

STRONGLY MINIMAL  $PD_4$ -COMPLEXES

JONATHAN A. HILLMAN

ABSTRACT. We consider the homotopy types of  $PD_4$ -complexes  $X$  with fundamental group  $\pi$  such that  $c.d.\pi = 2$  and  $\pi$  has one end. Let  $\beta = \beta_2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2)$  and  $w = w_1(X)$ . Our main result is that (modulo two technical conditions on  $(\pi, w)$ ) there are at most  $2^\beta$  orbits of  $k$ -invariants determining “strongly minimal” complexes (i.e., those with homotopy intersection pairing  $\lambda_X$  trivial). The homotopy type of a  $PD_4$ -complex  $X$  with  $\pi$  a  $PD_2$ -group is determined by  $\pi$ ,  $w$ ,  $\lambda_X$  and the  $v_2$ -type of  $X$ . Our result also implies that Fox’s 2-knot with metabelian group is determined up to homeomorphism by its group.

It remains an open problem to give a homotopy classification of closed 4-manifolds, or more generally  $PD_4$ -complexes, in terms of standard invariants such as the fundamental group, characteristic classes and homotopy intersection pairings. The class of groups of cohomological dimension at most 2 seems to be both tractable and of direct interest to geometric topology, as it includes all surface groups, knot groups and the groups of many other bounded 3-manifolds. In our earlier papers we have shown that this case can largely be reduced to the study of “strongly minimal”  $PD_4$ -complexes  $Z$  with trivial intersection pairing on  $\pi_2(Z)$ . If  $X$  is a  $PD_4$ -complex with fundamental group  $\pi$ ,  $k_1(X) = 0$  and there is a 2-connected degree-1 map  $p : X \rightarrow Z$ , where  $Z$  is strongly minimal then the homotopy type of  $X$  is determined by  $Z$  and the intersection pairing  $\lambda_X$  on the “surgery kernel”  $K_2(p) = \text{Ker}(\pi_2(p))$ , which is a finitely generated projective left  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module [17]. Here we shall attempt to determine the homotopy types of such strongly minimal  $PD_4$ -complexes, under further hypotheses on  $\pi$  and the orientation character.

The first two sections review material about generalized Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces and cohomology with twisted coefficients, the Whitehead quadratic functor and  $PD_4$ -complexes. We assume thereafter that  $X$  is a  $PD_4$ -complex,  $\pi = \pi_1(X)$  and  $c.d.\pi = 2$ . Such complexes have strongly minimal models  $p : X \rightarrow Z$ . In §3 we show that the homotopy type of  $X$  is determined by its first three homotopy groups and the second  $k$ -invariant  $k_2(X) \in H^4(L_\pi(\pi_2(X), 2); \pi_3(X))$ .

The key special cases in which the possible strongly minimal models are when:

- (1)  $\pi \cong F(r)$  is a finitely generated free group;
- (2)  $\pi = F(r) \rtimes Z$ ; or
- (3)  $\pi$  is a  $PD_2$ -group.

We review the first two cases briefly in §4, and in §5 we outline an argument for the case of  $PD_2$ -groups, which involves cup product in integral cohomology. (This is a model for our later work in Theorem 13.) In Theorem 8 we show that the homotopy type of a  $PD_4$ -complex  $X$  with  $\pi$  a  $PD_2$ -group is determined by  $\pi$ ,  $w = w_1(X)$ ,  $\lambda_X$  and the  $v_2$ -type of  $X$ . (The corresponding result was already known for  $\pi$  free and

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57P10.

*Key words and phrases.* cohomological dimension, homotopy intersection,  $k$ -invariant,  $PD_4$ -complex.

in the Spin case when  $\pi$  is a  $PD_2$ -group.) In §6 we assume further that  $\pi$  has one end, and give a partial realization theorem for  $k$ -invariants (Theorem 9); we do not know whether the 4-complexes we construct all satisfy Poincaré duality. In §7 and §8 we extend the cup product argument sketched in §5 to a situation involving local coefficient systems, to establish our main result (Theorem 13). Here we show that the number of homotopy types of minimal  $PD_4$ -complexes for  $(\pi, w)$  is bounded by the order of  $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2)$ , provided that  $(\pi, w)$  satisfies two technical conditions. (However we do not have an explicit invariant.) One of these conditions fails for  $\pi$  a  $PD_2$ -group and  $w_1(\pi)$  or  $w$  nontrivial, and thus our result is far from ideal. Nevertheless it holds in other interesting cases, notably when  $\pi = Z*_m$  (with  $m$  even) and  $w = 1$ . (See §9.) In the final section we show that if  $\pi$  is the group of a fibred ribbon 2-knot  $K$  the knot manifold  $M(K)$  is determined up to TOP  $s$ -cobordism by  $\pi$ , while Example 10 of Fox's "Quick Trip Through Knot Theory" [11] is determined up to TOP isotopy and reflection by its group.

## 1. GENERALITIES

Let  $X$  be a topological space with fundamental group  $\pi$  and universal covering space  $\tilde{X}$ , and let  $f_{X,k} : X \rightarrow P_k(X)$  be the  $k^{\text{th}}$  stage of the Postnikov tower for  $X$ . We may construct  $P_k(X)$  by adjoining cells of dimension at least  $k+2$  to kill the higher homotopy groups of  $X$ . The map  $f_{X,k}$  is then given by the inclusion of  $X$  into  $P_k(X)$ , and is a  $(k+1)$ -connected map. In particular,  $P_1(X) \simeq K = K(\pi, 1)$  and  $c_X = f_{X,1}$  is the classifying map for the fundamental group  $\pi = \pi_1(X)$ .

Let  $[X; Y]_K$  be the set of homotopy classes over  $K$  of maps  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $c_X = c_Y f$ . If  $M$  is a left  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module let  $L_\pi(M, n)$  be the generalized Eilenberg-Mac Lane space over  $K$  realizing the given action of  $\pi$  on  $M$ . Thus the classifying map for  $L = L_\pi(M, n)$  is a principal  $K(M, n)$ -fibration with a section  $\sigma : K \rightarrow L$ . We may view  $L$  as the  $ex$ - $K$  loop space  $\overline{\Omega}L_\pi(M, n+1)$ , with section  $\sigma$  and projection  $c_L$ . Let  $\mu : L \times_K L \rightarrow L$  be the (fibrewise) loop multiplication. Then  $\mu(id_L, \sigma c_L) = \mu(\sigma c_L, id_L) = id_L$  in  $[L; L]_K$ . Let  $\iota_{M,n} \in H^n(L; M)$  be the characteristic element. The function  $\theta : [X, L]_K \rightarrow H^n(X; M)$  given by  $\theta(f) = f^* \iota_{M,n}$  is an isomorphism with respect to the addition on  $[X, L]_K$  determined by  $\mu$ . Thus  $\theta(id_L) = \iota_{M,n}$ ,  $\theta(\sigma c_X) = 0$  and  $\theta(\mu(f, f')) = \theta(f) + \theta(f')$ . (See Definition III.6.5 of [3].)

Let  $\Gamma_W$  be the quadratic functor of J.H.C.Whitehead and let  $\gamma_A : A \rightarrow \Gamma_W(A)$  be the universal quadratic function, for  $A$  an abelian group. The natural epimorphism from  $A$  onto  $A/2A = \mathbb{F}_2 \otimes A$  is quadratic, and so induces a canonical epimorphism from  $\Gamma_W(A)$  to  $A/2A$ . The kernel of this epimorphism is the image of the symmetric square  $A \odot A$ . If  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsion-free left  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \odot A \rightarrow \Gamma_W(A) \rightarrow A/2A \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of left  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -modules, when  $A \odot A$  and  $\Gamma_W(A)$  have the diagonal left  $\pi$ -action. Let  $A \odot_\pi A = \mathbb{Z} \otimes_\pi (A \odot A)$ .

The natural map from  $\Pi \odot \Pi$  to  $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$  is given by the Whitehead product  $[-, -]$ , and there is a natural exact sequence of  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -modules

$$(1) \quad \pi_4(X) \xrightarrow{hwz_4} H_4(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Gamma_W(\Pi) \rightarrow \pi_3(X) \xrightarrow{hwz_3} H_3(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $hwz_q$  is the Hurewicz homomorphism in dimension  $q$ . (See Chapter 1 of [4].)

Let  $w : \pi \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  be a homomorphism, and let  $\varepsilon_w : \mathbb{Z}[\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^w$  be the  $w$ -twisted augmentation, given by  $w$  on elements of  $\pi$ . Let  $I_w = \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_w)$ . If  $N$  is a right  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module let  $\overline{N}$  denote the conjugate left module determined by  $\overline{g.n} = w(g)n.g^{-1}$  for all  $g \in \pi$  and  $n \in N$ . If  $M$  is a left  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module let  $M^\dagger = \overline{\text{Hom}_\pi(M, \mathbb{Z}[\pi])}$ . The higher extension modules are naturally right modules, and we set  $E^i M = \overline{\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}^i(M, \mathbb{Z}[\pi])}$ . In particular,  $E^0 M = M^\dagger$  and  $E^i \mathbb{Z} = \overline{H^i(\pi; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])}$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $M$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module with a finite resolution of length  $n$  and such that  $E^i M = 0$  for  $i < n$ . Then  $\text{Aut}_\pi(M) \cong \text{Aut}_\pi(E^n M)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $c.d.\pi \leq 2$  and  $E^i M = 0$  for  $i < n$  the dual of a finite resolution for  $M$  is a finite resolution for  $E^n M$ . Taking duals again recovers the original resolution, and so  $E^n E^n M \cong M$ . If  $f \in \text{Aut}(M)$  it extends to an endomorphism of the resolution inducing an automorphism  $E^n f$  of  $E^n M$ . Taking duals again gives  $E^n E^n f = f$ . Thus  $f \mapsto E^n f$  determines an isomorphism  $\text{Aut}_\pi(M) \cong \text{Aut}_\pi(E^n M)$ .  $\square$

In particular, if  $\pi$  is a duality group of dimension  $n$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{D} = H^n(G; \mathbb{Z}[G])$  is the dualizing module then  $\overline{\mathcal{D}} = E^n \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\text{Aut}_\pi(\overline{\mathcal{D}}) = \{\pm 1\}$ . Free groups are duality groups of dimension 1, while if  $c.d.\pi = 2$  then  $\pi$  is a duality group of dimension 2 if and only if it has one end ( $E^1 \mathbb{Z} = 0$ ) and  $E^2 \mathbb{Z}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsion-free. (See Chapter III of [5].)

## 2. $PD_4$ -COMPLEXES

We assume henceforth that  $X$  is a  $PD_4$ -complex, with orientation character  $w = w_1(X)$ . Then  $\pi$  is finitely presentable and  $X$  is homotopy equivalent to  $X_o \cup_\phi e^4$ , where  $X_o$  is a complex of dimension at most 3 and  $\phi \in \pi_3(X_o)$  [25]. In [15] and [16] we used such cellular decompositions to study the homotopy types of  $PD_4$ -complexes. Here we shall follow [17] instead and rely more consistently on the dual Postnikov approach.

**Lemma 2.** *If  $\pi$  is infinite the homotopy type of  $X$  is determined by  $P_3(X)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $X$  and  $Y$  are two such  $PD_4$ -complexes and  $h : P_3(X) \rightarrow P_3(Y)$  is a homotopy equivalence then  $hf_{X,3}$  is homotopic to a map  $g : X \rightarrow Y$ . Since  $\pi$  is infinite  $H_4(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z}) = H_4(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . Since  $g$  is 4-connected any lift to a map  $\tilde{g} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  is a homotopy equivalence, by Whitehead's Theorem, and so  $g$  is a homotopy equivalence.  $\square$

Let  $\Pi = \pi_2(X)$ , with the natural left  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module structure. In Theorem 11 of [17] we showed that two  $PD_4$ -complexes  $X$  and  $Y$  with the same strongly minimal model and with trivial first  $k$ -invariants ( $k_1(X) = k_1(Y) = 0$  in  $H^3(\pi; \Pi)$ ) are homotopy equivalent if and only if  $\lambda_X \cong \lambda_Y$ . The appeal to [21] in the second paragraph of the proof is inadequate. Instead we may use the following lemma. (In its application we need only  $P_2(X) \simeq P_2(Y)$ , rather than  $k_1(X) = k_1(Y) = 0$ ).

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $P = P_2(X)$  and  $Q = P_2(Z)$ , and let  $f, g : P \rightarrow Q$  be 2-connected maps such that  $\pi_i(f) = \pi_i(g)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then there is a homotopy equivalence  $h : P \rightarrow P$  such that  $gh \sim f$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Corollaries 2.6 and 2.7 of Chapter VIII of [3].  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $Z$  be a  $PD_4$ -complex with a finite covering space  $Z_\rho$ . Then  $Z$  is strongly minimal if and only if  $Z_\rho$  is strongly minimal.*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi = \pi_1(Z)$ ,  $\rho = \pi_1(Z_\rho)$  and  $\Pi = \pi_2(Z)$ . Then  $\pi_2(Z_\rho) \cong \Pi|_\rho$ , and so the lemma follows from the observations that since  $[\pi : \rho]$  is finite  $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])|_\rho \cong H^2(\rho; \mathbb{Z}[\rho])$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Pi, \mathbb{Z}[\pi])|_\rho \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\rho]}(\Pi|_\rho, \mathbb{Z}[\rho])$ , as right  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ -modules.  $\square$

In particular, if  $v.c.d.\pi \leq 2$  and  $\rho$  is a torsion-free subgroup of finite index then  $c.d.\rho \leq 2$ , and so  $\chi(Z_\rho) = 2\chi(\rho)$ , by Theorem 13 of [17]. Hence  $[\pi : \rho]$  divides  $2\chi(\rho)$ , thus bounding the order of torsion subgroups of  $\pi$  if  $\chi_{virt}(\pi) = \chi(\rho)/[\pi : \rho] \neq 0$ .

The next theorem gives a much stronger restriction, under further hypotheses.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $Z$  be a strongly minimal  $PD_4$ -complex and  $\pi = \pi_1(Z)$ . Suppose that  $\pi$  has one end,  $v.c.d.\pi = 2$  and  $E^2\mathbb{Z}$  is free abelian. If  $\pi$  has nontrivial torsion then it is a semidirect product  $\kappa \rtimes (Z/2Z)$ , where  $\kappa$  is a  $PD_2$ -group.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a torsion-free subgroup of finite index in  $\pi$ . Then  $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])|_G = H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}[G])$ , by Shapiro's Lemma, and so  $\text{Aut}_\pi(E^2\mathbb{Z}) \leq \text{Aut}_G(E^2\mathbb{Z}) = \{\pm 1\}$ , by Lemma 1. Therefore the kernel  $\kappa$  of the natural action of  $\pi$  on  $\Pi = \pi_2(Z) \cong E^2\mathbb{Z}$  has index  $[\pi : \kappa] \leq 2$ . Suppose that  $g \in \pi$  has prime order  $p > 1$ . Then  $H_{s+3}(Z/pZ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_s(Z/pZ; \Pi)$  for  $s \geq 4$ , by Lemma 2.10 of [14]. In particular,  $Z/pZ \cong H_4(Z/pZ; \Pi)$ . If  $g$  acts trivially on  $\Pi$  then  $H_4(Z/pZ; \Pi) = 0$ . Thus we may assume that  $\kappa$  is torsion-free,  $p = 2$ ,  $g$  acts via multiplication by  $-1$  and  $\pi \cong \kappa \rtimes (Z/2Z)$ . Moreover  $H_4(Z/pZ; \Pi) = \Pi/2\Pi \cong Z/2Z$ , and so the free abelian group  $E^2\mathbb{Z} \cong \Pi$  must in fact be infinite cyclic. Hence  $\kappa$  is a  $PD_2$ -group [6].  $\square$

This result settles the question on page 67 of [14].

**Corollary 6.** *If  $X$  is a  $PD_4$ -complex with  $\pi_1(X) \cong Z *_m \rtimes Z/2Z$  and  $m > 1$  then  $\chi(X) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\rho = Z *_m$ . Then  $\chi(X) = \frac{1}{2}\chi(X_\rho)$ . Hence  $\chi(X) \geq 0$ , with equality if and only if  $X_\rho$  is strongly minimal, by Theorem 13 of [17]. In that case  $X$  would be strongly minimal, by Lemma 4. Since  $\pi$  is solvable  $E^2\mathbb{Z}$  is free abelian [19]. Therefore  $X$  is not strongly minimal and so  $\chi(X) > 0$ .  $\square$

### 3. $c.d.\pi \leq 2$

We now assume that  $c.d.\pi \leq 2$ . In this case we may drop the qualification "strongly", for the following three notions of minimality are equivalent, by Theorem 13 of [17]:

- (1)  $X$  is strongly minimal;
- (2)  $X$  is minimal with respect to the partial order determined by 2-connected degree-1 maps;
- (3)  $\chi(X) = 2\chi(\pi) \leq \chi(Y)$  for  $Y$  any  $PD_4$ -complex with  $(\pi_1(Y), w_1(Y)) \cong (\pi, w)$ .

We have  $\Pi \cong E^2\mathbb{Z} \oplus P$ , where  $P$  is a finitely generated projective left  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module, and  $X$  is minimal if and only if  $P = 0$ . The first  $k$ -invariant is trivial, since  $H^3(\pi; \Pi) = 0$ , and so  $P_2(X) \simeq L = L_\pi(\Pi, 2)$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a section for  $c_L$ . The group  $E_\pi(L)$  of based homotopy classes of based self-homotopy equivalences of  $L$  which induce the identity on  $\pi$  is the group of units of  $[L, L]_K$  with respect to composition, and is isomorphic to a semidirect product  $H^2(\pi; \Pi) \rtimes \text{Aut}_\pi(\Pi)$ . (See Corollary 8.2.7 of [3].)

**Lemma 7.** *The homotopy type of  $X$  is determined by  $\pi$ ,  $\Pi$ ,  $\pi_3(X)$  and the orbit of  $k_2(X) \in H^4(L; \pi_3(X))$  under the actions of  $E_\pi(L)$  and  $Aut_\pi(\pi_3(X))$ .*

*Proof.* Since these invariants determine  $P_3(X)$  this follows from Lemma 2.  $\square$

It follows from the Whitehead sequence (1) that  $H_3(\tilde{L}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and  $H_4(\tilde{L}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \Gamma_W(\Pi)$ , since  $\tilde{L} \simeq K(\Pi, 2)$ . Hence the spectral sequence for the universal covering  $p_L : \tilde{L} \rightarrow L$  gives exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow Ext_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}^2(\mathbb{Z}, \Pi) = H^2(\pi; \Pi) \rightarrow H^2(L; \Pi) \rightarrow Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Pi, \Pi) = End_\pi(\Pi) \rightarrow 0,$$

which is split by  $H^2(\sigma; \Pi)$ , and

(2)

$$0 \rightarrow Ext_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}^2(\Pi, \pi_3(X)) \rightarrow H^4(L; \pi_3(X)) \xrightarrow{p_L^*} Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Gamma_W(\Pi), \pi_3(X)) \rightarrow 0,$$

since  $c.d.\pi \leq 2$ . The right hand homomorphisms are the homomorphisms induced by  $p_L$ , in each case. (There are similar exact sequences with coefficients any left  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module  $\mathcal{A}$ .) The image of  $k_2(X)$  in  $Hom(\Gamma_W(\Pi), \pi_3(X))$  is a representative for  $k_2(\tilde{X})$ , and determines the middle homomorphism in the Whitehead sequence (1). If  $p_L^* k_2(X)$  is an isomorphism its orbit under the action of  $Aut_\pi(\pi_3(X))$  is unique. If  $\pi$  has one end the spectral sequence for  $p_X : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  gives isomorphisms  $Ext_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}^2(\Pi, \mathcal{A}) \cong H^4(X; \mathcal{A})$  for any left  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module  $\mathcal{A}$ , and so  $f_{X,2}$  induces splittings  $H^4(L; \mathcal{A}) \cong H^4(X; \mathcal{A}) \oplus H^4(\Pi, 2; \mathcal{A})^\pi$ .

We wish to classify the orbits of  $k$ -invariants for minimal  $PD_4$ -complexes. We shall first review the known cases, when  $\pi$  is a free group or a  $PD_2$ -group.

#### 4. THE KNOWN CASES: FREE GROUPS AND SEMIDIRECT PRODUCTS

The cases with fundamental group a free group are well-understood. A minimal  $PD_4$ -complex  $X$  with  $\pi \cong F(r)$  free of rank  $r$  is either  $\#^r(S^1 \times S^3)$ , if  $w = 0$ , or  $\#^r(S^1 \tilde{\times} S^3)$ , if  $w \neq 0$ . In [15] this is established by consideration of the chain complex  $C_*(\tilde{X})$ , using the good homological properties of  $\mathbb{Z}[F(r)]$ . From the present point of view, if  $X$  is strongly minimal  $\Pi = 0$ , so  $L = K(\pi, 1)$ ,  $H^4(L; \pi_3(X)) = 0$  and  $k_2(X)$  is trivial.

If  $X$  is not assumed to be minimal  $\Pi$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module of rank  $\chi(X) + 2r - 2$  and the homotopy type of  $X$  is determined by the triple  $(\pi, w, \lambda_X)$  [15].

The second class of groups for which the minimal models are known are the extensions of  $Z$  by finitely generated free groups. If  $\pi = F(s) \rtimes_\alpha Z$  the minimal models are mapping tori of based self-homeomorphisms of closed 3-manifolds  $N = \#^s(S^1 \times S^2)$  (if  $w|_\nu = 0$ ) or  $\#^s(S^1 \tilde{\times} S^2)$  (if  $w|_\nu \neq 0$ ). (See Chapter 4 of [14].) Two such mapping tori are orientation-preserving homeomorphic if the homotopy classes of the defining self-homeomorphisms are conjugate in the group of based self homotopy equivalences  $E_0(N)$ . There is a natural representation of  $Aut(F(s))$  by isotopy classes of based homeomorphisms of  $N$ , and  $E_0(N)$  is a semidirect product  $D \rtimes Aut(F(s))$ , where  $D$  is generated by Dehn twists about nonseparating 2-spheres [13]. We may identify  $D$  with  $(Z/2Z)^s = H^1(F(s); \mathbb{F}_2)$ , and then  $E_0(N) = (Z/2Z)^s \rtimes Aut(F(s))$ , with the natural action of  $Aut(F(s))$ .

Let  $f$  be a based self-homeomorphism of  $N$ , and let  $M(f)$  be the mapping torus of  $f$ . If  $f$  has image  $(d, \alpha)$  in  $E_0(N)$  then  $\pi = \pi_1(M(f)) \cong F(s) \rtimes_\alpha Z$ . Let  $\delta(f)$  be the image of  $d$  in  $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2) = H^1(F(s); \mathbb{F}_2)/(\alpha - 1)H^1(F(s); \mathbb{F}_2)$ . If  $g$  is another based self-homeomorphism of  $N$  with image  $(d', \alpha)$  and  $\delta(g) = \delta(f)$  then

$d-d' = (\alpha-1)(e)$  for some  $e \in D$  and so  $(d, \alpha)$  and  $(d', \alpha)$  are conjugate. In fact this cohomology group parametrizes such homotopy types; see Theorem 13 for a more general result (subject to some algebraic hypotheses). However in this case we do not yet have explicit invariants enabling us to decide which are the possible minimal models for a given  $PD_4$ -complex. (It is a remarkable fact that if  $\pi = F(s) \rtimes_{\alpha} Z$  and  $\beta_1(\pi) \geq 2$  then  $\pi$  is such a semidirect product for infinitely many distinct values of  $s$  [7]. However this does not affect our present considerations.)

It can be shown that if  $N$  is a  $PD_3$ -complex with fundamental group  $\nu$  and  $\pi = \nu \rtimes_{\alpha} Z$  for some automorphism  $\alpha$  the strongly minimal  $PD_4$ -complexes with fundamental group  $\pi$  are the mapping tori of based self homotopy equivalences  $h$  of  $N$  which induce  $\alpha$ . However if  $\nu$  is not free  $\alpha$  may not be nonrealizable, and there may be  $PD_4$ -complexes with group  $\pi$  having no strongly minimal model. (See Theorem 6 of [17] and the subsequent construction, for the aspherical case.)

## 5. THE KNOWN CASES: $PD_2$ -GROUPS

The cases with fundamental group a  $PD_2$ -group are also well understood, from a different point of view. A minimal  $PD_4$ -complex  $X$  with  $\pi$  a  $PD_2$ -group is homotopy equivalent to the total space of a  $S^2$ -bundle over a closed aspherical surface. Thus there are two minimal models for each pair  $(\pi, w)$ , distinguished by their second Wu classes. This follows easily from the fact that the inclusion of  $O(3)$  into the monoid of self-homotopy equivalences  $E(S^2)$  induces a bijection on components and an isomorphism on fundamental groups. (See Lemma 5.9 of [14].) However it is instructive to consider this case from the present point of view, in terms of  $k$ -invariants, as we shall extend the following argument to other groups in our main result.

When  $\pi$  is a  $PD_2$ -group and  $X$  is minimal  $\Pi$  and  $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$  are infinite cyclic. The action  $u : \pi \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Pi)$  is given by  $u(g) = w_1(\pi)(g)w(g)$  for all  $g \in \pi$ , by Lemma 10.3 of [14], while the induced action on  $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$  is trivial.

Suppose first that  $\pi$  acts trivially on  $\Pi$ . Then  $L \simeq K \times CP^{\infty}$ . Fix generators  $t, x, \eta$  and  $z$  for  $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\Pi$ ,  $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$  and  $H^2(CP^{\infty}; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(\Pi, \mathbb{Z})$ , respectively, such that  $z(x) = 1$  and  $2\eta = [x, x]$ . (These groups are all infinite cyclic, but we should be careful to distinguish the generators, as the Whitehead product pairing of  $\Pi$  with itself into  $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$  is not the pairing given by multiplication.) Let  $t, z$  denote also the generators of  $H^2(L; \mathbb{Z})$  induced by the projections to  $K$  and  $CP^{\infty}$ , respectively. Then  $H^2(\pi; \Pi)$  is generated by  $t \otimes x$ , while  $H^4(L; \Gamma_W(\Pi))$  is generated by  $tz \otimes \eta$  and  $z^2 \otimes \eta$ . (Note that  $t$  has order 2 if  $w_1(\pi) \neq 0$ .)

The action of  $[K, L]_K = [K, CP^{\infty}] \cong H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z})$  on  $H^2(L; \mathbb{Z})$  is generated by  $t \mapsto t$  and  $z \mapsto z + t$ . The action on  $H^4(L; \Gamma_W(\Pi))$  is then given by  $tz \otimes \eta \mapsto tz \otimes \eta$  and  $z^2 \otimes \eta \mapsto z^2 \otimes \eta + 2tz \otimes \eta$ . There are thus two possible  $E_{\pi}(L)$ -orbits of  $k$ -invariants, and each is in fact realized by the total space of an  $S^2$ -bundle over the surface  $K$ .

If the action  $u$  is nontrivial these calculations go through essentially unchanged with coefficients  $\mathbb{F}_2$  instead of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . There are again two possible  $E_{\pi}(L)$ -orbits of  $k$ -invariants, and each is realized by an  $S^2$ -bundle space. (See §4 of [16] for another account.)

In all cases the orbits of  $k$ -invariants correspond to the elements of  $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . In fact the  $k$ -invariant may be detected by the Wu class. Let  $[c]_2$  denote the image of a cohomology class under reduction *mod* (2). Since  $k_2(X) = \pm(z^2 \otimes \eta + mtz \otimes \eta)$  has image 0 in  $H^4(X; \Pi)$  it follows that  $[z]_2^2 \equiv m[tz]_2$  in  $H^4(X; \mathbb{F}_2)$ . This

holds also if  $\pi$  is nonorientable or the action  $u$  is nontrivial, and so  $v_2(X) = m[z]_2$  and the orbit of  $k_2(X)$  determine each other.

If  $X$  is not assumed to be minimal its minimal models may be determined from Theorem 7 of [16]. The enunciation of this theorem in [16] is not correct; an (implicit) quantifier over certain elements of  $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}^u)$  is misplaced and should be “there is” rather than “for all”. More precisely, where it has

“and let  $x \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}^u)$  be such that  $(x \cup c_X^* \omega_F)[X] = 1$ . Then there is a 2-connected degree-1 map  $h : X \rightarrow E$  such that  $c_E = c_X h$  if and only if  $(c_X^*)^{-1} w_1(X) = (c_E^*)^{-1} w_1(E)$ ,  $[x]_2^2 = 0$  if  $v_2(E) = 0$  and  $[x]_2^2 = [x]_2 \cup c_X^* [\omega_F]_2$  otherwise”

it should read

“Then there is a 2-connected degree-1 map  $h : X \rightarrow E$  such that  $c_E = c_X h$  if and only if  $(c_X^*)^{-1} w_1(X) = (c_E^*)^{-1} w_1(E)$  and there is an  $x \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}^u)$  such that  $(x \cup c_X^* \omega_F)[X] = 1$ , with  $[x]_2^2 = 0$  if  $v_2(E) = 0$  and  $[x]_2^2 = [x]_2 \cup c_X^* [\omega_F]_2$  otherwise”.

The argument is otherwise correct. Thus if  $v_2(\tilde{X}) = 0$  the minimal model  $Z$  is uniquely determined by  $X$ ; otherwise this is not so. Nevertheless we have the following result. It shall be useful to distinguish three “ $v_2$ -types” of  $PD_4$ -complexes:

- (1)  $v_2(\tilde{X}) \neq 0$  (i.e.,  $v_2(X)$  is not in the image of  $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2)$  under  $c_X^*$ );
- (2)  $v_2(X) = 0$ ;
- (3)  $v_2(X) \neq 0$  but  $v_2(\tilde{X}) = 0$  (i.e.,  $v_2(X)$  is in  $c_X^*(H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2)) - \{0\}$ );

(This trichotomy is due to Kreck, who formulated it in terms of Stiefel-Whitney classes of the stable normal bundle of a closed 4-manifold.)

**Theorem 8.** *If  $\pi$  is a  $PD_2$ -group the homotopy type of  $X$  is determined by the triple  $(\pi, w, \lambda_X)$  together with its  $v_2$ -type.*

*Proof.* Let  $t_2$  generate  $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2)$ . Then  $\tau = c_X^* t_2 \neq 0$ . If  $v_2(X) = m\tau$  and  $p : X \rightarrow Z$  is a 2-connected degree-1 map then  $v_2(Z) = mc_Z^* t_2$ , and so there is a unique minimal model for  $X$ . Otherwise  $v_2(X) \neq \tau$ , and so there are elements  $y, z \in H^2(X; \mathbb{F}_2)$  such that  $y \cup \tau \neq y^2$  and  $z \cup \tau \neq 0$ . If  $y \cup \tau = 0$  and  $z^2 \neq 0$  then  $(y+z) \cup \tau \neq 0$  and  $(y+z)^2 = 0$ . Taking  $x = y, z$  or  $y+z$  appropriately, we have  $x \cup \tau \neq 0$  and  $x^2 = 0$ , so there is a minimal model  $Z$  with  $v_2(Z) = 0$ . In all cases the theorem now follows from the main result of [17].  $\square$

In particular, if  $C$  is a smooth projective complex curve of genus  $\geq 1$  and  $X = (C \times S^2) \# \overline{CP^2}$  is a blowup of the ruled surface  $C \times CP^1 = C \times S^2$  each of the two orientable  $S^2$ -bundles over  $C$  is a minimal model for  $X$ . In this case they are also minimal models in the sense of complex surface theory. (See Chapter VI. §6 of [1].) Many of the other minimal complex surfaces in the Enriques-Kodaira classification are aspherical, and hence strongly minimal in our sense. However 1-connected complex surfaces are never minimal in our sense, since  $S^4$  is the unique minimal 1-connected  $PD_4$ -complex and  $S^4$  has no complex structure, by a classical result of Wu. (See Proposition IV.7.3 of [1].)

## 6. REALIZING $k$ -INVARIANTS

We assume now that  $\pi$  has one end. Then  $c.d.\pi = 2$ . If  $X$  is a  $PD_4$ -complex with  $\pi_1(X) = \pi$  then  $H_3(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z}) = H_4(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . Hence  $k_2(\tilde{X}) : \Gamma_W(\Pi) \rightarrow \pi_3(X)$  is an isomorphism, by the Whitehead sequence (1), while  $E_\pi(L) \cong H^2(\pi; \Pi) \rtimes \{\pm 1\}$ , by Corollary 8.2.7 of [3] and Lemma 3. Thus if  $X$  is minimal its homotopy type

is determined by  $\pi$ ,  $w$  and the orbit of  $k_2(X)$ . We would like to find more explicit and accessible invariants that characterize such orbits. We would also like to know which  $k$ -invariants give rise to  $PD_4$ -complexes.

Let  $P(k)$  denote the Postnikov 3-stage determined by  $k \in H^4(L; \mathcal{A})$ .

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $\pi$  be a finitely presentable group with  $c.d.\pi = 2$  and one end, and let  $w : \pi \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  be a homomorphism. Let  $\Pi = E^2\mathbb{Z}$  and let  $k \in H^4(L; \Gamma_W(\Pi))$ . Then*

- (1) *There is a finitely dominated 4-complex  $Y$  with  $H_3(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z}) = H_4(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and Postnikov 3-stage  $P(k)$  if and only if  $p_L^*k$  is an isomorphism and  $P(k)$  has finite 3-skeleton. These conditions determine the homotopy type of  $Y$ .*
- (2) *If  $\pi$  is of type  $FF$  we may assume that  $Y$  is a finite complex.*
- (3)  *$H_4(Y; \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and there are isomorphisms  $\overline{H^p(Y; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])} \cong H_{4-p}(Y; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$  induced by cap product with a generator  $[Y]$ , for  $p \neq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Y$  be a finitely dominated 4-complex with  $H_3(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z}) = H_4(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and Postnikov 3-stage  $P(k)$ . Since  $Y$  is finitely dominated it is homotopy equivalent to a 4-complex with finite 3-skeleton, and since  $P(k) \simeq Y \cup e^{q \geq 5}$  may be constructed by adjoining cells of dimension at least 5 we may assume that  $P(k)$  has finite 3-skeleton. The homomorphism  $p_L^*k$  is an isomorphism, by the exactness of the Whitehead sequence (1).

Suppose now that  $p_L^*k$  is an isomorphism and  $P(k)$  has finite 3-skeleton. Let  $P = P(k)^{[4]}$  and let  $C_* = C_*(\tilde{P})$  be the equivariant cellular chain complex for  $\tilde{P}$ . Then  $C_q$  is finitely generated for  $q \leq 3$ . Let  $B_q \leq Z_q \leq C_q$  be the submodules of  $q$ -boundaries and  $q$ -cycles, respectively. Clearly  $H_1(C_*) = 0$  and  $H_2(C_*) \cong \Pi$ , while  $H_3(C_*) = 0$ , since  $p_L^*k$  is an isomorphism. Hence there are exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow B_3 \rightarrow C_3 \rightarrow Z_2 \rightarrow \Pi \rightarrow 0.$$

Schanuel's Lemma implies that  $B_1$  is projective, since  $c.d.\pi = 2$ . Hence  $C_2 \cong B_1 \oplus Z_2$  and so  $Z_2$  is finitely generated and projective. It then follows that  $B_3$  is also finitely generated and projective, and so  $C_4 \cong B_3 \oplus Z_4$ . Thus  $H_4(C_*) = Z_4$  is a projective direct summand of  $C_4$ .

After replacing  $P$  by  $P \vee W$ , where  $W$  is a wedge of copies of  $S^3$ , if necessary, we may assume that  $Z_4 = H_4(P; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$  is free. Since  $\Gamma_W(\Pi) \cong \pi_3(P)$  the Hurewicz homomorphism from  $\pi_4(P)$  to  $H_4(P; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$  is onto. (See Chapter I§3 of [4].) We may then attach 5-cells along maps representing a basis to obtain a countable 5-complex  $Q$  with 3-skeleton  $Q^{[3]} = P(k)^{[3]}$  and with  $H_q(\tilde{Q}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $q \geq 3$ . The inclusion of  $P$  into  $P(k)$  extends to a 4-connected map from  $Q$  to  $P(k)$ . Now  $C_*(\tilde{Q})$  is chain homotopy equivalent to the complex obtained from  $C_*$  by replacing  $C_4$  by  $B_3$ , which is a finite projective chain complex. It follows from the finiteness conditions of Wall that  $Q$  is homotopy equivalent to a finitely dominated complex  $Y$  of dimension  $\leq 4$  [24]. The homotopy type of  $Y$  is uniquely determined by the data, as in Lemma 1.

If  $\pi$  is of type  $FF$  then  $B_1$  is stably free, by Schanuel's Lemma. Hence  $Z_2$  is also stably free. Since dualizing a finite free resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$  gives a finite free resolution of  $\Pi = E^2\mathbb{Z}$  we see in turn that  $B_3$  must be stably free, and so  $C_*(\tilde{Y})$  is chain



homotopy equivalent to a finite free complex. Hence  $Y$  is homotopy equivalent to a finite 4-complex [24].

Let  $D_*$  and  $E_*$  be the subcomplexes of  $C_*$  corresponding to the above projective resolutions of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\Pi$ . (Thus  $D_0 = C_0$ ,  $D_1 = C_1$ ,  $D_2 = B_1$  and  $D_q = 0$  for  $q \neq 0, 1, 2$ , while  $E_2 = Z_2$ ,  $E_3 = C_3$ ,  $E_4 = B_3$  and  $E_r = 0$  for  $r \neq 2, 3, 4$ .) Then  $C_*(\tilde{Y}) \simeq D_* \oplus E_*$ . (The splitting reflects the fact that  $c_Y$  is a retraction, since  $k_1(Y) = 0$ .) Clearly  $H^p(Y; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]) = H_{4-p}(Y; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]) = 0$  if  $p \neq 2$  or  $4$ , while  $H^4(Y; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]) = E^2\Pi \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H_4(Y; \mathbb{Z}^w) = \text{Tor}_2(\mathbb{Z}^w; \Pi) \cong \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\pi} \mathbb{Z}[\pi] \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . The homomorphism  $\varepsilon_{w\#} : H^4(Y; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]) \rightarrow H^4(Y; \mathbb{Z}^w)$  induced by  $\varepsilon_w$  is surjective, since  $Y$  is 4-dimensional, and therefore is an isomorphism. Hence  $-\cap [Y]$  induces isomorphisms in degrees other than 2.  $\square$

Since  $\overline{H^2(Y; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])} \cong E^2\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]) = \Pi$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\pi}(E^2\mathbb{Z}, \Pi) \cong \text{End}_{\pi}(E^2\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ , cap product with  $[Y]$  in degree 2 is determined by an integer, and  $Y$  is a  $PD_4$ -complex if and only if this integer is  $\pm 1$ . The obvious question is: what is this integer? Is it always  $\pm 1$ ? The complex  $C_*$  is clearly chain homotopy equivalent to its dual, but is the chain homotopy equivalence given by slant product with  $[Y]$ ?

There remains also the question of characterizing the  $k$ -invariants corresponding to Postnikov 3-stages with finite 3-skeleton.

If  $\pi$  is either a semidirect product  $F(s) \rtimes Z$  or the fundamental group of a Haken 3-manifold  $M$  then  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\pi]) = 0$ , i.e., projective  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -modules are stably free [23]. (This is not yet known for all torsion-free one relator groups.) In such cases finitely dominated complexes are homotopy finite.

## 7. A LEMMA ON CUP PRODUCTS

In our main result (Theorem 13) we shall use a ‘‘cup-product’’ argument to relate cohomology in degrees 2 and 4. Let  $G$  be a group and let  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}[G]$ . Let  $C_*$  and  $D_*$  be chain complexes of left  $\Gamma$ -modules and  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  left  $\Gamma$ -modules. Using the diagonal homomorphism from  $G$  to  $G \times G$  we may define *internal products*

$$H^p(\text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(C_*, \mathcal{A})) \otimes H^q(\text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(D_*, \mathcal{B})) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(\text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(C_* \otimes D_*, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}))$$

where the tensor products of  $\Gamma$ -modules are taken over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and have the diagonal  $G$ -action. (See Chapter XI. §4 of [8].) If  $C_*$  and  $D_*$  are resolutions of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ , respectively, we get pairings

$$\text{Ext}_{\Gamma}^p(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \otimes \text{Ext}_{\Gamma}^q(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{p+q}(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}).$$

When  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{D} = \Pi$ ,  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q = 0$  we get pairings

$$H^p(\pi; \Pi) \otimes \text{End}_{\pi}(\Pi) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}^p(\Pi, \Pi \otimes \Pi).$$

If instead  $C_* = D_* = C_*(\tilde{S})$  for some space  $S$  with  $\pi_1(S) \cong G$  composing with an equivariant diagonal approximation gives pairings

$$H^p(S; \mathcal{A}) \otimes H^q(S; \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(S; \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}).$$

These pairings are compatible with the universal coefficient spectral sequences  $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma}^q(H_p(C_*), \mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(C_*; \mathcal{A}) = H^{p+q}(\text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(C_*, \mathcal{A}))$ , etc. We shall call these pairings ‘‘cup products’’, and use the symbol  $\cup$  to express their values.

We wish to show that if  $c.d.\pi = 2$  and  $\pi$  has one end the homomorphism  $c_{\pi, w}^2 : H^2(\pi; \Pi) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}^2(\Pi, \Pi \otimes \Pi)$  given by cup product with  $id_{\Pi}$  is an isomorphism.

The next lemma shows that these groups are isomorphic; we state it in greater generality than we need, in order to clarify the hypotheses on the group.

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $G$  be a group for which the augmentation (left) module  $\mathbb{Z}$  has a finite projective resolution  $P_*$  of length  $n$ , and such that  $H^j(G; \Gamma) = 0$  for  $j < n$ . Let  $\mathcal{D} = H^n(G; \Gamma)$ ,  $w : G \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  be a homomorphism and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a left  $\Gamma$ -module. Then there are natural isomorphisms*

- (1)  $h_{\mathcal{B}} : H^n(G; \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes_G \mathcal{B}$ ; and
- (2)  $e_{\mathcal{B}} : \text{Ext}_{\Gamma}^n(\overline{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_G \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}/I_w \mathcal{B}$ .

Hence  $\theta_{\mathcal{B}} = e_{\overline{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \mathcal{B}}^{-1} h_{\mathcal{B}} : H^n(G; \mathcal{B}) \cong \text{Ext}_{\Gamma}^n(\overline{\mathcal{D}}, \overline{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \mathcal{B})$  is an isomorphism;

*Proof.* We may assume that  $P_0 = \Gamma$ . Let  $Q_j = \text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(P_{n-j}, \Gamma)$  and  $\partial_i^Q = \text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\partial_{n-j}^P, \Gamma)$ . This gives a resolution  $Q_*$  for  $\mathcal{D}$  by finitely generated projective right modules, with  $Q_n = \Gamma$ . Let  $\eta : Q_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be the canonical epimorphism. Tensoring  $Q_*$  with  $\mathcal{B}$  gives (1). Conjugating and applying  $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(-, \mathcal{B})$  gives (2). Since we may identify  $\mathcal{D} \otimes_G \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_G (\overline{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \mathcal{B})$ , composition gives an isomorphism  $\theta_{\mathcal{B}} = e_{\overline{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \mathcal{B}}^{-1} h_{\mathcal{B}} : H^n(G; \mathcal{B}) \cong \text{Ext}_{\Gamma}^n(\overline{\mathcal{D}}, \overline{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \mathcal{B})$ .  $\square$

If  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsion free then  $G$  is a duality group of dimension  $n$ , with dualizing module  $\mathcal{D}$ . (See [5].) It is not known whether all the groups considered in the lemma are duality groups, even when  $n = 2$ .

Let  $A : Q_0 \otimes_G \overline{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(P_n, \overline{\mathcal{D}})$  be the homomorphism given by  $A(q \otimes_G \delta)(p) = q(p)\delta$  for all  $p \in P_n$ ,  $q \in Q_0$  and  $\delta \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}$ , and let  $[\xi] \in H^n(G; \overline{\mathcal{D}})$  be the image of  $\xi \in \text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(P_n, \overline{\mathcal{D}})$ . If  $\xi = A(q \otimes_G \delta)$  then  $h_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}([\xi]) = \eta(q) \otimes \delta$  and  $\xi \otimes \eta : P_n \otimes \overline{Q}_0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{D}}$  represents  $[\xi] \cup id_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}$  in  $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma}^n(\overline{\mathcal{D}}, \overline{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{D}})$ . There is a chain homotopy equivalence  $j_* : \overline{Q}_* \rightarrow P_* \otimes \overline{Q}_*$ , since  $P_*$  is a resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Given such a chain homotopy equivalence,  $e_{\overline{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{D}}}([\xi] \cup id_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}})$  is the image of  $(\xi \otimes \eta)(j_n(1^*))$ , where  $1^*$  is the canonical generator of  $\overline{Q}_n$ , defined by  $1^*(1) = 1$ .

Let  $\tau$  be the ( $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear) involution of  $H^n(G; \overline{\mathcal{D}})$  given by  $\tau(h_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}^{-1}(\rho \otimes_G \alpha)) = h_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}^{-1}(\alpha \otimes_G \rho)$ . If  $G$  is a  $PD_n$ -group then  $H^n(G; \overline{\mathcal{D}}) \cong Z$  (if  $w = w_1(\pi)$ ) or  $Z/2Z$  (otherwise), and so  $\tau$  is the identity.

Suppose now that  $c.d.G = 2$  and  $G$  has one end (i.e.,  $n = 2$ ). In order to make explicit calculations we shall assume there is a finite 2-dimensional  $K(G, 1)$ -complex with corresponding presentation  $\langle X \mid R \rangle$ . Then the free differential calculus gives a free resolution

$$0 \rightarrow P_2 = \Gamma \langle p_r^2; r \in R \rangle \rightarrow P_1 = \Gamma \langle p_x^1; x \in X \rangle \rightarrow P_0 = \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

in which  $\partial p_r^2 = \sum_{x \in X} r_x p_x^1$ , where  $r_x = \frac{\partial r}{\partial x}$  and  $\partial p_x^1 = x - 1$ , for  $r \in R$  and  $x \in X$ . Let  $\{q_x^1\}$  and  $\{q_r^0\}$  be the dual bases for  $\overline{Q}_1$  and  $\overline{Q}_0$ , respectively. (Thus  $q_x^1(p_y^1) = 1$  if  $x = y$  and 0 otherwise, and  $q_r^0(p_s^2) = 1$  if  $r = s$  and 0 otherwise.) For simplicity of notation we shall write  $\bar{g} = w(g)g^{-1}$  for  $g \in G$ . Then  $\partial 1^* = \sum_{x \in X} (\bar{x} - 1)q_x^1$  and  $\partial q_x^1 = \sum_{r \in R} \bar{r}_x q_r^0$ . We may write  $\bar{r}_x = \sum_k e_{r_x k} r_{xk}$ , where  $e_{r_x k} = \pm 1$  and  $r_{xk} \in G$ . Then  $r_{xk} - 1 = \partial(\sum_{y \in X} \frac{\partial r_{xk}}{\partial y} p_y^1)$ . Define  $j_*$  in degrees 0 and 1 by setting

$$j_0(q_r^0) = 1 \otimes q_r^0 \quad \text{for } r \in R \quad \text{and}$$

$$j_1(q_x^1) = 1 \otimes q_x^1 + \sum_{r, k, y} e_{r_x k} \left( \frac{\partial r_{xk}}{\partial y} p_y^1 \otimes r_{xk} q_r^0 \right) \quad \text{for } x \in X.$$

At this point we must specialize further. We shall give several simple examples, where we have managed to determine  $j_2(1^*)$ . (We do not need formulae for the higher degree terms.) The evidence suggests that if  $w$  is trivial we should expect

$$j_2(1^*) = 1 \otimes 1^* - \sum_{x \in X} x^{-1}(p_x^1 \otimes q_x^1) - \Psi$$

where  $\Psi = \sum_{r \in R} u_r(p_r^2 \otimes q_r^0)$  with  $u_r$  the inverse of a segment of  $r$  and such that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \Psi &= 1 \otimes \partial 1^* - \sum_{x \in X} x^{-1}((x-1) \otimes q_x^1) + \sum_{x \in X} x^{-1}(p_x^1 \otimes \sum_{r \in R} \bar{r}_x q_r^0) - j_1(\partial 1^*) \\ &= \sum_{x,r,k} e_{rxk} [x^{-1}((p_x^1 - \sum_y \frac{\partial r_{xk}}{\partial y} p_y^1) \otimes r_{xk} q_r^0) + (\sum_y \frac{\partial r_{xk}}{\partial y} p_y^1) \otimes r_{xk} q_r^0]. \end{aligned}$$

### Examples.

- (1) Let  $G = F(X) \times Z$ , with presentation  $\langle t, X \mid txt^{-1}x^{-1} \forall x \in X \rangle$ . Then we may take

$$j_2(1^*) = 1 \otimes 1^* - t^{-1}(p_t^1 \otimes q_t^1) - \sum_{x \in X} x^{-1}(p_x^1 \otimes q_x^1) - \sum_{x \in X} x^{-1} t^{-1}(p_x^2 \otimes q_x^0).$$

- (2) Let  $G$  be the group with presentation  $\langle a, b \mid a^m b^{-n} \rangle$ . Then we may take

$$j_2(1^*) = 1 \otimes 1^* - a^{-1}(p_a^1 \otimes q_a^1) - b^{-1}(p_b^1 \otimes q_b^1) - a^{-m}(p^2 \otimes q^0).$$

- (3) Let  $G$  be the orientable  $PD_2$ -group of genus 2, with presentation  $\langle a, b, c, d \mid aba^{-1}b^{-1}cdc^{-1}d^{-1} \rangle$ . Then we may take

$$j_2(1^*) = 1 \otimes 1^* - \sum_{x \in X} \bar{x}(p_x^1 \otimes q_x^1) - bab^{-1}a^{-1}(p^2 \otimes q^0).$$

- (4) Let  $G = Z*_m$  be the group with presentation  $\langle a, t \mid tat^{-1}a^{-m} \rangle$ , for  $m \neq 0$ . Then we may take

$$j_2(1^*) = 1 \otimes 1^* - a^{-1}(p_a^1 \otimes q_a^1) - t^{-1}(p_t^1 \otimes q_t^1) - a^{-1}t^{-1}(p^2 \otimes q^0).$$

In each of these cases we find that  $[\xi] \cup id_{\bar{D}} = -\theta_{\bar{D}}(\tau([\xi]))$  for  $\xi \in H^2(\pi; \bar{D})$ . Similar formulae apply for  $n \leq 1$ , i.e., for free groups of finite rank  $r \geq 0$ .

If  $H$  is a subgroup of finite index in  $G$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a left  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module then Shapiro's Lemma gives isomorphisms  $H^n(G; \mathcal{A}) \cong H^n(H; \mathcal{A}|_H)$ . Thus if  $G$  satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 10  $\bar{D}|_H$  is the corresponding module for  $H$ . Further applications of Shapiro's Lemma imply that cup product with  $id_{\bar{D}}$  is an isomorphism for  $(G, w)$  if and only if it is so for  $(H, w|_H)$ . In particular, Examples (1)–(3) imply that  $c_{\pi, w}^2$  is an isomorphism for all torus knot groups and  $PD_2$ -groups, and all orientation characters  $w$ .

## 8. THE ACTION OF $E_\pi(L)$

In this section we shall attempt to study the action of  $E_\pi(L)$  on the set of possible  $k$ -invariants for a minimal  $PD_4$ -complex by extending the argument sketched above for the case of  $PD_2$ -groups. We believe that the restrictions we impose here on the pair  $(\pi, w)$  shall ultimately be seen to be unnecessary.

Our argument shall involve relating the algebraic and homotopical (obstruction-theoretic) interpretations of cohomology classes. We shall use the following special case of a result of Tsukiyama [22]; we give only the part that we need below.

**Lemma 11.** *There is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow H^2(\pi; \Pi) \rightarrow E_\pi(L) \rightarrow Aut_\pi(\Pi) \rightarrow 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\theta : [K, L]_K \rightarrow H^2(\pi; \Pi)$  be the isomorphism given by  $\theta(s) = s^* \iota_{\Pi, 2}$ , and let  $\theta^{-1}(\phi) = s_\phi$  for  $\phi \in H^2(\pi; \Pi)$ . Then  $s_\phi$  is a homotopy class of sections of  $c_L$ ,  $s_0 = \sigma$  and  $s_{\phi+\psi} = \mu(s_\phi, s_\psi)$ , while  $\phi = s_\phi^* \iota_{\Pi, 2}$ . (Recall that  $\mu : L \times_K L \rightarrow L$  is the fibrewise loop multiplication.)

Let  $h_\phi = \mu(s_\phi c_L, id_L)$ . Then  $c_L h_\phi = c_L$  and so  $h_\phi \in [L, L]_K$ . Clearly  $h_0 = \mu(\sigma c_L, id_L) = id_L$  and  $h_{\phi+\psi} = \mu(s_{\phi+\psi} c_L, id_L) = \mu(\mu(s_\phi c_L, s_\psi c_L), id_L) = \mu(s_\phi c_L, \mu(s_\psi c_L, id_L))$

$$h_{\phi+\psi} = \mu(\mu(s_\phi, s_\psi) c_L, id_L) = \mu(\mu(s_\phi c_L, s_\psi c_L), id_L) = \mu(s_\phi c_L, \mu(s_\psi c_L, id_L))$$

(by homotopy associativity of  $\mu$ ) and so

$$h_{\phi+\psi} = \mu(s_\phi c_L, h_\psi) = \mu(s_\phi c_L h_\psi, h_\psi) = h_\phi h_\psi.$$

Therefore  $h_\phi$  is a homotopy equivalence for all  $\phi \in H^2(\pi; \Pi)$ , and  $\phi \mapsto h_\phi$  defines a homomorphism from  $H^2(\pi; \Pi)$  to  $E_\pi(L)$ .

The lift of  $h_\phi$  to the universal cover  $\tilde{L}$  is (non-equivariantly) homotopic to the identity, since the lift of  $c_L$  is (non-equivariantly) homotopic to a constant map. Therefore  $h_\phi$  acts as the identity on  $\Pi$ . The homomorphism  $h : \phi \mapsto h_\phi$  is in fact an isomorphism onto the kernel of the action of  $E_\pi(L)$  on  $\Pi = \pi_2(L)$  [22].  $\square$

Note also that we may view elements of  $[K, L]_K$  (etc.) as  $\pi$ -equivariant homotopy classes of  $\pi$ -equivariant maps from  $\tilde{K}$  to  $\tilde{L}$ .

**Lemma 12.** *There is an exact sequence  $\Pi \odot_\pi \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes_\pi \Gamma_W(\Pi) \rightarrow H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow 0$ . If  $\Pi \odot_\pi \Pi$  is 2-torsion-free this sequence is short exact.*

*Proof.* Since  $\pi$  is finitely presentable  $\Pi$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsion-free [12], and so the natural map from  $\Pi \odot \Pi$  to  $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$  is injective. Applying  $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_\pi -$  to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Pi \odot \Pi \rightarrow \Gamma_W(\Pi) \rightarrow \Pi/2\Pi \rightarrow 0$$

gives the above sequence, since  $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_\pi \Pi/2\Pi \cong \Pi/(2, I_w)\Pi \cong H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2)$ . The kernel on the left in this sequence is the image of the 2-torsion group  $Tor_1^{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\mathbb{Z}, \Pi/2\Pi)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 13.** *Let  $\pi$  be a finitely presentable group such that  $c.d.\pi = 2$  and  $\pi$  has one end. Let  $\Pi = E^2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\beta = \beta_2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2)$ . Assume that  $c_{\pi, w}^2$  is surjective and  $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_\pi \Gamma_W(\Pi)$  is 2-torsion-free. Then there are at most  $2^\beta$  orbits of  $k$ -invariants of minimal  $PD_4$ -complexes with Postnikov 2-stage  $L$  under the actions of  $E_\pi(L)$  and  $Aut_\pi(\Gamma_W(\Pi))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi \in H^2(\pi; \Pi)$  and let  $s_\phi \in [K, L]_K$  and  $h_\phi \in [L, L]_K$  be as defined in Lemma 11. Let  $M = L_\pi(\Pi, 3)$  and let  $\bar{\Omega} : [M, M]_K \rightarrow [L, L]_K$  be the loop map. Since  $c.d.\pi = 2$  we have  $[M, M]_K \cong H^3(M; \Pi) = End_\pi(\Pi)$ . Let  $g \in [M, M]_K$  have image  $[g] = \pi_3(g) \in End_\pi(\Pi)$  and let  $f = \bar{\Omega}g$ . Then  $\omega([g]) = f^* \iota_{\Pi, 2}$  defines a homomorphism  $\omega : End_\pi(\Pi) \rightarrow H^2(L; \Pi)$  such that  $p_L^* \omega([g]) = [g]$  for all  $[g] \in End_\pi(\Pi)$ . Moreover  $f\mu = \mu(f, f)$ , since  $f = \bar{\Omega}g$ , and so  $fh_\phi = \mu(fs_\phi c_L, f)$ . Hence  $h_\phi^* \xi = \xi + c_L^* s_\phi^* \xi$  for  $\xi = \omega([g]) = f^* \iota_{\Pi, 2}$ .

Naturality of the isomorphisms  $H^2(X; \mathcal{A}) \cong [X, L_\pi(\mathcal{A}, 2)]_K$  for  $X$  a space over  $K$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  a left  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module implies that

$$s_\phi^* \omega([g]) = [g]_\# s_\phi^* \iota_{\Pi, 2} = [g]_\# \phi$$

for all  $\phi \in H^2(\pi; \Pi)$  and  $g \in [M, M]_K$ . (See Chapter 5.4 of [2].) If  $u \in H^2(\pi; \mathcal{A})$  then  $h_\phi^* c_L^*(u) = c_L^*(u)$ , since  $c_L h_\phi = c_L$ . The homomorphism induced on the

quotient  $H^2(L; \mathcal{A})/c_L^* H^2(\pi; \mathcal{A}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\Pi, \mathcal{A})$  by  $h_\phi$  is also the identity, since the lifts of  $h_\phi$  are (non-equivariantly) homotopic to the identity in  $\tilde{L}$ .

Taking  $\mathcal{A} = \Pi$  we obtain a homomorphism  $\delta_\phi : \text{End}_\pi(\Pi) \rightarrow H^2(\pi; \Pi)$  such that  $h_\phi^*(\xi) = \xi + c_L^* \delta_\phi(p_L^* \xi)$  for all  $\xi \in H^2(L; \Pi)$ . Since  $p_L^* \delta_\phi = 0$  and  $h_{\phi+\psi} = h_\phi h_\psi$  it follows that  $\delta_\phi$  is additive as a function of  $\phi$ . If  $g \in [M, M]_K$  and  $\phi = \rho \otimes_\pi \alpha \in H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]) \otimes_\pi \Pi$  then

$$\delta_\phi([g]) = \delta_\phi(p_L^* \omega([g])) = s_\phi^* \omega[g] = \rho \otimes_\pi [g](\alpha).$$

The automorphism of  $H^4(L; \mathcal{A})$  induced by  $h_\phi$  preserves the subgroup  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}^2(\Pi, \mathcal{A})$  and induces the identity on the quotient  $\text{Hom}_\pi(\Gamma_W(\Pi), \mathcal{A})$ . Taking  $\mathcal{A} = \Gamma_W(\Pi)$  we obtain a homomorphism  $f_\phi = h_\phi^* - \text{id}$  from  $H^4(L; \Gamma_W(\Pi))$  to  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}^2(\Pi, \Gamma_W(\Pi))$ .

When  $S = L$ ,  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = \Pi$ , and  $p = q = 2$  the construction of §7 gives a cup product pairing of  $H^2(L; \Pi)$  with itself with values in  $H^4(L; \Pi \otimes \Pi)$ . Since  $c.d.\pi = 2$  this pairing is trivial on the image of  $H^2(\pi; \Pi) \otimes H^2(\pi; \Pi)$ . The maps  $c_L$  and  $\sigma$  induce a splitting  $H^2(L; \Pi) \cong H^2(\pi; \Pi) \oplus \text{End}_\pi(\Pi)$ , and this pairing restricts to the cup product pairing of  $H^2(\pi; \Pi)$  with  $\text{End}_\pi(\Pi)$  with values in  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}^2(\Pi, \Pi \otimes \Pi)$ . We may also compose with the natural homomorphisms from  $\Pi \otimes \Pi$  to  $\Pi \odot \Pi$  and  $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$  to get pairings with values in  $H^4(L; \Pi \odot \Pi)$  and  $H^4(L; \Gamma_W(\Pi))$ .

Since  $h_\phi^*(\xi \cup \xi') = h_\phi^* \xi \cup h_\phi^* \xi'$  we have also

$$f_\phi(\xi \cup \xi') = (c_L^* \delta_\phi(p_L^* \xi')) \cup \xi + (c_L^* \delta_\phi(p_L^* \xi)) \cup \xi'$$

for all  $\xi, \xi' \in H^2(L; \Pi)$ . In particular, if  $\xi \in H^2(\pi; \Pi)$  then  $f_\phi(\xi \cup \xi') = 0$ , and so  $f_\phi(c_{\pi, w}^2(\xi)) = 0$ . Since  $c_{\pi, w}^2$  is surjective and the quotient of  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}^2(\Pi, \Gamma_W(\Pi))$  by the image of  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}^2(\Pi, \Pi \otimes \Pi)$  has exponent 2, by Lemma 12, it follows that  $2f_\phi = 0$  on  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}^2(\Pi, \Gamma_W(\Pi))$ .

On passing to  $\tilde{L} \simeq K(\Pi, 2)$  we find that

$$p_L^*(\xi \cup \xi')(\gamma_\Pi(x)) = p_L^* \xi(x) \odot p_L^* \xi'(x)$$

for all  $\xi, \xi' \in H^2(L; \Pi)$  and  $x \in \Pi$ . (To see this, note that the inclusion of  $x$  determines a map from  $CP^\infty$  to  $K(\Pi, 2)$ , since  $[CP^\infty, K(\Pi, 2)] = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \Pi)$ . Hence we may use naturality of cup products to reduce to the case when  $K(\Pi, 2) = CP^\infty$  and  $x$  is a generator of  $\Pi = \mathbb{Z}$ .) In particular, if  $\Xi = \sigma^* \text{id}_\Pi \cup \sigma^* \text{id}_\Pi$  then

$$p_L^*(\Xi) = 2\text{id}_{\Gamma_W(\Pi)} \quad \text{and} \quad f_\phi(\Xi) = 2(c_L^* \phi) \cup \text{id}_\Pi = 2c_{\pi, w}^2(\phi).$$

If  $k = k_2(X)$  for some minimal  $PD_4$ -complex  $X$  with  $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi$  then  $p_L^* k$  is an isomorphism. After composition with an automorphism of  $\Gamma_W(\Pi)$  we may assume that  $p_L^* k = \text{id}_{\Gamma_W(\Pi)}$ , and so  $p_L^*(2k - \Xi) = 0$ . Therefore  $4(f_\phi(k) - c_{\pi, w}^2(\phi)) = 2f_\phi(2k - \Xi) = 0$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_\pi \Gamma_W(\Pi)$  is 2-torsion-free  $f_\phi(k) = c_{\pi, w}^2(\phi)$ . Since  $c_{\pi, w}^2$  is surjective the orbit of  $k$  under the action of  $E_\pi(L)$  corresponds to an element of  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}^2(\Pi, \Pi/2\Pi) \cong H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2)$ , and so there are at most  $2^\beta$  possibilities.  $\square$

If  $\pi$  is a  $PD_2$ -group and  $w = w_1(\pi)$  then  $L = K \times CP^\infty$ ,  $p_L^* k = z^2 \otimes \eta$  and  $f_{t \otimes x}(k) = 2tz \otimes \eta = c_{\pi, w}^2(t \otimes x)$ . (However  $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_\pi \Gamma_W(\Pi) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  if  $w \neq 1$ .) The hypotheses also hold if  $\pi \cong Z*_m$  for  $m$  even and  $w = 1$ . (See §7 and §9.)

If we could show that  $h_\phi$  is the identity on the image of  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}^2(\Pi, \Gamma_W(\Pi))$  it would follow that  $f_\phi$  is additive as a function of  $\phi$ . We could then relax the hypothesis on 2-torsion to require only that the image of  $\Pi \odot_\pi \Pi$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_\pi \Gamma_W(\Pi)$

be 2-torsion-free. (The latter condition holds for all  $PD_2$ -groups and orientation characters  $w$ , and is easier to check; see Lemma 16 below for the case  $\pi = Z*_m$ .)

**Corollary 14.** *If  $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2) = 0$ ,  $c_{\pi, w}^2$  is surjective and  $\Pi \odot_{\pi} \Pi$  is 2-torsion-free there is a unique minimal  $PD_4$ -complex realizing  $(\pi, w)$ . Hence two  $PD_4$ -complexes  $X$  and  $Y$  with  $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi_1(Y) \cong \pi$  are homotopy equivalent if and only if there is an isomorphism  $\theta : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$  such that  $w_1(X) = w_1(Y) \circ \theta$  and an isometry of homotopy intersection pairings  $\lambda_X \cong \theta^* \lambda_Y$ .  $\square$*

We note that we do not yet have explicit invariants that might distinguish two such minimal  $PD_4$ -complexes when  $\beta > 0$ . Does  $v_2(X)$  suffice when  $\beta = 1$ ?

### 9. VERIFYING THE TORSION CONDITION FOR $Z*_m$

If  $\pi$  is finitely presentable and  $c.d.\pi = 2$  but  $\pi$  is not a  $PD_2$ -group then  $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$  is not finitely generated [10]. Whether it must be free abelian remains an open question. We shall verify this for the groups of most interest to us here.

**Lemma 15.** *Let  $\pi$  have one end, and be either a semidirect product  $F(s) \rtimes Z$ , a torsion-free one-relator group or the fundamental group of a 3-manifold  $M$  with nonempty aspherical boundary. Then there is a finite 2-dimensional  $K(\pi, 1)$ -complex and  $\Pi = E_{\pi}^2 \mathbb{Z}$  is free abelian. In particular,  $\pi$  is a 2-dimensional duality group.*

*Proof.* If  $\pi = \nu \rtimes Z$ , where  $\nu \cong F(s)$  is a nontrivial finitely generated free group, then  $s \geq 1$ , since  $\pi$  has one end. We may realize  $K(\pi, 1)$  as a mapping torus of a self-map of  $\vee^s S^1$ . This is clearly a finite aspherical 2-complex. An LHS spectral sequence argument shows that  $\Pi|_{\nu} = E_{\nu}^2 \mathbb{Z}|_{\nu} \cong E_{\nu}^1 \mathbb{Z}$ , which is free abelian.

If  $\pi$  has a one-relator presentation and is torsion-free the 2-complex associated to the presentation is aspherical (and clearly finite). It is shown in [20] that one-relator groups are semistable at infinity and hence that  $\Pi$  is free abelian.

Let  $M$  be a 3-manifold. If  $\pi = \pi_1(M)$  has one end then  $H_2(\widetilde{M}, \partial \widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}) = H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]) = 0$ , by Poincaré duality. Hence  $H_1(\partial \widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . If  $\partial M$  is a union of aspherical surfaces it follows that  $H_2(\partial \widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . Hence  $H_*(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $* > 0$  and so  $M$  is aspherical. If moreover  $\partial M$  is nonempty  $M$  retracts onto a finite 2-complex. The group  $\Pi = \overline{H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])}$  is free abelian since  $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]) \cong H_1(\widetilde{M}, \partial \widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z})$  is the kernel of the augmentation  $H_0(\partial \widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_0(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z})$ .

Since  $H^s(\pi; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]) = 0$  for  $s \neq 2$  and  $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$  is torsion-free  $\pi$  is a 2-dimensional duality group [5].  $\square$

The class of groups covered by this lemma includes all  $PD_2$ -groups, classical knot groups and solvable  $HNN$  extensions  $Z*_m$  other than  $Z$ . Whether every finitely presentable group  $\pi$  of cohomological dimension 2 has a finite 2-dimensional  $K(\pi, 1)$ -complex and is semistable at infinity remain open questions.

**Lemma 16.** *Let  $\pi = Z*_m$ ,  $w = 1$  and  $\Pi = E^2 \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\Pi \odot_{\pi} \Pi$  is torsion-free.*

*Proof.* The group  $\pi = Z*_m$  has a one-relator presentation  $\langle a, t \mid ta = a^m t \rangle$  and is also a semidirect product  $Z[\frac{1}{m}] \rtimes Z$ . Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\pi]$  and  $D = \mathbb{Z}[a_n]/(a_{n+1} - a_n^m)$ , where  $a_n = t^n a t^{-n}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $R = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} t^n D$  is a twisted Laurent extension of the commutative domain  $D$ .

On dualizing the Fox-Lyndon resolution of the augmentation module we see that  $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]) \cong R/(a^m - 1, t - \mu_m)R$  and so  $\Pi \cong R/R(a^m - 1, t\mu_m - 1)$ , where

$\mu_m = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a^i$ . Let  $E = D/(a^m - 1)$  and let  $a_{k/m^n}$  be the image of  $a_{-n}^k$  in  $E$ . Then  $E$  is freely generated as an abelian group by  $\{a_x \mid x \in J\}$ , where  $J = \{\frac{k}{m^n} \mid 0 < n, 0 \leq k < m^{n+1}\}$ . Since  $ta_{1-n}^k = a_{-n}^k t$  we have  $\Pi \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} t^n E / \sim$ , where  $t^m a_x \sim t^m a_x t \mu_m = t^{m+1} \mu_m a_{x/m}$ .

Therefore  $\Pi \odot \Pi \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (t^m E \odot t^m E) / \sim$ , where

$$t^m a_x \odot t^m a_y \sim t^{m+1} \mu_m a_{x/m} \odot t^{m+1} \mu_m a_{y/m}.$$

Setting  $z = y - x$  this gives

$$t^m a_x (1 \odot a_z) \sim t^{m+1} a_{x/m} (\mu_m \odot \mu_m a_{z/m}) = t^{m+1} a_{x/m} (\sum_{i,j=0}^{m-1} a^i (1 \odot a^{j-i} a_{z/m})).$$

Define a function  $f : E \rightarrow \Pi \odot \Pi$  by  $f(e) = 1 \odot e = e \odot 1$  for  $e \in E$ . Then  $f(a_x) = a_x f(a_{m-x})$  for all  $x$ , since  $a_x \odot 1 = a_x (1 \odot a_{m-x})$ . On factoring out the action of  $\pi$  we see that

$$\Pi \odot_{\pi} \Pi \cong E / (a_z - a_{m-z}, a_z - m(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a^k a_{z/m}) \quad \forall z \in J).$$

(In simplifying the double sum we may set  $k = j - i$  for  $j \geq i$  and  $k = j + m - i$  otherwise, since  $a^m a_{z/m} = a_{z/m}$  for all  $z$ .) Thus  $\Pi \odot_{\pi} \Pi$  is a direct limit of free abelian groups and so is torsion-free.  $\square$

If moreover  $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\pi} \Pi / 2\Pi = H^2(\pi; \mathbb{F}_2) = 0$  then  $\Pi \odot_{\pi} \Pi \cong \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\pi} \Gamma_W(\Pi)$ . Thus if  $\pi = Z*_m$  with  $m$  even  $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\pi} \Gamma_W(\Pi)$  is torsion-free. This group is also torsion-free for  $Z*_1 = Z^2$ ; does this hold for all  $m$ ?

## 10. APPLICATIONS TO 2-KNOTS

Suppose that  $\pi$  is either the fundamental group of a finite graph of groups, with all vertex groups  $Z$ , or is square root closed accessible, or is a classical knot group. (This includes all  $PD_2$ -groups, semidirect products  $F(s) \rtimes Z$  and the solvable groups  $Z*_m$ .) Then  $L_5(\pi, w)$  acts trivially on the  $s$ -cobordism structure set  $S_{TOP}^s(M)$  and the surgery obstruction map  $\sigma_4(M) : [M, G/TOP] \rightarrow L_4(\pi, w)$  is onto, for any closed 4-manifold  $M$  realizing  $(\pi, w)$ . (See Lemma 6.9 and Theorem 17.8 of [14].) Thus there are finitely many  $s$ -cobordism classes within each homotopy type of such manifolds.

In particular,  $Z*_m$  has such a graph-of-groups structure and is solvable, so the 5-dimensional TOP  $s$ -cobordism theorem holds. Thus if  $m$  is even the closed orientable 4-manifold  $M$  with  $\pi_1(M) \cong Z*_m$  and  $\chi(M) = 0$  is unique up to homeomorphism. If  $m = 1$  there are two such homeomorphism types, distinguished by the second Wu class  $v_2(M)$ .

Let  $\pi$  be a finitely presentable group with  $c.d.\pi = 2$ . If  $H_1(\pi; \mathbb{Z}) = \pi/\pi' \cong Z$  and  $H_2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  then  $\text{def}(\pi) = 1$ , by Theorem 2.8 of [14]. If moreover  $\pi$  is the normal closure of a single element then  $\pi$  is the group of a 2-knot  $K : S^2 \rightarrow S^4$ . (If the Whitehead Conjecture is true every knot group of deficiency 1 has cohomological dimension at most 2.) Since  $\pi$  is torsion-free it is indecomposable, by a theorem of Klyachko. Hence  $\pi$  has one end.

Let  $M = M(K)$  be the closed 4-manifold obtained by surgery on the 2-knot  $K$ . Then  $\pi_1(M) \cong \pi = \pi K$  and  $\chi(M(K)) = \chi(\pi) = 0$ , and so  $M$  is a minimal model for  $\pi$ . If  $\pi = F(s) \rtimes Z$  the homotopy type of  $M$  is determined by  $\pi$ , as explained in §4 above. If  $K$  is a ribbon 2-knot it is -amphicheiral and is determined (up to reflection) by its exterior. It follows that a fibred ribbon 2-knot is determined up to

$s$ -concordance and reflection by its fundamental group together with the conjugacy class of a meridian. (This class of 2-knots includes all Artin spins of fibred 1-knots.)

A stronger result holds for the group  $\pi = Z_{*2}$ . This is the group of Fox's Example 10, which is a ribbon 2-knot [11]. In this case  $\pi$  determines the homotopy type of  $M(K)$ , by Theorem 13. Since metabelian knot groups have an unique conjugacy class of normal generators (up to inversion) Fox's Example 10 is the unique 2-knot (up to TOP isotopy and reflection) with this group. This completes the determination of the 2-knots with torsion-free elementary amenable knot groups. (The others are the unknot and the Cappell-Shaneson knots. See Chapter 17. §6 of [14] for more on 2-knots with  $c.d.\pi = 2$ .)

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Barth, W., Peters, C. and Van de Ven, A. *Compact Complex Surfaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3 Folge, Bd 4, Springer-Verlag, Berlin – Heidelberg – New York (1984).
- [2] Baues, H.-J. *Obstruction Theory*, Lectures Notes in Mathematics 628, Springer-Verlag, Berlin – Heidelberg – New York (1977).
- [3] Baues, H.-J. *Algebraic Homotopy*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge – New York (1989).
- [4] Baues, H.-J. *Combinatorial Homotopy and 4-Dimensional Complexes*, W. De Gruyter, Berlin – New York (1991).
- [5] Bieri, R. *Homological Dimensions of Discrete Groups*, Queen Mary College Mathematical Notes, London (1976).
- [6] Bowditch, B.H. Planar groups and the Seifert conjecture, *J. Reine u. Angew. Math.* 576 (2004), 11–62.
- [7] Button, J.O. Mapping tori with first Betti number at least two, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* (2007), 351–370.
- [8] Cartan, H. and Eilenberg, S. *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1956).
- [9] Dold, A. Zur Homotopietheorie der Kettenkomplexe, *Math. Ann.* 140 (1960), 278–298.
- [10] Farrell, F.T. The second cohomology group of  $G$  with coefficients  $Z/2Z[G]$ , *Topology* 13 (1974), 313–326.
- [11] Fox, R.H. A quick trip through knot theory, in *Topology of 3-Manifolds and Related Topics* (edited by M.K.Fort, Jr), Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.(1962), 120–167.
- [12] Geoghegan, R. and Mihalik, M. Free abelian cohomology of groups and ends of universal covers, *J.Pure Appl. Alg.* 36 (1985), 123–137.
- [13] Hendriks, H. *Applications de la théorie d'obstruction en dimension 3*, *Mem. Soc. Math. France* 53 (1977), 1–86.
- [14] Hillman, J.A. *Four-Manifolds, Geometries and Knots*, GT Monograph vol. 5, Geometry and Topology Publications, University of Warwick (2002).
- [15] Hillman, J.A.  $PD_4$ -complexes with free fundamental group, *Hiroshima Math. J.* 34 (2004), 295–306.
- [16] Hillman, J.A.  $PD_4$ -complexes with fundamental group a  $PD_2$ -group, *Top. Appl.* 142 (2004), 49–60.
- [17] Hillman, J.A.  $PD_4$ -complexes with strongly minimal models, *Top. Appl.* 153 (2006), 2413–2424.
- [18] Klyachko, A. A funny property of sphere and equations over groups, *Comm. Algebra* 21 (1993), 2555–2575.
- [19] Mihalik, M. Solvable groups that are simply connected at  $\infty$ , *Math. Z.* 195(1987), 79–87.
- [20] Mihalik, M. and Tschantz, S.T. One relator groups are semistable at infinity,



- Topology 31 (1992), 801–804.
- [21] Rutter, J.W. The group of homotopy self-equivalences of non-simply-connected spaces using Postnikov decompositions, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh 120A (1992), 47–60.
  - [22] Tsukiyama, K. Self-homotopy-equivalences of a space with two nonvanishing homotopy groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1980), 134–138.
  - [23] Waldhausen, F. Algebraic  $K$ -theory of generalized free products, Ann. Math. 108 (1978), 135–256.
  - [24] Wall, C.T.C. Finiteness conditions for CW complexes. II, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Series A 295 (1966), 129–139.
  - [25] Wall, C.T.C. *Surgery on Compact Manifolds*, Academic Press, New York – London (1970).

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY, NSW 2006, AUSTRALIA  
*E-mail address:* `johnh@maths.usyd.edu.au`