

Primordial heavy elements in composite dark matter models

Maxim Yu. Khlopov^{1,2,3*}

¹ *Centre for Cosmoparticle Physics "Cosmion"
Moscow, 125047, Miusskaya pl. 4*

² *Moscow State Engineering Physics Institute,
Kashirskoe Sh., 31, Moscow 115409, Russia, and*

³ *APC laboratory 10, rue Alice Domon et Léonie Duquet
75205 Paris Cedex 13, France*

Abstract

A widely accepted viewpoint is to consider candidates for cosmological dark matter as neutral and weakly interacting particles, as well as to consider only light elements in the pregalactic chemical composition. It is shown that stable charged leptons and quarks can exist and, hidden in elusive atoms, play the role of dark matter. The inevitable consequence of realistic scenarios with such composite atom-like dark matter is existence of significant or even dominant fraction of "atoms", binding heavy -2 charged particles and ${}^4\text{He}$ nuclei. Being α particles with shielded electric charge, such atoms catalyse a new path of nuclear transformations in the period of Big Bang Nucleosynthesis, which result in primordial heavy elements. The arguments are given, why such scenario escapes immediate contradiction with observations and challenges search for heavy stable charged particles in cosmic rays and at accelerators.

1 Introduction

The problem of existence of new particles is among the most important in the modern high energy physics. This problem can acquire cosmological impact, if the particles are longliving. Then their presence in the Universe can be traced in the astrophysical data, in particular, in the data on normal baryonic matter (see e.g. [1, 2, 3] for review and references).

The theory of Big Bang Nucleosynthesis and the observational data on chemical element abundance is an important tool in studies of possible properties of new particles. It is widely known that Standard Big Bang Nucleosynthesis gives

*Maxim.Khlopov@roma1.infn.it

rise to formation of light elements only and its theory predicts negligible pregalactic abundance of elements, heavier than lithium. Here we show that this point can change drastically, if there exist stable charged leptons and/or quarks.

Recently several elementary particle frames for heavy stable charged particles were considered: (a) A heavy quark and heavy neutral lepton (neutrino with mass above half the Z-Boson mass) of fourth generation [4, 5]; which can avoid experimental constraints [6, 7] and form composite dark matter species [8, 9, 10, 11]; (b) A Glashow's "Sinister" heavy tera-quark U and tera-electron E , which can form a tower of tera-hadronic and tera-atomic bound states with "tera-helium atoms" ($UUUEE$) considered as dominant dark matter [12, 13]. (c) AC-leptons, predicted in the extension [14] of standard model, based on the approach of almost-commutative geometry [15], can form evanescent AC-atoms, playing the role of dark matter [14, 16, 17].

Finally, it was recently shown in [18] that an elegant solution is possible in the framework of walking technicolor models [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24] and can be realized without an *ad hoc* assumption on charged particle excess, made in the approaches (a)-(c).

In all these models, predicting stable charged particles, the particles escape experimental discovery, because they are hidden in elusive atoms, maintaining dark matter of the modern Universe. It offers new solution for the physical nature of the cosmological dark matter. Influence on the primordial chemical composition and appearance of primordial heavy elements is an inevitable consequence of this solution.

Indeed, it turned out that the necessary condition for the considered scenario, avoiding anomalous isotopes overproduction, is absence of stable particles with charge -1, so that stable negatively charged particles should only have charge -2. After it is formed in Big Bang Nucleosynthesis, ${}^4\text{He}$ screens the A^{--} charged particles in composite (${}^4\text{He}^{++}A^{--}$) *O-helium* "atoms". These neutral primordial nuclear interacting objects contribute the modern dark matter density and play the role of a nontrivial form of strongly interacting dark matter [25, 26]. The active influence of this type of dark matter on nuclear transformations seems to be incompatible with the expected dark matter properties. However, it turns out that the considered scenario is not easily ruled out [16, 8, 18] and challenges the experimental search for various forms of O-helium and its charged constituents.

2 Charged components of composite dark matter

2.1 Charged tera-particles

In Glashow's "Sinister" $SU(3)_c \times SU(2) \times SU(2)' \times U(1)$ gauge model [12] three heavy generations of tera-fermions are related with the light fermions by CP' transformation linking light fermions to charge conjugates of their heavy partners and vice versa. CP' symmetry breaking makes tera-fermions much

heavier than their light partners. Tera-fermion mass pattern is the same as for light generations, but all the masses are multiplied by the same factor $\sim 10^6$. It gives the masses of lightest heavy particles in *tera*-eV (TeV) range, explaining their name. Strict conservation of $F = (B - L) - (B' - L')$ prevents mixing of charged tera-fermions with light quarks and leptons. Tera-fermions are sterile relative to $SU(2)$ electroweak interaction, and do not contribute into standard model parameters. In such realization the new heavy neutrinos (N_i) acquire large masses and their mixing with light neutrinos ν provides a "see-saw" mechanism of light neutrino Dirac mass generation. Here in a Sinister model the heavy neutrino is unstable. On the contrary in this scheme tera-electron E^- is the lightest heavy fermion and it is absolutely stable.

Since the lightest quark U of heavy generation does not mix with quarks of 3 light generation, it can decay only to heavy generation leptons owing to GUT-type interactions, what makes it sufficiently long living. If its lifetime exceeds the age of the Universe, primordial U -quark hadrons as well as heavy leptons E^- should be present in the modern matter.

Glashow's "Sinister" scenario [12] took into account that very heavy quarks Q (or antiquarks \bar{Q}) can form bound states with other heavy quarks (or antiquarks) due to their Coulomb-like QCD attraction, and the binding energy of these states substantially exceeds the binding energy of QCD confinement. Then (QQq) and $(QQ\bar{Q})$ baryons can exist.

According to [12] primordial heavy quark U and heavy electron E are stable and may form a neutral most probable and stable (while being evanescent) $(UUUEE)$ "atom" with (UUU) hadron as nucleus and two E^- s as "electrons". The tera gas of such "atoms" seemed an ideal candidate for a very new and fascinating dark matter; because of their peculiar WIMP-like interaction with matter they might also rule the stages of gravitational clustering in early matter dominated epochs, creating first gravity seeds for galaxy formation.

2.2 Stable AC leptons from almost commutative geometry

The AC-model [14] appeared as realistic elementary particle model, based on the specific approach of [15] to unify general relativity, quantum mechanics and gauge symmetry.

This realization naturally embeds the Standard model, both reproducing its gauge symmetry and Higgs mechanism, but to be realistic, it should go beyond the standard model and offer candidates for dark matter. Postulates of noncommutative geometry put severe constraints on the gauge symmetry group, excluding in this approach superstring, supersymmetric and GUT extensions. The AC-model [14] extends the fermion content of the Standard model by two heavy particles with opposite electromagnetic and Z-boson charges. Having no other gauge charges of Standard model, these particles (AC-fermions) behave as heavy stable leptons with charges $-2e$ and $+2e$, called A and C , respectively. AC-fermions are sterile relative to $SU(2)$ electro-weak interaction, and do not contribute to the standard model parameters. The mass of AC-fermions is originated from noncommutative geometry of the internal space (thus being

much less than the Planck scale) and is not related to the Higgs mechanism. The lower limit for this mass follows from absence of new charged leptons in LEP. In the absence of AC-fermion mixing with light fermions, AC-fermions can be absolutely stable.

The mechanism of baryosynthesis in the AC model is not clear, therefore the AC-lepton excess was postulated in [14, 16, 17] to saturate the modern CDM density (similar to the approach of sinister model). Primordial excessive negatively charged A^{--} and positively charged C^{++} form a neutral most probable and stable (while being evanescent) (AC) "atom", the AC-gas of such "atoms" being a candidate for dark matter, accompanied by small ($\sim 10^{-8}$) fraction of ${}^4HeA^{--}$ atoms called in this case OLe-helium [14, 16, 17, 11].

2.3 Stable pieces of 4th generation matter

Precision data on Standard model parameters admit [7] the existence of 4th generation, if 4th neutrino (N) has mass about 50 GeV, while masses of other 4th generation particles are close to their experimental lower limits, being $> 100\text{GeV}$ for charged lepton (E) and $> 300\text{GeV}$ for 4th generation U and D quarks [27].

4th generation can follow from heterotic string phenomenology and its difference from the three known light generations can be explained by a new conserved charge, possessed only by its quarks and leptons [4, 6, 8]. Strict conservation of this charge makes the lightest particle of the 4th family (4th neutrino N) absolutely stable, while the lightest quark must be sufficiently long living [6, 8]. The lifetime of U can exceed the age of the Universe, as it was revealed in [6, 8] for $m_U < m_D$.

U -quark can form lightest (Uud) baryon and ($U\bar{u}$) meson. The corresponding antiparticles are formed by \bar{U} with light quarks and antiquarks. Owing to large chromo-Coulomb binding energy ($\propto \alpha_c^2 \cdot m_U$, where α_c is the QCD constant) stable double and triple U bound states (UUq), (UUU) and their antiparticles ($\bar{U}\bar{U}\bar{u}$), ($\bar{U}\bar{U}\bar{U}$) can exist [6, 12, 13]. Formation of these double and triple states in particle interactions at accelerators and in cosmic rays is strongly suppressed, but they can form in early Universe and strongly influence cosmological evolution of 4th generation hadrons. As shown in [8], anti-U-triple state called anutium or $\Delta_{3\bar{U}}^{--}$ is of special interest. This stable anti- Δ -isobar, composed of \bar{U} antiquarks is bound with 4He in atom-like state of O-helium [8] or ANO-helium [9, 10, 11], proposed as dominant forms of dark matter.

2.4 Stable Techniparticles

The minimal walking technicolor model [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24] has two techniquarks, i.e. up U and down D , that transform under the adjoint representation of an $SU(2)$ technicolor gauge group. The global symmetry of the model is an $SU(4)$ that breaks spontaneously to an $SO(4)$. The chiral condensate of the techniquarks breaks the electroweak symmetry. There are nine Goldstone bosons emerging from the symmetry breaking. Three of them are eaten by the

W and the Z bosons. The remaining six Goldstone bosons are UU , UD , DD and their corresponding antiparticles. These Goldstone bosons carry technibaryon number since they are made of two techniquarks or two anti-techniquarks. It means that if no processes violate the technibaryon number, the lightest technibaryon will be stable. The electric charges of UU , UD , and DD are given in general by $y + 1$, y , and $y - 1$ respectively, where y is an arbitrary real number. For any real value of y , gauge anomalies are cancelled [24]. The model requires in addition the existence of a fourth family of leptons, i.e. a “new neutrino” ν' and a “new electron” ζ in order to cancel the Witten global anomaly. Their electric charges are in terms of y respectively $(1 - 3y)/2$ and $(-1 - 3y)/2$. The effective theory of this minimal walking technicolor model has been presented in [23, 29].

Scenario of composite dark matter [18] corresponds to the choice $y = 1$, when Goldstone bosons UU , UD , and DD have electric charges 2, 1, and 0 respectively. In addition for $y = 1$, the electric charges of ν' and ζ are respectively -1 and -2 . There are three possibilities for a scenario where stable particles with -2 electric charge have substantial relic densities and can capture ${}^4\text{He}^{++}$ nuclei to form a neutral atom. The first one is to have an excess of $\bar{U}\bar{U}$, which has -2 charge. For this to be true one should assume that UU is lighter than UD and DD and no processes (apart from electroweak sphalerons) violate the technibaryon number TB . The second one is to have excess of ζ that has -2 charge, being lighter than ν' , and there should be no mixing between the fourth family of leptons and the other three of the Standard Model. The technilepton number L' is violated only by sphalerons and therefore after the sphalerons freeze out, L' is conserved, which means that the lightest particle, that is ζ in this case, is absolutely stable. Finally there is a possibility to have both L' and TB conserved after sphalerons have frozen out. In this case, both $\bar{U}\bar{U}$ and ζ take part in formation of composite dark matter.

3 Composite dark matter

3.1 Problems of composite dark matter

Glashow’s sinister Universe was first inspiring example of composite dark matter scenario. The problem of such scenario is inevitable presence of “products of incomplete combustion” and the necessity to decrease their abundance. Indeed the tera-lepton and tera-hadron relics from intermediate stages of a multi-step process towards a final ($UUUEE$) formation must survive with high abundance of *visible* relics in the present Universe.

The model [12] didn’t offer any physical mechanism for generation of cosmological tera-particle asymmetry and such asymmetry was postulated to saturate the observed dark matter density. This assumption was taken in [13] and it was revealed that while the assumed tera-baryon asymmetry for U washes out by annihilation primordial \bar{U} , the tera-lepton asymmetry of E^- can not effectively suppress the abundance of tera-positrons E^+ in the earliest as well as in

the late Universe stages. Moreover ordinary ${}^4\text{He}$ formed in Standard Big Bang Nucleosynthesis binds at $T \sim 15\text{keV}$ virtually all the free E^- into positively charged $({}^4\text{He}E^-)^+$ "ion", which puts Coulomb barrier for any successive E^-E^+ annihilation or any effective EU binding.

The remaining abundance of (eE^+) and $({}^4\text{He}E^-e)$ exceeds by 27 orders of magnitude the terrestrial upper limit for anomalous hydrogen and it can not be removed by any mechanism [13]. Therefore, while tera helium ($UUUEE$) would co-exist with observational data, being an interesting candidate for dark matter, its tera-lepton partners poison and forbid this opportunity.

The approaches (b) and (c) try to escape the problems of free charged dark matter particles [28] by hiding opposite-charged particles in atom-like bound systems, which interact weakly with baryonic matter. However, in the case of charge symmetry, when primordial abundances of particles and antiparticles are equal, annihilation in the early Universe suppresses their concentration. If this primordial abundance still permits these particles and antiparticles to be the dominant dark matter, the explosive nature of such dark matter is ruled out by constraints on the products of annihilation in the modern Universe [6, 16]. Even in the case of charge asymmetry with primordial particle excess, when there is no annihilation in the modern Universe, binding of positive and negative charge particles is never complete and positively charged heavy species should retain. Recombining with ordinary electrons, these heavy positive species give rise to cosmological abundance of anomalous isotopes, exceeding experimental upper limits. To satisfy these upper limits, the anomalous isotope abundance on Earth should be reduced, and the mechanisms for such a reduction are accompanied by effects of energy release which are strongly constrained, in particular, by the data from large volume detectors.

These problems of composite dark matter models [12, 14] revealed in [6, 13, 16, 8], can be avoided, if the excess of only -2 charge A^{--} particles is generated in the early Universe. In walking technicolor models, technilepton and technibaryon excess is related to cosmological baryon asymmetry and the excess of -2 charged particles can appear naturally for a reasonable choice of model parameters [18]. It distinguishes this case from other composite dark matter models (see [11] for their review), since in all the previous realizations, starting from [12], such an excess was put by hand to saturate the observed dark matter density by composite dark matter. On that reason we'll further follow scenario [18], based on walking technicolor model.

3.2 Formation of O-helium

In the Big Bang nucleosynthesis, ${}^4\text{He}$ is formed with an abundance $r_{He} = 0.1r_B = 8 \cdot 10^{-12}$ and, being in excess, binds all the negatively charged technispecies into atom-like systems.

At a temperature $T < I_o = Z_{TC}^2 Z_{He}^2 \alpha^2 m_{He} / 2 \approx 1.6\text{MeV}$, where α is the fine structure constant, and $Z_{TC} = -2$ stands for the electric charge of $\bar{U}\bar{U}$

and/or of ζ , the reaction

$$\zeta^{--} + {}^4\text{He}^{++} \rightarrow \gamma + ({}^4\text{He}\zeta) \quad (1)$$

and/or

$$(\bar{U}\bar{U})^{--} + {}^4\text{He}^{++} \rightarrow \gamma + ({}^4\text{He}(\bar{U}\bar{U})) \quad (2)$$

can take place. In these reactions neutral techni-O-helium “atoms” ($tOHe$) are produced. The size of these “atoms” is [8, 16]

$$R_o \sim 1/(Z_{TC}Z_{He}\alpha m_{He}) \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-13} \text{ cm}. \quad (3)$$

Virtually all the free $(\bar{U}\bar{U})$ and/or ζ (which will be further denoted by A^{--}) are trapped by helium and their remaining abundance becomes exponentially small.

For particles Q^- with charge -1 , as for tera-electrons in the sinister model [12], ${}^4\text{He}$ trapping results in the formation of a positively charged ion $({}^4\text{He}^{++}Q^-)^+$, result in dramatic over-production of anomalous hydrogen [13]. Therefore, only the choice of -2 electric charge for stable techniparticles makes it possible to avoid this problem. In this case, ${}^4\text{He}$ trapping leads to the formation of neutral techni-O-helium *techni-O-helium* “atoms” (${}^4\text{He}^{++}A^{--}$).

This is the case for all the realistic models of composite dark matter, involving -2 charged particles. Binding of various types of such particles with ${}^4\text{He}$ results in different forms of O-helium atoms: O-helium [8], OLe-helium [16], ANO-helium [10, 11] or techni-O-helium [18]. However, all these different forms of O-helium have the same size (3), the same cross section for interaction with baryonic matter and play the same role in nuclear transformations. Therefore in the successive discussion we’ll refer to general properties of O-helium and specify the particular case of techni-O-helium, when specific features of walking technicolor scenario are considered.


The formation of techni-O-helium reserves a fraction of ${}^4\text{He}$ and thus it changes the primordial abundance of ${}^4\text{He}$. For the lightest possible masses of the techniparticles $m_\zeta \sim m_{TB} \sim 100 \text{ GeV}$, this effect can reach 50% of the ${}^4\text{He}$ abundance formed in SBBN. Even if the mass of the techniparticles is of the order of TeV, 5% of the ${}^4\text{He}$ abundance is hidden in the techni-O-helium atoms. This can lead to important consequences once we compare the SBBN theoretical predictions to observations.

3.3 Primordial heavy elements from O-helium catalysis

The question of the participation of O-helium in nuclear transformations and its direct influence on the chemical element production is less evident. Indeed, O-helium looks like an α particle with a shielded electric charge. It can closely approach nuclei due to the absence of a Coulomb barrier. Because of this in the presence of O-helium the character of SBBN processes should change drastically. However, it might not lead to immediate contradictions with the observational data.

The following simple argument [16, 18] can be used for suppression of binding of A^{--} with nuclei heavier than ${}^4\text{He}$ in nuclear transformations. In fact, the size of O-helium is of the order of the size of ${}^4\text{He}$ and for a nucleus ${}^A_Z Q$ with electric charge $Z > 2$, the size of the Bohr orbit for an QA^{--} ion is less than the size of the nucleus ${}^A_Z Q$ (see [30]). This means that while binding with a heavy nucleus, A^{--} penetrates it and interacts effectively with a part of the nucleus of a size less than the corresponding Bohr orbit. This size corresponds to the size of ${}^4\text{He}$, making O-helium the most bound QA^{--} atomic state. It favors a picture, according to which a O-helium collision with a nucleus, results in the formation of O-helium and the whole process looks like an elastic collision.

The interaction of the ${}^4\text{He}$ component of $(\text{He}^{++}A^{--})$ with a ${}^A_Z Q$ nucleus can lead to a nuclear transformation due to the reaction



provided that the masses of the initial and final nuclei satisfy the energy condition

$$M(A, Z) + M(4, 2) - I_o > M(A + 4, Z + 2), \quad (5)$$

where $I_o = 1.6 \text{ MeV}$ is the binding energy of O-helium and $M(4, 2)$ is the mass of the ${}^4\text{He}$ nucleus.

This condition is not valid for stable nuclei participating in reactions of the SBBN. However, tritium ${}^3\text{H}$, which is also formed in SBBN with abundance ${}^3\text{H}/\text{H} \sim 10^{-7}$ satisfies this condition and can react with O-helium, forming ${}^7\text{Li}$ and opening the path of successive O-helium catalyzed transformations to heavy nuclei. This effect might strongly influence the chemical evolution of matter on the pre-galactic stage and needs a self-consistent consideration within the Big Bang nucleosynthesis network. However, the following arguments [16, 18] show that this effect may not lead to immediate contradiction with observations as it might be expected.

- On the path of reactions (4), the final nucleus can be formed in the excited $(\alpha, M(A, Z))$ state, which can rapidly experience an α -decay, giving rise to O-helium regeneration and to an effective quasi-elastic process of $({}^4\text{He}^{++}A^{--})$ -nucleus scattering. It leads to a possible suppression of the O-helium catalysis of nuclear transformations.
- The path of reactions (4) does not stop on ${}^7\text{Li}$ but goes further through ${}^{11}\text{B}$, ${}^{15}\text{N}$, ${}^{19}\text{F}$, ... along the table of the chemical elements.
- The cross section of reactions (4) grows with the mass of the nucleus, making the formation of the heavier elements more probable and moving the main output away from a potentially dangerous Li and B overproduction.

Such a qualitative change of the physical picture appeals to necessity in a detailed nuclear physics treatment of the $(A^{--} + \text{nucleus})$ systems and of the whole set of transformations induced by O-helium. Though the above arguments do not seem to make these dangers immediate and obvious, a detailed study of this complicated problem is needed.

The first publications on possible realistic composite dark matter scenarios [8, 16] gave rise to the development of another aspect of the problem, the Charged massive particles BBN (CBBN), studying the influence of *unstable* negatively charged massive particles on BBN [31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37]. Bound states of metastable singly charged particle X^- with nuclei can catalyze formation of lithium and even elements with $A > 8$ (e.g. ${}^9\text{Be}$ [37]) The important difference of CBBN considered in these papers, from our approach, is that singly charged particles X^- with charge -1 do not screen the $+2$ charge of He in a $(\text{He}X)^+$ ion-like bound system, and the Coulomb barrier of the $(\text{He}X)^+$ ion can strongly hamper the path for the creation of heavy isotopes.

It should be also noted that the self-consistent picture of CBBN inevitably involves analysis of non-equilibrium nucleosynthesis reactions, induced by hadronic and electromagnetic cascades from products of X decays. The latter effect, which was discussed in [33], implies the theory of non-equilibrium cosmological nucleosynthesis [38, 39, 1] (see also [40, 41, 42, 43, 44]).

4 Tests for O-helium and its -2 charge constituents

4.1 Techniparticle component of cosmic rays

The nuclear interaction of techni-O-helium with cosmic rays gives rise to ionization of this bound state in the interstellar gas and to acceleration of free techniparticles in the Galaxy. During the lifetime of the Galaxy $t_G \approx 3 \cdot 10^{17}$ s, the integral flux of cosmic rays

$$F(E > E_0) \approx 1 \cdot \left(\frac{E_0}{1 \text{ GeV}} \right)^{-1.7} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

can disrupt the fraction of galactic techni-O-helium $\sim F(E > E_{min})\sigma_o t_G \leq 10^{-3}$, where we took $E_{min} \sim I_o$. Assuming a universal mechanism of cosmic ray acceleration, a universal form of their spectrum, taking into account that the ${}^4\text{He}$ component corresponds to $\sim 5\%$ of the proton spectrum, and that the spectrum is usually reduced to the energy per nucleon, the -2 charged techniparticle component with anomalous low Z/A can be present in cosmic rays at a level of [18]

$$\frac{A^{--}}{\text{He}} \geq 3 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot S_2^{-3.7}, \quad (6)$$

where $S_2 = (m_o/100 \text{ GeV})$ and m_o is the mass of O-helium. This flux may be within the reach for PAMELA and AMS02 cosmic ray experiments.

Recombination of free techniparticles with protons and nuclei in the interstellar space can give rise to radiation in the range from few tens of keV - 1 MeV. However such a radiation is below the cosmic nonthermal electromagnetic background radiation observed in this range [16, 18].

4.2 O-helium catalyzed processes in the Earth

The first evident consequence of the proposed model is an inevitable presence of $tOHe$ in terrestrial matter. This is because terrestrial matter appears opaque to O-helium and stores all its in-falling flux.

If the $tOHe$ capture by nuclei is not effective, its diffusion in matter is determined by elastic collisions, which have a transport cross section per nucleon

$$\sigma_{tr} = \pi R_o^2 \frac{m_p}{m_o} \approx 10^{-27} / S_2 \text{ cm}^2. \quad (7)$$

In atmosphere, with effective height $L_{atm} = 10^6$ cm and baryon number density $n_B = 6 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, the opacity condition $n_B \sigma_{tr} L_{atm} = 6 \cdot 10^{-1} / S_2$ is not strong enough. Therefore, the in-falling $tOHe$ particles are effectively slowed down only after they fall down terrestrial surface in $16 S_2$ meters of water (or $4 S_2$ meters of rock). Then they drift with velocity $V = \frac{g}{n \sigma v} \approx 8 S_2 A^{1/2} \text{ cm/s}$ (where $A \sim 30$ is the average atomic weight in terrestrial surface matter, and $g = 980 \text{ cm/s}^2$), sinking down the center of the Earth on a timescale $t = R_E / V \approx 1.5 \cdot 10^7 S_2^{-1} \text{ s}$, where R_E is the radius of the Earth.

The in-falling techni-O-helium flux from dark matter halo is $\mathcal{F} = n_o v_h / 8\pi$, where the number density of $tOHe$ in the vicinity of the Solar System is $n_o = 3 \cdot 10^{-3} S_2^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and the averaged velocity $v_h \approx 3 \cdot 10^7 \text{ cm/s}$. During the lifetime of the Earth ($t_E \approx 10^{17} \text{ s}$), about $2 \cdot 10^{38} S_2^{-1}$ O-helium atoms were captured. If $tOHe$ dominantly sinks down the Earth, it should be concentrated near the Earth's center within a radius $R_{oc} \sim \sqrt{3 T_c / (m_o 4 \pi G \rho_c)}$, which is $\leq 10^8 S_2^{-1/2} \text{ cm}$, for the Earth's central temperature $T_c \sim 10^4 \text{ K}$ and density $\rho_c \sim 4 \text{ g/cm}^3$.

Near the Earth's surface, the O-helium abundance is determined by the equilibrium between the in-falling and down-drifting fluxes. It gives

$$n_{oE} = 2\pi \mathcal{F} / V = 3 \cdot 10^3 \cdot S_2^{-2} \cdot A^{-1/2} \text{ cm}^{-3},$$

or for $A \sim 30$ about $5 \cdot 10^2 \cdot S_2^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. This number density corresponds to the fraction

$$f_{oE} \sim 5 \cdot 10^{-21} \cdot S_2^{-2}$$

relative to the number density of the terrestrial atoms $n_A \approx 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

These neutral (${}^4He^{++}A^{--}$) "atoms" may provide a catalysis of cold nuclear reactions in ordinary matter (much more effectively than muon catalysis). This effect needs a special and thorough investigation. On the other hand, if A^{--} capture by nuclei, heavier than helium, is not effective and does not lead to a copious production of anomalous isotopes, the (${}^4He^{++}A^{--}$) diffusion in matter is determined by the elastic collision cross section (7) and may effectively hide O-helium from observations.

One can give the following argument for an effective regeneration and quasi-elastic collisions of O-helium in terrestrial matter. The O-helium can be destroyed in the reactions (4). Then, free A^{--} are released and due to a hybrid Auger effect (capture of A^{--} , ejection of ordinary e from the atom with atomic

number A , and charge of the nucleus Z), A^{--} -atoms are formed, in which A^{--} occupies highly an excited level of the $({}^A_ZQA)$ system, which is still much deeper than the lowest electronic shell of the considered atom. The $({}^A_ZQA)$ atomic transitions to lower-lying states cause radiation in the intermediate range between atomic and nuclear transitions. In course of this falling down to the center of the $(Z - A^{--})$ system, the nucleus approaches A^{--} . For $A > 3$ the energy of the lowest state n (given by $E_n = \frac{M\bar{\alpha}^2}{2n^2} = \frac{2Am_pZ^2\alpha^2}{n^2}$) of the $(Z - A^{--})$ system (having reduced mass $M \approx Am_p$) with a Bohr orbit $r_n = \frac{n}{M\bar{\alpha}} = \frac{n}{2AZm_p\alpha}$, exceeding the size of the nucleus $r_A \sim A^{1/3}m_\pi^{-1}$ (m_π being the mass of the pion), is less than the binding energy of $tOHe$. Therefore the regeneration of O-helium in a reaction, inverse to (4), takes place. An additional reason for the domination of the elastic channel of the reactions (4) is that the final state nucleus is created in the excited state and its de-excitation via α -decay can also result in O-helium regeneration. If regeneration is not effective and A^{--} remains bound to the heavy nucleus, anomalous isotope of $Z - 2$ element should appear. This is a serious problem for the considered model.

However, if the general picture of sinking down is valid, it might give no more than the ratio $f_{oE} \sim 5 \cdot 10^{-21} \cdot S_2^{-2}$ of number density of anomalous isotopes to the number density of atoms of terrestrial matter around us, which is below the experimental upper limits for elements with $Z \geq 2$. For comparison, the best upper limits on the anomalous helium were obtained in [45]. It was found, by searching with the use of laser spectroscopy for a heavy helium isotope in the Earth's atmosphere, that in the mass range 5 GeV - 10000 GeV, the terrestrial abundance (the ratio of anomalous helium number to the total number of atoms in the Earth) of anomalous helium is less than $2 \cdot 10^{-19} - 3 \cdot 10^{-19}$.

4.3 Direct search for O-helium

It should be noted that the nuclear cross section of the O-helium interaction with matter escapes the severe constraints [26] on strongly interacting dark matter particles (SIMPs) [25, 26] imposed by the XQC experiment [46].

In underground detectors, $tOHe$ "atoms" are slowed down to thermal energies and give rise to energy transfer $\sim 2.5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ eV}A/S_2$, far below the threshold for direct dark matter detection. It makes this form of dark matter insensitive to the CDMS constraints. However, $tOHe$ induced nuclear transformation can result in observable effects.

Therefore, a special strategy of such a search is needed, that can exploit sensitive dark matter detectors on the ground or in space. In particular, as it was revealed in [48], a few g of superfluid 3He detector [47], situated in ground-based laboratory can be used to put constraints on the in-falling O-helium flux from the galactic halo.

5 Discussion

To conclude, the existence of heavy stable particles can offer new solutions for dark matter problem. If stable particles have electric charge, dark matter candidates can be atom-like states, in which negatively and positively charged particles are bound by Coulomb attraction. In this case there is a serious problem to prevent overproduction of accompanying anomalous forms of atomic matter.

Indeed, recombination of charged species is never complete in the expanding Universe, and significant fraction of free charged particles should remain unbound. Free positively charged species behave as nuclei of anomalous isotopes, giving rise to a danger of their over-production. Moreover, as soon as 4He is formed in Big Bang nucleosynthesis it captures all the free negatively charged heavy particles. If the charge of such particles is -1 (as it is the case for teraelectron in [12]) positively charged ion $({}^4He^{++}E^-)^+$ puts Coulomb barrier for any successive decrease of abundance of species, over-polluting modern Universe by anomalous isotopes. It excludes the possibility of composite dark matter with -1 charged constituents and only -2 charged constituents avoid these troubles, being trapped by helium in neutral OLe-helium, O-helium (ANO-helium) or techni-O-helium states.

The existence of -2 charged states and the absence of stable -1 charged constituents can take place in AC-model, in charge asymmetric model of 4th generation and in walking technicolor model with stable doubly charged technibaryons and/or technileptons.

To avoid overproduction of anomalous isotopes, an excess of -2 charged particles over their antiparticles in the early Universe is sufficient. In the earlier realizations of composite dark matter scenario, this excess was put by hand to saturate the observed dark matter density. In walking technicolor model this abundance of -2 charged technibaryons and/or technileptons is connected naturally to the baryon relic density. These doubly charged A^{--} techniparticles bind with 4He in the techni-O-helium neutral states. For reasonable values of the techniparticle mass, the amount of primordial 4He , bound in this atom like state is significant and should be taken into account in comparison to observations.

A challenging problem is the nuclear transformations, catalyzed by O-helium. The question about their consistency with observations remains open, since special nuclear physics analysis is needed to reveal what are the actual O-helium effects in SBBN and in terrestrial matter. However, qualitatively one can expect much easier path for O-helium catalysis of primordial heavy elements, than in CBBN [31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37] or in nonthermal nucleosynthesis [38, 39, 1, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44]).

The destruction of O-helium by cosmic rays in the Galaxy releases free charged heavy stable particles, which can be accelerated and contribute to the flux of cosmic rays. In this context, the search for stable charged particles in cosmic rays and at accelerators acquires the meaning of a crucial test for existence of basic constituents of composite dark matter.

Models of composite dark matter enrich the class of possible stable particles, which can follow from extensions of the Standard Model and be considered as

dark matter candidates. One can extend the generally accepted viewpoint that new stable particles should be neutral and weakly interacting as follows: they can also be charged and play the role of DARK matter because they are hidden in atom-like states, which are not the source of visible light. Formation of O-helium and nuclear transformations, catalyzed by it, are inevitable consequences of this extension. It makes the existence of pregalactic heavy elements (like carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, neon etc) a signature for composite dark matter. Astronomical observations might favour this prediction. Still no astronomical objects are observed without heavy elements.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Organizers of Blois2007 Conference for creative atmosphere of fruitful discussions.

References

- [1] M.Yu. Khlopov: *Cosmoparticle physics*, World Scientific, New York - London-Hong Kong - Singapore, 1999
- [2] M.Yu. Khlopov: Cosmoarcheology. Direct and indirect astrophysical effects of hypothetical particles and fields, In: *Cosmion-94*, Eds. M.Yu.Khlopov et al. Editions frontieres, 1996. PP. 67-76
- [3] M. Y. Khlopov, 9th Workshop on What Comes Beyond the Standard Model, Bled, Slovenia, 16-26 Sep 2006. "Bled 2006, What comes beyond the standard models" pp. 51-62, in hep-ph/0612250 , pages 51-62
- [4] K.M.Belotsky, M.Yu.Khlopov and K.I.Shibaev, *Gravitation and Cosmology* **6** Supplement, 140 (2000); K.M.Belotsky, D. Fargion, M.Yu. Khlopov and R.Konoplich, "May Heavy neutrinos solve underground and cosmic ray puzzles?," arXiv:hep-ph/0411093, to appear in *Phys.Atom.Nucl.*; K.M.Belotsky, D.Fargion, M.Yu.Khlopov, R.Konoplich, and K.I.Shibaev, *Gravitation and Cosmology* **11**, 16 (2005) and references therein.
- [5] K.M. Belotsky, M.Yu. Khlopov, S.V. Legonkov and K.I. Shibaev, *Gravitation and Cosmology* **11**, 27 (2005); astro-ph/0504621.
- [6] K.M.Belotsky, D.Fargion, M.Yu.Khlopov, R.Konoplich, M.G.Ryskin and K.I.Shibaev, *Gravitation and Cosmology* **11**, 3 (2005).
- [7] M. Maltoni et al., *Phys.Lett.* **B 476**, 107 (2000); V.A. Ilyin et al., *Phys.Lett.* **B 503**, 126 (2001); V.A. Novikov et al., *Phys.Lett.* **B 529**, 111 (2002); *JETP Lett.* **76**, 119 (2002).
- [8] M.Yu. Khlopov, *JETP Lett.* **83**, 1 (2006) [*Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **83**, 3 (2006)]; arXiv:astro-ph/0511796

- [9] K. Belotsky, M. Khlopov and K. Shibaev, “Stable matter of 4th generation: Hidden in the Universe and close to detection?,” arXiv:astro-ph/0602261.
- [10] K. Belotsky, M. Khlopov and K. Shibaev, *Gravitation and Cosmology* **12**, 1 (2006); arXiv:astro-ph/0604518.
- [11] M. Y. Khlopov, “New symmetries in microphysics, new stable forms of matter around us,” arXiv:astro-ph/0607048.
- [12] S. L. Glashow, “A sinister extension of the standard model to $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$,” arXiv:hep-ph/0504287.
- [13] D. Fargion and M. Khlopov, “Tera-leptons shadows over sinister Universe,” arXiv:hep-ph/0507087.
- [14] C. A. Stephan, “Almost-commutative geometries beyond the standard model,” arXiv:hep-th/0509213.
- [15] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, London and San Diego, 1994.
- [16] D. Fargion, M. Khlopov and C. A. Stephan, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23**, 7305 (2006); arXiv:astro-ph/0511789.
- [17] M. Y. Khlopov and C. A. Stephan, “Composite dark matter with invisible light from almost-commutative geometry,” arXiv:astro-ph/0603187.
- [18] M. Y. Khlopov and C. Kouvaris, “Strong Interactive Massive Particles from a Strong Coupled Theory,” arXiv:0710.2189 [astro-ph].
- [19] F. Sannino and K. Tuominen, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 051901 (2005); arXiv:hep-ph/0405209.
- [20] D. K. Hong, S. D. H. Hsu and F. Sannino, *Phys. Lett. B* **597**, 89 (2004); arXiv:hep-ph/0406200.
- [21] D. D. Dietrich, F. Sannino and K. Tuominen, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 055001 (2005); arXiv:hep-ph/0505059.
- [22] D. D. Dietrich, F. Sannino and K. Tuominen, “Light composite Higgs and precision electroweak measurements on the Z resonance: An update,” arXiv:hep-ph/0510217. To appear in PRD.
- [23] S. B. Gudnason, C. Kouvaris and F. Sannino, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 115003 (2006); arXiv:hep-ph/0603014.
- [24] S. B. Gudnason, C. Kouvaris and F. Sannino, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 095008 (2006); arXiv:hep-ph/0608055.

- [25] C.B. Dover, T.K. Gaisser and G. Steigman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42**, 1117 (1979); S. Wolfram, Phys. Lett. **B82**, 65 (1979); G.D. Starkman et al., Phys. Rev. **D41**, 3594 (1990); D. Javorsek et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 231804 (2001); S. Mitra, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 103517 (2004); arXiv:astro-ph/0408341; G. D. Mack, J. F. Beacom and G. Bertone, Phys. Rev. D **76** (2007) 043523 [arXiv:0705.4298 [astro-ph]].
- [26] B. D. Wandelt et al., “Self-interacting dark matter,” arXiv:astro-ph/0006344; P.C. McGuire and P.J. Steinhardt, “Cracking open the window for strongly interacting massive particles as the halo dark matter,” arXiv:astro-ph/0105567; G. Zaharijas and G.R. Farrar, Phys. Rev. **D72**, 083502 (2005); arXiv:astro-ph/0406531.
- [27] D. Acosta et al., (CDF collab.) hep-ex/0211064.
- [28] S. Dimopoulos et al., Phys. Rev. **D41**, 2388 (1990).
- [29] R. Foadi, M. T. Frandsen, T. A. Ryttov and F. Sannino, “Minimal Walking Technicolor: Set Up for Collider Physics,” arXiv:0706.1696 [hep-ph].
- [30] R. N. Cahn and S. L. Glashow, Science **213**, 607 (1981)
- [31] M. Pospelov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 231301 (2007); arXiv:hep-ph/0605215 (2006).
- [32] M. Kaplinghat and A. Rajaraman, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 103004 (2006); arXiv:astro-ph/0606209 (2006).
- [33] K. Kohri and F. Takayama, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 063507 (2007); arXiv:hep-ph/0605243 (2006).
- [34] K. Hamaguchi, T. Hatsuda, M. Kamimura, Y. Kino and T. T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B **650**, 268 (2007); arXiv:hep-ph/0702274.
- [35] M. Kawasaki, K. Kohri and T. Moroi, Phys. Lett. B **649**, 436 (2007); arXiv:hep-ph/0703122.
- [36] K. Jedamzik, “The cosmic 6Li and 7Li problems and BBN with long-lived charged massive particles,” arXiv:0707.2070 [astro-ph].
- [37] M. Pospelov, arXiv:0712.0647 [hep-ph].
- [38] M. Khlopov, Y. Levitan, E. Sedelnikov and I. Sobol, Phys.Atom.Nucl. **57**, 1393 (1994).
- [39] E. V. Sedelnikov, S. S. Filippov and M. Y. Khlopov, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **58**, 235 (1995).
- [40] S. Dimopoulos, R. Esmailzadeh, L. J. Hall and G. D. Starkman, Astrophys. J. **330** (1988) 545.

- [41] M. Kawasaki, K. Kohri and T. Moroi, Phys. Lett. **B625**, 7 (2005); arXiv:astro-ph/0402490.
- [42] M. Kawasaki, K. Kohri and T. Moroi, Phys. Rev. **D71**, 083502 (2005); arXiv:astro-ph/0408426.
- [43] K. Kohri, T. Moroi and A. Yotsuyanagi, Phys. Rev. **D73**, 123511 (2006); arXiv:hep-ph/0507245.
- [44] K. Jedamzik, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 103509 (2006); arXiv:hep-ph/0604251.
- [45] P. Mueller, Phys.Rev.Lett. **92**, 22501 (2004); arXiv:nucl-ex/0302025.
- [46] D. McCammon et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. **A370**, 266 (1996); D. McCammon et al., Astrophys. J. **576**, 188 (2002); arXiv:astro-ph/0205012.
- [47] C. B. Winkelmann, Y. M. Bunkov, and H. Godfrin, Gravitation and Cosmology **11**, 87 (2005)
- [48] K. Belotsky, Yu. Bunkov, H. Godfrin, M. Khlopov and R. Konoplich, “He-3 experimentum crucis for dark matter puzzles,” arXiv:astro-ph/0606350.