# The maximum spectral radius of $C_4$ -free graphs of given order and size

Vladimir Nikiforov

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Memphis, Memphis TN 38152 email: vnikifrv@memphis.edu

November 3, 2018

#### Abstract

Suppose that G is a graph with n vertices and m edges, and let  $\mu$  be the spectral radius of its adjacency matrix.

Recently we showed that if G has no 4-cycle, then  $\mu^2 - \mu \leq n - 1$ , with equality if and only if G is the friendship graph.

Here we prove that if  $m \ge 9$  and G has no 4-cycle, then  $\mu^2 \le m$ , with equality if G is a star. For  $4 \le m \le 8$  this assertion fails.

**Keywords:** 4-cycles; graph spectral radius; graphs with no 4-cycles; friendship graph. **AMS classification:** 05C50, 05C35.

This note is part of an ongoing project aiming to build extremal graph theory on spectral grounds, see, e.g., [3] and [6, 14].

Suppose G is a graph with n vertices and m edges and let  $\mu(G)$  be the spectral radius of its adjacency matrix. How large can  $\mu(G)$  be if G has no cycles of length 4? This question was partially answered in [10], Theorem 3:

Let G be a graph of order n with  $\mu(G) = \mu$ . If G has no 4-cycles, then

 $\mu^2 - \mu \le n - 1. \tag{1}$ 

Equality holds if and only if every two vertices of G have exactly one common neighbor.

The condition for equality in (1) is a popular topic: as shown in [4] and [5], the only graph satisfying this condition is the *friendship graph* - a set of  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  triangles sharing a single common vertex. Thus equality is possible only for n odd, and (1) may be improved for even n.

**Conjecture 1** Let G be a graph of even order n with  $\mu(G) = \mu$ . If G has no 4-cycles, then

$$\mu^3 - \mu^2 - (n-1)\mu + 1 \le 0.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Equality holds if and only if G is a star of order n with n/2 - 1 disjoint additional edges.

Note that the number of edges of G is missing in (1) and (2). In contrast, Nosal [15] showed that if  $\mu(G) > \sqrt{m}$ , then G has triangles. Our main result here is a similar assertion for 4-cycles:

**Theorem 2** Let  $m \ge 9$  and G be a graph with m edges. If  $\mu(G) > \sqrt{m}$ , then G has a 4-cycle.

Note that Theorem 2 is tight, for all stars are  $C_4$ -free graphs with  $\mu(G) = \sqrt{m}$ . Also, let  $S_{n,1}$  be the star of order n with an edge within its independent set:  $S_{n,1}$  is  $C_4$ -free and has n edges, but  $\mu(G) > \sqrt{n}$  for  $4 \le n \le 8$ , as shown in Lemma 6 below.

Observe that the original result of Nosal was sharpened in [12], Theorem 2, (i):

If  $\mu(G) \ge \sqrt{m}$ , then G has a triangle, unless G is a complete bipartite graph with possibly some isolated vertices.

It turns out that Theorem 2 can be sharpened likewise, at the price of a considerably longer proof, which we omit.

**Theorem 3** Let  $m \ge 9$  and G be a graph with m edges. If  $\mu(G) \ge \sqrt{m}$ , then G has a 4-cycle unless G is a star or  $S_{9,1}$  with possibly some isolated vertices.

### Proofs

Our notation follows [2]; thus, if G is a graph G, and X and Y are disjoint sets of vertices of G, we write:

- E(G) for the edge set of G and e(G) for |E(G)|;
- G[X] for the graph induced by X, E(X) for E(G[X]), and e(X) for |E(X)|;
- e(X, Y) for the number of edges joining vertices in X to vertices in Y;
- G uv for the graph obtained by removing the edge  $uv \in E(G)$ ;
- $\Gamma_{G}(u)$  for the set of neighbors of a vertex u and  $d_{G}(u)$  for  $|\Gamma_{G}(u)|$ ;
- $\Gamma_X(u)$  for  $\Gamma_G(u) \cap X$  and  $d_X(u)$  for  $|\Gamma_X(u)|$ .

We drop the subscript in  $\Gamma_G(u)$  and  $d_G(u)$  when it is understood.

Define  $S_{n,k}$  to be the star of order n with k disjoint edges within its independent set.

Next we give some facts, needed in the proof of Theorem 2. First, a fact implied by Theorem 1 in [16]:

**Fact 4** Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a unit eigenvector to the spectral radius of a graph with some edges. Then the entries of  $\mathbf{x}$  do not exceed  $2^{-1/2}$ .

Next, a known fact, proved here for completeness:

**Lemma 5** Let A and A' be the adjacency matrices of two graphs G and G' on the same vertex set. Suppose that  $\Gamma_G(u) \subsetneq \Gamma_{G'}(u)$  for some vertex u. If some positive eigenvector  $\mathbf{x}$  to  $\mu(G)$  satisfies  $\langle A'\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \ge \langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$ , then  $\mu(G') > \mu(G)$ . **Proof** Since  $\langle A'\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \geq \langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$ , the Rayleigh principle implies that  $\mu(G') \geq \mu(G)$ . If  $\mu(G') = \mu(G)$ , then  $\langle A'\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$ , and, again by the Rayleigh principle,  $\mathbf{x}$  is an eigenvector to  $\mu(G')$ . But this is impossible, for

$$\mu(G') x_u = \sum_{uv \in E(G')} x_v > \sum_{uv \in E(G)} x_v = \mu(G) x_u.$$

We use above that  $\Gamma_G(u) \subset \Gamma_{G'}(u)$ , but there is some  $v \in \Gamma_{G'}(u)$  such that  $v \notin \Gamma_G(u)$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 5.

Finally, some facts about  $\mu(S_{n,k})$ :

**Lemma 6** (a)  $\mu(S_{n,k})$  is the largest root of the equation

 $x^{3} - x^{2} - (n-1)x + n - 1 - 2k = 0;$ 

(b)  $\mu(S_{n,k}) \leq \sqrt{n-1+k}$  for  $n-1+k \geq 9$ , and  $\mu(S_{n,1}) > \sqrt{n}$  for  $4 \leq n \leq 8$ .

**Proof** Suppose that 1 is the dominating vertex of  $S_{n,k}$ , and  $\{2,3\},\ldots,\{2k,2k+1\}$  are its k additional edges. Set  $\mu = \mu(S_{n,k})$  and let  $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$  be an eigenvector to  $\mu$ . By symmetry,

$$x_2 = x_3 = \dots = x_{2k+1}$$
 and  $x_{2k+2} = x_{2k+3} = \dots = x_n$ .

Setting  $x_1 = x$ ,  $x_2 = y$ ,  $x_n = z$ , we see that

$$\mu z = x,$$
  

$$\mu y = y + x,$$
  

$$\mu x = 2ky + (n - 2k - 1)z$$

Solving this system, we find that  $\mu$  is a root of the equation

$$x^{3} - x^{2} - (n-1)x + n - 1 - 2k = 0.$$

If  $\mu$  is not the largest root of this equation, then it has to be smaller than

$$x_{\min} = 1/3 + \sqrt{1/9 + (n-1)/3},$$

the point where the function

$$f_k(x) = x^3 - x^2 - (n-1)x + n - 1 - 2k$$

has a local minimum. This, however, is not possible since

$$\mu > \sqrt{n-1} > 1/3 + \sqrt{1/9 + (n-1)/3}.$$

This completes the proof of (a),

To prove (b) note that

$$f_k\left(\sqrt{n-1+k}\right) = \left(\sqrt{n-1+k}\right)^3 - \left(\sqrt{n-1+k}\right)^2 - (n-1)\sqrt{n-1+k} + n - 2k \\ = k\left(\sqrt{n-1+k} - 3\right),$$

implying the assertion since  $\sqrt{n-1+k} > x_{\min}$  and  $f_k(x)$  is increasing for  $x > x_{\min}$ .

#### Proof of Theorem 2

Let  $m \ge 9$ , and assume for a contradiction that G is a  $C_4$ -free graph with m edges, satisfying  $\mu(G) > \sqrt{m}$ . Set  $\mu = \mu(G)$ , and suppose that

$$\mu = \max \left\{ \mu \left( G \right) : G \text{ is a } C_4 \text{-free graph with } e \left( G \right) = m \right\}.$$
(3)

Also, for the purposes of the proof we may and shall suppose that G has no isolated vertices. This implies that G is connected.

Indeed, let  $G_1$  be a component of G with  $\mu(G_1) = \mu(G)$ , and let  $G_2$  be the nonempty union of the remaining components of G. Remove an edge from  $G_2$ , and add an edge between  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . The resulting graph is  $C_4$ -free with m edges, but its spectral radius is larger than  $\mu$ , contradicting (3). Hence, G is connected.

The essentially part of the proof is induction on m, but it needs some preparation. We first introduce some structure in G and settle several cases with direct arguments, in particular the case  $m \leq 13$ . Then, having restricted the structure of G, we prove the induction step. Now the details.

Let  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  be the vertices of G, and let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  be a positive unit eigenvector to  $\mu$ , i.e.,

$$\mu = 2 \sum_{ij \in E(G)} x_i x_j.$$

By symmetry, suppose that  $x_1 \ge \cdots \ge x_n$ . We claim that all vertices of degree 1 are joined to vertex 1.

Indeed, assume for a contradiction that there exists a vertex  $u \neq 1$  such that d(u) = 1 and u is joined to  $v \neq 1$ . Remove the edge uv and join u to vertex 1. The resulting graph G' is  $C_4$ -free and has m edges. Also, we see that

$$\sum_{ij \in E(G')} x_i x_j = \sum_{ij \in E(G)} x_i x_j + x_u (x_1 - x_v) \ge \sum_{ij \in E(G)} x_i x_j.$$

Since  $\Gamma_G(1) \subsetneq \Gamma_{G'}(1)$ , Lemma 5 implies that  $\mu(G') > \mu$ , contradicting (3). Hence, all vertices of degree 1 are joined to vertex 1.

Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be the adjacency matrix of G and  $A^2 = B = (b_{ij})$ . Since **x** is an eigenvector of B to  $\mu^2$ , we have

$$x_1 \mu^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n b_{1i} x_i \le x_1 \sum_{i=1}^n b_{1i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j} a_{ji} = x_1 \sum_{v \in \Gamma(1)} d(v).$$
(4)

Set

 $U = \Gamma(1), \quad W = \{2, 3, \dots, n\} \setminus \Gamma(1),$ 

and let t = e(U) and q = e(W). We see that

$$\sum_{v \in U} d(v) = d(1) + 2e(U) + e(U, W) = e(G) - e(W) + e(U) = m - q + t.$$

Thus (4) gives  $\mu^2 \leq m + t - q$ , and from  $\mu^2 > m$ , we get the crucial inequality  $t \geq q + 1$ .

Since all vertices of degree 1 belong to U, we have  $d(u) \ge 2$  for all  $u \in W$ . Also, since G is  $C_4$ -free, a vertex in W can be joined to at most one vertex in U. Thus, for all  $w \in W$  we have  $d_W(w) \ge d(w) - 1 \ge 1$ , and consequently,

$$2q = \sum_{w \in W} d_W(w) \ge \sum_{w \in W} 1 = |W|.$$

Suppose first that q = 0. Then |W| = 0, and so, e(U, W) = 0. Therefore, vertex 1 is dominating and  $G = S_{m+1-t,t}$ . By Lemma 6,

$$\mu = \mu\left(S_{m+1-t,t}\right) \le \sqrt{m}$$

for  $m \ge 9$ , contradicting the hypothesis. Therefore,  $q \ge 1$ .

The next claim gives a useful property of G[W], and, in particular, settles the case q = 1.

Claim 1 The graph G[W] contains no isolated edges.

**Proof** Let  $uv \in E(W)$  be an isolated edge. Since  $d(u) \ge 2$  and  $d(v) \ge 2$ , we see that  $d_U(u) = d_U(v) = 1$ . Let  $\{k\} = \Gamma_U(u)$  and  $\{l\} = \Gamma_U(v)$ . Remove the edges uk, vl, and join u and v to the vertex 1. The resulting graph G' is  $C_4$ -free and has m edges. Also, we see that

$$\sum_{ij \in E(G')} x_i x_j = \sum_{ij \in E(G)} x_i x_j + x_u \left( x_1 - x_k \right) + x_v \left( x_1 - x_l \right) \ge \sum_{ij \in E(G)} x_i x_j.$$

Since  $\Gamma_G(1) \subsetneq \Gamma_{G'}(1)$ , Lemma 5 implies that  $\mu(G') > \mu$ , contradicting (3), and completing the proof of Claim 1.

Claim 1 implies that  $q \ge 2$ . Our next goal is to obtain a contradiction for  $m \le 13$ . Indeed, suppose that  $m \le 13$ ; then  $q \ge 2$  gives

$$13 \ge m = 3t + e(U, W) + q \ge 4q + 3 + e(U, W) \ge 11 + e(U, W),$$

which is possible only if q = 2,  $e(U, W) \le 2$ , and t = 3.

The graph G[W] has 2 non-isolated edges, and thus is a path of order 3. Let u, v, w be the vertices of this path and suppose that  $uv \in E(W)$  and  $vw \in E(W)$ . Since  $d(u) \ge 2$  and  $d(w) \ge 2$ , we find that  $d_U(u) = d_U(w) = 1$ . This, in view of  $e(U, W) \le 2$ , gives e(U, W) = 2, and so, v has no neighbors in U.

Let  $\{k\} = \Gamma_U(u)$  and  $\{l\} = \Gamma_U(w)$ . Remove the edges uk, wl, uv, and join u, v, w to the vertex 1. The resulting graph G' is  $C_4$ -free and has m edges. Also, we see that

$$\sum_{ij \in E(G')} x_i x_j = \sum_{ij \in E(G)} x_i x_j + x_u \left( x_1 - x_k \right) + x_w \left( x_1 - x_l \right) + x_v \left( x_1 - x_u \right) \ge \sum_{ij \in E(G)} x_i x_j.$$

Since  $\Gamma_G(1) \not\subseteq \Gamma_{G'}(1)$ , Lemma 5 implies that  $\mu(G') > \mu$ , contradicting (3).

At this point we have proved the theorem for  $9 \le m \le 13$ . Assume now that  $m \ge 14$  and that the theorem holds for m-1; we shall prove it for m. The induction step is based on three claims.

Claim 2 If an edge  $uv \in E(G)$  satisfies d(u) = d(v) = 2, then  $x_u x_v < 1/4\mu$ .

**Proof** Let  $\{i, u\} = \Gamma(v)$  and  $\{j, v\} = \Gamma(u)$ . From

 $\mu x_u = x_i + x_v \le x_1 + x_v$  and  $\mu x_v \le x_1 + x_u \le x_1 + x_v$ 

we see that  $x_u + x_v = 2x_1/(\mu - 1)$ . Hence, using the AM-QM inequality and Fact 4, we obtain

$$x_u x_v \le \left(\frac{x_u + x_v}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{x_1^2}{(\mu - 1)^2} \le \frac{1}{2(\mu - 1)^2} \le \frac{1}{4\mu}$$

whenever  $\mu^2 \ge 14$ . This completes the proof of Claim 2.

**Claim 3** Let  $m \ge 20$ . Let the vertices u, v, w satisfy d(u) = d(w) = 2 and d(v) = 3, and let v be joined to u and w. Then either  $x_u x_v < 1/4\mu$  or  $x_w x_v < 1/4\mu$ .

**Proof** We first note that if  $x \ge \sqrt{20}$ , then

$$\frac{\left(x^{2}-2\right)^{2}}{x\left(x+1\right)\left(x+2\right)} > \frac{x^{4}-4x^{2}}{x\left(x+1\right)\left(x+2\right)} = \frac{x\left(x-2\right)}{x+1} = \frac{x^{2}-4x-2}{x+1} + 2 > 2.$$
(5)

Next, letting  $\Gamma(u) = \{i, v\}, \Gamma(w) = \{j, v\}$ , and  $\Gamma(v) = \{k, u, w\}$ , we see that

$$\mu x_{u} = x_{i} + x_{v} \le x_{1} + x_{v},$$
  

$$\mu x_{w} = x_{j} + x_{v} \le x_{1} + x_{v},$$
  

$$\mu x_{v} = x_{k} + x_{u} + x_{w} \le x_{1} + x_{u} + x_{w},$$

and therefore,

$$\mu (x_u + x_w) \le x_1 + 2x_v,$$
  
$$\mu x_v \le x_1 + x_u + x_w.$$

The solution of this system is

$$x_u + x_w \le 2\frac{\mu + 1}{\mu^2 - 2}x_1, \quad x_v \le \frac{\mu + 2}{\mu^2 - 2}x_1.$$

Now, assuming  $x_u \ge x_w$ , and using Fact 4, we obtain

$$x_u x_v \le \frac{(\mu+1)(\mu+2)}{(\mu^2-2)^2} x_1^2 \le \frac{(\mu+1)(\mu+2)}{2(\mu^2-2)^2}.$$

Finally, inequality (5) implies that

$$x_u x_v \le \frac{(\mu+1)(\mu+2)}{2(\mu^2-2)^2} \le \frac{1}{4\mu}$$

whenever  $\mu^2 \ge 20$ . This completes the proof of Claim 3.

Claim 4 If there exists  $uv \in E(G)$  satisfying  $x_u x_v \leq 1/4\mu$ , then  $\mu^2(G - uv) > \mu^2 - 1$ .

**Proof** For every edge  $uv \in E(G)$ , by the Rayleigh principle, we have

$$\mu^{2}(G - uv) \ge \left(2\sum_{ij \in E(G - uv)} x_{i}x_{j}\right)^{2} = (\mu - 2x_{u}x_{v})^{2} > \mu^{2} - 4\mu x_{u}x_{v} \ge \mu^{2} - 1,$$

completing the proof of Claim 4.

Having proved the claims, we proceed with the induction step. If there exists  $uv \in E(U)$  with d(u) = d(v) = 2, then by Claims 2 and 4 we obtain  $\mu(G - uv) > \sqrt{m-1}$ ; by the induction hypothesis G contains a  $C_4$ , a contradiction.

Hereafter, we assume that  $d(u) + d(v) \ge 5$  for all  $uv \in E(U)$ . For every edge  $uv \in E(U)$ , let  $W_{uv} = \Gamma_W(u) \cup \Gamma_W(v)$ . Since a vertex in W can be joined to at most one vertex in U, the sets  $W_{uv}, uv \in E(U)$  are disjoint. From

$$2q = 2e(W) = \sum_{w \in W} d_W(w) \ge \sum_{uv \in E(U)} \sum_{w \in W_{uv}} d_W(w) \ge t \min_{uv \in E(U)} \sum_{w \in W_{uv}} d_W(w)$$

we see that there is an edge  $uv \in E(U)$  such that  $\sum_{w \in W_{uv}} d_W(w) \leq 1$ . Then from

$$|W_{uv}| = d(u) + d(v) - 4 \ge 1$$

we conclude that  $W_{uv}$  contains a single vertex w, and that  $d_W(w) = 1$ .

Assume, by symmetry, that w is joined to v. Then, d(u) = 2, d(w) = 2, and d(v) = 3. Now, if  $m \ge 20$ , Claims 3 and 4 imply either  $\mu(G - vw) > \sqrt{m-1}$  or  $\mu(G - uv) > \sqrt{m-1}$ ; by the induction hypothesis G contains a  $C_4$ , contradiction.

To complete the proof we have to settle the case when  $15 \le m \le 19$  and  $d(u) + d(v) \ge 5$  holds for all  $uv \in E(U)$ . We shall show that these conditions also lead to a contradiction.

From

$$e(U,W) = \sum_{uv \in E(U)} d_W(u) + d_W(v) \ge \sum_{uv \in E(U)} (5-4) = t$$

and

$$19 \ge m = 3t + e(U, W) + q \ge 3t + t + q \ge 5q + 4 \tag{6}$$

we see that  $q \leq 3$  and  $t \leq 4$ .

Consider first the case q = 3. From (6) we find that this is possible only if m = 19, t = 4, e(U, W) = 4. This implies also that  $|W| \ge e(U, W) \ge 4$ .

G[W] has no isolated vertices and, by Claim 1, it has no isolated edges either. Thus, from e(W) = 3 we see that G[W] is a tree of order 4. Now the structure of G is determined: G consists of 4 triangles sharing vertex 1, a tree T of order 4, and a 4-matching joining every vertex of T to a separate triangle.

г	_	_	_	1
L				
L				

Select  $u \in W$  to be with  $d_W(u) = 1$  and let  $\{v\} = \Gamma_W(u), \{k\} = \Gamma_U(u), \{l\} = \Gamma_U(v)$ . Suppose that  $x_k \ge x_l$ , remove the edge vl, and add the edge vk. The resulting graph G' is  $C_4$ -free and has m edges. Also, we see that

$$\sum_{ij\in E(G')} x_i x_j = \sum_{ij\in E(G)} x_i x_j + x_v \left( x_k - x_l \right) \ge \sum_{ij\in E(G)} x_i x_j.$$

Since  $\Gamma_G(k) \subsetneq \Gamma_{G'}(k)$ , Lemma 5 implies that  $\mu(G') > \mu$ , contradicting (3).

The same argument applies when  $x_k < x_l$ , completing the proof in this case.

Let now q = 2. If t = 4, then  $|W| \ge e(U, W) \ge t = 4$ , and so W contains isolated edges, contradicting Claim 1. Hence, t = 3, |W| = 3, and G[W] is a path of order 3. Now, the structure of G is determined: G consists of the graph  $S_{m-4,3}$ , a path P of order 3, and a 3-matching, joining every vertex of T to a separate triangle of  $S_{m-4,3}$ .

At this point we apply again the above argument, completing the proof of Theorem 2.  $\Box$ 

#### Concluding remarks

Theorem 3 in [10] gives a result more general than just inequality (1):

**Theorem 7** Let G be a graph of order n with  $\mu(G) = \mu$ . If G has no  $K_{2,k+1}$  for some  $k \ge 1$ , then

$$\mu^2 - \mu \le t(n-1).$$

Equality holds if and only if every two vertices of G have exactly k common neighbors.

This theorem is sharper than Theorem 3 in [1], and for some values of n and k it is as good as one can get. However, in general, the maximal  $\mu(G)$  of  $K_{2,k+1}$ -free graphs G of order n is not known at present.

Note that for k > 1, there may exist regular graphs with every two vertices having exactly k common neighbors: here is a small selection from [17]:

ſ	k	n	$\mu$
	2	16	6
	3	45	12
	4	96	20
	5	175	30
	6	36	15

Acknowledgement. Thanks Laszlo Babai for the preprint [1].

## References

- [1] L. Babai, B. Guiduli, Spectral extrema for graphs: the Zarankiewicz problem, preprint, (2007).
- [2] B. Bollobás, Modern Graph Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 184, Springer-Verlag, New York (1998).
- [3] B. Bollobás, V. Nikiforov, Cliques and the spectral radius, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B. 97 (2007), 859-865.
- [4] P. Erdős, A. Rényi, V. T. Sós: On a problem of graph theory, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 1 (1966), 215–235.
- [5] C. Huneke, The Friendship Theorem, Amer. Math. Monthly 109 (2002), 192-194.
- [6] V. Nikiforov, Some inequalities for the largest eigenvalue of a graph, Combin. Probab. Comp. 11 (2002), 179-189.
- [7] V. Nikiforov, The smallest eigenvalue of  $K_r$ -free graphs, Discrete Math. **306** (2006), 612-616.
- [8] V. Nikiforov, A spectral condition for odd cycles, to appear in *Linear Algebra Appl.*
- [9] V. Nikiforov, Eigenvalues and forbidden subgraphs I, Linear Algebra Appl. 422 (2007), 384-390.
- [10] V. Nikiforov, Bounds on graph eigenvalues II, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **427** (2007) 183-189.
- [11] V. Nikiforov, A spectral stability theorem for large forbidden graphs, submitted for publication. Preprint available at http://arxiv.org/abs/0711.3485
- [12] V. Nikiforov, More spectral bounds on the clique and independence numbers, submitted for publication. Preprint available at http://arxiv.org/abs/0706.0548
- [13] V. Nikiforov, A spectral Erdős-Stone-Bollobás theorem, submitted for publication. Preprint available at http://arxiv.org/abs/0707.2259
- [14] V. Nikiforov, Spectral saturation: inverting the spectral Turán theorem, submitted for publication. Preprint available at http://arxiv.org/abs/0707.2259
- [15] E. Nosal, Eigenvalues of Graphs, *Master's thesis*, University of Calgary, 1970.
- [16] B. Papendieck, P. Recht, On maximal entries in the principal eigenvector of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **310** (2000) 129–138.
- [17] G. Royle, Strogly regular graphs, http://people.csse.uwa.edu.au/gordon/remote/srgs/