

BESSEL MODELS FOR $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$

DIPENDRA PRASAD AND RAMIN TAKLOO-BIGHASH

To Steve Gelbart

ABSTRACT. Methods of theta correspondence are used to analyse local and global Bessel models for GSp_4 proving a conjecture of Gross and Prasad which describes these models in terms of local epsilon factors in the local case, and the non-vanishing of central critical L -value in the global case.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Bessel models for principal series representations	10
2.1. Siegel Parabolic	10
2.2. Klingen Parabolic	12
2.3. Degenerate principal series coming from Klingen parabolic	13
3. Conjecture 1 for irreducible principal series	14
3.1. Siegel parabolic	15
3.2. Klingen parabolic	16
4. Reducible principal series	17
4.1. Siegel Parabolic	17
4.2. Klingen parabolic	18
5. The Steinberg representation	19
6. Summing up discussion on principal series	21
7. Bessel model of the Weil representation	22
8. Applications	28
9. Dual pairs involving division algebras	31

Key words and phrases. Bessel models, Weil representation, Theta correspondence, local epsilon factors, central critical L -value.

The first author thanks the Institute for Advanced Study, as well as the University of California at San Diego, where this work was done, and gratefully acknowledges receiving support through grants to the Institute by the Friends of the Institute, and the von Neumann Fund. The second author is partially supported by a Young Investigator's Award from the NSA and a grant from the NSF. We are grateful to W.T. Gan for many suggestions; in fact, his help has been invaluable. Both of the authors have received warm encouragement from Steve Gelbart at various stages and would like to dedicate this work to him.

10.	Proof of Conjecture 1 for representations arising from Weil representation through $\mathrm{GSO}(4)$	32
11.	Theorem 1.3	34
12.	Dual pairs involving division algebras: Archimedean Case	37
13.	Discrete series over the reals	38
13.1.	A review of some results of Kolk and Varadarajan	38
13.2.	Discrete series for $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbb{R})$ and inner forms	41
13.3.	The result	42
14.	The global correspondence for the dual pair $(\mathrm{GSp}, \mathrm{GO})$	46
14.1.	Bessel Models	48
15.	Global implies local	51
16.	Böcherer, global Gross-Prasad, and the Ichino-Ikeda conjecture	52
16.1.	Ichino-Ikeda	54
	References	55

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we will use the methods of theta correspondence to prove certain local and global conjectures of Gross-Prasad for the pair $(\mathrm{SO}(2), \mathrm{SO}(5))$ in some cases which amounts to the understanding of Bessel models for representations of $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ by reducing this question to one about branching laws involving simpler pairs for which the analogous question is known. These conjectures relate the existence of Bessel models (which are certain Fourier coefficients) to certain local epsilon factors in the local case, and to the non-vanishing of certain central critical L -value in the global case. Instead of $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ we will consider the related group GSp_4 in this paper. This gives first nontrivial evidence to the conjectures of Gross-Prasad in which the subgroup considered is neither reductive, nor unipotent.

Among the earliest manifestations of the methods that we follow in this paper is the work of Waldspurger on Shimura correspondence in the late 70's relating period integral of automorphic forms on PGL_2 over tori to Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms on the metaplectic SL_2^- both being related to twisted L -values at $1/2$.

Let W be a four dimensional symplectic vector space over a local field k . Let W_1 be a maximal isotropic subspace of W . Let $G = \mathrm{GSp}(W)$ denote the symplectic similitude group of W , and P the parabolic subgroup of G consisting of elements of G which take W_1 into itself. The group P is the so-called Siegel parabolic which has the Levi decomposition $P = MN$, where $M \cong \mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m$, and N is an abelian

group which can be identified to 2×2 symmetric matrices $\mathrm{Sym}_2(k)$ over k . An element $(g, \lambda) \in \mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m$ acts on $n \in N$ by $\lambda gn^t g$. It follows that the stabiliser in M of a nondegenerate symmetric matrix in N can be identified to the normalizer of a Cartan subgroup of GL_2 . Fix $\psi_{00} : k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ to be a nontrivial additive character of k . Let $\psi_0 : N \cong \mathrm{Sym}_2(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be $\psi_0(n) = \psi_{00}(\mathrm{trace}(n))$. Any character of N is of the form $\psi(n) = \psi_0(sn)$ for some $s \in \mathrm{Sym}_2(k)$, and the corresponding subgroup $T = T_s$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ is

$$T = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(k) \mid {}^t g s g = \det g \cdot s\}.$$

We consider T as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ via

$$g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & \det g \cdot {}^t g^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let π be an irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GSp}(W)$. Let π_ψ denote the largest quotient of π on which N operates by ψ . Clearly π_ψ is a representation space for the subgroup M^ψ of M which stabilizes ψ . We will consider $\psi = \psi_0(gn)$ corresponding to a $g \in \mathrm{Sym}_2(k)$ with $\det g \neq 0$. For such ψ , M^ψ is isomorphic to the normaliser $N(T)$ of a Cartan subgroup T of GL_2 . The question that we study in this paper is the structure of π_ψ as a module for T , called the Bessel model of π , both locally as well as globally for certain representations π of $\mathrm{GSp}(W)$ which will be the set of ‘most’ irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GSp}(W)$; in the global context, we will be able to answer this question only for a small class of automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GSp}(W)$.

We will work simultaneously with the rank 1 form of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$, to be denoted by $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$, and defined using a quaternion division algebra D over k as

$$\left\{ g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(D) \mid g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} {}^t \bar{g} = \lambda \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda \in k^* \right\}$$

where for $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(D)$, ${}^t \bar{g} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{c} \\ \bar{b} & \bar{d} \end{pmatrix}$, and where $a \rightarrow \bar{a}$ denotes the standard involution on D . The group $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$ contains the Siegel parabolic whose unipotent radical is the group of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $n \in D$ with $n + \bar{n} = 0$, and the Levi subgroup is isomorphic to $D^* \times k^*$ embedded in $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$ as

$$\begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & td \end{pmatrix}$$

for $d \in D^*$, and $t \in k^*$.

We begin by recalling the following multiplicity 1 theorem of Novodvorsky extended in two ways. First we consider $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ instead of his $\mathrm{PGSp}_4(k)$, and then we also consider rank 1 form of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$. Both these are standard extensions of the arguments in Novodvorsky.

Theorem 1.1. *Let π be an irreducible admissible representation of either $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$, or $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$ with Siegel parabolic $P = MN$. Let K be a quadratic algebra over k , and χ a character of K^* . Let $\psi : N(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a nondegenerate character of $N(k)$ centralized by K^* , so that one can construct a one dimensional representation of $R = K^*N(k)$ which is χ on K^* , and ψ on $N(k)$, which will also be denoted by χ as ψ will be kept fixed in this paper. Then*

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_R(\pi, \chi) \leq 1.$$

Remark 1.2. If $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\pi, \chi) \neq 0$, then the representation π is said to have Bessel model for the character χ of K^* .

Before we state the Gross-Prasad conjecture in this context, we remind ourselves that the Langlands parameter of a representation π of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ is a representation

$$\sigma_\pi : W'_k \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$$

where W'_k is the Weil-Deligne group of k which we take to be $W'_k = W_k \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. These have been constructed in a recent paper of Gan and Takeda who have also defined a notion of L -packets for representations of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ (of size 1 or 2) which is what we will use in this paper.

Conjecture 1. *Let K be a quadratic algebra over k such that $K^* \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ is contained in the centralizer of a nondegenerate character $\psi : N(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. Let $\chi : K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a character, and let χ also denote the corresponding character of $R = K^*N(k)$ which is χ on K^* and ψ on $N(k)$. Let $\{\pi\}$ be an irreducible, admissible generic L -packet of representations of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ with Langlands parameter τ . Assume that the central character of $\{\pi\}$ is the same as $\chi|_{k^*}$. Let $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$ be the rank 1 form of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$, and $\{\pi'\}$ an irreducible, admissible generic L -packet of representations of $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$ with Langlands parameter τ . (So $\{\pi'\}$ might be an empty set.) Then there is at most one representation $\pi \in \{\pi\}$ with $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\pi_\psi, \chi) \neq 0$, and there is one if and only if $\epsilon(\tau \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = 1$. Similarly, there is at most one representation $\pi' \in \{\pi'\}$ with $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\pi'_\psi, \chi) \neq 0$, and there is one if and only if $\epsilon(\tau \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = -1$. Furthermore, if $\{\pi\}$ or $\{\pi'\}$ consisted of more than one element, then the parameter τ with values in $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$ becomes a*

sum of representations $\tau = \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2$, and one can make precise which element of the L -packet, $\{\pi\}$ or $\{\pi'\}$ carries the χ -invariant form on the subgroup R .

The proof of this conjecture will be via the methods of theta correspondence with $\mathrm{GO}(4)$ for which the conjectures of Gross-Prasad (involving only reductive groups) are known. What makes this possible is the fact that in odd residue characteristic, every irreducible supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ arises as a theta lift of a representation of $\mathrm{GO}_4(k)$ for some four dimensional quadratic space. Having proved the conjecture for $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$, one can actually bootstrap to deduce something for $\mathrm{GL}(4)$, which we state now. (This theorem is proved in this paper for all representations of $\mathrm{GL}_4(k)$ whose Langlands parameter is not of the form $\sigma \otimes \mathrm{St}_2$ where σ is a parameter of a supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ with a nontrivial self-twist, and St_2 is the parameter of the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$.)

Theorem 1.3. *Let D be a quaternion division algebra over a local field k , K a quadratic separable algebra over k . Let π be an irreducible, admissible, generic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_4(k)$ with central character ω_π . Let χ be a character of K^* , also considered as a character of $\mathrm{GL}_2(K)$ via the determinant map $\det : \mathrm{GL}_2(K) \rightarrow K^*$, such that $\chi^2|_{k^*} = \omega_\pi$. Then the character χ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(K)$ appears as a quotient in π restricted to $\mathrm{GL}_2(K)$ if and only if*

- (1) *The Langlands parameter of π takes values in $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$ with similitude factor $\chi|_{k^*}$.*
- (2) *The epsilon factor $\epsilon(\pi \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = 1$.*

Similarly, assuming that π can be transferred to a representation π' of $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$, and that K is a quadratic field extension of k so that $\mathrm{GL}_2(K)$ embeds into $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$, the character χ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(K)$ appears in π' restricted to $\mathrm{GL}_2(K)$ as a quotient if and only if

- (1) *The Langlands parameter of π takes values in $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$ with similitude factor $\chi|_{k^*}$.*
- (2) *The epsilon factor $\epsilon(\pi \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = -1$.*

In this paper, we will be considering representations of $\mathrm{GSp}(W)$ which arise by theta lifting from representations of $\mathrm{GSO}(V)$, where V is a quadratic space of dimension 4. Recall that $\mathrm{GO}(V)$ contains a subgroup that we denote by $\mathrm{GSO}(V)$, of index 2, which is the connected component of the identity of $\mathrm{GO}(V)$. It is well-known that $\mathrm{GSO}(V)$ has the structure of one of the following groups:

- (1) $\mathrm{GSO}(V^s) \cong (\mathrm{GL}_2(k) \times \mathrm{GL}_2(k))/\Delta k^*$.
- (2) $\mathrm{GSO}(V^a) \cong (D^* \times D^*)/\Delta k^*$.

$$(3) \text{ GSO}(V^d) \cong [\text{GL}_2(E) \times k^*] / \Delta E^*,$$

where $\Delta k^* = k^*$ sits as (t, t^{-1}) , and $\Delta E^* = E^*$ sits inside $\text{GL}_2(E) \times k^*$ via its natural embedding in $\text{GL}_2(E)$, and in k^* by the norm mapping; further, we have used V^s to denote the unique four dimensional split quadratic space, V^a to denote the unique anisotropic quadratic space of dimension 4, and V^d is one of the two quadratic spaces of rank 1 with discriminant algebra E , a quadratic field extension of k .

Let τ be an irreducible representation of $(\text{GL}_2(k) \times \text{GL}_2(k)) / \Delta k^*$ of the form $\tau \cong \tau_1 \boxtimes \tau_2$ for irreducible admissible representations τ_1 and τ_2 of $\text{GL}_2(k)$ such that their central characters are the same. An irreducible representation of $(D^* \times D^*) / \Delta k^*$ will also be written as $\tau \cong \tau_1 \boxtimes \tau_2$. For τ an irreducible representation of $\text{GSO}(V^s)$, let $\pi_1 = \Theta(\tau)$ be the theta lift of τ . If τ is a discrete series representation of $\text{GSO}(V^s)$, we let τ' denote the representation of $\text{GSO}(V^a)$ obtained by the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. Let $\pi_2 = \Theta(\tau')$ be the theta lift of τ' .

We define $\text{GO}_D(4)$ to be

$$\left\{ g \in \text{GL}_2(D) \mid g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} {}^t \bar{g} = \lambda \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

It is clear that $\text{GO}_D(4)$ contains the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$$

for $d \in D^*$, as well as $\text{GL}_2(k)$. From this it is easy to see that in fact $\text{GO}_D(4) \cong [D^* \times \text{GL}_2(k)] / \Delta k^*$. One can define $\text{GO}_D(4)$ more generally by replacing the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ by a general skew-Hermitian matrix in $\text{GL}_2(D)$. By generality, it is known that over a non-Archimedean local field k , a skew-Hermitian form in $\text{GL}_2(D)$ is classified by its discriminant which is an element of k^*/k^{*2} which since we are classifying inner forms of $\text{GSO}(V^s)$, we take to be 1, hence the skew-Hermitian form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the only relevant one at this point. For construction of inner forms of $\text{GSO}(V^d)$, other discriminants will become relevant.

It is well-known that $(\text{GSp}_4, \text{GSO}(V^s))$, $(\text{GSp}_4, \text{GSO}(V^a))$, as well as $(\text{GSp}_D(4), \text{GO}_D(4))$ can be considered as dual reductive pairs, and one can use theta liftings to obtain representations of $\text{GSp}_D(4)$ from, in particular, those of $\text{GSO}(V^s)$, and $\text{GSO}(V^a)$. Define $\pi_3 = \Theta(\tau)$, and $\pi_4 = \Theta(\tau')$; where given an irreducible representation $\tau_1 \boxtimes \tau_2$ of $\text{GL}_2(k) \times \text{GL}_2(k)$, there are two representations of $\text{GO}_D(4) \cong D^* \times \text{GL}_2(k)$ by using the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence either on τ_1

or on τ_2 ; if one of τ_1 or τ_2 is not a discrete series representation, then one or both of τ and τ' could be taken to be 0. If $\tau_1 = \tau_2$, then the representation $\tau_1 \boxtimes JL(\tau_1)$ of $\mathrm{GO}_D(4) \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(k) \times D^*$ does not lift to $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$.

We now state one of our local theorems which is for those representations of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ which arises from theta lifting from $\mathrm{GSO}(V^s)$, or $\mathrm{GSO}(V^a)$ and for which we simultaneously have to consider representations of $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$ arising from $\mathrm{GO}_D(4)$. We will not state here what we prove for representations of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ arising from the dual pair $\mathrm{GSO}(V^d)$ for which we refer to Section 8. There are other representations which cannot be handled by the method of theta correspondence which in the odd residue characteristic are certain subquotients of principal series representations for which we use a combination of the method of theta correspondence and the Mackey theory for the full induced representations, taken up later in the paper.

Theorem 1.4. *Let K be a quadratic algebra over k such that $K^* \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ is contained in the centralizer of a nondegenerate character $\psi : N(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. Let $\chi : K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a character, and let χ also denote the corresponding character of $R = K^*N(k)$. Let τ_1 and τ_2 be irreducible, admissible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ with the same central characters which is $\chi|_{k^*}$, and π_1 the theta lift to $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ of the representation $\tau_1 \boxtimes \tau_2$ of $\mathrm{GSO}(V^s)$. Let π_2 (resp. π_3, π_4) be representations of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ (resp. $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$) as defined earlier via theta lifts from $\mathrm{GSO}(V^a)$ (resp. $\mathrm{GO}_D(4)$). (Observe that if τ_1 or τ_2 is not a discrete series representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$, then some of the representations π_2, π_3, π_4 may not be defined; if $\tau_1 = \tau_2$, then $\pi_3 = \pi_4 = 0$.) For the character χ of K^* , let $\mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi)$ denote the two dimensional representation of the Weil group of k obtained by inducing the character χ of K^* . With this notation, we have*

- (1) $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\pi_1, \psi, \chi) \neq 0$ if and only if

$$\epsilon(\tau_1 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = \epsilon(\tau_2 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = \omega_{K/k}(-1)\chi(-1).$$
- (2) $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\pi_2, \psi, \chi) \neq 0$ if and only if

$$\epsilon(\tau_1 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = \epsilon(\tau_2 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = -\omega_{K/k}(-1)\chi(-1).$$
- (3) $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\pi_3, \psi, \chi) \neq 0$ if and only if

$$\epsilon(\tau_1 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = -\epsilon(\tau_2 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = \omega_{K/k}(-1)\chi(-1).$$
- (4) $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\pi_4, \psi, \chi) \neq 0$ if and only if

$$\epsilon(\tau_1 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = -\epsilon(\tau_2 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = -\omega_{K/k}(-1)\chi(-1).$$

Here is the corresponding global theorem.

Theorem 1.5. *Let D be a quaternion algebra over a number field F , with the adèle ring \mathbb{A}_F . Let Π_1 and Π_2 be two automorphic representations of $D^*(\mathbb{A}_F)$ with the same central characters, so that $\Pi_1 \boxtimes \Pi_2$ can be considered to be an automorphic representation on the corresponding orthogonal group $\mathrm{GSO}_4(\mathbb{A}_F)$ defined by the reduced norm on D . Let Π be the theta lift to $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of $\Pi_1 \boxtimes \Pi_2$ on $\mathrm{GSO}_4(\mathbb{A}_F)$. Let E be a separable quadratic algebra over F , and χ a Grössencharacter on \mathbb{A}_E^* whose restriction to \mathbb{A}_F^* is the central character of Π . Let $\psi : N(\mathbb{A}_F)/N(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a character which is normalized by \mathbb{A}_E^* , and hence (χ, ψ) gives rise to a character on $R(\mathbb{A}_F) = \mathbb{A}_E^*N(\mathbb{A}_F)$ which we will abuse notation to denote simply by χ as ψ is considered fixed. Then the period integral on Π taking $f \in \Pi$ to*

$$\int_{R(F)\mathbb{A}_F^*\backslash R(\mathbb{A}_F)} f(g)\chi^{-1}(g)dg,$$

is not identically zero if and only if the period integrals,

$$\int_{E^*\mathbb{A}_F^*\backslash\mathbb{A}_E^*} f_1(g)\chi^{-1}(g)dg,$$

and

$$\int_{E^*\mathbb{A}_F^*\backslash\mathbb{A}_E^*} f_2(g)\chi^{-1}(g)dg$$

on Π_1 and Π_2 respectively are not identically zero; in particular, by Waldspurger, if the period integral on $R(F)\mathbb{A}_F^\backslash R(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of functions in Π is not identically zero, then*

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, BC_E(\Pi_1) \otimes \chi^{-1}\right) \neq 0,$$

and

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, BC_E(\Pi_2) \otimes \chi^{-1}\right) \neq 0,$$

where BC_E denotes the base change to $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ of an automorphic form on $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$.

Further, for automorphic representations Π_1 and Π_2 on $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$, if $L(\frac{1}{2}, BC_E(\Pi_1) \otimes \chi^{-1}) \neq 0$, and $L(\frac{1}{2}, BC_E(\Pi_2) \otimes \chi^{-1}) \neq 0$, Waldspurger's theorem gives quaternion algebras D_1 and D_2 over F , and an automorphic representation of $(D_1^ \times D_2^*)/\Delta\mathbb{G}_m$ such that the corresponding period integrals on $E^*\mathbb{A}_F^*\backslash\mathbb{A}_E^*$ are nonzero. Given D_1 and D_2 , quaternion algebras over the number field F , let $D_1 \otimes D_2 \cong M_2(D)$. Taking the tensor product of canonical involutions on D_1 and D_2 , we get an involution on $M_2(D)$, and hence there is a hermitian form on a 2 dimensional vector space over D such that the corresponding*

$\mathrm{GSO}_D(4) = [D_1^* \times D_2^*] / \Delta \mathbb{G}_m$. Define $\mathrm{GSp}_4(D)$ using this D , and construct a representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(D_{\mathbb{A}})$ via theta lifting. Then for this automorphic representation, say $\tilde{\Pi}$ on $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$, the corresponding period integral of functions f in $\tilde{\Pi}$,

$$\int_{R(F)_{\mathbb{A}_F^*} \backslash R(\mathbb{A}_F)} f(g) \chi^{-1}(g) dg,$$

is not identically zero (in particular $\tilde{\Pi}$ is not zero).

Remark 1.6. There is a considerable amount of *Geometric Algebra* especially using *exceptional isomorphisms* of low rank groups in this paper (such as $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ being isomorphic to $\mathrm{PGSp}(4)$ or $\mathrm{SO}(6)$ being related to $\mathrm{SL}(4)$, the structure of their inner forms which usually has different constructions for the two groups involved, and the relation of their subgroups under this isomorphism). This fits rather nicely to yield exactly what is needed for the similitude groups being considered (such as $\mathrm{GSO}(2)$, which is much preferred over $\mathrm{SO}(2)$).

Remark 1.7. It may be noted that besides its intrinsic interest, as Bessel models are usually nonzero for some choice of $\chi : K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, they can be used in developing the theory of L -functions for $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ as in the early work of Novodvorsky and Piatetski-Shapiro, extending considerably the scope of the theory of L -functions based on genericity hypothesis.

We end this introduction by briefly recalling how the proof of the main theorems are obtained. The proof of the p -adic theorem is achieved in two parts. First we deal with all subquotients of principal series by standard Mackey theory (restriction of an induced representation to a subgroup) which calculates the Bessel models of representations involved, and then a separate calculation on root numbers combined with the theorem of Saito and Tunnell about characters of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ yields conjecture 1 in these cases. Some extra complication arises when the representation is not fully induced, but this is a small number of cases, in fact two kinds of representations that really matter; one of these can be handled by theta methods, the other remains as a problem as pointed out in the body in the paper. One is then left with supercuspidals which in odd residue characteristic are obtained by theta lifting from $\mathrm{GO}(4)$. These are dealt with by the methods related to the Weil representation. We will also prove a theorem for the archimedean case dealing with discrete series Vogan packets. The sufficiency of the conditions of the archimedean theorem follows from the work of Takloo-Bighash for rank two case. In this case one uses the results of Kolk

and Varadarajan to establish the necessity of the conditions. In the rank one case, the results follow directly from Wallach's results. We will also include a theorem on the existence of Bessel functionals in the global situation, and will explain how one can draw local consequences from the global theorem.

2. BESSEL MODELS FOR PRINCIPAL SERIES REPRESENTATIONS

The aim of this section is to calculate the twisted Jacquet functor π_ψ for a principal series representation π of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ with respect to a non-degenerate character $\psi : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ given by a symmetric matrix $A \in M_2(k)$ as $\psi(X) = \psi_0(\mathrm{tr}(AX))$ for $X \in N = \mathrm{Sym}_2(k)$.

We note that π_ψ is a module for the subgroup

$$M_\psi \cong \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(k) \mid gA^t g = \lambda(g)A\}$$

which is the normaliser in $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ of a certain torus K_ψ^* .

At certain points in the paper, we will find it more convenient to work with co-ordinates, for which we fix some notation here.

Let W be a four dimensional symplectic vector space over a field k with a fixed basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$, and a symplectic form \langle, \rangle on W such that $\langle e_1, e_3 \rangle = -\langle e_3, e_1 \rangle = 1$, $\langle e_2, e_4 \rangle = -\langle e_4, e_2 \rangle = 1$, and all other products among these basis vectors to be zero.

2.1. Siegel Parabolic. We begin with the case when π is induced from the Siegel parabolic P from an irreducible representation ρ of the Levi subgroup M of $P = MN$.

As usual, let P be the Siegel parabolic stabilizing the isotropic subspace $W = \{e_1, e_2\}$ with M the stabiliser of the isotropic subspaces $W = \{e_1, e_2\}$ and $W' = \{e_3, e_4\}$. The calculation of the twisted Jacquet functor will depend on the understanding of the double coset $P \backslash G / P$ with $G = \mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$, which is the same as $G \backslash [G/P \times G/P]$, or the orbit of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ on pairs of maximal isotropic subspaces. It is easy to see that there are three orbits of pairs of isotropic subspaces (W_1, W_2) :

- (1) $W_1 = W_2$; in this case we take $W_1 = W_2 = W$.
- (2) $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$; in this case we take $W_1 = W$, and $W_2 = W'$.
- (3) $W_1 \cap W_2$ is 1-dimensional; in this case we take $W_1 = W$, and $W_2 = \{e_1, e_4\}$.

As W_1 is chosen to be W in all the three cases, the stabiliser in $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ of the pair of isotropic subspaces (W_1, W_2) is a subgroup of P which is the following subgroup H_i of P in the three cases:

- (1) $H_1 = P$.
- (2) $H_2 = M$.

- (3) H_3 containing the unipotent group $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sym}^2(k) \subset N$.

From the Mackey theory, it follows that the representation $\pi = \mathrm{ind}_P^G \rho$ restricted to P is obtained by gluing the following three representations:

- (1) ρ .
- (2) $\mathrm{ind}_M^P \rho$.
- (3) $\mathrm{ind}_{H_3}^P \rho|_{H_3}$.

(The discriminant function δ_P used for normalized induction can be seen to be trivial on M_ψ , hence in light of the eventual answer, one can ignore δ_P in what follows.)

We observe that since the representation ρ of M is extended to P trivially across N , for a nondegenerate character ψ of N , $\rho_\psi = 0$ in case (i).

For case (iii), as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ax + by & ay \\ bx + cy & by \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\psi \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \psi_0(ax + 2by),$$

it follows that if the character ψ of N were to be trivial on the subgroup $N \cap H_3$, $a = b = 0$, and hence ψ will not be a nondegenerate character. Noting that N is a normal subgroup of P , it follows that any character of N appearing in case (iii) is degenerate, and hence case (iii) does not contribute to the twisted Jacquet functor.

In case (ii), since $P \cong MN$ with N normal, we get $\mathrm{ind}_M^P \rho \cong \rho \otimes \mathrm{ind}_M^P \mathbf{1}$ as P -modules. Hence,

$$[\mathrm{ind}_M^P \rho]_\psi \cong \rho|_{M_\psi}$$

for any character ψ of N . Thus we find that the twisted Jacquet functor in the three cases is as follows:

- (1) 0.
- (2) $\rho|_{M_\psi}$.
- (3) 0.

Therefore we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *For a principal series representation π of $G = \mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ induced from a representation ρ of $P = MN$ of a Siegel parabolic, $\pi_\psi \cong \rho$ restricted to M_ψ .*

Analogously, for $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$, we have the following.

Proposition 2.2. *For a principal series representation π of $G = \mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$ induced from a representation ρ of $P = MN$ of the unique parabolic of $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$ (up to conjugacy) with $M \cong D^* \times k^*$, $\pi_\psi \cong \rho$ restricted to M_ψ .*

2.2. Klingen Parabolic. We next direct our attention to the calculation of the twisted Jacquet functor for representations induced from Klingen parabolic $Q = M'N'$ which we take to be the stabiliser of the isotropic line $\{e_1\}$. Once again, the restriction to P of a representation π of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ induced from a representation ρ of M' extended trivially across N' is obtained by gluing certain representations indexed by double cosets $P \backslash \mathrm{GSp}_4(k) / Q$ which is the same as the $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ -orbits of a pair consisting of a pair (L, W) of one dimensional subspace L of V , and a two dimensional isotropic subspace W . There are two orbits:

- (1) $L \subset W$ in which case we take $L = \{e_1\}$, and $W = \{e_1, e_2\}$.
- (2) $L \not\subset W$ in which case we take $L = \{e_3\}$, $W = \{e_1, e_2\}$.

In case (i), the part of the unipotent radical N of P which is contained in the unipotent radical N' of Q is the set of matrices,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ y & z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sym}^2(k) \subset N,$$

A calculation as done in case (iii) of the principal series induced from Siegel parabolic, it is easy to see that there are no nondegenerate characters of N trivial on

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ y & z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sym}^2(k) \subset N,$$

and therefore once again as N is normal in P , it follows that this double coset contributes nothing to the twisted Jacquet functor.

In the case (ii), the stabiliser of the pair (L, W) with $L = \{e_3\}$, and $W = \{e_1, e_2\}$ is the subgroup

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & 0 & x_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & x_{33} & x_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_{44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

There are embeddings of H in $Q = k^* \times \mathrm{GL}_2(k) \times N'$ with image $k^* \times B_2 \times \langle u \rangle$ where B_2 is the group of upper triangular matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$, and $\langle u \rangle$ is a 1 parameter subgroup in N' . In the embedding of H in $P = k^* \times \mathrm{GL}_2(k) \times N$, the one parameter subgroup $\langle u \rangle$ goes to the upper triangular unipotent subgroup in $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$, the unipotent radical of B_2 goes inside N to a 1-dimensional subgroup that we denote by N_0 , and the diagonal torus to the diagonal torus in $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$.

By Mackey theory, it follows that the restriction of π to P contains $\mathrm{ind}_H^P \rho'$ where H can be taken to be $k^* \times B_2 \times N_0$ and the representation ρ' is the restriction of ρ to the diagonal torus in $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ extended trivially across the unipotent subgroup of B_2 to all of B_2 . We assume now that the representation ρ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ is infinite dimensional, so that (by Kirillov theory) its restriction to B_2 contains the representation of B_2 which is obtained by inducing from a character of the subgroup, ZU of B_2 , consisting of central and unipotent elements of B_2 .

As the action of K^* on $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)/P_1$ where P_1 is the subgroup of B_2 consisting of elements of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix},$$

contains an open dense orbit, it follows that for $R = K^* \cdot N$, $R \backslash P/H$ contains an open dense double coset which in the case of K a field is the unique double coset. Thus the representation $\mathrm{ind}_H^P \rho'$ restricted to R contains

$$\mathrm{ind}_{R \cap H}^R \tilde{\psi} = \mathrm{ind}_{k^* N_0}^{K^* N} \tilde{\psi},$$

where $\tilde{\psi}$ is the character of $k^* N_0$ which is equal to the central character of π restricted to k^* , and is the restriction of the character ψ of N to N_0 , which can be checked to be nontrivial on N_0 .

Thus its maximal quotient on which N operates by ψ is $\mathrm{ind}_{k^* N}^{K^* N} \omega \psi$ where ω is the central character of the representation ρ . We thus obtain the following conclusion.

Proposition 2.3. *For a principal series representation π of $G = \mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ induced from an infinite dimensional irreducible representation ρ of $Q = M'N'$ of a Klingen parabolic, π_ψ restricted to $K^* = M_\psi$ has each and every character of K^* with the same central character as that of ρ appearing with multiplicity one as a quotient.*

2.3. Degenerate principal series coming from Klingen parabolic. In this section we modify the argument of the previous section to calculate the ψ -Bessel model, for a non-degenerate character ψ of N , of a degenerate principal series representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ induced from a one dimensional representation ρ of the Klingen parabolic $Q = M'N'$. The analysis of the previous section gives the ψ -Bessel model of $\pi = \mathrm{ind}_Q^{\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)} \rho$ as the ψ -Bessel model of $\mathrm{ind}_H^P \rho|_H$ where $H = B_2 \times k^* \times N_0$, with B_2 the lower triangular subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$, and N_0 the one parameter subgroup $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$. It follows that if π has

ψ -Bessel model for $s = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$, then

$$\mathrm{tr} \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \right] \equiv 0, \text{ or } c = 0.$$

This means that if π has ψ -Bessel model corresponding to the symmetric matrix $s = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$, c must be zero. For such symmetric matrices, the corresponding quadratic form is split, and hence we deduce that π has ψ -Bessel model only for K defined by a split quadratic algebra, in which case $K \cong k \oplus k$.

Fixing now the character $\psi \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{12} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \psi_{00}(x_{12})$, of N which is trivial on N_0 , and which is stabilized by the diagonal split torus T in $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$, it is easy to calculate the twisted Jacquet module for the character ψ of N of the induced representation $\mathrm{ind}_H^P \rho|_H$ with $H = B_2 \times k^* \times N_0$, to conclude the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *Let ρ be a one dimensional representation of the Klingen parabolic Q of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$, and $\pi = \mathrm{ind}_Q^{\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)} \rho$, the corresponding principal series representation. Then π has Bessel models for a quadratic algebra K if and only if $K = k \oplus k$, and in which case it has exactly one dimensional space of Bessel models for the character of K^* obtained by restriction of ρ to K^* which sits inside the Levi subgroup of Q .*

3. CONJECTURE 1 FOR IRREDUCIBLE PRINCIPAL SERIES

Having done the calculation of Bessel models for principal series representations in the last section, we are now in position to verify Conjecture 1 for all generic L -packets of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ which arise as subquotients of a principal series representation induced from either of the two maximal parabolics. A principal series representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ which is induced from the Borel subgroup will be considered to be induced from the Siegel parabolic. We will assume in this section that multiplicity one theorem, i.e., Theorem 1.1, holds.

Our calculations when the principal series representation is irreducible will be relatively straightforward. When the principal series representation has more than one irreducible factor, the methods of the previous section will give information only about a sum of multiplicities of Bessel models for the various representations involved. In these cases we will have to combine this information (about sum of multiplicities) with an information that we deduce from the method

of theta correspondence developed later in the paper which yields the multiplicity of Bessel model for the smaller (of the two representations involved), and thus we succeed in the calculation of the multiplicities of the Bessel models of the two representations.

Let us begin by stating the Langlands parameters of principal series representations, and then do the relevant local root number calculations.

3.1. Siegel parabolic. Let $P = MN$ be a Siegel parabolic with $M \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(k) \times \mathbb{G}_m$. Let $\pi_1 \boxtimes \mu$ be an irreducible representation of M , giving rise to the principal series representation π of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ by parabolic induction. Then the Langlands parameter of the representation π of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ is a representation

$$\sigma_\pi : W'_k \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$$

where W'_k is the Weil-Deligne group of k which we take to be $W'_k = W_k \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Assuming that the Langlands parameter of the representation π_1 of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ is σ_1 , and that of μ is μ itself, we have

$$\sigma_\pi = \mu\sigma_1 \oplus (\mu \oplus \mu \det \sigma_1).$$

We note that the Langlands parameter of an irreducible principal series representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ arising from parabolic induction of a representation of the Siegel parabolic takes values in (Levi subgroup of) the Klingen parabolic of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$. (As a check on the Langlands parameter, which the authors did not get right the first time, one notes that the twisting by a character $\chi : k^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, thought of as a character of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ through the similitude factor, takes the principal series corresponding to (π_1, μ) to $(\pi_1, \mu\chi)$, and this on Langlands parameter is supposed to be twisting by χ .)

The central character ω_π of π is the same as the similitude character of σ_π which is $\mu^2 \det \sigma_1$. Therefore the characters χ of K^* appearing in Conjecture 1 have the property that $\chi|_{k^*} = \mu^2 \det \sigma_1$, and these are the only characters that we will consider in what follows.

By the standard properties of the local root numbers, it follows that for σ_π as above,

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon(\sigma_\pi \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) \\ &= \epsilon(\mu\sigma_1 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) \cdot \epsilon(\mu \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) \cdot \epsilon(\mu \det \sigma_1 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Since for any representation V of W'_k ,

$$\epsilon(V) \cdot \epsilon(V^*) = \det V(-1),$$

and as for $V = \mu \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})$, $V^* \cong \mu \det \sigma_1 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})$, it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(\mu \otimes \text{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) \cdot \epsilon(\mu \det \sigma_1 \otimes \text{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) &= \det(\mu \otimes \text{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1}))(-1) \\ &= \omega_{K/k}(-1)\chi(-1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\epsilon(\sigma_\pi \otimes \text{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = \epsilon(\sigma_1 \otimes \text{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) \cdot \omega_{K/k}(-1)\chi(-1).$$

Therefore it follows from the theorem of Saito and Tunnell that

$$\epsilon(\sigma_\pi \otimes \text{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = 1$$

if and only if the character χ of K^* appears in the representation π_1 of $\text{GL}_2(k)$, which by proposition of the last section are exactly the characters of K^* for which π has Bessel models.

Furthermore, if $\epsilon(\sigma_\pi \otimes \text{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = -1$, the representation π_1 of $\text{GL}_2(k)$ is a discrete series representation, and if π'_1 is the corresponding representation of D^* , then χ appears in the representation π'_1 restricted to K^* . By proposition 2.2, the corresponding principal series representation of $\text{GSp}_D(4)$ has Bessel model for χ , proving Conjecture 1 in this case.

3.2. Klingen parabolic. Let $P = MN$ be a Klingen parabolic with $M \cong k^* \times \text{GL}_2(k)$. Let $\mu \boxtimes \pi_1$ be an irreducible representation of M , giving rise to the principal series representation π of $\text{GSp}_4(k)$ by parabolic induction. Then the Langlands parameter of the representation π of $\text{GSp}_4(k)$ is a representation

$$\sigma_\pi : W'_k \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C}).$$

Assuming that the Langlands parameter of the representation π_1 of $\text{GL}_2(k)$ is σ_1 , and that of μ is μ itself, we have

$$\sigma_\pi = \sigma_1 \oplus \mu \cdot \sigma_1.$$

(This time twisting by $\chi : k^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ takes (μ, π_1) to $(\mu, \chi\pi_1)$.) The central character of π is $\mu \det \sigma_1$, therefore the characters χ of K^* appearing in Conjecture 1 have the property that $\chi|_{k^*} = \mu \cdot \det \sigma_1$, and these are the only characters that we will consider in what follows.

By standard properties of the local root numbers, for σ_π as above,

$$\epsilon(\sigma_\pi \otimes \text{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = \epsilon(\sigma_1 \otimes \text{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) \cdot \epsilon(\sigma_1 \otimes \mu \otimes \text{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})).$$

Since $\chi|_{k^*} = \mu \cdot \det \sigma_1$, for $V = \sigma_1 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})$, we have $V^\vee \cong \sigma_1 \otimes \mu \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})$, and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(\sigma_\pi \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) &= \epsilon(\sigma_1 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) \cdot \epsilon(\sigma_1 \otimes \mu \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) \\ &= \det(\sigma_1 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1}))(-1) \\ &= [\det(\sigma_1)^2 \cdot \det(\mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1}))^2](-1) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore in this case conjecture 1 predicts that π has Bessel model for all characters χ of K^* with $\chi|_{k^*} = \mu \det \sigma_1$, and this is what proposition 3.3 proves. (Note that the Klingen parabolic is not defined for the rank 1 form of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$, so we do not need to consider $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$ here unlike in the case of principal series arising from Siegel parabolic.)

4. REDUCIBLE PRINCIPAL SERIES

4.1. Siegel Parabolic. Let $P = MN$ be a Siegel parabolic with $M \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(k) \times \mathbb{G}_m$. Let $\pi_1 \boxtimes \mu$ be an irreducible representation of M , giving rise to the principal series representation $\pi = Ps(\pi_1, \mu)$ of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ by parabolic induction.

Assume that the Langlands parameter of the representation π_1 of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ is σ_1 , and that of μ is μ itself. By the work of Shahidi, it is known that in case π_1 is supercuspidal, the principal series representation $\pi = Ps(\pi_1, \mu)$ is reducible if and only if $\det \sigma_1 = |\cdot|^{\pm 1}$. In this case, $\pi = Ps(\pi_1, \mu)$ has two composition factors, and the Langlands parameter of the generic component is

$$\sigma = \mu\sigma_1 \oplus (\mu\sqrt{\det \sigma_1} \otimes \mathrm{St}_2),$$

where St_n denotes the n -dimensional irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, and that of the other component is,

$$\sigma' = \mu\sigma_1 \oplus \mu \cdot (1 \oplus \det \sigma_1);$$

of course we are assuming that $\det \sigma_1 = |\cdot|^{\pm 1}$, so $\sqrt{\det \sigma_1} = |\cdot|^{\pm 1/2}$.

Since Conjecture 1 is invariant under twisting by a character of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$, which is identified to a character of k^* via the similitude character $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k) \rightarrow k^*$, we assume by choosing μ appropriately that the Langlands parameter of π is

$$\sigma = \sigma_1 \oplus \mathrm{St}_2,$$

with σ_1 of trivial determinant.

We need to calculate the epsilon factor

$$\epsilon(\sigma \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = \epsilon(\sigma_1 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1}))\epsilon(\mathrm{St}_2 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1}))$$

which takes the value ± 1 , and by the theorem of Saito and Tunnell takes the value -1 if and only if the character χ of K^* appears in exactly one of the two representations π_1, St_2 .

By the calculation of the Bessel model for a principal series representation, we already know that the principal series representation has Bessel models exactly for those characters χ of K^* which appear in the restriction of the representation π_1 to K^* .

Thus either of the following two statements implies the other:

- (1) The generic component of the principal series representation has exactly those characters of K^* which appear in π_1 and in the Steinberg representation St_2 .
- (2) The other component of the principal series representation has exactly those characters of K^* (trivial on k^*) which appear in π_1 but not in the Steinberg representation St_2 . Recalling that the Steinberg representation of $\text{PGL}_2(k)$ contains all characters of K^* (trivial on k^*) except for the trivial character, we conclude that the other component of the principal series has only the trivial character of K^* in the Bessel model if the trivial character of K^* appears in π_1 , and that the Bessel model (of the small component of the reducible principal series) is zero if the trivial character of K^* does not appear in π_1 .

The method of theta correspondence proves second of the above assertions, so we are done in this case.

4.2. Klingen parabolic. Let $P = MN$ be a Klingen parabolic with $M \cong k^* \times \text{GL}_2(k)$. Let $\mu \boxtimes \pi_1$ be an irreducible representation of M , giving rise to the principal series representation π of $\text{GSp}_4(k)$ by parabolic induction. Assume that the Langlands parameter of the representation π_1 of $\text{GL}_2(k)$ is σ_1 , and that of μ is μ itself. It is known that if π_1 is reducible, the principal series representation π is reducible if and only if $\mu = \omega \cdot |\cdot|^{\pm 1}$ for a nontrivial quadratic character ω of k^* . Assume without loss of generality that $\mu = \omega \cdot |\cdot|$. When reducible, one of the components is a discrete series representation, with parameter σ_π which is

$$\sigma_\pi = |\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_1 \otimes \text{St}_2,$$

and that of the smaller representation is

$$\sigma_\pi = \sigma_1 \oplus |\cdot| \cdot \sigma_1.$$

Once again since Conjecture 1 is invariant under twisting, we assume that the reducible principal series is such that the corresponding generic

representation has parameter

$$\sigma_\pi = \sigma_1 \otimes \mathrm{St}_2.$$

We now need to calculate the epsilon factor,

$$\epsilon(\sigma_\pi \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})),$$

for this choice of σ_π .

By generalities about epsilon factors,

$$\epsilon(V \otimes \mathrm{St}_n) = \epsilon(V)^n \det(-F, V^I)^{n-1},$$

where V^I denotes the subspace of V invariant under I . In our case, this formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(\sigma_\pi \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) &= \epsilon(\sigma_1 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1}) \otimes \mathrm{St}_2) \\ &= \det(-F, V^I) \end{aligned}$$

where $V = \sigma_1 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})$. If $V^I \neq 0$, as V is self-dual, so is V^I as a representation space for the cyclic group $\langle F \rangle$. Write $V^I = \sum \chi_i$. The characters χ_i with $\chi_i^2 \neq 1$ do not contribute anything to $\det(-F, V^I)$. A character χ_i with $\chi_i^2 = 1$, but $\chi_i \neq 1$ also does not contribute to $\det(-F, V^I)$. Therefore $\det(-F, V^I) = (-1)^r$ where r is the number of copies of the trivial representation of W_k in V . Assuming that σ_1 is irreducible, it follows that $\epsilon(\sigma_\pi \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = -1$ if and only if σ_1 and $\mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})$ are isomorphic.

By the calculation of the Bessel model for a principal series representation done in the last section, we already know that the principal series representation has Bessel models for all characters χ of K^* (with the usual restriction on the central character).

Thus either of the following two statements implies the other:

- (1) The generic component of the principal series representation $\pi = \mathrm{Ps}(\omega|\cdot|, \pi_1)$ has all characters of K^* whose restriction to k^* is the central character of π except χ and χ^{-1} if $\sigma_1 = \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi)$.
- (2) The other component of the principal series representation has exactly characters χ and χ^{-1} of K^* if $\sigma_1 = \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi)$.

Unfortunately, as neither of the two representations appear as theta lift from $GO(4)$, we are unable to prove either of the above assertions.

5. THE STEINBERG REPRESENTATION

Let B denote the standard minimal parabolic of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$, and P, Q respectively Siegel and Klingen parabolic subgroups. Let St_4 denote the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$, as well as its Langlands parameter, which is the four dimensional representation of the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ part of the Weil-Deligne group W'_k . By construction, St_4 is the alternating sum of

certain representations induced from characters of $B, P, Q, \mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ to $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$. Ignoring the trivial representation which does not contribute to the ψ -Bessel models for nondegenerate characters, Steinberg representation can be realized as the quotient of a representation induced from an irreducible representation of say $P = MN$ which is twist of the Steinberg representation of M by a representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ which is induced from a character of Q .

Proposition 5.1. *Let K be a quadratic algebra, χ a character of K^* which is trivial on k^* . Let ψ be a nondegenerate character of N , left invariant by K^* sitting inside M . Then the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ has Bessel model for χ if and only if χ is a non-trivial character of K^* , in case K is a field, and for all characters of K^* if $K = k \oplus k$.*

Proof. The proposition is clear by combining propositions 2.1 and 2.4 in all cases except when $K = k \oplus k$, and χ is the trivial character. So the rest of the proof will be for this case only, which is subtler as it relies on understanding on semi-simplicity of χ -Bessel models.

The Steinberg representation sits in an exact sequence of the form,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{St}_4 \rightarrow Ps \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow 0$$

where Ps is a principal series representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ induced from the Siegel parabolic from an appropriate twist of the Steinberg representation of $M = \mathrm{GL}_2(k) \times k^*$, and π is a representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ induced from a one dimensional representation of the Klingen parabolic (in fact π is a subrepresentation of such a representation with quotient which is the one dimensional trivial representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$, so does not affect the calculation of Bessel models). From an earlier observation that the discriminant δ_P is trivial on K^* , it does not matter which twist of the Steinberg of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ is used to construct the principal series Ps on $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ above.

Taking the twisted Jacquet functor with respect to the character ψ of N , we get an exact sequence of T -modules where T is the split torus in $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{St}_{4,\psi} \rightarrow Ps_\psi \rightarrow \pi_\psi \rightarrow 0.$$

From the calculation of the twisted Jacquet functor of principal series done earlier, we get an exact sequence of T -modules,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{St}_{4,\psi} \rightarrow \mathrm{St}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0$$

where \mathbb{C} is the one dimensional trivial representation of T , and St_2 denotes the Steinberg representation of $P\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$, now thought of as a T -module.

Since the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ can be realized on the space of locally constant functions modulo constant functions on $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$, the following is an exact sequence to T -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(k^*) \rightarrow \mathrm{St}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0.$$

As one knows that the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ has a unique quotient on which T -operates trivially, the last two exact sequences of T -modules must be the same, and therefore in particular $\mathrm{St}_{4,\psi}$ is isomorphic as a T -module to $\mathcal{S}(k^*)$. This implies that St_4 has Bessel model for all characters of T which are trivial on the scalars. \square

We omit the simple check that this proposition proves Conjecture 1 for the Steinberg representation, augmented by the following much simpler proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *The Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$ has Bessel model for a character χ of K^* if and only if K is a field, and χ is the trivial character of K^* .*

6. SUMMING UP DISCUSSION ON PRINCIPAL SERIES

Since the Gross-Prasad conjecture is about generic L -packets, we need to isolate those irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ which arise as subquotients of principal series representations, and have a generic member in their L -packet.

We begin by observing that from what is called the *Standard modules conjecture*, which is a theorem for $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$, a generic representation cannot be a proper Langlands quotient, i.e., either it is already tempered, or it is a full induced representation.

If the representation is tempered, then the sum of the representations in its L -packet is obtained by inducing a unitary discrete series representation of a parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$. Thus methods of the previous sections are enough to handle all representations which are either generic, or are tempered but not discrete series representations.

Among discrete series representations of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ which arise as subquotients of principal series representations, there are the following possibilities.

- (1) Those which arise from the principal series $Ps(\tau|\cdot|^{1/2}, |\cdot|^{-1/2})$ for the Siegel parabolic where τ is a discrete series representation of $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$ which is not Steinberg. Its L -packet has size 2, the other member being a supercuspidal representation obtained as the theta lift from $\mathrm{GSO}_4(k) = [D^* \times D^*]/\Delta k^*$ of the representation $(\lambda, 1)$ of $D^* \times D^*$ where λ is the representation

of D^* associated by the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence to the representation τ of $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$. The theta lift is supercuspidal, and the methods of Weil representation are enough to treat this supercuspidal representation. The principal series $P_S(\tau|\cdot|^{1/2}, |\cdot|^{-1/2})$ has another irreducible component which is not generic, and which is obtained as the theta lift from $\mathrm{GSO}(2, 2) = [\mathrm{GL}_2(k) \times \mathrm{GL}_2(k)]/\Delta k^*$ of the representation $(\tau, 1)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k) \times \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$, and therefore the methods of the Weil representations give information about the Bessel models of this other piece of the principal series, and therefore also of the discrete series component.

- (2) Those which arise from the principal series $P_S(\omega|\cdot|, \pi)$ for the Klingen parabolic where π is a discrete series representation of $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ with $\omega^2 = 1$, $\omega \neq 1$, and $\omega \otimes \pi = \pi$. Its L -packet has size one. As mentioned already, we have not been able to handle these discrete series representations.
- (3) Twisted Steinberg; these were handled in the previous section.

Finally we note that for $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$, a generic L -packet containing a non-generic representation must be tempered, so we have now handled all (except 2.) generic L -packets containing a representation which is nonsupercuspidal.

Remark 6.1. We have so far not discussed in any detail the unitary principal series representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ which arises from the representation $1 \boxtimes \pi$ of $k^* \times \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$, the Levi subgroup of the Klingen parabolic, with π a discrete series representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$. The induced representation in this case is a direct sum of two irreducible representations, each with Langlands parameter $\sigma \oplus \sigma$ where σ is the Langlands parameter of π . It is known that these two components of the principal series representation can be obtained as theta lift from $\mathrm{GSO}(V^a) = [D^* \times D^*]/\Delta k^*$ of the representation $\pi' \boxtimes \pi'$, where π' is the Jacquet-Langlands lift of π to D^* , and the theta lift from $\mathrm{GSO}(V^s) = [\mathrm{GL}_2(k) \times \mathrm{GL}_2(k)]/\Delta k^*$ of the representation $\pi \boxtimes \pi$. Therefore methods of Weil representation developed later give finer information about the Bessel periods of these two components of the principal series representations exactly as predicted by Conjecture 1.

7. BESSEL MODEL OF THE WEIL REPRESENTATION

The aim of this section will be to calculate the twisted Jacquet functor of the Weil representation of a dual reductive pair (G_1, G_2) with respect to a character ψ of the unipotent radical N_2 of a maximal parabolic $P_2 = M_2N_2$ of the group G_2 . We carry out the calculation of the

twisted Jacquet functor only for the Siegel parabolic of a symplectic group, so $G_2 = \mathrm{Sp}(W)$. Recall that for any representation π of G_2 , the twisted Jacquet functor π_ψ is the maximal quotient of π on which N_2 operates via ψ . If M_ψ denotes the maximal subgroup of M_2 which takes ψ to itself under the inner conjugation action of M_2 on N_2 , then π_ψ is a module for M_ψ , and therefore in the context of a dual reductive pair (G_1, G_2) , for $G_1 \times M_\psi$.

We recall that in a famous work, Kudla calculated the standard Jacquet module of the Weil representation. We carry out the calculation of the twisted Jacquet functor only for the Siegel parabolic. Actually the simple calculation we perform in this section is known in the literature in both the local and global contexts, see e.g. [22, 21]. However, since we anyway will have to recall the notation and the results, we have preferred to give an independent co-ordinate free treatment which will be convenient for our purposes.

We now recall some elementary properties of the Weil representation for this purpose.

Let $W = W_1 \oplus W_1^\vee$ be a symplectic vector space over a local field k together with its natural symplectic pairing. Given a quadratic space $q : V \rightarrow k$, the Weil representation gives rise to a representation of $\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W)$ on $\mathcal{S}(V \otimes W_1^\vee)$. In this representation, elements of $\mathrm{SHom}(W_1^\vee, W_1) = \{\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(W_1^\vee, W_1) \mid \phi = \phi^\vee\} \cong \mathrm{Sym}^2 W_1$, which can be identified to the unipotent radical of the standard Siegel parabolic in $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$, operates on $\mathcal{S}(V \otimes W_1^\vee)$ by

$$(n \cdot f)(x) = \psi((q \otimes q_n)x)f(x),$$

where n is represented by $q_n \in \mathrm{Sym}^2 W_1$ which gives rise to a quadratic form $q_n : W_1^\vee \rightarrow k$, which together with the quadratic form $q : V \rightarrow k$, gives rise to the quadratic form $q \otimes q_n : V \otimes W_1^\vee \rightarrow k$.

The Weil representation associated to a dual reductive pair $(\mathrm{O}(V), \mathrm{Sp}(W))$ is actually a representation of $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W)]$ where $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W)]$ is defined to be the group of pairs $(g_1, g_2) \in \mathrm{GO}(V) \times \mathrm{GSp}(W)$ such that the similitude factors for g_1 and g_2 are the same. We briefly recall this, referring to [11] for details on this.

The exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(W) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W)] \rightarrow \mathrm{GO}(V) \rightarrow 1,$$

has a natural splitting $\mathrm{GO}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W)]$ depending on a complete polarization $W = W_1 \oplus W_2$ of the symplectic space W in which $g \in \mathrm{GO}(V)$ goes to $(g, \mu(g)) \in \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W)]$ where $\mu(g)$ is the element of $\mathrm{GSp}(W)$ which acts by 1 on W_1 and by $\nu(g)$ on W_2 where

$\nu(g)$ is the similitude factor of g . The Weil representation realized on $\mathcal{S}(V \otimes W_1^\vee)$ has the natural action of $\mathrm{GO}(V)$ operating as

$$L(h)\varphi(x) = |\nu(h)|^{-mn/2}\varphi(h^{-1}x),$$

where $m = \dim V$, $2n = \dim W$, and $\nu(h)$ is the similitude factor of h . The group $\mathrm{GL}(W_1)$ sits naturally inside $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$, and its action on $\mathcal{S}(V \otimes W_1^\vee)$ is given by

$$L(g)\varphi(x) = \chi_V(\det g)|\det g|^{m/2}\varphi(gx),$$

where χ_V is the quadratic character of k^* given in terms of the Hilbert symbol as $\chi_V(a) = (a, \mathrm{disc}V)$ with $\mathrm{disc}V$ the normalised discriminant of V . These actions together with the action of the Weyl group element (which acts on $\mathrm{GL}(W_1)$ sitting inside $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ through $A \rightarrow {}^tA^{-1}$) of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ through Fourier transforms on $\mathcal{S}(V \otimes W_1^\vee)$, gives the action of $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W)]$ on $\mathcal{S}(V \otimes W_1^\vee)$. But this analysis of the action of the Weyl group will not be needed anywhere in this work.

The Weil representation thus gives rise to a representation of $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W)]$; inducing this representation to $\mathrm{GO}(V) \times \mathrm{GSp}(W)$, we get, the ‘big Weil representation’, say Ω , of $\mathrm{GO}(V) \times \mathrm{GSp}(W)$. Given an irreducible representation π of $\mathrm{GO}(V)$, there exists a representation $\Theta(\pi)$ of $\mathrm{GSp}(W)$ of finite length, such that $\Theta(\pi) \otimes \pi$ is the maximal π -isotypic quotient of Ω . It is known that the representation $\Theta(\pi)$ of $\mathrm{GSp}(W)$ has a unique irreducible quotient $\theta(\pi)$. When one talks about the theta correspondence, one means the correspondence $\pi \rightarrow \theta(\pi)$; however, when one calculates Jacquet or twisted Jacquet functor of the Weil representation, it is invariably $\Theta(\pi)$ that one encounters. Thus most of the applications are restricted to the case when one can in fact prove that $\Theta(\pi) = \theta(\pi)$ which is the case for example when π is supercuspidal.

Lemma 7.1. *Let x be a vector in $V \otimes W_1^\vee$, considered as a homomorphism $x : W_1 \rightarrow V$, as well as the homomorphism on duals $x^\vee : V^\vee \rightarrow W_1^\vee$. Then for quadratic spaces $q_V : V \rightarrow k$, and $q_W : W_1^\vee \rightarrow k$, equivalently considered through homomorphisms $q_V : V \rightarrow V^\vee$, and $q_W : W_1^\vee \rightarrow W_1$, the trace of the map from W_1 to W_1 given as the compositum of maps,*

$$W_1 \xrightarrow{x} V \xrightarrow{q_V} V^\vee \xrightarrow{x^\vee} W_1^\vee \xrightarrow{q_W} W_1,$$

is the same as the value of the quadratic form $q_V \otimes q_W$ on the vector $x \in V \otimes W_1^\vee$, which is of course the same as the trace of the map from k to k , obtained as the compositum of maps:

$$k \xrightarrow{x} V \otimes W_1^\vee \xrightarrow{q_V \otimes q_W} V^\vee \otimes W_1 \xrightarrow{x^\vee} k.$$

Note that one can identify the dual of the k -vector space $S\mathrm{Hom}[W_1^\vee, W_1]$ of self-dual homomorphisms from W_1^\vee to W_1 to $S\mathrm{Hom}[W_1, W_1^\vee]$ via the natural pairing obtained by taking trace,

$$S\mathrm{Hom}[W_1, W_1^\vee] \times S\mathrm{Hom}[W_1^\vee, W_1] \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}[W_1, W_1] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}} k.$$

Thus characters $\psi : N_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ can be identified to symmetric elements in $\mathrm{Hom}[W_1, W_1^\vee]$. As the map from W_1 to W_1 in the above lemma is the compositum of two maps, one from W_1 to W_1^\vee , and the other from W_1^\vee to W_1 , and that the first map is nothing but the restriction of the quadratic form on V to W_1 via the map $x : W_1 \rightarrow V$, the following corollary of the previous lemma is clear.

Corollary 7.2. *The twisted Jacquet functors of the Weil representation corresponding to the dual reductive pair $(\mathrm{O}(V), \mathrm{Sp}(W))$ are nonzero exactly for the characters of the unipotent radical of the Siegel parabolic of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ which correspond to the ‘restriction’ of quadratic form on V to W_1 via a linear map $x : W_1 \rightarrow V$.*

We now note the following general lemma.

Lemma 7.3. *Let X be the k -rational points of an algebraic variety defined over a local field k . Let P be a locally compact totally disconnected group with $P = MN$ for a normal subgroup N of P which we assume is a union of compact subgroups. Assume that P operates smoothly on $\mathcal{S}(X)$, and that the action of P restricted to M is given by an action of M on X . For a point $x \in X$, let $\ell_x : \mathcal{S}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the linear functional given by $\ell_x(f) = f(x)$. Assume that for every point $x \in X$, N operates on ℓ_x by a character $\psi_x : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, i.e., $\ell_x(n \cdot f) = \psi_x(n)\ell_x(f)$ for all $n \in N$, and $f \in \mathcal{S}(X)$. Fix a character $\psi : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, and let M_ψ denote the subgroup of M which stabilizes the character ψ of N . The group M_ψ acts on the set of points $x \in X$ such that $\psi_x = \psi$. Denote this set of points in X by X_ψ which we assume to be closed in X . Then,*

$$\mathcal{S}(X)_\psi \cong \mathcal{S}(X_\psi)$$

as M_ψ -modules.

Proof. We have an exact sequence of M_ψ -modules,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X - X_\psi) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_\psi) \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking the ψ -twisted Jacquet functor is exact, and $\mathcal{S}(X - X_\psi)_\psi = 0$, so the assertion of the lemma follows. \square

Corollary 7.4. *The twisted Jacquet functor of the Weil representation of the dual reductive pair $(\mathrm{O}(V), \mathrm{Sp}(W))$ for the character of the unipotent radical of the Siegel parabolic of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ which corresponds to*

a nondegenerate quadratic form on W_1 , which we assume is obtained by restriction of the quadratic form on V via a linear map $x : W_1 \rightarrow V$ is the representation

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{O}(W_1^\perp) \times \Delta\mathrm{O}(W_1)}^{\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(W_1)} \mathbb{C},$$

where $\mathrm{O}(W_1^\perp)$ is the orthogonal group of the orthogonal complement of W_1 inside V , and $\Delta\mathrm{O}(W_1)$ represents the natural diagonal embedding of $\mathrm{O}(W_1)$ inside $\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(W_1)$ as V contains W_1 .

Proof. Observe that $\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(W_1)$ operates on the set of homomorphisms from W_1 to V , and in fact by Witt's theorem, this action is transitive on the set of homomorphisms from W_1 to V such that the quadratic form on V restricts to the quadratic form on W_1 . The isotropy subgroup inside $\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(W_1)$ of a fixed embedding of W_1 inside V is exactly $\mathrm{O}(W_1^\perp) \times \Delta\mathrm{O}(W_1)$, proving the claim. \square

The previous analysis of twisted Jacquet functor in fact gives a representation space for $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(W_1)]$ which we record as the following corollary, but before doing that let us record the following form of Witt's extension theorem for similitude groups which follows from the usual form of Witt's theorem.

Lemma 7.5. *Suppose W_1 is a subspace of a quadratic space V carrying the restricted quadratic form. Suppose ϕ belongs to $\mathrm{GO}(W_1)$ such that the similitude factor of ϕ arises as a similitude factor in $\mathrm{GO}(V)$. Then there is an element ϕ' in $\mathrm{GO}(V)$ taking W_1 into itself, and such that the restriction of ϕ' to W_1 is ϕ .*

Corollary 7.6. *The twisted Jacquet functor of the Weil representation of the dual reductive pair $(\mathrm{O}(V), \mathrm{Sp}(W))$ for the character of the unipotent radical of the Siegel parabolic of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ which corresponds to a nondegenerate quadratic form on W_1 , which we assume is obtained by restriction of the quadratic form on V via a linear map $x : W_1 \rightarrow V$ is the representation*

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{O}(W_1^\perp) \times \Delta\mathrm{O}(W_1)]}^{\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(W_1)]} \mathbb{C},$$

where $\mathrm{O}(W_1^\perp)$ is the orthogonal group of the orthogonal complement of W_1 inside V , and $\Delta\mathrm{O}(W_1)$ represents the natural diagonal embedding of $\mathrm{O}(W_1)$ inside $\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(W_1)$ as V contains W_1 ; the group $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{O}(W_1^\perp) \times \Delta\mathrm{O}(W_1)]$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GO}(W_1^\perp) \times \Delta\mathrm{GO}(W_1)$ consisting of the pairs (g_1, g_2) with the same similitude factors for g_1 and g_2 .

As recalled earlier in the section, the Weil representation associated to the dual reductive pair $(\mathrm{O}(V), \mathrm{Sp}(W))$ can actually be made to be a

representation of $\mathrm{GO}(V) \times \mathrm{GSp}(W)$ simply by inducing the Weil representation of $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W)]$ to the larger group $\mathrm{GO}(V) \times \mathrm{GSp}(W)$. Here, then, is the extension of the previous corollary to the case of similitude groups.

Corollary 7.7. *The twisted Jacquet functor of the Weil representation of the dual reductive pair $(\mathrm{GO}(V), \mathrm{GSp}(W))$ for the character of the unipotent radical of the Siegel parabolic of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ which corresponds to a nondegenerate quadratic form on W_1 , which we assume is obtained by restriction of the quadratic form on V via a linear map $x : W_1 \rightarrow V$ is the representation*

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{O}(W_1^\perp) \times \Delta\mathrm{O}(W_1)]}^{\mathrm{GO}(V) \times \mathrm{GO}(W_1)} \mathbb{C},$$

where $\mathrm{O}(W_1^\perp)$ is the orthogonal group of the orthogonal complement of W_1 inside V , and $\Delta\mathrm{O}(W_1)$ represents the natural diagonal embedding of $\mathrm{O}(W_1)$ inside $\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(W_1)$ as V contains W_1 ; the group $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{O}(W_1^\perp) \times \Delta\mathrm{O}(W_1)]$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GO}(W_1^\perp) \times \Delta\mathrm{GO}(W_1)$ consisting of (g_1, g_2) with the same similitude factors for g_1 and g_2 . Assuming that $W_1^\perp \neq 0$, the twisted Jacquet functor as a representation space of $\mathrm{GSO}(V) \times \mathrm{GSO}(W_1)$ is therefore

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{SO}(W_1^\perp) \times \Delta\mathrm{SO}(W_1)]}^{\mathrm{GSO}(V) \times \mathrm{GSO}(W_1)} \mathbb{C}.$$

The previous corollary together with the formalism of the Weil representation yields the following theorem as a simple consequence.

Theorem 7.8. *Let π_1 be an irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GSO}(V)$, and π_2 that of $\mathrm{GSp}(W)$. Assume that $\pi_2 = \Theta(\pi_1)$ is the theta lift of π_1 to $\mathrm{GSp}(W)$. Let ψ be a nondegenerate character of the unipotent radical N of the Siegel parabolic $P = MN$ of $\mathrm{GSp}(W)$. Assume that ψ corresponds to a quadratic form q on W_1 , a maximal isotropic subspace of W . Then an irreducible representation χ of $\mathrm{GSO}(W_1)$ appears in $\pi_{2,\psi}$ as a quotient if and only if*

- (1) (q, W_1) can be embedded in the quadratic space V ; let W_1^\perp denote the orthogonal complement of W_1 sitting inside V through this embedding.
- (2) The representation χ^\vee of $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{SO}(W_1) \times \mathrm{SO}(W_1^\perp)]$ appears as a quotient in the representation π_1 of $\mathrm{GSO}(V)$ restricted to $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{SO}(W_1) \times \mathrm{SO}(W_1^\perp)]$, where χ^\vee is obtained by pulling back the contragredient of χ under the natural map $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{SO}(W_1) \times \mathrm{SO}(W_1^\perp)] \rightarrow \mathrm{GSO}(W_1)$.

Remark 7.9. It is a consequence of this theorem that if the representation χ^\vee of $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{SO}(W_1) \times \mathrm{SO}(W_1^\perp)]$ appears as a quotient in the

representation π_1 of $\mathrm{GSO}(V)$ restricted to $G[\mathrm{SO}(W_1) \times \mathrm{SO}(W_1^\perp)]$, then $\pi_2 = \Theta(\pi_1)$ is nonzero. It is one of the standard ways by which one proves nonvanishing of local (or global) representations: by proving the nonvanishing of a particular Fourier coefficient; for example it proves that the theta lifting from $\mathrm{GSO}(4)$ to $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ is always nonzero locally.

8. APPLICATIONS

To be able to use Theorem [?], we need to understand the embedding of $\mathrm{O}(W_1)$ inside $\mathrm{O}(V)$ more concretely. For applications to Theorem [?], we need it in the case when V is a four dimensional quadratic space, and W_1 is a two dimensional subspace of it, and for applications to Theorem [?], we need it in the case when V is a 6 dimensional quadratic space, and W_1 is a two dimensional subspace of it.

We begin with the case of a four dimensional quadratic space V of discriminant 1, so that it can be identified to the norm form of a four dimensional central simple algebra, say D , over k . Assume that the two dimensional nondegenerate subspace W_1 of $V = D$ is the norm form on a two dimensional subalgebra K of D . Write $D = K \oplus K \cdot j$ where j is an element of D^* which normalises K^* with $j^2 = a \in k^*$. The group $D^* \times D^*$ operates on D by $(d_1, d_2)X = d_1 X \bar{d}_2$, and gives an identification of $[D^* \times D^*]/\Delta k^*$ with $\mathrm{GSO}(D)$. Observe that the map $\iota : (x, y) \rightarrow (xy, x\bar{y})$ from $K^* \times K^*$ to itself gives an isomorphism of $(K^* \times K^*)/\Delta k^*$ onto the subgroup $G[\mathrm{SO}(W_1) \times \mathrm{SO}(W_1^\perp)]$ of $\mathrm{GSO}(W_1) \times \mathrm{GSO}(W_1^\perp)$ consisting of pairs of elements of K^* with the same similitude factors for the two components. Since $x[K \oplus Kj]\bar{y} = x\bar{y}K \oplus xyKj$, the following diagram allows one to identify $(K^* \times K^*)/\Delta k^*$ inside $(D^* \times D^*)/\Delta k^*$ as the subgroup $G[\mathrm{SO}(K) \times \mathrm{SO}(K)]$ inside $\mathrm{GSO}(D) = \mathrm{GSO}(K \oplus K)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & [K^* \times K^*]/\Delta(k^*) & \\ & \cong \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{SO}(K) \times \mathrm{SO}(K)] & & (D^* \times D^*)/(\Delta k^*) \end{array}$$

Therefore a representation $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ of $D^* \times D^*$ contains the restriction of the character (χ_1, χ_2) of $K^* \times K^*$ to the subgroup $G[\mathrm{SO}(W_1) \times \mathrm{SO}(W_1^\perp)]$ if and only if $\chi_1 \bar{\chi}_2$ appears in π_1 and $(\chi_1 \chi_2)$ appears in π_2 . Taking $\chi_2 = 1$, we get the following corollary to Theorem [?].

Corollary 8.1. *Let $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ be an irreducible admissible representation of $[D^* \times D^*]/k^* = \mathrm{GSO}(V)$ where $V = D$ is a quaternion algebra over k equipped with its reduced norm as the quadratic form. Let ψ be a character of the unipotent radical of the Siegel parabolic of $\mathrm{GSp}(W)$*

which corresponds to the nondegenerate quadratic space $\mathrm{Nm} : K \rightarrow k$ where K is a quadratic subalgebra of D . Then for the representation $\Theta(\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2)$ of $\mathrm{GSp}(W)$, the twisted Jacquet functor, $\Theta_\psi(\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2)$ of $\mathrm{GSp}(W)$, contains the representation $\chi : K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ if and only if χ appears in both π_1 and π_2 .

Similarly, for the case of the rank one form $\mathrm{Sp}_4(D)$ of the symplectic group defined using the quaternion division algebra D , we get the following result.

Corollary 8.2. *Let $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ be a representation of $[D^* \times \mathrm{GL}_2(k)]/k^* = \mathrm{GSO}_D(4)$ where D is a quaternion algebra over k , Let ψ be a character of the unipotent radical of the Siegel parabolic of $\mathrm{GSp}(W)$ which corresponds to the nondegenerate quadratic space $\mathrm{Nm} : K \rightarrow k$ where K is a quadratic subalgebra of D . Then for the representation $\Theta(\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2)$ of $\mathrm{GSp}(W)$, the twisted Jacquet functor, $\Theta_\psi(\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2)$ of $\mathrm{GSp}(W)$, contains the representation $\chi : K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ if and only if χ appears in both π_1 and π_2 .*

Let K and L be two quadratic extensions of k , and let E be the third quadratic extension of k contained in KL . Considering K and L together with their norm forms, we have two dimensional quadratic spaces, and $K \oplus L$ is a four dimensional quadratic space. It can be seen that $\mathrm{GSO}(K \oplus L) \cong (\mathrm{GL}_2(E) \times k^*)/\Delta E^*$ where $\Delta E^* \cong E^*$ sits inside $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ as scalar matrices, and inside k^* via the norm mapping.

The group $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{SO}(K) \times \mathrm{SO}(L)]$ is the subgroup of $K^* \times L^*$ consisting of pairs $(x_1, x_2) \in K^* \times L^*$ with the same norm to k^* .

The mapping from $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{SO}(K) \times \mathrm{SO}(L)]$ to $(\mathrm{GL}_2(E) \times k^*)/\Delta E^*$ obtained as the composition,

$$\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{SO}(K) \times \mathrm{SO}(L)] \rightarrow \mathrm{GSO}(K \oplus L) \cong (\mathrm{GL}_2(E) \times k^*)/\Delta E^*$$

fits in the following diagram of maps where ϕ_E denotes the natural inclusion of $(KL)^*$ into $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$, and i, i_K, i_L are inclusions of k^* in k^*, K^*, L^* respectively, and Nm_K and Nm_L are norm mappings from $(KL)^*$ to K^* and L^* respectively.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & [(KL)^* \times k^*]/\Delta(E^*) \\ & \swarrow^{(i_K \mathrm{Nm}_K, i_L \mathrm{Nm}_L)} & \searrow^{(\phi_E, i)} \\ \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{SO}(K) \times \mathrm{SO}(L)] & & (\mathrm{GL}_2(E) \times k^*)/(\Delta E^*) \end{array}$$

As the arrow on the left can be checked to be an isomorphism, it follows from this diagram that to check that a character of $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{SO}(K) \times \mathrm{SO}(L)]$

appears in the restriction of a representation of $\mathrm{GSO}(K \oplus L)$, it is equivalent to check that its restriction to $[(KL)^* \times k^*]/\Delta E^*$ now thought of as a subgroup of $[\mathrm{GL}_2(E) \times k^*]/\Delta(E^*)$ appears in the corresponding representation of $[\mathrm{GL}_2(E) \times k^*]/\Delta(E^*)$. Therefore we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 8.3. *Let π_1 be an irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ obtained from the theta lift of a representation π of $\mathrm{GO}_4(k)$ such that the normalized discriminant algebra associated to the four dimensional quadratic space is a quadratic field extension E of k . Assume that in the identification of $\mathrm{GSO}_4(k)$ with $(\mathrm{GL}_2(E) \times k^*)/(\Delta E^*)$, the restriction of π (from $\mathrm{GO}_4(k)$ to $\mathrm{GSO}_4(k)$) corresponds to the representation $\pi_2 \boxtimes \mu$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(E) \times k^*$. Let ψ be a nondegenerate character of N , where N is the unipotent radical of the Siegel parabolic $P = MN$ stabilizing a maximal isotropic subspace W_1 of the four dimensional symplectic space W , corresponding to a quadratic form q on W_1 which defines a quadratic field extension $K \neq E$. (The case $K = E$ is easier to analyze but we do not do it here.) Then a character χ of K^* such that $\chi|_{k^*}$ is the central character of π_1 , appears in $\pi_{1,\psi}$ if and only if the character $\chi \circ \mathrm{Nm} : (KL)^* \rightarrow K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ of $(KL)^*$ appears in the restriction of π_2 to $(KL)^*$ which by the theorem of Saito and Tunnell is the case if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{KL/E}(-1)\omega_{\pi_2}(-1) &= \epsilon(\pi_2 \otimes \mathrm{ind}_{KL}^E \chi^{-1}|_{KL}) \\ &= \epsilon(\pi_2 \otimes \mathrm{Res}_E[\mathrm{ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})]) \\ &= \epsilon(\mathrm{ind}_E^k(\pi_2) \otimes \mathrm{ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Noting the generality that $\omega_{KL/E}(-1) = 1$, and that $\omega_{\pi_2}(-1) = 1$ as π_2 is a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ which extends to a representation of $(\mathrm{GL}_2(E) \times k^*)/(\Delta E^*)$, its central character restricted to E^1 is trivial, we get that

$$\epsilon(\mathrm{ind}_E^k(\pi_2) \otimes \mathrm{ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = 1$$

if and only if the character χ appears in the Bessel model of π as required by Conjecture 1.

Remark 8.4. There is a form of this theorem for the rank 1 form $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$ of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ too in which one would be considering theta lifting from an orthogonal group in 4 variables defined using D by taking a skew-Hermitian matrix in $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$ whose discriminant in k^*/k^{*2} defines a quadratic extension E of k . In this case, the orthogonal group turns out to be $(D_E^* \times k^*)/E^*$ with D_E the unique quaternion division

algebra over E , and a similar analysis as done for deducing the previous theorem works exactly in the same way, confirming Conjecture 1 for such representations of $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$.

9. DUAL PAIRS INVOLVING DIVISION ALGEBRAS

In this section we briefly recall the formalism of dual reductive pairs which involve quaternion division algebras; the final goal of this section will be to state the analogue of theorem 4 in this context.

Let D be a quaternion division algebras with its canonical involution $x \rightarrow \bar{x}$. Using this involution, right D -modules can be identified to left D -modules.

Let V be a right D -module, and $H : V \times V \rightarrow D$ a ϵ -hermitian form on V which is linear in the second variable, so that

- (1) $H(v_1 d_1, v_2 d_2) = \bar{d}_1 H(v_1, v_2) d_2$.
- (2) $\overline{H(v_1, v_2)} = \epsilon H(v_2, v_1)$. (This forces ϵ to be ± 1 .)

If $\epsilon = 1$ (resp., $\epsilon = -1$), an ϵ -hermitian form is called hermitian (resp., skew-hermitian).

Let V_1 be a right D -module together with a ϵ_1 -hermitian form linear in the second variable, and V_2 a left D -module together with a ϵ_2 -hermitian form H_2 which is linear in the first variable. Then $V_1 \otimes_D V_2$ is a vector space over k together with a natural bilinear form $H = H_1 \otimes H_2$ given by

$$H(v_1 \otimes v_2, w_1 \otimes w_2) = \mathrm{tr}_{D/k}(H_1(v_1, w_1) \overline{H_2(v_2, w_2)}).$$

If $\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 = -1$, as will always be the case in what follows, H will be a symplectic form on $V_1 \otimes_D V_2$. In this case, the isometry group G_1 of (V_1, H_1) to be denoted by $U(V_1)$, and G_2 of (V_2, H_2) to be denoted by $U(V_2)$, form a dual reductive pair inside $\mathrm{Sp}(V_1 \otimes_D V_2)$ in the sense of Howe. We let $\mathrm{GU}(V_1)$ and $\mathrm{GU}(V_2)$ denote the corresponding similitude groups.

It is known that to get a form of an orthogonal group, we need to take a skew-hermitian form, and that to get a form of the symplectic group, we need to take a hermitian form. As an example of interest for our work, for $a \in D^*$, let $D(a)$ denote the one dimensional right D -module which is D itself together with the form $H(d_1, d_2) = \bar{d}_1 a d_2$. This form is skew-hermitian if $a + \bar{a} = 0$, and hermitian if $a = \bar{a}$. Assuming a is such that $a + \bar{a} = 0$, the group $U(D(a))$ is an orthogonal group in two variables, and $\mathrm{GU}(D(a)) = K^*$ where K is the quadratic extension of k generated by a .

Assume that H_1 is a skew-hermitian form on V_1 , and H_2 is a hermitian form on V_2 . Let $V_2 = W_2 \oplus W_2^\vee$ be a complete polarization of

V_2 . The weil representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(V_1 \otimes_D V_2)$ is realized on the Schwartz space of functions on $V_1 \otimes_D W_2^\vee$ on which $\mathrm{U}(V_1)$ acts in the natural way. The polarization $V_2 = W_2 \oplus W_2^\vee$ gives rise to the parabolic P in $\mathrm{U}(V_2)$ stabilizing the subspace W_2 with $\mathrm{GL}(W_2)$ as the Levi subgroup, and the additive group of skew-hermitian forms on W_2^\vee as N . Thus the character group of N can be identified to the additive group of skew-hermitian forms on W_2 .

With these preliminaries, we state the analogue of Theorem 7.8 in this context; application of this result to theta lifting between $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$, and $\mathrm{GSO}_D(4)$ will not be explicitly stated.

Theorem 9.1. *Let π_1 be an irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GU}(V_1)$, and π_2 that of $\mathrm{GU}(V_2)$. Assume that $\pi_2 = \Theta(\pi_1)$ is the theta lift of π_1 to $\mathrm{GU}(V_2)$. Let ψ be a nondegenerate character of the unipotent radical N of the Siegel parabolic $P = MN$ of $\mathrm{GU}(V_2)$ stabilizing a maximal isotropic subspace W_2 of V_2 . Assume that ψ corresponds to a skew-hermitian form H on W_2 . Then an irreducible representation χ of $\mathrm{GU}(W_2)$ appears in $\pi_{2,\psi}$ as a quotient if and only if*

- (1) (H, W_2) can be embedded in the skew hermitian space V_1 ; let W_2^\perp denote the orthogonal complement of W_2 sitting inside V_1 through this embedding.
- (2) The representation χ^\vee of $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{U}(W_2) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2^\perp)]$ appears as a quotient in the representation π_1 of $\mathrm{GU}(V_1)$ restricted to $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{U}(W_2) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2^\perp)]$, where χ^\vee is obtained by pulling back the contragredient of χ under the natural map $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{U}(W_2) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2^\perp)] \rightarrow \mathrm{GU}(W_2)$.

Example : The orthogonal group defined by the skew-hermitian form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for } a, b \in D^*, \mathrm{tr}(a) = \mathrm{tr}(b) = 0,$$

defines an orthogonal group in four variables which is,

- (1) $\mathrm{GSO}_D(4) \cong [D^* \times \mathrm{GL}_2(k)]/k^*$ if $ab \in k^*$;
- (2) $\mathrm{GSO}_D(4) \cong [D_E^* \times k^*]/E^*$ if $ab \in E^*$ (and not k^*), where E is a quadratic extension of k , and D_E is the unique quaternion division algebra over E .

10. PROOF OF CONJECTURE 1 FOR REPRESENTATIONS ARISING FROM WEIL REPRESENTATION THROUGH $\mathrm{GSO}(4)$

Most of the work to prove Conjecture 1 is already done. We recall the Langlands parameter of representations $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ arising from theta correspondence from representations of $\mathrm{GO}(4)$, and then do the necessary epsilon factor calculation to verify Conjecture 1 from results proved in the last section.

As recalled in the introduction, for a four dimensional quadratic space V , $\mathrm{GSO}(V)$ has the structure of one of the following groups:

- (1) $\mathrm{GSO}(V^s) \cong (\mathrm{GL}_2(k) \times \mathrm{GL}_2(k))/\Delta k^*$.
- (2) $\mathrm{GSO}(V^a) \cong (D^* \times D^*)/\Delta k^*$.
- (3) $\mathrm{GSO}(V^d) \cong [\mathrm{GL}_2(E) \times k^*]/\Delta E^*$,

where $\Delta k^* = k^*$ sits as (t, t^{-1}) , and $\Delta E^* = E^*$ sits inside $\mathrm{GL}_2(E) \times k^*$ via its natural embedding in $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$, and in k^* by the inverse of the norm mapping.

In cases (1) and (2), an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GSO}(V)$ is a tensor product of irreducible representations $\tau_1 \boxtimes \tau_2$ where τ_1 and τ_2 are both irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ or of D_k^* , and so have Langlands parameters σ_1 and σ_2 . The Langlands parameter of the representation of $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ arising from theta correspondence from an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GO}(V)$ which restricted to $\mathrm{GSO}(V)$ is $\tau_1 \boxtimes \tau_2$ in cases (1) and (2) is,

$$\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2.$$

In case (3), an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GSO}(V^d)$ corresponds to an irreducible representation τ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ whose central character is invariant under $\mathrm{Gal}(E/k)$, together with a character χ of k^* such that the central character of τ can be considered to be the character of E^* obtained from the character χ of k^* through the norm mapping. In this case, the Langlands parameter of the representation $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ arising from theta correspondence from this representation of $\mathrm{GO}(V^d)$ is,

$$\mathrm{Ind}_E^k \sigma.$$

The epsilon factor $\epsilon(\sigma \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1}))$ in cases (1) and (2) is simply the product of the epsilon factors, $\epsilon(\sigma_1 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1}))$ and $\epsilon(\sigma_2 \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1}))$ which by the theorem of Saito and Tunnell can be easily interpreted in terms of the existence of the character χ of K^* in the representations τ_1, τ_2 , making Theorem 1.4 a consequence of Corollaries 8.1 and 8.2. Similarly in case (3), conjecture 1 is equivalent to Theorem 8.3.

These deductions have been made assuming of course that $\Theta(\pi) = \theta(\pi)$, which is true in particular when π is a supercuspidal representation. We use the methods of theta for exactly these representations, except one more case when the representation of $\mathrm{GSO}(V^s)$ is $\tau = \tau_1 \boxtimes \tau_2$ with τ_1 supercuspidal of trivial central character and τ_2 the trivial representation. In this case it is easy to see that the theta lift of τ is a nongeneric representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ which has no choice but to be the nongeneric component of the principal series representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ induced from the representation $(\tau_1|\cdot|^{1/2}, |\cdot|^{-1/2})$ of the Siegel parabolic.

In this case corollary 6 implies that the only characters $\chi : K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ for which there is a Bessel model for $\pi = \theta(\tau) = \Theta(\tau)$ is the trivial character if it appears in τ_1 restricted to K^* . This is exactly the conclusion that was desired at the end of Section 4.1, proving Conjecture 1 in this case.

Combining the results for irreducible principal series, reducible principal series representations induced from supercuspidal representations of the Siegel parabolic, completely reducible principal series representations (thus forming a single L -packet), twists of Steinberg, we are left exactly with those reducible principal series arising out of supercuspidal representations of Klingen parabolic which have a non-trivial self-twist, and whose Langlands parameters are of the form $\sigma \otimes \text{St}_2$ for a two dimensional representation of the Weil group of k with a non-trivial self-twist. As these representations do not arise from $\text{GO}(4)$, we seem to be out of luck dealing with such representations, for which an explicit suggestion about Bessel model was made at the end of Section 4.2.

11. THEOREM 1.3

We next consider the case of the dual reductive pair $(\text{Sp}(4), \text{O}(6))$ where we will assume that $\text{O}(6)$ is either split, or is a rank 1 form of it. Thus $\text{GSO}(6)$ will be one of the two groups:

- (1) $[\text{GL}_4(k) \times k^*] / \{(z, z^{-2}) : z \in k^*\}$,
- (2) $[\text{GL}_2(D) \times k^*] / \{(z, z^{-2}) : z \in k^*\}$.

In this case we will be looking at the embedding of a two dimensional space in a six dimensional space, say $K \hookrightarrow K \oplus K \oplus \mathbb{H}$, a direct sum of quadratic spaces, which gives an embedding of $G[\text{SO}(K) \times \text{SO}(K \oplus \mathbb{H})]$ inside $\text{GSO}(K \oplus K \oplus \mathbb{H})$. We remind ourselves that

$$\text{GSO}(K \oplus \mathbb{H}) \cong [\text{GL}_2(K) \times k^*] / K^*,$$

where K^* sits inside $[\text{GL}_2(K) \times k^*]$ as $(x, \text{Nm}x)$. Therefore there is a natural embedding of $G[\text{SO}(K) \times \text{SO}(K \oplus \mathbb{H})]$ inside $K^* \times [\text{GL}_2(K) \times k^*] / K^*$. We claim that under this embedding, the image of $G[\text{SO}(K) \times \text{SO}(K \oplus \mathbb{H})]$ inside $K^* \times [\text{GL}_2(K) \times k^*] / K^*$ can be identified to $[\text{GL}_2(K) \times k^*] / k^*$ where k^* sits naturally as the scalar matrices in $\text{GL}_2(K)$, and in k^* through $t \rightarrow t^2$. To prove this claim, note that there is a natural map from $[\text{GL}_2(K) \times k^*] / k^*$ to $[\text{GL}_2(K) \times k^*] / K^*$, and therefore to $K^* \times [\text{GL}_2(K) \times k^*] / K^*$ in which (X, t) goes to $t^{-1} \det X$ in K^* . It is easy to check that this map is injective, and its image is exactly $G[\text{SO}(K) \times \text{SO}(K \oplus \mathbb{H})]$.

Using the identifications indicated above, the embedding of $G[\mathrm{SO}(K) \times \mathrm{SO}(K \oplus \mathbb{H})]$ inside $\mathrm{GSO}(K \oplus K \oplus \mathbb{H})$, becomes the standard embedding of $[\mathrm{GL}_2(K) \times k^*]/k^*$ inside $[\mathrm{GL}_4(k) \times k^*]/\{(z, z^{-2}) : z \in k^*\}$, or inside $[\mathrm{GL}_2(D) \times k^*]/\{(z, z^{-2}) : z \in k^*\}$ as the case may be, and further the natural map from $G[\mathrm{SO}(K) \times \mathrm{SO}(K \oplus \mathbb{H})] = [\mathrm{GL}_2(K) \times k^*]/k^*$ to $K^* = \mathrm{GSO}(K)$ appearing in theorem 4 is nothing but (X, t) goes to $t^{-1} \det X$ in K^* , and thus theorem 4 detects the appearance of one dimensional representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(K)$ as a quotient of a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_4(k)$ which arise from theta lifting from $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$.

From the work of Gan and Takeda [6], it follows that a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_4(k)$ arises as a theta lift from $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ if and only if its Langlands parameter belongs to the symplectic similitude group $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$. By the remark following theorem 4, as soon as a character of $\mathrm{GL}_2(K)$ appears as a quotient of a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_4(k)$, the representation of $\mathrm{GL}_4(k)$ arises from theta lifting from $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$, and therefore its parameter belongs to the symplectic similitude group.

To get the finer assertion in Theorem 1.3 regarding the epsilon factors, one needs to just use the theorem about Bessel models for $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$, which is what we just proved in the earlier sections in odd residue characteristic. In even residue characteristic, since Bessel models have not been completely determined for ‘exceptional’ representations of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$, same gap remains here.

We also note that as usual the methods of theta correspondence give results only for those irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_4(k)$ which arise as $\Theta(\pi)$ for an irreducible representation π of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$, therefore once again we will use the methods of theta correspondence only for supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_4(k)$. Other representations of $\mathrm{GL}_4(k)$ for which there is a character of $\mathrm{GL}_2(K)$ appearing in it as a quotient, must arise from parabolic induction of an irreducible representation of the $(2, 2)$ parabolic (as their parameter is in $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$). If we are dealing with non-discrete series but generic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_4(k)$, we can assume that the representation is a full induced representation from an irreducible representation of the $(2, 2)$ parabolic.

For the full induced representation from the $(2, 2)$ parabolic subgroup, the Mackey theory which answers questions about restriction of an induced representation to a subgroup can be worked out easily as the double coset,

$$\mathrm{GL}_2(K) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_4(k) / P_{(2,2)},$$

can be identified to $\mathrm{GL}_2(K)$ -orbits on the set of subspaces W of V of dimension 2 which is easily seen to consist of two orbits, one represented by a W which is invariant under K , and the other which is not. We omit

the details needed here for completing the proof of theorem 1.2, but remind the reader that we have not been able to handle representations of $\mathrm{GL}_4(k)$ whose Langlands parameter is of the form $\sigma_1 \otimes \mathrm{St}_2$ for a two dimensional parameter σ_1 with a nontrivial self-twist; these are of course the notorious generalized Steinberg representations.

We end this section by formulating the following general conjecture, which is a modified form of a conjecture in [17].

Conjecture 2. *Let D be a quaternion division algebra over a local field k , K a quadratic separable algebra over k . Let π be an irreducible, admissible, generic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(k)$ with central character ω_π . Let χ be a character of K^* , also considered as a character of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ via the determinant map $\det : \mathrm{GL}_n(K) \rightarrow K^*$, such that $\chi^n|_{k^*} = \omega_\pi$. Then the character χ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ appears as a quotient in π restricted to $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ if and only if*

- (1) *The Langlands parameter of π takes values in $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ with similitude factor $\chi|_{k^*}$.*
- (2) *The epsilon factor $\epsilon(\pi \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = 1$.*

Similarly, assuming that π can be transferred to a representation π' of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$, and that K is a quadratic field extension of k so that $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ embeds into $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$, the character χ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ appears in π' restricted to $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ as a quotient if and only if

- (1) *The Langlands parameter of π takes values in $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ with similitude factor $\chi|_{k^*}$.*
- (2) *The epsilon factor $\epsilon(\pi \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_K^k(\chi^{-1})) = -1$.*

Remark 11.1. Multiplicity 1 of the trivial character of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ inside an irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(k)$ was proved by J. Guo in [10], but the multiplicity 1 of more general characters of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ seems not to have been addressed in the literature.

Remark 11.2. There is a very related branching law (in that it also involves root numbers of a symplectic representation which is a tensor product of a two dimensional orthogonal representation with a symplectic representation) which should be of considerable interest. It is to describe those characters of $\mathrm{U}(n)$ factoring through $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ which appear as a quotient in a representation of $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1)$ where $\mathrm{U}(n) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n+1)$, and the groups are defined over any local field. We note that the dimension of $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1)/\mathrm{U}(n)$ is the same as the dimension of $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1)/U$ where U is the unipotent radical of the Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1)$, so by some heuristic ‘most’ representations of $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1)$ may have a linear form invariant under $U(n)$.

12. DUAL PAIRS INVOLVING DIVISION ALGEBRAS: ARCHIMEDEAN CASE

Although it is not strictly necessary to discuss theta correspondence in the Archimedean case for this work, but since the Archimedean theta correspondence was at the source of the work in [27] which we will complete in a later section, it seems appropriate to discuss it, specially to bring out a difference in the Archimedean correspondence and the non-Archimedean one.

We dual pairs $(U(V), U(W))$ discussed in the last section without any specific base field continue to hold good in the case when $k = \mathbb{R}$, and where the quaternion algebra is the Hamiltonian \mathbb{H} . We recall that over \mathbb{H} a skew-Hermitian form of any rank is unique, and can be taken to be

$$\bar{X}_1 X_n + \bar{X}_2 X_{n-2} + \cdots + \bar{X}_n X_1.$$

The corresponding unitary group is conventionally written as $O^*(2n)$; it is a form of $SO(2n)$, of real rank $[n/2]$, and is a connected group.

Hermitian forms over \mathbb{H} are classified by a signature, and up to isomorphism can be taken to be

$$\bar{X}_1 i X_1 + \bar{X}_2 i X_2 + \cdots + \bar{X}_p i X_p - \bar{X}_{p+1} i X_{p+1} - \cdots - \bar{X}_n i X_n.$$

The corresponding unitary group is conventionally written as $Sp(p, n-p)$; it is a form of $Sp(2n)$, of real rank $\min(p, n-p)$, and is a connected group.

The theta correspondence between $O^*(2n)$ and $Sp(p, q)$ is considered in detail in [15], and their main theorem can be succinctly stated as follows:

Theorem 12.1. *Denoting \hat{G} the isomorphism classes of irreducible Harish-Chandra modules for a real Lie group G , the theta correspondence gives a bijection of sets relating obvious Harish-Chandra and Langlands parameters,*

$$\bigsqcup_{p+q=n, n-1} \hat{\mathrm{Sp}}(p, q) \longrightarrow \hat{O}^*(2n).$$

Remark 12.2. For our purposes, an explicit version of this theorem for $n = 2$ will be what would be relevant, in which case $O^*(4) \cong SU(2) \times SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. A discrete series representation of $SU(2) \times SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ is of the form $F_n \boxtimes D_{\pm m}$, for integers $n > 0, m > 0$, where F_n is the finite dimensional representation of $SU(2)$ of dimension n , and $D_{\pm(m)}$ is a discrete series representation with highest (lowest) weight $m + 1$, resp. $-(m + 1)$. Then the theorems of [15] imply that the theta lift of $F_n \boxtimes D_m$ to $Sp(1, 1)$ is zero if $n \leq |m|$. The representations $F_n \boxtimes D_{\pm n}$

arise from theta lift of F_n from $Sp(1, 0) = Sp(0, 1) = SU(2)$, and the representations $F_n \boxtimes D_m$ for $n < |m|$ from the compact groups $Sp(2, 0)$ and $Sp(0, 2)$.

Remark 12.3. As $GO^*(4) = [D^* \times GL_2(k)]/k^*$, there is a curious involution, call it ι , on the discrete series representations of this group taking $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ to $JL(\pi_2) \boxtimes JL(\pi_1)$ where for a representation π of D^* , $JL(\pi)$ denotes the representation of $GL_2(k)$ obtained from π by the Jacquet Langlands correspondence, and vice-versa. A consequence of the previous remark is that for discrete series representations π of $O^*(4)$, for $k = \mathbb{R}$, theta lift to $Sp(1, 1)$ is nonzero for exactly one of the representations π or $\iota(\pi)$, but that both of them might be nonzero in the non-Archimedean case.

13. DISCRETE SERIES OVER THE REALS

It is well-known that automorphic representations associated to holomorphic Siegel modular forms are not generic; that is, they fail to have Whittaker models. It is also known that the genericity of such representations specifically fails at the archimedean place. For this reason it is desirable to determine when holomorphic discrete series representations possess Bessel models which seem to be the next best thing in applications to L -functions [3, 4]. In the proof of our theorem in the next paragraph we will use some results of Kolk and Varadarajan [14] on invariant distributions. For the convenience of the reader, and for ease of reference, we include a review of their results here.

13.1. A review of some results of Kolk and Varadarajan. The heart of the matter in this paragraph is Proposition 13.2 followed by Theorem 13.3. We refer the reader to the paper of [14] for historical comments and various applications of Theorem 13.3.

13.1.1. Transverse symbol. Let X be a C^∞ manifold of dimension n . If E is a Fréchet space, we let E' be its dual space provided with strong dual topology. We write $\mathcal{D}ist(X; E) := C_c^\infty(X; E)'$ for the space of E -distributions on X . For $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, an E -distribution T is said to be of order $\leq r$ if for every compact set $K \subset X$ there exist a constant $C > 0$, a finite number of elements say $D_1, \dots, D_m \in \mathcal{D}^{(r)}(X)$ (differential operators of order $\leq r$), and a continuous semi-norm ν on E such that for all $f \in C_c^\infty(K; E)$

$$|\langle T, f \rangle| \leq C \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sup_{x \in K} \nu(D_j f(x)).$$

We write $\mathcal{D}ist^{(r)}(X; E)$ for the space of all such distributions. Now let Y be a closed C^∞ submanifold embedded in X and of dimension q . Set $p = n - q$. Let $\mathcal{D}ist_Y(X; E)$ be the collection of E -distributions with support in Y . If $x \in Y$, select an open neighborhood U of x and local coordinates $(t, u) = (t^1, \dots, t^p, u^1, \dots, u^q)$ on U such that $Y \cap U$ is $\{(t, u); t^1 = \dots = t^p = 0\}$. We say $T \in \mathcal{D}ist(X; E)$ has transverse order $\leq r$ at $x \in Y$ if there exists an open neighborhood U of x in X such that $\langle T, f \rangle = 0$ if $f \in C_c^\infty(X; E)$ satisfies $Vf|_{Y \cap U} = 0$ for all $V \in \mathcal{D}^{(r)}(U)$. We let $\mathcal{D}ist_Y^{(r)}(X; E)$ be the linear space of such distributions.

We will now define a vector bundle $M^{(r)}$, the r th graded subspace of the transverse jet bundle over Y . For any $x \in Y$, we let \mathcal{O}_x be the algebra of germs of C^∞ functions around x , and $\mathcal{D}_x^{(r)}$ the \mathcal{O}_x -module of germs of differential operators of order $\leq r$ around x . Let $V_x^{(r)}$ be the \mathcal{O}_x -submodule of $\mathcal{D}_x^{(r)}$ generated by products of $\leq r$ of germs of vector fields around x for which at least one is tangent to Y . Set $\mathcal{I}_x^{(r)} = \mathcal{D}_x^{(r-1)} + V_x^{(r)}$. It turns out that $\mathcal{I}_x^{(r)}$ is the stalk of a subsheaf $\mathcal{I}^{(r)}$ of $\mathcal{D}^{(r)}$. Then we set $\mathcal{M}^{(r)} = \mathcal{D}^{(r)}/\mathcal{I}^{(r)}$ with stalk at x denoted by $\mathcal{M}_x^{(r)}$. We write $\partial \mapsto \bar{\partial}$ for the projection $\mathcal{D}_x^{(r)} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_x^{(r)}$. If we have local coordinates (t, u) as above, then $\bar{\partial}_t^\alpha$ with $|\alpha| = r$ forms a free basis for the sections of $M^{(r)}$ around x . This shows that $\mathcal{M}^{(r)}$ is in fact a vector bundle on Y . We let $\mathcal{M}^{(r)'}$ be the dual bundle. We observe that $\mathcal{M}^{(r)} \otimes \mathcal{D}ist(Y; E) \simeq \mathcal{D}ist(Y; \mathcal{M}^{(r)'} \otimes E)$. We can now define the transverse symbol of an E -distribution supported on Y as an $\mathcal{M}^{(r)'} \otimes E$ -distribution living on Y . Let $T \in \mathcal{D}ist_Y^{(r)}(X; E)$. Then for each $x \in Y$ there exist a neighborhood U of x and local coordinates (t, u) as above, and uniquely determined distributions $\tau_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}ist(Y; E)$ for each α with $|\alpha| \leq r$ such that on U

$$(1) \quad T = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq r} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \tau_\alpha \partial_t^\alpha.$$

The transverse symbol of T then is $\sigma(T) = \sum_{|\alpha|=r} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \partial_t^\alpha \otimes \tau_\alpha$. The symbol is uniquely determined and is independent of local coordinates. Also the map $\sigma^{(r)} : \mathcal{D}ist_Y^{(r)}(X; E) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}ist(Y; \mathcal{M}^{(r)'} \otimes E)$ is injective modulo $\mathcal{D}ist_Y^{(r-1)}(X; E)$.

13.1.2. Invariant distributions. We will retain the notations and conventions of the previous paragraph. Let H be a Lie group of C^∞ diffeomorphisms of X which leave Y invariant. Assume that the action of H on Y is transitive. Let $H' \subset H$ be a closed subgroup, and suppose we are given a differentiable action β of H' on E . Then it makes sense to talk about E -distributions on X invariant under H' .

In applications, such as those considered in the next paragraph, it is sometimes desirable to know when there are no invariant distributions under H' . Here we will concentrate on $\mathcal{D}ist^{(r)}(X; E)^{H'}$ for $r \geq 0$ and will study situations where this space is trivial. First observation is that if $Z \subset X$ is open and is H' invariant, then if we set $U = Z \cap Y$, the set U is closed in Z and the map $\sigma^{(r)}$ defined on $\mathcal{D}ist_U^{(r)}(Z; E)^{H'}$ will have its image in $\mathcal{D}ist(U; \mathcal{M}^{(r')} \otimes E)^{H'}$.

Now let F be any H -homogeneous C^∞ vector bundle of finite rank on Y , and let the action of H be α . Then we have a natural action of H' on $F \otimes E$. We first describe the structure of $\mathcal{D}ist(Y; F \otimes E)$. Fix an arbitrary point $x \in Y$, and let $W_0 = F_x \otimes E$. Let W be the trivial bundle over H whose fibers are isomorphic to W_0 . Let H_x be the stabilizer of x . Suppose U is an H' -invariant open set in Y , and set $V = \pi^{-1}(U)$ where $\pi : H \rightarrow Y$ is given by $h \mapsto h.x$. We define a structure of H' -module on $\Gamma_c^\infty(V; W)$ by setting

$$(h'.s)(h) = (id \otimes \beta)(h')s(h^{-1}h),$$

$h' \in H'$, $h \in H$, and $s \in \Gamma_c^\infty(V; W)$. Also define δ_x be the $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ valued homomorphism on H_x given by $|\det(Ad|_{\mathfrak{h}_x})|$. Note that $\Gamma_c^\infty(V; W)$ has the structure of an H_x module via

$$(R(\xi)s)(h) = (\alpha \otimes id)(\xi)s(h\xi)$$

for $\xi \in H_x$. Then we have the following theorem:

Theorem 13.1 (Theorem 3.2 of [14]). *There exists an injective continuous mapping of H' -modules*

$$\sharp : \mathcal{D}ist(U; F \otimes E) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}ist(V; W)$$

linear over $C^\infty(Y)$ satisfying $\delta_{H_x}(\xi)^{-1}R(\xi) \circ \sharp = \sharp$ for $\xi \in H_x$. Also $\text{supp}(\sharp\tau) \subset \pi^{-1}(\text{supp} \tau)$.

Now assume that H' is normal in H , and set $H'_x = H' \cap H_x$. Define a homomorphism $\chi_x : H'_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\chi_x(\xi) = \delta_{H'}(\xi)/\delta_{H_x}(\xi)$. Let \mathbb{C}_x and \mathbb{C}'_x be one dimensional H'_x -spaces determined by χ_x and χ_x^{-1} , respectively. Also for each $h \in H$, set $\beta^h(h') := \beta(hh'h^{-1})$. Now let $V = H'V$ be open in H , and $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}ist(V; W)^{H'}$. Write V as a union of open sets $V_M = H'M$ with M a C^∞ manifold in H which is an open subset of a fixed closed submanifold.

Proposition 13.2 (Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 of [14]). *To each $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}ist(V_M, W)^{H'}$ there corresponds a unique $\omega \in \mathcal{D}ist(M; W)$ such that for all $s \in \Gamma_c^\infty(V_M; W)$ we have*

$$\langle \zeta, s \rangle = \langle \omega_m, \int_{H'} (id \otimes \beta)(h'^{-1})s(h'm) d_i h' \rangle.$$

In particular, $\mathrm{supp} \zeta = H' \cdot \mathrm{supp} \omega$. If ζ satisfies $\delta_{H_x}(\xi)^{-1} R(\xi) \zeta = \zeta$ for all $\xi \in H'_x$, then ω satisfies

$$\langle \omega, w \rangle = \langle \omega_m, \chi_x(\xi)(\alpha \otimes \beta^m)(\xi)w(m) \rangle,$$

for all $\xi \in H'_x$ and $w \in \Gamma_c^\infty(M; W)$.

Finally we have the following theorem:

Theorem 13.3 (Theorem 3.15 of [14]). *Assume we are in one of the following situations:*

- (1) *The representation β extends to H (this includes $H' = H$);*
- (2) *$\dim E < \infty$.*

Suppose for all $y \in Y, r \geq 0$, we have

$$(2) \quad (\mathcal{M}_y^{(r)} \otimes E' \otimes \mathbb{C}'_y)^{H'_y} = (0).$$

Then $\mathcal{D}ist_Y(X; E)^{H'} = (0)$. In fact, it suffices to assume the validity of (2) for one element of every H' -orbit in Y .

13.2. Discrete series for $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbb{R})$ and inner forms. First we describe the discrete series representations of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Let $\eta = |\cdot|^s \mathrm{sgn}^\epsilon$, $\epsilon = 0, 1$, be any quasi-character of \mathbb{R}^\times . Then for any positive integer k , we have the following exact sequence of representations

$$0 \longrightarrow \delta(\eta, k) \longrightarrow \eta |\cdot|^{k/2} \mathrm{sgn}^{k+1} \times \eta |\cdot|^{-k/2} \longrightarrow \zeta(\eta, k) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The representation $\zeta(\eta, k)$ is finite dimensional of dimension k . The representation $\delta(\eta, k)$ is essentially square-integrable, and it is discrete series if η is unitary.

We now deal with the group $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$. For every pair of integers (p, t) with $p > t > 0$ one has a collection of four discrete series representations of $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$ with the same infinitesimal character. We will denote these by $X(p, t), X(p, -t), X(t, -p), X(-t, -p)$. These representations can be obtained from the Siegel parabolic subgroup with $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ as the Levi subgroup

$$\zeta(|\cdot|^{-\frac{p+t}{2}} \mathrm{sgn}^p, p-t) \rtimes 1 \twoheadrightarrow X(p, t) \oplus X(-t, -p)$$

and

$$\delta(|\cdot|^{\frac{t-p}{2}} \mathrm{sgn}^p, p+t) \rtimes 1 \twoheadrightarrow X(p, -t) \oplus X(t, -p).$$

An essential point for us is the fact that the kernel of the first map is a finite dimensional representation of $\mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{R})$, and therefore for calculation of Bessel models, there is no difference between the principal series and the sum $X(p, t) \oplus X(-t, -p)$. The representations $X(p, -t)$ and $X(t, -p)$ are generic. For $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbb{R})$, essentially square-integrable representations, that is those irreducible representations Π whose restriction to $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$ contains a discrete series representation, will be

called a discrete series representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{R})$ (thus without requiring the central character to be unitary).

The group $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{R})$ contains $\mathbb{R}^* \cdot \mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{R})$ as a subgroup of index 2, and every discrete series representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{R})$ is obtained by inducing a discrete series representation of $\mathbb{R}^* \cdot \mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{R})$ which thus can be parametrized as $X(p, t; \xi)$ with ξ a character of \mathbb{R}^* such that $\xi|_{\pm 1}$ is the central character of the representation $X(p, t)$ of $\mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{R})$. The action of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{R})$ interchanges $X(p, t)$ with $X(-t, -p)$, and $X(p, -t)$ with $X(t, -p)$.

Given (p, t) with $p > t > 0$, and a character $\xi : \mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, let Π_1 be the generic representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{R})$ with central character ξ , and let Π_2 be the other discrete series representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{R})$ with the same infinitesimal character. Let Π_3 be the unique discrete series representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_{\mathbb{H}}(4)$ with the same infinitesimal and central character.

13.3. The result. For a given representation π , the Bessel functional is a continuous linear functional on the space of smooth vectors V_π^∞ in V_π which comes equipped with its Fréchet topology satisfying appropriate invariance equations with respect to the Bessel subgroup. Explicitly, let χ be a character of \mathbb{C}^\times given by $\chi(re^{i\theta}) = \chi_1(r)e^{in\theta}$, for some quasi-character χ_1 of \mathbb{R}_+^\times . Given n and χ as above, we set $n(\chi) = n$. We identify \mathbb{C}^\times with a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, and D^\times , by sending $z = a + ib \mapsto t(z) := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$. Define a subgroup R of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{R})$ by setting

$$R = \left\{ b(z; r, s, t) := \begin{pmatrix} t(z) & & & \\ & t(z) & & \\ & & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s & r \\ & 1 & t \\ & & 1 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \\ & & & \end{pmatrix}; r, s, t \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{C}^\times \right\}.$$

We now define a character χ_R of R by setting

$$\chi_R(b(z; r, s, t)) = \chi(z)e^{2\pi i(s+t)}.$$

There is a closely related subgroup R_D of $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$. One defines a similar character of R_D , again denoted by χ_R . We say a continuous functional λ on V_π^∞ is a χ -Bessel functional if it satisfies

$$\lambda(\pi(r)v) = \chi_R(r)\lambda(v),$$

for all $v \in V_\pi^\infty$ and $r \in R$. We define χ -Bessel functionals for representations of $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$ similarly.

In the following theorem we are interested in the existence of Bessel functionals for the representations Π_i .

Theorem 13.4. *Let χ be a character of \mathbb{C}^\times as above, and let $\{\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \Pi_3\}$ be a Vogan packet associated with a pair of integers (p, t) subject to $p > t > 0$, in such a way that $\chi|_{\mathbb{R}^\times}$ is the same as the central character of Π_1 . Then exactly one of the representations Π_i , $1 \leq i \leq 3$, has a χ -Bessel model. More precisely*

- (1) Π_1 has the model if and only if $|n(\chi)| > p + t$;
- (2) Π_2 has the model if and only if $|n(\chi)| \leq p - t$; and
- (3) Π_3 has the model if and only if $p - t < |n(\chi)| \leq p + t$.

In each case the space of the functionals is one dimensional.

A few remarks are in order. The theorem is of course the Gross-Prasad conjecture for discrete series representations of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{R})$ though we will not check the condition on local epsilon factors. We observe that the parity of $n(\chi)$ is opposite that of $p + t$ and $p - t$. Theorem 13.4 completes the work [27]. We recall that [27] proved the existence of Bessel functionals using global theta correspondence. It may be desirable to find a direct local proof of the existence theorem as in Wallach's paper [30]. The paper [30] applies directly to the case of holomorphic representations of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{R})$, or discrete series representations of $\mathrm{GSp}_D(4)$, which are induced from finite dimensional representations of Levi subgroups (corresponding to the Siegel parabolic), and yields the desired result in these cases. (As already noted for $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{R})$, these discrete series representations can be obtained as quotients of principal series representations for which the kernel is a finite dimensional representation, so does not matter for calculation of the Bessel models.)

Proof of Theorem 13.4. In the rank one situation the results follow from Wallach's results. The same is true of holomorphic discrete series representations as such representations are quotients of inductions from finite dimensional representations. It remains to deal with the generic discrete series representations. The sufficiency of the condition is one the main results of [27]. We just need to verify the necessity of the conditions. Here as in [31], we will use the results of Kolk and Varadarajan. Our result will follow from the following claim:

Claim. Suppose the Π is a quotient of the $\mathrm{Ind}(\pi|P, G)$ with π an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}(2)$. Then if Π has a χ -Bessel functional, there is a continuous functional λ on V_π^∞ satisfying $\lambda(\pi(t(z))v) = \chi(z)\lambda(v)$ for all $v \in V_\pi^\infty$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$; such linear forms will be called Waldspurger functional.

Suppose π acts on a space V_π . By the definition of an induced representation, a Bessel functional on $\mathrm{Ind}(\pi|P, G)$ defines a distribution T on the space of V_π valued Schwartz functions on $G = \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying

- (1) $T(L_p F) = T(\pi(p)^{-1} F)$, for $p \in P$
- (2) $T(R_r F) = \theta(n)\chi_n(t)T(F)$, for $r = nt \in R$.

Consider the Bruhat decomposition of G as $P \times P$ double cosets written as

$$G = P \cup Pw_1P \cup Pw_2P,$$

with Pw_2P the unique open cell. The element w_1 can be represented by the following matrix

$$w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & -1 & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

The idea here is to show first that T restricted to the open cell is non-zero.

Step 1. First step is to show that T restricted to the open set $Pw_1P \cup Pw_2P$ is non-zero. If it were zero, then T would be supported on P . We will show that there are no distributions supported on P satisfying the invariance properties. In fact we do not need the entire group $P \times R$; $P \times N$ is sufficient. We note that $P \times N$ acts transitively on P , and we can use the Vanishing Theorem 13.3. In the notation of that theorem set $x = e$. Hence $H'_e = \{(n, n) | n \in N\}$. A nice transversal Lie algebra for P at e can be taken to be $L_0 = \text{Lie}(\overline{N})$. It is then not hard to see that H'_e acts trivially on $M_e^{(r)'}$. Also the character χ_e is trivial. It is then clear that as the character θ is non-trivial, we get

$$(\mathcal{M}_e^{(r)' } \otimes V_\pi' \otimes \mathbb{C})^{H'_e} = (0).$$

The vanishing theorem now gives us the result.

Step 2. We now consider the restriction of T to the open set $Pw_1P \cup Pw_2P$. We would like to show that the restriction of T to Pw_2P is non-zero. We show that there are no distributions supported on Pw_1P satisfying the invariance properties. Here too we just need to use $P \times N$, but unfortunately the Vanishing Theorem 13.3 does not apply directly as the action of $P \times N$ is not transitive on Pw_1P ; π is not finite dimensional; and the action of $P \times N$ does not extend to $P \times P$. We can however use Proposition 13.2. Here $H = P \times P$, and $H' = P \times N$. We let $x = w_1$. A nice transversal Lie algebra for $Pw_1P = Pw_1(P \cap w_1^{-1}\overline{N}w_1)$ at w_1 will be $L_0 = \text{Lie}(\overline{N} \cap w_1^{-1}\overline{N}w_1)$. Then $H_{w_1} = \{(p, w_1^{-1}pw_1) | p \in P \cap w_1Pw_1^{-1}\}$. Then action of an element of

H_{w_1} , say $(p, w_1^{-1}pw_1)$, on the Lie algebra of G is given by $Ad(w_1^{-1}pw_1)$. Then $H'_{w_1} = \{(p, w_1^{-1}pw_1) | p \in P \cap w_1Nw_1^{-1}\}$. In coordinates

$$H'_{w_1} = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & r & s & 0 \\ & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & -r & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & s & -r \\ & 1 & -r & 0 \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right); r, s \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Again H'_{w_1} acts trivially on $\mathcal{M}_{w_1}^{(r)'}$. We will show that the only distribution ω that satisfies Proposition 13.2 is the zero distribution. In the notation of Proposition 13.2, set

$$L_\xi(m) = id - (\alpha \otimes \beta^m)(\xi)$$

for $\xi \in H'_{w_1}$. We will think of ξ as a pair of unipotent matrices as above. Let L be the Levi factor of P . We claim that for any compact set $K \subset L$, there is $\xi \in H'_{w_1}$ such that for all $m \in K$, the operator $L_\xi(m)$ is invertible. This will prove the assertion about ω . Indeed, we know by Proposition 13.2 that $\langle \omega_m, L_\xi(m)f(m) \rangle = 0$ for all $\xi \in H'_x$. Suppose an arbitrary $F \in \Gamma_c(M, W)$ is given. Choose ξ so that for all $m \in \mathrm{Supp} F$, the operator $L_\xi(m)$ is invertible. Set $f(m) = L_\xi(m)^{-1}F(m)$. Then

$$\langle \omega_m, F(m) \rangle = \langle \omega, L_\xi(m)f(m) \rangle = 0.$$

Hence we have to prove the claim about the invertibility of $L_\xi(m)$. Again it is not hard to verify that $\alpha(\xi)$ is trivial. Hence $L_\xi(m)$ is of the form $id - id \otimes X$ for an operator X . It is easy to see that for such an operator to be invertible it is sufficient that $X - id$ is invertible. In our case, $X = \beta^m(\xi)$. We set $r = 0$. Then we get

$$X - id = \left(\psi \left(\mathrm{tr} \begin{pmatrix} s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{-1}gg^t \right) - 1 \right) id$$

whenever $m = \begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & \lambda g^{-t} \end{pmatrix} \in L$. If $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, then we get

$$X - id = (\psi(s\lambda(a^2 + b^2)) - 1) id.$$

Clearly for each g , $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0$. We just need to choose s so that for each each λ, g , $0 < |s\lambda(a^2 + b^2)| < 1$. This is possible as the set K is compact.

Step 3. The above two steps show that the restriction of T to $Pw_2P = Pw_2N$ is a non-zero distribution. Note that $P \times N$ acts transitively on Pw_2N . So in the notation of Proposition 13.2, we set $H' = H = P \times N$, and $M = \{e\}$. The space of V_π -valued functions on the singleton is the same of V_π . Hence the collection of distributions on this space is

canonically isomorphic to V'_π . Consequently Proposition 13.2 implies that there is a continuous functional λ on V_π such that

$$T(f) = \left\langle \lambda, \int_P \int_N \sigma^{-1}(p) \overline{\theta(n)} f(pwu) d_l(p) dn \right\rangle.$$

Note that this makes sense as the inner integral is in fact a vector in V_π . In order for $T(f)$ to be invariant under the torus in R , the functional λ has to be a χ -Waldspurger model for π . This shows that if π does not have a χ -Waldspurger functional, then Π does not have a χ -Bessel functional.

Step 4. Suppose we have two different Bessel functionals, and we consider the associated distributions T_1, T_2 . By the above step there are two Waldspurger functionals λ_1, λ_2 corresponding to T_1, T_2 , respectively. By the uniqueness of Waldspurger functionals, there is a constant c such that $\lambda_2 = c\lambda_1$. Now we consider the distribution $T = T_2 - cT_1$. This is a distribution satisfying the same invariance properties, and further $T|_{Pw_2P} \equiv 0$. Consequently, $T = 0$.

This finishes the proof of the theorem 13.4. □

Remark 13.5. This proof, especially the “inductive” process on the dimension of the double cosets, is by now fairly standard in harmonic analysis on Lie groups. Compare Step 1 and 2 of the proof with Proposition 2.10 of [26]. In the rank one case, Shalika had outlined this argument to one of the authors back in 2002. Also see [30, 31].

14. THE GLOBAL CORRESPONDENCE FOR THE DUAL PAIR (GSp, GO)

We now turn to the global setting. Following [11] we describe the theta correspondence for the dual pair (GSp, GO). Let F be a number field and let $W, \langle \cdot \rangle$ (resp. $V, (\cdot)$) be a non-degenerate symplectic (resp. orthogonal) vector space over F with $\dim_F W = 2n$ (resp. $\dim_F V = m$). Let $G = \text{GSp}(W)$ and $H = \text{GO}(V)$. Also let $\mathbb{W} = V \otimes W$ and $\langle \langle \cdot \rangle \rangle = (\cdot) \otimes \langle \cdot \rangle$, so that G and H form a dual reductive pair in the similitude group $\text{GSp}(\mathbb{W})$. More precisely, we may view $\text{GSp}(W)$ (resp. $\text{GSp}(\mathbb{W})$) as acting on W (resp. \mathbb{W}) on the right. Then, if $h \in \text{GO}(V)$ and $g \in \text{GSp}(W)$, we define $i(g, h) \in \text{GSp}(\mathbb{W})$, by $(v \otimes w).i(g, h) = h^{-1}v \otimes wg$. Note that if ν denotes the similitude character for the various groups involved, then $\nu(i(g, h)) = \nu(g)\nu(h)^{-1}$. Let

$$R = \{(g, h) \in G \times H \mid \nu(g) = \nu(h)\},$$

so there is a natural homomorphism $i : R \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(\mathbb{W})$. Note that if we let $G_1 = \mathrm{Sp}(W)$ and $H_1 = \mathrm{O}(V)$, then $G_1 \times H_1 \subset R$.

From now on assume that $m = \dim_F V$ is even, and fix a non-trivial character ψ of $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_F$ trivial on F . Let $W = W_1 \oplus W_1^\vee$ denote a complete polarization of the symplectic space W . Let $\omega = \omega_\psi$ denote the usual action of $G_1(\mathbb{A})$ on the Schwartz-Bruhat space $\mathcal{S}((V \otimes W_1^\vee)(\mathbb{A}))$ of $(V \otimes W_1^\vee)(\mathbb{A})$. For $h \in H(\mathbb{A})$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}((V \otimes W_1^\vee)(\mathbb{A}))$, let

$$L(h)\varphi(x) = |\nu(h)|^{-mn/2}\varphi(h^{-1}x).$$

Since $(\det h)^2 = \nu(h)^m$, these operators are unitary with respect to the natural pre-Hilbert space structure on the Schwartz-Bruhat functions. Note that the actions of $G_1(\mathbb{A})$ and $H_1(\mathbb{A})$ on $\mathcal{S}((V \otimes W_1^\vee)(\mathbb{A}))$ commute, and are the usual ones associated to the dual pair (G_1, H_1) . On the other hand, it is not difficult to check the following lemma:

Lemma 14.1. *For $g_1 \in G_1(\mathbb{A})$ and $h \in H(\mathbb{A})$,*

$$L(h^{-1})\omega(g_1)L(h) = \omega\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \nu(h)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} g_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \nu(h) \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Next, observe that we have an isomorphism $G_1 \times H \xrightarrow{\sim} R$ given by

$$(g_1, h) \mapsto (g_1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \nu(h) \end{pmatrix}, h),$$

whose inverse is given by

$$(g, h) \mapsto (g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \nu(h)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, h).$$

Thus, we obtain a representation, again denoted by ω , of the group $R(\mathbb{A})$ on $\mathcal{S}((V \otimes W_1^\vee)(\mathbb{A}))$, given by

$$\omega(g, h)\varphi(x) = \omega(g_1) \cdot L(h)\varphi(x)$$

where $g = g_1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \nu(g)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Note that the restriction of ω to the subgroup $G_1(\mathbb{A}) \times H_1(\mathbb{A})$ is just the usual action of the dual pair.

For $(g, h) \in R(\mathbb{A})$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}((V \otimes W_1^\vee)(\mathbb{A}))$, let

$$\theta(g, h; \varphi) = \sum_{x \in (V \otimes W_1^\vee)(F)} \omega(g, h)\varphi(x).$$

It is then well-known that $\theta(g, h; \varphi)$ is invariant under $R(F)$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}((V \otimes W_1^\vee)(\mathbb{A}))$ and a cusp form $f \in \mathcal{A}_0(H)$, consider the integral

$$\theta(f; \varphi)(g) = \int_{H_1(F) \backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})} \theta(g, h_1 h; \varphi) f(h_1 h) dh_1$$

where $h \in H(\mathbb{A})$ is any element such that $\nu(g) = \nu(h)$ and dh_1 is a Haar measure on $H_1(F) \backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})$.

It is easy to check that the integral defining $\theta(f; \varphi)$ is absolutely convergent and is independent of the choice of h . One can also check that $\theta(f; \varphi)$ is left-invariant under

$$\{\gamma \in G(F) \mid \nu(\gamma) = \nu(\gamma'), \text{ for some } \gamma' \in H(F)\}.$$

As far as the central characters are concerned, it's not hard to see that if the central character of f is χ , then the central character of $\theta(f; \varphi)$ is $\chi \cdot \chi_V^n$, where $\chi_V(x) = (x, (-1)^{m/2} \det V)$ is the quadratic character associated to V , and therefore for n even, the central character of $\theta(f; \varphi)$ is χ .

Remark 14.2. One usually defines $\theta(f; \varphi)(g)$ by integration on $H_1(F) \backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})$ for $H_1 = O(V)$. However, if f belongs to an automorphic representation of $GO(V)(\mathbb{A})$ which does not remain irreducible when restricted to $GSO(V)(\mathbb{A})$, then the space of automorphic functions on $GSp(W)$ defined by

$$\theta^0(f; \varphi)(g) = \int_{H_{1,0}(F) \backslash H_{1,0}(\mathbb{A})} \theta(g, h_1 h; \varphi) f(h_1 h) dh_1$$

with $H_{1,0} = SO(V)$, is the same space of functions as those obtained as $\theta(f; \varphi)(g)$. We will use this well-known observation, and use θ^0 instead of θ in what follows.

14.1. Bessel Models. We recall the notion of Bessel model introduced by Novodvorsky and Piatetski-Shapiro [16]. For a symmetric matrix $S \in \text{GL}(2, F)$, define a subgroup $T = T_S$ of $\text{GL}(2)$ by

$$T = \{g \in \text{GL}(2) \mid {}^t g S g = \det g \cdot S\}.$$

We consider T as a subgroup of $\text{GSp}(4)$ via

$$t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} t & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \det t & \\ & & & {}^t t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us denote by U the subgroup of $\text{GSp}(4)$ defined by

$$U = \left\{ u(X) = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & X \\ & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \mid X = {}^t X \right\}.$$

Finally, we define a subgroup R of $\text{GSp}(4)$ by $R = TU$.

Let ψ be a non-trivial character of $F \backslash \mathbb{A}$. Define a character ψ_S on $U(\mathbb{A})$ by $\psi_S(u(X)) = \psi(\text{tr}(SX))$ for $X = {}^t X \in M_2(\mathbb{A})$; as S will be fixed throughout, we abbreviate ψ_S to ψ . Let χ be a character of $T(F) \backslash T(\mathbb{A})$. Denote by $\chi \otimes \psi$ the character of $R(\mathbb{A})$ defined by $(\chi \otimes \psi)(tu) = \chi(t)\psi(u)$ for $t \in T(\mathbb{A})$ and $u \in U(\mathbb{A})$.

Let π be an automorphic cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ realized on a space V_π of automorphic functions. We assume that

$$(3) \quad \chi|_{\mathbb{A}^\times} = \omega_\pi.$$

Then for $\varphi \in V_\pi$, we define a function $B(\varphi, g)$ on $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ by

$$(4) \quad B(\varphi, g) = \int_{Z_{\mathbb{A}} R_F \backslash R_{\mathbb{A}}} (\chi \otimes \psi)(r)^{-1} \cdot \varphi(rg) dr.$$

We say that π has a global Bessel model of type (S, χ, ψ) if for some $\varphi \in V_\pi$, the function $B(\varphi, g)$ is non-zero. In this case, the \mathbb{C} -vector space of functions on $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ spanned by $\{B(\varphi, g) \mid \varphi \in V_\pi\}$ is called the space of the global Bessel model of π . We abbreviate $B(\varphi, e)$ to be $B(\varphi)$.

Let $\mu : W_1 \rightarrow V$ be a homomorphism of vector spaces such that the quadratic form on V restricted to W_1 via μ is the quadratic form on W_1 with respect to which the Fourier coefficients is being calculated on $\mathrm{GSp}(W)$, i.e., the symmetric matrix S in the notation above, but now we prefer to do things in a co-ordinate free way. Let $\mathrm{GO}(W_1)^+$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GO}(W_1)$ consisting of those elements for which the similitude factor is the similitude factor of an element of $\mathrm{GO}(V)$. (It is understood that the quadratic form on W_1 arises from a $\mu : W_1 \rightarrow V$ which is fixed.) In our applications, $\mathrm{GO}^+(W_1) = \mathrm{GO}(W_1)$.

A map $\mu : W_1 \rightarrow V$ will be identified to a (F -valued) point of $V \otimes W_1^\vee$, also denoted by μ , and therefore for a function $f \in \mathcal{S}((V \otimes W_1^\vee)(\mathbb{A}))$, it makes sense to consider $f(\mu)$, as well as $L(h)f(\mu)$ for any $h \in [\mathrm{GO}(V) \times \mathrm{GL}(W_1)](\mathbb{A})$. Let $\mathrm{O}(W_1^\perp)$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(V)$ acting trivially on $\mu : W_1 \rightarrow V$. It is a standard calculation that in the summation defining the theta function, $\theta(\varphi) = \sum_{\mu: W_1 \rightarrow V} \varphi(\mu)$, only those μ 's contribute to the Fourier coefficient we are looking at for which the quadratic form on V restricts to the desired quadratic form on W_1 . Since such embeddings $\mu : W_1 \rightarrow V$ are conjugate under $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ with stabilizer $\mathrm{SO}(W_1^\perp)$, for an automorphic form f on $\mathrm{GSO}(V)(\mathbb{A})$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}((V \otimes W_1^\vee)(\mathbb{A}))$, and χ an automorphic form on $\mathrm{GSO}(W_1)(\mathbb{A})$

$$B_{\chi, \mu}(\theta^0(f; \varphi)) = \int_{\mathrm{SO}(W_1^\perp)(\mathbb{A}) \backslash \mathrm{SO}_V(\mathbb{A})} \Lambda_\mu(f, \chi)(h) L(h) \varphi(\mu) dh,$$

where $h \in \mathrm{SO}(V)(\mathbb{A})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_\mu(f, \chi)(h) &= \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times \mathrm{GSO}(W_1) \backslash \mathrm{GSO}(W_1)(\mathbb{A})} \left[\int_{\mathrm{SO}(W_1^\perp) \backslash \mathrm{SO}(W_1^\perp)(\mathbb{A})} f(\delta h(g)h) d\delta \right] \chi(g) dg \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{SO}(W_1^\perp) \times \mathrm{SO}(W_1)](F) \backslash \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{SO}(W_1^\perp) \times \mathrm{SO}(W_1)](\mathbb{A})} f(\delta h(g)h) \chi(g) d\delta dg, \end{aligned}$$

where $h(g) \in \mathrm{GSO}(V)(\mathbb{A})$ has similitude factor $\nu(g)$, preserves the embedding $\mu : W_1 \rightarrow V$, and acts as g on W_1 ; we have $(\delta, g) \in G[\mathrm{SO}(W_1^\perp) \times \mathrm{SO}(W_1)] \subset \mathrm{GSO}(W_1^\perp) \times \mathrm{GSO}(W_1)$. For sake of explicitness, we record the following simple lemma needed for the last equality above.

Lemma 14.3. *Let G be an algebraic group over a number field F , and N a normal subgroup, with $H = N \backslash G$. Then for appropriate choice of Haar measures, the following holds for appropriate choice of functions f on $G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$*

$$\int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} \int_{N(F) \backslash N(\mathbb{A})} f(ng) dnd\bar{g} = \int_{G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} f(g) dg.$$

The following theorem is now immediate by standard arguments:

Theorem 14.4. *Let V be an even dimensional non-degenerate quadratic space over a global field F , and $\mu : W_1 \rightarrow V$ a linear map giving rise to a non-degenerate quadratic form on W_1 making it possible to speak of μ -th Fourier coefficient of an automorphic form on $\mathrm{GSp}(W_1 \oplus W_1^\vee)$, and hence given an automorphic form χ on $\mathrm{GO}(W_1)(\mathbb{A})$, one can define the Bessel coefficient $B_{\chi, \mu}(F)$ for an automorphic form F on $\mathrm{GSp}(W_1 \oplus W_1^\vee)$. Given an automorphic cuspidal representation ρ of $\mathrm{GO}(V)$, there is a $f \in \rho$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}((V \otimes W_1^\vee)(\mathbb{A}))$ such that $B_{\chi, \mu}(\theta(f; \varphi)) \neq 0$ if and only if there is $f \in \rho$ such that $\Lambda_\mu(f, \chi) \neq 0$.*

Just as in the local case, the following diagram allows one to identify $(E^* \times E^*)/\Delta F^*$ inside $(D^* \times D^*)/\Delta F^*$ as the subgroup $G[\mathrm{SO}(E) \times \mathrm{SO}(E)]$ inside $\mathrm{GSO}(D) = \mathrm{GSO}(E \oplus E)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & [E^* \times E^*]/\Delta(F^*) & \\ \cong \swarrow & & \searrow \\ G[\mathrm{SO}(E) \times \mathrm{SO}(E)] & & (D^* \times D^*)/(\Delta F^*) \end{array}$$

Therefore the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{A} \times G[\mathrm{SO}(W_1^\perp) \times \mathrm{SO}(W_1)](F) \backslash G[\mathrm{SO}(W_1^\perp) \times \mathrm{SO}(W_1)](\mathbb{A})} f(\delta h(g)) \chi(g) d\delta dg,$$

becomes a product of two toral integrals on $E^* \mathbb{A}_F^* \backslash \mathbb{A}_E^*$ on which the theorem of Waldspurger applies, yielding Theorem 1.5 of the introduction. In the case where the dual pair involves division algebras one can prove a similar theorem. The proof carries over in an essentially verbatim manner.

15. GLOBAL IMPLIES LOCAL

Suppose we want to show that a given representation π_w of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ has a specific Bessel model λ_w . One way to show is to prove that there is an automorphic cuspidal representation $\Pi = \otimes_v \Pi_v$ of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ with a non-zero global Bessel functional $\Lambda = \otimes_v \Lambda_v$ in such a way that $\Pi_w = \pi_w$ and $\Lambda_w = \lambda_w$. As not all local representations π_w arise as the local component of an automorphic representation, this is not always possible but in some situations works quite well. For example if w is a real place, and π_w is a discrete series representation, then it is the image of local theta correspondence from a discrete series representation of an orthogonal group $\mathrm{GO}(4)$. Then since discrete series representations of $\mathrm{GO}(4)$ can be globalized to automorphic cuspidal representations, we can use global theta correspondence to construct candidates for Π . Then one uses results on non-vanishing of L -functions of $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ representations to show that Π has global Bessel models with desired local properties. See [27] for details. Let us explain how this procedure works in the non-archimedean situation, assuming that the theorem about Bessel models has been proved in the Archimedean case, as well as for principal series representations. For this we follow the method of [18] and [19] to deduce the local theorem from the global theorem. Thus we give ourselves a local field k , a number field F , a non-archimedean place v of F such that $F_v \cong k$, a quadratic extension E of F such that $k \otimes_F E = K$. Let Π_v be a cuspidal representation on $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$, and χ_v a character of K^* considered as a character of $R(k) = K^*N(k)$ extending a character ψ_v on N , such that $\mathrm{Hom}_R[\pi_v, \chi_v] \neq 0$. Assume that Π_v comes as a theta lift of a representation τ_v of $\mathrm{GSO}_4(k)$. We globalize τ_v to an automorphic representation τ on $\mathrm{GSO}_4(\mathbb{A})$ which is unramified at all finite places outside v . This is standard. Then we construct the automorphic form Π on $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ to be the theta lift of τ .

By [18, 19], there exists a Grössencharacter χ on \mathbb{A}_E^* with local component χ_v at a place of E above v of F , such that the map taking $f \in \Pi$ to

$$\int_{R(F)\mathbb{A}_F^* \backslash R(\mathbb{A}_F)} f(g)\chi^{-1}(g)dg,$$

is not identically zero. By our global theorem, the period integrals,

$$\int_{E^*\mathbb{A}_F^* \backslash \mathbb{A}_E^*} f_1(g)\chi^{-1}(g)dg,$$

and

$$\int_{E^* \mathbb{A}_F^* \backslash \mathbb{A}_E^*} f_2(g) \chi^{-1}(g) dg$$

on Π_1 and Π_2 respectively are not identically zero. This implies by Waldspurger's theorem that

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, BC_E(\Pi_1) \otimes \chi^{-1}\right) \neq 0,$$

and

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, BC_E(\Pi_2) \otimes \chi^{-1}\right) \neq 0.$$

In particular the global root number of these L -functions is 1:

$$1 = \epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, BC_E(\Pi_1) \otimes \chi^{-1}\right) = \prod_w \epsilon_w,$$

and

$$1 = \epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, BC_E(\Pi_2) \otimes \chi^{-1}\right) = \prod_w \epsilon'_w,$$

which as all the other epsilon factors away from the chosen one at v are equal to 1, we find that

$$\epsilon_v(BC_E(\Pi_1) \otimes \chi^{-1}) = 1,$$

and

$$\epsilon_v(BC_E(\Pi_2) \otimes \chi^{-1}) = 1,$$

proving the local result as a consequence of the corresponding global theorem. This was a case where both local and global results could be proved by means of theta correspondence. However, the method acquires teeth in contexts where global results are available without local facts, such as in residue characteristic 2.

16. BÖCHERER, GLOBAL GROSS-PRASAD, AND THE ICHINO-IKEDA CONJECTURE

We begin with the following conjecture of Böcherer:

Conjecture 3. *Let Φ be a holomorphic cuspidal Siegel eigenform of degree two and weight k with respect to $\mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$. Let*

$$\Phi(Z) = \sum_{T>0} a(T, \Phi) \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{tr}(TZ))$$

be its Fourier expansion. For a fundamental discriminant $-D$, i.e. a discriminant of an imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$, let

$$B_D(\Phi) = \sum_{\{T \mid \det(T) = \frac{D}{4}\} / \sim} \frac{a(T, \Phi)}{\epsilon(T)},$$

where \sim denotes the equivalence relation defined by $T_1 \sim T_2$ when $T_1 = {}^t \gamma T_2 \gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\epsilon(T) = \#\{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid {}^t \gamma T \gamma = T\}$.

Then there exists a constant C_Φ which depends only on Φ such that

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_\Phi \otimes \chi_D\right) = C_\Phi \cdot D^{-k+1} \cdot |B_D(\Phi)|^2,$$

where π_Φ is the automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q})$ associated with Φ , χ_D is the quadratic character of $\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q}^\times$ associated with $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ and the left hand side denotes the central critical value of the quadratic twist by χ_D of the degree four Spinor L -function for π_Φ .

This is a natural generalization of a well-known theorem of Waldspurger [29] for modular form on the upper half plane to the case of the Siegel cusp forms. Böcherer proved this assertion in the cases of the Klingen Eisenstein series and the Saito-Kurokawa lifting in [1]. Later he and Schulze-Pillot proved this in the case of the Yoshida lifting in [2]. More recently Furusawa and Shalika have started investigating this conjecture from a different angle [4]. Their approach to the problem is to generalize Jacquet's *relative trace formula* for $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ to $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$. Jacquet had used his $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ relative trace formula to give another proof for the theorem of Waldspurger mentioned above.

Here is the global form of the conjecture of Gross and Prasad in this context.

Conjecture 4. *For a globally generic cuspidal automorphic representation Π of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_F)$, E a quadratic extension of the global field F , and χ a Größencharacter of \mathbb{A}_E^\times such that χ restricted to \mathbb{A}_F^* is the central character of Π , we have:*

$$(5) \quad L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi \otimes \mathrm{ind}_E^F(\chi^{-1})\right) \neq 0$$

if and only if there exists a triple (D, Π_D, Ψ_D) , where D is a central simple quaternion algebra over F containing E , Π_D is a cuspidal automorphic representation of G_D , an inner form of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(F)$ defined using D , which corresponds to Π in the functorial sense, and Ψ_D is a cusp form in the space of Π_D such that

$$(6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{A}_F^\times R_D(F) \backslash R_D(\mathbb{A}_F)} \Psi_D(r) \tau^{-1}(r) dr \neq 0.$$

Here R_D denotes the Bessel subgroup of G_D and τ is the character of $R_D(\mathbb{A}_F) = \mathbb{A}_E^* \cdot N(\mathbb{A}_F)$ which is χ on \mathbb{A}_E^* , and ψ on $N(\mathbb{A}_F)$.

Remark 16.1. The global Gross-Prasad conjecture for Bessel models for generic representations of $PGSp_4$ has been proven by Ginzburg, Jiang and Rallis in [7].

We now observe that Böcherer's conjecture is a refinement of the global Gross-Prasad conjecture in our context. In order to see this, we recall that there is a result of Sugano according to which for the torus T_D defined by $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$, the period integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times} R_D(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash R_D(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})} \Psi_D(r) \tau^{-1}(r) dr$$

is essentially $B_D(\Phi)$ of Böcherer's conjecture. For a modern formulation of Sugano's result, see [3].

16.1. Ichino-Ikeda. Generalizing Waldspurger, Ichino-Ikeda [13] have made a very precise conjecture about period integrals thus refining the Gross-Prasad conjecture. The precise conjecture of Ichino-Ikeda is remarkable for its elegance and simplicity. In essence, what it says is that when there are multiplicity one theorems, any two invariant linear forms must be scalar multiples of each other. One of the invariant linear forms that one takes is the period integral, and the other is defined as a product of linear forms, $\ell = \otimes \ell_v$, on $\pi = \otimes \pi_v$ through matrix coefficients of representations π_v involved. Since most of these constructions are unique only up to isomorphism (a scaling), one needs subtle care to come up with an expression which is independent of all choices, and then one can meaningfully compare the two linear forms, and the suggestion of Ichino-Ikeda is that with this care taken, the scaling is essentially the L -function that appears in the Gross-Prasad conjecture besides some simple factors. We make their suggestion precise in our context.

Let $\pi \cong \otimes \pi_v$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A}) = \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$. Assume that π is a unitary representation on which the hermitian form comes from a fixed realization of π as a space of automorphic forms on $G(\mathbb{A})$. Assume that π_v also are unitary, and that the unitary structure on π_v yields a unitary structure on $\pi = \otimes \pi_v$ which is the same as the one coming from the automorphic realization of π .

Corresponding to an element $\varphi_v \in \pi_v$, define a matrix coefficient of π_v by

$$\Phi_{\varphi_v, \varphi_v}(g) = \langle g\varphi_v, \varphi_v \rangle .$$

Let R be the Bessel subgroup of G as in the previous sections, and let $\chi = \prod_v \chi_v$ be a character on $R(\mathbb{A})$ as before. Define *local period integrals* to be,

$$I_v(\varphi_v, \chi_v) = \int_{k_v^* \backslash R(k_v)} \Phi_{\varphi_v, \varphi_v}(r) \chi_v^{-1}(r) dr_v.$$

This requires fixing Haar measures dr_v on $k_v^* \backslash R(k_v)$. We assume that they are arbitrarily fixed so that one can speak of Haar measure on $\mathbb{A}_F^* \backslash R(\mathbb{A}_F)$. Let dr be the Tamagawa measure on $\mathbb{A}_F^* \backslash R(\mathbb{A}_F)$. Define a constant C_0 by $dr = C_0 \prod_v dr_v$. The following conjecture, besides possible inaccuracies, should be taken to be due to Ichino and Ikeda.

Conjecture 5. *With the notation as above, let $\varphi = \otimes \varphi_v \in \otimes \pi_v$ be a cusp form on $G(\mathbb{A})$. Then*

$$\frac{\left| \int_{\mathbb{A}_F^* R(F) \backslash R(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi(r) \chi^{-1}(r) dr \right|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{A}_F^* G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)} |\varphi(g)|^2 dg} = C_0 2^\beta \zeta^S(2) \zeta^S(4) P^S(\pi, \chi^{-1}, \frac{1}{2}) \prod_{v \in S} \frac{|I_v(\varphi_v, \chi_v)|^2}{\langle \varphi_v, \varphi_v \rangle},$$

where S is a finite set of finite primes, the superscript such as in ζ^S means removal of the Euler factors at places in S , dg is the Tamagawa measure on $\mathbb{A}_F^* G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)$, and the constant C_0 has been defined earlier, β is a non-negative integer ≤ 2 , and

$$P^S(\pi, \chi^{-1}, s) = \prod_{v \notin S} \frac{L_v(s, \pi \otimes \mathrm{Ind} \chi^{-1})}{L_v(s + \frac{1}{2}, \pi, \mathrm{Ad}) L_v(s + \frac{1}{2}, \chi)}.$$

Remark 16.2. One of the crucial inputs for the Ichino-Ikeda formulation is the convergence of the local period integral, say for unramified representations whose Satake parameters belong to certain half-space, and its calculation; in our case, we should be getting

$$I_v(\varphi_v, \chi_v) = \zeta_v(2) \zeta_v(4) \frac{L_v(s, \pi \otimes \mathrm{Ind} \chi^{-1})}{L_v(s + \frac{1}{2}, \pi, \mathrm{Ad}) L_v(s + \frac{1}{2}, \chi)},$$

but we have neither proved the convergence, nor done this calculation here.

Remark 16.3. To conclude Böcherer's conjecture from Ichino-Ikeda, one will need to prove that for unramified representations π_v of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k_v)$, with φ_v a spherical vector, $I_v(\varphi_v, \chi_v)$ has a simple dependence on the subgroup K_v^* , and the character χ_v on it.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Böcherer, *Bemerkungen über zwei Dirichletreihen von Koecher und Maaß*, Preprint Math. Gottingensis Heft **68**(1986).

- [2] S. Böcherer and R. Schulze-Pillot, *The Dirichlet series of Koecher and Maaßband modular forms of weight $3/2$* , Math. Z. **209** (1992), 273-287.
- [3] M. Furusawa, *On L -functions for $\mathrm{GSp}(4) \times \mathrm{GL}(2)$ and their special values*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 438 (1993), 187–218.
- [4] M. Furusawa, and J. A. Shalika, *On central critical values of the degree four L -function for $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$: The fundamental lemma*, Mem. of the AMS, vol 782, 2003.
- [5] W.T. Gan and S. Takeda, *On Shalika Periods and a theorem of Jacquet-Martin*, preprint.
- [6] W.T. Gan and S. Takeda, *The local Langlands conjecture for $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$* , preprint.
- [7] D. Ginzburg, D. Jiang and S. Rallis, *On the nonvanishing of the central value of the Rankin-Selberg L -functions, II*, Automorphic representations, L -functions and applications: progress and prospects, 157-191, Ohio State Univ. Math. Research Inst. publication 11, de Guyter, Berlin (2005).
- [8] B. Gross and D. Prasad, *On the decomposition of a representation of SO_n when restricted to SO_{n-1}* . Canad. J. Math. 44 (1992), no. 5, 974–1002.
- [9] B. Gross and D. Prasad, *On irreducible representations of $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1} \times \mathrm{SO}_{2m}$* . Canad. J. Math. 46 (1994), no. 5, 930–950.
- [10] J. Guo, *Uniqueness of Generalized Waldspurger model for $\mathrm{GL}(2n)$* . Pacific J. of Math, vol 180, No. 2, 1997, 273-289.
- [11] M. Harris and S.S. Kudla, *Arithmetic automorphic forms for the nonholomorphic discrete series of $\mathrm{GSp}(2)$* . Duke Math. J. 66 (1992), no. 1, 59–121.
- [12] M.Harris, D. Soudry, R. Taylor, *ℓ -adic representations associated to modular forms over quadratic imaginary fields I, lifting to $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q})$* , Inventiones Math. 112 (1993), No. 2, 377-411.
- [13] A. Ichino and T. Ikeda, *On periods of Automorphic forms on Special Orthogonal groups and the Gross-Prasad conjecture*, preprint (2007).
- [14] J.A.C. Kolk and V.S.Varadarajan, *On the transverse systol of vectorial distributions and some applications to harmonic analysis*, Indagation Math. 7 (1996) 67-96.
- [15] J.-S. Li, A. Paul, E.-C. Tan, and C.-B. Zhu, *The explicit duality correspondence of $(\mathrm{Sp}(p, q), \mathrm{O}^*(2n))$* , J. Funct. Anal. 200 (2003), no. 1, 71–100.
- [16] M. Novodvorsky and I. Piatetski-Shapiro, *Generalized Bessel models for the symplectic group of rank 2*. (Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 90(132) (1973), 246–256, 326.
- [17] D. Prasad, *Some remarks on representations of a division algebra and of the Galois group of a local field*, J. Number Theory 74 (1999), no. 1, 73–97.
- [18] D. Prasad, *Relating invariant linear form and local epsilon factors via global methods*, with an appendix by H. Saito; Duke J. of Math. vol. 138, No.2, 233-261 (2007).
- [19] D. Prasad and Rainer Schulze-Pillot, *Generalised form of a conjecture of Jacquet, and a local consequence*, to appear in the Crelle Journal (2007).
- [20] T. Przebinda, *The duality correspondence of infinitesimal characters*, Colloq. Math. 70 (1996), no. 1, 93–102.
- [21] S. Rallis, *Langlands' Functoriality and the Weil Representation*, American J. Math, 104 (1982), no. 3, pp. 469-515
- [22] B. Roberts, *The nonarchimedean theta correspondence for $\mathrm{GSp}(2)$ and $\mathrm{GO}(4)$* , Transactions of the AMS, 351 (1999),781-811.

- [23] B. Roberts, *Global L -packets for $\mathrm{GSp}(2)$ and theta lifts*, Doc. Math. 6 (2001), 247–314.
- [24] W. Scharlau, *Quadratic and Hermitian Forms*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 270. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985. x+421 pp.
- [25] H. Saito, *On Tunnell's formula for characters of $\mathrm{GL}(2)$* , Compositio Math 85 (1993) 99-108.
- [26] J. A. Shalika, *Multiplicity One for $\mathrm{GL}(n)$* , Ann. of Math. (2) 100 (1974), 171–193.
- [27] R. Takloo-Bighash, *Theta functions, Bessel coefficients, and Sprinor L -functions*, with an appendix by Philippe Michel, Forum Math. 19 (2007), no. 3, 487-554.
- [28] J. Tunnell, *Local ϵ -factors, and characters of $\mathrm{GL}(2)$* , American J. Math 105 (1983)1277-1307.
- [29] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Sur les valeurs de certaines fonctions L automorphes en leur centre de symtrie*. Compositio Math. 54 (1985), no. 2, 173–242.
- [30] N. Wallach, *Generalized Whittaker vectors for holomorphic and quaternionic representations*, Comment. Math. Helv. **78** (2003), 266-307.
- [31] N. Wallach, *Holomorphic continuation of generalized Jacquet integrals for degenerate principal series*, Represent.. Theory 10 (2006), 380–398.

D. P.: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, COLABA, MUMBAI-400005, INDIA

E-mail address: `dprasad@math.tifr.res.in`

R. T.-B.: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS, AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO, 851 S. MORGAN ST, CHICAGO, IL 60607

E-mail address: `rtakloo@math.uic.edu`