

CHOICE NUMBER AND ENERGY OF GRAPHS

SAIEED AKBARI, EBRAHIM GHORBANI

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Sharif University of Technology,
P. O. Box 11365-9415, Tehran, Iran*

*Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics,
P. O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran*

s_akbari@sharif.edu e_ghorbani@math.sharif.edu

Abstract

The energy of a graph G , denoted by $E(G)$, is defined as the sum of the absolute values of all eigenvalues of G . It is proved that $E(G) \geq 2(n - \chi(\overline{G})) \geq 2(\text{ch}(G) - 1)$ for every graph G of order n , and that $E(G) \geq 2\text{ch}(G)$ for all graphs G except for those in a few specified families, where \overline{G} , $\chi(G)$, and $\text{ch}(G)$ are the complement, the chromatic number, and the choice number of G , respectively.

Keywords: Energy, choice number.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C15, 05C50, 15A03.

1. Introduction

All the graphs that we consider in this paper are finite, simple and undirected. Let G be a graph. Throughout this paper the *order* of G is the number of vertices of G . If $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is the set of vertices of G , then the *adjacency matrix* of G , $A = [a_{ij}]$, is an $n \times n$ matrix where $a_{ij} = 1$ if v_i and v_j are adjacent and $a_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. Thus A is a symmetric matrix with zeros on the diagonal, and all the eigenvalues of A are real and are

denoted by $\lambda_1(G) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(G)$. By the eigenvalues of G we mean those of its adjacency matrix. The *energy* $E(G)$ of a graph G is defined as the sum of the absolute values of all eigenvalues of G , which is twice the sum of the positive eigenvalues since the sum of all the eigenvalues is zero. For a survey on the energy of graphs, see [7].

For a graph G , the *chromatic number* of G , denoted by $\chi(G)$, is the minimum number of colors needed to color the vertices of G so that no two adjacent vertices have the same color. Suppose that to each vertex v of a graph G we assigned a set L_v of k distinct elements. If for any such assignment of sets L_v it is possible, for each $v \in V(G)$, to choose $\ell_v \in L_v$ so that $\ell_u \neq \ell_v$ if u and v are adjacent, then G is said to be *k-choosable*. The *choice number* $\text{ch}(G)$ of G is the smallest k such that G is k -choosable.

We denote by $A_{n,t}$, $1 \leq t \leq n-1$, the graph obtained by joining a new vertex to t vertices of the complete graph K_n . If we add two pendant vertices to a vertex of K_n , the resulting graph has order $n+2$ and we denote it by B_n .

In [1], it is proved that apart from a few families of graphs, $E(G) \geq 2 \max(\chi(G), n - \chi(\overline{G}))$ (see the following theorem). Our goal in this paper is to extend this result to the choice number of graphs.

Theorem A. *Let G be a graph. Then $E(G) < 2\chi(G)$ if and only if G is a union of some isolated vertices and one of the following graphs:*

- (i) *the complete graph K_n ;*
- (ii) *the graph B_n ;*
- (iii) *the graph $A_{n,t}$ for $n \leq 7$, except when $(n,t) = (7,4)$, and also for $n \geq 8$ and $t \in \{1, 2, n-1\}$;*
- (iv) *a triangle with two pendant vertices adjacent to different vertices.*

The following is our main result.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a graph. Then $E(G) < 2 \text{ch}(G)$ if and only if G is a union of some isolated vertices and one of the following graphs:*

- (i)–(iv) as in Theorem A;
(v) the complete bipartite graph $K_{2,4}$.

2. Proofs

In this section we present a proof for Theorem 1. To do so we need some preliminaries.

A well-known theorem of Nordhaus and Gaddum [8] states that for every graph G of order n , $\chi(G) + \chi(\overline{G}) \leq n + 1$. This inequality can be extended to the choice number. The graphs attaining equality are characterized in [3]. It is proved that there are exactly three types of such graphs defined as follows.

- A graph G is of *type* F_1 if its vertex set can be partitioned into three sets S_1, T, S_2 (possibly, $S_2 = \emptyset$) such that $S_1 \cup S_2$ is an independent set of G , every vertex of S_1 is adjacent to every vertex of T , every vertex of S_2 has at least one non-neighbor in T , and $|S_1|$ is sufficiently large that the choice number of the induced subgraph on $T \cup S_1$ is equal to $|T| + 1$. This implies that $\text{ch}(G) = |T| + 1$ also. Theorem 1 of [6] states that if T does not induce a complete graph, then $|S_1| \geq |T|^2$; we will use this result later.
- A graph is of *type* \overline{F}_1 if it is the complement of a graph of type F_1 .
- A graph is of *type* F_2 if its vertex set can be partitioned into a clique K , an independent set S , and a 5-cycle C such that every vertex of C is adjacent to every vertex of K and to no vertex of S .

Theorem B. (a) [4] $\text{ch}(G) + \text{ch}(\overline{G}) \leq n + 1$ for every graph G of order n .

(b) [3] Equality holds in (a) if and only if G is of type F_1, \overline{F}_1 or F_2 .

Lemma 1. For every graph G of order n ,

$$E(G) \geq 2(n - \chi(\overline{G})) \geq 2(n - \text{ch}(\overline{G})) \geq 2(\text{ch}(G) - 1).$$

Proof. As remarked in [1], the first inequality follows from Theorem 2.30 of [5], which states that $n - \chi(\overline{G}) \leq \lambda_1(G) + \cdots + \lambda_{\chi(\overline{G})}(G)$. The second inequality holds because $\text{ch}(G) \geq \chi(G)$ for every graph G , and the third inequality holds by Theorem B(a). \square

Lemma 2. *For every graph G , $\text{ch}(G) \leq \lambda_1(G) + 1$.*

Proof. Wilf ([9], see also [2, p. 90]) proved that every graph G has a vertex with degree at most $\lambda_1(G)$, and so does every induced subgraph of G . He deduced from this that $\chi(G) \leq \lambda_1(G) + 1$, and the same argument also proves that $\text{ch}(G) \leq \lambda_1(G) + 1$. \square

Lemma 3. *Suppose G has $2K_2$ as an induced subgraph. Then $E(G) \geq 2\text{ch}(G)$.*

Proof. By the Interlacing Theorem (Theorem 0.10 of [2]), $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_2(2K_2) = 1$, and so $E(G) \geq 2(\lambda_1(G) + \lambda_2(G)) \geq 2(\lambda_1(G) + 1) \geq 2\text{ch}(G)$ by Lemma 2. \square

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let G be a graph such that $E(G) < 2\text{ch}(G)$. We may assume that G has at least one edge, since otherwise G is the union of some isolated vertices and K_1 , which is permitted by (i) of Theorem 1. Since removing isolated vertices does not change the value of $E(G)$ or $\text{ch}(G)$, we may assume that G has no isolated vertices. If $\text{ch}(G) + \text{ch}(\overline{G}) \leq n$, then $E(G) \geq 2\text{ch}(G)$ by Lemma 1; this contradiction shows that $\text{ch}(G) + \text{ch}(\overline{G}) = n + 1$, which means that G has one of the types F_1 , \overline{F}_1 and F_2 by Theorem B(b). We consider these three cases separately.

Case 1. G has type F_1 . Then G has $G[T] \vee \overline{K}_k$ as an induced subgraph, where $G[T]$ is the subgraph induced by G on T , $k = |S_1|$, and \vee denotes ‘join’. Let $|T| = t$, so that $\text{ch}(G) = t + 1$. If $G[T]$ is a complete graph, then $\chi(G) = t + 1 = \text{ch}(G)$, so that $E(G) < 2\chi(G)$ and G is one of the graphs listed in Theorem A. So we may assume that $G[T]$ is not a complete graph. In this case, as remarked after the definition of type F_1 , $k = |S_1| \geq |T|^2 \geq t^2$. Thus

$$\lambda_1(G[T] \vee \overline{K}_k) \geq \lambda_1(K_{t,t^2}) = t\sqrt{t} \geq t + 1,$$

provided $t \geq 3$; since $\text{ch}(G) = t+1$, we have $E(G) \geq 2 \text{ch}(G)$. So we may assume that $t \leq 2$, then $G[T] = \overline{K}_2$ and $k \geq t^2 = 4$. For $k \geq 5$, we have $\lambda_1(K_{2,k}) \geq \sqrt{10} > 3 = \text{ch}(K_{2,k})$, thus $E(G) \geq 2 \text{ch}(G)$. So we may assume that $k = 4$. If $G \neq K_{2,4}$, then either $|S_1| \geq 5$ or $|S_2| > 0$; thus G has either $K_{2,5}$ or H as an induced subgraph, where H is formed from $K_{2,4}$ by adding an extra vertex joined to one of the vertices of degree 4. We have $E(K_{2,5}) = 2\sqrt{10} > 6$. The graph H has a P_4 as an induced subgraph so $\lambda_2(H) \geq \lambda_2(P_4) > 0.6$. On the other hand $\lambda_1(H) \geq \lambda_1(K_{2,4}) = 2\sqrt{2}$. Therefore $E(H) > 2(2\sqrt{2} + 0.6) > 6$. Hence $E(G) > 6 = 2 \text{ch}(G)$ if $G \neq K_{2,4}$. Therefore $G = K_{2,4}$.

Case 2. G has type \overline{F}_1 . So \overline{G} is of type F_1 with the associated partition $\{S_1, T, S_2\}$. Let $t = |T|$ and $k = |S_1|$. If $\overline{G}[T]$ is not a complete graph, then $k \geq t^2 > 1$ as in Case 1; hence G has $2K_2$ as an induced subgraph, which gives a contradiction by Lemma 3. So $\overline{G}[T]$ is a complete graph. Let J be the set of those vertices of T that are adjacent to all vertices of S_2 in G . Let v be a vertex of S_1 . Then G is a graph of type F_1 with the associated partition $\{S'_1, T', S'_2\}$, in which

$$\begin{aligned} S'_1 &= \{v\}, T' = S_2 \cup (S_1 \setminus \{v\}), S'_2 = T, & \text{if } k \geq 2; \\ S'_1 &= J \cup \{v\}, T' = S_2, S'_2 = T \setminus J, & \text{if } k = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the result follows by Case 1.

Case 3. G has type F_2 . Thus G has a 5-cycle as an induced subgraph. So $\lambda_2(G) + \lambda_3(G) \geq \lambda_2(C_5) + \lambda_3(C_5) > 1$. Hence, by Lemma 2, we obtain

$$E(G) \geq 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3) > 2(1 + \lambda_1) \geq 2 \text{ch}(G).$$

□

Acknowledgement. The authors are indebted to the Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics (IPM) for support; the research of the first author was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 86050212). They are also grateful to the referee for her/his helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] S. Akbari, E. Ghorbani, S. Zare, Some relations between rank, chromatic number, and energy of graphs, *Discrete Math.*, to appear.
- [2] D.M. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, *Spectra of Graphs, Theory and Applications*, third ed., Johann Ambrosius Barth, Heidelberg, 1995.
- [3] S. Dantas, S. Gravier, F. Maffray, Extremal graphs for the list-coloring version of a theorem of Nordhaus and Gaddum, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 141 (2004) 93–101.
- [4] P. Erdős, A.L. Rubin, H. Taylor, Choosability in graphs, *Proceedings of the West Coast Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing* (Humboldt State Univ., Arcata, Calif., 1979), *Congress. Numer.* 26 (1980) 125–157.
- [5] O. Favaron, M. Mahéo, J.-F. Saclé, Some eigenvalue properties in graphs (conjectures of Graffiti-II), *Discrete Math.* 111 (1993) 197–220.
- [6] S. Gravier, F. Maffray, B. Mohar, On a list-coloring problem, *Discrete Math.* 268 (2003) 303–308.
- [7] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph: old and new results, in: A. Betten, A. Kohnert, R. Laue, A. Wassermann (eds.), *Algebraic Combinatorics and Applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001, 196–211.
- [8] E.A. Nordhaus, J.W. Gaddum, On complementary graphs, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 63 (1956) 175–177.
- [9] H.S. Wilf, The eigenvalues of a graph and its chromatic number, *J. London Math. Soc.* 42 (1967) 330–332.