

REMARKS ON CONGRUENCE OF 3-MANIFOLDS

PATRICK M. GILMER

Dedicated to Lou Kauffman on the occasion of his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. We give two proofs that the 3-torus is not weakly d -congruent to $\#^3 S^1 \times S^2$, if $d > 2$. We study how cohomology ring structure relates to weak congruence. We give an example of three 3-manifolds which are weakly 5-congruent but are not 5-congruent.

Let d be an integer greater than one. In [G], we considered two equivalence relations generated by restricted surgeries on oriented closed 3-manifolds. Weak type- d surgery is q/ds Dehn surgery along a simple closed curve. Here s and q (which must be relatively prime to d and s) may vary but d is held fixed. The label q/ds indicating which surgery is given with respect to some meridional and a longitudinal pair on the boundary of a solid torus neighborhood of the surgery curve. A meridian bounds a disk in the solid torus which meets the surgery curve transversely in one point, and a longitude meets the meridian transversely in one point in the boundary torus. The set of surgeries described as weak type- d surgeries does not depend on the choice of meridional and a longitudinal pair. If $q = \pm 1 \pmod{d}$, we say the surgery is type- d surgery. This concept is also independent of the choice of meridian and longitude.

The equivalence relation on the set of closed oriented 3-manifolds generated by weak type- d surgery is called weak d -congruence. The equivalence relation generated by type- d surgery is called d -congruence.

The equivalence relation d -congruence is coarser [G] than an equivalence relation which was first considered by Lackenby [L] : congruence modulo d . It is not known that d -congruence is strictly coarser than congruence modulo d , but this seems likely. The notion of d -congruence of 3-manifolds is closely related to the notion of t_d -move (now called d -move) equivalence of links [P1, remark before proof of Theorem p.639]: A d -move between links implies that there is $1/d$ Dehn surgery relating the double branched covers of S^3 along the links. Similarly, weak d -equivalence of 3-manifolds is closely related to rational move equivalence of links as analyzed in [P2, footnote 5], [P3, footnote 22] and [DP, DIP]. Completing this circle of ideas, we note that d -move equivalence of links is a special case of congruence modulo (d, q) of links due to Fox [F1]. Lackenby's study of congruence modulo (d, q) of links lead him to define congruence modulo d of 3-manifolds.

We will give two proofs of the following theorem. The first proof will use Burnside groups and second will use cohomology ring structure. We let T^3 denotes the 3-torus.

Theorem 1. T^3 is not weakly d -congruent to $\#^3 S^1 \times S^2$ for any $d > 2$.

Date: November 15, 2007.
partially supported by NSF-DMS-0604580.

We remark that we don't know whether or not the 3-torus is weakly 2-congruent to $\#^3 S^1 \times S^2$. It seems unlikely. If one could prove that the 3-torus is not weakly 2-congruent to $\#^3 S^1 \times S^2$, it would provide a second proof of Fox's result [F2] that the 3-torus is not the double branched cover of a link. By the trick of Montesinos [M], the double branched cover of S^3 along a link with c components is weakly 2-congruent to $\#^{c-1} S^1 \times S^2$.

First Proof of Theorem 1. The d th Burnside group of a group G obtained by quotienting G by the subgroup normally generated by the d th powers of all elements. The d th Burnside group of a manifold M is the d th Burnside group of the fundamental group of the manifold. Slightly generalizing an observation of Dabkowski and Przytycki [DP, proof of Theorem (1.2)], we noted in [G] that the d th Burnside group is preserved by weak d -congruence.

The d th Burnside group of T^3 is abelian. In fact it is \mathbb{Z}_d^3 . According to [MKS, Exercise 2.2.19], the d th Burnside group of a free group on r generators is nonabelian if $d > 2$ and $r > 1$. Of course the fundamental group of $\#^3 S^1 \times S^2$ is free on three generators. \square

In [G, Theorem (2.7)], we observed that a weak d -congruence induces an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z}_d -cohomology groups of M . Moreover if d is odd, this isomorphism preserves the ring structure. Using Poincare duality, this simply means the trilinear pairing t_M on $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}_d)$ with values in \mathbb{Z}_d is preserved.

Let t_M denote the trilinear form on $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}_d)$ with values in \mathbb{Z}_d which sends (χ_1, χ_2, χ_3) to $(\chi_1 \cup \chi_2 \cup \chi_3) \cap [M]$. If d is even, let $\rho : \mathbb{Z}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{d/2}$ be reduction modulo $d/2$.

Theorem 2. *A weak d -congruence between M and M' induces an isomorphism $c : H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}_d) \rightarrow H^1(M', \mathbb{Z}_d)$. If d is odd,*

$$t_M(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3) = t_{M'}(c(\chi_1), c(\chi_2), c(\chi_3)).$$

If d is even,

$$\rho(t_M(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3)) = \rho(t_{M'}(c(\chi_1), c(\chi_2), c(\chi_3))).$$

Proof. The case d odd is [G, Theorem (2.7)]. The proof, in the case d even, proceeds in exactly the same way. At the end, we need to see that the triple intersection number τ must satisfy $\rho(\tau) = 0 \pmod{d/2}$. This follows from $\tau = -\tau \pmod{d}$, which holds since the triple intersection number of surfaces is skew symmetric. \square

Proposition 3. *In the case, d is even,*

$$t_M(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3) = t_{M'}(c(\chi_1), c(\chi_2), c(\chi_3))$$

need not hold. The τ that appears in the proof of Theorem 2 is congruent to $d/2$ modulo d .

Proof. One may pass from $S^1 \times S^2$ to $L(ds, q)$ by a weak type- d surgery. Let ψ denote a generator for $H^1(L(ds, q), \mathbb{Z}_d)$. One has that $\psi \cup \psi$ is $d/2$ times a generator for $H^1(L(ds, q), \mathbb{Z}_d)$ [H, Example 3.41]. It follows that $t_{L(ds, q)}(\psi, \psi, \psi) = d/2 \pmod{d}$. On the other hand, $t_{S^1 \times S^2}$ is the zero trilinear form. We note that it follows that $\tau = d/2 \pmod{d}$. \square

Second Proof of Theorem 1. We apply Theorem 2. If d is odd, we note that t_{T^3} is non-trivial and $t_{\#^3 S^1 \times S^2}$ is zero. If d is even, we observe that $\rho \circ t_{T^3}$ is non-trivial and $\rho \circ t_{\#^3 S^1 \times S^2}$ is zero. \square

Let P denote the Poincare homology sphere. P can also be described as the Brieskorn manifold $\Sigma(2, 3, 5)$. Let Σ denote the Brieskorn homology sphere $\Sigma(2, 3, 7)$.

Proposition 4. *P , Σ and S^3 are all weakly 5-congruent to each other. However no two of them are 5-congruent.*

Proof. The last statement is contained in [G, Corollary 3.10]. By [Mi, Lemma (1.1)], P and Σ are double branched covers of S^3 along the respectively the $(3, 5)$ and $(3, 7)$ torus knots. Both of these knots are closures of 3-braids. According to [DIP, Theorem 2.2], the closure of any 3-braid is $(2, 2)$ -move equivalent to a trivial link or one of four specified 3-component links. We have that $(2, 2)$ moves are covered in the double branched covers of links by $\pm 2/5$ surgeries [DP, DIP]. So P and Σ must each be weakly 5-congruent to S^3 (the double branched cover of the unknot) or the double branched covers of a link with more than one component. But the double branched cover of a c -component link will have first homology with \mathbb{Z}_5 coefficients \mathbb{Z}_5^{c-1} . As this homology group is preserved by weak 5-congruence, and both P and S are homology spheres, P and S must be weakly 5-congruent to S^3 . \square

We would like to thank Jozef Przytycki for some valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [DP] M. DABKOWSKI, J. PRZYTYCKI. Unexpected connections between Burnside groups and knot theory. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **101** (2004) 17357–17360
- [DIP] M. DABKOWSKI, I. ISHIWATA, J. PRZYTYCKI. Rational moves and tangle embeddings: $(2, 2)$ -moves as a case study, ‘*Topology of Knots VII* (Proceeding of the Conference Topology of Knots VII, Dec. 23-26, 2004, TWCU), February, 2005, 37–46 (in Japanese) e-print: arXiv:math/0501539 is an English version of the paper
- [F1] R.H. FOX. Congruence classes of knots. *Osaka Math. J.* **10** (1958) 37–41.
- [F2] R.H. FOX. A note on branched cyclic covering of spheres. *Rev. Mat. Hisp.-Amer.* **32** (1972), 158–166.
- [G] P. GILMER. Congruence and Quantum Invariants of 3-manifolds, *Algebraic and Geometric Topology*, to appear
- [H] A. HATCHER. *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, (2002)
- [L] M. LACKENBY. Fox’s congruence classes and the quantum-SU(2) invariants of links in 3-manifolds. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **71** (1996), 664–677
- [MKS] W. MAGNUS, A. KARRASS, D. SOLITAR. Combinatorial group theory. Presentations of groups in terms of generators and relations. Interscience Publishers. New York (1966)
- [Mi] J. MILNOR. On the 3-dimensional Brieskorn manifolds $M(p, q, r)$. Knots, groups, and 3-manifolds (Papers dedicated to the memory of R. H. Fox), *Ann. of Math. Studies*, **84**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., (1975), 175–225
- [M] J.M. Montesinos. Variedades de Seifert que son cubiertas ciclicas ramificados de dos hojas. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana* (2) **18** (1973), 1–32.
- [P1] J. H. PRZYTYCKI, t_k -moves on links, In *Braids 1986*, ed. J.S.Birman and A.Libgober, Contemporary Math. **78**, 1988, 615-656; e-print: arXiv.org/abs/math.GT/0606633
- [P2] J. H. PRZYTYCKI, Three talks in Cuautitlan under the general title: Topologia algebraica basada sobre nudos, *Proceedings of the First International Workshop on "Graphs – Operads – Logic*, Cuautitlan, Mexico, March 12-16, 2001; e-print: arXiv.org/abs/math.GT/0109029
- [P3] J. H. PRZYTYCKI, From 3-moves to Lagrangian tangles and cubic skein modules, *Advances in Topological Quantum Field Theory*, Proceedings of the NATO ARW on New Techniques in Topological Quantum Field Theory, Kananaskis Village, Canada from 22 to 26 August 2001; Ed. John M. Bryden, October 2004, e-print: arXiv.org/abs/math.GT/0405248

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LA 70803,
USA

E-mail address: gilmer@math.lsu.edu

URL: www.math.lsu.edu/~gilmer/