

An example of noncommutative deformations

Eivind Eriksen

Oslo University College
Postboks 4, St. Olavs plass
N-0130 Oslo, Norway

E-mail: eeriksen@hio.no

August 23, 2021

Abstract

We compute the noncommutative deformations of a family of modules over the first Weyl algebra. This example shows some important properties of noncommutative deformation theory that separates it from commutative deformation theory.

MSC2000: 14D15; 13D10

1 Introduction

Let k be an algebraically closed field and let A be an associative k -algebra. For any left A -module M , there is a flat commutative deformation functor

$$\mathrm{Def}_M : \mathfrak{l} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sets}$$

defined on the category \mathfrak{l} of local Artinian commutative k -algebras with residue field k . We recall that for an object $R \in \mathfrak{l}$, a flat deformation of M over R is a pair (M_R, τ) , where M_R is an A - R bimodule (on which k acts centrally) that is R -flat, and $\tau : M_R \otimes_R k \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism of left A -modules. Moreover, $(M_R, \tau) \sim (M'_R, \tau')$ as deformations in $\mathrm{Def}_M(R)$ if there is an isomorphism $\eta : M_R \rightarrow M'_R$ of A - R bimodules such that $\tau = \tau' \circ (\eta \otimes 1)$.

Laudal introduced noncommutative deformations of modules in Laudal [2]. For any finite family $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \dots, M_p\}$ of left A -modules, there is a noncommutative deformation functor

$$\mathrm{Def}_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathfrak{a}_p \rightarrow \mathrm{Sets}$$

defined on the category \mathfrak{a}_p of p -pointed Artinian k -algebras. We recall that an object R of \mathfrak{a}_p is an Artinian ring R , together with a pair of structural ring homomorphisms $f : k^p \rightarrow R$ and $g : R \rightarrow k^p$, such that $g \circ f = \mathrm{id}$ and the radical $I(R) = \ker(g)$ is nilpotent. The morphisms of \mathfrak{a}_p are ring homomorphisms that commute with the structural morphisms.

A deformation of the family \mathcal{M} over R is a $(p+1)$ -tuple $(M_R, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_p)$, where M_R is an A - R bimodule (on which k acts centrally) such that $M_R \cong (M_i \otimes_k R_{ij})$ as right R -modules, and $\tau_i : M_R \otimes_R k_i \rightarrow M_i$ is an isomorphism of left A -modules for $1 \leq i \leq p$. By definition,

$$(M_i \otimes_k R_{ij}) = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} M_i \otimes_k R_{ij}$$

with the natural right R -module structure, and k_1, \dots, k_p are the simple left R -modules of dimension one over k . Moreover, $(M_R, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_p) \sim (M'_R, \tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_p)$ as deformations in $\mathrm{Def}_{\mathcal{M}}(R)$ if there is an isomorphism $\eta : M_R \rightarrow M'_R$ of A - R bimodules such that $\tau_i = \tau'_i \circ (\eta \otimes 1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$.

There is a cohomology theory and an obstruction calculus for $\text{Def}_{\mathcal{M}}$, see Laudal [2] and Eriksen [1]. We compute the noncommutative deformations of a family $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, M_2\}$ of modules over the first Weyl algebra using the constructive methods described in Eriksen [1].

2 An example of noncommutative deformations of a family

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, let $A = k[t]$, and let $D = \text{Diff}(A)$ be the first Weyl algebra over k . We recall that $D = k\langle t, \partial \rangle / (\partial t - t \partial - 1)$. Let us consider the family $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, M_2\}$ of left D -modules, where $M_1 = D/D \cdot \partial \cong A$ and $M_2 = D/D \cdot t \cong k[\partial]$. We shall compute the noncommutative deformations of the family \mathcal{M} .

In this example, we use the methods described in Eriksen [1] to compute noncommutative deformations. In particular, we use the cohomology $\text{YH}^n(M_j, M_i)$ of the Yoneda complex

$$YC^p(M_j, M_i) = \prod_{m \geq 0} \text{Hom}_D(L_{m,j}, L_{m-p,i})$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$, where $(L_{*,i}, d_{*,i})$ is a free resolution of M_i , and an obstruction calculus based on these free resolutions. We recall that $\text{YH}^n(M_j, M_i) \cong \text{Ext}_D^n(M_j, M_i)$.

Let us compute the cohomology $\text{YH}^n(M_j, M_i)$ for $n = 1, 2$, $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$. We use the free resolutions of M_1 and M_2 as left D -modules given by

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leftarrow M_1 \leftarrow D \xleftarrow{\partial} D \leftarrow 0 \\ 0 \leftarrow M_2 \leftarrow D \xleftarrow{t} D \leftarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

and the definition of the differentials $YC^0(M_j, M_i) \rightarrow YC^1(M_j, M_i) \rightarrow YC^2(M_j, M_i) = 0$ in the Yoneda complex, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{YH}^1(M_1, M_1) &\cong \text{Ext}_D^1(M_1, M_1) = 0 & \text{YH}^1(M_1, M_2) &\cong \text{Ext}_D^1(M_1, M_2) = k \cdot \xi_{21} \\ \text{YH}^1(M_2, M_1) &\cong \text{Ext}_D^1(M_2, M_1) = k \cdot \xi_{12} & \text{YH}^1(M_2, M_2) &\cong \text{Ext}_D^1(M_2, M_2) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The base vector ξ_{ij} is represented by the 1-cocycle given by $D \xrightarrow{1} D$ in $YC^1(M_j, M_i)$ when $i \neq j$. Since $YC^2(M_j, M_i) = 0$ for all i, j , it is clear that $\text{YH}^2(M_j, M_i) \cong \text{Ext}_D^2(M_j, M_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$.

We conclude that $\text{Def}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is unobstructed. Hence, in the notation of Eriksen [1], the pro-representing hull H of $\text{Def}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is given by

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H_{11} & H_{12} \\ H_{21} & H_{22} \end{pmatrix} \cong \begin{pmatrix} k[[s_{12}s_{21}]] & \langle s_{12} \rangle \\ \langle s_{21} \rangle & k[[s_{21}s_{12}]] \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\langle s_{12} \rangle = H_{11} \cdot s_{12} \cdot H_{22}$ and $\langle s_{21} \rangle = H_{22} \cdot s_{21} \cdot H_{11}$.

In order to describe the versal family \mathcal{M}_H of left D -modules defined over H , we use M-free resolutions in the notation of Eriksen [1]. In fact, the D - H bimodule \mathcal{M}_H has an M-free resolution of the form

$$0 \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_H \leftarrow \begin{pmatrix} D \widehat{\otimes}_k H_{11} & D \widehat{\otimes}_k H_{12} \\ D \widehat{\otimes}_k H_{21} & D \widehat{\otimes}_k H_{22} \end{pmatrix} \xleftarrow{d^H} \begin{pmatrix} D \widehat{\otimes}_k H_{11} & D \widehat{\otimes}_k H_{12} \\ D \widehat{\otimes}_k H_{21} & D \widehat{\otimes}_k H_{22} \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow 0$$

where $d^H = (\cdot \partial) \widehat{\otimes} e_i - (\cdot 1) \widehat{\otimes} s_{12} - (\cdot 1) \widehat{\otimes} s_{21} + (\cdot t) \widehat{\otimes} e_2$. This means that for any $P, Q \in D$, we have that $d^H(P \otimes e_1) = (P \cdot \partial) \widehat{\otimes} e_1 - (P \cdot 1) \widehat{\otimes} s_{21}$ and $d^H(Q \otimes e_2) = (Q \cdot t) \widehat{\otimes} e_2 - (Q \cdot 1) \widehat{\otimes} s_{12}$.

We remark that there is a natural algebraization S of the pro-representation hull H , given by

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \cong \begin{pmatrix} k[[s_{12}s_{21}]] & \langle s_{12} \rangle \\ \langle s_{21} \rangle & k[[s_{21}s_{12}]] \end{pmatrix}$$

In other words, S is an associative k -algebra of finite type such that the J -adic completion $\widehat{S} \cong H$ for the ideal $J = (s_{12}, s_{21}) \subseteq S$. The corresponding algebraization \mathcal{M}_S of the versal family \mathcal{M}_H is given by the M -free resolution

$$0 \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_S \leftarrow \begin{pmatrix} D \otimes_k S_{11} & D \otimes_k S_{12} \\ D \otimes_k S_{21} & D \otimes_k S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \xleftarrow{d^S} \begin{pmatrix} D \otimes_k S_{11} & D \otimes_k S_{12} \\ D \otimes_k S_{21} & D \otimes_k S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow 0$$

with differential $d^S = (\cdot\partial) \otimes e_i - (\cdot 1) \otimes s_{12} - (\cdot 1) \otimes s_{21} + (\cdot t) \otimes e_2$.

We shall determine the D -modules parameterized by the family \mathcal{M}_S over the noncommutative algebra S — this is much more complicated than in the commutative case. We consider the simple left S -modules as the points of the noncommutative algebra S , following Laudal [3], [4]. For any simple S -module T , we obtain a left D -module

$$M_T = \mathcal{M}_S \otimes_S T$$

Therefore, we consider the problem of classifying simple S -modules of dimension $n \geq 1$.

Any S -module of dimension $n \geq 1$ is given by a ring homomorphism $\rho : S \rightarrow \text{End}_k(T)$, and we may identify $\text{End}_k(T) \cong M_n(k)$ by choosing a k -linear base $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ for T . We see that S is generated by e_1, s_{12}, s_{21} as a k -algebra (since $e_2 = 1 - e_1$), and there are relations

$$s_{12}^2 = s_{21}^2 = 0, \quad e_1^2 = e_1, \quad e_1 s_{12} = s_{12}, \quad s_{21} e_1 = s_{21}, \quad s_{12} e_1 = e_1 s_{21} = 0$$

Any S -module of dimension n is therefore given by matrices $E_1, S_{12}, S_{21} \in M_n(k)$ satisfying the matrix equations

$$S_{12}^2 = S_{21}^2 = 0, \quad E_1^2 = E_1, \quad E_1 S_{12} = S_{12}, \quad S_{21} E_1 = S_{21}, \quad S_{12} E_1 = E_1 S_{21} = 0$$

The S -modules represented by (E_1, S_{12}, S_{21}) and (E'_1, S'_{12}, S'_{21}) are isomorphic if and only if there is an invertible matrix $G \in M_n(k)$ such that $GE_1G^{-1} = E'_1$, $GS_{12}G^{-1} = S'_{12}$, $GS_{21}G^{-1} = S'_{21}$. Using this characterization, it is a straight-forward but tedious task to classify all S -modules of dimension n up to isomorphism for a given integer $n \geq 1$.

Let us first remark that for any S -module of dimension $n = 1$, ρ factorizes through the commutativization k^2 of S . It follows that there are exactly two non-isomorphic simple S -modules of dimension one, $T_{1,1}$ and $T_{1,2}$, and the corresponding deformations of \mathcal{M} are

$$M_{1,i} = \mathcal{M}_S \otimes_S T_{1,i} \cong M_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2$$

This reflects that M_1 and M_2 are rigid as left D -modules.

We obtain the following list of S -modules of dimension $n = 2$, up to isomorphism. We have used that, without loss of generality, we may assume that E_1 has Jordan form:

$$\begin{array}{lll} (1) & E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ (2) & E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & S_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ (3) & E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ (4) & E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ (5) & E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ (6) & E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } a \in k^* \end{array}$$

We shall write $T_{2,1} - T_{2,5}$ and $T_{2,6,a}$ for the corresponding S -modules of dimension two. Notice that $T_{2,6,a}$ is simple for all $a \in k^*$, while $T_{2,1} - T_{2,5}$ are extensions of simple S -modules of dimension one. The corresponding deformations of \mathcal{M} are given by

$$M_{2,6,a} = \mathcal{M}_S \otimes_S T_{2,6,a} \quad \text{for } a \in k^*$$

In fact, one may show that $M_{2,6,a} \cong D/D \cdot (t\partial - a)$ for any $a \in k^*$. In particular, $M_{2,6,a}$ is a simple D -module if $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$, and in this case $M_{2,6,a} \cong M_{2,6,b}$ if and only if $a - b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, $M_{2,6,-1} \cong D/D \cdot \partial t$, $M_{2,6,n} \cong M_1$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $M_{2,6,-n} \cong M_2$ for $n = 2, 3, \dots$.

We obtain the following list of S -modules of dimension $n = 3$, up to isomorphism. We have used that, without loss of generality, we may assume that E_1 has Jordan form:

$$\begin{array}{lll}
(1) & E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
(2) & E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & S_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
(3) & E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
(4) & E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
(5) & E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
(6) & E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
(7) & E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } b \in k^* \\
(8) & E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
(9) & E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
(10) & E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
(11) & E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
(12) & E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & S_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } c \in k^*
\end{array}$$

We shall write $T_{3,1} - T_{3,6}$, $T_{3,7,b}$, $T_{3,8} - T_{3,11}$, and $T_{3,12,c}$ for the corresponding S -modules of dimension three. Notice that all S -modules of dimension three are extensions of simple S -modules of dimension one and two, so there are no simple S -modules of dimension $n = 3$.

We remark that if T is a simple S -module, then $\rho : S \rightarrow \text{End}_k(T)$ is a surjective ring homomorphism. Hence it seems unlikely that there are any simple S -modules of dimension $n > 3$.

Finally, we remark that the commutative deformation functor $\text{Def}_M : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ of the direct sum $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ has pro-representing hull $(H = k[[s_{12}, s_{21}]], M_H)$, and an algebraization $(S = k[s_{12}, s_{21}], M_S)$. It is not difficult to find the family M_S in this case. In fact, for any point $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{Spec } S = \mathbf{A}_k^2$, the left D -module $M_{\alpha,\beta} = M_S \otimes_S S/(s_{12} - \alpha, s_{21} - \beta)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_{0,0} &\cong M_1 \oplus M_2 \\ M_{\alpha,0} &\cong D/D \cdot (\partial t) && \text{for } \alpha \neq 0 \\ M_{\alpha,\beta} &\cong D/D \cdot (t \partial - \alpha\beta) && \text{for } \beta \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

We see that we obtain exactly the same isomorphism classes of left D -modules as commutative deformations of $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ as we obtained as noncommutative deformations of the family $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, M_2\}$. However, the points of the pro-representing hull

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H_{11} & H_{12} \\ H_{21} & H_{22} \end{pmatrix} \cong \begin{pmatrix} k[[s_{12}s_{21}]] & \langle s_{12} \rangle \\ \langle s_{21} \rangle & k[[s_{21}s_{12}]] \end{pmatrix}$$

of the noncommutative deformation functor $\text{Def}_{\mathcal{M}}$ give a much better geometric picture of the local structure of the moduli space of left D -modules.

References

- [1] Eivind Eriksen. An introduction to noncommutative deformations of modules. In *Noncommutative algebra and geometry*, volume 243 of *Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math.*, pages 90–125. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.
- [2] Olav Arnfinn Laudal. Noncommutative deformations of modules. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 4(2, part 2):357–396 (electronic), 2002. The Roos Festschrift volume, 2.
- [3] Olav Arnfinn Laudal. Noncommutative algebraic geometry. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Algebraic Geometry and Singularities (Spanish) (Sevilla, 2001)*, volume 19, pages 509–580, 2003.
- [4] Olav Arnfinn Laudal. The structure of $\text{Simp}_{<\infty}(A)$ for finitely generated k -algebras A . In *Computational commutative and non-commutative algebraic geometry*, volume 196 of *NATO Sci. Ser. III Comput. Syst. Sci.*, pages 3–43. IOS, Amsterdam, 2005.