

Abstract

We derive a determinant formula for the WKB exponential of singularly perturbed Zakharov-Shabat system that corresponds to the semiclassical (zero dispersion) limit of the focusing Nonlinear Schrödinger equation. The derivation is based on the Riemann-Hilbert Problem (RHP) representation of the WKB exponential. We also prove its independence of the branchpoints of the corresponding hyperelliptic surface assuming that the modulation equations are satisfied.

Determinant form of modulation equations for the semiclassical focusing Nonlinear Schrödinger equation

Alexander Tovbis ^{*}and Stephanos Venakides [†]

November 5, 2018

1 Introduction

The semiclassical analysis of the focusing Nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS)

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t q + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2\partial_x^2 q + |q|^2 q = 0, \quad (1)$$

has produced [2, 3, 4] solutions that one recognizes as modulated multi-phase periodic or quasi-periodic waves. These wave solutions of NLS are expressed in terms of hyperelliptic theta functions (see [1]) built from the radical

$$R(z) = \left[\prod_{i=0}^{4N+1} (z - \alpha_i) \right]^{1/2}, \quad (2)$$

where even α_{2k} lie in the upper halfplane and $\alpha_{2k+1} = \bar{\alpha}_{2k}$. Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(x, t)$ denote the hyperelliptic Riemann surface of R , where oriented arcs $\gamma_{m,k}^+$, connecting α_{4k-2} and α_{4k} , $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$, their complex conjugates $\gamma_{m,k}^-$, connecting α_{4k+1} and α_{4k-1} , together with $\gamma_{m,0}$ connecting α_1 and α_0 , form the branchcuts (main arcs), see Fig. 1.

Points α_i depend on x, t but do not depend on ε . They are called branchpoints of the hyperelliptic Riemann surface \mathcal{R} or simply branchpoints of R . The number of wave-phases of a solution $q(x, t, \varepsilon)$ of (1) (in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$) is equal to the *genus* $2N$ of the corresponding Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}(x, t)$. The branchpoints satisfy a system of equations known as the *modulation equations* or the *modulation system* that is discussed below.

Nonlinear Schrödinger equation is one of the most celebrated examples of an integrable PDE, i.e., a nonlinear PDE that can be “linearized” through the Lax pair. The “ x ” (spatial) part of the Lax pair is a second order system of linear ODEs

$$\partial_x \Phi = -\frac{i}{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} z & q(x, t, \varepsilon) \\ \bar{q}(x, t, \varepsilon) & -z \end{pmatrix} \Phi. \quad (3)$$

^{*}Department of Mathematics, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32816, email: atovbis@pegasus.cc.ucf.edu Supported by NSF grant DMS 0508779

[†]Department of Mathematics, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, e-mail: ven@math.duke.edu Supported by NSF grant DMS 0207262




Figure 1: Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}(x, t)$

known as Zakharov-Shabat system (see [5]). In the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ (semiclassical limit of the NLS) system (3) becomes a *singularly perturbed* system, and, as such, is a subject of the WKB analysis.

The goal of this paper is to find a determinant formula (see (16)) for the WKB exponential g of (3), to prove its independence of the branchpoints α assuming that α satisfy the modulation equations, and to derive various forms of modulation equations for α using the determinant formula. Our derivation is based on the RHP representation (5) of g , which was obtained and discussed in [4] for (1) with pure radiational or radiational and solitons initial data (for the pure soliton case, see [3]). Connection between the WKB exponential g and the RHP (5) is studied in a separate paper [?]. The RHP (5) and its solution are the main objects of this paper.

The inverse scattering method of integration of (1) is based on the scattering transform, i.e., on the connection between the initial data of (1) and the scattering data of (3). In general, the scattering data consists of the reflection coefficient defined on \mathbb{R} and of the eigenvalues of Zakharov-Shabat system together with their norming constants (these eigenvalues correspond to solitons). In the semiclassical limit of (1), the reflection coefficient $r_0(z, \varepsilon)$ depends on z and ε . We denote

$$r(z, \varepsilon) = r_0(z, \varepsilon) e^{\frac{2i}{\varepsilon}(xz+2tz^2)} \quad \text{and} \quad f = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon i \ln r. \quad (4)$$

In general, f is a function of z and ε . However, studying the semiclassical limit of (1), we can consider only the leading order term (in ε) of f , see [4]. Throughout this paper, we assume that $f(z)$ has analytic continuation into the upper halfplane with the exception of a finite number of logarithmic branchcuts and of isolated singularities. We further assume that the main arcs (branchcuts) of the hyperelliptic surface $\mathcal{R}(x, t)$ do not intersect the singularities of $f(z)$. The values of $f(z)$ in the lower halfplane are obtained by Schwarz reflection. Thus, in general, $\Im f(z)$ has a jump on the real axis.

To define modulation equations, we first assume that the branchpoints $\alpha_{2j} = \alpha_{2j}(x, t)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2N$, are known. Let $\gamma_{c,k}^{\pm}$ be oriented arcs connecting $\alpha_{4k-4}, \alpha_{4k-2}$ and $\alpha_{4k-1}, \alpha_{4k-3}$ respectively. These arcs are called *complementary arcs*. Let $\gamma_{m,k} = \gamma_{m,k}^+ \cup \gamma_{m,k}^-$, $\gamma_{c,k} =$




Figure 2: Contours $\hat{\gamma}_{m,2}$, $\hat{\gamma}_{c,1}$

$\gamma_{c,k}^+ \cup \gamma_{c,k}^-$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$, where orientation is inherited. Define $g(z)$ as the solution of the RHP:

$$\begin{aligned} g_+ + g_- &= f + W_j \quad \text{on the main arc } \gamma_{m,j}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N \\ g_+ - g_- &= \Omega_j \quad \text{on the complementary arc } \gamma_{c,j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N \\ g(z) &\text{ is analytic at } z = \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where all W_j, Ω_j are some real constants. We assume that the real constant $W_0 = 0$ on the main arc $\gamma_{m,0}$ that connects α_1 and α_0 .

Let γ denote the union of all the main arcs $\gamma_{m,j}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$ and all the complementary arcs with the inherited orientation. It is well known that solution to the RHP (5) is given by

$$g(z) = \frac{R(z)}{2\pi i} \left[\int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)R(\zeta)_+} d\zeta + \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{\gamma_{m,i}} \frac{W_i}{(\zeta - z)R(\zeta)_+} d\zeta + \int_{\gamma_{c,i}} \frac{\Omega_i}{(\zeta - z)R(\zeta)} d\zeta \right) \right]. \quad (6)$$

Expressing the integrals over the arcs as integrals over the loops shown in Fig. 2, we obtain

$$g(z) = \frac{R(z)}{4\pi i} \left[\oint_{\hat{\gamma}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)R(\zeta)} d\zeta + \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\oint_{\hat{\gamma}_{m,i}} \frac{W_i}{(\zeta - z)R(\zeta)} d\zeta + \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_{c,i}} \frac{\Omega_i}{(\zeta - z)R(\zeta)} d\zeta \right) \right], \quad (7)$$

where the loops $\hat{\gamma}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{m,i}$ and the contours $\hat{\gamma}_{c,i}$ ($\hat{\gamma}_{c,i}$ consists of the sum of two arcs oriented oppositely as in the figure) are contractible to their corresponding arcs without passing through z .

Deforming $\hat{\gamma}$ so that now z is inside the loop γ and still outside the loops $\hat{\gamma}_{m,i}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{c,i}$, we obtain

$$h(z) = \frac{R(z)}{2\pi i} \left[\oint_{\hat{\gamma}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)R(\zeta)} d\zeta + \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\oint_{\hat{\gamma}_{m,i}} \frac{W_i}{(\zeta - z)R(\zeta)} d\zeta + \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_{c,i}} \frac{\Omega_i}{(\zeta - z)R(\zeta)} d\zeta \right) \right]. \quad (8)$$

where

$$h(z) = 2g(z) - f(z). \quad (9)$$

The function $h(z)$ is obtained by multiplying g by a factor of 2 and the residue $-f$ being picked up as z cuts through the loop $\hat{\gamma}$.

If z approaches the i th main arc from either side, a residue is generated as z cuts through the loop $\gamma_{m,i}$ encircling the arc; multiplied by the factor $\frac{R(z)}{2\pi i}$ outside the integral, the residue yields the contribution W_i to h . Similarly, if z approaches the i th complementary arc, the contribution to h from z cutting the contour $\gamma_{c,i}$ is $+\Omega_i$ or $-\Omega_i$, depending on whether z approaches from the left or right of the contour. These observations lead directly to the jump conditions

$$\begin{aligned} h_+ + h_- &= 2W_j \quad \text{on the main arc } \gamma_{m,j}, j = 0, 1, \dots, N \\ h_+ - h_- &= 2\Omega_j \quad \text{on the complementary arc } \gamma_{c,j}, j = 1, \dots, N \\ h_+ - h_- &= -2i\Im f \quad \text{on the real axis.} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

To see this, one takes into account the above residue calculations and the fact that the expression in the square brackets in (8) is analytic in a neighborhood of any α_j (we are assuming distinct α_j). From the above, it is clear that at any $\alpha = \alpha_{2j}$

$$h(z) \sim W + \pm\Omega + \nu_1(z - \alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \nu_3(z - \alpha)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \dots \quad (11)$$

where \pm refers to whether z is left or right of the contour and W, Ω denote real constants on the main and the complementary arcs, adjacent to $\alpha = \alpha_{2j}$.

According to (7), $g(z) \sim O(z^{2N})$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. The requirement that $g(z)$ is analytic at $z = \infty$ defines the system of $2N$ linear equations for W_j, Ω_j

$$\oint_{\hat{\gamma}} \frac{\zeta^k f(\zeta)}{R(\zeta)} d\zeta + \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\oint_{\hat{\gamma}_{m,i}} \frac{W_i \zeta^k}{R(\zeta)} d\zeta + \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_{c,i}} \frac{\Omega_i \zeta^k}{R(\zeta)} d\zeta \right) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, 2N - 1. \quad (12)$$

Modulation equations comes from the requirement that the L^2 -solution to the RHP (5), i.e., the Cauchy operator in (6), commutes with the differentiation in z . The equivalent statement is that at every $\alpha = \alpha_{2j}$ the coefficient $\nu_1 = 0$, so that (11) becomes

$$h(z) \sim W_j \pm \Omega_j + \nu_3(z - \alpha)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \dots \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \alpha. \quad (13)$$

Equation (13) implies that the expression in the square brackets in equation (8), let us call it $B(z)$, vanishes at every α_{2j} , i.e.

$$B(\alpha_{2j}) = \oint_{\hat{\gamma}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - \alpha_{2j})R(\zeta)} d\zeta + \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\oint_{\hat{\gamma}_{m,i}} \frac{W_i}{(\zeta - \alpha_{2j})R(\zeta)} d\zeta + \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_{c,i}} \frac{\Omega_i}{(\zeta - \alpha_{2j})R(\zeta)} d\zeta \right) = 0, \quad (14)$$

where $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2N$ and α_{2j} is *inside* the loops around the main and the complementary arcs that are adjacent to α_{2j} but outside all other loops $\hat{\gamma}_{m,i}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{c,k}$. The *modulation equations* (14) is a system of $2N + 1$ complex conditions satisfied by the $2N + 1$ complex branchpoints α_{2j} , $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2N$. It is also satisfied by their complex conjugates α_{2j+1} .

2 Determinant formula

To simplify the notations, we consider below the case $N = 1$. The obtained formulae allow a straightforward generalization to the arbitrary $N \in \mathbb{N}$ case. Let

$$D = \begin{vmatrix} \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} \\ \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} \end{vmatrix}, \quad K(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \begin{vmatrix} \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)R(\zeta)} \\ \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)R(\zeta)} \\ \oint_{\hat{\gamma}} \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}} \frac{\zeta f(\zeta)d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}} \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)R(\zeta)} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

where $\gamma_m = \gamma_{m,1}$ and $\gamma_c = \gamma_{c,1}$. It is well known that $D \neq 0$ if all α_{2j} , $j = 0, 1, 2$ are distinct.

Multiplying the first two rows of $K(z)$ by $W = W - 1$ and $\Omega = \Omega_1$ respectively, adding them to the third row and utilizing (8) and (12), we obtain

$$h(z) = \frac{R(z)}{D} K(z) \quad (16)$$

where z is inside the loop $\hat{\gamma}$ but outside the loops $\hat{\gamma}_m, \hat{\gamma}_c$. That will be our standard assumption about the location of z for the rest of the paper, unless specified otherwise. It is clear that moving z inside the loops $\hat{\gamma}_m, \hat{\gamma}_c$ would generate residue terms W and $\pm\Omega$ (depending on the direction z crosses the oriented loop $\hat{\gamma}_c$) in the right hand side of (16). Combining this fact with (13), we obtain a new form of modulation equations

$$K(\alpha_{2j}) = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, 2. \quad (17)$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let α denote one of the branchpoints. Then*

$$\frac{\partial K(z)}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{h(z)}{R(z)} \left[\frac{D}{2(z-\alpha)} + \frac{\partial D}{\partial \alpha} \right]. \quad (18)$$

Proof. Let us write $K(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} |K_1, K_2, K_3(z)|$, where K_j denote the j th column of the determinant $K(z)$, see (15). Using

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \frac{1}{R(\zeta)} = \frac{1}{2(\zeta-\alpha)R(\zeta)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\zeta}{\zeta-\alpha} = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\zeta-\alpha}, \quad (19)$$

we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\partial K_1}{\partial \alpha}, K_2, K_3(z) \right| = \frac{1}{2} |K_3(\alpha), K_2, K_3(z)|, \quad \left| K_1, \frac{\partial K_2}{\partial \alpha}, K_3(z) \right| = \frac{1}{2} |K_1, \alpha K_3(\alpha), K_3(z)|. \quad (20)$$

Multiplying the first two rows of each of the above two determinants by W and Ω respectively, adding them to the third row and utilizing (8), (12) and (17) with $\alpha_{2j} = \alpha$, we obtain (as before)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left| \frac{\partial K_1}{\partial \alpha}, K_2, K_3(z) \right| &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{vmatrix} \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-\alpha)R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} \\ \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-\alpha)R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} \end{vmatrix} \frac{h(z)}{R(z)}, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left| K_1, \frac{\partial K_2}{\partial \alpha}, K_3(z) \right| &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{vmatrix} \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{(\zeta-\alpha)R(\zeta)} \\ \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{(\zeta-\alpha)R(\zeta)} \end{vmatrix} \frac{h(z)}{R(z)}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Adding these two determinants while taking into account (15) yields

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[\left| \frac{\partial K_1}{\partial \alpha}, K_2, K_3(z) \right| + \left| K_1, \frac{\partial K_2}{\partial \alpha}, K_3(z) \right| \right] = \frac{\partial D}{\partial \alpha} \cdot \frac{h(z)}{R(z)}. \quad (22)$$

Notice that

$$\frac{\partial K_3(z)}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{1}{2(z-\alpha)} [K_3(z) - K_3(\alpha)] \quad (23)$$

follows from $\frac{1}{(\zeta-\alpha)(\zeta-z)} = \frac{1}{z-\alpha} \left[\frac{1}{\zeta-z} - \frac{1}{\zeta-\alpha} \right]$ and (19). Using again (17) with $\alpha_{2j} = \alpha$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left| K_1, K_2, \frac{\partial K_3(z)}{\partial \alpha} \right| = \frac{1}{2(z-\alpha)} K(z). \quad (24)$$

Adding (22) and (24) completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.1 is the basis for the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let α denote one of the branchpoints. Then the equation $K(\alpha) = 0$ implies*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} h(z) \equiv 0. \quad (25)$$

Proof. According to (16), we have

$$\frac{\partial K(z)}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{\partial h(z)}{\partial \alpha} \cdot \frac{D}{R(z)} + h(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \frac{D}{R(z)}. \quad (26)$$

Substituting (18) and (19) into (26), we obtain

$$\frac{D}{R(z)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} h(z) \equiv 0, \quad (27)$$

which implies (25). \square

Corollary 2.3. *Modulation equations $K(\alpha_{2j}) = 0$, $j = 0, 1, 2$ imply*

$$\frac{d}{dx} h(z) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x} h(z), \quad \frac{d}{dt} h(z) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h(z). \quad (28)$$

Remark 2.4. All the results of this section, unless mentioned otherwise, remain true for arbitrary genus $2N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, they do not depend on Schwarz symmetry of γ and of $f(z)$, as well as on any particular form of the functional dependence of $f(z) = f(z; \beta)$ on the external parameter(s) β (in the discussion above, $\beta = x, t$) and, in fact, are true in much more general setting. Indeed, let $\gamma = \gamma(\beta)$ be a Jordan curve in \mathbb{C} and let $f(z) = f(z; \beta)$ be analytic (in z) on some open set $S \supset \gamma$ and smooth in β . The contour γ is partitioned into a finite number of interlaced nondegenerate (positive measure) main and complementary arcs by the branchpoints $\alpha_j \in \gamma$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$. Then we have n main arcs $\gamma_{m,j}$ and n or $n-1$, depending on whether γ is closed or not, complementary arcs $\gamma_{m,j}$. The genus of the hyperelliptic Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}(\beta)$ of the radical $R(z) = \sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^{2n} (z - \alpha_j)}$ is $n-1$. Let $g(z) = g(z; \beta)$ satisfies the conditions (5), where W_j, Ω_j are some complex constants. In fact, all except any $N-1$ of these constants can be chosen arbitrarily. Let $h(z) = 2g(z) - f(z)$. Then the modulation equation (13) at any $\alpha = \alpha_j$ implies $\frac{\partial h(z)}{\partial \alpha} \equiv 0$.

Since D and R do not explicitly depend on x, t , Corollary 2.3 together with (16) imply that

$$\frac{d}{dx}h(z) = \frac{R(z)}{D} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} K(z), \quad \frac{d}{dt}h(z) = \frac{R(z)}{D} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} K(z). \quad (29)$$

Using (15) and

$$f(z) = f_0(z) - xz - 2tz^2, \quad (30)$$

where $f_0(z) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon i \ln r_0(z)$, we calculate

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} K(z) = - \left| \begin{array}{cc} \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} \\ \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} \end{array} \right|, \quad (31)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} K(z) = 2 \left| \begin{array}{cc} \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} \\ \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} \end{array} \right| + \sum_{j=0}^5 \alpha_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x} K(z) = 2 \left| \begin{array}{cc} \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{\zeta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^5 \alpha_j d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} \\ \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{\zeta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^5 \alpha_j d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} \end{array} \right|, \quad (32)$$

where z is outside the loops $\hat{\gamma}_m, \hat{\gamma}_c$.

It is easy to see that if z is inside loops $\hat{\gamma}_m, \hat{\gamma}_c$ the $\hat{\gamma}_m$ integrals in (31), (32) are equal to the corresponding integrals on the segment $[\bar{\alpha}_0, \alpha_0]$ multiplied by -2 , and $\hat{\gamma}_c$ integrals in (31), (32) are equal to the corresponding integrals on the segment $[\bar{\alpha}_4, \alpha_4]$ multiplied by 2 . If z is outside any of the loops $\hat{\gamma}_m, \hat{\gamma}_c$, then the corresponding residues should be taken into account.

3 Differential form of modulation equations

Modulation equations (17) can be rewritten as ODEs

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial \alpha} \alpha_x = - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} K, \quad \frac{\partial K}{\partial \alpha} \alpha_t = - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} K, \quad (33)$$

where α denotes the vector $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_2, \alpha_4)$ (alternatively, we can consider α to be a 6-dimensional vector). According to (18), in the case $j \neq l, j, l = 0, 2, 4$, we have

$$\frac{\partial K(\alpha_l)}{\partial \alpha_j} = \lim_{z \rightarrow \alpha_l} \frac{h(z)}{R(z)} \left[\frac{D}{2(z - \alpha_j)} + \frac{\partial D}{\partial \alpha_j} \right], \quad (34)$$

where z is inside any of the loops $\hat{\gamma}_m, \hat{\gamma}_c$ that surround α_m . Then $\lim_{z \rightarrow \alpha_l} \frac{h(z)}{R(z)} = 0$. So, $\frac{\partial K(\alpha_l)}{\partial \alpha_j} = 0$. That means that the matrix $\frac{\partial K}{\partial \alpha}$ is diagonal.

To calculate $\frac{\partial K(\alpha_j)}{\partial \alpha_j}$, we notice that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[\left[\frac{\partial K_1}{\partial \alpha_j}, K_2, K_3(\alpha_j) \right] + \left[K_1, \frac{\partial K_2}{\partial \alpha_j}, K_3(\alpha_j) \right] \right] = \frac{\partial D}{\partial \alpha_j} \cdot \lim_{z \rightarrow \alpha_j} \frac{h(z)}{R(z)} = 0. \quad (35)$$

Let us take $j = 2$. Then

$$\frac{\partial K(\alpha_2)}{\partial \alpha_2} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left| K_1, K_2, \frac{\partial K_3(\alpha_2)}{\partial \alpha_j} \right| = \frac{3D}{4\pi i} \left[\oint_{\hat{\gamma}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - \alpha_2)^2 R(\zeta)} d\zeta + \left(\oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{W}{(\zeta - \alpha_2)^2 R(\zeta)} d\zeta + \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{\Omega}{(\zeta - \alpha_2)^2 R(\zeta)} \right) d\zeta \right]. \quad (36)$$

Equation (13) in a vicinity of $z = \alpha_2$ can be rewritten as

$$h(z) = W \pm \Omega + c_2(z - \alpha_2)R(z) + O(z - \alpha_2)^{\frac{5}{2}} \quad (37)$$

where $c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. If z is inside any loops $\hat{\gamma}_m, \hat{\gamma}_c$, the constants W, Ω in (37) should be replaced by zeroes, so we have

$$\frac{h(z)}{R(z)} = c_2(z - \alpha_2) + O(z - \alpha_2)^2. \quad (38)$$

Differentiating (38) and taking into the account (8), we obtain

$$c_2 = \lim_{z \rightarrow \alpha_2} \left(\frac{h(z)}{R(z)} \right)' = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[\oint_{\hat{\gamma}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - \alpha_2)^2 R(\zeta)} d\zeta + \left(\oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{W}{(\zeta - \alpha_2)^2 R(\zeta)} d\zeta + \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{\Omega}{(\zeta - \alpha_2)^2 R(\zeta)} \right) d\zeta \right]. \quad (39)$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\partial K(\alpha_2)}{\partial \alpha_2} = \frac{3}{2} c_2 D. \quad (40)$$

Formulae (36)-(40) are also applicable for α_0, α_4 , if formulae (36), (37), (39) contain only integrals over the loops that are adjacent to α_0, α_4 respectively, and only the constants W, Ω that correspond to these loops.

According to (36)-(40), (33) can be written as

$$\frac{3}{2} c_j D(\alpha_j)_x = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} K(\alpha_j), \quad \frac{3}{2} c_j D(\alpha_j)_t = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} K(\alpha_j). \quad (41)$$

Ordinary differential equations (41) imply

$$(\alpha_j)_t = \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} K(\alpha_j)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} K(\alpha_j)} (\alpha_j)_x, \quad (42)$$

where, according to (31), (32)

$$\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} K(\alpha_j)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} K(\alpha_j)} = \sum_{j=0}^5 \alpha_j + 2 \frac{\left| \begin{array}{cc} \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta - \alpha_j) R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} \\ \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta - \alpha_j) R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} \end{array} \right|}{\left| \begin{array}{cc} \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta - \alpha_j) R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} \\ \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta - \alpha_j) R(\zeta)} & \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} \end{array} \right|}. \quad (43)$$

This is the Riemann invariant form of modulation equations written as PDEs.

Alternatively, differential form of the modulation equations (41) can be obtained by differentiating (37) and the corresponding equations at α_0, α_2 . At α_2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dx}h(z) &= (W)_x \pm (\Omega)_x - \frac{3}{2}c_j R(z)(\alpha_j)_x + O(z - \alpha_2), \\ \frac{d}{dt}h(z) &= (W)_t \pm (\Omega)_t - \frac{3}{2}c_j R(z)(\alpha_j)_t + O(z - \alpha_2).\end{aligned}\quad (44)$$

Moving z inside any loops $\hat{\gamma}_m, \hat{\gamma}_c$ that surround α_2 will eliminate derivatives of W, Ω in (44). According to (29), (31) and (32), these derivatives are

$$W_x = \frac{2\pi i}{D} \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{d\zeta}{R(\zeta)}, \quad \Omega_x = -\frac{2\pi i}{D} \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{d\zeta}{R(\zeta)} \quad (45)$$

and

$$W_t = -\frac{4\pi i}{D} \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_c} \frac{\zeta - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^5 \alpha_j}{R(\zeta)} d\zeta, \quad \Omega_t = \frac{4\pi i}{D} \oint_{\hat{\gamma}_m} \frac{\zeta - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^5 \alpha_j}{R(\zeta)} d\zeta. \quad (46)$$

Now equations (41) follows from (44) and (29). Equations (45)-(46) also imply

$$\begin{vmatrix} \Omega_x & \Omega_t \\ W_x & W_t \end{vmatrix} = -\frac{8\pi^2}{D}. \quad (47)$$

Finally, since the Cauchy operator for the RHP (5) commutes with differentiation, we have

$$h'(z) = \frac{R(z)}{2\pi i} \oint_{\hat{\gamma}} \frac{f'(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)R(\zeta)} d\zeta. \quad (48)$$

Combining this with (37) yield $h'(z) = [\frac{3}{2}c_j + O(\sqrt{z - \alpha_j})] R(z)$ in a vicinity of $z = \alpha_j$. Thus,

$$c_j = \frac{1}{3\pi i} \oint_{\hat{\gamma}} \frac{f'(\zeta)}{(\zeta - \alpha_j)R(\zeta)} d\zeta. \quad (49)$$

Substitution of (49) into (41) yields

$$(\alpha_j)_x = -\frac{2\pi i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} K(\alpha_j)}{D \oint_{\hat{\gamma}} \frac{f'(\zeta)}{(\zeta - \alpha_j)R(\zeta)} d\zeta}, \quad (\alpha_j)_t = -\frac{2\pi i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} K(\alpha_j)}{D \oint_{\hat{\gamma}} \frac{f'(\zeta)}{(\zeta - \alpha_j)R(\zeta)} d\zeta}, \quad (50)$$

$j = 0, 2, 4$.

References

- [1] L.D. Faddeev and L.A. Takhtajan. *Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons*. Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [2] P. D. Miller; S. Kamvissis. On the semiclassical limit of the focusing nonlinear schrödinger equation. *Phys. Lett. A*, 247(1-2):75–86, 1998.

- [3] S. Kamvissis, K. T.-R. McLaughlin, and P.D. Miller. Semiclassical soliton ensembles for the focusing nonlinear schrödinger equation. *Annals of Mathematics Studies 154*, Princeton Unversity Press, 2003.
- [4] A. Tovbis, S. Venakides, and X. Zhou. On semiclassical (zero dispersion limit) solutions of the focusing nonlinear schroedinger equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math*, 57(7):877–985, 2004.
- [5] V. E. Zakharov and A. B. Shabat. Exact theory of two-dimensional self-focusing and one-dimensional self-modulation of waves in nonlinear media. *Soviet Physics JETP*, 34(1):62–69, 1972.