

Fundamentals for Symplectic \mathcal{A} -modules

Anastasios Mallios

Department of Mathematics

University of Athens

Athens, Greece

Email: amallios@math.uoa.gr

Patrice P. Ntumba

Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics

University of Pretoria

Hatfield 0002, Republic of South Africa

Email: patrice.ntumba@up.ac.za

Abstract

In his [9], [10], the first author shows that the *sheaf theoretically* based *Abstract Differential Geometry* incorporates and generalizes all the classical differential geometry. Here, we undertake to partially explore the implications of Abstract Differential Geometry to classical *symplectic geometry*. The full investigation will be presented elsewhere.

Key Words: \mathcal{A} -module, vector sheaf, ordered \mathbb{R} -algebraized space, , symplectic \mathcal{A} -structure, symplectic group sheaf.

Introduction

Here is an attempt of taking the theory of *Abstract Differential Geometry* (à la Mallios), [9], [10], to new horizons, such as those related to the classical *symplectic geometry*. In this endeavor, we show the *extent* to which tools provided by Abstract Differential Geometry help (re)capture in a sheaf-theoretic manner fundamental notions and results which characterize the standard *symplectic algebra*. This endeavor will pave the way to rewrite and/or recover a great deal of classical symplectic geometry, with no use at all of any notion of “differentiability” (differentiability is here understood in the sense of the standard *differential geometry* of C^∞ -manifolds). As a result of all *this*, we show that *sheaf-theory*

methods turn out to be an appropriate way for the *algebraization* of classical symplectic geometry. As pointed out by the first author in [10], [11], this algebraic approach to differential (and symplectic) geometry is of a particular interest to theoretical physicists, for it has been for long the demand and/or wish of many to “*find a purely algebraic theory for the description of reality*” (i.e. physics according to our understanding) (A. Einstein, [[6], p.166]).

Our main reference, throughout the present account, is the first author’s book [9], for which the reader is requested to have handy for we have skipped some necessary *basics* of Abstract Differential Geometry.

The paper is divided into four sections. §1 concerns with *A-tensors*: these are the counterparts, in this framework, of *classical* tensors. Some results, pertaining to the standard *multilinear algebra machinery*, are hereby provided. *A-tensors* constitute a precursor to the fundamental theory of *exterior A-k-forms*, which are developed in §2. The *exterior algebra sheaf* is defined as the *direct sum of sheaves of germs of exterior A-k-forms on an A-module E*; this sum is endowed with the exterior product \wedge . In §3, we show that given a *non-zero skew-symmetric non-degenerate A-morphism* ω on the *standard free A-module of rank n*, defined on a topological space X , there is a *basis B* of $\mathcal{A}^n(X)$, relative to which the matrix of ω is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix (the diagonal entry 1 in I is the *global identity section*). It also turns out that this result holds for *vector sheaves* as well. We further introduce in this same section the notion of *symplectic group sheaf* of an arbitrary *A-module*. In §4, we deal with *characteristic polynomial, eigenvector and eigenvalue sections* of an *A-module*, and prove the corresponding version of the *Cayley-Hamilton* theorem.

1 A-Tensors

Throughout this paper, the pair (X, \mathcal{A}) , or just \mathcal{A} will denote a fixed *C-algebraized space*, where X is a topological space and \mathcal{A} a *sheaf* (over X) of *unital, commutative algebras*. For more details about algebraized spaces, see [9].

Let

$$\mathcal{E} \equiv (\mathcal{E}, \pi, X)$$

be an *A-module* on X . The (complete) *presheaves of sections* of sheaves \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{E} are denoted by

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{A}) \equiv (\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}), \tau_V^U) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \equiv (\Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}), \pi_V^U),$$

respectively. Let \mathcal{E}^* be the dual \mathcal{A} -module of \mathcal{E} ; so

$$\mathcal{E}^* = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$$

(see [9], p. 298). It is easy (cf. [9], p. 129) to see that the correspondence that associates with every open subset U of X the $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})$ -module

$$\otimes^p \Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})} \otimes^q \Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}^*),$$

where

$$\otimes^p \Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}) := \underbrace{\Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})} \cdots \otimes_{\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{E})}_{p\text{-times}},$$

and

$$\otimes^q \Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}^*) := \underbrace{\Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}^*) \otimes_{\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})} \cdots \otimes_{\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}^*)}_{q\text{-times}},$$

along with the obvious restriction morphisms, provides a *presheaf of $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ -modules* on X . We denote this presheaf by

$$T_q^p \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \equiv \otimes^p \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\Gamma(\mathcal{A})} \otimes^q \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^*). \quad (1)$$

Definition 1.1 Given an \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{E} on a topological space X , we denote by

$$\otimes^p \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes^q \mathcal{E}^*$$

the *sheaf* generated by the presheaf $T_q^p \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$, given in (1), i.e. one has

$$\otimes^p \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes^q \mathcal{E}^* := \mathbf{S}(T_q^p \Gamma(\mathcal{E})) = \mathbf{S}(\otimes^p \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\Gamma(\mathcal{A})} \otimes^q \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^*)).$$

We extend the definition of $\otimes^p \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes^q \mathcal{E}^*$ to the cases $p = 0$ and $q = 0$ by setting

$$\otimes^0 \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes^q \mathcal{E}^* = \otimes^q \mathcal{E}^*,$$

and

$$\otimes^p \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes^0 \mathcal{E}^* = \otimes^p \mathcal{E}.$$

The elements of $\otimes^p \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes^q \mathcal{E}^*$ are called **\mathcal{A} -tensors** over \mathcal{E} , and are said to be *contravariant of order p* and *covariant of order q* ; or simply, of *type (p, q)* .

The next lemma shows the analog of a classical result of (ordinary) tensors of type (p, q) . Before we examine the result, let us recall that given an algebra sheaf \mathcal{A} on a topological X , by a *vector sheaf \mathcal{E} , of a rank n* , on X , we mean a *locally free \mathcal{A} -module of rank n* on X ; that is for every $x \in X$, there exists an open neighborhood U of $x \in X$ such that one has

$$\mathcal{E}|_U = \mathcal{A}^n|_U, \quad (2)$$

with the equality sign being actually an $\mathcal{A}|_U$ -isomorphism of the $\mathcal{A}|_U$ -modules $\mathcal{E}|_U$ and $\mathcal{A}^n|_U$. Any open set U in X for which (2) holds is called a *local gauge* of \mathcal{E} .

Lemma 1.1 If \mathcal{E} is a *vector sheaf* on a topological space X , then

$$\otimes^p \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes^q \mathcal{E}^* = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(\otimes^p \mathcal{E}^* \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes^q \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}).$$

Proof. This is an easy verification. In fact, based on Mallios[[9], Comment (5.27), p. 132, Theorems 5.1, p. 299, 6.1, p. 302, 6.2, p. 304, and Corollary 6.2, p. 305], one has

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes^p \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes^q \mathcal{E}^* &= (\otimes^p \mathcal{E})^{**} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes^q \mathcal{E}^* \\ &= \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}((\otimes^p \mathcal{E})^*, \otimes^q \mathcal{E}^*) \\ &= \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(\otimes^p \mathcal{E}^*, (\otimes^q \mathcal{E})^*) \\ &= \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(\otimes^p \mathcal{E}^*, \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(\otimes^q \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})) \\ &= \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(\otimes^p \mathcal{E}^* \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes^q \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}). \end{aligned}$$

□

A corollary that one can derive from Lemma 1.1 requires the following definitions.

Definition 1.2 Let $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and \mathcal{F} be \mathcal{A} -modules on the same topological space X . The \mathcal{A} -morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{E}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{E}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is called an **\mathcal{A} -multilinear morphism** if, for all *open subset* $U \subseteq X$,

$$\varphi_U : \Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}_1) \times_{\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})} \dots \times_{\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}_n) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$$

is a $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})$ -*multilinear morphism* for the $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})$ -modules concerned.

We are now set to *generalize the functor* $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}$ ($\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a bifunctor $\mathcal{A}\text{-Mod}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-Mod}_X$, where $\mathcal{A}\text{-Mod}_X$ is the category of \mathcal{A} -modules on a topological space X , (see [9], p. 133)), to *the functor* $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, which we define below.

In effect, suppose that we are given \mathcal{A} -modules $\mathcal{E}_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, and \mathcal{F} on the same topological space X . For any open set U in X , let

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}|_U}(\mathcal{E}_1|_U \times \dots \times \mathcal{E}_n|_U, \mathcal{F}|_U) \equiv L_{\mathcal{A}|_U}^n(\mathcal{E}_1|_U, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n|_U; \mathcal{F}|_U) \quad (3)$$

be the set of $\mathcal{A}|_U$ -*n-linear morphisms* of the $\mathcal{A}|_U$ -module $\mathcal{E}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{E}_n|_U$ into the $\mathcal{A}|_U$ -module $\mathcal{F}|_U$.

Lemma 1.2 The set, in (3), is a *module* over $\mathcal{A}(U)$; hence, in particular, a \mathbb{C} -*vector space*.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Statement 6.1, p. 133, [9]. \square

On the other hand, it is readily verified that, given \mathcal{A} -modules $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n$, and \mathcal{F} on X as above, the correspondence

$$U \longmapsto L_{\mathcal{A}|_U}^n(\mathcal{E}_1|_U, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n|_U; \mathcal{F}|_U), \quad (4)$$

where U runs over the open subsets of X , along with the obvious *restriction maps* yields a *complete presheaf of \mathcal{A} -modules* on X .

Thus, we have

Definition 1.3 Let $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n$ and \mathcal{F} be \mathcal{A} -modules on a topological space X . By the **sheaf of germs of \mathcal{A} - n -linear morphisms** of $\mathcal{E}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{E}_n$ in \mathcal{F} , we mean the *sheaf*, on X , generated by the (complete) *presheaf*, defined by (4). We denote the induced sheaf by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n; \mathcal{F}).$$

We may now state

Corollary 1.1 Let \mathcal{E} be an \mathcal{A} -module on X . Then,

$$\otimes^p \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes^q \mathcal{E}^* = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}^{p+q}(\underbrace{\mathcal{E}^*, \dots, \mathcal{E}^*}_{p\text{-times}}, \underbrace{\mathcal{E}, \dots, \mathcal{E}}_{q\text{-times}}; \mathcal{A}) \equiv \mathfrak{T}_q^p(\mathcal{E}),$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}^{p+q}(\mathcal{E}^*, \dots, \mathcal{E}^*, \mathcal{E}, \dots, \mathcal{E}; \mathcal{A})$ is the \mathcal{A} -module of \mathcal{A} - $(p+q)$ -linear morphisms.

Proof. Using Lemma 1.1 and Mallios[[9], Lemma 5.1, p. 132 and Definition 6.1, p. 134], one has, for every open set U in X ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\otimes^p \mathcal{E}^* \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes^q \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})(U) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}|_U}((\otimes^p \mathcal{E}^* \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes^q \mathcal{E})|_U, \mathcal{A}|_U) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}|_U}(\otimes^p(\mathcal{E}^*|_U) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}|_U} \otimes^q(\mathcal{E}|_U), \mathcal{A}|_U) \\ &= L_{\mathcal{A}|_U}^{p+q}(\mathcal{E}^*|_U, \dots, \mathcal{E}^*|_U, \mathcal{E}|_U, \dots, \mathcal{E}|_U; \mathcal{A}|_U). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\otimes^p \mathcal{E}^* \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes^q \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}^{p+q}(\mathcal{E}^*, \dots, \mathcal{E}^*, \mathcal{E}, \dots, \mathcal{E}; \mathcal{A}).$$

\square

So, we come now to the following definition.

Definition 1.4 Let \mathcal{E} be an \mathcal{A} -module on a topological space X , and let $\mathbf{t}_1 \in \mathfrak{T}_{j_1}^{i_1}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathbf{t}_2 \in \mathfrak{T}_{j_2}^{i_2}(\mathcal{E})$. The \mathcal{A} -**tensor product** of \mathbf{t}_1 and \mathbf{t}_2 is the \mathcal{A} -*tensor* $\mathbf{t}_1 \otimes \mathbf{t}_2 \in \mathfrak{T}_{j_1+j_2}^{i_1+i_2}(\mathcal{E})$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}_1 \otimes \mathbf{t}_2(s_1, \dots, s_{i_1}, t_1, \dots, t_{i_2}, u_1, \dots, u_{j_1}, v_1, \dots, v_{j_2}) \\ = \mathbf{t}_1(s_1, \dots, s_{i_1}, u_1, \dots, u_{j_1}) \mathbf{t}_2(t_1, \dots, t_{i_2}, v_1, \dots, v_{j_2}) \end{aligned}$$

where $s_\alpha, t_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}^*(U)$ and $u_\beta, v_\beta \in \mathcal{E}(U)$, and where, for all $k = 1, 2$, the \mathcal{A} -tensor \mathbf{t}_k , viewed as a map on *sections* of the \mathcal{A} -modules of

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{E}^* \times_{\mathcal{A}} \dots \times_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}^*}_{i_k} \times_{\mathcal{A}} \underbrace{\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{A}} \dots \times_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}}_{j_k} \quad \text{and } \mathcal{A},$$

is the $\mathcal{A}(U)$ - $(i_k + j_k)$ -linear morphism

$$\mathcal{E}^*(U) \times_{\mathcal{A}(U)} \dots \times_{\mathcal{A}(U)} \mathcal{E}^*(U) \times_{\mathcal{A}(U)} \mathcal{E}(U) \times_{\mathcal{A}(U)} \dots \times_{\mathcal{A}(U)} \mathcal{E}(U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(U),$$

for all *open* subset $U \subseteq X$.

One can be assured that the standard *multilinear algebra machinery* can be appropriately reformulated within the present setting. For example, let us look at

Proposition 1.1 Let \mathcal{E} be a *vector sheaf* of rank n on a topological space X . Then, for all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, the \mathcal{A} -module $\mathfrak{T}_l^k(\mathcal{E})$ is a vector sheaf of rank n^{k+l} .

Proof. The proof is based on relations (5.25), p. 132, (6.23), p. 137, and Statement (5.19), p. 301, all found in [9].

Let $x \in X$ and U an *open* neighborhood of x such that $\mathcal{E}|_U = \mathcal{A}^n|_U = \mathcal{E}^*|_U$. Then, for all open subset $V \subseteq U$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{T}_l^k(\mathcal{E})|_U)(V) &= (\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}^{k+l}(\underbrace{\mathcal{E}^*, \dots, \mathcal{E}^*}_k, \underbrace{\mathcal{E}, \dots, \mathcal{E}}_l; \mathcal{A})|_U)(V) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}^{k+l}(\mathcal{E}^*, \dots, \mathcal{E}^*, \mathcal{E}, \dots, \mathcal{E}; \mathcal{A})(V) \\ &= L_{\mathcal{A}|_V}^{k+l}(\mathcal{E}^*|_V, \dots, \mathcal{E}^*|_V, \mathcal{E}|_V, \dots, \mathcal{E}|_V; \mathcal{A}|_V) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}|_V}(\mathcal{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathcal{E}^*|_V \times \mathcal{E}|_V \times \dots \times \mathcal{E}|_V, \mathcal{A}|_V) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}|_V}(\mathcal{A}^n|_V \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}^n|_V \times \mathcal{A}^n|_V \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}^n|_V, \mathcal{A}|_V) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}|_V}((\mathcal{A}^n \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{A}^n)|_V, \mathcal{A}|_V) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^n \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{A}^n, \mathcal{A})(V) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^{n^{k+l}}, \mathcal{A})(V) \\ &= (\mathcal{A}^{n^{k+l}}|_U)(V), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$\mathfrak{T}_l^k(\mathcal{E})|_U = \mathcal{A}^{n^{k+l}}|_U,$$

that is the \mathcal{A} -module $\mathfrak{T}_l^k(\mathcal{E})$ is a vector sheaf of rank n^{k+l} , as desired. \square

Corollary 1.2 Let \mathcal{E} be a *vector sheaf* of rank n on a topological space X , and $\{s_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ a *basis* of the $\mathcal{A}(U)$ -module $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{E})$, with U an open subset of X such that $\mathcal{E}|_U = \mathcal{A}^n|_U$. Then, for all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, a basis of the $\mathcal{A}(U)$ -module $\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{T}_l^k(\mathcal{E}))$ is given by

$$\{s_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes s_{i_k} \otimes s^{*j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes s^{*j_l} \mid i_p, j_p = 1, \dots, n\},$$

where $\{s^{*j}\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ is the dual basis of $\{s_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 1.7.2, p. 53, [1]. \square

We close this section with the following important definition, which will be of use in the sequel. (See also [[9]: p. 301, (5.22)- (5.24)].)

Definition 1.5 Let \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} be \mathcal{A} -modules on a topological space X . For $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$, in keeping with the classical notation, see [3], p. 234, or [5], p. 68, we define the **transpose** of φ by

$${}^t\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}^*, \mathcal{E}^*)$$

such that

$$({}^t\varphi)(u) := u \circ \varphi, \quad u \in \mathcal{F}^*(U) \tag{5}$$

with U open in X , i.e., in other words,

$${}^t\varphi(u)(v) = u(\varphi(v)), \quad v \in \mathcal{E}(U).$$

(In (5), we have used the *${}^t\varphi$ -corresponding map on sections* of the \mathcal{A} -modules \mathcal{E}^* and \mathcal{F}^* .)

2 Exterior \mathcal{A} - k -forms

As has been the case so far, we assume in this section as well that the triple (\mathcal{A}, τ, X) stands for the *sheaf of commutative \mathbb{C} -algebras with an identity element* on a topological space X . Furthermore, we let

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{A}) \equiv (\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}), \tau_V^U)$$

be the corresponding (complete) *presheaf of sections* of \mathcal{A} .

Now, let \mathcal{E} be an \mathcal{A} -module on X . For any *open set* $U \subseteq X$, let

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{A}|_U}^k(\underbrace{\mathcal{E}|_U \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}|_U}_{k\text{-times}}, \mathcal{A}|_U)$$

be the *set of all skew-symmetric $\mathcal{A}|_U$ - k -linear morphisms* of the $\mathcal{A}|_U$ -modules $\mathcal{E}|_U \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}|_U$ and $\mathcal{A}|_U$. It is obvious that we have

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{A}|_U}^k(\mathcal{E}|_U \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}|_U, \mathcal{A}|_U) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}|_U}(\mathcal{E}|_U \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}|_U, \mathcal{A}|_U),$$

where for every open set $U \subseteq X$,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}|_U}(\mathcal{E}|_U \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}|_U, \mathcal{A}|_U)$$

is the set of all *$\mathcal{A}|_U$ - k -linear morphisms* of $\mathcal{E}|_U \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}|_U$ into $\mathcal{A}|_U$.

As is naturally expected, the correspondence

$$U \longmapsto \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|_U}^k(\mathcal{E}|_U \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}|_U, \mathcal{A}|_U), \quad (6)$$

where U is open in X , along with the obvious *restriction maps*, yields a *complete presheaf of \mathcal{A} -modules* on X . The sheaf, on X , generated by the presheaf defined by (6) is called the **sheaf of germs of exterior \mathcal{A} - k -forms on \mathcal{E}** , and is denoted

$$\Omega^k(\mathcal{E}) \equiv \mathcal{L}_a^k(\mathcal{E} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}) \equiv \mathcal{L}_a^k(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}).$$

It is clear that, for every open set $U \subseteq X$, the set

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{A}|_U}^k(\mathcal{E}|_U \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}|_U, \mathcal{A}|_U)$$

is an $\mathcal{A}(U)$ -*module*, i.e. a *module over the \mathbb{C} -algebra $\mathcal{A}(U)$* ; hence a \mathbb{C} -*vector space*. Thus, based on [9], Proposition 1.1, p. 104 and Theorem 9.1, p. 41, we conclude that the *sheaf $\Omega^k(\mathcal{E})$* is an *\mathcal{A} -module on X* . It follows, for every *open set* $U \subseteq X$, that

$$\Omega^k(\mathcal{E})(U) = \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|_U}^k(\mathcal{E}|_U \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}|_U, \mathcal{A}|_U),$$

within an $\mathcal{A}(U)$ -*isomorphism* of the $\mathcal{A}(U)$ -modules concerned. In particular, one has

$$\Omega^k(\mathcal{E})(X) = \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^k(\mathcal{E} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}),$$

where the equality actually means an $\mathcal{A}(X)$ -*isomorphism* of the $\mathcal{A}(X)$ -modules $\Omega^k(\mathcal{E})(X)$ and $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^k(\mathcal{E} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$.

Following the classical pattern, we set

$$\Omega^0(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{A}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega^1(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E}^*,$$

as the *sheaf of germs of exterior \mathcal{A} -0-forms* and the *sheaf of germs of \mathcal{A} -1-forms*, on X , respectively.

The standard *exterior algebra of k -forms* can also be repeated here to some significant extent. Consider for instance the analogue, in this setting, of the usual *alternation* or *anti-symmetrizer map*, [1], p. 101, or [8], p. 85, or [12], p. 196, which we define below. To this end, suppose given an \mathcal{A} -module $\mathcal{E} \equiv (\mathcal{E}, \pi, X)$ on a topological space X . Let

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \equiv (\Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}), \pi_V^U)$$

be the corresponding (complete) *presheaf of sections* of \mathcal{E} . Instead of considering the *presheaf* $T_k^0\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, of $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ -modules on X , in order to define the *alternation morphism*

$$\mathbf{A} : \mathfrak{T}_k^0(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{T}_k^0(\mathcal{E}),$$

where $\mathfrak{T}_k^0(\mathcal{E}) := \mathbf{S}(T_k^0\Gamma(\mathcal{E}))$, we deviate from this usual practice to defining \mathbf{A} as the \mathcal{A} -morphism induced by maps

$$\mathbf{A}_x : (\mathfrak{T}_k^0(\mathcal{E}))_x = \mathcal{E}_x^* \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_x} \dots \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_x} \mathcal{E}_x^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_x^* \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_x} \dots \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_x} \mathcal{E}_x^*, \quad x \in X, \quad (7)$$

such that

$$\mathbf{A}_x \mathbf{t}_x(s_{1,x}, \dots, s_{k,x}) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} (\text{sign} \sigma) \mathbf{t}_x(s_{1,x}, \dots, s_{k,x}),$$

where $s_{1,x}, \dots, s_{k,x} \in \mathcal{E}_x$, $\mathbf{t}_x : \mathcal{E}_x \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_x} \dots \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_x} \mathcal{E}_x \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_x$ is \mathcal{A}_x - k -linear, and S_k is the permutation group on $\{1, \dots, k\}$.

The equality $(\mathfrak{T}_k^0(\mathcal{E}))_x = \mathcal{E}_x^* \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_x} \dots \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_x} \mathcal{E}_x^*$, $x \in X$, holds within an \mathcal{A}_x -isomorphism; for this purpose see [9], relation 5.9, p. 130.

The reason for this approach comes from the observation that the $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ -presheaf defined by

$$U \longmapsto T_k^0\Gamma(\mathcal{E})(U) := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}^*) \otimes_{\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})} \dots \otimes_{\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}^*), \quad (8)$$

where $U \subseteq X$ is open, along with the restriction maps *is not always complete*, cf. [9], Statement 5.5, p. 129; therefore $\mathfrak{T}_k^0(\mathcal{E})(U)$ is not always $\mathcal{A}(U)$ -isomorphic to the right hand side in the correspondence (8) above, i.e., to $T_k^0\Gamma(\mathcal{E})(U)$. Thus, in order to circumvent this obstacle, we resort, by virtue of [9], Lemma 8.1, p. 36, to anti-symmetrizers

$$\mathbf{A}_x : \mathfrak{T}_k^0(\mathcal{E})_x \longrightarrow \mathfrak{T}_k^0(\mathcal{E})_x, \quad x \in X,$$

from which the sought anti-symmetrizer \mathcal{A} -morphism \mathbf{A} is obtained.

We may now define the **exterior product** as follows.

Definition 2.1 Let \mathcal{E} be a *vector sheaf of rank n* on a topological space X , and let ξ and η be elements of $\Omega^k(\mathcal{E})$ and $\Omega^l(\mathcal{E})$, respectively. The *exterior product* of ξ_x and η_x , $x \in X$, is the *germ* $\xi_x \wedge \eta_x \in \Omega^{k+l}(\mathcal{E}_x)$, given by

$$\xi_x \wedge \eta_x = \frac{(k+l)!}{k!l!} \mathbf{A}_x(\mathcal{E}_x \otimes \eta_x),$$

that is, for all $s_{1,x}, \dots, s_{k+l,x} \in \mathcal{E}_x$,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_x \wedge \eta_x(s_{1,x}, \dots, s_{k+l,x}) = \\ \frac{1}{k!l!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k+l}} \text{sign}(\sigma) \xi_x(s_{\sigma(1),x}, \dots, s_{\sigma k,x}) \eta_x(s_{\sigma(k+1),x}, \dots, s_{\sigma(k+l),x}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $\alpha_x \in \Omega^0(\mathcal{E}_x) \equiv \mathcal{A}_x$, $x \in X$, we put

$$\alpha_x \wedge \xi_x \equiv \xi_x \wedge \alpha_x \equiv \alpha_x \xi_x.$$

Finally, the \mathcal{A} -morphism

$$\xi \wedge \eta \in \Omega^{k+l}(\mathcal{E}),$$

obtained from germs $\xi_x \wedge \eta_x$, $x \in X$, above, by virtue of [9], Lemma 8.1, p. 36, is called the **exterior product of ξ and η** . Like earlier, for $\alpha \in \Omega^0(\mathcal{E}) \equiv \mathcal{A}$, we put

$$\alpha \wedge \xi \equiv \xi \wedge \alpha \equiv \alpha \xi.$$

Note that we do not index \wedge , when considering the exterior product $\xi_x \wedge \eta_x$, $x \in X$, for given $\xi \in \Omega^k(\mathcal{E})$ and $\eta \in \Omega^l(\mathcal{E})$, in order to avoid unnecessary meticulousness.

With this product, we define the **exterior algebra sheaf**, or the **Grassmann algebra sheaf** of the *vector sheaf* \mathcal{E} of rank n , to be the \mathcal{A} -module

$$\Omega^*(\mathcal{E}) \equiv \Omega^0(\mathcal{E}) \oplus \Omega^1(\mathcal{E}) \oplus \dots \oplus \Omega^n(\mathcal{E}), \quad (9)$$

such that

$$\Omega^*(\mathcal{E})_x \equiv \Omega^0(\mathcal{E})_x \oplus \Omega^1(\mathcal{E})_x \oplus \dots \oplus \Omega^n(\mathcal{E})_x = \Omega^0(\mathcal{E}_x) \oplus \Omega^1(\mathcal{E}_x) \oplus \dots \oplus \Omega^n(\mathcal{E}_x), \quad (10)$$

for all $x \in X$, where the last relation is valid, of course, within an \mathcal{A}_x -isomorphism.

The \mathcal{A}_x -isomorphism in (10) can be obtained in the following manner. In fact, one has

$$\Omega^1(\mathcal{E}) := \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{E}, \quad (11)$$

which implies that

$$\Omega^1(\mathcal{E})_x = \mathcal{E}_x, \quad x \in X.$$

(For the last relation in (11), see [9], relation (6.18), p. 136.) On the other hand, for all $x \in X$,

$$\Omega^1(\mathcal{E}_x) := \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{E}_x, \mathcal{A}_x) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}_x}(\mathcal{E}_x, \mathcal{A}_x) = \mathcal{E}_x,$$

because \mathcal{E} is a vector sheaf of finite rank on X . Thus,

$$\Omega^1(\mathcal{E})_x = \Omega^1(\mathcal{E}_x),$$

for all $x \in X$. Likewise, for $k > 1$, we have

$$\Omega^k(\mathcal{E})_x =: \mathcal{L}_a^k(\mathcal{E} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})_x = \mathcal{L}_a^k(\mathcal{E}_x \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}_x, \mathcal{A}_x) := \Omega^k(\mathcal{E}_x).$$

Like in the classical theory, if α_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, are elements of $\Omega^1(\mathcal{E})$, where \mathcal{E} is a vector sheaf of finite rank on a topological space X , then

$$(\alpha_{1,x} \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_{k,x})(s_{1,x}, \dots, s_{k,x}) = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sign}(\sigma) \alpha_{1,x}(s_{\sigma(1),x}) \dots \alpha_{k,x}(s_{\sigma k,x}),$$

where $s_{i,x} := s_i|_{\mathcal{E}_x}$, and $\alpha_{i,x} = \alpha_i|_{\Omega^1(\mathcal{E}_x)}$, for all $X \in X$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$, so that

$$(\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_k)(s_1, \dots, s_k) = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sign}(\sigma) \alpha_1(s_{\sigma(1)}) \dots \alpha_k(s_{\sigma k}).$$

3 Skew-Symmetric \mathcal{A} -bilinear forms

Definition 3.1 Let X be a topological space and $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$ an *ordered \mathbb{R} -algebraized space* on X , (cf.[9], p. 316). A *section* $s \in \mathcal{A}(U)$, with U open in X , is called *strictly positive* if $s \in \mathcal{P}(U)$, and, given $x \in U$, $s(x) \neq 0_x$.

For the purpose of what lays ahead, we need the following notion.

Definition 3.2 Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be an algebraized space, and \mathcal{E} an \mathcal{A} -module on X . An *\mathcal{A} -bilinear sheaf morphism*

$$\omega \equiv (\omega_U)_{X \supseteq U, \text{open}} : \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

is called

- **skew symmetric** provided

$$\omega_U(s, t) = -\omega_U(t, s),$$

for all *sections* $s, t \in \mathcal{E}(U)$ over any *open set* $U \subseteq X$.

- **nondegenerate** if

$$\omega_U(s, t) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathcal{E}(U), \text{ with } U \text{ an arbitrary open set in } X,$$

implies that $s = 0 \in \mathcal{E}(U)$.

In this Definition 3.2, we have identified the sheaf morphism $\omega : \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with the corresponding *presheaf morphism* $(\omega_U)_{X \supseteq U, \text{open}} : \Gamma(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ of (complete) presheaves of sections $\Gamma(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E})$ and $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$. This identification is based on the fact that, given a topological space X , we have

$$\Gamma : Sh_X \cong CoPSH_X, \quad (12)$$

where Sh_X is the category of sheaves over X , $CoPSH_X$ is the category of complete presheaves over X , and Γ is the section functor. For suitable details, see [9], Theorem 13.1, p. 73.

For the purpose of the following theorem, we assume the following condition, referred to in the sequel as the **inverse-positive-section condition**:

The ordered \mathbb{R} -algebraized $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$ is such that all *strictly positive sections* of \mathcal{A} are *invertible*. More explicitly, if \mathcal{P}^* denotes the *sub-sheaf* of all strictly positive sections of \mathcal{A} , and \mathcal{A}^\bullet the sheaf on X , generated by the presheaf

$$U \longmapsto \mathcal{A}(U)^\bullet = \mathcal{A}^\bullet(U),$$

where U is open in X , and $\mathcal{A}(U)^\bullet$ is the *group of units* of the unital \mathbf{C} -algebra $\mathcal{A}(U)$, then

$$\mathcal{P}^* \subset \mathcal{A}^\bullet. \quad (13)$$

Section-wise, (13) would be understood in the following way: for any $s \in \mathcal{P}(U)$, where $U \subseteq X$ is open, such that $s(x) \neq 0_x \in \mathcal{A}_x$, $x \in U$, then there exists $s^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}(U)$ such that $s \cdot s^{-1} = s^{-1} \cdot s = 1_U \in \mathcal{A}(U)$.

Furthermore, we suppose that our *ordered algebraized space* $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$, is also endowed with an *absolute value*, i.e., the following sheaf morphism,

$$|\cdot| : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^+ := \mathcal{P}, \quad (14)$$

having the analogous properties of the classical function; hence, for instance, *the property* that

$$|s| = \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{A}^+(X) \iff s = \pm \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathcal{A}(X).$$

Now, the proof of the following theorem is based on the classical patterns, see e.g. [15], [1], [2], [14], within, of course, the present *sheaf-theoretic context*, for which we refer to [9], p. 316, Definition 8.1, along with p. 335 ff. So, we now have the following basic result.

Theorem 3.1 Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, |\cdot|)$ be an ordered \mathbb{R} -algebraized space, endowed with an *absolute value*, and \mathcal{E} the standard *free \mathcal{A} -module*, \mathcal{A}^n , of rank n on X .

Moreover let $\omega : \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a *non-zero skew-symmetric and non-degenerate \mathcal{A} -bilinear sheaf morphism*. Then, there exists an $\mathcal{A}(U)$ -basis of $\mathcal{A}^n(U)$, say,

$$s_1^U, \dots, s_m^U, t_1^U, \dots, t_m^U,$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} n &= 2m \\ \omega(s_i^U, s_j^U) &= 0 = \omega(t_i^U, t_j^U) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq m \\ \omega(s_i^U, t_j^U) &= \delta_{ij}^U \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. With no loss of generality, we assume that $U = X$. Therefore, since $\mathcal{A}^n \neq \{0\}$ (we already assumed that $\mathbb{C} \equiv \mathbb{C}_X \subseteq \mathcal{A}$), there exists an element

$$0 \neq s_1 \in \mathcal{A}^n(X) \cong \mathcal{A}(X)^n$$

(take e.g. an element from the *canonical basis* of (sections) of $\mathcal{A}^n(X) \cong \mathcal{A}(X)^n$, see [9], p. 123). Next, consider the “ $\mathcal{A}(X)$ -line of s_1 ”, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{A}(X)[s_1] := \{\alpha s_1 \in \mathcal{A}^n(X) : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}(X)\},$$

which, by an obvious *abuse of notation*, we may still denote, for convenience, just, by

$$\mathcal{A}(s_1) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^n(X).$$

Now, it is also clear that there exists an element

$$0 \neq \bar{t}_1 \in \mathcal{A}^n(X) \setminus \mathcal{A}(s_1)$$

(just, take e.g. another element of the previously considered basis of $\mathcal{A}^n(X)$, *different from s_1*). Furthermore, due to the hypothesis concerning s_1, \bar{t}_1 , and as well as, to that one for ω , one obtains that (see Lemma 3.1)

$$\omega(s_1, \bar{t}_1)(x) \neq 0_x \in \mathcal{A}_x,$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence, based also on our hypothesis for \mathcal{A} , that is, the existence of the sheaf morphism $|\cdot| : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^+ \equiv \mathcal{P}$, we also obtain that,

$$|\omega(s_1, \bar{t}_1)| > 0,$$

that is the section $|\omega(s_1, \bar{t}_1)| \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ is *strictly positive*; therefore, by assumption for (X, \mathcal{A}) , see the inverse-positive-section condition above, it is also invertible in $\mathcal{A}(X)$. Hence taking further $t_1 := u^{-1}\bar{t}_1$, with $u \equiv |\omega(s_1, \bar{t}_1)| \in \mathcal{A}(X)$, one gets

$$|\omega(s_1, t_1)| = 1,$$

which implies that

$$\omega(s_1, t_1) = \pm 1 \in \mathcal{A}(X).$$

Now, let us consider

$$S_1 := [s_1, t_1],$$

that is, the “*flag*” (alias, “ $\mathcal{A}(X)$ -*plane*”), defined by s_1 and t_1 , in $\mathcal{A}^n(X)$, in effect, an $\mathcal{A}(X)$ -*module*, generated by s_1 , and t_1 , along with its “*orthogonal complement*” in $\mathcal{A}^n(X)$, i.e.,

$$S_1^\perp \equiv T_1 := \{v \in \mathcal{A}^n(X) : \omega(v, z) = 0, \text{ for all } z \in S_1\}.$$

Now, we first remark that s_1, t_1 are also “*free generators*” of S_1 , for, if $t_1 = \alpha s_1$, then

$$\pm 1 = \omega(s_1, t_1) = \omega(s_1, \alpha s_1) = \alpha \cdot \omega(s_1, s_1) = 0,$$

a *contradiction*. That is, $\{s_1, t_1\}$ yields actually an $\mathcal{A}(X)$ -*basis of the flag* S_1 . Furthermore, we prove that:

- (i) $S_1 \cap T_1 = \{0\}$, and
- (ii) $S_1 + T_1 = \mathcal{A}^n(X)$.

Indeed, (i) if $z \equiv \alpha s_1 + \beta t_1 \in S_1 \cap T_1$, with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}(X)$, one gets, by the very definition of S_1, T_1 , and the fact that $\omega(s_1, t_1) = 1$, that,

$$\omega(z, s_1) = \beta = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(z, t_1) = \alpha = 0,$$

that is, $z = 0$, which proves (i). On the other hand, (ii) for every $z \in \mathcal{A}^n(X)$, one has,

$$z = (-\omega(z, s_1)t_1 + \omega(z, t_1)s_1) + (z + \omega(z, s_1)t_1 - \omega(z, t_1)s_1),$$

with

$$-\omega(z, s_1)t_1 + \omega(z, t_1)s_1 \in S_1,$$

and

$$z + \omega(z, s_1)t_1 - \omega(z, t_1)s_1 \in T_1.$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{A}^n(X) = S_1 \oplus T_1.$$

Now, in a manner similar to the manner we found the elements $s_1, t_1 \in S_1$ with $\omega(s_1, t_1) = 1$, we conclude the existence of *sections* $s_2, t_2 \in T_1 \setminus \{0\}$, such that

$$\omega(s_2, t_2) = 1 \in \mathcal{A}(X);$$

while we further consider the flag

$$S_2 := [s_2, t_2],$$

along with

$$T_2 \equiv S_2^\perp := \{v \in \mathcal{A}^n(X) : \omega(v, z) = 0, z \in S_2\}.$$

Yet, we still prove in a similar way, as before, that

$$T_1 = S_2 \oplus T_2,$$

so that one obtains,

$$\mathcal{A}^n(X) = S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus T_2,$$

and so on. Now, the above process stops eventually, due to the *finite rank* of $\mathcal{A}^n(X)$, so that one finally obtains

$$\mathcal{A}^n(X) = S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus \dots \oplus S_m$$

with the generators, s_i, t_i , of S_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) having the property that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(s_i, s_j) &= 0 = \omega(t_i, t_j) \\ \omega(s_i, t_j) &= \delta_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the proof is finished. \square

In the proof of the previous Theorem 3.1, one still essentially applies the following standard fact of the classical theory, which for convenience we also formulate, within the present context:

Lemma 3.1 Let \mathcal{E} be a free \mathcal{A} -module of rank $n \in \mathbb{N}$ on a topological space X . Then, a family $\{s_i\}_{i \in I}$ of *global sections* of \mathcal{E} , i.e., $\{s_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(X)$, is $\mathcal{A}(X)$ -linearly independent if, and only if, the relation

$$\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i s_i = 0,$$

with $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{A}(X)$, having finite support, implies $\alpha_i = 0$, for any $i \in I$.

For the proof of Lemma 3.1, one can follow, for instance, the analogous argument in [4], Chap II; p. 25, remarks after Definition 10. Yet, for convenience, we recall that the term “*finite support*” for the family $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{A}(X)$, means that $\alpha_i \neq 0$, *only for finitely many indices* $i \in I$, and the rest of the α_i being 0; so that the *sum* used above acquires then a meaning.

When the skew-symmetric \mathcal{A} -bilinear sheaf morphism ω is not necessarily non-degenerate, then in place of Theorem 3.1, we have the theorem below. Let us first give the following definition:

Definition 3.3 Let $\omega \equiv (\omega^U) : \mathcal{A}^n \oplus \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be an \mathcal{A} -bilinear morphism on the standard free \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{A}^n . The *rank* of ω is the rank of the matrix (ω_{ij}^U) , with $\omega_{ij}^U = \omega^U(\varepsilon_i^U, \varepsilon_j^U)$, where $\{\varepsilon_i^U\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is the *Kronecker gauge* of $\mathcal{A}^n(U)$, and U is any *open subset* of X .

By the methods of Linear Algebra, one can easily show that the rank of ω is independent of the basis considered.

Note the notation $\omega \equiv (\omega^U)$ instead of the usual $\omega \equiv (\omega_U)$; the reason being that we want to avoid, in the sequel, too many sub-indices.

Theorem 3.2 Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, |\cdot|)$ and \mathcal{E} be as in Theorem 3.1. Let $\omega : \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a skew-symmetric \mathcal{A} -bilinear sheaf morphism of rank r . Then, $r = 2m$, for some integer m , and for every $x \in X$ there are an open neighborhood $U \subseteq X$ of x and a basis

$$s_1^U, \dots, s_n^U \in \mathcal{A}^n(U) = \mathcal{A}(U)^n$$

such that the matrix of $\omega^U \equiv \omega_U$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{I}_m & 0 \\ -\mathbf{I}_m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where ω_U is the component of ω relative to U .

Proof. Fix $x \in X$. Because of the continuity of ω_y , $y \in X$, and of the fact that the rank of ω is r , there exist an open neighborhood U_1 of x and $s_1^{U_1}, \bar{s}_{m+1}^{U_1} \in \mathcal{A}^n(U_1)$ such that

$$\omega^{U_1}(s_1^{U_1}, \bar{s}_{m+1}^{U_1})(y) \neq 0_y \in \mathcal{A}_y,$$

for all $y \in U_1$. Now, put $t_{1,m+1}^{U_1} := \omega^{U_1}(s_1^{U_1}, \bar{s}_{m+1}^{U_1}) \in \mathcal{A}(U_1)$. Based on our hypothesis for \mathcal{A} , we have that $u_{1,m+1} := |t_{1,m+1}^{U_1}| > 0$; therefore by the inverse-positive-section condition, $u_{1,m+1} \in \mathcal{A}^\bullet(U_1) = \mathcal{A}(U_1)^\bullet$. Hence, taking further $s_{m+1}^{U_1} = u_{1,m+1}^{-1} \bar{s}_{m+1}^{U_1}$, one gets

$$|\omega^{U_1}(s_1^{U_1}, s_{m+1}^{U_1})| = 1.$$

Assuming that $|\omega^{U_1}(s_1^{U_1}, s_{m+1}^{U_1})| = 1_{U_1} =: 1$, the matrix of ω^{U_1} in the $\mathcal{A}(U_1)$ -module $S_1^{U_1} := [s_1^{U_1}, s_{m+1}^{U_1}]$, that is, the $\mathcal{A}(U_1)$ -module spanned by $s_1^{U_1}$ and $s_{m+1}^{U_1}$, is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $(S_1^{U_1})^\perp$ be the ω^{U_1} -orthogonal complement of $S_1^{U_1}$ in $\mathcal{A}^n(U_1)$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, one shows that

$$\mathcal{A}^n(U_1) = S_1^{U_1} \oplus (S_1^{U_1})^\perp.$$

Now, we repeat the process on $(S_1^{U_1})^\perp$ to get an open neighborhood $U_2 \subseteq X$ of x , and $s_2^{U_2}$ and $s_{m+2}^{U_2}$ such that $\omega^{U_2}(s_2^{U_2}, s_{m+2}^{U_2}) = 1$ and continue inductively.

Eventually, this process will stop because the rank of ω is finite. Certainly by taking $U = \cap_{i=1}^m U_i$, where $r = 2m$, one sees that ω^U has the stated matrix in the basis $\{s_1^U, \dots, s_n^U\}$. \square

Note that if we denote by $\{(s_i^U)^*\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ the dual basis of $\{s_i^U\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$, then

$$\omega^U = \sum_{i=1}^m (s_i^U)^* \wedge (s_{m+i}^U)^*. \quad (15)$$

Corollary 3.1 Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$ be an ordered \mathbb{R} -algebraized space such that $\mathcal{P}^* \subseteq \mathcal{A}^\bullet$. Let \mathcal{E} be a vector sheaf of rank n on X , and $\omega : \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ a skew-symmetric and non-degenerate \mathcal{A} -bilinear morphism. Then, given an open neighborhood U of $x \in X$ such that $\mathcal{E}|_U = \mathcal{A}^n|_U$, where $x \in X$ is arbitrary, there exists a basis, $s_1^U, \dots, s_m^U, t_1^U, \dots, t_m^U$, of $\mathcal{A}^n(U)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} n &= 2m \\ \omega^U(s_i^U, s_j^U) &= 0 = \omega^U(t_i^U, t_j^U) && \text{for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq m \\ \omega^U(s_i^U, t_j^U) &= \delta_{ij}^U && \text{for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

The pair (\mathcal{E}, ω) is called a **locally free symplectic \mathcal{A} -module**, alias **symplectic vector sheaf**, of rank n , on X .

Proof. One proceeds in the same manner as for the proof of Theorem 3.1, the small nuance being that one works locally. \square

Definition 3.4 Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$ be an ordered \mathbb{R} -algebraized space, satisfying the inverse-positive-section condition. The non-degenerate skew-symmetric \mathcal{A} -bilinear morphism $\omega : \mathcal{A}^n \oplus \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a **linear symplectic \mathcal{A} -structure** on the standard free \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{A}^n , and the pair (\mathcal{A}^n, ω) is called a **(standard) free symplectic \mathcal{A} -module**. More generally, let \mathcal{E} be an \mathcal{A} -module on X , and $\omega : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ a non-degenerate, skew-symmetric \mathcal{A} -bilinear morphism. Then, the pair (\mathcal{E}, ω) is called a **symplectic \mathcal{A} -module** on X .

With respect to the notation of Theorem 3.1, the basis, $s_1, \dots, s_m, t_1, \dots, t_m$, is called a **symplectic basis** of the standard symplectic free \mathcal{A} -module (\mathcal{A}^n, ω) . It is clear that, with respect to a symplectic basis, the matrix representing ω is, as in the classical case, given by

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{I}_n \\ -\mathbf{I}_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

Example 3.1 Let \mathcal{E} be a vector sheaf of rank n on X , and consider the direct sum $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E}^*$. The \mathcal{A} -bilinear morphism

$$\omega : (\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E}^*) \oplus (\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{A},$$

defined by

$$\omega^U((s_1^U, \alpha_1^U), (s_2^U, \alpha_2^U)) = \alpha_2^U(s_1^U) - \alpha_1^U(s_2^U),$$

where U is a local gauge of \mathcal{E} , and $s_i^U \in \mathcal{E}(U) = \mathcal{A}^n(U) = \mathcal{A}(U)^n$ and $\alpha_i^U \in \mathcal{E}^*(U) = (\mathcal{A}^n)^*(U) = \mathcal{A}^n(U)$, is a symplectic \mathcal{A} -morphism. This example shows that any vector sheaf \mathcal{F} of *even* rank admits a symplectic \mathcal{A} -structure, for one has

$$\mathcal{F}|_U = \mathcal{A}^{2n}|_U = \mathcal{A}^n|_U \oplus \mathcal{A}^n|_U = \mathcal{A}^n|_U \oplus (\mathcal{A}^n)^*|_U,$$

where U is a local gauge of \mathcal{F} . For the last equality of the previous line, see [[9], relation (3.14), p.122].

We now would like to show that a useful criterion for non-degeneracy is also available in this setting. To this effect, we suppose as usual that our *ordered algebraized space* $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$ is enriched with absolute value, see (14), and *square root*; the latter means a *morphism of (complete) presheaves*

$$\sqrt{\cdot} : \Gamma(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{P}), \quad s \longmapsto \sqrt{s}, \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathcal{P}(U),$$

with U running over all the open subsets of X . In addition, we assume that the pair (\mathcal{A}, ρ) is a *Riemann \mathcal{A} -module* on X , see [9], p. 320. We assume as well that $\bar{\rho} \equiv \rho^n$ (cf. [9], p. 324) is the extension of ρ to the standard free \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{A}^n on X .

Definition 3.5 Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$ and $\bar{\rho}$ be as above, and $\{s_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^n(X) = \mathcal{A}(X)^n$ be a basis of $\mathcal{A}^n(X)$. Denoting by

$$\bigwedge^n (\mathcal{A}^n)^*$$

the n -th *exterior power* of the \mathcal{A} -module $(\mathcal{A}^n)^*$, see [9], p. 307, the *section*

$$\Omega = \sqrt{|\det(\bar{\rho}(s_i, s_j))|} s_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge s_n^* \in (\Lambda^n (\mathcal{A}^n)^*)(X), \quad (17)$$

where $\{s_i^*\}_{1 \leq i \leq n} \subseteq (\mathcal{A}^n)^*(X)$ is the dual \mathcal{A} -basis of $\{s_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$, is called a **volume element of the \mathcal{A} -metric $\bar{\rho}$** . In the sequel, for the sake of brevity, the scaling factor $\sqrt{|\det(\bar{\rho}(s_1, s_j))|}$, above, will be denoted by $\sqrt{|\bar{\rho}(s)|} \equiv \sqrt{|\bar{\rho}|}$, where $s \equiv \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$.

It is clear that for an orthonormal gauge $\{s_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ of $\mathcal{A}^n(X)$ (see [9], p. 340), relation (17) becomes

$$\Omega = s_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge s_n^*.$$

Like in the classical case, we have:

Corollary 3.2 Let $\omega : \mathcal{A}^n \oplus \mathcal{A}^n \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a \mathcal{A} -bilinear and skew-symmetric \mathcal{A} -morphism on the standard free \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{A}^n , where (X, \mathcal{A}) is an enriched (with square root and absolute value) ordered algebraized space and (\mathcal{A}, ρ) a Riemannian \mathcal{A} -module. Then, ω is *non-degenerate* if and only if $n = 2m$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\omega^m = \omega \wedge \dots \wedge \omega$ is a *volume element* on \mathcal{A}^n .

Note that

$$\omega^m \equiv (\omega^{U^m}) := (\omega^U \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^U).$$

Therefore, that ω^m is a volume element on \mathcal{A}^n means that $\omega^U \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^U$ is a volume element on $\mathcal{A}^n(U)$, for every open $U \subseteq X$.

Proof. We refer to the proof in Abraham-Marsden [[1], p. 165] for detail.

Suppose that ω is non-degenerate. By Theorem 3.1, $n = 2m$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By virtue of Equation (15) and of induction, one sees that

$$\omega^{U^m} = m!(-1)^{[m/2]} s_1^{U^*} \wedge \dots \wedge s_{2m}^{U^*},$$

where $[m/2]$ is the largest integer in $m/2$. Thus, assuming that $\sqrt{|\bar{\rho}|} = m!(-1)^{[m/2]}$, ω^{U^m} is a volume. The converse is clear. \square

Following Abraham-Marsden [[1], p. 167], we set that given a free symplectic \mathcal{A} -module (\mathcal{A}^n, ω) , the volume element

$$\Omega_\omega = \frac{(-1)^{[m/2]}}{m!} \omega^m$$

defines an **orientation** on \mathcal{A}^n .

In all that precedes, by the \mathcal{A} -bilinear morphism $\omega : \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$, we meant the map on sections of the corresponding \mathcal{A} -modules. So, using the presheaf $(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}^n), \sigma_V^U)$ of sections of the free \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{A}^n of Theorem 3.1, with U ranging over the topology \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{A}^n , we adopt the following classical terminology. Let S be an $A(X)$ -submodule of the $A(X)$ -module $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^n)$. Then,

- S is called *symplectic* if $\omega|_S$ is non-degenerate. For example, S_1 , in the proof of Theorem 3.1, is symplectic.
- S is called *isotropic* if $\omega|_S \equiv 0$. For instance, the span of s_1, s_2 , in Theorem 3.1 is isotropic.

Definition 3.6 Let (\mathcal{E}, ω) and (\mathcal{E}', ω') be symplectic \mathcal{A} -modules on the same topological space X . An \mathcal{A} -morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}'$ is called **symplectic** if

$$\varphi^* \omega' := \omega' \circ (\varphi \times \varphi) = \omega, \tag{18}$$

that is, for any $s, t \in \mathcal{E}(U)$, $U \subseteq X$ open,

$$(\varphi^* \omega')(s, t) := \omega'(\varphi(s), \varphi(t)) = \omega(s, t). \quad (19)$$

A symplectic \mathcal{A} -isomorphism is called an **\mathcal{A} -symplectomorphism**. Symplectic \mathcal{A} -modules (\mathcal{E}, ω) and (\mathcal{E}', ω') are called \mathcal{A} -symplectomorphic if there is an \mathcal{A} -symplectomorphism φ between them.

Strictly speaking, Equations (19) and (18) should be written as follows:

$$(\varphi_U^* \omega'_U)(s, t) := \omega'_U(\varphi_U(s), \varphi_U(t)) = \omega_U(s, t),$$

and

$$\varphi_U^*(\omega'_U) := \omega'_U \circ (\varphi_U \times \varphi_U) = \omega_U,$$

respectively, where U varies over the topology of X .

It is clear that if (\mathcal{E}, ω) and (\mathcal{E}', ω') are symplectomorphic, then they are of the same rank, and their rank is an even positive integer. It is also clear that the set of symplectic \mathcal{A} -modules, defined over the same topological space, can be partitioned into equivalence classes. Furthermore, a *symplectic \mathcal{A} -morphism* is necessarily *injective*, since if $\varphi := (\varphi_V)_{X \supseteq V, \text{open}}$ and $\varphi_V(s) = 0$, where $s \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{E})$, then necessarily $s = 0$, for ω is non-degenerate.

Lemma 3.2 Let \mathcal{A} be a unital \mathbb{C} -algebra sheaf on a topological space X , and let

$$\mathcal{S}p(\mathcal{E}, \omega) \equiv \mathcal{S}p \mathcal{E}$$

be the sheaf on X , generated by the presheaf

$$U \mapsto (\mathcal{S}p \mathcal{E})(U), \quad (20)$$

where U varies over the topology of X , such that for every open set $U \subseteq X$, $(\mathcal{S}p \mathcal{E})(U)$ is the group (under composition) of all $\mathcal{A}|_U$ -symplectomorphisms

$$(\mathcal{E}|_U, \omega|_U \equiv \omega) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{E}|_U, \omega|_U \equiv \omega).$$

Then, the correspondence, given by (20), yields a complete presheaf of groups on X ; so that one obtains

$$(\mathcal{S}p \mathcal{E})(U) = (\mathcal{S}p \mathcal{E})(U),$$

up to a group isomorphism, for every open set $U \subseteq X$. The sheaf $\mathcal{S}p \mathcal{E}$ is called the **symplectic group sheaf**, or even **group sheaf of symplectomorphisms** of \mathcal{E} (in fact, of (\mathcal{E}, ω)) on X .

Proof. We first show that for all open set $U \subseteq X$, $(Sp \mathcal{E})(U)$ is a group. In fact, let us consider the subset

$$GL_{\mathcal{A}|_U}(\mathcal{E}|_U, \mathcal{E}|_U) \subseteq Hom_{\mathcal{A}|_U}(\mathcal{E}|_U, \mathcal{E}|_U)$$

of all invertible elements of the $\mathcal{A}(U)$ -module $Hom_{\mathcal{A}|_U}(\mathcal{E}|_U, \mathcal{E}|_U)$. For $\mathcal{A}|_U$ -morphisms $\varphi, \psi \in GL_{\mathcal{A}|_U}(\mathcal{E}|_U, \mathcal{E}|_U)$, we define

$$\varphi \circ \psi = (\varphi_V \circ \psi_V)_{U \supseteq V, open},$$

and

$$\varphi^{-1} = (\varphi_V^{-1})_{U \supseteq V, open}.$$

It is easy to see that under the above law of composition $GL_{\mathcal{A}|_U}(\mathcal{E}|_U, \mathcal{E}|_U)$ forms a group. Therefore, in order to show that $(Sp \mathcal{E})(U)$, where U is an open subset of X , is a group, we need only show that if $\varphi, \psi \in (Sp \mathcal{E})(U)$, then $\varphi \circ \psi$ and $\varphi^{-1} \in (Sp \mathcal{E})(U)$. To this end, let V be an open subset of U . Then, we have

$$(\varphi_V \circ \psi_V)^*(\omega) = (\psi_V^* \circ \varphi_V^*)(\omega) = \psi_V^*(\varphi_V^*(\omega)) = \psi_V^*(\omega) = \omega,$$

from which we deduce that $\varphi \circ \psi \in (Sp \mathcal{E})(U)$.

On the other hand, one also obtains

$$(\varphi_V^{-1})^*(\omega) = (\varphi_V^{-1})^*(\varphi_V^*(\omega)) = (\varphi_V \circ \varphi_V^{-1})^*(\omega) = \omega,$$

so that $\varphi^{-1} \in (Sp \mathcal{E})(U)$, as well.

Let us now show that (20) yields a complete presheaf of groups. It is easy to see that Correspondence (20), along with the obvious restriction maps, defines a presheaf of groups on X . Thus, we just prove that the presheaf of groups defined, on X , by (20) is complete.

Indeed, let U be an open subset of X and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ an open covering of U ; let $\varphi, \psi \in (Sp \mathcal{E})(U)$ such that

$$\rho_{U_\alpha}^U(\varphi) \equiv \varphi_{U_\alpha} := \varphi_\alpha = \psi_\alpha =: \psi_{U_\alpha} \equiv \rho_{U_\alpha}^U(\psi),$$

for all $\alpha \in I$, and where the $\rho_{U_\alpha}^U$ are the restriction maps characterizing the presheaf $((Sp \mathcal{E})(U), V)$. Since

$$(Sp \mathcal{E})(U) \subseteq GL_{\mathcal{A}|_U}(\mathcal{E}|_U, \mathcal{E}|_U), \quad GL_{\mathcal{A}|_U}(\mathcal{E}|_U, \mathcal{E}|_U) \subseteq Hom_{\mathcal{A}|_U}(\mathcal{E}|_U, \mathcal{E}|_U),$$

and the $\{\rho_{U_\alpha}^U\}_{\alpha \in I}$ are also the restriction maps making the diagram

$$U \longmapsto Hom_{\mathcal{A}|_U}(\mathcal{E}|_U, \mathcal{E}|_U) \tag{21}$$

into a presheaf, it follows that $\varphi = \psi$. So the presheaf on X , given by (20), satisfies Condition (S1) of presheaves, see [9], p. 46.

For axiom (S2), see [9], p. 47, let

$$(\varphi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \in \prod_{\alpha \in I} (\mathcal{S}p \mathcal{E})(U_\alpha) \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in I} \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}|_{U_\alpha}}(\mathcal{E}|_{U_\alpha}, \mathcal{E}|_{U_\alpha})$$

be such that

$$\rho_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}^{U_\alpha}(\varphi_\alpha) \equiv \varphi_\alpha|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta} = \varphi_\beta|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta} \equiv \rho_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}^{U_\beta}(\varphi_\beta)$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in I$, with $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$. Hence, since (21) yields a complete presheaf, there exists an element $\varphi \in \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}|_U}(\mathcal{E}|_U, \mathcal{E}|_U)$ such that one has

$$\varphi|_{U_\alpha} = \varphi_\alpha, \quad \alpha \in I.$$

It only remains to show that

$$\varphi^* \omega = \omega,$$

where $\omega \equiv \omega|_U$ is a symplectic structure on $\mathcal{E}|_U$.

To this end, we first observe that $\varphi^* \omega, \omega \in \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}|_U}((\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E})|_U, \mathcal{A}|_U)$, with

$$U \longmapsto \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}|_U}((\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E})|_U, \mathcal{A}|_U)$$

defining a complete presheaf of \mathcal{A} -modules on X , see [9], p. 134. But,

$$\varphi^* \omega|_{U_\alpha} = (\varphi|_{U_\alpha})^* \omega|_{U_\alpha} = \varphi_\alpha^* \omega|_{U_\alpha} = \omega|_{U_\alpha},$$

therefore

$$\varphi^* \omega = \omega,$$

as desired. \square

On the other hand, the preceding notion of *symplectic sheaf of groups* can also be defined *through* an application of the *isomorphism* Γ , which is given in (12). Namely, since by (12), $\varphi \equiv (\varphi_U) : \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ is an \mathcal{A} -symplectomorphism *if and only if* the corresponding \mathcal{A} -morphism is symplectomorphic, the symplectic sheaf of groups can be viewed as consisting of all *\mathcal{A} -symplectomorphisms*

$$\varphi : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}.$$

Now, suppose that \mathcal{E} is the *standard free \mathcal{A} -module* \mathcal{A}^{2n} of rank $2n$, and let $\omega : \mathcal{A}^{2n} \oplus \mathcal{A}^{2n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a *skew-symmetric, non-degenerate \mathcal{A} -bilinear morphism*. Let $\{s_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2n}$ be a *basis* of $\mathcal{A}^{2n}(X)$ such that *Theorem 3.1 holds*, and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}p \mathcal{A}^{2n}(X)$. Let's consider the *full matrix algebra sheaf* M_{2n} (see [9], p. 280) induced by the presheaf

$$U \longmapsto M_{2n}(\mathcal{A}(U)),$$

where $U \subseteq X$ is *open*, and the range, $M_{2n}(\mathcal{A}(U))$, of the preceding map consists of all $2n \times 2n$ -matrices with entries in the \mathbb{C} -algebra (*unital and commutative*)

$$\mathcal{A}(U) \equiv \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}).$$

Since

$$\mathcal{S}p \mathcal{A}^{2n}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^{2n}, \mathcal{A}^{2n})(X),$$

and by Statement 3.17, [9], p. 293,

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^{2n}, \mathcal{A}^{2n})(X) = M_{2n}(\mathcal{A}(X)) = M_{2n}(\mathcal{A})(X),$$

it follows that if M is the *matrix representing* $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}p \mathcal{A}^{2n}(X)$ with respect to the basis $\{s_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2n}$ above, then Equation (18) becomes in matrix form

$${}^t M J M = J, \tag{22}$$

where J is the matrix (16).

From (22), we deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3 *The determinant of an \mathcal{A} -symplectomorphism*

$$\varphi : (\mathcal{A}^{2n}, \omega) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^{2n}, \omega),$$

where \mathcal{A} is a *unital and commutative \mathbb{C} -algebra sheaf* on a topological space X , is the *global identity section* $1 \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^{2n})$. More explicitly, given M as the matrix representing the \mathcal{A} -symplectomorphism φ , as in the paragraph preceding the corollary, one has

$$\overline{\partial \text{et}}(M) = 1 \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}^{2n}). \tag{23}$$

We refer to [9], p. 294, for the definition of the determinant morphisms ∂et and $\overline{\partial \text{et}}$.

4 The Characteristic Polynomial Section

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be *sheaves of algebras* on a topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) , and let $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a sheaf morphism such that, if

$$\overline{\varphi} \equiv (\overline{\varphi}_U)_{U \in \mathcal{T}} : \Gamma(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{B})$$

is the corresponding morphism between the associated complete presheaves of sections $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ and $\Gamma(\mathcal{B})$, then, for all $U \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$\overline{\varphi}_U(\mathcal{A}(U)) \subseteq C(\mathcal{B}(U)), \tag{24}$$

where $C(\mathcal{B}(U))$ stands for the center of the ring $\mathcal{B}(U)$, cf. [[7], p.121]. Explicitly, Equation (24) means that

$$\overline{\varphi}_U(s)t = t\overline{\varphi}_U(s)$$

for all $s \in \mathcal{A}(U)$ and $t \in \mathcal{B}(U)$. Now, given $s \in \mathcal{A}(U)$ and $t \in \mathcal{B}(U)$, with U an open set in X , the assignment

$$(s, t) \longmapsto \overline{\varphi}_U(s)t$$

makes $\mathcal{B}(U)$ into a module over $\mathcal{A}(U)$. What more is that $\mathcal{B}(U)$ is an algebra over $\mathcal{A}(U)$; in effect,

$$\overline{\varphi}_U(s + s')t = \overline{\varphi}_U(s)t + \overline{\varphi}_U(s')t$$

and

$$\overline{\varphi}_U(s)(t + t') = \overline{\varphi}_U(s)t + \overline{\varphi}_U(s)t'$$

for all $s, s' \in \mathcal{A}(U)$ and $t, t' \in \mathcal{B}(U)$. On the other hand, since the sheafification functor preserves algebraic structures, cf. [[9](1.54), p.101], \mathcal{B} can be viewed as an \mathcal{A} -algebra sheaf. The \mathcal{A} -algebra sheaf \mathcal{B} thus obtained is called an **\mathcal{A} -algebra sheaf with respect to the morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$** , above. More accurately, we may yet say that \mathcal{B} is an $\varphi(\mathcal{A})$ -**algebra sheaf**, with $\varphi(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, as above. Equivalently, by a $\varphi(\mathcal{A})$ -**algebra sheaf** (or $\varphi(\mathcal{A})$ -**algebra**, as a shorthand) , we shall always mean a *morphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of sheaves of algebras, as above*.

Now, let \mathcal{A} be a sheaf of unital commutative \mathbb{C} -algebras, \mathcal{E} an \mathcal{A} -module, and \mathcal{R} a $\varphi(\mathcal{A})$ -algebra. A **representation** of \mathcal{R} in \mathcal{E} is an \mathcal{A} -morphism

$$\Theta : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}),$$

which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R} & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}) \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & \mathcal{A} & \end{array}$$

commutative; the morphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})$ in the above diagram is given by

$$a \longmapsto aI|_U = aI_U = (a_V I_V)_{U \supseteq V, \text{open}},$$

where $a \in \mathcal{A}(U)$ and $I_U : \mathcal{E}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(U)$ with U open in X , denotes the identity $\mathcal{A}(U)$ -morphism. Besides, for all open set V in U , $a_V \in \mathcal{A}(V)$, and $s \in \mathcal{E}(V)$,

$$(a_V I_V)(s) = a_V s \in \mathcal{E}(V).$$

We observe that for all open $U \subseteq X$, $\mathcal{E}(U)$ may be viewed as a *module* over $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}|_U}(\mathcal{E}|_U, \mathcal{E}|_U)$. Indeed, let $f \equiv (f_V) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}|_U}(\mathcal{E}|_U, \mathcal{E}|_U)$, where V runs over the open subsets of U , and $s \in \mathcal{E}(U)$. The action

$$(f, s) \longmapsto f_U(s)$$

defines a $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}|_U}(\mathcal{E}|_U, \mathcal{E}|_U)$ -module structure on $\mathcal{E}(U)$, as was to be shown. So, by means of the sheafification process, \mathcal{E} may be viewed as an $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}$ -module. Furthermore, given a representation $\Theta : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}$ of a $\varphi(\mathcal{A})$ -algebra sheaf \mathcal{R} in \mathcal{E} , it turns out that \mathcal{E} may be viewed as an \mathcal{R} -module, with the operation of $\mathcal{R}(U)$ on $\mathcal{E}(U)$ being given by

$$(s, e) \mapsto \Theta_U(s)e \equiv \Theta_U(s)(e)$$

for $s \in \mathcal{R}(U)$ and $e \in \mathcal{E}(U)$. Like in [7], p.554, we write se instead of the more accurate notation $\Theta_U(s)(e)$.

Definition 4.1 Let \mathcal{B} be a *sheaf of \mathbb{C} -algebras* over a topological space X , and \mathcal{A} a subsheaf of \mathcal{B} . For all open set U in X , let

$$\mathcal{A}(U)[t]$$

denote the *ring of polynomials*, in the variable t , whose *coefficients* are the (*local sections*) of \mathcal{A} on U . Similarly, let

$$\mathcal{A}(U)[s],$$

where $s \in \mathcal{B}(U)$, denote the subring of $\mathcal{B}(U)$ of all *polynomial values* $p(s)$, with $p \in \mathcal{A}(U)[t]$. A *local section* $s \in \mathcal{B}(U)$ is called **transcendental** over $\mathcal{A}(U)$ if the evaluation map

$$\mathcal{A}(U)[t] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(U)[s], \quad p \mapsto p(s)$$

is an *isomorphism*.

Now, suppose that \mathcal{A} is a *sheaf of unital commutative of \mathbb{C} -algebras* on the topological space X . As above, we let $\mathcal{A}(U)[t]$, where $U \subseteq X$ is open, be the polynomial ring. It is clear that the correspondence

$$U \mapsto \mathcal{A}(U)[t], \tag{25}$$

where $U \subseteq X$ is *open*, yields a *complete presheaf of \mathcal{A} -modules on X* . So, (25) defines a *complete $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ -presheaf on X* . The sheaf generated, on X , by the complete presheaf defined by (25) is called the **sheaf of germs of polynomials on $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$** , and is denoted by

$$\mathcal{A}[t],$$

where t is a variable. It is easy to verify that the sheaf $\mathcal{A}[t]$ of germs of polynomials is an *\mathcal{A} -module on X* . Thus, based on [9], Proposition 11.1, p. 51, one obtains, for every open set $U \subseteq X$,

$$\mathcal{A}[t](U) = \mathcal{A}(U)[t],$$

up to an $\mathcal{A}(U)$ -isomorphism.

Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be an ordered algebraized space, equipped with the *inverse-positive-section condition*, \mathcal{E} a vector sheaf of rank n , and $\varphi \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}$. Let t be transcendental over $\mathcal{A}(U)$, with U open in X , and

$$\mathcal{A}(U)[t] \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(U)[\varphi_U] \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(U)}(\mathcal{E}(U), \mathcal{E}(U))$$

be a representation of the polynomial ring $\mathcal{A}(U)[t]$ in $\mathcal{E}(U)$. Like in [7], p. 561, we have for every *open* set $U \subseteq X$, a homomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}(U)[t] \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(U)[\varphi_U],$$

which is obtained by *substituting* φ_U for t in *polynomials*. The $\mathcal{A}(U)$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}(U)[\varphi_U]$ is the *subalgebra* of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}(U)}\mathcal{E}(U)$, generated by φ_U , and is *commutative* because

$$\varphi_U^p \circ \varphi_U^q = \varphi_U^q \circ \varphi_U^p,$$

for all $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, for all $s \in \mathcal{E}(U)$ and $f(t) \in \mathcal{A}(U)[t]$, where U is, as usual, an *open subset* of X , we put

$$f(t)s \equiv f(t)(s) := f(\varphi_U)(s) \equiv f(\varphi_U)s;$$

consequently $\mathcal{E}(U)$ turns out to be a *module* over $\mathcal{A}(U)[t]$. Let M_U be any $n \times n$ *matrix* in $\mathcal{A}(U)$ (for instance the matrix representing the $\mathcal{A}(U)$ -endomorphism φ_U relative to a *canonical basis* $\{e_i^U\}$ of $\mathcal{E}(U)$, where U is a local gauge of the vector sheaf \mathcal{E} , and $e_i^U = \varepsilon_i^U \circ \varphi_i^U$, with φ^U being the $\mathcal{A}|_U$ -isomorphism (2). The basis $\{e_i^U\}$ is called a *canonical gauge* of $\mathcal{E}(U)$.) We define the **characteristic polynomial section** $P_{M_U}(t)$ of M_U or of φ_U to be the *determinant*

$$\overline{\partial \text{et}}_U(tI_U - M_U) := \det_U(tI_U - M_U) \in \mathcal{A}(U)[t],$$

where I_U is the unit $n \times n$ -matrix (here, $1 := 1_U$ is the (local) identity section over U). (We refer to [9], pp 294-298, for the sheaf-theoretic notation of the determinant morphism.) Next, we decree that the **characteristic polynomial of an endomorphism** $\varphi \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}$ is the endomorphism $P_\varphi(t) \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}$, given by

$$P_\varphi(t) \equiv (P_{\varphi_U}(t)) = (\det_U(tI_U - M_U)),$$

where M_U represents $\varphi_U \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}(U)}\mathcal{E}(U)$ with respect to the local gauge $\{e_i^U\}$ of $\mathcal{E}(U)$. Let

$$M_x = M_U(x);$$

its characteristic polynomial is, as obviously expected, given by

$$\overline{\partial \text{et}}_U(tI_U - M_U)(x) := \overline{\partial \text{et}}_x(tI_x - M_x) = \det_x(tI_x - M_x) \in \mathcal{A}_x[t],$$

where $\mathcal{A}_x[t] := \lim_{U \ni x} \mathcal{A}(U)[t]$.

The characteristic polynomial section will also be referred to simply as *characteristic polynomial*.

We further look at the following correspondence

$$U \longmapsto \text{ChP}(\mathcal{A}(U)), \quad (26)$$

where U ranges over the *open* subsets of X , while the range of (26) is the set of all *characteristic polynomials* $P_{M_U}(t)$ of $n \times n$ -matrices M_U , whose *entries* are (local) sections of \mathcal{A} on U . Now, the *presheaf of full matrix \mathbb{C} -algebras* on X ,

$$U \longmapsto M_n(\mathcal{A}(U)), \quad (27)$$

where $U \subseteq X$ is *open*, and $M_n(\mathcal{A}(U))$ the (full) algebra of $n \times n$ -matrices, with entries the (local) sections of \mathcal{A} on U , is complete. Hence, (26) yields a complete presheaf on X ; it is called *presheaf of characteristic polynomials on X* . For the restriction maps of the presheaf defined by (27), see [9], pp 280-281.

So, we denote by

$$\text{ChP}(\mathcal{A})$$

the *sheaf of modules on X* , generated by the previous presheaf.

Now before we proceed over to the version of the *Cayley-Hamilton theorem* in this setting, we signal in passing (One might work through all the details to their own satisfaction!) that all the fundamental classical properties of the *determinant morphism* are also valid in our context. One of the properties, useful for the scope of the present paper, follows after this: Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$ be as usual an ordered \mathbb{R} -algebraized space satisfying the inverse-positive-section condition. Let $A = (s_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathcal{A}(X))$, and $\tilde{A} = (t_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathcal{A}(X))$ such that

$$t_{ij} := (-1)^{i+j} \overline{\partial \text{et}_X}(A_{ji}) \equiv (-1)^{i+j} \det_X(A_{ji});$$

A_{ji} is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained from $A = (s_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ by deleting the j -th row and i -th column.

Proposition 4.1 (Laplace decomposition), cf. [13]. Let $\det_X(A) = s$, with $s \in \mathcal{A}^\bullet(X)$. Then, $A\tilde{A} = \tilde{A}A = sI$. Furthermore, $\det_X(A) \in \mathcal{A}^\bullet(X)$ if and only if $A \in M_n(\mathcal{A})^\bullet(X)$; consequently

$$A^{-1} = s^{-1}\tilde{A}.$$

Proof. The proof goes along similar lines as the proof of Proposition 4.16 in [7]. \square

Theorem 4.1 (Cayley-Hamilton) Let \mathcal{E} be a *vector sheaf of rank n* on X , and $\varphi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ an \mathcal{A} -morphism. Then,

$$P_\varphi(\varphi) \equiv (P_{\varphi_U}(\varphi_U)) = (0_U) \equiv 0.$$

Proof. Here as well, we base our proof on the proof of Theorem 3.1., [7], p.561. In fact, let U be an *open* subset of X such that $\mathcal{E}|_U = \mathcal{A}^n|_U$, and $\{e_i^U\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be the gauge on $\mathcal{E}|_U(U) = \mathcal{E}(U)$, corresponding the Kronecker gauge of \cdot . Then, since $\mathcal{E}(U)$ may be viewed as a module over $\mathcal{A}(U)[t]$, one has

$$te_j^U = \sum_{i=1}^n s_{ij}^U e_i^U,$$

where $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $(s_{ij}^U) \equiv M_U$ is the matrix representing φ_U with respect to $\{e_i^U\}$. Let $B_U(t) = tI_U - M_U$; then

$$\tilde{B}_U(t)B_U(t) = P_{\varphi_U}(t)I_U,$$

and

$$\tilde{B}_U(t)B_U(t) \begin{pmatrix} e_1^U \\ \vdots \\ e_n^U \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_{\varphi_U}(t)e_1^U \\ \vdots \\ P_{\varphi_U}(t)e_n^U \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_U \\ \vdots \\ 0_U \end{pmatrix}$$

because

$$B(t) \begin{pmatrix} e_1^U \\ \vdots \\ e_n^U \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_U \\ \vdots \\ 0_U \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that $P_{\varphi_U}(t)(\mathcal{E}(U)) = \{0_U\}$, and therefore $P_{\varphi_U}(\varphi_U)(\mathcal{E}(U)) = \{0_U\}$. This implies that $P_{\varphi_U}(\varphi_U)(\mathcal{E}(U)) = \{0_U\}$, as was to be shown. \square

Now, suppose that the pair (X, \mathcal{A}) is an ordered algebraized space, satisfying the *inverse-positive-section condition*. Moreover, as above, we suppose that \mathcal{E} is a vector sheaf of rank n on X , and $\varphi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ an \mathcal{A} -endomorphism; and we let $s \in \mathcal{E}(U) \equiv \Gamma(U, \mathcal{E})$, where U is an open set in X . We further consider the *associated* endomorphism $\bar{\varphi} \equiv (\bar{\varphi}_U)_{U \in \mathcal{T}} : \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$, where \mathcal{T} is the assumed topology on X , and $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ is the presheaf of sections of \mathcal{E} . Now, we fix $U \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\mathcal{E}|_U = \mathcal{A}^n|_U$. By an **eigenvector section**, or just **eigenvector**, of $\bar{\varphi}_U \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}(U)}(\mathcal{E}(U))$, we mean a *nowhere-zero (local) section* $s \in \mathcal{E}(U)$, such that there exists a *section* $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}(U)$ for which we have

$$\bar{\varphi}_U(s) = \lambda s, \tag{28}$$

or equivalently

$$M_U s = \lambda s,$$

where M_U is the *matrix* representing $\bar{\varphi}_U$ with respect to the local *Kronecker gauge* on $\mathcal{E}(U)$. (A (local) section $s \in \mathcal{E}(U)$ is called a *nowhere-zero* section if $s_x \equiv s(x) \neq 0_x$ for all $x \in U$.) The *scalar section* λ , in Equation (28), is called an **eigenvalue section** or simply **eigenvalue** of the morphism $\bar{\varphi}_U$.

Next, we construct the following presheaf of sets, namely

$$U \mapsto \text{PV}(\mathcal{E}(U)), \tag{29}$$

where U varies over the *open subsets* of X , and the range of (29), i.e. $\text{PV}(\mathcal{E}(U))$, is the *set of all eigenvectors* of $\overline{\varphi}_U$, with $\overline{\varphi}_U \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}(U)}(\mathcal{E}(U))$.

Proposition 4.2 Let \mathcal{E} be an \mathcal{A} -module on X . The presheaf $(\text{PV}(\mathcal{E}(U)), \sigma_V^U)$, where for $s \in \text{PV}(\mathcal{E}(U))$, $\sigma_V^U(s) \equiv s|_V$, is a *complete* presheaf.

Proof. Indeed, let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be an open covering of U , and let s, t be two elements of $\text{PV}(\mathcal{E}(U))$ such that

$$\sigma_{U_\alpha}^U(s) \equiv s_\alpha = t_\alpha \equiv \sigma_{U_\alpha}^U(t), \quad \alpha \in I,$$

where the σ_V^U , $U \supseteq V = \text{open}$, are the *restriction maps* of the aforementioned presheaf. Now, as before let $\Gamma(\mathcal{A}) \equiv (\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}), \rho_V^U)$ be the presheaf of sections of the sheaf \mathcal{A} . Then, we have, assuming that $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}(U)$ is the eigenvalue associated with the eigenvector $s \in \text{PV}(\mathcal{E}(U))$, that

$$\overline{\varphi}_{U_\alpha}(s_\alpha) = \sigma_{U_\alpha}^U(\overline{\varphi}_U(s)) = \sigma_{U_\alpha}^U(\lambda s) = \rho_{U_\alpha}^U(\lambda) \sigma_{U_\alpha}^U(s) = \rho_{U_\alpha}^U(\lambda) s_\alpha \equiv \lambda_\alpha s_\alpha.$$

Likewise,

$$\overline{\varphi}_{U_\alpha}(t_\alpha) = \mu_\alpha t_\alpha,$$

with $\mu \in \mathcal{A}(U)$ being the eigenvalue for the eigenvector t . As, by *hypothesis*, $s_\alpha = t_\alpha$, it follows that $\overline{\varphi}_{U_\alpha}(s_\alpha) = \overline{\varphi}_{U_\alpha}(t_\alpha)$; whence $\rho_{U_\alpha}^U(\lambda) \equiv \lambda_\alpha = \mu_\alpha \equiv \rho_{U_\alpha}^U(\mu)$. But $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ is a *complete* presheaf, therefore $\lambda = \mu$; so that $s = t$, as desired.

Now, let $(s_\alpha) \in \prod_\alpha \text{PV}(\mathcal{E}(U_\alpha))$ such that for any $U_{\alpha\beta} \equiv U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$ in \mathcal{U} , one has

$$\sigma_{U_{\alpha\beta}}^{U_\alpha}(s_\alpha) \equiv s_\alpha|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} = s_\beta|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} \equiv \sigma_{U_{\alpha\beta}}^{U_\beta}(s_\beta). \quad (30)$$

The sequence (s_α) of *eigenvectors* gives rise to a sequence

$$(M_{n,\alpha}) \in \prod_\alpha M_n(\mathcal{E}(U_\alpha))$$

of $n \times n$ -matrices whose entries are (local) *sections* of \mathcal{E} , and admitting the s_α as eigenvectors correspondingly. It is clear that for any $\alpha, \beta \in I$ such that s_α, s_β fulfill (30), one has

$$M_n(\sigma_{U_{\alpha\beta}}^{U_\alpha}(s_{ij}^{U_\alpha})) := (\sigma_{U_{\alpha\beta}}^{U_\alpha}(s_{ij}^{U_\alpha})) = (\sigma_{U_{\alpha\beta}}^{U_\beta}(s_{ij}^{U_\beta})) =: M_n(\sigma_{U_{\alpha\beta}}^{U_\beta}(s_{ij}^{U_\beta})),$$

where s_α and s_β are eigenvectors of matrices $(s_{ij}^{U_\alpha}) \in M_n(\mathcal{E}(U_\alpha))$ and $(s_{ij}^{U_\beta}) \in M_n(\mathcal{E}(U_\beta))$, respectively. But the *presheaf*

$$(M_n(\mathcal{E}(U)), M_n(\sigma_V^U)),$$

cf. [9], p. 281, is a *complete* presheaf, therefore there exists a matrix $M \in M_n(\mathcal{E}(U))$ such that

$$M_n(\sigma_{U_\alpha}^U)(M) = M_{n,\alpha}$$

for all $\alpha \in I$. Let $s \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{E})$ such that $\sigma_{U_\alpha}^U(s) = s_\alpha$, $\alpha \in I$. It is easily seen that

$$\sigma_{U_\alpha}^U(Ms) = M_n(\sigma_{U_\alpha}^U)(M)\sigma_{U_\alpha}^U(s) = M_{n,\alpha}s_\alpha = \lambda_\alpha s_\alpha,$$

$\alpha \in I$, which implies that

$$Ms = \lambda s,$$

where $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}(U)$ is derived from the λ_α , $\alpha \in I$, with $\lambda_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(U_\alpha)$ and $\sigma_{U_{\alpha\beta}}^{U_\alpha}(\lambda_\alpha) = \sigma_{U_{\alpha\beta}}^{U_\beta}(\lambda_\beta)$. Hence, axiom (S2), see [9], p46, is satisfied. \square

Definition 4.2 Let \mathcal{A} be a unital commutative \mathbb{C} -algebra sheaf on a topological space X , and let \mathcal{E} be an \mathcal{A} -module on X . We denote by

$$\mathcal{PV}(\mathcal{E})$$

the sheaf on X , generated by the presheaf defined by (29). We call it the **eigenvector sheaf** of \mathcal{E} or **sheaf of germs of eigenvectors** of \mathcal{E} .

Proposition 4.3 Let \mathcal{A} be a unital \mathbb{C} -algebra sheaf on a topological space X , $\omega : \mathcal{A}^{2n} \oplus \mathcal{A}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a *symplectic structure* on \mathcal{A} , and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}p \mathcal{A}^{2n}(X)$, cf. Lemma 3.2 for the definition of $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}p \mathcal{A}^{2n}(X)$. Moreover, let $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}^\bullet(X)$ be an *eigenvalue* of φ . Then, $\frac{1}{\lambda} \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ is an *eigenvalue* of φ too.

Proof. Let $\{s_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2n}$ be a *basis* of $\mathcal{A}^{2n}(X)$ such that $(\omega_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n} = J$, where J is given by (16) and $\omega_{ij} = \omega(s_i, s_j)$, and let M be the $2n \times 2n$ -matrix representing the symplectomorphism φ with respect to the aforementioned basis.

Consider the *characteristic polynomial (section)* of M

$$P(t) = \det_X(M - tI),$$

where I is understood as the $2n \times 2n$ identity matrix, and $t \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ a *variable*. Then, by virtue of (22) and (23), we have

$$P(t) = t^{2n} P\left(\frac{1}{t}\right),$$

as is done in [1] and [2]. Thus,

$$P(\lambda) = \lambda^{2n} P\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right).$$

But $P(\lambda) = 0$ by Cayley-Hamilton theorem, and $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}(X) = \mathcal{A}^\bullet(X)$, so that $P\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) = 0$. \square

References

- [1] R. Abraham, J.E. Marsden: Foundations of Mechanics. The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, Inc, London, 1978.
- [2] R. Berndt: An Introduction to Symplectic Geometry. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2001.
- [3] N. Bourbaki: Elements of Mathematics. Algebra I. Chapters 1 – 3. Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [4] N. Bourbaki: Algèbre, Chapters 1 – 3. Hermann, Paris, 1970.
- [5] L. Chambadal, J.L. Ovaert: Algèbre Linéaire et Algèbre Tensorielle. Dunod, Paris, 1968.
- [6] A. Einstein: The Meaning of Relativity (5th Edition). Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1989.
- [7] S. Lang: Algebra. Revised Third Edition. Springer, 2002.
- [8] W. Greub: Multilinear Algebra. 2nd Edition Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
- [9] A. Mallios: Geometry of Vector Sheaves. An Axiomatic Approach to Differential Geometry. Volume I: Vector Sheaves. General Theory. Kluwer Academic Publishers. Netherlands, 1998.
- [10] A. Mallios: On an Axiomatic Treatment of Differential Geometry via Vector Sheaves. Applications. Mathematica Japonica (Plaza), Vol **48**, No 1, 1998.
- [11] A. Mallios: Modern Differential Geometry in Gauge Theories. Volume I: Maxwell Fields. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2006.
- [12] M. Nakahara: Geometry, Topology and Physics. Second Edition Institute of Physics Publishing. Bristol and Philadelphia, 2003.
- [13] E. Oeljeklaus and R. Remmert: Lineare Algebra I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [14] M. Puta: Hamiltonian Mechanical Systems and Geometric Quantization Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands, 1993.
- [15] A.C. da Silva: Lectures on Symplectic Geometry. Springer Berlin, 2001.
- [16] E. Vassiliou: Geometry of Principal Sheaves. Springer Netherlands, 2005.