A New Error Bound for Shifted Surface Spline Interpolation

Lin-Tian Luh

Department of Mathematics, Providence University Shalu, Taichung email:ltluh@pu.edu.tw phone:(04)26328001 ext. 15126 fax:(04)26324653

November 11, 2018

Abstract. Shifted surface spline is a frequently used radial function for scattered data interpolation. The most frequently used error bounds for this radial function are the one raised by Wu and Schaback in [17] and the one raised by Madych and Nelson in [14]. Both are $O(d^l)$ as $d \to 0$, where l is a positive integer and d is the well-known fill-distance which roughly speaking measures the spacing of the data points. Recently Luh raised an exponential-type error bound with convergence rate $O(\omega^{\frac{1}{d}})$ as $d \to 0$ where $0 < \omega < 1$ is a fixed constant which can be accurately computed. Although the exponential-type error bound converges much faster than the polynomial-type error bound, the constant ω is intensely influenced by the dimension n in the sense $\omega \to 1$ rapidly as $n \to \infty$. Here the variable x of both the interpolated and interpolating functions lies in \mathbb{R}^n . In this paper we present an error bound which is $O(\sqrt{d\omega'^{\frac{1}{d}}})$ where $0 < \omega' < 1$ is a fixed constant for any fixed n, and is only mildly influenced by n. In other words, $\omega' \to 1$ very slowly as $n \to \infty$, and $\omega' << \omega$, especially for high dimensions. Moreover, ω' can be accurately computed without slight difficulty.

keywords:radial basis function, shifted surface spline, error bound.

AMS subject classification:41A05, 41A15,41A25, 41A30, 41A63, 65D10.

1 Introduction

In the theory of radial basis functions, it's well known that any conditionally positive definite radial function can form an interpolant for any set of scattered data. We make a simple sketch of this process as follows.

Suppose h is a continuous function on \mathbb{R}^n which is strictly conditionally positive definite of order m. For any set of data points $(x_j, f_j), j = 1, \ldots, N$, where $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$ is a subset of \mathbb{R}^n and the $f'_i s$ are real or complex numbers, there is a unique function of the form

$$s(x) = p(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j h(x - x_j)$$
(1)

, where p(x) is a polynomial in P_{m-1}^n , satisfying

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j q(x_j) = 0$$
 (2)

for all polynomials q in P_{m-1}^n and

$$p(x_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j h(x_i - x_j) = f_i, \ i = 1, \dots, N$$
(3)

if X is a determining set for P_{m-1}^n .

A complete treatment of this topic can be seen in [13] and many other papers.

The function s(x) is called the *h*-spline interpolant of the data points and is of central importance in the theory of radial basis functions. In this paper *h* always denotes a radial function in the sense that the value of h(x) is completely determined by the norm |x| of *x*. Here, P_{m-1}^n denotes the class of those n-variable polynomials of degree not more than m-1.

In this paper we are mainly interested in a radial function called shifted surface spline defined by

$$h(x) := (-1)^m (|x|^2 + c^2)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} log(|x|^2 + c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ \lambda \in Z_+, \ m = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{2}, \ c > 0,$$

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ \lambda, n \ even,$$
(4)

where |x| is the Euclidean norm of x, and λ, c are constants. In fact, the definition of shifted surface spline covers odd dimensions. For odd dimensions, it's of the form

$$h(x) := (-1)^{\lceil \lambda - \frac{n}{2} \rceil} (|x|^2 + c^2)^{\lambda - \frac{n}{2}}, n \text{ odd}, \lambda \in Z_+ = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$$

and $\lambda > \frac{n}{2}.$ (5)

However, this is just multiquadric and we treat it in another paper [12]. Therefore we will not discuss it. Instead, we will focus on (4) and even dimensions only.

1.1 Polynomials and Simplices

Let E denote an n-dimensional simplex [4] with vertices v_1, \ldots, v_{n+1} . If we adopt barycentric coordinates, then any point $x \in E$ can be written as a convex combination of the vertices:

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i v_i, \ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i = 1, \ \lambda_i \ge 0.$$

We define the "**equally spaced**" points of degree k to be those points whose barycentric coordinates are of the form

$$(k_1/k, k_2/k, \ldots, k_{n+1}/k), k_i \text{ nonnegative integers and } k_1 + \cdots + k_{n+1} = k_n$$

It's easily seen that the number of such points is exactly $dim P_k^n$, i.e., the dimension of P_k^n . In this section we use N to denote $dim P_k^n$.

The above-defined equally spaced points can induce a polynomial interpolation process as follows. Let x_1, \ldots, x_N be the equally spaced points in E of degree k. The associated Lagrange polynomials l_i of degree k are defined by the condition $l_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq N$. For any continuous map $f \in C(E)$, $(\prod_k f)(x) := \sum_{i=1}^N f(x_i)l_i(x)$ is its interpolating polynomial. If both spaces are equipped with the supremum norm, the mapping

$$\Pi_k: C(E) \to P_k^n$$

has a well-known norm

$$\|\Pi_k\| = \max_x \sum_{i=1}^N |l_i(x)|$$

which is the maximum value of the famous Lebesgue function. It's easily seen that for any $p \in P_k^n$,

$$||p||_{\infty} := \max_{x \in E} |p(x)| \le ||\Pi_k|| \max_{1 \le i \le N} |p(x_i)|.$$

The next result is important in our construction of the error bound, and we cite it directly from [2].

Lemma 1.1 For the above equally spaced points $\{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$, $\|\Pi_k\| \leq \binom{2k-1}{k}$. Moreover, as $n \to \infty$, $\|\Pi_k\| \to \binom{2k-1}{k}$.

Then we need another lemma which must be proven because it plays a crucial role in our development.

Lemma 1.2 Let $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an *n* simplex in \mathbb{R}^n and *Y* be the set of equally spaced points of degree k in Q. Then, for any point x in Q, there is a measure σ supported on *Y* such that

$$\int p(y)d\sigma(y) = p(x)$$

for all p in P_k^n , and

$$\int d|\sigma|(y) \leq \left(\begin{array}{c} 2k-1\\k\end{array}\right).$$

Proof. Let $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_N\}$ be the set of equally spaced points of degree k in Q. Denote P_k^n by V. For any $x \in Q$, let δ_x be the point-evaluation functional. Define $T: V \to T(V) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ by $T(v) = (\delta_{y_i}(v))_{y_i \in Y}$. Then T is injective. Define $\tilde{\psi}$ on T(V) by $\tilde{\psi}(w) = \delta_x(T^{-1}w)$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, $\tilde{\psi}$ has a norm-preserving extension $\tilde{\psi}_{ext}$ to \mathbb{R}^N . By the Riesz representation theorem, each linear functional on \mathbb{R}^N can be represented by the inner product with a fixed vector. Thus, there exists $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with

$$\tilde{\psi}_{ext}(w) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} z_j w_j$$

and $||z||_{(R^N)^*} = ||\tilde{\psi}_{ext}||$. If we adopt the l_{∞} -norm on R^N , the dual norm will be the l_1 -norm. Thus $||z||_{(R^N)^*} = ||z||_1 = ||\tilde{\psi}_{ext}|| = ||\delta_x T^{-1}||$.

Now, for any $p \in V$, by setting w = T(p), we have

$$\delta_x(p) = \delta_x(T^{-1}w) = \tilde{\psi}(w) = \tilde{\psi}_{ext}(w) = \sum_{j=1}^N z_j w_j = \sum_{j=1}^N z_j \delta_{y_j}(p).$$

This gives

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} z_j p(y_j)$$
(6)

where $|z_1| + \dots + |z_N| = ||\delta_x T^{-1}||$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_x T^{-1}\| &= \sup_{\substack{w \in T(V) \\ w \neq 0}} \frac{\|\delta_x T^{-1}(w)\|}{\|w\|_{R^N}} \\ &= \sup_{\substack{w \in T(V) \\ w \neq 0}} \frac{|\delta_x p|}{\|T(p)\|_{R^N}} \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{v \in T(V) \\ w \neq 0}} \frac{\|p(x)|}{\max_{j=1,\dots,N} |p(y_j)|} \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{p \in V \\ p \neq 0}} \frac{\|\Pi_k\| \max_{j=1,\dots,N} |p(y_j)|}{\max_{j=1,\dots,N} |p(y_j)|} \\ &= \|\Pi_k\| \\ &\leq \binom{2k-1}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $|z_1| + \dots + |z_N| \leq \binom{2k-1}{k}$ and our lemma follows immediately from (6) by letting $\sigma(\{y_j\}) = z_j, \ j = 1, \dots, N.$

1.2 Radial Functions and Borel Measures

Our theory is based on a fundamental fact that any continuous conditionally positive definite radial function corresponds to a unique positive Borel measure. Before discussing this property in detail, we first clarify some symbols and definitions. In this paper \mathcal{D} denotes the space of all compactly supported and infinitely differentiable complex-valued functions on \mathbb{R}^n . For each function ϕ in \mathcal{D} , its Fourier transform is

$$\hat{\phi}(\xi) = \int e^{-i\langle x,\xi\rangle} \phi(x) dx.$$

Then we have the following lemma which is introduced in [8] but modified by Madych and Nelson in [14].

Lemma 1.3 For any continuous conditionally positive definite function h on \mathbb{R}^n of order m, there are a unique positive Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n \sim \{0\}$ and constants a_r , |r| = 2m such that for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\int h(x)\psi(x)dx = \int \{\hat{\psi}(\xi) - \hat{\chi}(\xi) \sum_{|r| < 2m} D^r \hat{\psi}(0) \frac{\xi^r}{r!} \} d\mu(\xi)$$

$$+\sum_{|r|\leq 2m} D^r \hat{\psi}(0) \frac{a_r}{r!} \tag{7}$$

, where for every choice of complex numbers c_{α} , $|\alpha| = m$,

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \sum_{|\beta|=m} a_{\alpha+\beta} c_{\alpha} \overline{c_{\beta}} \ge 0$$

Here χ is a function in \mathcal{D} such that $1 - \hat{\chi}(\xi)$ has a zero of order 2m + 1 at $\xi = 0$; both of the integrals

$$\int_{0 < |\xi| < 1} |\xi|^{2m} d\mu(\xi), \ \int_{|\xi| \ge 1} d\mu(\xi)$$

are finite. The choice of χ affects the value of the coefficients a_r for |r| < 2m.

2 Main Result

In order to show our main result, we need some lemmas, including the famous Stirling's formula.

Stirling's Formula: $n! \sim \sqrt{2\pi n} (\frac{n}{e})^n$.

The approximation is very reliable even for small n. For example, when n = 10, the relative error is only 0.83%. The larger n is, the better the approximation is. For further details, we refer the reader to [5] and [6].

Lemma 2.1 For any positive integer k,

$$\frac{\sqrt{(2k)!}}{k!} \le 2^k$$

Proof. This inequality holds for k = 1 obviously. We proceed by induction.

$$\frac{\sqrt{[2(k+1)]!}}{(k+1)!} = \frac{\sqrt{(2k+2)!}}{k!(k+1)} = \frac{\sqrt{(2k)!}}{k!} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{(2k+2)(2k+1)}}{k+1}$$
$$\leq \frac{\sqrt{(2k)!}}{k!} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{(2k+2)^2}}{k+1} \leq 2^k \cdot \frac{(2k+2)}{k+1} = 2^{k+1}.$$

Now recall that the function h defined in (4) is conditionally positive definite of order $m = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{2}$. This can be found in [3] and many relevant papers. Its Fourier transform [7] is

$$\hat{h}(\theta) = l(\lambda, n)|\theta|^{-\lambda - n} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\frac{n+\lambda}{2}}(c|\theta|)$$
(8)

where $l(\lambda, n) > 0$ is a constant depending on λ and n, and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\nu}(t) = t^{\nu} \mathcal{K}_{\nu}(t)$, $\mathcal{K}_{\nu}(t)$ being the modified Bessel function of the second kind[1]. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 Let h be as in (4) and m be its order of conditional positive definiteness. There exists a positive constant ρ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^k d\mu(\xi) \le l(\lambda, n) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cdot n \cdot \alpha_n \cdot c^{\lambda - k} \cdot \Delta_0 \cdot \rho^k \cdot k! \tag{9}$$

for all integer $k \ge 2m + 2$ where μ is defined in (6), α_n denotes the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n , c is as in (4), and Δ_0 is a positive constant.

Proof. We first transform the integral of the left-hand side of the inequality into a simpler form.

$$\begin{split} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^k d\mu(\xi) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^k l(\lambda, n) \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\frac{n+\lambda}{2}}(c|\xi|) |\xi|^{-\lambda-n} d\xi \\ &= l(\lambda, n) c^{\frac{n+\lambda}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{k-\frac{n+\lambda}{2}} \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\frac{n+\lambda}{2}}(c|\xi|) d\xi \\ &\sim l(\lambda, n) c^{\frac{n+\lambda}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{k-\frac{n+\lambda}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{c|\xi|} \cdot e^{c|\xi|}} d\xi \\ &= l(\lambda, n) c^{\frac{n+\lambda}{2}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cdot n \cdot \alpha_n \int_0^\infty r^{k-\frac{n+\lambda}{2}} \cdot \frac{r^{n-1}}{\sqrt{cr} \cdot e^{cr}} dr \\ &= l(\lambda, n) c^{\frac{n+\lambda}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cdot n \cdot \alpha_n \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k+\frac{n-\lambda-3}{2}}}{e^{cr}} dr \\ &= l(\lambda, n) c^{\frac{n+\lambda}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cdot n \cdot \alpha_n \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \cdot \frac{1}{c^{k+\frac{n-\lambda-3}{2}}} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k+\frac{n-\lambda-3}{2}}}{e^r} dr \\ &= l(\lambda, n) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cdot n \cdot \alpha_n \cdot c^{\lambda-k} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k'}}{e^r} dr \text{ where } k' = k + \frac{n-\lambda-3}{2}. \end{split}$$

Note that $k \ge 2m + 2 = 4 + \lambda$ implies $k' \ge \frac{n+\lambda+5}{2} > 0$. Now we divide the proof into three cases. Let $k'' = \lceil k' \rceil$ which is the smallest integer greater than or equal to k'.

Case1. Assume k'' > k. Let k'' = k + s. Then

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k'}}{e^r} dr \le \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k''}}{e^r} dr = k''! = (k+s)(k+s-1)\cdots(k+1)k!$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k'+1}}{e^r} dr \le \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k''+1}}{e^r} dr = (k''+1)! = (k+s+1)(k+s)\cdots(k+2)(k+1)k!$$

Note that

$$\frac{(k+s+1)(k+s)\cdots(k+2)}{(k+s)(k+s-1)\cdots(k+1)} = \frac{k+s+1}{k+1}.$$

The condition $k \ge 2m + 2$ implies that

$$\frac{k+s+1}{k+1} \le \frac{2m+3+s}{2m+3} = 1 + \frac{s}{2m+3}.$$

Let $\rho = 1 + \frac{s}{2m+3}$. Then

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k''+1}}{e^r} dr \le \Delta_0 \cdot \rho^{k+1} \cdot (k+1)!$$

if $\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k''}}{e^r} dr \leq \Delta_0 \cdot \rho^k \cdot k!$. The smallest k'' is $k_0'' = 2m + 2 + s$ when k = 2m + 2. Now,

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k_0'}}{e^r} dr = k_0''! = (2m+2+s)(2m+1+s)\cdots(2m+3)(2m+2)!$$

$$= \frac{(2m+2+s)(2m+1+s)\cdots(2m+3)}{\rho^{2m+2}} \cdot \rho^{2m+2} \cdot (2m+2)!$$

= $\Delta_0 \cdot \rho^{2m+2} \cdot (2m+2)!$
where $\Delta_0 = \frac{(2m+2+s)(2m+1+s)\cdots(2m+3)}{\rho^{2m+2}}.$

It follows that $\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k'}}{e^r} dr \leq \Delta_0 \cdot \rho^k \cdot k!$ for all $k \geq 2m + 2$. Case2. Assume k'' < k. Let k'' = k - s where s > 0. Then

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k'}}{e^r} dr \le \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k''}}{e^r} dr = k''! = (k-s)! = \frac{1}{k(k-1)\cdots(k-s+1)} \cdot k!$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k'+1}}{e^r} dr \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k''+1}}{e^r} dr$$

= $(k''+1)! = (k-s+1)! = \frac{1}{(k+1)k\cdots(k-s+2)} \cdot (k+1)!.$

Note that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{(k+1)k\cdots(k-s+2)} / \frac{1}{k(k-1)\cdots(k-s+1)} \\ = \frac{k(k-1)\cdots(k-s+1)}{(k+1)k\cdots(k-s+2)} \\ = \frac{(k-s+1)}{k+1} \\ \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\rho = 1$. Then

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k''+1}}{e^r} dr \le \Delta_0 \cdot \rho^{k+1} \cdot (k+1)!$$

if $\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k''}}{e^r} dr \leq \Delta_0 \cdot \rho^k \cdot k!$. The smallest k is $k_0 = 2m + 2$. Hence the smallest k'' is $k_0'' = k_0 - s = 2m + 2 - s$. Now,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{k_{0}''}}{e^{r}} dr = k_{0}''! = (2m+2-s)! = (k_{0}-s)!$$

$$= \frac{1}{k_{0}(k_{0}-1)\cdots(k_{0}-s+1)} \cdot (k_{0}!)$$

$$= \Delta_{0} \cdot \rho^{k_{0}} \cdot k_{0}! \text{ where } \Delta_{0} = \frac{1}{(2m+2)(2m+1)\cdots(2m-s+3)}.$$

It follows that $\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k'}}{e^r} dr \leq \Delta_0 \cdot \rho^k \cdot k!$ for all $k \geq 2m + 2$. Case3. Assume k'' = k. Then

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k'}}{e^r} dr \le \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k''}}{e^r} dr = k! \quad and \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k'+1}}{e^r} dr \le (k+1)!.$$

Let $\rho = 1$. Then $\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{k'}}{e^r} dr \leq \Delta_0 \cdot \rho^k \cdot k!$ for all k where $\Delta_0 = 1$. The lemma is now an immediate result of the three cases.

#

Remark: For the convenience of the reader we should express the constants Δ_0 and ρ in a clear form. It's easily shown that

(a)k'' > k if and only if $n - \lambda > 3$,

(b)k'' < k if and only if $n - \lambda \leq 1$, and

(c)k'' = k if and only if $1 < n - \lambda \le 3$,

where k'' and k are as in the proof of the lemma. We thus have the following situations. (a) $n - \lambda > 3$. Let $s = \lceil \frac{n-\lambda-3}{2} \rceil$. Then

$$\rho = 1 + \frac{s}{2m+3} \quad and \quad \Delta_0 = \frac{(2m+2+s)(2m+1+s)\cdots(2m+3)}{\rho^{2m+2}}$$

(b) $n - \lambda \leq 1$. Let $s = -\lceil \frac{n - \lambda - 3}{2} \rceil$. Then

$$\rho = 1$$
 and $\Delta_0 = \frac{1}{(2m+2)(2m+1)\cdots(2m-s+3)}$

(c) $1 < n - \lambda \leq 3$. We have

$$\rho = 1$$
 and $\Delta_0 = 1$.

Before introducing our main theorem, we must introduce a function space called **native space**, denoted by $C_{\mathbf{h},\mathbf{m}}$, for each conditionally positive definite radial function h of order m. If

$$\mathcal{D}_m = \{ \phi \in \mathcal{D} : \int x^{\alpha} \phi(x) dx = 0 \text{ for all } |\alpha| < m \}$$

, then $\mathcal{C}_{h.m}$ is the class of those continuous functions f which satisfy

$$\left|\int f(x)\phi(x)dx\right| \le c(f)\left\{\int h(x-y)\phi(x)\overline{\phi(y)}dxdy\right\}^{1/2}$$
(10)

for some constant c(f) and all ϕ in \mathcal{D}_m . If $f \in \mathcal{C}_{h,m}$, let $||f||_h$ denote the smallest constant c(f) for which (10) is true. Then $||\cdot||_h$ is a semi-norm and $\mathcal{C}_{h,m}$ is a semi-Hilbert space; in the case m = 0 it is a norm and a Hilbert space respectively. For further details, we refer the reader to [13] and [14]. This definition of native space is introduced by Madych and Nelson, and characterized by Luh in [9] and [10]. Although there is an equivalent definition [16] which is easier to handle, we still adopt Madych and Nelson's definition to show the author's respect for them.

Now we have come to the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 2.3 Let h be as in (4), and b_0 be any positive number. Let Ω be any subset of \mathbb{R}^n satisfying the property that for any x in Ω , there is an n simplex E of diameter equal to b_0 and $x \in E \subseteq \Omega$. There are positive constants $\delta_0, c_1, \omega', 0 < \omega' < 1$, for which the following is true: If $f \in C_{h,m}$, the native space induced by h, and s is the h spline that interpolates f on a subset X of \mathbb{R}^n , then

$$|f(x) - s(x)| \le c_1 \sqrt{\delta(\omega')^{\frac{1}{\delta}}} \cdot ||f||_h \tag{11}$$

for all x in Ω and $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$ if Ω satisfies the property that for any x in Ω and any number r with $\frac{1}{3C} \leq r \leq b_0$, there is an n simplex Q with diameter diamQ=r, $x \in Q \subseteq \Omega$, such that for any integer k with $\frac{1}{3C\delta} \leq k \leq \frac{b_0}{\delta}$, there is in Q an equally spaced set of centers from X of degree k-1.(Once δ

and k are chosen, Q is in fact an n simplex of diameter $k\delta$ and X can be chosen to consist only of the equally spaced points in Q of degree k-1.) The number C is defined by

$$C := \max\left\{8\rho', \ \frac{2}{3b_0}\right\}, \ \rho' = \frac{\rho}{c}$$

where ρ and c appear in Lemma2.2 and (4) respectively. Here $||f||_h$ is the h-norm of f in the native space. The numbers δ_0, c_1 and ω' are given by $\delta_0 := \frac{1}{3C(m+1)}$ where m appears in (4); $c_1 := \sqrt{l(\lambda, n)} \cdot (\pi/2)^{1/4} \cdot \sqrt{n\alpha_n} \cdot c^{\lambda/2} \cdot \sqrt{\Delta_0} \sqrt{3C} \cdot \sqrt{(16\pi)^{-1}} \text{ where } \lambda \text{ is as in } (4), \ l(\lambda, n) \text{ appears in } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ (8), α_n is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n , and Δ_0 , together with the computation of ρ , is defined in Lemma 2.2 and the remark following its proof; $\omega' := (\frac{2}{3})^{1/3C}$.

Proof. Let δ_0 , and C be as in the statement of the theorem. For any $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$, we have $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{3C(m+1)}$ and $0 < 3C\delta \leq \frac{1}{m+1}$. Since $\frac{1}{m+1} < 1$, there exists an integer k such that

 $1 \leq 3C\delta k \leq 2.$

For such $k, \delta k \leq \frac{2}{3C} \leq b_0, \frac{1}{3C\delta} \leq k \leq \frac{b_0}{\delta}$, and $8\rho'\delta k \leq \frac{2}{3}$. For any $x \in \Omega$, choose arbitrarily an n simplex Q of diameter $diamQ = \delta k$ with vertices v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n such that $x \in Q \subseteq \Omega$. Let x_1, \ldots, x_N be equally spaced points of degree k-1 on Q where $N = dim P_{k-1}^n$. By (9) and Lemma2.1, whenever k > m,

$$c_k := \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\xi|^{2k}}{(k!)^2} d\mu(\xi) \right\}^{1/2} \\ \leq \sqrt{l(\lambda, n)} \cdot (\pi/2)^{1/4} \cdot \sqrt{n\alpha_n} \cdot c^{\lambda/2} \cdot c^{-k} \cdot \sqrt{\Delta_0} \cdot (2\rho)^k.$$
(12)

Recall that Theorem 4.2 of [14] implies that

$$|f(x) - s(x)| \le c_k ||f||_h \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y - x|^k d|\sigma|(y)$$
(13)

whenever k > m, and σ is any measure supported on X such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(y) d\sigma(y) = p(x) \tag{14}$$

for all polynomials p in P_{k-1}^n .

Let σ be the measure supported on $\{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$ as mentioned in Lemma 1.2. We essentially need to bound the quantity

$$I = c_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y - x|^k d|\sigma|(y)$$

only. Thus for k mentioned as above and Δ_0 defined in Lemma 2.2, by Lemma 1.2,

$$\begin{split} I &\leq \sqrt{l(\lambda,n)} (\pi/2)^{1/4} \sqrt{n\alpha_n} c^{\lambda/2} c^{-k} \sqrt{\Delta_0} (2\rho)^k (\delta k)^k \left(\begin{array}{c} 2(k-1)-1\\ k-1 \end{array} \right) \\ &\sim \sqrt{l(\lambda,n)} (\pi/2)^{1/4} \sqrt{n\alpha_n} c^{\lambda/2} c^{-k} \sqrt{\Delta_0} (2\rho)^k (\delta k)^k \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k-1}} 2^{2(k-1)} \ by \ Stirling's \ Formula \\ &\sim \sqrt{l(\lambda,n)} (\pi/2)^{1/4} \sqrt{n\alpha_n} c^{\lambda/2} c^{-k} \sqrt{\Delta_0} (2\rho)^k (\delta k)^k \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} 4^{k-1} \ when \ k \ is \ large \end{split}$$

$$= \sqrt{l(\lambda,n)}(\pi/2)^{1/4}\sqrt{n\alpha_n}c^{\lambda/2}\sqrt{\Delta_0}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\left(\frac{2\rho\delta k}{c}\right)^k\frac{4^k}{4}$$

$$= \sqrt{l(\lambda,n)}(\pi/2)^{1/4}\sqrt{n\alpha_n}c^{\lambda/2}\sqrt{\Delta_0}\frac{1}{\sqrt{16\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\left(\frac{8\rho\delta k}{c}\right)^k$$

$$\leq \sqrt{l(\lambda,n)}(\pi/2)^{1/4}\sqrt{n\alpha_n}c^{\lambda/2}\sqrt{\Delta_0}\frac{1}{\sqrt{16\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3C\delta}}$$

$$= \sqrt{l(\lambda,n)}(\pi/2)^{1/4}\sqrt{n\alpha_n}c^{\lambda/2}\sqrt{\Delta_0}\frac{1}{\sqrt{16\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\left[\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3C\delta}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\delta}}$$

$$= \sqrt{l(\lambda,n)}(\pi/2)^{1/4}\sqrt{n\alpha_n}c^{\lambda/2}\sqrt{\Delta_0}\frac{1}{\sqrt{16\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\left[\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3C}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\delta}}$$

$$= \sqrt{l(\lambda,n)}(\pi/2)^{1/4}\sqrt{n\alpha_n}c^{\lambda/2}\sqrt{\Delta_0}\frac{1}{\sqrt{16\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}[\omega']^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \ where \ \omega' = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3C}}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{l(\lambda,n)}(\pi/2)^{1/4}\sqrt{n\alpha_n}c^{\lambda/2}\sqrt{\Delta_0}\frac{1}{\sqrt{16\pi}}\sqrt{3C\delta}[\omega']^{\frac{1}{\delta}}$$

$$= \sqrt{l(\lambda,n)}(\pi/2)^{1/4}\sqrt{n\alpha_n}c^{\lambda/2}\sqrt{\Delta_0}\frac{\sqrt{3C}}{\sqrt{16\pi}}\sqrt{\delta}[\omega']^{\frac{1}{\delta}}$$

Our theorem thus follows from (13).

Remark. In the preceding theorem we didn't mention the well-known fill-distance. In fact δ is in spirit equivalent to the fill-distance $d(Q, X) := \sup_{y \in Q} \min_{1 \le i \le N} ||y - x_i||$ where $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$ as mentioned in the proof. Note that $\delta \to 0$ if and only if $d(Q, X) \to 0$. However we avoid using fill-distance because in our approach the data points are not purely scattered. This to some extent seems to be a drawback. However it does not pose any trouble for us both theoretically and practically. The equally spaced centers x_1, \ldots, x_N in the simplex Q are friendly and easily tractable.

3 Comparison

The exponential-type error bound for (4) is presented by Luh in [11] and is of the form

$$|f(x) - s(x)| \le c_1 \omega^{\frac{1}{\delta}} ||f||_h \tag{15}$$

where $c_1 = \sqrt{l(\lambda, n)} (\pi/2)^{1/4} \sqrt{n\alpha_n} c^{\lambda/2} \sqrt{\Delta_0}$, δ is equivalent to the fill-distance and

$$\omega = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3C\gamma_n}}$$

where

$$C = \max\left\{2\rho'\sqrt{n}e^{2n\gamma_n}, \ \frac{2}{3b_0}\right\}, \ \rho' = \frac{\rho}{c}$$

, ρ and c being the same as this paper, b_0 be the side length of a cube, and γ_n being defined recursively by

$$\gamma_1 = 2, \ \gamma_n = 2n(1 + \gamma_{n-1}) \ if \ n > 1.$$

#

The constant c_1 is almost the same as the c_1 in (11). The number b_0 plays the same role as the b_0 of Theorem 2.3. However, $\gamma_n \to \infty$ rapidly as $n \to \infty$. This can be seen by

 $\gamma_1 = 2, \ \gamma_2 = 12, \ \gamma_3 = 78, \ \gamma_4 = 632, \ \gamma_5 = 6330, \cdots$

The fast growth of γ_n forces $e^{2n\gamma_n}$ and hence C to grow rapidly as dimension $n \to \infty$. This means that the crucial constant ω in (15) turns to 1 rapidly as $n \to \infty$, making the error bound (15) meaningless for high dimensions.

The advantages of our new approach are:first, there is $\sqrt{\delta}$ in (11) which contributes to the convergence rate of the error bound as $\delta \to 0$; second, the crucial constant ω' in (11) are only mildly dependent of dimension n. Although ω' dependends on ρ which in turn depends on n, the situation is much better. In fact, ω' can be made completely independent of n by changing λ in (4) to keep $n-\lambda \leq 3$. This can be seen in the remark following Lemma2.2. In other words, we have significantly improved the error bound (15).

References

- M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, A Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Dover Publications, New York, (1970).
- [2] L.P. Bos, Bounding the Lebesgue Function for Lagrange Interpolation in a Simplex, J. of Approx. Theory 38, (1983), 43-59.
- [3] N. Dyn, Interpolation and Approximation by Radial and Related Functions, Approximation Theory VI,(C.K. Chui, L.L. Schumaker and J. Ward eds.), Academic Press, (1989), 211-234.
- [4] W. Fleming, Functions of Several Variables, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, (1977).
- [5] J. von zur Gathen and J. Gerhard, Modern Computer Algebra, Second Edition, (2003), Cambridge University Press.
- [6] R.L. Graham, D.E. Knuth, and O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics*, Addison-Wesley, Reading MA, 2nd edition(1994).
- [7] I.M. Gelfand and G.E. Shilov, Generalized Functions, Vol.1, Academic Press, (1964).
- [8] I.M. Gelfand and N.Y. Vilenkin, *Generalized Functions*, Vol.4, Academics Press, New York, (1964).
- [9] L.T. Luh, The Equivalence Theory of Native Spaces, Approx. Theory Appl. (2001), 17:1, 76-96.
- [10] L.T. Luh, The Embedding Theory of Native Spaces, Approx. Theory Appl. (2001), 17:4, 90-104.
- [11] L.T. Luh, The High-level Error Bound for Shifted Surface Spline Interpolation, in review.
- [12] L.T. Luh, An Improved Error Bound for Multiquadric Interpolation, in review.
- [13] W.R. Madych and S.A. Nelson, Multivariate interpolation and conditionally positive definite function, Approx. Theory Appl. 4, No. 4(1988), 77-89.
- [14] W.R. Madych and S.A. Nelson, Multivariate interpolation and conditionally positive definite function, II, Math. Comp. 54(1990), 211-230.

- [15] W.R. Madych and S.A. Nelson, Bounds on Multivariate Polynomials and Exponential Error Estimates for Multivariate Interpolation, J. Approx. Theory 70, (1992), 94-114.
- [16] H. Wendland, Scattered Data Approximation, Cambridge University Press, (2005).
- [17] Z. Wu and R. Schaback, Local Error Estimates for Radial Basis Function Interpolation of Scattered Data, IMA J. of Numerical Analysis, (1993).