

Linear Stark Shifts to Measure the Fr Weak Nuclear Charge with Small Atom Samples

Marie-Anne Bouchiat

Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, Département de Physique de l'École Normale Supérieure,
24 Rue Lhomond, F-75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

(Dated: November 5, 2007)

We study the chirality of ground-state alkali atoms in \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} fields, dressed with a circularly-polarized laser beam detuned from an E-field-assisted forbidden transition, such as $7S - 8S$ in Fr. We predict a parity violating energy shift of their sublevels, linear in \mathbf{E} , and the weak nuclear charge Q_W . A dressing beam of 10 kW/cm^2 at 506 nm produces a shift of $\sim 100 \mu\text{Hz}$ at $E=100 \text{ V/cm}$, $B \gtrsim 30 \text{ mG}$. It should be observable with $\sim 10^4$ Fr atoms confined in an optical dipole trap. We discuss optimal conditions, parameter reversals and a calibration procedure to measure Q_W .

PACS numbers: 32.80.Ys, 11.30.Er, 32.60+i, 32.80.Pj

Atomic physics experiments in cesium have succeeded in determining the parity violating (PV) electric-dipole $6S - 7S$ transition amplitude, E_1^{pv} as small as $0.8 \times 10^{-11} \text{ ea}_0$, with a precision progressively [1] reaching 0.35 %, once both experimental [2] and absolute calibration [3] uncertainties are included. Thanks to the *tour de force* made by atomic theorists [4], the weak Cs nuclear charge, Q_W , extracted from the result leads to a unique low-energy test of the standard electroweak theory. Strong incentives for still improving its precision, *e.g.* for reducing the limits on the mass of an eventual additional, light or heavy, neutral boson [5], motivate the present work.

One possibility is to take full advantage of the PV asymmetry amplification mechanism already demonstrated in Cs [6]. This occurs when the optical gain of a vapor relative to an allowed-transition frequency, is affected by the PV asymmetry and probed using a transmitted beam. The intensity of the beam grows exponentially along its path and, more importantly, so does the PV asymmetry. At higher gains, a dramatic amplification of the PV asymmetry is expected for low probe intensities sufficient to trigger superradiance on one $7S - 6P$ line [7]. This results in a largely improved quantum noise limited signal/noise ratio.

However, another approach frequently suggested deals with PV measurements in radioactive francium, a very exciting, though extremely challenging project [8]. In this atom, the heaviest of the alkalis, the computed value of E_1^{pv} for the $7S - 8S$ transition is 18 times larger than in Cs $6S - 7S$ [4]. Several spectroscopic measurements have already been performed on cold Fr atoms inside a magneto optical trap (MOT), but even the observation of this forbidden transition still looks difficult. The main problem is that, contrary to Cs, the number of available atoms is small: no more than 10^4 up to now. Thus, transpositions from Cs to Fr of PV detection schemes successful in the past [9], would be doomed to failure. All of them used at least 10^7 and even up to 10^{12} atoms for detecting a forbidden-transition polarization-dependent probability on a light beam intensity, observed either by fluorescence or transmission. By contrast, frequency measure-

ments based on atom interferometry have demonstrated unprecedented accuracy and proved to be well adapted to cold samples of 10^4 to 10^6 atoms. Therefore, the existence of a PV effect attainable by these methods could form the basis of a realistic Fr project. The problem is that an electric dipole moment violating P but not T cannot give rise to a frequency shift in a stationary atomic system submitted only to homogeneous electric and magnetic fields, as stated by Sandars' theorem [10]. The strategy is to find some way around this restriction. The light-shift proposal for a single Ba^+ ion [11] based on the radiation field gradient in a standing wave, is made difficult by the need for precise adjustment of the ion position in this field. For the Cs nuclear anapole moment, we have suggested measuring the hyperfine (hf) linear Stark-shift of the ground state dressed by a circularly polarized laser beam detuned from the first resonance line [13]. In this case the measurement involves off-diagonal matrix elements of the PV electric dipole between hf ground states. No similar effect exists for the Q_W electric dipole which has no such matrix elements. In this Letter we show that, if the dressing beam is close to resonance with respect to the Stark-field-assisted $nS - n'S$ transition, an interferometric measurement of Q_W may also be achieved by observing a linear Stark-shift.

We consider alkali atoms in their ground state, placed in crossed electric and magnetic dc fields, \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} , which interact with a laser beam in a direction \hat{k} orthogonal to both fields. Its angular frequency ω , is detuned from the forbidden, E-field assisted, $nS - n'S$ transition of frequency ω_0 by an amount δ . Opposite parity states are mixed by the electric dipole coupling $V_E = -\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E}$. A second mixing between $S_{1/2}$ and $P_{1/2}$ states results from the PV electron-nucleus interaction V_{pv} , associated with the weak nuclear charge Q_W [1], $\langle n' P_{1/2} | V_{pv} | n S_{1/2} \rangle = -i G_F Q_W \langle n' P_{1/2} | \mathcal{R} | n S_{1/2} \rangle$. The factor i results from its time reversal invariance, G_F the Fermi constant and \mathcal{R} a short-range pseudoscalar operator mixing the $S_{1/2}$ and $P_{1/2}$ wave functions in the vicinity of the nucleus.

To describe the electric dipole amplitudes induced by the radiation field as a result of both mixings, it is con-

venient to introduce the effective electric dipole operator which behaves as a matrix element in the radial atom coordinate space and as an operator in the spin space,

$$\mathbf{D}^{ef}(n, n') = -\alpha(n, n')\mathbf{E} - i\beta(n, n')\vec{\sigma} \wedge \mathbf{E} - i\text{Im}E_1^{pv}(n, n')\vec{\sigma} + M_1\vec{\sigma} \wedge \mathbf{k}, \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{\sigma}$ is the Pauli matrix for the electron spin operator. The final term describes the effective contribution of the parity conserving (PC) magnetic dipole amplitude [1, 12], in which, for both Cs and Fr, $M_1 \approx 2 \times 10^4 \text{Im}E_1^{pv}$.

We use the quantized form of the radiation field, involving the creation and annihilation operators a^\dagger and a . The circularly polarized laser beam is described by a normalized N photon state $|N\rangle$ with energy density $\epsilon_0 \mathcal{E}^2 = N \hbar \omega / V$ localized inside a volume V . The Hamiltonian $V_{rad} = -\sqrt{\frac{\hbar \omega}{2\epsilon_0 V}}(a \mathbf{e}(\xi) \cdot \mathbf{D} + h.c.)$ describes the atom-field dipolar coupling with $\mathbf{e}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{e}_1 + i\xi \mathbf{e}_2)$, \mathbf{e}_i being two real orthogonal unit vectors normal to the direction \hat{k} of the laser beam and $\xi = \pm 1$ defining the helicity. For the combined atom-field initial and final states, $|\tilde{i}\rangle = |n S_{1/2}; F, m_F\rangle |N\rangle$ and $|\tilde{f}\rangle = |n' \widetilde{S}_{1/2}; F', m'_F\rangle |N-1\rangle$, perturbed by $V_E + V_{pv}$, an effective dipolar coupling is described by the Hamiltonian $V_{rad}^{ef} = -\sqrt{\frac{\hbar \omega}{2\epsilon_0 V}}(a \mathbf{e}(\xi) \cdot \mathbf{D}^{ef} + h.c.)$; F, m_F are the hf and magnetic quantum numbers.

Using this approach, the general expression of the $7S$ state light-shift arising from virtual absorption and emission of close-detuned $7S_{1/2} - 8S_{1/2}$ photons is

$$\delta E = |\langle \tilde{i} | V_{rad}^{ef} | \tilde{f} \rangle|^2 / \hbar \delta. \quad (2)$$

The fact that V_{rad}^{ef} appears alone might imply that other significant contributions have been omitted. Indeed, in a rigorous perturbative treatment one should consider all fourth-order terms (linear in V_{pv} , V_E , and quadratic in V_{rad}). In fact, the only missing terms are strongly “non-resonant” for the present laser-detuning. Only for a quasi-resonant dressing beam do they dominate, giving rise, then, to the anapole shift evaluated previously [13].

In the expression (2) we find all the terms making up the operator $\mathbf{D}^{ef} \cdot \mathbf{e}(\xi)$ with their Rabi frequencies, the two scalar ($q = \alpha$) and vector ($q = \beta$) Stark-induced ones, $\Omega_{ind}^q = qE \mathcal{E} / \hbar \sqrt{2}$, in addition to the tiny parity violating one, $\Omega_{pv} = \text{Im}E_1^{pv} \mathcal{E} / \hbar \sqrt{2}$ and the 2×10^4 times larger magnetic dipole one, $\Omega_{M_1} = M_1 \mu_B \mathcal{E} / c e a_0$. The squared terms are similar in form to the light-shifts of the allowed transition, except for their noticeably smaller size. However, the energy shift $\delta E_{F, m_F}$ we are looking for, results from an interference between the dominant Stark-induced amplitude Ω_{ind}^α , and amplitudes Ω_{pv} , Ω_{M_1} ,

$$\delta E_{F, m_F} = 2 \frac{\hbar \Omega_{ind}^\alpha (\Omega_{M_1} + \xi \Omega_{pv})}{\delta_F} \langle F, m_F | \hat{E} \wedge \hat{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma} | F, m_F \rangle, \quad (3)$$

where δ_F denotes the laser detuning with respect to the $nS_F \rightarrow n'S_F$, ($\Delta F = 0$) hf line. It is convenient to introduce the photon angular momentum $\xi \mathbf{k} = i(\mathbf{e}(\xi) \wedge \mathbf{e}^*(\xi))\hbar$. Because, as justified later on, the direction \hat{B} of the magnetic field (supposed weak, $\mu_B B \ll \Delta W$) still defines the quantization axis in the dressed state, we replace the matrix element in Eq. 3 by $g_F m_F$ with $g_F = 2(F-I)/(I+1/2)$. The pseudoscalar $\chi = \hat{E} \wedge \xi \hat{k} \cdot \hat{B}$ is the mark of parity violation affecting the E_1^{pv} contribution. From Eq.(3), we derive the linear Stark frequency shifts $\Delta\nu_{hf}$ and $\Delta\nu_{Ze}$, for the $F' = F-1 \rightarrow F, \Delta m_F = 0$, hf transitions and the Zeeman ones $F, m_F \rightarrow F, m_F \pm 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\nu_{hf} &= \frac{2\Omega_{ind}^\alpha (\Omega_{M_1} + \xi \Omega_{pv})}{2\pi \delta_F} (1 + R_F) \frac{m_F \kappa_F}{I + 1/2} \hat{E} \wedge \hat{k} \cdot \hat{B}, \\ \Delta\nu_{Ze} &= 2\Omega_{ind}^\alpha \frac{(\Omega_{M_1} + \xi \Omega_{pv})}{2\pi \delta_F} \kappa_F \hat{E} \wedge \hat{k} \cdot \hat{B}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The quantity $R_F = (\delta_F / \delta_{F'}) (\kappa_{F'} / \kappa_F)$ involves the detunings with respect to both $\Delta F = 0$ transitions and the factors $\kappa_F = 1 + g_F \beta / \alpha$ and $\kappa_{F'}$, close to 1, which account for the contributions arising from Ω_{ind}^β .

In order to compensate for the weakness of the transition, one obvious solution is to strengthen the radiation field. This could be achieved by focusing the dressing beam on a small atom sample (0.01 to 1)mm³ inside a radiative trap. However, two pitfalls have to be avoided: two-photon ionization and radiative instability. The former puts an upper limit on the magnitude of \mathcal{E} , while the latter places a constraint on the ratio $\Omega_{ind}^\alpha / \delta$, such that using large Stark fields brings practically no benefit.

Multiphoton ionization processes, computed by quantum defect theory [14], yields the frequency dependence of the two-photon ionization rate for all stable alkali atoms with physical interpretation of peaks and valleys. Extending the results from Cs to Fr, using available spectroscopic data [8], we take as an estimate of the two-photon ionization rate for Fr at 506 nm $R(\text{s}^{-1}) \approx 10^{-49} \times (\text{photon flux})^2$ (nearly the same as for Cs at 539 nm). With a laser beam of 10 kW/cm² intensity (2×10^{22} photons s⁻¹/cm², and $\mathcal{E} = 2.2$ kV/cm), we get conservatively $R = 4 \times 10^{-5}$ s⁻¹. No significant modification is expected for $E \leq 10$ kV/cm. On the other hand, the ground state levels acquire a finite lifetime characterized by the decay rate $\Gamma_{nS_F} = \Gamma_{n'S} (\Omega_{ind}^\alpha / \delta_F)^2$. For precise interferometric measurements, the evolution of the atomic system has to be observed over an interaction time, τ_i , typically 1 s. This means that the dressing intensity has to be kept low enough to satisfy the “stability condition”, $\Gamma_{nS_F} \tau_i \leq 1$, over this period. Hereafter, we shall assume this limit is just reached for the most tightly coupled hf state, F. This imposes the size of the ratio $|\Omega_{ind}^\alpha / \delta_F| = (\Gamma_{n'S} \tau_i)^{-1/2}$, *i.e.* 2.2×10^{-4} for Cs ($n'=7$), 2.5×10^{-4} for Fr ($n'=8$); the sign is that of δ_F , (since $\alpha > 0$, and \mathcal{E} and E also by convention). The PV (χ -odd) frequency

shift is, then, completely determined:

$$\Delta\nu_{hf}^{pv} = (\Gamma_{n'S\tau_i})^{-1/2} \frac{\Omega^{pv}}{2\pi} (1 + R_F) \text{sign}(\delta_F) \frac{2m_F \kappa_F}{(I + 1/2)} \chi, \quad (5)$$

with $0 < R_F < 1$. The Stark field magnitude E is the single free parameter to determine Ω_{ind}^α once \mathcal{E} is fixed. Since E and δ_F are linked together by the stability condition, they almost disappear from the result: $\Delta\nu^{pv}$ varies only by a factor 2 from the low field range ($\hbar\delta/\Delta W \ll 1$), where the shift of a single hf state dominates, to the high field one ($\hbar\delta/\Delta W \gg 1$), where both hf states participate. In view of the large E-field magnitudes such that $\hbar\Omega_{ind}^\alpha (\Gamma_{n'S\tau_i})^{1/2} = \Delta W$ (~ 14 kV/cm for ^{133}Cs , and 22 kV/cm for ^{221}Fr), it looks more realistic to envisage the measurement in the low field range, 20 to 1 000 V/cm, ($\delta_F/2\pi = 13$ to 650 MHz), much easier to implement. Such a wide range leaves room for optimization of the experiment. With a dressing beam intensity of 10 kW/cm², for Cs we obtain $\Omega^{pv}/2\pi = 23.5$ mHz and $\Delta\nu_{hf}^{pv} = 5.2$ μHz for the $3, \pm 3 \rightarrow 4, \pm 3$ hf transition, while for Fr we expect $\Omega^{pv}/2\pi \simeq 0.42$ Hz leading to $\Delta\nu_{hf}^{pv} \simeq 0.1$ mHz for the $2, \pm 2 \rightarrow 3, \pm 2$ hf transition; in all cases $\Delta\nu_{Ze}^{pv} = \Delta\nu_{hf}^{pv}/(I - 1/2)$. Table I collects the results for ^{133}Cs and ^{221}Fr .

For a precise E_1^{pv} measurement, it is important to suppress the large M_1 contribution to the shift. To this end one may use forward-backward passages of the dressing beam which change \hat{k} into $-\hat{k}$ and preserve the photon angular momentum. A systematic error may arise from the mirror birefringences, but it is efficiently reduced by making $\pi/2$ rotations of the substrates around their axis [1]. The M_1 contribution, $\propto \text{sign}(\delta_F m_F) \hat{E} \wedge \hat{k} \cdot \hat{B}$, accessible with a single path of the beam, is a very interesting quantity in its own right. It deserves to be measured precisely because of its exceptional sensitivity to the accuracy of the relativistic description of the atomic system [12]. Here the δ_F -odd signature would allow discrimi-

TABLE I: The main atomic parameters of the Cs and Fr forbidden transitions defined in Eq.(1), from [2, 3, 4, 9, 12, 15], and the PV shift magnitudes (see Eq. 5 for the sign), for $\Delta m_F = 0, m_F = I - 1/2$ transitions, supposing $|\delta_F/2\pi| < 1\text{GHz}$, $E(\text{V/cm}) = 1.5 \frac{\delta_F}{2\pi}$ (MHz), $\mathcal{E} = 2.2$ kV/cm. For different isotopes $\Delta\nu_{hf}^{pv}$ varies as $(2I - 1)/(2I + 1)$ and $\Delta\nu_{Ze}^{pv}$ as $1/(2I + 1)$.

Atomic transition	$-\alpha/\beta$	$ E_1^{pv} /\alpha$ mV/cm	M_1/α V/cm	$\Delta\nu_{hf}^{pv}$ (μHz)	$\Delta\nu_{Ze}^{pv}$ (μHz)
$^{133}\text{Cs}, 6S - 7S$ (539 nm) $I = 7/2, \Delta W = 9.2$ GHz	9.9	0.17	2.99	5.2	1.7
$^{221}\text{Fr}, 7S - 8S$ (506 nm) $I = 5/2, \Delta W = 18.6$ GHz	$\simeq 7$	2.2	$\simeq 10$	100	50

nation against the M_1 -allowed linear Stark-shift arising from the far-off-resonant transitions [16].

A crucial step is the calibration procedure allowing for a determination of E_1^{pv} (and M_1) free of uncertainties and drifts of laser power and beam geometry with respect to the atomic sample. To this end, one can perform simultaneous measurements of the E-field induced PC light-shift, namely $\Delta\nu_{hf}^{ls} = |\Omega_{ind}^\alpha|^2/2\pi\delta_F$ for $\delta_F \ll \Delta W/\hbar$ amounting to ~ 3 Hz for Cs and a dressing intensity of 10 kW/cm². In order to discriminate $\Delta\nu_{hf}^{ls}$ against other contributions arising from far-off-resonant allowed transitions (~ -15 Hz), that are nearly independent of ω , one can offset the laser detuning sequentially by a small fractional amount $\pm\eta$ and extract the η -odd contribution. The ratio $\Delta\nu_{hf}^{pv}/\eta\Delta\nu_{hf}^{ls}$ leads directly the amplitude ratio $\rho^{pv} = E_1^{pv}/\alpha E$ and similarly $\rho^M = M_1/\alpha E$, for a single passage of the beam. From ρ^{pv}/ρ^M one obtains E_1^{pv}/M_1 .

Among all the light shifts appearing in Eq (2), we note the absence of crossed term of the type $\Omega_{ind}^\alpha \Omega_{ind}^\beta$. Indeed, in the geometry considered here, the associated matrix element, $2\Omega_{ind}^\alpha \Omega_{ind}^\beta \langle F, m_F | \vec{\sigma} \cdot \xi \hat{k} | F, m_F' \rangle / 2\pi\delta_F$, turns out to be off-diagonal in the F, m_F basis: therefore its contribution has the same effect as a small transverse magnetic field B^{ls} applied along the laser beam. In a Stark field E of 100 V/cm, $B^{ls} = 2|\frac{\beta}{\alpha}|\Omega_{ind}^\alpha|^2 / (|\delta_F| \mu_B) \simeq 0.4$ μG . However, there are additional contributions associated with the vector Stark shifts coming from the far-detuned allowed transitions such as $nS_{1/2} - nP_j$ (with $j = 1/2, 3/2$). This is the dominant source of fictitious magnetic field, amounting to ~ 6 mG for Cs (20 mG for Fr). Therefore, the condition for the applied field remaining the quantization axis during the action of the dressing beam is $B \gtrsim 10$ mG for Cs (30 mG for Fr).

Up to now, we have omitted from the effective dipole operator, Eq. (1), the PV nuclear spin-dependent terms: $\mathbf{D}_I^{ef} = -i\text{Im}E_1^{pv}(\eta\mathbf{I}/I + \eta'\vec{\sigma} \wedge \mathbf{I}/I)$. For detunings small with respect to a $\Delta F = 0$ transition, the second operator $\propto \eta'$ does not participate. The I -dependent contribution to $\delta E_{F, m_F}$ coming from the first term is easily evaluated by direct transition to $\mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{e}(\xi)$ of the reasoning followed for the operator $\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{e}(\xi)$, leading to Eq. (3). The result involves the matrix element: $\langle F, m_F | \hat{E} \wedge \hat{k} \cdot \vec{I} | F, m_F \rangle = \chi(1 - g_F)m_F$. With $\eta = \eta'/2 = -2.1 \times 10^{-2}$ for Cs, this corresponds to a correction of $\sim 1\%$ taking opposite signs for the two $\Delta F = 0$ transitions. Since the weak charge contribution grows with the atomic number much faster than that arising from the nuclear spin [4], we expect this fractional correction not to exceed a few thousandth for the undeformed Fr isotopes, such as ^{210}Fr , $I=6$ and ^{212}Fr , $I=5$, having small electric quadrupole moments [15]. In deformed nuclei, the magnitude of the anapole moment, hence η , may be enhanced by factors still unpredicted. Note that for a dressing-beam detuned from an allowed transition, conversely, the anapole moment contribution [13] dominates, the Q_W one becoming less than 1%.

One can obtain more information by tuning the dressing beam close to one of the $\Delta F = \pm 1$ forbidden lines, the sole to possess an additional M_1 amplitude arising from the hf interaction [1]. It can be identified thanks to its contributions of opposite signs on the two lines. It has been the subject of precise theoretical calculations and yields an absolute reference with which to calibrate all other amplitudes from the measured ratios [3].

Determination of Q_W from the linear Stark shift in dressed $7S$ Fr atoms involves special requirements: i) a small (.01 to 1)mm³ sample of 10^4 atoms tightly confined in an optical dipole trap, far-blue-detuned and linearly polarized to avoid large frequency shifts; ii) excitation and detection of a $\Delta m_F = 0$, ($m_F = I - 1/2$) hf, or a Zeeman transition; iii) application of the dressing circularly-polarized standing-wave of 10 kW/cm², and modulated detuning. The crossed fields $E \sim 100$ V/cm, $B \gtrsim 30$ mG, are of moderate magnitudes. High stability of B is necessary to prevent its fluctuations δB , from adding noise.

The production of cold clouds of 10^4 Fr atoms inside a MOT has been reported by several groups [8]. Its fast (non-adiabatic) transport, with optical tweezers, can be optimized to deliver an ultra-cold sample on demand to a trap appropriately-configured at distance [17]. There is one reported measurement of an alkali hf transition in an optical dipole trap [18], which almost matches the required conditions. It used the Ramsey method, with two time-separated $\pi/2$ pulses of the microwave field. A coherence time of 4 s was observed. However, our main requirement is stability over a few minutes. The effect of long term drifts should be reduced by fast reversals of ξ and E , thanks to the clear-cut signature of the PV shift. More recently, progress in optical dipole traps have been reported [19] as well as a project for a three-dimensional optical lattice aiming at another fundamental precision measurement, the Cs electric dipole moment (EDM) [20]. According to those authors' analysis, this design looks well adapted to an accurate Ramsey-type measurement of either $\Delta\nu_{hf}^{pv}$ or even $4 \times \Delta\nu_{Ze}^{pv}$. A Raman transition with two σ^\pm photons can be used to prepare the $F=3$, $m_F = \pm 2$ atomic coherence to be detected after its evolution in the dressing beam. This also makes it realistic to assume that the projection noise limit [21] lies within reach. The standard deviation of the frequency fluctuations, then, would be $\delta\nu = 1/(2\pi\tau_i\sqrt{N_{at}})\sqrt{T_c/\tau}$, τ being the total measurement time. If one chooses the duration of a measurement cycle $T_c \approx 2\tau_i$, both $\delta\nu$ and $\Delta\nu^{pv}$ (Eq. (5)) depend on τ_i in an identical manner and the signal to noise expression $\Delta\nu_{hf}^{pv}/\delta\nu = \Omega^{pv}\sqrt{\tau/2\Gamma_{n'S}\sqrt{N_{at}}}$ becomes independent of τ_i . For an atom number $N_{at} = 10^4$, we find $\delta\nu(\text{mHz}) = 2.2 \tau^{-1/2}$. Thus, assuming $\delta B < h\delta\nu/\mu_B = 2$ nG over τ_i , this gives S/N for $\Delta\nu_{hf}^{pv}$ and $4 \times \Delta\nu_{Ze}^{pv}$ equal to 2.5 and 5, respectively, over a one hour measurement time.

In short, we have proposed a new method to measure the PV electric-dipole $nS - n'S$ forbidden transition amplitude, yielding the weak nuclear charge. It involves a very specific right-left asymmetry of the light-shift induced by a dressing beam, off-resonant for the $nS - n'S$ transition, instead of the more usual asymmetry in the transition rate. Since the transition is forbidden the spontaneous scattering rate can be made acceptable even at small detunings, with moderate magnitudes of the Stark-field assisting the transition. This approach avoids two-photon ionization, a problem encountered in previous Cs measurements [2] and can be applied to a sample of only $\sim 10^4$ Fr atoms. Given the continuous production rate of 10^4 cold Fr atoms/s, already achieved by several groups [8], measurement of the chiral PV Stark-shift in francium appears within reach.

We thank C. Bouchiat and M. D. Plimmer for careful reading of the manuscript. Laboratoire Kastler Brossel is Unité de Recherche de l'École Normale Supérieure et de l'Université Pierre et Marie Curie, associée au CNRS.

-
- [1] M. A. Bouchiat and C. Bouchiat, *J. Phys. France*, **35**, 899-927 (1974) and *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **60**, 1351 (1997).
 - [2] S. C. Bennett and C. E. Wieman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 2484-2487 (1999).
 - [3] M.-A. Bouchiat and J. Guéna, *J. Phys. France* **49**, 2037 (1988); C. Bouchiat and C. A. Piketty, *J. Phys. France* **49**, 1851 (1988).
 - [4] J.S.M. Ginges and V.V. Flambaum, *Phys. Rep.* **397**, 63 (2004) and references therein.
 - [5] C. Bouchiat and P. Fayet, *Phys. Lett. B* **608**, 87 (2005); R. D. Young *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **99**, 122003 (2007).
 - [6] J. Guéna, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **90**, 143001 (2003) and *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, 042108 (2005).
 - [7] J. Guéna, M. Lintz and M.A. Bouchiat, *J. of Am. Opt. Soc. B* **21**, 22 (2005).
 - [8] E. Gomez, L. A. Orozco, G. D. Sprouse, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **69**, 79 (2006); S. Sanguinetti, private communication.
 - [9] S. Sanguinetti, *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J. D* **25**, 3 (2003).
 - [10] C. E. Loving and P.G.H. Sandars, *J. Phys. B* **10**, 2755 (1977).
 - [11] E. N. Fortson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 2383 (1993).
 - [12] I. M. Savukov, *et al.* *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 2914 (1999).
 - [13] M. A. Bouchiat, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 043003 (2007).
 - [14] H.B. Bebb, *Phys. Rev. A* **149**, 25 (1966).
 - [15] A. Coc *et al.*, *Nuclear Physics*, **A468**, 1 (1987).
 - [16] M. Romalis, N. Fortson, *Phys. Rev. A*, **59** 4547 (1999).
 - [17] A. Couvert, *et al.*, hal-00168928 (2007)
 - [18] N. Davidson, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 1311 (1995).
 - [19] R. Grimm, M. Weidemüller, and Y. B. Ovchinnikov, *Adv. in At. Mol. and Opt. Phys.*, **42**, 95 (2000).
 - [20] C. Chin *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. A*, **63**, 033401 (2001). A 40-fold improvement in the electron's EDM limit over that obtained by E. D. Commins *et al.* in Tl, in 2002, requires a Stark shift detection of 0.1 μHz in Cs at $E = 100$ kV/cm.
 - [21] G. Santarelli, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 4619 (1999).