowhere vanishing on $\partial_\infty M$) such that $\overline{g} = \rho^2 g$ is a smooth Riemannian metric on \overline{M} . If $|d\rho|_{\overline{g}} = 1$ on $\partial_\infty M$, it is well known that g has asymptotically sectional curvature -1 (see [8] for example) near its boundary at infinity. In this case we say that (M, g) is *asymptotically hyperbolic*.

¹Of course, we can also take $T = \operatorname{Ric}(g)$ but our choice here is more natural in the A.H. context

If we moreover assume that g has constant scalar curvature then asymptotic hyperbolicity enforces the normalisation

$$R(g) = -n(n-1),$$

where $R(g)$ is the scalar curvature of g . Also if g is Einstein then the Ricci curvature of g is

$$\text{Ric}(g) = -(n-1)g.$$

We denote by ∇ the Levi-Civita connexion of g and by $\text{Riem}(g)$ the Riemannian sectional curvature of g .

We denote by \mathcal{T}_p the set of rank p covariant tensors. When $p = 2$, we denote by \mathcal{S}_2 the subset of symmetric tensor which splits as $\mathcal{G} \oplus \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_2$ where \mathcal{G} is the set of g -conformal tensors and $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}_2$ is the set of trace-free tensors (relatively to g). We observe the summation convention (the corresponding indices run from 1 to n), and we use g_{ij} and its inverse g^{ij} to lower or raise indices.

The Laplacian is defined as

$$\Delta = -tr \nabla^2 = \nabla^* \nabla,$$

where ∇^* is the L^2 formal adjoint of ∇ . The Lichnerowicz Laplacian acting on symmetric covariant 2-tensors is

$$\Delta_L = \Delta + 2(\text{Ric} - \text{Riem}),$$

where

$$(\text{Ric } u)_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}[\text{Ric}(g)_{ik}u_j^k + \text{Ric}(g)_{jk}u_i^k],$$

and

$$(\text{Riem } u)_{ij} = \text{Riem}(g)_{ikjl}u^{kl}.$$

For u a covariant 2-tensorfield on M we define the divergence of u by

$$(\text{div} u)_i = -\nabla^j u_{ji}.$$

For a one-form ω on M , we define the divergence of ω :

$$d^* \omega = -\nabla^i \omega_i,$$

the symmetric part of its covariant derivative :

$$(\mathcal{L}\omega)_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_i \omega_j + \nabla_j \omega_i),$$

(note that $\mathcal{L}^* = \text{div}$) and the trace free part of that last tensor :

$$(\mathring{\mathcal{L}}\omega)_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_i \omega_j + \nabla_j \omega_i) + \frac{1}{n}(d^* \omega)g_{ij}.$$

The operator $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}$ is sometimes called the conformal killing operator. Its formal L^2 adjoint acting on trace free symmetric two tensors is $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}^* = \text{div}$.

3. GAUGE-BROKEN EQUATION AND PROOF

It is well known that the system (1.2),(1.3) is not elliptic because of the invariance by diffeomorphism. As usual in this context, we will add a gauge term to the system in such a way that it becomes elliptic, and that the solutions to the new system are solutions to the original one.

Let us define an operator from symmetric two tensors to one-forms :

$$B_g(h) = \operatorname{div}_g h + \frac{1}{2}d(\operatorname{Tr}_g h).$$

The new system we consider is

$$(3.1) \quad \operatorname{Ric}(g) + (n-1)g - \mathcal{L}_g(B_g(g_0)) = S,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \operatorname{div}_g S = 0,$$

where S is as in (1.4). First let us verify that the solutions to the new system are solution to the original one.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $s \in [0, n)$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let g_0 be an A.H. metric with negative Ricci curvature. If $h \in C_s^{k+2, \alpha}$ is sufficiently small, and the metric $g = g_0 + h$ is a solution of (3.1)(3.2), then it is a solution of (1.2)(1.3).*

Proof. We will apply the operator B_g to the equation (3.1). We remark that $\operatorname{div} S = \operatorname{Tr} S = 0$, that $B_g(\operatorname{Ric}(g)) = 0$ by Bianchi identity, and that $B_g(g) = 0$. Then, if we denote by ω the one-form $B_g(g_0)$, we obtain that

$$B_g(\mathcal{L}_g \omega) = 0.$$

This equation reads in local coordinate:

$$-\nabla^i \left[\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_i \omega_j + \nabla_j \omega_i) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_j \nabla^i \omega_i = 0.$$

Commuting derivatives and multiplying by 2, we obtain that

$$(3.3) \quad \Delta_g \omega - \operatorname{Ric}(g)\omega = 0.$$

As $\operatorname{Ric}(g_0)$ is negative, the operator $\Delta_{g_0} - \operatorname{Ric}(g_0)$ has no L^2 kernel on one-forms so from [7], it is an isomorphism from $C_t^{k+1, \alpha}$ to $C_t^{k-1, \alpha}$, for all $t \in (-1, n)$. Now if h is small in $C_s^{k+2, \alpha}$, then it is small in $C_0^{k+2, \alpha}$, so the operator $\Delta_g - \operatorname{Ric}(g)$ is still an isomorphism between the same spaces. As $\omega \in C_s^{k+1, \alpha}$ with $s \in [0, n)$, we conclude that $\omega = 0$. \square

Remark. The fact that $B_g(g_0)$ vanishes show that the identity map from (M, g) to (M, g_0) is harmonic (see [6] for instance).

Let us now construct solutions to the system (3.1)(3.2) by an implicit function theorem on Banach spaces.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $s \in (0, n-1)$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let g_0 be a non degenerate A.H. Einstein metric. Then for all $T \in C_s^{k, \alpha}$ sufficiently small, there exist a unique (ξ, h) close to zero in $C_s^{k+1, \alpha} \times C_s^{k+2, \alpha}$ such that $g = g_0 + h$ and ξ solve (3.1) and (3.2).*

Proof. Let us consider the map from a neighborhood of zero in $C_s^{k+2,\alpha} \times C_s^{k+1,\alpha} \times C_s^{k,\alpha}$ to $C_s^{k,\alpha} \times C_s^{k-1,\alpha}$ defined by

$$F(h, \xi, T) := \begin{pmatrix} \text{Ric}(g) + (n-1)g - \mathcal{L}_g(B_g(g_0)) - S \\ \text{div}_g S \end{pmatrix},$$

where $g = g_0 + h$ and S is defined by (1.4). The map F is well defined and differentiable in a neighborhood of zero. We also have $F(0, 0, 0) = 0$ and the derivative of F in the first two variables at the origin is (this is at this stage we use g_0 is Einstein, see [4] for instance)

$$D_{(h,\xi)}F(0, 0, 0)(\delta h, \delta \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\Delta_L(\delta h) + (n-1)(\delta h) - \mathring{\mathcal{L}}(\delta \xi) \\ \text{div} \circ \mathring{\mathcal{L}}(\delta \xi) \end{pmatrix},$$

where all the operators are relative to the metric g_0 . The hypothesis that g_0 is non degenerate together with the results of [7] give us that the operator $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_L + (n-1)$ is an isomorphism from $C_s^{k+2,\alpha}$ to $C_s^{k,\alpha}$ when $s \in (0, n-1)$. Now the operator $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}$ has no L^2 kernel ([2], [5]) so the same is true for the operator $\text{div} \circ \mathring{\mathcal{L}}$ because $\text{div} = \mathring{\mathcal{L}}^*$. Again the result of [7] shows that $\text{div} \circ \mathring{\mathcal{L}}$ is an isomorphism from $C_\sigma^{k+1,\alpha}$ to $C_\sigma^{k-1,\alpha}$ when $\sigma \in (-1, n)$. We then conclude that $D_{(h,\xi)}F(0, 0, 0)$ is an isomorphism and the proposition follows by the implicit function theorem. \square

Propositions 3.2 and 3.1 together prove the main theorem 1.1

REFERENCES

1. M.T. Anderson, P.T. Chruściel, and E. Delay, *Non-trivial, static, geodesically complete vacuum space-times with a negative cosmological constant II: $n \geq 5$* , Proceedings of the Strasbourg Meeting on AdS-CFT correspondence (Berlin, New York) (O. Biquard and V. Turaev, eds.), IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, vol. 8, de Gruyter, 2005, pp. 165–205.
2. L. Andersson and P.T. Chruściel, *On asymptotic behavior of solutions of the constraint equations in general relativity with “hyperboloidal boundary conditions”*, Dissert. Math. **355** (1996), 1–100.
3. A. Butscher, *Perturbative solutions of the extended constraint equations in general relativity*, Commun. Math. Phys. **272** (2007), no. 1, 1–23.
4. E. Delay, *Study of some curvature operators in the neighbourhood of an asymptotically hyperbolic einstein manifold*, Advances in Math. **168** (2002), 213–224.
5. R. Gicquaud, *De l’équation de prescription de courbure scalaire aux équations de contrainte en relativité générale sur une variété asymptotiquement hyperbolique*, (2008), 41p, arXiv:0802.3279.
6. C.R. Graham and J.M. Lee, *Einstein metrics with prescribed conformal infinity on the ball*, Adv. Math. **87** (1991), 186–225.
7. J.M. Lee, *Fredholm operators and Einstein metrics on conformally compact manifolds*, Memoirs AMS **183** (2006), no. 864, math.DG/0105046.
8. R. Mazzeo, *The Hodge cohomology of a conformally compact metric.*, Jour. Diff. Geom. **28** (1988), 309–339.

ERWANN DELAY, INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET MODÉLISATION DE MONTPELLIER, UMR 5149 CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ MONTPELLIER II, PLACE EUGÈNE BATAILLON, 34095 MONTPELLIER CEDEX 5, FRANCE

E-mail address: Erwann.Delay@univ-avignon.fr

URL: <http://www.math.univ-avignon.fr/Delay>