

Manin matrices and Talalaev's formula.

A. Chervov ¹ G. Falqui ²

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics

Università degli Studi di Milano - Bicocca

Abstract

In this paper we study properties of Lax and Transfer matrices associated with quantum integrable systems. Our point of view stems from the fact that their elements satisfy special commutation properties, considered by Yu. I. Manin some twenty years ago at the beginning of Quantum Group Theory. They are the commutation properties of matrix elements of linear homomorphisms between polynomial rings; more explicitly they read: 1) elements in the same column commute; 2) commutators of the cross terms are equal: $[M_{ij}, M_{kl}] = [M_{kj}, M_{il}]$ (e.g. $[M_{11}, M_{22}] = [M_{21}, M_{12}]$).

The main aim of this paper is twofold: on the one hand we observe and prove that such matrices (which we call *Manin* matrices for short) behave almost as well as matrices with commutative elements. Namely theorems of linear algebra (e.g., a natural definition of the determinant, the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, the Newton identities and so on and so forth) have a straightforward counterpart in the case of Manin matrices.

On the other hand, we remark that such matrices are somewhat ubiquitous in the theory of quantum integrability. For instance, Manin matrices (and their q-analogs) include matrices satisfying the Yang-Baxter relation "RTT=TTR" and the so-called Cartier-Foata matrices. Also, they enter Talalaev's remarkable formulas: $\det(\partial_z - L_{Gaudin}(z))$, $\det(1 - e^{-\partial_z} T_{Yangian}(z))$ for the "quantum spectral curve", and appear in separation of variables problem and Capelli identities.

We show that theorems of linear algebra, after being established for such matrices, have various applications to quantum integrable systems and Lie algebras, e.g in the construction of new generators in $Z(U_{crit}(\widehat{gl}_n))$ (and, in general, in the construction of quantum conservation laws), in the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation, and in the problem of Wick ordering.

We also discuss applications to the separation of variables problem, new Capelli identities and the Langlands correspondence.

¹E-mail: chervov@itep.ru²E-mail: gregorio.falqui@unimib.it

Contents

1	Introduction and Summary of the Results	3
2	Manin matrices. Definitions and elementary properties	6
2.1	The determinant	7
2.1.1	Elementary properties	8
2.1.2	The permanent	9
3	Lax matrices as Manin matrices	9
3.1	The Gaudin case	10
3.2	The Yangian (Heisenberg chain) case.	12
4	Algebraic properties of Manin matrices and their applications.	14
4.1	Cramer's formula	14
4.1.1	Application to the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation	15
4.2	Inversion of Manin matrices	16
4.2.1	Application to the Enriquez - Rubtsov - Babelon - Talon theorem.	17
4.3	Block matrices and Schur's complement	18
4.3.1	Application. MTV-Capelli identity for $gl[t]$ (for the Gaudin system)	19
5	Spectral properties of Manin matrices and applications	20
5.1	The Cayley-Hamilton theorem	20
5.1.1	Example. The Cayley-Hamilton theorem for the Yangian	20
5.2	The Newton identities and applications	21
5.2.1	Quantum powers, $ZU_{crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$, and new quantum Gaudin integrals	22
5.2.2	Application. The Newton identities for the Yangian	23
5.3	The (Quantum) MacMahon-Wronski formula	24
5.3.1	Application. $Tr S^K L(z)$, $ZU_{crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$, new Gaudin's integrals	25
6	Quantum separation of variables	25
6.1	The Yangian case	26
6.2	The cases $\mathbf{n} = 2, 3$	27
7	Concluding remarks and open problems	28
8	Appendix	29
8.1	The center of $U_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$, Bethe subalgebras and normal ordering	29
8.2	The geometric Langlands correspondence over \mathbb{C}	30
8.2.1	The local correspondence:	30
8.2.2	The global correspondence	31
8.2.3	Remarks	31
8.3	Capelli and Baxter type conjectures	32
8.3.1	$det(\partial_z - L(z))$ and $det(\partial_z + L(z))$ are conjugate	32
8.3.2	Capelli type explicit formulas for $det(\partial_z \pm L(z))$	32
8.3.3	General Capelli identities	33
8.3.4	Generalized Baxter type conjectures	34

1 Introduction and Summary of the Results

It is well-known that matrices with generically noncommutative elements do not admit a natural construction of the determinant and basic theorems of the linear algebra fail to hold true. On the other hand, matrices with noncommutative entries play a basic role in the theory of quantum integrability (see, e.g., [FTS79]), in Manin's theory of "noncommutative symmetries" [Man88], and so on and so forth. It is fair to say that recently D. Talalaev [Ta04] made a kind of breakthrough in quantum integrability, defining the "quantum characteristic polynomial" or "quantum spectral curve" $\det(\partial_z - L(z))$ for Lax matrices satisfying rational R -matrix commutation relations (e.g., Gaudin systems)³.

The first and basic observation of the present paper is the following: the *quantum Lax matrix* $(\partial_z - L_{gl_n-Gaudin}(z))$ entering⁴ Talalaev's formula, as well as a suitable modification of the transfer matrix of the (XXX) Heisenberg chain, matches the simplest case of Manin's considerations (i.e. are Manin matrices in the sense specified by the Definition 1 below). Further we prove that many *results of commutative linear algebra* can be applied with minor modifications in the case of "Manin matrices" and derive applications.

We will consider the simplest case of those considered by Manin, namely - in the present paper - we will restrict ourselves to the case of commutators, and not of (super)- q -commutators, etc. Let us mention that Manin matrices are defined, roughly speaking, by **half** of the relations of the corresponding quantum group $Fun_q(GL(n))$ and taking $q = 1$ (see remark 2 page 6).

Definition 1 *Let M be a $n \times n'$ matrix with elements M_{ij} in a noncommutative ring \mathcal{R} . We will call M a Manin matrix if the following two conditions hold:*

1. *Elements in the same column commute between themselves.*
2. *Commutators of cross terms of 2×2 submatrices of M are equal:*

$$[M_{ij}, M_{kl}] = [M_{kj}, M_{il}], \forall i, j, k, l \text{ e. g. } [M_{11}, M_{22}] = [M_{21}, M_{12}]. \quad (1)$$

A more intrinsic definition of Manin matrices via coactions on polynomial and Grassmann algebras will be recalled in Proposition 1 page 7 below. (Roughly speaking variables $\tilde{x}_i = \sum_j M_{ij}x_j$ commute among themselves if and only if M is a Manin matrix, where x_j are commuting variables, also commuting with elements of M).

As we shall see in Section 3, the following properties hold:

- $\partial_z - L_{gl_n-Gaudin}(z)$ is a Manin matrix. Here $L_{gl_n-Gaudin}(z)$ is the Lax matrix for the Lie algebra $gl_n[t]$, i.e. a matrix valued-generating function for generators of $gl_n[t]$, (in physics $L_{gl_n-Gaudin}(z)$ is the Lax matrix for the Gaudin integrable system). (Section 3.1).
- $e^{-\partial_z}T_{gl_n-Yangian}(z)$ is a Manin matrix. Here $T_{gl_n-Yangian}(z)$ is the Lax (or "transfer") matrix for the Yangian algebra $Y(gl_n)$, i.e. a matrix valued-generating function for the generators of $Y(gl_n)$, (in physics $T_{gl_n-Yangian}(z)$ is the Lax matrix for the integrable systems with the Yangian symmetry: the Heisenberg XXX spin chain, the Toda system, etc.) (Section 3.2).

Furthermore, we shall see that Manin matrices enter other topics in quantum integrability, e.g, the quantum separation of variables theory (section 6) and Capelli identities (section 4.3.1).

Among the basic statements of linear algebra we establish (in a form *identical* to the commutative case) for Manin matrices, let us mention:

³See [CT06], [MTV06], [MTV05] for some applications to the Bethe ansatz, separation of variables, the Langlands correspondence, the Capelli identities, real algebraic geometry and related topics.

⁴Remark that $L_{gl_n-Gaudin}(z)$ is not a Manin matrix; to insert ∂_z is an insight due to D.Talalaev; the operator $(\partial_z - L_{gl_n-Gaudin}(z))$ is also related to the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation see section 4.1.1

- Section 4.2: The inverse of a Manin matrix M is again Manin; furthermore $\det(M^{-1}) = (\det M)^{-1}$;
- Section 4.3: the formula for the determinant of block matrices:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det(A)\det(D - CA^{-1}B) = \det(D)\det(A - BD^{-1}C);$$

Also, we show that $D - CA^{-1}B$, $A - BD^{-1}C$ are Manin matrices;

- Section 5.1: the Cayley-Hamilton theorem: $\det(t - M)|_{t=M} = 0$;
- section 5.2: the Newton identities between TrM^k and coefficients of $\det(t + M)$.

This extends some results previously obtained in the literature: Manin has defined the determinant, proved Cramer's inversion rule, Laplace formulas, as well as Plucker identities. In [GLZ03] the MacMahon-Wronski formula was proved; [Ko07B],[Ko07A] contains Sylvester's identity and the Jacobi ratio's theorem, along with partial results on an inverse matrix and block matrices.⁵ One of our point is to present applications to the theory of Quantum integrable systems.

Applications to integrability and Lie theory. We derive various applications of the above-mentioned linear algebra statements to quantum integrable systems, to the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation, as well as Yangians and Lie algebras. Namely:

- Section 4.1.1: a new proof of the correspondence ([CT04]) between solutions of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation and solutions $Q(z)$ of $\det(\partial_z - L(z))Q(z) = 0$. The last equation plays a key role in the solution of the Gaudin type integrable systems. In the sl_2 case it is known in the literature as "Baxter's T-Q equation".
- Section 4.2.1: the observation that the inverse of a Manin matrix is again a Manin matrix yields, in a very simple way, some recent result on a general construction about quantum integrable systems ([ER01],[BT02]), that, in a nutshell, says that (quantum)separability implies commutativity of the quantum Hamiltonians.
- Section 4.3.1: a new proof of the generalized Capelli identities [MTV06] for the Lie algebra $gl[t]$ (or "the Gaudin integrable system").
- Sections 5.2.1, 5.2.2: a construction of new explicit generators ($: TrL^{[k]}(z) :$)⁶ in the center of $U_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$ and $(TrL^{[k]}(z))$ in the commutative Bethe subalgebra in $U(gl_n[t])$; in the physics language $(TrL^{[k]}(z))$ are quantum conservation laws for the quantum Gaudin system. Here $L^{[k]}(z)$ are "quantum powers" defined in [CT06b], that "cures" some bad properties (i.e., failure of producing quantum commuting operators) of the standard powers $L^k(z)$.⁷ Similar results for the Yangian case, and also Newton and the Cayley-Hamilton identities for these generators.

⁵These authors actually considered more general classes of matrices

⁶The basic definitions on "normal ordering" : ... :, "critical level", $U_{c=crit}(\widehat{gl}_n) = U_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$, and ("Bethe") subalgebra in $U(gl_n[t])$ are recalled in the Appendix (section 8.1)

⁷E.g., $[TrL_{gl_n-Gaudin}^4(z), TrL_{gl_n-Gaudin}^2(z)] \neq 0$ [CRYT04] this is expected to be a general phenomenon in the quantum case, opposite to the classical one.

- Section 5.3.1: the construction of a further set of explicit generators ($: TrS^kL(z) :$) and $(TrS^kL(z))$ in the center of $U_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$, and, respectively, in the commutative Bethe subalgebra in $U(gl_n[t])$; in physical language, $(TrS^kL(z))$ are quantum conservation laws for the quantum Gaudin, Heisenberg, etc. integrable systems. We notice that some results on the Cayley-Hamilton, Newton, MacMahon-Wronski relations in $U(gl_n)$ by T. Umeda, M. Itoh [U98],[U03], [Ito98] are implied by our constructions. These issues will be discussed elsewhere.

Finally, we address the problem of Sklyanin's separation of variables problem; we present a conjectural construction of the quantum separated variables for Yangian type systems, as well as some remarks concerning the Gaudin case.

In the Appendix, we first collect definitions about the center of $U_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$, that is, the commutative Bethe subalgebra in $U(gl[t])$ (Section 8.1), and on the relations of the present work with the geometric Langlands program (Section 8.2). In Sections 8.3 and 6 we give a new look and generalizations of the Capelli type identities [Ok96],[MTV06], which appears to be related with the quantization of the Gaudin and Heisenberg's XXX systems via the Wick ordering prescription.

Our starting point is the following remarkable construction by D.Talalaev of the "quantum spectral curve" or the "quantum characteristic polynomial". It solves the longstanding problems of the explicit efficient construction of the center of $U_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$, the commutative Bethe subalgebra in $U(gl[t])$ ⁸ and explicitly produces a complete set of quantum integrals of motion for the Gaudin system. It also has many other applications (to the Bethe ansatz, separation of variables, the Langlands correspondence, the Capelli identities, real algebraic geometry etc). The right setup where the Talalaev's formula fits are the ideas of E. K. Sklyanin on quantum separation of variables (see, e.g., the surveys [Sk92], [Sk95]).

Theorem [Ta04] *Let $L(z)$ be the Lax matrix of the gl_n -Gaudin model, and consider the following differential operator in the variable z (the Talalaev's quantum characteristic polynomial or quantum spectral curve):*

$$\det^{columnn}(\partial_z - L_{gl_n-Gaudin}(z)) = \sum_{i=0, \dots, n} QH_i(z) \partial_z^i, \quad (2)$$

Then:

$$\forall i, j \in 0, \dots, n, \text{ and } u \in \mathbb{C}, v \in \mathbb{C} \quad [QH_i(z)|_{z=u}, QH_j(z)|_{z=v}] = 0.$$

So taking $QH_i(z)$ for different $i, z = u \in \mathbb{C}$ (or their residues at poles, or other "spectral invariant" of the Lax matrix $\partial_z - L_{gl_n-Gaudin}(z)$) one obtains a full set of generators of quantum mutually commuting conserved integrals of motion.

Actually, the theorem holds for all Lax matrices of " gl_n -Gaudin type (see definition 5, section 3.1). For the Yangian case D.Talalaev considers: $\det(1 - e^{-\partial_z} T_{gl_n-Yangian}(z))$ ([Ta04] formula 9 page 6).

Remarks. 1) In [GLZ03], [Ko07B], [Ko07A] the names "right quantum matrices"; in [RRT02], [RRT05], [LT07] the name "left quantum group" were used. We prefer to use the name "Manin matrices".

2) Our case is different from the more general one of [GGRW02], where *generic* matrices with non-commutative entries are considered. In that case, there is no natural definition of the determinant (rather, it was proposed to build linear algebra in terms of elements A^{-1} , elements of A^{-1} being called "quasi-determinants", so that there are n^2 quasi-determinants instead of a single notion of determinant in the commutative case). Thus the analogues of linear algebra propositions are sometimes quite different from the commutative case. Nevertheless some results of the above mentioned authors can be fruitfully applied to some questions here.

⁸See [CT06], [CM]

3) Those readers that are familiar with the R -matrix approach to (quantum) integrable systems know that $L_{gl_n-Gaudin}(z)$ and $T_{gl_n-Yangian}(z)$ satisfy quite *different* commutation relations (12,19) with "spectral parameter", namely, in the first case we have linear R -matrix commutation relations, while in the second one we have quadratic relations. It is quite surprising that Talalaev's introduction of ∂_z converts both to the *same* class of Manin matrices.

Moreover Manin's relations do *not* contain explicitly the "spectral parameter" z . Thus we can use simpler considerations (that is, without taking into account the dependence on spectral parameter) and apply our results also to the theory z -dependent Lax/transfer matrices. Another feature of insertion of ∂_z is that the somewhat *ad hoc shifts* in the spectral parameter entering the formulas for the "qdet($T(z)$)" known in the literature now *appear automatically* from the usual column-determinant (see e.g. lemma 5 page 13).

All the considerations below work for an arbitrary field of characteristic not equal to 2, but we restrict ourselves with \mathbb{C} .

Subsequent paper(s) [CFRu] will contain more proofs, background material, generalizations, e.g., to the (q)-super cases.

Acknowledgments G.F. acknowledges support from the ESF programme MISGAM, and the Marie Curie RTN ENIGMA (Contract number MRTN-CT- 2004-5652). The work of A.C. has been partially supported by the Russian President Grant MK-5056.2007.1, the grant of Support for the Scientific Schools 8004.2006.2, and by the INTAS grant YSF-04-83-3396, by the ANR grant GIMP (Geometry and Integrability in Mathematics and Physics). Part of work was done during the visits of AC to SISSA and the Milano-Bicocca University (under the INTAS project), and to the University of Angers (under ANR grant GIMP). A.C. is deeply indebted to SISSA and especially to B. Dubrovin, as well as to the University of Angers and especially to V. Rubtsov, for providing warm hospitality, excellent working conditions and stimulating discussions. The authors are grateful to D. Talalaev, M. Gekhtman, A. Molev, E. Sklyanin, A. Silantiev for multiple stimulating discussions, to P. Pyatov for sharing with us his unpublished results, pointing out to the paper [GLZ03] and for multiple stimulating discussions, to S. Loktev for his friendly help, to A. Smirnov for Maple checks of the conjectures and stimulating discussions, to Yu. Burman and N. Crampe for their kind remarks.

2 Manin matrices. Definitions and elementary properties

We herewith recall definitions and results of [Man87], [Man88, Man91] (with minor variations suited to the sequel of the paper). We first remark that the notion (given in Definition 1) of Manin matrix with elements in an associative ring \mathcal{R} can be reformulated as the condition that

$$\forall p, q, k, l \quad [M_{pq}, M_{kl}] = [M_{kq}, M_{pl}] \quad \text{e.g.} \quad [M_{11}, M_{22}] = [M_{21}, M_{12}], [M_{11}, M_{2k}] = [M_{21}, M_{1k}]$$

Indeed, for $q = l$ the above requirement yields that elements which belong to the same column of M commute among themselves. For $q \neq l$, it is precisely the second condition of Definition 1.

Remark 1 Precisely these relations were explicitly written in [Man88] (see chapter 6.1, especially Formula 1). Implicitly they are contained in [Man87]– the last sentence on page 198 contains a definition of the algebra $end(A)$ for an arbitrary quadratic Koszul algebra A . One can show (see the remarks on the page 199 top) that $end(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ is the algebra generated by M_{ij} .

Remark 2 *Relation with quantum group $Fun_q(GL(n))$ (see [Man88], [CFRu]).* Let us consider $n = 2$. The q-analog of the relations above is: $M_{21}M_{11} = qM_{11}M_{21}$, $M_{22}M_{12} = qM_{12}M_{22}$, $M_{11}M_{22} = M_{22}M_{11} + q^{-1}M_{21}M_{12} - qM_{12}M_{21}$, this is precisely half of the relations defining the quantum group $Fun_q(GL(2))$ (e.g. [Man87] page 192, formula 3). This is true in general: q-Manin matrices are

obtained by **half** of the relations of the corresponding quantum group $Fun_q(GL(n))$. Conversely one can define $Fun_q(GL(n))$ by matrices M such that M and M^t are simultaneously q -Manin matrices [Man87], [Man88]. In present paper we consider $q = 1$ case.

The proposition below gives a more intrinsic characterization of Manin's matrices (see [Man88], [Man91]:)

Proposition 1 *Consider a rectangular $n \times m$ -matrix M , the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ and the Grassman algebra $\mathbb{C}[\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n]$ (i.e. $\psi_i^2 = 0, \psi_i\psi_j = -\psi_j\psi_i$); suppose x_i and ψ_i commute with the matrix elements $M_{p,q}$. Consider the variables $\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{\psi}_i$ defined by:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \dots \\ \tilde{x}_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{1,1} & \dots & M_{1,m} \\ \dots & & \\ M_{n,1} & \dots & M_{n,m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \dots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix} \quad (\tilde{\psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\psi}_m) = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n) \begin{pmatrix} M_{1,1} & \dots & M_{1,m} \\ \dots & & \\ M_{n,1} & \dots & M_{n,m} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

that is the new variables are obtained via left action (in the polynomial case) and right action (in the Grassmann case) of M on the old ones. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:

- The matrix M is a Manin matrix.
- The variables \tilde{x}_i commute among themselves: $[\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{x}_j] = 0$.
- The variables $\tilde{\psi}_i$ anticommute among themselves: $\tilde{\psi}_i\tilde{\psi}_j + \tilde{\psi}_j\tilde{\psi}_i = 0$.

Proof. It is a straightforward calculation.

Let us present some examples of Manin matrices.

Definition 2 *A matrix A with the elements in a noncommutative ring is called a Cartier-Foata (see [CF69, Fo79]) matrix if elements from different rows commute with each other.*

Lemma 1 *Any Cartier-Foata matrix is a Manin matrix.*

Proof. The characteristic conditions of Definition 1 are trivially satisfied in this case. \square

The example below is related to our discussion of the Capelli identities (section 4.3.1)). Let x_{ij}, y_{ij} be commutative variables, and X, Y be $n \times k$ matrices with matrix elements x_{ij}, y_{ij} . Also, let ∂_X, ∂_Y be $n \times k$ matrices with matrix elements $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i,j}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i,j}}$. Let z be a variable commuting with y_{ij} . The following $2n \times 2k$, and $(n+k) \times (n+k)$ matrices, with elements in the ring of differential operators in the variables X, Y are easily seen to be Manin matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & \partial_Y \\ Y & \partial_X \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} z \ 1_{k \times k} & (\partial_Y)^t \\ Y & \partial_z \ 1_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

2.1 The determinant

Definition 3 *Let M be a Manin matrix. Define the determinant of M by column expansion:*

$$\det M = \det^{\text{column}} M = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma \prod_{i=1, \dots, n}^{\curvearrowright} M_{\sigma(i), i}, \quad (5)$$

where S_n is the group of permutations of n letters, and the symbol \curvearrowright means that in the product $\prod_{i=1, \dots, n} M_{\sigma(i), i}$ one writes at first the elements from the first column, then from the second column and so on and so forth.

Lemma 2 *The determinant of a Manin matrix does not depend on the order of the columns in the column expansion, i.e.,*

$$\forall p \in S_n \quad \det^{column} M = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma \prod_{i=1, \dots, n}^{\widehat{}} M_{\sigma(p(i)), p(i)} \quad (6)$$

Proof. Since any permutation can be presented as a product of transpositions of neighbors $(i, i + 1)$ it is enough to prove the proposition for such transpositions. But for them it follows from the equality of the commutators of cross elements (formula 1). \square

Example 1 For the case $n=2$, we have

$$\det^{col} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{def}{=} ad - cb \stackrel{lemma}{=} da - bc. \quad (7)$$

Indeed, this is a restatement of the second condition of Definition 1.

2.1.1 Elementary properties

The following properties are simple consequences of the definition of Manin matrix.

1. Any matrix with commuting elements is a Manin matrix.
2. Any submatrix of a Manin matrix is again a Manin matrix.
3. If A, B are Manin matrices and $\forall i, j, k, l : [A_{ij}, B_{kl}] = 0$, then $A + B$ is again a Manin matrix.
4. If A is a Manin matrix, c is constant, then cA is a Manin matrix.
5. If A is a Manin matrix, C is constant matrix, then CA and AC are Manin matrices and $\det(CA) = \det(AC) = \det(C)\det(A)$.
6. If A, B are Manin matrices and $\forall i, j, k, l : [A_{ij}, B_{kl}] = 0$, then AB is a Manin matrix and $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$.
7. If A is a Manin matrix, then one can exchange the i -th and the j -th columns(rows); one can put i -th column(row) on j -th place (erasing j -th column(row)); one can add new column(row) to matrix A which is equal to one of the columns(rows) of the matrix A ; one can add the i -th column(row) multiplied by any constant to the j -th column(row); in all cases the resulting matrix will be again a Manin matrix.
8. If A and simultaneously A^t are Manin matrices, then all elements $A_{i,j}$ commute with each other. (A q -analog of this lemma says that if A and simultaneously A^t are q -Manin, then A is quantum matrix: " $R\overset{1}{A}\overset{2}{A} = \overset{2}{A}\overset{1}{A}R$ " ([Man87], [Man88])).
9. The exchange of two columns in a Manin matrix changes the sign of the determinant. If two columns or two rows in a Manin matrix M coincide, then $\det(M) = 0$.
Proof. The first assertion is obvious. For the second, (in the case of equal i -th and j -th column) use the column expansion of $\det(M)$, taking any permutation with first two elements i and j . One sees that $\det(M)$ is a sum of elements of the form $(xy - yx)(z)$, where x, y belong to the same column. Using the column commutativity $[x, y] = 0$ we get the result. For the case of two coinciding rows the assertion follows at once, without the help of column commutativity. \square
10. Since any submatrix of a Manin matrix is a Manin matrix one has a natural definition of minors and again one can choose an arbitrary order of columns (rows) to define their determinant.

2.1.2 The permanent

The permanent of a matrix is a multilinear function of its entries, similar to the determinant, with no $(-1)^{\text{sign}(\sigma)}$ in its definition. We will make use of it in section 5.3 below.

Definition 4 Let M be a Manin matrix. Let us define the permanent of M by **row expansion**⁹ :

$$\text{perm}M = \text{perm}^{\text{row}}M = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1, \dots, n} M_{i, \sigma(i)}, \quad (8)$$

Lemma 3 The permanent of Manin matrices does not depend on the order of rows in the row expansion:

$$\forall p \in S_n \quad \text{perm}^{\text{row}}M = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1, \dots, n} M_{p(i), \sigma(p(i))} \quad (9)$$

Proof. Since any permutation can be presented as a product of transpositions of neighbors $(i, i+1)$ it is enough to prove the proposition for such transpositions. But for them it follows from the equality of the commutators of cross elements (formula 1). \square

Example 2

$$\text{perm}^{\text{row}} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ad + bc \stackrel{\text{lemma}}{=} da + cb, \quad (10)$$

Lemma 4 It is easy to see that the row-permanent of an arbitrary matrix M (even without conditions of commutativity) does not change under any permutation of columns.

3 Lax matrices as Manin matrices

In the modern theory of integrable systems, "Lax matrices" are also called "Lax operators", "transfer matrices", "monodromy matrices" for historical reasons. It is outside of the aim of the paper to make an attempt to provide a kind of formal definition of a Lax matrix for an integrable system. However, it is fair to say that there is a set of properties expected from a "good" Lax matrix; let us now recall those property relevant for our exposition.

Let M be a symplectic manifold and H_i be a set of Poisson-commuting functions defining an integrable system on it (for simplicity, let us consider the Hamiltonian flow X_1 of one of these functions, say H_1) A *Lax matrix* $L(z)$ for (M, H_i) is a matrix, whose matrix elements are functions on M , possibly depending¹⁰ on a formal parameter z , satisfying the following two characteristic properties:

1. The evolution of $L(z)$ along X_1 is of the form

$$\dot{L}(z) = [M_1(z), L(z)].$$

2. The characteristic polynomial $\det(\lambda - L(z)) = \sum_{i,j} H_{i,j} z^j \lambda^i$ produces "all Liouville" integrals of motion, i.e.

- $\forall i, j \quad H_{i,j}$ Poisson commute among themselves and with the given functions H_i

$$\forall k, i, j \quad \{H_k, H_{i,j}\} = 0, \quad \forall i, j, k, l \quad \{H_{i,j}, H_{k,l}\} = 0 \quad (11)$$

⁹Remark the difference with the definition of the determinant, where one uses column expansion

¹⁰let us assume for simplicity of presentation that $L(z)$ is just a polynomial function of the formal parameter z

- All H_i can be expressed via $H_{k,l}$ and vice versa.

Poisson algebras of commutative functions on manifolds M are related to classical mechanics. As it is well known, in quantum mechanics one is lead to consider a family of non commutative, but associative algebras $\widehat{Fun}(M)_\hbar$; \hbar is a formal parameter in mathematics and Plank's constant in physics. For $\hbar = 0$ the algebra $\widehat{Fun}(M)_\hbar$ coincide with the commutative algebra $Fun(M)$ of functions on a manifold M , as well as Poisson brackets are related to commutators: $[f, g] = \hbar\{f, g\} \text{ mod } (\hbar^2)$, for $f, g \in \widehat{Fun}(M)_\hbar$. (See, e.g., [K97]).

The standard example is the algebra of functions on $\mathbb{C}^{2n} - \mathbb{C}[p_i, q_i]$ with the Poisson bracket $\{p_i, q_j\} = \delta_{i,j}$, $\{p_i, p_j\} = \{q_i, q_j\} = 0$ whose quantization is the Heisenberg (Weyl) algebra generated by \hat{p}_i, \hat{q}_i and the relations $[\hat{p}_i, \hat{q}_j] = \hbar\delta_{i,j}$, $[\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] = [\hat{q}_i, \hat{q}_j] = 0$. (We will usually put $\hbar = 1$).

Within this framework, on can look for a *Quantum Lax matrix* for a quantum systems as a matrix satisfying

- $\hat{L}(z)$ is a matrix which matrix elements are elements from $\widehat{Fun}(M)_\hbar$, usually depending on a formal parameter z (thus, a quantum Lax matrix is a matrix with *noncommutative* elements).
- in the classical limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ one has $\hat{L}(z) \rightarrow L(z)^{\text{classical}}$.

It is quite natural to look, in the integrable case, for a kind of determinantal formula: " $\det(\hat{\lambda} - \hat{L}(\hat{z}))$ " = $\sum_k \hat{\lambda}^k \hat{H}_k(\hat{z})$ to produce quantum integrals of motion: $[\hat{H}_k(z), \hat{H}_l(u)] = 0$, and, possibly, to satisfy other important properties (see [CT06]).

3.1 The Gaudin case

The algebra of symmetries of the gl_n -Gaudin ([Ga76, Ga83]) integrable system is the Lie algebra $gl[t]$. The Lax matrix for the Gaudin system is a convenient way to combine generators of $gl[t]$ (or its factor algebras) into one generating matrix-valued function (see formula 18b below for $gl[t]$ itself). Quantum commuting Hamiltonians (quantum conservation laws) arise from the maximal commutative Bethe subalgebra in $U(gl_n[t])$. Explicit efficient expressions for generators were first obtained by D. Talalaev. This subalgebra is actually an image of the center of $U_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$ under the natural projection $U_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c) \rightarrow U(gl_n[t])$ (see Appendix, section 8.1). This explains the mathematical meaning and the importance of the subject.

Definition 5 Let R be an associative algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let us call a matrix $L(z)$ with elements in $R((z))$ (i.e. $L(z) \in Mat_n \otimes R \otimes \mathbb{C}((z))$)¹¹ a **Lax matrix of gl_n -Gaudin type** iff:

$$[L_{ij}(u), L_{kl}(v)] = \frac{1}{u-v} (L_{il}(v)\delta_{jk} - L_{il}(u)\delta_{jk} - L_{kj}(v)\delta_{li} + L_{kj}(u)\delta_{li}). \quad (12)$$

More precisely this is "rational" gl_n -Gaudin type; as it is well-known, there are trigonometric and elliptic versions as well as generalizations to semisimple Lie (super)-algebras.

We recall the matrix ("Leningrad's") notations: let $\Pi \in Mat_n \otimes Mat_n$ be the permutation matrix: $\Pi(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$, and consider $L(z) \otimes 1, 1 \otimes L(u) \in Mat_n \otimes Mat_n \otimes R \otimes \mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes \mathbb{C}((u))$. Formula 12 can be compactly written as follows:

$$[L(z) \otimes 1, 1 \otimes L(u)] = \left[\frac{\Pi}{z-u}, L(z) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes L(u) \right], \quad (13)$$

that is, a Lax matrix of gl_n -Gaudin type is a Lax matrix with *linear r-matrix* structure (the r -matrix being $r = \frac{\Pi}{z-u}$).

¹¹ or $L(z) \in Mat_n \otimes R \otimes \mathbb{C}((z^{-1}))$; in many cases $L(z)$ is just a rational function of z

Remark 3 From(12) it follows that

$$[L_{ij}(u), L_{kl}(u)] = -(\partial_u L_{il}(u)\delta_{jk} - \partial_u L_{kj}(u)\delta_{li}).$$

Here is our *first main observation*:

Proposition 2 Consider $\partial_z \pm L(z) \in \text{Mat}_n \otimes R \otimes \mathbb{C}((z))[\partial_z]$, where $L(z)$ is a Lax matrix of the gl_n -Gaudin type above; then:

$$(\partial_z - L(z)), \quad (\partial_z + L(z))^t \quad \text{are Manin matrices} \quad (14)$$

Proof. The proof is a straightforward computation.

Example 3 Let us show this property in the example of 2×2 case:

$$\partial_z - L(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_z - L_{11}(z) & -L_{12}(z) \\ -L_{21}(z) & \partial_z - L_{22}(z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

Column 1 commutativity : $[\partial_z - L_{11}(z), -L_{21}(z)] = -L'_{21}(z) + [L_{11}(z), L_{21}(z)] = 0,$
Cross term relation : $[\partial_z - L_{11}(z), \partial_z - L_{22}(z)] = -L'_{22}(z) + L'_{11}(z) = [L_{21}(z), L_{12}(z)].$

The following well-known fact easily follows from 13:

Proposition 3 Let $L(z)$ and $\tilde{L}(z)$ be Lax matrices of the Gaudin type with pairwise commuting elements (i.e. $\forall i, j, k, l, z, u : [L_{ij}(z), \tilde{L}_{kl}(u)] = 0$) then $L(z) + \tilde{L}(z)$ is again a Lax matrices of the Gaudin type.

Remark 4 The classical counterpart of the commutation relations (12) – namely, with Poisson brackets replacing commutators) and of the corresponding Lax matrices are associated with a huge number of integrable systems. In particular, among integrable systems leading to Lax representations of Gaudin type we can mention: the Neumann’s geodesic motion on ellipsoids and the Neumann-Rosochatius systems, the gl_n -Manakov-Mishenko-Fomenko tops (see, e.g., [AHH96]) to the $so(3)$ case); the Lagrange top and the Clebsh system (see, e.g., [PR07]); ”polynomial matrices” (and so finite gap solutions of KdV-type equations, although non-trivial reductions of the r -matrix bracket have to be considered) [Mum84], [RS79], [AvM80], [Be90]; the general systems studied in [AHP88]; the Jaynes-Cummings-Gaudin system, [BTa07]; as well as Hitchin’s system on singular rational curves [CT03B]. Bending flows, Gelfand-Ceitlin, Jucys-Murphy integrable systems are degenerations of the Gaudin system ([FM03A],[FM03B],[CFRy07]).

Moreover, Schlesinger system of isomonodromy deformations and Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation are non-autonomous versions of the classical and, respectively, quantum Gaudin Systems [DM03], [Re92]. It is well-known that Nahm’s monopole equations can be rewritten as a Gaudin system (see e.g. [GGM97] (section 6.1 page 24), where applications to Seiberg-Witten theory of $N=2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills are also discussed). In the influential paper [FFR94] (see, also the survey [Fr95]) the relation with the geometric Langlands correspondence was discovered. It might also be noticed that a great number of systems in condensed matter theory are related to Gaudin-type systems, see, e.g., [OSDR04].

In this paper, we shall concentrate on the following few examples of Gaudin type Lax matrices.

The simplest example Let K be an arbitrary constant matrix, and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, and z_1, \dots, z_k arbitrary points in the complex plane. Consider

$$L(z) = K + \sum_{i=1, \dots, k} \frac{1}{z - z_i} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{q}_{1,i} \\ \dots \\ \hat{q}_{n,i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{p}_{1,i} & \dots & \hat{p}_{n,i} \end{pmatrix} = K + \hat{Q} \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{(z - z_1)}, \dots, \frac{1}{(z - z_k)}\right) \hat{P}^t \quad (16)$$

where $\hat{p}_{i,j}, \hat{q}_{i,j}, i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, k$ are the standard generators of the standard Heisenberg algebra $[\hat{p}_{i,j}, \hat{q}_{k,l}] = \delta_{i,k} \delta_{j,l}, [\hat{p}_{i,j}, \hat{p}_{k,l}] = [\hat{q}_{i,j}, \hat{q}_{k,l}] = 0$. Also, \hat{Q}, \hat{P} are $n \times k$ -rectangular matrices with elements $\hat{Q}_{i,j} = \hat{q}_{i,k}, \hat{P}_{i,j} = \hat{p}_{i,j}$.

One can see that this Lax matrix satisfies relations 13 (12), and so by proposition 2 above $(\partial_z - L(z)), (\partial_z + L(z))^t$ are Manin matrices.

The standard example Consider $gl_n \oplus \dots \oplus gl_n$ and denote by e_{kl}^i the standard basis element from the i -th copy of the direct sum $gl_n \oplus \dots \oplus gl_n$. The standard Lax matrix for the Gaudin system is:

$$L_{gl_n\text{-Gaudin standard}}(z) = \sum_{i=1, \dots, k} \frac{1}{z - z_i} \begin{pmatrix} e_{1,1}^i & \dots & e_{1,n}^i \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ e_{n,1}^i & \dots & e_{n,n}^i \end{pmatrix} \quad (17)$$

$L_{gl_n\text{-Gaudin standard}}(z) \in Mat_n \otimes U(gl_n \oplus \dots \oplus gl_n) \otimes \mathbb{C}(z)$.

One can see that this Lax matrix satisfies relations 13 (12), and so by proposition 2 above $\partial_z - L_{gl_n\text{-Gaudin standard}}(z), (\partial_z + L_{gl_n\text{-Gaudin standard}}(z))^t$ are Manin matrices.

The gl_n and $gl_n[t]$ examples. Consider the Lie algebra gl_n , with e_{ij} its standard linear basis; consider the polynomial Lie algebra $gl_n[t]/t^k, k = 1, \dots, \infty$. The Lax matrices for gl_n and $gl_n[t]/t^k$ are the following:

$$L_{gl_n}(z) = \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} e_{1,1} & \dots & e_{1,n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ e_{n,1} & \dots & e_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \quad L_{gl_n[t]}(z) = \sum_{i=1, \dots, k} \frac{1}{z^i} \begin{pmatrix} e_{1,1} t^{i-1} & \dots & e_{1,n} t^{i-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ e_{n,1} t^{i-1} & \dots & e_{n,n} t^{i-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

$L_{gl_n}(z) \in Mat_n \otimes U(gl_n) \otimes \mathbb{C}(z); L_{gl_n[t]}(z) \in Mat_n \otimes U(gl_n[t]) \otimes \mathbb{C}((z^{-1}))$, where $U(g)$ is the universal enveloping algebra of g . Note: $zL_{gl_n}(z)$ coincide with the expression (12) section 2.1 [Kir00].

One can see that these Lax matrices satisfy relations 13 (12), and so by proposition 2 above $\partial_z - L_{gl_n}(z), \partial_z - L_{gl_n[t]}(z), (\partial_z + L_{gl_n}(z))^t, (\partial_z + L_{gl_n[t]}(z))^t$ are Manin matrices.

3.2 The Yangian (Heisenberg chain) case.

The Algebra of symmetries of the Heisenberg XXX spin chain, the quantum Toda system and several other integrable systems is a Hopf algebra called Yangian. It was implicitly defined in the works of Faddeev's school; a concise mathematical treatment and deep results were given in [Dr85] (see also the excellent more recent surveys [Mo02],[MNO94]). It is a deformation of the universal enveloping algebra of $gl[t]$. A Lax matrix of Yangian type is a convenient way to combine generators of the Yangian (or its factor algebras) into one generating matrix-valued function. Following standard notations we will write $T(z)$ ("Transfer matrix") instead of $L(z)$ in the case of the Yangian type Lax matrices.

Definition 6 Let \mathcal{R} be an associative algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let us call a matrix $T(z)$ with elements in $\mathcal{R}((z))$ (i.e. $T(z) \in Mat_n \otimes \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}((z))$)¹² a **Lax matrix of Yangian type** iff:

$$[T_{ij}(u), T_{kl}(v)] = \frac{1}{u-v} (T_{kj}(u)T_{il}(v) - T_{kj}(v)T_{il}(u)) \quad (19)$$

(See [Mo02] page 4 formula 2.3. More precisely this is the case of the gl_n -Yangian, there are generalizations to the semisimple Lie (twisted)-(super)-algebras).

In matrix (Leningrad's) notations we have, with $\Pi \in Mat_n \otimes Mat_n$ the permutation matrix: $\Pi(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$, and $T(z) \otimes 1, 1 \otimes T(u) \in Mat_n \otimes Mat_n \otimes \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes \mathbb{C}((u))$, $R(z-u) = (1 \otimes 1 - \frac{\Pi}{z-u})$ that formula 12 can be written as follows, as a *quadratic R-matrix* relation: (see [Mo02] page 6 proposition 2.3 formula 2.14).

$$(1 \otimes 1 - \frac{\Pi}{z-u})(T(z) \otimes 1) (1 \otimes T(u)) = (1 \otimes T(u)) (T(z) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes 1 - \frac{\Pi}{z-u}) \quad (20)$$

$$\text{Or shortly: } R(z-u) \overset{1}{T}(z) \overset{2}{T}(u) = \overset{2}{T}(u) \overset{1}{T}(z) R(z-u). \quad (21)$$

Our *second main observation* is:

Proposition 4 If $T(z)$ is a Lax matrix of the Yangian type then

$$T(z)e^{-\partial_z}, \quad (e^{\partial_z}T(z))^t \quad \text{are Manin matrices.} \quad (22)$$

Here $e^{\pm\partial_z}T(z) \in Mat_n \otimes Y(gl_n) \otimes \mathbb{C}[[1/z, e^{-\partial_z}]]$, (see definition 6 above). Actually $\forall c \in \mathbb{C} : T(z+c)$ is also of the Yangian type, so $T(z+c)e^{-\partial_z} = e^{-\partial_z}T(z+c+1)$, $(e^{\partial_z}T(z+c))^t = (T(z+c+1)e^{\partial_z})^t$ are Manin matrices.¹³

Proof. As in the Gaudin-type case, it follows from a straightforward computation. Actually it is known that any $k \times k$ submatrix of the Yangian type matrix is again a Yangian type matrix of $k \times k$ -size, so essentially it is necessary to check only 2×2 case.

The following well-known fact easily follows from 20:

Proposition 5 Let $T(z)$ and $\tilde{T}(z)$ be Lax matrices of Yangian type with pairwise commuting elements (i.e. $\forall i, j, k, l, z, u : [T_{ij}(z), \tilde{T}_{kl}(u)] = 0$); then the product $T(z)\tilde{T}(z)$ is again a Lax matrix of Yangian type.

Lemma 5 Observe that $\det^{\text{column}}(T(z)e^{-\partial_z}) = qdet(T(z))e^{-n\partial_z}$, where $qdet(M(z)) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_k M_{\sigma(k), k}(z-k+1)$ (see e.g. formula 2.24 page 10 [Mo02]). From 2.25 loc.cit. one sees: $\det^{\text{row}}(e^{\partial_z}T(z)) = e^{n\partial_z}qdet(T(z))$. So "shifts" in z in formulas for $qdet$ now appear automatically from the usual column/row determinants.

Let us herewith list a couple of remarkable examples of Yangian-type Lax matrices.

¹² or $T(z) \in Mat_n \otimes \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}((z^{-1}))$; in many cases $T(z)$ is just a rational function of z

¹³Observe an analogy. It is known ([Man88]) that, if M is matrix defining $Fun_q(GL_n)$ i.e. it satisfies $R \overset{1}{M} \overset{2}{M} = \overset{2}{M} \overset{1}{M} R$ without spectral parameter, then M and simultaneously M^t are q-Manin matrices. Here we have: $T(z)$ satisfies $R(z-u) \overset{1}{T}(z) \overset{2}{T}(u) = \overset{2}{T}(u) \overset{1}{T}(z) R(z-u)$ with spectral parameter and proposition claims that $e^{-\partial_z}T(z)$ and $(e^{\partial_z}T(z))^t$ are simultaneously Manin matrices. Both cases are related to GL_n , extension to the other Lie groups is not known for the moment.

The Toda system Consider the Heisenberg algebra generated by $\hat{p}_i, \hat{q}_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ and relations $[\hat{p}_i, \hat{q}_j] = \delta_{i,j}, [\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] = [\hat{q}_i, \hat{q}_j] = 0$. Define

$$T_{Toda}(z) = \prod_{i=1, \dots, n} \begin{pmatrix} z - \hat{p}_i & e^{-\hat{q}_i} \\ -e^{\hat{q}_i} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (23)$$

One can see that this Lax matrix satisfies relations 20 (19), and so by proposition 4 above $e^{-\partial_z} T_{Toda}(z)$ is a Manin matrix. One can easily see that, with the identification $q_{n+1} = q_1$, the coefficient C_{n-1} of z^{n-2} of $Tr T_{Toda}(z)$ equals $\sum_{k < l \leq n} \hat{p}_k \hat{p}_l - \sum_{i=1, \dots, n} e^{\hat{q}_i - \hat{q}_{i+1}}$, and the coefficient C_{n-1} of z^{n-1} is $-\sum_{i=1}^n p_i$. Thus $\frac{1}{2} C_{n-1}^2 - C_{n-2}$ is the physical Hamiltonian (i.e., the energy) of the periodic Toda chain.

The Heisenberg's XXX system Consider the Heisenberg algebra generated by $[\hat{p}_{i,j}, \hat{q}_{k,l}] = \delta_{i,k} \delta_{j,l}, [\hat{p}_{i,j}, \hat{p}_{k,l}] = [\hat{q}_{i,j}, \hat{q}_{k,l}] = 0$; the quantum Lax matrix for the simplest case of the gl_n -Heisenberg spin chain integrable systems can be given as follows:

$$T_{XXX} \text{ "simplest"}(z) = \prod_{i=1, \dots, k} \left(1_{n \times n} + \frac{1}{z - z_i} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{q}_{1,i} \\ \dots \\ \hat{q}_{n,i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{p}_{1,i} & \dots & \hat{p}_{n,i} \end{pmatrix} \right) \quad (24)$$

One can see that this Lax matrix satisfies relations 20 (19), and so by proposition 4 above $e^{-\partial_z} T_{XXX}(z)$ is a Manin matrix.

Consider $gl_n \oplus \dots \oplus gl_n$ and denote by e_{kl}^i the standard basis element of the i -th copy of the direct sum $gl_n \oplus \dots \oplus gl_n$. The standard Lax matrix for the $GL(N)$ -Heisenberg's XXX system is:

$$T_{gl_n-XXX-standard}(z) = \prod_{i=1, \dots, k} \left(1_{n \times n} + \frac{1}{z - z_i} \begin{pmatrix} e_{1,1}^i & \dots & e_{1,n}^i \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ e_{n,1}^i & \dots & e_{n,n}^i \end{pmatrix} \right) \quad (25)$$

$T_{gl_n-XXX-standard}(z) \in Mat_n \otimes U(gl_n \oplus \dots \oplus gl_n) \otimes \mathbb{C}(z)$.

One can see that this Lax matrix satisfies the relations 20 (19), and so by proposition 4 above $e^{-\partial_z} T_{gl_n-XXX-standard}(z)$ is a Manin matrix.

4 Algebraic properties of Manin matrices and their applications.

In this section we will derive a few less elementary properties of Manin matrix, and give applications thereof to integrable systems.

4.1 Cramer's formula

Proposition 6 [Man88] Let M be a Manin matrix and denote by M^{adj} the adjoint matrix defined in the standard way, (i.e. $M_{kl}^{adj} = (-1)^{k+l} \det^{column}(\widehat{M}_{lk})$ where \widehat{M}_{lk} is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix of M obtained removing the l -th row and the k -th column. Then the same formula as in the commutative case holds true, that is,

$$M^{adj} M = \det^{column}(M) Id \quad (26)$$

If M^t is a Manin matrix, then M^{adj} is defined by row-determinants and $MM^{adj} = \det^{column}(M^t) Id = \det^{row}(M) Id$.

Example 4 In the 2×2 case we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} da - bc & db - bd \\ -ca + ac & -cb + ad \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ad - cb & 0 \\ 0 & ad - cb \end{pmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

where the characteristic commutation relations of a Manin matrix have been taken into account.

Proof. One can see that the equality: $\forall i : (M^{adj}M)_{i,i} = det^{col}(M)$, follows from the fact that $det^{col}(M)$ does not depend on the order of the column expansion of the determinant. This independence was proved above (lemma 2). Let us introduce a matrix \tilde{M} as follows. Take the matrix M and set the i -th column equals to the j -th column; denote the resulting matrix by \tilde{M} . Note that $det^{col}(\tilde{M}) = 0$ precisely gives $(M^{adj}M)_{i,j} = 0$ for $i \neq j$. To prove that $det^{col}(\tilde{M}) = 0$ we argue as follows. Clearly \tilde{M} is a Manin matrix. Lemma 2 allows to calculate the determinant taking the elements first from i -th column, then j -th, then other elements from the other columns. Now it is quite clear that $det^{col}(\tilde{M}) = 0$, due to it is the sum of the elements of the form $(xy - yx)(z) = 0$, where x, y are the elements from the i -th and j -th of \tilde{M} , so from j -th column of M . By Manin's property elements from the same column of a matrix M commute, so $xy - yx = 0$, so $det^{col}(\tilde{M}) = 0$. \square

Remark 5 The only difference with the commutative case is that, in the equality (26) the order of the products of M^{adj} and M has to be kept in mind.

4.1.1 Application to the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation

We can give a very simple proof of the formula relating the solutions of KZ with coupling constant $\kappa = 1$ to the solutions of the equation defined by Talalaev's formula:

$$det(\partial_z - L(z))Q(z) = 0.$$

This result was first obtained in [CT04] in a more complicated manner (see also [CT06b]).

The standard gl_n -KZ-equation (actually a system of equations) [KZ84] for an unknown function $\Psi(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ is the following. Let $V_i, i = 0, \dots, k$ be representations of gl_n , $\Psi(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ is $V_0 \otimes V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_k$ valued function of $z_p, p = 0, \dots, k$. Let e_{ab} be the standard basis in gl_n , e_{ab}^i the corresponding basis in $gl_n \oplus \dots \oplus gl_n$ (upper index i is a number of copy of gl_n). Let π denote the representation of $gl_n \oplus \dots \oplus gl_n$ in $V_0 \otimes V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_k$.

$$\text{KZ system.} \quad \text{j-th equation:} \quad \left(\partial_{z_j} - \kappa \sum_{i=1 \dots k} \frac{\sum_{ab} \pi(e_{ab}^j) \otimes \pi(e_{ab}^{(i)})}{z_j - z_i} \right) \Psi(z) = 0. \quad (28)$$

$j = 0, \dots, k$, and $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ is some constant.

Let us restrict to the case V_0 is \mathbb{C}^n and denote z_0 by z . Let us now consider only one equation, say the first and observe the following relation with the Gaudin Lax matrix:

$$\left(\partial_z - \kappa \sum_{i=1 \dots k} \frac{\sum_{ab} E_{ab} \otimes \pi_i(e_{ab}^{(i)})}{z - z_i} \right) \Psi(z) = \pi(\partial_z - \kappa L_{Gaudin}(z))\Psi(z) = 0, \quad \Psi(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1(z) \\ \dots \\ \Psi_n(z) \end{pmatrix} \quad (29)$$

So we came to:

Observation. ([CT06b]) The equation $(\partial_z - \pi(L_{Gaudin}(z)))\Psi(z) = 0$ is precisely the particular case of the KZ-equation.¹⁴

¹⁴From the point of view of the Gaudin system the first space $V_0 = \mathbb{C}^n$ - is the "auxiliary space"; and the tensor product of the other spaces $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_k$ is the "quantum space" (using integrability theory language). The auxiliary and quantum spaces play the very different roles in integrability. But in KZ-equation all the spaces $V_i, i = 0, \dots$ play the same role. This uncovers unexpected symmetry between auxiliary and quantum spaces. It is different from the other relations between KZ-equation and the Gaudin model (e.g. [FFR94]).

Here $L_{Gaudin}(z) = \sum_{i=1 \dots k} \frac{\sum_{ab} E_{ab} \otimes \pi_i(e_{ab}^{(i)})}{z-z_i}$ is the standard Lax matrix of the quantum Gaudin system (see formula 17 page 12) considered in a representation π ; $(\pi, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_k)$ is a representation of $(gl_n \oplus \dots \oplus gl_n)$; $\Psi(z)$ is a $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_k$ -valued function, so that its components $\Psi_i(z)$ are $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_k$ -valued functions; $E_{ab} \in Mat_n$ and $e_{ab} \in gl_n \subset U(gl_n)$ are the standard matrix units.

Proposition 7 *Let $\Psi(z)$ be a solution of the KZ-equation with $\kappa = 1$ (29), then:*

$$\forall i = 1, \dots, n \quad \pi(\det(\partial_z - L_{Gaudin}(z)))\Psi_i(z) = 0 \quad (30)$$

Proof. The adjoint matrix $(\partial_z - L_{Gaudin}(z))^{adj}$ exists as we discussed above (here we use $\kappa = 1$), so:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\partial_z - L_{Gaudin}(z))\Psi(z) = 0, &\Rightarrow \pi((\partial_z - L_{Gaudin}(z))^{adj})\pi(\partial_z - L_{Gaudin}(z))\Psi(z) = 0, \\ \text{hence } \pi(\det(\partial_z - L_{Gaudin}(z)))Id \Psi(z) &= 0, \\ \text{hence } \forall i = 1, \dots, n \quad \pi(\det(\partial_z - L_{Gaudin}(z)))\Psi_i(z) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

□

Remark 6 The equation $\det(\partial_z - L(z))Q(z) = 0$ should be seen as generalized Baxter's $T - Q$ -equation (see [CT06]), it is well-known that Baxter's equation plays a crucial role in the solution of quantum systems. In particular it is important to establish that its solutions are rational functions (see [MTV05]). The proposition above relates this question to the rationality of KZ-solutions which is a more natural question (see [Sa06]).

4.2 Inversion of Manin matrices

Theorem 1 *Let M be a Manin matrix, and assume that a two sided inverse matrix M^{-1} exists (i.e. $M^{-1}M = MM^{-1} = 1$). Then M^{-1} is again a Manin matrix.*

Proof. The proof of the assertion consists in a small extension and rephrasing of the arguments in the proof of Lemma 1 page 5 of [BT02].

We consider the Grassman algebra $\mathbb{C}[\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n]$ (i.e. $\psi_i^2 = 0, \psi_i\psi_j = -\psi_j\psi_i$), with ψ_i commuting with $M_{p,q}$. Let us introduce new variables $\tilde{\psi}_i$ by:

$$(\tilde{\psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\psi}_n) = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n) \begin{pmatrix} M_{1,1} & \dots & M_{1,n} \\ \dots & & \dots \\ M_{n,1} & \dots & M_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (32)$$

It is easy to see that the Manin relations $[M_{ij}^{-1}M_{kl}^{-1}] = [M_{kj}^{-1}, M_{il}^{-1}]$ we have to prove follow from the equality

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ij}^{-1}M_{kl}^{-1}\psi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_n &= M_{kj}^{-1}M_{il}^{-1}\psi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_n + \\ + (\det(M))^{-1} \tilde{\psi}_1 \wedge \tilde{\psi}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge &\overset{i\text{-th place}}{\psi_j} \wedge \dots \wedge \overset{k\text{-th place}}{\psi_l} \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_n. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

(Here assume $i \neq k$; otherwise the desired result is a tautology). Let us prove (33). By the definition of the $\tilde{\psi}_i$'s, $(\tilde{\psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\psi}_n) = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)M$, multiplying this relation by M^{-1} on the right we get

$$(\tilde{\psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\psi}_n)M^{-1} = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n), \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_v \tilde{\psi}_v M_{vl}^{-1} = \psi_l.$$

We multiply this relation with the $n - 1$ -vector $\tilde{\psi}_1 \wedge \tilde{\psi}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \overset{i\text{-th place}}{\tilde{\psi}_j} \wedge \dots \wedge \overset{k\text{-th place}}{\text{empty}} \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_n$, and use the fact that $\forall m : \tilde{\psi}_m^2 = 0$, to get

$$(\tilde{\psi}_i M_{il}^{-1} + \tilde{\psi}_k M_{kl}^{-1} - \psi_l) \tilde{\psi}_1 \wedge \tilde{\psi}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \overset{i\text{-th place}}{\tilde{\psi}_j} \wedge \dots \wedge \overset{k\text{-th place}}{\text{empty}} \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_n = 0.$$

Now, using: $\det(M) M_{ji}^{-1} \psi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_n = \tilde{\psi}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \overset{j\text{-th place}}{\tilde{\psi}_i} \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_n$ we get the desired result. \square

Remark 7 The paper [Ko07B] (see section 5 page 11 proposition 5.1) contains a somewhat weaker proposition for matrices of the form $1 - tM$, where t is a formal parameter. It states that for $(1 - tM)^{-1}$ the cross-commutation relations holds true. The "column commutation" property is not considered there. The equality $\det^{\text{column}}((1 - tM)^{-1}) = (\det^{\text{column}}(1 - tM))^{-1}$ is contained in theorem 5.2 page 13 of [Ko07B]. The proofs presented there are based on deep combinatorial arguments.

4.2.1 Application to the Enriquez - Rubtsov - Babelon - Talon theorem.

Let us show that the remarkable theorem [ER01] (theorem 1.1 page 2), [BT02] (theorem 2 page 4) about "quantization" of separation relations – follows as a particular case from theorem 1 above.

Let us briefly recall the constructions of this Theorem (following, for simplicity [BT02]). Let $\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}_{i=1, \dots, g}$ be a set of quantum "separated" variables, i.e. satisfying the commutation relations

$$[\alpha_i, \alpha_j] = 0, [\beta_i, \beta_j] = 0, [\alpha_i, \beta_j] = f(\alpha_i, \beta_i) \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j, = 1 \dots, g.$$

satisfying a set of equations (i.e., quantum Jacobi separation relations) of the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^g B_j(\alpha_i, \beta_i) H_j + B_0(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, g, \quad (34)$$

for a suitable set of quantum Hamiltonians H_1, \dots, H_n . One assumes that some ordering in the expressions $B_a, a = 0, \dots, g$ between α_i, β_i has been chosen, and that the operators H_i are, as it is written above, on the right of the R_j . Also, the B_a are some functions (say, polynomials) of their arguments with \mathbb{C} -number coefficients. Then the statement is that the quantum operators H_1, \dots, H_n fulfilling (34) commute among themselves).

Our proof starts from the fact that one can see that equation (34) can be compactly written, in matrix form, as

$$B \cdot H = -V, \quad \text{with } B_{ij} = R_j(\alpha_i, \beta_i), V_i = B_0(\alpha_i, \beta_i),$$

and thus (making contact with the formalism of [ER01]) one is lead to consider the $g \times (g + 1)$ matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & B_{1,1} & \dots & B_{1,g} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ V_g & B_{g,1} & \dots & B_{g,g} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thanks to the functional form of the B_{ij} 's and of the V_i 's, this matrix is a Cartier-Foata matrix (i.e., elements form different rows commute among each other), and hence, *a fortiori* a Manin matrix.

Given such a $g \times (g + 1)$ Cartier-Foata matrix A , we consider the following $(g + 1) \times (g + 1)$ Cartier-Foata (and hence, Manin) matrix:

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ V_1 & B_{1,1} & \dots & B_{1,g} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ V_g & B_{g,1} & \dots & B_{g,g} \end{pmatrix}$$

Now it is obvious that the solutions H_i of equation (34) are the elements of the first column of the inverse of \tilde{A} , and namely

$$H_i = (\tilde{A}^{-1})_{i+1,1}, i = 1, \dots, g.$$

Since \tilde{A} is Cartier-Foata, its inverse is Manin, and thus the commutation of the H_i 's can be obtained from theorem 1. \square .

Remark 8 For the sake of simplicity, we considered, as in [BT02], an arbitrary system for which a quantized spectral curve exists, and the commuting Hamiltonians can be written by the formula above in terms of the so-called separated variables (see section 6). However, it should be noticed that the theorem hold in a more general (in classical terms, Stäckel or Jacobi) setting, where the functional dependence of the matrix elements $B_{ij}(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ and $V_i(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ on their argument may depend on the index i .

4.3 Block matrices and Schur's complement

Theorem 2 Consider a Manin matrix M of size n , and denote its block as follows:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A_{k \times k} & B_{k \times n-k} \\ C_{n-k \times k} & D_{n-k \times n-k} \end{pmatrix} \quad (35)$$

Assume that M, A, D are invertible i.e. $\exists M^{-1}, A^{-1}, D^{-1} : A^{-1}A = AA^{-1} = 1, D^{-1}D = DD^{-1} = 1, M^{-1}M = MM^{-1} = 1$. Then the same formulas as in the commutative case hold, namely:

$$\det^{col}(M) = \det^{col}(A)\det^{col}(D - CA^{-1}B) = \det^{col}(D)\det^{col}(A - BD^{-1}C), \quad (36)$$

and, moreover, the Schur's complements: $D - CA^{-1}B$, and $A - BD^{-1}C$ are Manin matrices.

Sketch of the Proof. The full proof of this fact will be given in [CFRu]. Actually it is not difficult to see that $A - BD^{-1}C, D - CA^{-1}B$ are Manin matrices from theorem 1, so basically we need to prove the determinantal formula (36). To this end, one proves the

Lemma 6 Let M be a Manin matrix of size $n \times n$. Let X be a $k \times (n-k)$ matrix, for some k , with arbitrary matrix elements¹⁵. **Then:**

$$\det^{column}(M \begin{pmatrix} 1_{k \times k} & X_{k \times n-k} \\ 0_{n-k \times k} & 1_{n-k \times n-k} \end{pmatrix}) = \det^{column} M. \quad (37)$$

Now, the desired result follows now from the equality:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -A^{-1}B \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ C & D - CA^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}. \quad (38)$$

Remark 9 1) Alternatively, one can prove (36) using the Jacobi type theorem by I. Gelfand, V. Retakh (see e.g. [GR97] theorem 1.3.3, page 8) with similar arguments to those used by D. Krob, B. Leclerc [KL94] (theorem 3.2 page 17).

2) The Jacobi ratio theorem was proved for Manin matrices of the form $1 - tM$, t is a formal parameter, in the remarkable paper [Ko07B](see theorem 5.2 page 13). It is actually equivalent to our formula 36 for matrices of such a form. As in the case of the matrix inversion formula, column commutativity is not considered, and the proofs therein contained are based on combinatorial properties.

¹⁵No conditions of commutativity at all are required.

4.3.1 Application. MTV-Capelli identity for $gl[t]$ (for the Gaudin system)

In [MTV06] a remarkable generalization of the Capelli identity has been found. Using the beautiful insights contained therein, we remark that it follows from theorem 2.

Consider $\mathbb{C}[p_{i,j}, q_{i,j}]$, $i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, k$, endowed with the standard Poisson bracket:

$\{p_{i,j}, q_{k,l}\} = \delta_{i,k}\delta_{j,l}$, $\{p_{i,j}, p_{k,l}\} = \{q_{i,j}, q_{k,l}\} = 0$. Consider its quantization i.e. the standard Heisenberg (Weyl) associative algebra generated by $\hat{p}_{i,j}, \hat{q}_{i,j}$, $i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, k$, with the relations $[\hat{p}_{i,j}, \hat{q}_{k,l}] = \delta_{i,k}\delta_{j,l}$, $[\hat{p}_{i,j}, \hat{p}_{k,l}] = [\hat{q}_{i,j}, \hat{q}_{k,l}] = 0$. Define $n \times k$ -rectangular matrices $Q_{classical}, P_{classical}, \hat{Q}, \hat{P}$ as follows with: $Q_{i,j} = q_{i,j}$, $\hat{Q}_{i,j} = \hat{q}_{i,j}$, $P_{i,j} = p_{i,j}$, $\hat{P}_{i,j} = \hat{p}_{i,j}$. Let K_1, K_2 be $n \times n, k \times k$ matrices with elements in \mathbb{C} .

Let us introduce the following notations:

$$L^{quantum}(z) = K_1 + \hat{Q}(z - K_2)^{-1}\hat{P}^t, \quad L^{classical}(z) = K_1 + Q_{classical}(z - K_2)^{-1}P_{classical}^t \quad (39)$$

These are Lax matrices of Gaudin type i.e. they satisfy the commutation relation (12).

Let us reformulate the result of [MTV06] in the following simple form:

Proposition 8 *Capelli identity for the $gl[t]$ (Gaudin's system)*

$$Wick(\det(\lambda - L^{classical}(z))) = \det(\partial_z - L^{quantum}(z)) \quad (40)$$

Here we denote by *Wick* the linear map: $\mathbb{C}[\lambda, p_{i,j}, q_{i,j}](z) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\partial_z, \hat{p}_{i,j}, \hat{q}_{i,j}](z)$, defined as:

$$Wick(f(z)\lambda^a \prod_{ij} q^{c_{ij}} \prod_{ij} p^{b_{ij}}) = f(z)\partial_z^a \prod_{ij} \hat{q}^{b_{ij}} \prod_{ij} \hat{p}^{c_{ij}} \quad (41)$$

i.e. that, from a commutative monomial, makes a noncommutative monomial according to the rule that any \hat{q} placed on the left of any \hat{p} , and the same for z and ∂_z . It is sometimes called "Wick or normal ordering" in physics.

Proof. Following [MTV06] we consider the following block matrix:

$$MTV = \begin{pmatrix} z - K_2 & \hat{P}^t \\ \hat{Q} & \partial_z - K_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (42)$$

It is easy to see that *MTV* is a Manin matrix (indeed it is strictly related to the Manin matrices briefly introduced in (4)) ; also, as it was observed by Mukhin, Tarasov and Varchenko, the Lax matrix of the form (39) appears as the Schur's complement " $D - CA^{-1}B$ " of the matrix *MTV*:

$$\partial_z - K_1 - \hat{Q}(z - K_2)^{-1}\hat{P}^t = \partial_z - L^{quantum}(z).$$

By theorem 2 we get that

$$\det^{column}(MTV) = \det(z - K_2)\det^{column}(\partial_z - K_1 - \hat{Q}(z - K_2)^{-1}\hat{P}^t). \quad (43)$$

This is a form of the Capelli identity as explained in [MTV06].

In order to arrive at formula (40) above, we just remark that in $\det^{column}(MTV)$ all variables z, \hat{q}_{ij} stand on the left of the variables ∂_z, \hat{p}_{ij} . This is due to the column expansion of the determinant, where at first appears the first column, then the second, and so on and so forth. Actually, the operators z, \hat{q}_{ij} stand in the first n -th columns of *MTV* matrix, while the operators ∂_z, \hat{p}_{ij} are in the m rightmost columns. So dividing (43) by $\det(z - K_2)$ we obtain (40). \square See section 8.3.2 and the references quoted therein for the relation with the classical Capelli identity.

5 Spectral properties of Manin matrices and applications

5.1 The Cayley-Hamilton theorem

The Cayley-Hamilton theorem, (i.e, that any ordinary matrix satisfies its characteristic polynomial) can be considered as one of the basic results in linear algebra. The same holds - with a suitable proviso in mind - for Manin matrices.

Theorem 3 *Let M be a $n \times n$ Manin matrix. Consider its characteristic polynomial and the coefficients h_i of its expansion in powers of t : $\det^{\text{column}}(t - M) = \sum_{i=0, \dots, n} h_i t^i$; then*

$$\sum_{i=0, \dots, n} h_i M^i = 0 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \det^{\text{column}}(t - M)|_{t=M}^{\text{right substitute}} = 0. \quad (44)$$

If M^t is a Manin matrix, then one should use left substitution and row determinant: $\det^{\text{row}}(t - M)|_{t=M}^{\text{left substitute}} = 0$.

Proof. It was proved in Proposition 6 that there exists an adjoint matrix $(M - t Id)^{\text{adj}}$, such that

$$(M - t Id)^{\text{adj}}(M - t Id) = \det(M - t Id)Id. \quad (45)$$

The standard idea of proof is very simple: we want to substitute M at the place of t ; the LHS of this equality vanishes manifestly, hence we obtain the desired equality $\det(M - t Id)|_{t=M} = 0$. The only issue we need to clarify is *how* to substitute M into the equation and why the substitution preserves the equality.

Let us denote by $Adj_k(M)$ the matrices defined by: $\sum_{k=0, \dots, n-1} Adj_k(M)t^k = (M - t Id)^{\text{adj}}$. The equality above is an equality of polynomials in the variable t :

$$\left(\sum_k Adj_k(M)t^k\right)(M - t Id) = \sum_k Adj_k(M)Mt^k - \sum_k Adj_k(M)t^{k+1} = \quad (46)$$

$$= \det(M - t Id) = \sum_k h_k t^k. \quad (47)$$

This means that the coefficients of t^i of both sides of the relation coincide. Hence we can substitute $t = M$ in the equality, substituting "from the right":

$$\sum_k Adj_k M M^k - \sum_k Adj_k M^{k+1} = \sum_k h_k t^k|_{t=M}. \quad (48)$$

The left hand side is manifestly zero, so we obtain the desired equality: $\sum_{k=0, \dots, n} h_k M^k = 0$. \square

Remark 10 See [Mo02] (section 4.2), [GIOPS05] and references therein for other CH-theorems.

5.1.1 Example. The Cayley-Hamilton theorem for the Yangian

Let us consider the Lax matrix $T_{gl_n\text{-Yangian}}(z)$ (or $T(z)$ for brevity) of Yangian type (see section 3.2). The matrix $T(z)e^{-\partial_z}$ is a Manin matrix. Let us derive a Cayley-Hamilton identity for $T(z)$ from the one for the Manin matrix $T(z)e^{-\partial_z}$.

Definition 7 *Let us define the "quantum powers" $T^{[p]}(z)$ for the Yangian type Lax matrix as follows:*

$$T^{[p]}(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T(z+p) \cdot T(z+p-1) \cdot \dots \cdot T(z+1) = e^{p\partial_z} (T(z)e^{-\partial_z})^p \quad (49)$$

Denote by $QH_i(z)$ ¹⁶ coefficients of the expansion of the “ordered” characteristic polynomial in powers of e^{∂_z} :

$$\det^{\text{column}}(t - T(z)e^{-\partial_z}) = \sum_{k=0, \dots, n} t^k QH_k(z) e^{(k-n)\partial_z}, \quad (50)$$

Note that the operator ∂_z does not enter into the expressions $QH_i(z)$. Explicit formulas for $QH_i(z)$ are obviously the following:

$$QH_n(z) = 1, \quad QH_{n-1}(z) = -\text{Tr}(T(z)), \quad QH_0(z) = (-1)^n \text{qdet}(T(z)), \quad (51)$$

$$QH_{n-i}(z) = (-1)^{(n-i)} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_i} \text{qdet}(T(z)_{j_1, \dots, j_i}). \quad (52)$$

In words, the $QH_i(z)$'s are the sums of principal q-minors of size i (in complete analogy with the commutative case, modulo substitution of minors by q-minors). Recall that $\text{qdet}(M(z))$ is defined by the formula $\text{qdet}(M(z)) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_k M_{\sigma(k), k}(z - k + 1)$ (see e.g. formula 2.24 page 10 [Mo02]).

Proposition 9 *The following is an analogue of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem for Yangian type Lax matrices:*

$$\sum_{k=0, \dots, n} QH_k(z + n) T^{[k]}(z) = 0. \quad (53)$$

Proof. The proof is very simple: one uses Cayley-Hamilton theorem 3 for the Manin matrix $T(z)e^{-\partial_z}$, and then excludes powers of e^{∂_z} from the identity¹⁷. We can proceed as follows. By theorem 3 it is true:

$$\sum_{k=0, \dots, n} h_k(z, \partial_z) (T(z)e^{-\partial_z})^k = 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{k=0, \dots, n} h_k(z, \partial_z) e^{-k\partial_z} T^{[k]}(z) = 0, \quad (54)$$

where $h_k(z, \partial_z)$ are defined as $\det^{\text{column}}(t - T(z)e^{-\partial_z}) = \sum_{i=0, \dots, n} h_i(z, \partial_z) t^i$. By the definition of $QH_i(z)$ (formula 49) one has: $h_i(z, \partial_z) = QH_i(z) e^{(i-n)\partial_z}$, substituting this into 54, one obtains:

$$0 = \sum_{k=0, \dots, n} h_k(z, \partial_z) e^{-k\partial_z} T^{[k]}(z) = \sum_{k=0, \dots, n} QH_k(z) e^{(k-n)\partial_z} e^{-k\partial_z} T^{[k]}(z) = \quad (55)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0, \dots, n} QH_k(z) e^{-n\partial_z} T^{[k]}(z) = e^{-n\partial_z} \sum_{k=0, \dots, n} QH_k(z + n) T^{[k]}(z), \quad (56)$$

So we conclude that $\sum_{k=0, \dots, n} QH_k(z + n) T^{[k]}(z) = 0$. □

Remark 11 The quantities $QH_k(z)$ were defined in [KS81] (formula 5.6 page 114) by means of different arguments. The idea of obtaining them as coefficients of a suitable characteristic polynomial is due to D. Talalaev [Ta04].

5.2 The Newton identities and applications

As it is well known, the Newton identities are identities between power sums symmetric functions and elementary symmetric functions. They can be rephrased as relations between $\text{Tr} M^k$ and coefficients of $\det(t + M)$ for matrices with commutative entries. We will show that the same identities hold true for Manin matrices and present applications.

¹⁶we slightly changed the definition of $QH_i(z)$ comparing with the version 1, and correct the misprint in formula 50

¹⁷One can easily exclude ∂_z in the Yangian case, but it is not clear at the moment how to do it in the Gaudin case. Nevertheless, a similar identity can be proved in for the Gaudin case also: [CT06b] (by different methods).

Theorem 4 Let M be a Manin matrix, and denote by h_k the coefficients of the expansion in t of the determinant of $t - M$, i.e., $\det^{\text{column}}(t - M) = h_k t^k$; conventionally, let $h_k = 0$ for $k < 0$. Then the following identity holds:

$$\partial_t \det^{\text{column}}(t - M) = \frac{1}{t} (\det^{\text{column}}(t - M)) \sum_{k=0, \dots, \infty} \text{Tr}(M/t)^k, \quad (57)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall k : -\infty < k \leq n \quad \text{it holds:} \quad kh_k = \sum_{i: \max(0, -k) \leq i \leq n-k} h_{k+i} \text{Tr}(M)^i, \quad (58)$$

where n is the size of the matrix M . If M^t is a Manin matrix, then $\partial_t \det^{\text{row}}(t - M) = (\det^{\text{row}}(t - M)) \left(1/t \sum_{k=0, \dots, \infty} \text{Tr}(M/t)^k\right)$.

So these identities are identical to those of the commutative case, provided one pays attention to the order of terms: $\tilde{h}_i \text{Tr} M^p$ if M is a Manin matrix ($\text{Tr} M^p \tilde{h}_i$ if M^t is a Manin matrix). Obviously enough, this difference is due to the absence of the commutativity.

Sketch of the Proof. First observe the following simple property, whose proof is straightforward¹⁸:

$$\text{Tr}(t + M)^{\text{adj}} = \partial_t \det(t + M).$$

So we consider

$$\begin{aligned} 1/t \sum_{k=0, \dots, \infty} \text{Tr}((-M/t)^k) &= \text{Tr} \frac{1}{t + M} = \text{Tr} \left((\det^{\text{column}}(t + M))^{-1} (t + M)^{\text{adj}} \right) = \\ &= (\det^{\text{column}}(t + M))^{-1} \text{Tr}(t + M)^{\text{adj}} = (\det^{\text{column}}(t + M))^{-1} \partial_t \det^{\text{column}}(t + M). \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Substituting $-M$ instead of M one obtains the result. The case where M^t is a Manin matrix is similar. \square

Remark 12 While working at the proof of this proposition we were informed by P. Pyatov (unpublished, based on Gurevich, Isaev, Ogievetsky, Pyatov, Saponov papers [GIOPS95]-[GIOPS05]) that the Newton identities holds true as well as their q -analogs. Our proof is different and very simple, but it is a challenge how to generalize such an argument to the case $q \neq 1$.

5.2.1 Quantum powers, $ZU_{\text{crit}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$, and new quantum Gaudin integrals

We recall from [CT06b] a possible definition of "quantum powers" of the Lax matrix of the Gaudin model and apply the quantum Newton relations above to prove the commutativity of their traces. Hence we obtain new explicit generators in the center of $U_{c=\text{crit}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$ and in the commutative Bethe subalgebra in $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n[t])$ (see Appendix (section 8.1) for a reminder); in a physicist's language we give explicit formulas for quantum conservation laws for the quantum Gaudin system. They are quantum analogs of $\text{Tr}(L^{\text{classical}}(z))^k$, and the result below exhibits the appropriate corrections for traces of powers which preserves commutativity.

Definition 8 The quantum powers of Gaudin type Lax matrices (definition 5, formula 12) are defined inductively as follows:

$$L^{[0]}(z) = \text{Id}, \quad L^{[i]}(z) = L^{[i-1]}(z)L(z) + (L^{[i-1]}(z))' \quad (60)$$

¹⁸Actually, the equality holds for any matrix M , provided one consistently defines the determinant and the adjoint matrix.

Here $(L^{[i-1]}(z))'$ is the derivative with respect to z of $(L^{[i-1]}(z))$. $L^{[i]}(z)$ are noncommutative analogues of the Faà di Bruno polynomials ([Di03] section 6A, page 111). (Remark that in the commutative case $L(z)$ and $L(z)'$ commute). The binomial type formula below is due to D. Talalaev:

$$(\partial_z - L(z))^i = \sum_{p=0, \dots, i} (-1)^p \binom{i}{p} \partial_z^{(i-p)} L^{[p]}(z). \quad (61)$$

Theorem 5 Consider the quantum Hamiltonians $QH_i(z)$ defined by: $\det(\partial_z - L(z)) = \sum_{i=0, \dots, n} QH_i(z) \partial_z^i$. Then:

$$\forall k, l = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } u, v \in \mathbb{C} \quad [QH_k(u), TrL^{[l]}(v)] = 0, \quad [TrL^{[k]}(u), TrL^{[l]}(v)] = 0. \quad (62)$$

Sketch of the Proof. The proof follows immediately from the Newton identities above, theorem of [Ta04] (see formula 2) on the commutativity of coefficients of $\det(\partial_z - L(z)) = \sum_i QH_i(z) \partial_z^i$, and the binomial formula above. \square

Corollary 1 If $L(z) = L_{gl_n[t]}(z)$ is given by formula (18b), then $TrL_{gl_n[t]}^{[k]}(z)$ are generating functions (in the variable z) for the elements in a commutative Bethe subalgebra in $U(gl_n[t])$. Such elements for $k = 1, \dots, n$ algebraically generate the Bethe subalgebra.

Corollary 2 If $L_{full}(z)$ is given by formula (86), then $TrL_{full}^{[k]}(z)$ are generating functions (in the variable z) for the elements in the center of $U_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$. Such elements for $k = 1, \dots, n$ algebraically generate the center. (See Appendix (section 8.1) for the definitions of $U_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$, the Bethe subalgebra and the normal ordering of operators $:\dots:$)

5.2.2 Application. The Newton identities for the Yangian

We recall that if a matrix $T(z)$ is a $n \times n$ Lax matrix of Yangian type (see section 3.2), then the matrix $T(z)e^{-\partial_z}$ is a Manin matrix. We have defined (see formula 49) quantum powers for the Yangian type Lax operators as follows:

$$T^{[p]}(z) \stackrel{def}{=} T(z+p)T(z+p-2) \times \dots \times T(z+1) = e^{p\partial_z} (T(z)e^{-\partial_z})^p. \quad (63)$$

Writing the Newton identities for $T^{[p]}(z)$ (see formula 49) we obtain generators in the commutative subalgebra of quantum integrals of motion that, to the best of our knowledge, were not known in the literature.

With the notations of section 5.1.1 page 20 we denote by $QH_i(z)$ coefficients of the expansion of the “ordered” characteristic polynomial in powers of e^{∂_z} :

$$\det^{column}(t - T(z)e^{-\partial_z}) = \sum_{k=0, \dots, n} t^k QH_k(z) e^{(k-n)\partial_z}, \quad (64)$$

Note that the operator ∂_z does not enter into the expressions $QH_i(z)$. Explicit formulas for $QH_i(z)$ are

$$QH_0(z) = (-1)^n qdet(T(z)), \quad QH_{n-i}(z) = (-1)^{(n-i)} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_i} qdet(T(z)_{j_1, \dots, j_i}).$$

Recall that $qdet(M(z))$ is defined by the formula $qdet(M(z)) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_k M_{\sigma(k), k}(z - k + 1)$, and that the $QH_i(z)$'s are the sums of principal q-minors of size i (in complete analogy with the commutative case, modulo substitution of minors by q-minors).

Theorem 6 $[QH_i(z), TrT^{[i]}(u)] = 0, \quad [TrT^{[k]}(z), TrT^{[i]}(u)] = 0,$ (65)

$$kQH_k(z - k + n) = \sum_{i:\max(0,-k)\leq i\leq n-k} QH_{k+i}(z - k + n)TrT^{[i]}(z)$$
 (66)

which is the Newton identity for the Yangian.

Proof. The commutativity of the $QH_i(z)$ is well-known [KS81] page 114 formulas 5.6-5.7, [NO95]. Hence (65) follows from (66), which, in its turn, follows from theorem 4. Indeed, 58 reads:

$$kh_k = \sum_{i:\max(0,-k)\leq i\leq n-k} h_{k+i}Tr(M)^i,$$
 (67)

$$kQH_k(z)e^{(k-n)\partial_z} = \sum_{i:\max(0,-k)\leq i\leq n-k} QH_{k+i}(z)e^{(k+i-n)\partial_z}e^{-i\partial_z}TrT^{[i]}(z),$$
 (68)

$$ke^{(k-n)\partial_z}QH_k(z - k + n) = \sum_{i:\max(0,-k)\leq i\leq n-k} QH_{k+i}(z)e^{(k-n)\partial_z}TrT^{[i]}(z),$$
 (69)

$$ke^{(k-n)\partial_z}QH_k(z - k + n) = e^{(k-n)\partial_z} \sum_{i:\max(0,-k)\leq i\leq n-k} QH_{k+i}(z - k + n)TrT^{[i]}(z).$$
 (70)

So we conclude that: $kQH_k(z - k + n) = \sum_{i:\max(0,-k)\leq i\leq n-k} QH_{k+i}(z - k + n)TrT^{[i]}(z)$. \square

Remark 13 Let us note that for $k = 0$ this follows from the Cayley-Hamilton theorem for the Yangian 9 page 21 by taking the trace.

5.3 The (Quantum) MacMahon-Wronski formula

The following identity for a $s \times s$ -matrix M over a commutative ring is called the MacMahon (and sometimes Wronski ([U03],[GIOPS98] page 9)) formula:

$$1/\det(1 - M) = \sum_{n=0,\dots,\infty} TrS^n M,$$
 (71)

where $S^n M$ is n -th symmetric power of M . It can be easily verified by diagonalizing the matrix M .

Theorem [GLZ03]¹⁹ *the identity above holds true for Manin matrices (and more generally for their q -analogs) with the following definition of $TrS^n M$:*

$$TrS^n M = 1/n! \sum_{l_1,\dots,l_n:1\leq l_i\leq n} perm^{row} \begin{pmatrix} M_{l_1,l_1} & M_{l_1,l_2} & \dots & M_{l_1,l_n} \\ M_{l_2,l_1} & M_{l_2,l_2} & \dots & M_{l_2,l_n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ M_{l_n,l_1} & M_{l_n,l_2} & \dots & M_{l_n,l_n} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (72)

We remark that in this formula repeated indexes are allowed. We recall that the permanent of a Manin matrix was defined by formula 8 as follows:

$$permM = perm^{row} M = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1,\dots,n} M_{i,\sigma(i)}.$$
 (73)

This definition of traces of symmetric powers is the same as in the commutative case, with the proviso in mind to use row permanents.

¹⁹see the papers Gurevich, Isaev, Ogievetsky, Pyatov, Saponov papers [GIOPS95]-[GIOPS05], [U03], [EP06],[HL06],[KPak06],[FH06] for related results

5.3.1 Application. $Tr S^K L(z)$, $ZU_{crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$, new Gaudin's integrals

In this section we apply the quantum MacMahon-Wronski relation to construct further explicit generators in the center of $U_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$ and in the commutative Bethe subalgebra in $U(gl_n[t])$, i.e., we give explicit formulas for another set of quantum conservation laws for the quantum Gaudin system. They are quantum analogs of $Tr S^n L^{classical}(z)$. The elements in the center of $U(gl_n)$ introduced implicitly in [Na91] (the last formula - page 131, see also [U03]) are particular cases of this construction.

Theorem 7 *Let $L(z)$ be a Lax matrix of Gaudin type - see definition 5. Let us define the elements $S_n(z)$ as follows: write $Tr S^n(\partial_z - L(z)) = \sum_{k=0, \dots, n} c_{k,n}(z) \partial_z^k$ and set, by definition, $S_n(z) = (-1)^n c_{0,n}(z)^{20}$. These operators commute among themselves and with the coefficients of the quantum characteristic polynomial $QH_i(z)$, defined via $(\det(\partial_z - L(z)) = \sum_i QH_i(z) \partial_z^i)$. Explicitly*

$$\forall k, p \text{ and } z, u \in \mathbb{C} : [S_k(z), S_p(u)] = 0, \quad [S_k(z), QH_p(u)] = 0. \quad (74)$$

Hence they provide new generators among quantum commuting integrals of motion of the Gaudin system.

Corollary 3 *Consider $L(z) = L_{gl_n[t]}(z)$ given by formula 18b. Then $S_n(z)$ are generating functions in the variable z for the elements in the commutative Bethe subalgebra in $U(gl_n[t])$. Such elements for $k = 1, \dots, n$ algebraically generate the Bethe subalgebra.*

Corollary 4 *Consider $L_{ful}(z)$ given by formula 86; then $S_k(z)$ are generating functions in the variable z for the elements in the center of $U_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$. Such elements for $k = 1, \dots, n$ algebraically generate the center. (See Appendix (section 8.1) for definitions of $U_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$, the Bethe subalgebra and the normal ordering \dots .)*

Sketch of the Proof. The proof follows from the MacMahon-Wronski relations and a theorem of [Ta04] (see formula 2) on commutativity of coefficients of $\det(\partial_z - L(z))$. Corollary 3 follows immediately, corollary 3 with the help of theorem 8 (see Appendix (section 8.1)). \square

Remark 14 Applying the same construction to the Yangian case i.e. $Tr S^n(T(z)e^{-\partial_z})$ one obtains expressions commuting among themselves and with coefficients of $\det(1 - T(z)e^{-\partial_z})$. Actually these expressions are quite well-known.

6 Quantum separation of variables

Let us briefly discuss some results and conjectures about the problem of separation of variables. E.Sklyanin (see surveys [Sk92],[Sk95]) proposed an approach which potentially should give the most powerful way to solve integrable systems. This program is far from being completed. The ultimate goal in this framework is to construct coordinates α_i, β_i such that a joint eigenfunction of all Hamiltonians will be presented as product of functions of one variable:

$$\Psi(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots) = \prod_i \Psi^{1-particle}(\beta_i).$$

We consider this construction at the quantum level, trying to extend in this realm some ideas of Sklyanin and [AHH93], [DD94], [Ge95] and others. We have checked the validity of the conjectures

²⁰Namely, the quantities $S_n(z)$ are the 0-th order parts of the differential operators $Tr S^n(\partial_z - L(z))$.

below for 2×2 and 3×3 cases (in particular comparing with the results of [Sk92b]). Let us remind that, in the classical case, the construction of separated variables for the systems we are considering goes, somewhat algorithmically²¹, as follows:

Step 1 One considers, for a gl_n model, along the Lax matrix $L(z)$, the matrix $M = \lambda - L(z)$ and its classical adjoint M^\vee .

Step 2 One takes a vector ψ by means suitable linear combination of columns (or rows) of M^\vee ; in the simplest case, one can take ψ to be one of the columns, say the last of M^\vee . One seeks for pairs (λ_i, z_i) that solve

$$\psi_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Step 3 To actually solve this problem, one proceeds as follows. As each component ψ_i of ψ is a polynomial of degree at most $n - 1$, one can form, out of ψ the matrix M_ψ , collecting the coefficients of the expansion of ψ_i in powers of λ , i.e.:

$$[M_\psi]_{j,i} = \text{res}_{\lambda=0} \psi_i \lambda^{n-j-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n$$

Step 4 The separation coordinates are given by pairs (λ_i, z_i) where z_i 's are roots of $\text{Det}(M_\psi)$ and λ_i are the corresponding values of λ_i ²², that can be obtained, e.g., via the Cramer's rule. By construction, the Jacobi separation relations are the equation(s) of the spectral curve, $\text{Det}(\lambda - L(z)) = 0$.

6.1 The Yangian case

Let $T(z)$ be a Lax matrix of the Yangian type (see section 3.2), so $(1 - e^{-\partial_z} T(z))$ ²³ is a Manin matrix and adjoint matrix can be calculated by standard formulas (see section 4.1). Consider

$$(1 - e^{-\partial_z} T(z))^{\text{adjoint}} = \begin{pmatrix} (1 - e^{-\partial_z} T(z))_{1,1}^{\text{adjoint}} & \dots & (1 - e^{-\partial_z} T(z))_{1,n}^{\text{adjoint}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ (1 - e^{-\partial_z} T(z))_{n,1}^{\text{adjoint}} & \dots & (1 - e^{-\partial_z} T(z))_{n,n}^{\text{adjoint}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (75)$$

Let us take an arbitrary column of this matrix, say the last column, and define $M_{i,j}(z)$ as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1 - e^{-\partial_z} T(z))_{1,n}^{\text{adjoint}} \\ \dots \\ (1 - e^{-\partial_z} T(z))_{n,n}^{\text{adjoint}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=0 \dots n-1} e^{-k\partial_z} M_{1,k}(z) \\ \dots \\ \sum_{k=0 \dots n-1} e^{-k\partial_z} M_{n,k}(z) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (76)$$

Let us call "M-matrix" the matrix of these coefficients, that is :

$$M_{n \times n}(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} M_{1,0}(z) & \dots & M_{1,n-1}(z) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ M_{n,0}(z) & \dots & M_{n,n-1}(z) \end{pmatrix}^t. \quad (77)$$

That is, $M_{i,j}$ is a matrix collecting the coefficients of expansion in left powers of $e^{-\partial_z}$ of the elements of the last column of $(1 - e^{-\partial_z} T(z))^{\text{adjoint}}$

Proposition 10 For $n = 2, 3$, $M(z)$ is a Manin matrix. (Remark the transposition in formula (77)).

²¹We are herewith sweeping under the rug the problem known as "normalization of the Baker Akhiezer function".

²²In the quadratic R -matrix case, actually one has to take as canonical momenta, the logarithms of these λ_i .

²³in previous sections we worked with $(1 - T(z)e^{-\partial_z})$, which is actually more well suited to the standard Yangian conventions, but let us switch here to $(1 - e^{-\partial_z} T(z))$

6.2 The cases $n = 2, 3$.

In the cases of low matrix rank, computations can be done explicitly. The following arguments hold.

1. Define $B(z) = \text{Det}^{\text{column}}(M(z))$; then

$$[B(z), B(u)] = 0. \quad (78)$$

2. Consider any root β of the equation $B(u) = 0$, (it belongs to an appropriate algebraic extension of the original noncommutative algebra R). Then the overdetermined system of, respectively, 2 and 3 equations for the single variable α has a unique solution:

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_{1,0}(z)|_{\text{Substitute left } z \rightarrow \beta} & \cdots & M_{1,n-1}(z)|_{\text{Substitute left } z \rightarrow \beta} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ M_{n,0}(z)|_{\text{Substitute left } z \rightarrow \beta} & \cdots & M_{n,n-1}(z)|_{\text{Substitute left } z \rightarrow \beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{n-1} \\ \alpha^{n-2} \\ \cdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \cdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (79)$$

3. Consider all the roots β_i of the equations $B(u) = 0$, and the corresponding variables α_i . Then:

- The variables α_i, β_i satisfy the following commutation relations:

$$[\alpha_i, \beta_j] = -\alpha_i \delta_{i,j}, \quad (80)$$

$$[\alpha_i, \alpha_j] = 0, \quad [\beta_i, \beta_j] = 0, \quad (81)$$

- α_i, β_i satisfy the "quantum characteristic equation":

$$\forall i: \quad \det(1 - e^{-\partial_z} T(z))|_{\text{Substitute left } z \rightarrow \beta_i; e^{-\partial_z} \rightarrow \alpha_i} = 0 \quad (82)$$

- If $T(z)$ is generic, then variables α_i, β_i are "quantum coordinates" i.e. all elements of the algebra R can be expressed via α_i, β_i and the centre (Casimirs) of the algebra R .

The proof of these statements can be done by direct calculations; we remark that our formulas reproduce those of the paper [Sk92b].

Conjecture: It is natural to conjecture that the same hold for higher values of n , that is, that the M matrix is a Manin matrix, and it provides quantum separated variable for the $gl(n)$ Yangian case.

Remark 15 In the classical case, (and also for the Gaudin model) this solution of the Separation of Variables problem can be explicitly found in [AHH93]. The Poisson version of the conjecture above about Manin properties of Yangian systems, was not yet, to the best of our knowledge, considered in the literature; however, it can possibly be deduced from the results of [DD94] and [Ge95].

Remark 16 Another open problem is a corresponding conjecture for the Gaudin case. The conjecture holds true for $n = 2$, but seems not directly extendable to the case $n > 2$.

Remark 17 We end this section with a few other remarks on separated variables. Let us consider the noncommutative algebra R is the algebra which enters the definition of $T(z)$ (see section 3.2), i.e. by definition the matrix elements of $T(z)$ belong to $R((z))$.

One can realize any representation of this algebra as a subspace in the space of functions $F(\beta_i)$. Consider the joint eigen-function $\Psi(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots)$ of all quantum commuting Hamiltonians

$$\det(1 - e^{-\partial_z} T(z)) \Psi(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots) = \sum_{k=0, \dots, n} e^{-k\partial_z} h_k(z) \Psi(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots) \quad (83)$$

Remark that $h_k(z)$ are eigenvalues of the corresponding Hamiltonians $QH_i(z)$.

It is easy to see from 82, that

$$\forall i : \sum_{k=0, \dots, n} e^{-k\partial_{\beta_i}} h_k(\beta_i) \Psi(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots) = 0. \quad (84)$$

So the equations for functions of many variables have been separated i.e. it satisfies the system of equations in each variable β_i separately.

Although from the property above one might expect that

$$\Psi(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots) = \prod_i \Psi^{1-particle}(\beta_i), \quad (85)$$

where each $\Psi^{1-particle}(z)$ is a solution of the equation $\sum_k e^{-k\partial_z} h_k(z) \Psi^{1-particle}(z) = 0$, we remark that formula 84 guarantees a weaker property, namely that $\Psi(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots)$ is a linear combination of such expressions.

7 Concluding remarks and open problems

We postpone further discussion to the subsequent paper(s) [CFRu] and restrict ourselves with some brief remarks.

We think that it is very important to continue further the study of the notion of "quantum spectral curve" discovered by D. Talalaev. In particular one may hope to describe quantum action-angle variables with some "quantum Abel-Jacobi transform" related to Talalaev's "quantum spectral curve". This might also be important for the general development of noncommutative algebraic geometry. More generally one may hope to develop the methods used in classical integrability: Lax pairs, dressing transformations, tau-functions, explicit soliton and algebro-geometric solutions, etc. for quantum systems.

It would be interesting to define quantum immanants ("fused T-matrices") for $U_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$ and to transfer the remarkable properties from [OkounkovOlshansky96] ($U(gl_n)$ case) to this more general case. In particular the task is to describe Harish-Chandra map for $ZU_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$. (What is "loop version" of shifted Schurs?). Moving away from the critical level towards (quantum) W-algebras is another task.

We have presented results for gl_n -Gaudin and gl_n -Heisenberg's XXX systems. We plan to extend our study to Q-analogues (i.e. Heisenberg's XXZ system) and super analogue in the next future, it would be interesting to understand a relation with more recent quantum group like structures ([F07]). The challenge is to consider other systems. For example $\partial_z - L_{so(n) \text{ or } sp(n)-Gaudin}(z)$ is **not** Manin matrix. However, there are evidences that there should exist an appropriate " $det(\partial_z - L_{so(n) \text{ or } sp(n)-Gaudin}(z))$ ", since the existence of such an object is somewhat the core of the Langlands correspondence. The practical problem is, however, to find an explicit and viable formula for the determinant. In this respect we notice that, despite $det^{column}(\partial_z - L_{so(n) \text{ or } sp(n)-Gaudin}(z))$ does not depend on the order of columns, it does not produce commutative elements already for $so(4)$ (A.Molev, A.C.). More in general, in view of the existence of a huge number of quantum integrable systems, it seems to be natural to address the questions herewith studied to all of them. Namely, we point out the following general question: what are the conditions on a matrix with a noncommutative entries such that there is a natural construction of the determinant and linear algebra works? How efficiently can they be used in the theory of quantum integrable systems? We plan to come back to these and related questions in the next future.

8 Appendix

8.1 The center of $U_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$, Bethe subalgebras and normal ordering

Let us recall the main result of [CT06] and background. (See also [CM]).

$$\text{Consider: } L_{full}(z) = \sum_{i=-\infty \dots \infty} \frac{1}{z^{i+1}} \begin{pmatrix} e_{1,1}t^i & \dots & e_{1,n}t^i \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ e_{n,1}t^i & \dots & e_{n,n}t^i \end{pmatrix} \quad (86)$$

Here, one has to consider $e_{ij}t^k$ as elements of $gl_n[t] \oplus t^{-1}gl_n^{op}[t^{-1}]$,²⁴ rather than elements of $gl_n[t, t^{-1}]$. In such a case, $L_{full}(z)$ is of Gaudin type, (see definition 5 section 3.1), i.e., it satisfies the relations 12.

Via Talalaev's construction one defines the commutative subalgebra in $U(gl_n[t] \oplus t^{-1}gl_n^{op}[t^{-1}])$, i.e. expressions $QH_i(z)$ defined by $det^{col}(\partial_z - L_{full}(z)) = \sum_k \partial_z^k QH_k(z)$ are generating functions in z for some elements in $U(gl_n[t] \oplus t^{-1}gl_n^{op}[t^{-1}])$ which commute by Talalaev's theorem and hence generate some commutative subalgebra.

Definition 9 *This commutative subalgebra will be called "Bethe subalgebra" in $U(gl_n[t] \oplus t^{-1}gl_n^{op}[t^{-1}])$.*

Definition 10 *Let us call by "Bethe subalgebra" in $U(gl_n[t])$ the commutative subalgebra defined in a similar way with the help of $det^{col}(\partial_z - L_{gl_n[t]}(z))$, (see 18b for $L_{gl_n[t]}$). This Bethe subalgebra is clearly an image of the Bethe subalgebra in $U(gl_n[t] \oplus t^{-1}gl_n^{op}[t^{-1}])$ under the natural projection $U(gl_n[t] \oplus t^{-1}gl_n^{op}[t^{-1}]) \rightarrow U(gl_n[t])$.*

Remark 18 The name "Bethe subalgebra" was proposed in [NO95] for a related subalgebra in (twisted)-Yangians. (For Yangians (not twisted) the Bethe subalgebra (without a name) was defined in [KS81] formula 5.6 page 114.)

Normal ordering Let us recall the standard definition of the normal ordering (see e.g. V. Kac [Kac97] formula 2.3.5 page 19, formula 3.1.3 page 37).

Definition 11 *Let $a(z), b(z)$ be arbitrary formal power series with values in arbitrary associative algebra (in our case: $a(z) = (L_{full}(z))_{ij}, b(z) = (L_{full}(z))_{kl}$, for some i, j, k, l , (see 86 for $L_{full}(z)$). The normally ordered product $: a(z)b(z) :$ is defined as follows:*

$$: a(z)b(z) : \stackrel{def}{=} a(z)_+ b(z) + b(z)a(z)_-, \quad (87)$$

where (e.g. V. Kac [Kac97] formula 2.3.3 page 19),

$$a(z)_+ \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{i \geq 0} a_{-i-1} z^i = \sum_{n < 0} a_n z^{-n-1}, \quad (88)$$

$$a(z)_- \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{i < 0} a_{-i-1} z^i = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^{-n-1}. \quad (89)$$

Definition 12 (e.g. V.Kac [Kac97] formula 3.3.1 page 42) *The normally ordered product of more than two series $a^1(z), a^2(z), \dots, a^n(z)$ is defined inductively "from right to left":*

$$: a^1(z)a^2(z)\dots a^n(z) : \stackrel{def}{=} : a^1(z)\dots : a^{n-1}(z)a^n(z) : \dots : . \quad (90)$$

²⁴by g^{op} is denoted Lie algebra with an opposite commutator: $[g_1, g_2]_{g^{op}} \stackrel{def}{=} -[g_1, g_2]_g$

The center of $U_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$ and the "critical level" Let $(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$ be the central extension of the Lie algebra $gl_n[t, t^{-1}]$:

$$[g_1 t^k, g_2 t^l] = [g_1, g_2] t^{k+l} + c(nTr(g_1 g_2) - Tr(g_1)Tr(g_2))k\delta_{k,-l}. \quad (91)$$

Note that for sl_n the term $Tr(g_1)Tr(g_2)$ disappears and this is the standard central extension up to normalization.

Fact [Ha88], [GW89, FF92] The center of $U_{c=\kappa}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$ ²⁵ is trivial: $\mathbb{C} \times 1$, unless $\kappa \neq -1$. For $\kappa = -1$ there exists large center. $c = -1$ is called "critical level".

Theorem 8

$$: det^{col}(L_{full}(z) - \partial_z) : \quad \text{generates the center on the critical level} \quad (92)$$

i.e. define the elements H_{ij} by $: det^{col}(L_{full}(z) - \partial_z) := \sum_{i=-\infty \dots \infty; j=0 \dots n} H_{ij} z^i \partial_z^j$, then H_{ij} freely generate the center of $U_{c=-1}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$. Here we consider $L_{full}(z)$ (see 86) as matrix valued generating function for generators of $U_{c=-1}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$, i.e. $e_{mn} t^p \in U_{c=-1}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$.

The theorem was first proved in [CT06], but the definition of the normal ordering given there is different. The coincidence with the standard normal ordering is due to [CM], where another direct proof of the theorem will be given. The proof in [CT06] is quite short, but requires various results: Talalaev's theorem, existence of the center, AKS-arguments, remarkable ideas from [Ry1],[Ry3]. In [CM] direct proof will be given, as a corollary new proof of Talalaev's theorem will be obtained.

8.2 The geometric Langlands correspondence over \mathbb{C}

The geometric Langlands correspondence over \mathbb{C} [BD] (excellent survey [Fr95]) is related to the constructions above as follows. (See [CT06], which originates from [FFR94],[GNR99] and is intimately related to Sklyanin's separation of variables). As in number theory there are two versions: local and global.

8.2.1 The local correspondence:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{"Automorphic side"} : & \text{ Irreducible Representation } V \text{ of } (gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)_{c=crit} \\ & \Downarrow \text{Local Langlands correspondence over } \mathbb{C} \Downarrow \\ \text{"Galois side"} : & \text{ differential operator of order } n : \sum_{k=0, \dots, n} \partial_z^k h_k(z) \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

In number theory the local correspondence is a bijection between $Irreps(GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ and n -dimensional representations of the Galois group $Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, where \mathbb{Q}_p is the field of p -adic numbers. The standard analogies: \mathbb{Q}_p is analogous to $\mathbb{C}((t))$, irreps of $GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to irreps of $(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$, representations of the Galois group of \mathbb{Q}_p to differentials operators (since Galois group is similar to the fundamental group (i.e. it is unramified part of the Galois group, when both are defined) and a differential operators defines a representation of a fundamental group via monodromy of its solution).

Construction [CT06]: Consider irrep V of $(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)_{c=crit}$, by "Schur's lemma" center of $U_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$ acts by scalar operators on any irrep, hence one obtains a character χ_V of the center $\chi_V : ZU_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Now the desired differential operator is given by Talalaev's formula: $\chi_V(: (det^{col}(\partial_z - L_{full}(z)) :)$. (See formula 86 for $L_{full}(z)$ and 92.) Summary:

$$V \in Irreps(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c) \Leftrightarrow \chi_V : ZU_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \Leftrightarrow \chi_V(: (det^{col}(\partial_z - L_{full}(z)) :). \quad (94)$$

²⁵more precisely one needs "local completion" of $U_{c=\kappa}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$, see [FFR94]

The non-triviality is hidden in Talalaev's formula and theorem 8. The correspondence is important in integrability since analysis of $(\det^{col}(\partial_z - L(z))Q(z) = 0$ allows to write Bethe equations for the spectrum and defines eigenfunctions in separated variables. It also satisfies many geometric, categorical and "stringy" properties, but this is out of our scope.

8.2.2 The global correspondence

Consider an algebraic curve Σ , denote by $Bun_{GL_n}^\Sigma$ the moduli stack of GL_n vector bundles on Σ . The original version of the correspondence [BD] is the following (later it was extended):

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
 \text{"Automorphic side"} : & \text{Hitchin's D-modules on } Bun_{GL_n}^\Sigma & \\
 & \updownarrow \text{Global Langlands correspondence over } \mathbb{C} \updownarrow & \\
 \text{"Galois side"} : & GL_n - \text{opers on } \Sigma & \\
 & \text{i.e. roughly speaking differential operators of order } n \text{ on } \Sigma & (95)
 \end{array}$$

The construction (not the proof) of the global case is actually an immediate corollary of the local one ([BD]). It goes as follows.

Denote by HH commutative algebra of differential ²⁶ operators on $Bun_{GL_n}^\Sigma$ which are quantization of Hitchin's hamiltonians [Hi87]. Hitchin's D-modules D are (by definition) parameterized by characters $\chi_D : HH \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. By nowadays standard arguments (i.e. using representation $Bun_{GL_n}^\Sigma = G_{in} \backslash GL_n((z)) / G_{out}$) one sees that the commutative algebra HH is actually a factor algebra of $ZU_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c)$. So one gets $\tilde{\chi}_D : ZU_{c=crit}(gl_n[t, t^{-1}], c) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and by the local correspondence one gets the differential operator D_z . One needs to check that it is actually globally defined object on Σ [BD]. (It is quantization of a globally defined object - spectral curve, so hopefully in future it would be derived from general facts). \square

Remark 19 For $\Sigma = \mathbb{C}P^1$ with the "marked points" ("tame ramification") the Hitchin system (H.s.) is precisely the standard Gaudin system with Lax matrix 17, for marked points with multiplicities ("wild ramification") - 18b (see [Mar94], [ER95], [Ne95]). For $\Sigma = \mathbb{C}P^1$ with nodes and cusps see [CT03A], more general singularities [CT03B]. H.s. can be seen as Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation degenerated to the critical level.

8.2.3 Remarks

Let us emphasize the two features of the integrability point of view on the correspondence.

- The correspondence has nothing specific to Hitchin's integrable system, it should work for an arbitrary integrable system which has a spectral curve. The analog of G -oper should be "quantum spectral curve" defined by Talalaev's type formula $\det(\hat{\lambda} - L(\hat{z}))$. (For example in Heisenberg XXX-magnet one should write $Det(1 - e^{-\partial_z} T(z))$, in super version: $Ber(1 - e^{-\partial_z} T(z)), \dots$)
- The geometric Langlands correspondence over \mathbb{C} is just an appropriately understood quantization of the basic correspondence in integrability: Liouville tori of classical integrable system correspond to some spectral curves. Quantization sends Liouville tori ($H_i = \lambda_i$) to Hitchin's D-module (essentially one needs to construct \hat{H}_i , the D-modules are generated by $\hat{H}_i - \chi(\hat{H}_i)$, for an arbitrary $\chi : \hat{H}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$) and (amusingly) the spectral curve itself should be quantized by Talalaev's type formula $\det(\hat{\lambda} - L(\hat{z}))$. The quantum spectral curve is a differential (difference - depending on system) operator in one variable. The corresponding equation $\det(\hat{\lambda} - L(\hat{z}))Q(\hat{z}) = 0$ generalizes Baxter's T-Q relation. It is a key ingredient in a solution of quantum systems.

²⁶actually twisted-differential operators

The geometric Langlands correspondence is rapidly developing in various directions, much work should be done, one may hope for exciting achievements.

8.3 Capelli and Baxter type conjectures

Let us announce some conjectures on Talalaev's quantum spectral curve, the Capelli identities and the Baxter type conjectures. We plan to discuss this in subsequent papers.

8.3.1 $\det(\partial_z - L(z))$ and $\det(\partial_z + L(z))$ are conjugate

Let $L(z), T(z)$ be Lax matrices of the Gaudin and Yangian types (see definitions 5, page 10 and 6, page 12 respectively). Under the formal conjugation $D \rightarrow D^*$ we mean the following: $(\sum_i f_i(z)\partial_z^i)^* = \sum_i (-\partial_z)^i f_i(z)$ i.e. the conjugation does not act on coefficients f_i .

Proposition C1 *The differential (difference) operators $\det^{col}(\partial_z - L(z))$ and $(-1)^n \det^{row}(\partial_z + L(z))$ (respectively $\det^{col}(1 - T(z)e^{-\partial_z})$ and $\det^{row}(1 - e^{\partial_z}T(z))$) are formally conjugate to each other.*

Sketch of the Proof. The Yangian case follows from the known result, Gaudin case follows from the previous one by degeneration. Indeed, obviously:

$$\det^{col}(1 - T(z)e^{-\partial_z}) = \sum_{k=0, \dots, n} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_k} q\det^{col}(T(z)_{j_1, \dots, j_k})(-e)^{-k\partial_z}, \quad (96)$$

$$\det^{row}(1 - e^{\partial_z}T(z)) = \sum_{k=0, \dots, n} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_k} (-e)^{k\partial_z} q\det^{row}(T(z)_{j_1, \dots, j_k}), \quad (97)$$

where $q\det^{col}(M(z)) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{k=1, \dots, n} M_{\sigma(k), k}(z - k + 1)$, $q\det^{row}(M(z)) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{k=1, \dots, n} M_{k, \sigma(k)}(z - n + k - 1)$. For the Yangian type matrix $M(z)$ it is true that: $q\det^{col}(M(z)) = q\det^{row}(M(z))$ (see e.g. formulas 2.24, 2.25 page 10 [Mo02]). Also recalling that any submatrix of the Yangian type matrix is again Yangian type matrix we come to the desired conclusion for the Yangian case.

Now we follow the ideas of D. Talalaev [Ta04]: one can represent $T(z) = 1 + hL(z) + h^2(\dots)$, hence: $1 - e^{h\partial_z}T(z) = -h(\partial_z + L(z)) + h^2(\dots)$. So $\det^{col}(1 - T(z)e^{-\partial_z}) = (-h)^n \det^{col}(\partial_z + L(z)) + h^{n+1}(\dots)$. Similar with $1 - T(z)e^{-h\partial_z}$. We proved above that $\det^{col}(1 - T(z)e^{-h\partial_z})$ and $\det^{row}(1 - e^{h\partial_z}T(z))$ are formally conjugate (it was proved for $h = 1$, but one can rescale with h [Ta04]). So their first coefficient in expansion in h are formally conjugate, that is the desired result for the Gaudin case. \square

8.3.2 Capelli type explicit formulas for $\det(\partial_z \pm L(z))$

Conjecture C2 *The Capelli type explicit formulas. Assume that $n \times n$ Gaudin type Lax matrix $L(z)$*

has only simple poles at some points $z = z_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ (e.g. formulas 16, 17), then:

$$\det^{col}(\partial_z - L(z)) = (\det^{row}(\partial_z + L(z)))^{\text{formally conjugate}} = \quad (98)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0, \dots, n} (-1)^i \left(\sum_{\substack{\text{principal } i \times i \\ \text{submatrices } M \text{ of } L(z)}} \det^{col}(M + \sum_{v=1, \dots, k} \frac{1}{z - z_v} \text{diag}\{i - 1, i - 2, \dots, 0\}) \partial_z^{n-i} = \quad (99)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0, \dots, n} (-1)^i \partial_z^{n-i} \left(\sum_{\substack{\text{principal } i \times i \\ \text{submatrices } M \text{ of } L(z)}} \det^{col}(M + \sum_{v=1, \dots, k} \frac{1}{z - z_v} \text{diag}\{0, -1, \dots, -i + 1\}) = \quad (100)$$

Now row-dets:

$$= \sum_{i=0, \dots, n} (-1)^i \left(\sum_{\substack{\text{principal } i \times i \\ \text{submatrices } M \text{ of } L(z)}} \det^{row}(M + \sum_{v=1, \dots, k} \frac{1}{z - z_i} \text{diag}\{0, 1, \dots, i - 1\}) \partial_z^{n-i} = \quad (101)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0, \dots, n} (-1)^i \partial_z^{n-i} \left(\sum_{\substack{\text{principal } i \times i \\ \text{submatrices } M \text{ of } L(z)}} \det^{row}(M + \sum_{v=1, \dots, k} \frac{1}{z - z_i} \text{diag}\{-i + 1, \dots, -1, 0\}) \right). \quad (102)$$

Analogously let us formulate conjecture on the explicit expressions for the Nazarov type elements. Here determinants are substituted by permanents.

$$\text{Tr}(S^p(\partial_z + L(z))) = \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq n, 1 \leq j_2 \leq n, \dots, 1 \leq j_k \leq n} \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} \prod_{r=1, \dots, p} (L_{j_r, j_{\sigma(r)}} + \sum_{v=1, \dots, k} \frac{(r-1)\delta_{j_r, j_{\sigma(r)}}}{z - z_v}). \quad (103)$$

8.3.3 General Capelli identities

Let us denote by $L(z)$ Lax matrix of the Gaudin type given by the formula 39; $T^{XXX}(z)$ is a Lax matrix given by formula 24; recall that the linear map "Wick" was defined by formula 41. Consider $H_{k,j}$ defined as $\det(\lambda - L(z)) = \sum_{k=0, \dots, n; j=-n, \dots, \infty} \lambda^k z^j H_{k,j}$. Any function made of $H_{k,j}$ is called classical integral of motion for the Gaudin system. Similar for the $T^{XXX}(z)$.

Conjecture C3 For all classical integrals of motion H_1, H_2 of the Gaudin and XXX systems:

$$[Wick(H_1^{classical \text{ Gaudin}}(p, q)), Wick(H_2^{classical \text{ Gaudin}}(p, q))] = 0, \quad (104)$$

$$Wick(Tr(\lambda - L^{classical}(z))^k) = Tr(\partial_z - L^{quantum}(z))^k, \quad (105)$$

$$Wick(TrS^k(\lambda - L^{classical}(z))) = TrS^k(\partial_z - L^{quantum}(z)), \quad (106)$$

the Yangian type systems:

$$[Wick(H_1^{classical \text{ XXX}}(p, q)), Wick(H_2^{classical \text{ XXX}}(p, q))] = 0, \quad (107)$$

$$Wick(\det(1 - e^{-\lambda}T^{classical \text{ XXX}}(z))) = \det(1 - e^{-\partial_z}T^{quantum \text{ XXX}}(z)), \quad (108)$$

$$Wick(Tr(1 - e^{-\lambda}T^{classical \text{ XXX}}(z))^k) = Tr(1 - e^{-\partial_z}T^{quantum \text{ XXX}}(z))^k, \quad (109)$$

$$Wick(TrS^k(1 - e^{-\lambda}T^{classical \text{ XXX}}(z))) = TrS^k(1 - e^{-\partial_z}T^{quantum \text{ XXX}}(z)), \quad (110)$$

$$\forall \text{ Young tableaux : } Wick((Pr_{Young \text{ tableaux}} \overset{1}{T}^{classical}(z) \overset{2}{T}^{classical}(z) \dots)) = \\ (Pr_{Young \text{ tableaux}} \overset{1}{T}^{quantum \text{ XXX}}(z + c_1) \overset{2}{T}^{quantum \text{ XXX}}(z + c_2) \dots). \quad (111)$$

- No "Tr" generalizes [Ok96B], if one puts "Tr" - weaker [Ok96]

Remark 20 The expressions $(Pr_{Young \text{ diagram}} \overset{1}{T}^{quantum \text{ XXX}}(z + c_1) \overset{2}{T}^{quantum \text{ XXX}}(z + c_2) \dots)$ are called "fused T-matrices" in physics literature. See [Ok96], [Na96] for the concise exposition and deep results for the particular case of $U(gl_n)$, the elements in $ZU(gl_n)$ obtained in this way are called "quantum immanants" in loc.cit. It seems there is no concise mathematical exposition (and probably understanding) of general "fusion". $Pr_{Young \text{ tableaux}} \in Mat_n \otimes \dots \otimes Mat_n$ is the Young projector on a irreducible gl_n representation corresponding to a Young tableau, numbers $c_i = row_i - column_i$ for the cell with a content i . The conjecture above generalizes the results from [Ok96], [Ok96B], [MTV06].

8.3.4 Generalized Baxter type conjectures

Conjecture C4 Let (π, V) be a finite-dimensional representation of $gl[t]$ or Yangian, then all solutions of the equations below are single-valued functions (products of rational function over exponentials):

$$\pi(Tr(\partial_z - L^{quantum}(z))^k)Q_1(z) = 0, \quad (112)$$

$$\pi(TrS^k(\partial_z - L^{quantum}(z)))Q_2(z) = 0, \quad (113)$$

$$\pi(Tr(1 - e^{-\partial_z}T^{Yangian}(z))^k)Q_3(z) = 0, \quad (114)$$

$$\pi(TrS^k(1 - e^{-\partial_z}T^{Yangian}(z)))Q_4(z) = 0, \quad (115)$$

and more generally \forall Young tableaux:

$$\pi(\text{Wick}(\text{Tr}(\text{Pr}_{\text{Young tableaux}} \left(1 - \lambda^{-1} T^{\text{classical}}(z)\right) \left(1 - \lambda^{-1} T^{\text{classical}}(z)\right) \dots))) Q_5(z) = 0, \quad (116)$$

$$\pi(\text{Wick}(\text{Tr}(\text{Pr}_{\text{Young tableaux}} \left(\lambda - L^{\text{classical}}(z)\right) \left(\lambda - L^{\text{classical}}(z)\right) \dots))) Q_6(z) = 0. \quad (117)$$

This conjecture will hopefully lead to new forms of Bethe equations for the spectrum, similar to the considerations with the standard Baxter equations.

References

- [AHH93] M.R. Adams, J. Harnad, J. Hurtubise *Darboux Coordinates and Liouville-Arnold integration in Loop algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. 155 (385-413),1993 [http://projecteuclid.org/...](http://projecteuclid.org/)
- [AHH96] M.R. Adams, J. Harnad, J. Hurtubise *Darboux Coordinates on Coadjoint Orbits of Lie Algebras*, solv-int/9603001
- [AHP88] M. R. Adams, J. Harnad, E. Previato, *Isospectral Hamiltonian flows in finite and infinite dimensions. I. Generalized Moser systems and moment maps into loop algebras*, Communications in Mathematical Physics (1965-1997). Volume 117, Number 3 (1988), 451-500. [http://projecteuclid.org/...](http://projecteuclid.org/)
- [AvM80] M. Adler, P. van Moerbeke, *Completely integrable systems, Euclidean Lie algebras, and curves*, Adv. in Math. 38 (1980), no. 3, 267–317. <http://www.sciencedirect.com>
- [BBT03] O Babelon, D Bernard, M Talon, *Introduction to Classical Integrable Systems*, Cambridge University Press 2003
- [BT07] O. Babelon, D. Talalaev, *On the Bethe Ansatz for the Jaynes-Cummings-Gaudin model*, hep-th/0703124
- [BT02] Babelon, O. Talon, M., *Riemann surfaces, separation of variables and classical and quantum integrability*, hep-th/0209071
- [BaFP03] C. Bartocci, G. Falqui, M. Pedroni, *A geometric approach to the separability of the Neumann-Rosochatius system*, nlin/0307021
- [Be90] A. Beauville, *Jacobiennes des courbes spectrales et systemes hamiltoniens completement integrables*, Volume 164, Number 1 / July, 1990, 211-235 <http://www.springerlink.com/content/jn12352983882un4>
- [BD] A. Beilinson, V. Drinfeld, *Quantization of Hitchin's Integrable System and Hecke Eigensheaves*. <http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~mitya/langlands.html>
<http://www.math.utexas.edu/users/benzvi/Langlands.html>
- [CFRy07] A. Chervov, G. Falqui, L. Rybnikov, *Limits of Gaudin algebras, quantization of bending flows, Jucys–Murphy elements and Gelfand–Tsetlin bases*, arXiv:0710.4971
- [CF69] P. Cartier, D. Foata, *Problemes combinatoires de commutation et rearrangements*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 85, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1969.

- [CFRu] A. Chervov, G. Falqui, V. Rubtsov, in preparation.
- [CM] A. Chervov, A. Molev, to appear
- [CRyT04] A. Chervov, L. Rybnikov, D. Talalaev *Rational Lax operators and their quantization*, hep-th/0404106
- [CT03A] A. Chervov, D. Talalaev *Hitchin system on singular curve I*, hep-th/0303069
- [CT03B] A. Chervov, D. Talalaev *Hitchin system on singular curve II*, hep-th/0309059
- [CT04] A. Chervov, D. Talalaev, *Universal G-oper and Gaudin eigenproblem*, hep-th/0409007
- [CT06] A. Chervov, D. Talalaev *Quantum spectral curves, quantum integrable systems and the geometric Langlands correspondence*, hep-th/0604128
- [CT06b] A. Chervov, D. Talalaev, *KZ equation, G-opers, quantum Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction and Cayley-Hamilton identity*, hep-th/0607250
- [Di03] Dickey, L. A. *Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems. Second edition*. Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics, 26. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2003. xii+408 pp. ISBN: 981-238-173-2
- [Dr85] V. Drinfeld, *Hopf Algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 32 (1985), 254-258.
- [DD94] B. Dubrovin, P. Diener, *Algebro-geometrical Darboux coordinates in R-matrix formalism*, SISSA-88/94FM, CERN Libraries SCAN-9409240
- [DM03] B. Dubrovin, M. Mazocco, *Canonical structure and symmetries of the Schlesinger equations*, math/0311261
- [ER95] B. Enriquez, V. Rubtsov, *Hitchin systems, higher Gaudin operators and r-matrices*. Math. Res. Lett. 3 (1996) 343-357. alg-geom/9503010
- [ER01] B. Enriquez, V. Rubtsov, *Commuting families in skew fields and quantization of Beauville's fibration*, math.AG/0112276
- [EP06] Etingof, Pavel; Pak, Igor, *An algebraic extension of the MacMahon Master Theorem*, math/0608005
- [FT79] L.D.Faddeev, L.A.Takhdadjan, E.K.Sklyanin, *Quantum inverse problem method I*, Theor.Math.Phys. 40(1979)N2. [http://www.springerlink.com/...](http://www.springerlink.com/)
- [F07] L.D.Faddeev, *Discrete series of representations for the modular double of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2, R))$* , arXiv:0712.2747
- [FM03A] Gregorio Falqui, Fabio Musso, *Gaudin Models and Bending Flows: a Geometrical Point of View*, nlin.SI/0306005
- [FM03B] Gregorio Falqui, Fabio Musso, *Bi-hamiltonian Geometry and Separation of Variables for Gaudin Models: a case study*, nlin.SI/0306008
- [FM04] Gregorio Falqui, Fabio Musso, *On Separation of Variables for Homogeneous $SL(r)$ Gaudin Systems*, nlin.SI/0402026

- [FF92] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A7, Suppl. 1A* 1992, 197-215.
- [FFR94] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel, N. Reshetikhin, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 166, (1994) 27-62 hep-th/9402022
- [Fo79] D. Foata, *A Noncommutative Version of the Matrix Inversion Formula*, *Adv. Math.*, 31, No 3, 330-349. (1979)
- [FH06] D Foata, GN Han, *A basis for the right quantum algebra and the "1 = q" principle*, math/0603463
- [Fr95] Edward Frenkel, *Affine Algebras, Langlands Duality and Bethe Ansatz*, q-alg/9506003
- [GIOPS05] Dimitri Gurevich, Pavel Pyatov, Pavel Saponov, *The $GL(m-n)$ type quantum matrix algebras II: the structure of the characteristic subalgebra and its spectral parameterization*, math.QA/0508506
- [GIOPS95] P. Pyatov, P. Saponov, *Characteristic Relations for Quantum Matrices*, q-alg/9502012
- [GIOPS98] A. Isaev, O. Ogievetsky, P. Pyatov, *On quantum matrix algebras satisfying the Cayley-Hamilton-Newton identities*, math/9809170
- [GIOPS99] A. P. Isaev, O. V. Ogievetsky, P. N. Pyatov, *Cayley-Hamilton-Newton identities and quasitriangular Hopf algebras*, math.QA/9912197
- [GLZ03] Stavros Garoufalidis, Thang TQ. Le, Doron Zeilberger, *The quantum MacMahon Master Theorem*, math.QA/0303319
- [Ga76] M. Gaudin, *Diagonalisation d' une classe d' hamiltoniens de spin*, *J. de Physique* 37, 1087-1098 (1976).
- [Ga83] M. Gaudin, *La Fonction d' Onde de Bethe*, Masson, Paris (1983).
- [Ge95] M. I. Gekhtman, *Separation of variables in the classical $SL(N)$ magnetic chain*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* Volume 167, Number 3 (1995), 593-605. [http://projecteuclid.org/...](http://projecteuclid.org/)
- [GR97] I. Gelfand, V. Retakh *Quasideterminants, I*, q-alg/9705026
- [GGRW02] I. Gelfand, S. Gelfand, V. Retakh, R. Wilson, *Quasideterminants*, *Advances in Mathematics*, Volume 193, Issue 1, 1 May 2005, Pages 56-141 math.QA/0208146
- [GW89] R. Goodman, N. Wallach, *Higher-order Sugawara operators for affine Lie algebras*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **315** (1989) 1-55. [http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9947\(198909\)315%3A1%3C1%3AHSOFAL%3E2.0.CO%3B2-S](http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9947(198909)315%3A1%3C1%3AHSOFAL%3E2.0.CO%3B2-S)
- [GGM97] A.Gorsky, S.Gukov, A.Mironov, *Multiscale $N=2$ SUSY field theories, integrable systems and their stringy/brane origin - I* hep-th/9707120
- [GNR99] A. Gorsky, N. Nekrasov, V. Rubtsov *Hilbert Schemes, Separated Variables, and D-Branes*, hep-th/9901089
- [HL06] Hai, Phung Ho; Lorenz, Martin, *Koszul algebras and the quantum MacMahon Master Theorem*, math/0603169
- [Ha88] T. Hayashi, *Sugawara operators and Kac-Kazhdan conjecture*, *Invent. Math.* **94** (1988) 13-52. <http://www.springerlink.com/content/wp5nwm73g35147u5/>

- [Hi87] N. Hitchin, *Stable bundles and integrable systems*, Duke Math. J. 54 (1987), no. 1, 91–114.
<http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.dmj/1077305506>
- [Ito98] Itoh, Minoru *Explicit Newton's Formulas for gln* Journal of Algebra Volume: 208, Issue: 2, October 15, 1998, pp. 687-697
<http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/els/00218693/1998/00000208/00000002>
- [Kac97] Kac, Victor *Vertex algebras for beginners*. University Lecture Series, 10. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. viii+141 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-0643-2
- [Kir00] A.A. Kirillov, *Introduction to family algebras*, Mosc. Math. J. 1 (2001), no. 1, 49–63.
<http://www.ams.org/distribution/mmj/vol1-1-2001/kirillov.pdf>
- [KZ84] V.G. Knizhnik, A.B. Zamolodchikov (Moscow, ITEP), *Current algebra and Wess-Zumino model in two-dimensions*. Nucl.Phys.B247:83-103,1984
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(84\)90374-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(84)90374-2)
- [K97] M. Kontsevich, *Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, I*, q-alg/9709040
- [Ko07A] Matjaz Konvalinka, *A generalization of Foata's fundamental transformation and its applications to the right-quantum algebra*, math.CO/0703203
- [Ko07B] Matjaz Konvalinka, *Non-commutative Sylvester's determinantal identity*, math.CO/0703213
- [KPak06] Konvalinka, Matjaz; Pak, Igor, *Non-commutative extensions of the MacMahon Master Theorem*, Advances in Mathematics, Volume 193, Issue 1, 1 May 2005, Pages 56-141
 math/0607737
- [KL94] D. Krob and B. Leclerc, *Minor identities for quasi-determinants and quantum determinants*, Comm. Math. Phys. vol. 169, issue 1, 1995, pages 1–23, q-alg/9411194
- [KS81] P.P. Kulish, E.K. Sklyanin *Quantum spectral transform method recent developments*, Integrable Quantum Field Theories: Proceedings of the Symposium Held at Turku, Finland, 23-27 March, 1981. Editor: J. Hietarinta, C. Montonen, Lecture Notes in Physics, vol. 151, p.61-119 <http://www.springerlink.com/content/750u2k2xg12w8886/>
- [LT07] A. Lauve and E.J. Taft, *A class of left quantum groups modeled after $SL_q(r)$* , Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, Volume 208, Issue 3, March 2007, Pages 797-803
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2006.03.017>
- [Man87] Y. E. Manin, *Some remarks on Koszul algebras and quantum groups* Annales de l'institut Fourier, 37 no. 4 (1987), p. 191-205 [http://www.numdam.org/...](http://www.numdam.org/)
- [Man91] Y. Manin, *Topics in Non Commutative Geometry*, Princeton University Press 1991
- [Man88] Y. Manin, *Quantum Groups and Non Commutative Geometry* Centre De Recherches Mathematiques (June 1988)
- [Mar94] E. Markman, *Spectral curves and integrable systems*. Composito Math. 93:225-290,1994
- [Mol02] A. I. Molev, *Yangians and their applications*, math.QA/0211288
- [MNO94] A. Molev, M. Nazarov, G. Olshanski, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*, math.QA/0211288

- [MTV05] E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko, *The B. and M. Shapiro conjecture in real algebraic geometry and the Bethe ansatz*, math.AG/0512299
- [MTV06] E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko, *A generalization of the Capelli identity*, math.QA/0610799
- [Mum84] Mumford, D., *Tata lectures on Theta II*, Progress in Math. 43. Birkhauser, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart (1984).
- [Na91] M. Nazarov, *Quantum Berezinian and the classical capelli identity*, Letters in Mathematical Physics, Volume 21, Number 2 / February, 1991 <http://www.springerlink.com/content/rt5r3313732p48j7>
- [NO95] M. Nazarov, G. Olshanski, *Bethe Subalgebras in Twisted Yangians*, q-alg/9507003
- [Na96] M. L. Nazarov, *Yangians and Capelli identities*, in: “Kirillov’s Seminar on Representation Theory”, (G. I. Olshanski, Ed.), Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Ser. 2, Vol. 181, AMS, Providence, R.I., 1998, pp. 139–163. q-alg/9601027
- [Ne95] N. Nekrasov, *Holomorphic bundles and many-body systems*. PUPT-1534, Comm. Math. Phys., **180** (1996) 587-604. hep-th/9503157
- [Ok96] Andrei Okounkov, *Quantum Immanants and Higher Capelli Identities*, q-alg/9602028
- [Ok96B] A. Okounkov, *Young basis, Wick formula and higher Capelli identities*, q-alg/9602027
- [OO96] A. Okounkov, G. Olshansky, *Shifted Schur Functions*, English translation to appear in St. Petersburg Math. J. 9 (1998), no. 2 Algebra i Analiz 9 (1997), no. 2, 73-146 (Russian) q-alg/9605042
- [OSDR04] G. Ortiz, R. Somma, J. Dukelsky, S. Rombouts *Exactly-Solvable Models Derived from a Generalized Gaudin Algebra*, cond-mat/0407429
- [PR07] M. Petrera, O. Ragnisco, *From $su(2)$ Gaudin Models to Integrable Tops*, math-ph/0703044
- [Re92] N. Reshetikhin *The Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov system as a deformation of the isomonodromy problem*. Lett. Math. Phys. 26 (1992), no. 3, 167–177. <http://www.springerlink.com/content/t718750378552740>
- [FRT90] Reshetikhin, N.Yu.; Takhtadzhyan, L.A.; Faddeev, L.D. Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras. (English. Russian original) [J] Leningr. Math. J. 1, No.1, 193-225 (1990); translation from Algebra Anal. 1, No.1, 178-206 (1989).
- [RS79] A. G. Reyman and M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, *Reduction of Hamiltonian systems, affine Lie algebras and Lax equations*, Inventiones Mathematicae, Volume 54, Number 1 / February, 1979, 81-100 <http://www.springerlink.com/content/n7360721350h7621>
- [RRT02] Suemi Rodriguez-Romo, Earl Taft, *Some quantum-like Hopf algebras which remain noncommutative when $q = 1$* , Lett. Math. Phys. 61 (2002), 4150. <http://www.springerlink.com/content/m6842k7r0u0q461u>
- [RRT05] Suemi Rodriguez-Romo, Earl Taft, *A left quantum group*, J. Algebra 286 (2005), 154160. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2005.01.002>

- [Ry1] Rybnikov, L. G., *Argument shift method and Gaudin model*, *Func. Anal. Appl.*, **40** (2006), No 3, translated from *Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya*, vol. 40 (2006), No 3, pp. 30–43. math.RT/0606380.
- [Ry3] L.G.Rybnikov, *Uniqueness of higher Gaudin hamiltonians*, math.QA/0608588.
- [Sa06] L. Sakhnovich, *Rational solutions of Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov system*, math-ph/0609067
- [Sk92] E.K. Sklyanin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method. Selected Topics*, hep-th/9211111
- [Sk92b] E. K. Sklyanin, *Separation of variables in the quantum integrable models related to the Yangian $Y[sl(3)]$* , hep-th/9212076
- [Sk95] E.K. Sklyanin, *Separations of variables: new trends*. *Progr. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **118** (1995), 35–60. solv-int/9504001
- [Ta04] D. Talalaev *Quantization of the Gaudin system*, *Functional Analysis and Its application* Vol. **40** No. 1 pp.86-91 (2006) hep-th/0404153
- [U03] Toru Umeda *Application of Koszul complex to Wronski relations for $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$* , *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, **78** (2003), **4**, 663-680 <http://www.springerlink.com/content/2145hauppwnjc6be/>
- [U98] T. Umeda *Newton's formula for $gl(n)$* , *PROCEEDINGS- AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY*, 1998, VOL 126; NUMBER 11, pages 3169-3176 <http://www.ams.org/proc/1998-126-11/S0002-9939-98-04557-2/home.html>
- [W] A. Kapustin, E. Witten, *Electric-Magnetic Duality And The Geometric Langlands Program*, arXiv:hep-th/0604151
- S. Gukov, E. Witten, *Gauge Theory, Ramification, And The Geometric Langlands Program*, arXiv:hep-th/0612073
- E. Witten, *Gauge Theory And Wild Ramification*, arXiv:0710.0631
- E. Frenkel, E. Witten, *Geometric Endoscopy and Mirror Symmetry*, arXiv:0710.5939
- E. Witten, *Mirror symmetry, Hitchin's equations, and Langlands duality*, arXiv:0802.0999